
TransDiffSBDD: Causality-Aware Multi-Modal Structure-Based Drug Design

Xiuyuan Hu¹ Guoqing Liu² Can (Sam) Chen^{3,4} Yang Zhao¹ Hao Zhang^{*1} Xue Liu^{3,4}

Abstract

Structure-based drug design (SBDD) is a critical task in drug discovery, requiring the generation of molecular information across two distinct modalities: discrete molecular graphs and continuous 3D coordinates. However, existing SBDD methods often overlook two key challenges: (1) the multi-modal nature of this task and (2) the causal relationship between these modalities, limiting their plausibility and performance. To address both challenges, we propose **TransDiffSBDD**, an integrated framework combining autoregressive transformers and diffusion models for SBDD. Specifically, the autoregressive transformer models discrete molecular information, while the diffusion model samples continuous distributions, effectively resolving the first challenge. To address the second challenge, we design a hybrid-modal sequence for protein-ligand complexes that explicitly respects the causality between modalities. Experiments on the CrossDocked2020 benchmark demonstrate that TransDiffSBDD outperforms existing baselines.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has recently achieved remarkable success in solving various scientific discovery tasks, including protein structure prediction (Jumper et al., 2021) and weather forecasting (Bi et al., 2023). Among these, AI-driven drug discovery (AIDD) has emerged as a highly promising area (Mak et al., 2024). Traditional drug development processes are notoriously time-consuming and resource-intensive, and machine learning (ML) techniques have the potential to alleviate these challenges (Dara et al., 2022) by significantly improving the accuracy and efficiency of tasks such as molecular property prediction (Wieder et al., 2020) and retrosynthesis (Shen et al., 2021). Among the mainstream strategies in pharmaceutical research, structure-based drug design stands out as a pivotal challenge in AIDD.

¹Tsinghua University ²Microsoft Research AI for Science
³McGill University ⁴Mila - Quebec AI Institute. Correspondence to: Hao Zhang <haozhang@tsinghua.edu.cn>.

SBDD is a 3D molecular structure generation task that aims to design drug-like and synthesizable small-molecule ligands with high binding affinity for a given protein target (Van Montfort & Workman, 2017).

Despite the development of numerous ML methods for SBDD in recent years, their performance remains unsatisfactory (Zhang et al., 2023c). This limitation primarily arises from the inadequate consideration of the relationship between the two modalities in molecular information: the discrete graph information (atom types and chemical bonds) and the continuous 3D information (atomic coordinates). Two key factors contribute to this limitation: (1) the technical discrepancies in modeling the two modalities, and (2) the causal relationship between them.

First, the outputs of SBDD involve both discrete and continuous modalities, making SBDD a prototypical multi-modal generation task. Discrete information, including atom types and bonds, is well-suited to autoregressive language models based on transformer architectures, which have revolutionized natural language processing (NLP) (Brown et al., 2020; Ouyang et al., 2022; Touvron et al., 2023). Conversely, continuous information of 3D atomic coordinates aligns with diffusion-based generative models that have demonstrated outstanding performance in image and video generation (Rombach et al., 2022; Blattmann et al., 2023). So far, while many diffusion-based models for SBDD have been proposed (e.g., Guan et al. (2023a)), they often struggle to effectively capture discrete molecular features. Similarly, some autoregressive language models have been adapted for SBDD (e.g., Zhoulus et al. (2024)), but they often represent 3D coordinates as discrete tokens, compromising their ability to model continuous distributions. As a result, neither architecture alone is well-suited for the multi-modal nature of SBDD. An effective solution requires combining these two architectures to better align with the intrinsic demands of SBDD.

Second, there is a causal relationship between a molecule’s discrete graph information and its continuous 3D structure in the context of a protein binding pocket. Specifically, once the graph structure of a ligand is determined, its 3D binding pose is largely dictated¹, and protein-ligand docking aims to

¹Environmental factors such as the temperature may have an impact, so we use "largely" rather than "completely."

model this causality (Trott & Olson, 2010; Grinter & Zou, 2014). However, most existing 3D generation methods for SBDD generate discrete and continuous molecular information simultaneously (e.g., Peng et al. (2022); Guan et al. (2023b)), neglecting this causality. Benchmark results in Zheng et al. (2024a) demonstrate that combining 1D/2D molecular generation methods with docking software can outperform 3D methods specialized for SBDD. Although 1D/2D methods do not explicitly utilize structural information from protein targets, their integration with docking software respects the causality between discrete and continuous molecular information. This explains their superior performance and highlights a critical flaw in current 3D approaches: their neglect of this causality.

To address these challenges, we propose **TransDiffSBDD**, a framework for structure-based drug design integrating autoregressive transformer and diffusion architectures. TransDiffSBDD not only accommodates the multi-modal nature of SBDD by integrating the two architectures but also explicitly models the causality between discrete 2D and continuous 3D molecular information.

Specifically, we design a hybrid-modal sequence to represent protein-ligand complexes, where molecular discrete graph information is encoded by SMILES (Weininger, 1988) strings, and atomic 3D coordinates are represented as numerical vectors. This sequence format explicitly preserves the causality (the 2nd challenge) by placing all the 3D coordinates after SMILES tokens, and modeling the SBDD problem as an autoregressive generation task. Moreover, inspired by recent advances in multi-modal generative models (Zhou et al., 2024a; Sun et al., 2024), we introduce the first integrated architecture of an autoregressive transformer and a diffusion for SBDD. The transformer model provides a global understanding of the hybrid-modal sequence and generates molecular discrete graph information, while the diffusion component focuses on modeling the continuous distribution of atomic 3D coordinates. Therefore, the multi-modal dilemma (the 1st challenge) of SBDD is effectively solved by the respective roles of the two architectures. Additionally, we utilize a joint loss function for the integrated model, which combines cross-entropy loss for discrete tokens and diffusion loss for continuous vectors. During training, we first perform pretraining on a large-scale small molecule dataset and a protein-ligand complex dataset, and then apply reinforcement learning (RL)-based finetuning for each protein target, yielding a set of target-specific 3D molecular candidates.

Experimental results on the CrossDocked2020 benchmark (Francoeur et al., 2020) demonstrate that TransDiffSBDD outperforms existing state-of-the-art SBDD baselines, including autoregressive models, diffusion-based methods, and approaches that combine 1D/2D generation with dock-

ing. Notably, TransDiffSBDD achieves an outstanding Success Rate in drug design towards multi-property objectives (MPO), reflecting its superior performance in real-world SBDD. Case studies further validate the practical effectiveness of our method. Moreover, our framework has the potential to seamlessly adapt to datasets containing distributional information of molecular 3D structures, which represents an important direction for the future of AIDD.

In summary, our main contributions are as follows:

- We raise and address the primary limitations of existing SBDD methods: the neglect of the modal discrepancy and causality between molecular discrete graph information and continuous 3D information.
- We propose TransDiffSBDD, a novel framework for structure-based drug design that integrates autoregressive transformer and diffusion architectures to generate hybrid-modal sequences for protein-ligand complexes.
- TransDiffSBDD surpasses multiple baselines on Cross-Docked2020, demonstrating its robustness and effectiveness in structure-based drug design.

2. Related Works

2.1. Structure-based Drug Design

Structure-based drug design is a critical 3D molecular generation problem in pharmacology, where protein pockets with biomedical significance serve as explicit targets for designing small-molecule ligands (Isert et al., 2023). Recent SBDD approaches can be broadly categorized into two main paradigms:

- Discrete-focused modeling, which primarily relies on autoregressive models, including AR (Luo et al., 2021), Pocket2Mol (Peng et al., 2022), Lingo3DMol (Feng et al., 2024), FLAG (Zhang et al., 2023b), ResGen (Zhang et al., 2023a), XYZ-TF (Flam-Shepherd & Aspuru-Guzik, 2023), BindGPT (Zholus et al., 2024), and 3DMolFormer (Hu et al., 2025).
- Continuous-focused modeling, which typically employs denoising models such as diffusion and flow networks, including TargetDiff (Guan et al., 2023a), DecompDiff (Guan et al., 2023b), DrugGPS (Zhang & Liu, 2023), PocketFlow (Jiang et al., 2024), IPDiff (Huang et al., 2024b), IRDiff (Huang et al., 2024a), AliDiff (Gu et al., 2024), D3FG (Lin et al., 2024), DiffSBDD (Schneuing et al., 2024), MolCRAFT (Qu et al., 2024), FlexSBDD (Zhang et al., 2024), and VoxBind (Pinheiro et al., 2024).

Unfortunately, none of the above methods can balance the

modeling of discrete and continuous modes. Additionally, Zheng et al. (2024a) highlights the competitiveness of 1D/2D molecular generation methods combined with docking software in SBDD. Among 1D/2D approaches, reinforcement learning has emerged as a dominant technique, where the property objective serves as the RL reward. Representative methods in this category include Reinvent (Olivecrona et al., 2017; Loeffler et al., 2024), RationaleRL (Jin et al., 2020), RGA (Fu et al., 2022), and ChemRLFormer (Ghugare et al., 2023).

2.2. Multi-modal Generative Models

Multi-modal large language models (MLLMs) have become one of the most actively researched topics, aiming to develop unified architectures capable of understanding and generating data across multiple modalities, such as text, images, audio, and video (Yin et al., 2023). A central challenge in this area is handling the inherent discrepancy among these modalities. Several approaches have been proposed for multi-modal generation, with a recently popular paradigm being the integration of autoregressive transformers and diffusion models (Zhou et al., 2024a). This approach restructures multi-modal data into a hybrid-modal sequence, which is then processed autoregressively by a transformer backbone, while the diffusion model serves as an output head for sampling continuous data (e.g., images). This strategy has not only demonstrated effectiveness in typical multi-modal scenarios (Sun et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2024) but also shows promising potential for applications in other fields, such as embodied AI (Wang et al., 2024) and general scientific tasks (Zhang et al., 2025).

3. Preliminaries

3.1. Autoregressive Transformers for Sequence Modeling

Autoregressive transformers are a class of neural networks widely used for sequence generation tasks. Their architecture is based on the transformer model, which was introduced to efficiently handle sequential data using self-attention mechanisms (Vaswani et al., 2017). In autoregressive modeling, the transformer generates sequences element by element in a causal manner, ensuring that the prediction of each element depends only on previous elements in the sequence. Formally, for an ordered sequence $\{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$, autoregressive generation is formulated as:

$$p(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x^i | x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}), \quad (1)$$

and in autoregressive transformers the conditional probability is achieved using masked self-attention, where the attention mechanism is constrained to prevent access to fu-

ture elements during training and inference. Representative architectures such as GPT (Generative Pretrained Transformer) (Radford et al., 2019) have demonstrated remarkable success in tasks ranging from natural language generation (Brown et al., 2020) to molecular design (Bagal et al., 2021), where sequences like SMILES strings are modeled as text.

3.2. Diffusion Models

Diffusion models, also known as denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPMs) (Ho et al., 2020), have emerged as powerful generative models for continuous data, such as images (Rombach et al., 2022), videos (Blattmann et al., 2023), and molecular 3D poses (Corso et al., 2023). DDPMs reverse a diffusion process, which gradually corrupts data into noise, to reconstruct the original data.

The forward diffusion process iteratively adds Gaussian noise to the data in a fixed number of steps T , transforming the data into pure noise at the final step. Formally, given data x_0 , the noisy version at step t , denoted as x_t , is obtained by:

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I), \quad (2)$$

where α_t is a variance schedule controlling the noise level at each step.

In the reverse diffusion process, a neural network parameterized by θ is trained to denoise x_t and predict x_0 . This is achieved by minimizing a loss function that measures the mean squared error (MSE) between the predicted noise and the actual noise ϵ added during the forward process:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DDPM}}(z, x) = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon, t} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t, z)\|^2], \quad (3)$$

where the denoising network ϵ_θ predicts the noise by x_t , t , and the conditional information z .

3.3. Diffusion Loss for Autoregressive Models


To implement autoregressive image generation, Li et al. (2024) introduced the diffusion loss for autoregressive models, where the conditional vector z^i is generated by the autoregressive network $f(\cdot)$ operating on previous elements: $z^i = f(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1})$. In this way, the diffusion loss in Eqn. (3) can be applied to autoregressive models:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DDPM-AR}}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x^i, \epsilon, t} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(x_t^i, t, f(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}))\|^2], \quad (4)$$


where the diffusion parameters θ and the parameters of the autoregressive model $f(\cdot)$ are trained together.

4. TransDiffSBDD

This section details the TransDiffSBDD framework for structure-based drug design (SBDD), encompassing: (1)



(a) Hybrid-modal sequence for protein-ligand complexes.



(b) Integrated model of autoregressive transformer and diffusion.

Figure 1: Overview of the TransDiffSBDD framework. (a) This figure illustrates the hybrid-modal sequence for a protein-ligand complex, where discrete tokens are marked in yellow, continuous 3D coordinates are marked in blue, and the connections indicate the correspondence between atoms and their coordinates. The sequence consists of alternating atomic and coordinate information for the protein structure, followed by the ligand’s discrete graph information represented as SMILES and its 3D coordinate information. (b) This diagram depicts the integrated model of autoregressive transformer and diffusion, where components dedicated to discrete tokens are marked in yellow, components specialized for continuous 3D coordinates are marked in blue, and shared modeling components are marked in green. Specifically, when the output is 3D coordinates, the output vector from the transformer layers serves as conditional information for the diffusion MLP.

hybrid-modal sequences for protein-ligand complexes, which separate the two modalities of SBDD information and respect the causality between them. (2) an integrated model of autoregressive transformers and diffusion, where the transformer and diffusion components are applied to discrete and continuous modalities, respectively. (3) training techniques employed in TransDiffSBDD, including a joint loss function for two modalities, reinforcement learning for specific protein targets, and preprocessing and augmentation of training data.

4.1. Hybrid-modal Sequences for Protein-ligand Complexes

To separately handle the discrete and continuous modalities in SBDD, we design a hybrid-modal sequence format to represent protein-ligand complexes, consisting of discrete tokens and 3D coordinates (represented as 3-dimensional numerical vectors), as illustrated in Figure 1(a). The sequence includes the structural information of both the protein pocket

and the ligand. The ligand’s atomic coordinates follow the discrete graph information encoded as a SMILES string, preserving the causality between the molecular information of the two modalities in the SBDD task.

Specifically, the protein pocket information is directly extracted from PDB-formatted data, with each atom represented by its discrete token followed by its 3D coordinates. Hydrogen atoms in the protein pocket are removed, while other atoms are denoted by their types, such as ‘N,’ ‘C,’ ‘O,’ and ‘S.’ The alpha carbon in each amino acid is specially labeled as ‘CA,’ and each amino acid starts with the [‘N,’ ‘CA,’ ‘C,’ ‘O’] sequence, consistent with the PDB format.

For the ligand, the discrete graph information includes atom types and chemical bonds, which are represented using SMILES, a widely adopted format for molecular generation. The SMILES strings are tokenized at the atomic level (Schwaller et al., 2019), with each token corresponding to an atom, a number, or a symbol. The ligand’s 3D coordinate sequence aligns sequentially with the atomic tokens

in the SMILES string, while numbers and symbols lack corresponding coordinates. The beginning and end of the SMILES sequence are denoted by 'BOS' and 'EOS,' while the coordinate sequence is marked by 'BOC' and 'EOC.'

Using a causal autoregressive model to generate these hybrid-modal sequences provides an intuitive solution for SBDD, where the protein pocket subsequence serves as input, and the ligand SMILES and 3D coordinates are autoregressively sampled during inference, ensuring the causality between the two modalities.

4.2. Integrated Model of Autoregressive Transformer and Diffusion

We have formulated the SBDD task as a sequence generation problem, where causal autoregressive transformer models, such as GPT, are well-suited for such scenarios. However, original GPT architectures are limited to discrete token modeling and cannot directly sample from continuous distributions. This challenge also arises in general multi-modal generation tasks, which aim to unify the processing of discrete data (e.g., text) and continuous data (e.g., images, audio, and video).

A promising recent solution for multi-modal generation involves tokenizing continuous data (e.g., splitting images into patches) and constructing sequences with discrete data (Zhou et al., 2024a; Sun et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2024). An autoregressive transformer serves as the backbone for embedding the sequence data, while a diffusion model acts as the output head to sample continuous distributions. We observe that the hybrid-modal sequence designed for SBDD aligns well with this architecture.

Consequently, we develop an integrated model of autoregressive transformers and diffusion, inspired by advancements in multi-modal generation, as illustrated in Figure 1(b). Specifically, we adopt a GPT-like (Radford et al., 2019) backbone comprising embedding layers, causal transformer layers, and output layers. The embedding layers include a token embedding block for discrete tokens and a linear embedding layer for mapping 3D coordinates to the same dimensional space as token embeddings, followed by a positional embedding block. The output layers include an MLP token head, similar to original GPT models, and a denoising diffusion network implemented with an MLP to output 3D coordinates. The outputs of the transformer layers serve as conditioning inputs for the diffusion model.

The diffusion model conducts a denoising process that generates a ligand’s 3D coordinates from noise, conditioned on the structural information of the protein pocket and the ligand’s discrete graph. During inference, only the ligand’s atomic coordinates (typically fewer than 30) need to be generated. Compared to image patches, the lower dimen-

sionality of 3D coordinates reduces the computational complexity of the MLP network and the number of denoising steps, making inference computationally lightweight. Additionally, during inference, the structural information of the protein pocket is used as input. The output layer is first repeatedly called as the token head to generate discrete tokens until the 'EOS' token is generated, marking the end of the SMILES sequence. The next token is then forced to be 'BOC,' after which the diffusion model is invoked to generate the 3D coordinates of the ligand atoms. The diffusion process is called as many times as there are atoms in the SMILES sequence. This design minimizes the computational complexity during the inference phase.

Notably, the diffusion model introduces stochasticity through noise, which facilitates the modeling of atomic coordinate distributions. Since atomic spatial positions inherently follow an equilibrium distribution (e.g., multiple binding modes in protein-ligand docking), this stochasticity is advantageous. Although current datasets are insufficient for training, our approach provides a potential solution for modeling molecular equilibrium distributions, which is a significant future direction (Zheng et al., 2024b).

4.3. Training Techniques

Joint loss of two modalities In supervised training on protein-ligand complexes, the loss is calculated for the ligand part of each hybrid-modal sequence, encompassing discrete SMILES tokens and continuous 3D coordinates. These are computed by the token head and diffusion components, respectively. Inspired by the design in Zhou et al. (2024a), we compute the cross-entropy (CE) loss for discrete tokens and the diffusion loss for 3D coordinates. The weighted sum of these losses forms the objective minimized during training:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{TransDiffSBDD}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{DDPM-AR}}, \quad (5)$$

where λ is a balancing coefficient. Particularly, the diffusion loss for autoregressive transformer optimizes both the transformer backbone network and the MLP noise estimator.

Reinforcement learning Reinforcement learning (RL) is commonly used in autoregressive molecular generation to optimize for specific property objectives and mitigate data scarcity for specific targets (Olivecrona et al., 2017; Ghugare et al., 2023). After pretraining the transformer backbone, we treat it as an RL agent while keeping the diffusion MLP parameters fixed. A specified molecular property evaluator $R(\cdot)$ serves as the RL reward function. During each RL step, the integrated model samples a set of 3D compounds, which are used to compute the regularized maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) loss to update the RL agent:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{RL}}(x; \Theta) = (\log p_{\Theta_0}(x) + \mu \cdot R(x) - \log p_{\Theta}(x))^2, \quad (6)$$

Table 1: Experimental results of TransDiffSBDD and other baselines on CrossDocked2020. Some results are from those reported in DecomDiff, DecomOpt, and MolCRAFT. (↑) / (↓) indicates that a higher / lower value is better. The 1st and 2nd best results in each column are **bolded** and underlined, respectively.

Methods	Vina Score (↓)	Vina Dock (↓)	QED (↑)	SA (↑)	Diversity (↑)	Success Rate (↑)
Reference Set	-6.36	-7.45	0.48	0.73	-	25.0%
Reinvent + Vina	-	-9.18	0.49	0.72	0.83	<u>76.7%</u>
RGA + Vina	-	-8.01	0.57	0.71	0.41	46.2%
AR	-5.75	-6.75	0.51	0.63	0.70	7.1%
liGAN	-	-6.33	0.39	0.59	0.66	3.9%
GraphBP	-	-4.80	0.43	0.49	0.79	0.1%
Pocket2Mol	-5.14	-7.15	<u>0.56</u>	<u>0.74</u>	0.69	24.4%
TargetDiff	-5.47	-7.80	0.48	0.58	0.72	10.5%
FLAG	-	-5.63	0.49	0.70	0.70	14.1%
DecompDiff	-5.67	-8.39	0.45	0.61	0.68	24.5%
DecompOpt	-5.87	-8.98	0.48	0.65	0.60	52.5%
MolCRAFT	-6.61	<u>-9.25</u>	0.46	0.62	0.61	36.1%
TransDiffSBDD	<u>-6.02</u>	-9.37	0.48	0.75	<u>0.81</u>	83.9%

where x is a ligand sampled by the RL agent, Θ refers to the parameters of the agent, Θ_0 is their original values obtained by supervised training, and μ is a weighting coefficient. This loss function has proven simple yet effective for autoregressive molecular generation (Svensson et al., 2023). Iterative RL optimization of the agent model can improve the expected score of the generated ligands on the specified properties, such as the binding affinity against a certain protein target.

Data preprocessing and augmentation In preparing protein-ligand complex data for training, we remove samples containing rare elements like metals in ligands and apply ligand SMILES randomization (Arús-Pous et al., 2019), a common data augmentation technique for molecular data. The order of atomic coordinates in the hybrid-modal sequence changes with the SMILES string’s atom order. Moreover, we translate and rotate the 3D coordinates of protein pockets and ligands, normalizing the ligand’s center of mass at the origin and applying random 3D rotations to reduce overfitting in scenarios with limited 3D data:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_1, \dots, x'_n \\ y'_1, \dots, y'_n \\ z'_1, \dots, z'_n \end{bmatrix} = R^3 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_c, \dots, x_n - x_c \\ y_1 - x_c, \dots, y_n - x_c \\ z_1 - x_c, \dots, z_n - x_c \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where (x_i, y_i, z_i) is the original 3D coordinates of the i -th atom in a protein-ligand complex, (x_c, y_c, z_c) represents the position of the ligand’s center of mass, R^3 is a random 3D rotation matrix shaped 3×3 , and (x'_i, y'_i, z'_i) refers to the coordinates for model training.

5. Experiments

5.1. Experimental Setup

Dataset We conduct experiments on the widely used CrossDocked2020 dataset (Francoeur et al., 2020), a commonly recognized benchmark for structure-based drug design. Following previous works (Peng et al., 2022; Guan et al., 2023b), we adopt the same train-test split, with the training set containing 100,000 protein pocket-ligand complexes and the test set consisting of 100 protein pockets. The test set is filtered to include pockets with low sequence similarity to those in the training set. Additionally, the dataset of 3D small molecules collected by Uni-Mol (Zhou et al., 2023) is utilized for large-scale pretraining, which contains around 209M samples.

Baselines Our TransDiffSBDD model is compared against several recent baselines for SBDD, including AR (Luo et al., 2021), liGAN (Ragoza et al., 2022), GraphBP (Liu et al., 2022), Pocket2Mol (Peng et al., 2022), TargetDiff (Guan et al., 2023a), FLAG (Zhang et al., 2023b), DecomDiff (Guan et al., 2023b), DecomOpt (Zhou et al., 2024b), and MolCRAFT (Qu et al., 2024). Additionally, since recent studies have demonstrated the competitiveness of 1D/2D molecular generation methods combined with docking software, we include two such methods, Reinvent (Olivecrona et al., 2017; Loeffler et al., 2024) and RGA (Fu et al., 2022), as baselines. These represent state-of-the-art approaches for 1D and 2D molecular generation, respectively, and are both RL-based methods. For reference, we also include the ligands corresponding to the 100 pockets in the CrossDocked2020 test set.




Figure 2: Case study on protein target 1R1H: structures and binding poses of ligands.




Figure 3: Case study on protein target 4PXZ: structures and binding poses of ligands.

Evaluation For evaluation, each method generates 100 candidate molecules for each protein pocket. Six metrics were used to comprehensively evaluate the practical value of these candidates in real-world drug discovery:

- **Vina Score:** The binding affinity of the generated 3D molecules with the protein pockets, assessed using AutoDock Vina (Trott & Olson, 2010) (units: kcal/mol).
- **Vina Dock:** The binding affinity of the generated molecules after re-docking with the protein pockets via AutoDock Vina (units: kcal/mol).
- **QED** (Quantitative Estimate of Drug-likeness) (Bickerton et al., 2012): A desirability-based measure of drug-likeness, ranging from [0, 1].
- **SA** (Synthetic Accessibility) (Ertl & Schuffenhauer, 2009): An estimate of the structural synthetic accessibility. The original score ranges from [1, 10] and is

negatively linearly mapped to [0, 1], following common practice (Long et al., 2022).

- **Diversity** (Internal Diversity) (Benhenda, 2018): The average of pairwise Tanimoto distances between extended-connectivity fingerprints (Rogers & Hahn, 2010) of a set of molecules, ranging from [0, 1].
- **Success Rate:** The percentage of generated molecules meeting the criteria: Vina Dock < -8.18 kcal/mol, QED > 0.25, and SA > 0.59, as introduced by Long et al. (2022), which simulates the practical demand of multi-property optimization in drug discovery.

For each metric, the average value of the generated molecules across 100 protein pockets is reported.

5.2. Results

As shown in Table 1, our TransDiffSBDD outperforms all baselines in Vina Dock, SA, and Success Rate, while also

Table 2: Case studies on targets 1R1H and 4PXZ: property scores of the ligands shown in Figures 2 and 3. Ref. and Cand. are short for Reference and Candidate, respectively.

Target	Ligand	Vina Dock (\downarrow)	QED (\uparrow)	SA (\uparrow)
1R1H	Ref.	-7.6	0.46	0.73
	Cand. 1	-10.5	0.34	0.85
	Cand. 2	-10.4	0.47	0.89
	Cand. 3	-10.3	0.65	0.68
	Cand. 4	-10.2	0.70	0.84
4PXZ	Ref.	-6.6	0.16	0.64
	Cand. 1	-10.9	0.31	0.82
	Cand. 2	-10.5	0.55	0.87
	Cand. 3	-10.2	0.64	0.81
	Cand. 4	-9.9	0.81	0.85

achieving the second-best performance in Vina Score and Diversity, and maintaining competitive results in QED. These results indicate that TransDiffSBDD provides significant advantages over existing methods for structure-based drug design. In particular, its superior Success Rate highlights its potential for real-world drug discovery scenarios where multi-objective optimization is crucial.

Additionally, we observe that MolCRAFT performs well in Vina Score and Vina Dock, both of which directly measure binding affinity, while Reinvent+Vina achieves higher scores in Diversity and Success Rate, metrics more aligned with practical drug discovery needs. MolCRAFT formulates the SBDD task entirely in continuous parameter space, representing discrete atom types using a categorical distribution. This approach facilitates continuous 3D modeling but compromises the discrete nature of molecules and neglects the causal relationship between discrete and continuous information. On the other hand, Reinvent+Vina, while lacking explicit 3D molecular modeling, effectively captures discrete molecular structures in an autoregressive manner and benefits from pretraining on large-scale small molecule datasets and flexible RL objectives. Notably, TransDiffSBDD combines the strengths of both approaches, consequently achieving comprehensive superiority over existing methods. These results underscore the effectiveness of our causal-aware multi-modal modeling approach for structure-based drug design.

5.3. Case Studies

Beyond large-scale benchmark experiments, we conduct case studies on inhibitor design for two protein targets from the CrossDocked2020 test set: (1) Target **1R1H** (Oefner et al., 2004): Neutral endopeptidase (NEP), which plays a key physiological role in modulating human nociceptive and pressor responses. (2) Target **4PXZ** (Zhang et al., 2014): P2Y12 receptor (P2Y12R), a prominent clinical drug target for the inhibition of platelet aggregation. For each target, we present the reference ligand from CrossDocked2020 and

four candidate ligands generated by TransDiffSBDD.

As shown in Figures 2, 3 and Table 2, neither of the reference ligands meets the "success" criteria. In contrast, all candidate molecules designed by TransDiffSBDD satisfy the criteria and exhibit much better Vina Dock scores compared to the reference ligands. Furthermore, for each target, TransDiffSBDD generates ligands with diverse structures and binding mechanisms, further demonstrating the practical potential of our approach in drug discovery.

6. Conclusion and Discussion

In this work, we identify two key limitations of existing methods for structure-based drug design: (1) the inability to model the modality differences between a ligand’s discrete graph information and continuous 3D information, and (2) the failure to account for the causality between these two modalities. To address these issues, we propose TransDiffSBDD, a causality-aware multi-modal framework for SBDD, which integrates an autoregressive transformer and a diffusion model to effectively handle modality differences. Furthermore, we design hybrid-modal sequences that explicitly preserve the causality between molecular representations, enabling a more principled formulation of the SBDD task. Experimental results demonstrate the robustness and practical competitiveness of our approach across multiple evaluation metrics.

Nevertheless, there is still room for improvement. First, our model incorporates the stochasticity of atomic coordinates from the diffusion process, which allows for modeling the equilibrium distribution of molecular 3D structures. However, due to data scarcity, we are currently unable to fully realize this potential. Second, protein-ligand binding is inherently a dynamic temporal process, but like other existing methods, our approach is limited by the lack of time-resolved binding datasets to model these dynamics effectively. Finally, our model lacks direct interpretability, which may hinder its adoption by biopharmaceutical researchers in practical drug discovery applications. These limitations highlight important directions for future research on SBDD.

Impact Statement

This paper focuses on the application of machine learning in drug discovery, which has the potential to advance pharmaceutical research and contribute to human health. The algorithm itself does not cause any direct social consequences, however, it may serve as a tool for drug development. Therefore, its usage should be regulated to prevent the design and production of toxic, harmful molecules or other unlawful activities.

References

- Arús-Pous, J., Johansson, S. V., Prykhodko, O., Bjerrum, E. J., Tyrchan, C., Reymond, J.-L., Chen, H., and Engkvist, O. Randomized smiles strings improve the quality of molecular generative models. *Journal of cheminformatics*, 11(1):1–13, 2019.
- Bagal, V., Aggarwal, R., Vinod, P., and Priyakumar, U. D. Molgpt: molecular generation using a transformer-decoder model. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 62(9):2064–2076, 2021.
- Benhenda, M. Can ai reproduce observed chemical diversity? *bioRxiv*, pp. 292177, 2018.
- Bi, K., Xie, L., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Gu, X., and Tian, Q. Accurate medium-range global weather forecasting with 3d neural networks. *Nature*, 619(7970):533–538, 2023.
- Bickerton, G. R., Paolini, G. V., Besnard, J., Muresan, S., and Hopkins, A. L. Quantifying the chemical beauty of drugs. *Nature chemistry*, 4(2):90–98, 2012.
- Blattmann, A., Dockhorn, T., Kulal, S., Mendeleevitch, D., Kilian, M., Lorenz, D., Levi, Y., English, Z., Voleti, V., Letts, A., et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023.
- Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33: 1877–1901, 2020.
- Corso, G., Stärk, H., Jing, B., Barzilay, R., and Jaakkola, T. Diffdock: Diffusion steps, twists, and turns for molecular docking. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2023.
- Dara, S., Dhamecherla, S., Jadav, S. S., Babu, C. M., and Ahsan, M. J. Machine learning in drug discovery: a review. *Artificial intelligence review*, 55(3):1947–1999, 2022.
- Ertl, P. and Schuffenhauer, A. Estimation of synthetic accessibility score of drug-like molecules based on molecular complexity and fragment contributions. *Journal of cheminformatics*, 1(1):1–11, 2009.
- Feng, W., Wang, L., Lin, Z., Zhu, Y., Wang, H., Dong, J., Bai, R., Wang, H., Zhou, J., Peng, W., et al. Generation of 3d molecules in pockets via a language model. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 6(1):62–73, 2024.
- Flam-Shepherd, D. and Aspuru-Guzik, A. Language models can generate molecules, materials, and protein binding sites directly in three dimensions as xyz, cif, and pdb files. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.05708*, 2023.
- Francoeur, P. G., Masuda, T., Sunseri, J., Jia, A., Iovanisci, R. B., Snyder, I., and Koes, D. R. Three-dimensional convolutional neural networks and a cross-docked data set for structure-based drug design. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 60(9):4200–4215, 2020.
- Fu, T., Gao, W., Coley, C., and Sun, J. Reinforced genetic algorithm for structure-based drug design. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:12325–12338, 2022.
- Ghugare, R., Miret, S., Hugessen, A., Phielipp, M., and Berseth, G. Searching for high-value molecules using reinforcement learning and transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02902*, 2023.
- Grinter, S. Z. and Zou, X. Challenges, applications, and recent advances of protein-ligand docking in structure-based drug design. *Molecules*, 19(7):10150–10176, 2014.
- Gu, S., Xu, M., Powers, A., Nie, W., Geffner, T., Kreis, K., Leskovec, J., Vahdat, A., and Ermon, S. Aligning target-aware molecule diffusion models with exact energy optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.01648*, 2024.
- Guan, J., Qian, W. W., Peng, X., Su, Y., Peng, J., and Ma, J. 3d equivariant diffusion for target-aware molecule generation and affinity prediction. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023a.
- Guan, J., Zhou, X., Yang, Y., Bao, Y., Peng, J., Ma, J., Liu, Q., Wang, L., and Gu, Q. Decompdiff: diffusion models with decomposed priors for structure-based drug design. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2023b.
- Ho, J., Jain, A., and Abbeel, P. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- Hu, X., Liu, G., Chen, C., Zhao, Y., Zhang, H., and Liu, X. 3dmolformer: A dual-channel framework for structure-based drug discovery. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2025.
- Huang, Z., Yang, L., Zhou, X., Qin, C., Yu, Y., Zheng, X., Zhou, Z., Zhang, W., Wang, Y., and Yang, W. Interaction-based retrieval-augmented diffusion models for protein-specific 3d molecule generation. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024a.
- Huang, Z., Yang, L., Zhou, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, W., Zheng, X., Chen, J., Wang, Y., Bin, C., and Yang, W. Protein-ligand interaction prior for binding-aware 3d molecule diffusion models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024b.

- Isert, C., Atz, K., and Schneider, G. Structure-based drug design with geometric deep learning. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 79:102548, 2023.
- Jiang, Y., Zhang, G., You, J., Zhang, H., Yao, R., Xie, H., Zhang, L., Xia, Z., Dai, M., Wu, Y., et al. Pocketflow is a data-and-knowledge-driven structure-based molecular generative model. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 6(3): 326–337, 2024.
- Jin, W., Barzilay, R., and Jaakkola, T. Multi-objective molecule generation using interpretable substructures. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 4849–4859. PMLR, 2020.
- Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with alphafold. *nature*, 596(7873):583–589, 2021.
- Li, T., Tian, Y., Li, H., Deng, M., and He, K. Autoregressive image generation without vector quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11838*, 2024.
- Lin, H., Huang, Y., Zhang, O., Liu, Y., Wu, L., Li, S., Chen, Z., and Li, S. Z. Functional-group-based diffusion for pocket-specific molecule generation and elaboration. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Liu, M., Luo, Y., Uchino, K., Maruhashi, K., and Ji, S. Generating 3d molecules for target protein binding. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2022.
- Loeffler, H. H., He, J., Tibo, A., Janet, J. P., Voronov, A., Mervin, L. H., and Engkvist, O. Reinvent 4: Modern ai-driven generative molecule design. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 16(1):20, 2024.
- Long, S., Zhou, Y., Dai, X., and Zhou, H. Zero-shot 3d drug design by sketching and generating. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:23894–23907, 2022.
- Luo, S., Guan, J., Ma, J., and Peng, J. A 3d generative model for structure-based drug design. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:6229–6239, 2021.
- Mak, K.-K., Wong, Y.-H., and Pichika, M. R. Artificial intelligence in drug discovery and development. *Drug discovery and evaluation: safety and pharmacokinetic assays*, pp. 1461–1498, 2024.
- Oefner, C., Roques, B. P., Fournie-Zaluski, M.-C., and Dale, G. E. Structural analysis of neprilysin with various specific and potent inhibitors. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 60(2):392–396, 2004.
- Olivecrona, M., Blaschke, T., Engkvist, O., and Chen, H. Molecular de-novo design through deep reinforcement learning. *Journal of cheminformatics*, 9(1):1–14, 2017.
- Ouyang, L., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Almeida, D., Wainwright, C., Mishkin, P., Zhang, C., Agarwal, S., Slama, K., Ray, A., et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- Peng, X., Luo, S., Guan, J., Xie, Q., Peng, J., and Ma, J. Pocket2mol: Efficient molecular sampling based on 3d protein pockets. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2022.
- Pinheiro, P. O., Jamasb, A., Mahmood, O., Sresht, V., and Saremi, S. Structure-based drug design by denoising voxel grids. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03961*, 2024.
- Qu, Y., Qiu, K., Song, Y., Gong, J., Han, J., Zheng, M., Zhou, H., and Ma, W.-Y. Molcraft: Structure-based drug design in continuous parameter space. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., Sutskever, I., et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019.
- Ragoza, M., Masuda, T., and Koes, D. R. Generating 3d molecules conditional on receptor binding sites with deep generative models. *Chemical science*, 13(9):2701–2713, 2022.
- Rogers, D. and Hahn, M. Extended-connectivity fingerprints. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 50(5):742–754, 2010.
- Rombach, R., Blattmann, A., Lorenz, D., Esser, P., and Ommer, B. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Schneuing, A., Harris, C., Du, Y., Didi, K., Jamasb, A., Igashov, I., Du, W., Gomes, C., Blundell, T. L., Lio, P., et al. Structure-based drug design with equivariant diffusion models. *Nature Computational Science*, 4(12): 899–909, 2024.
- Schwaller, P., Laino, T., Gaudin, T., Bolgar, P., Hunter, C. A., Bekas, C., and Lee, A. A. Molecular transformer: a model for uncertainty-calibrated chemical reaction prediction. *ACS central science*, 5(9):1572–1583, 2019.
- Shen, Y., Borowski, J. E., Hardy, M. A., Sarpong, R., Doyle, A. G., and Cernak, T. Automation and computer-assisted planning for chemical synthesis. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 1(1):1–23, 2021.

- Shi, W., Han, X., Zhou, C., Liang, W., Lin, X. V., Zettlemoyer, L., and Yu, L. Llamafusion: Adapting pretrained language models for multimodal generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15188*, 2024.
- Sun, Y., Bao, H., Wang, W., Peng, Z., Dong, L., Huang, S., Wang, J., and Wei, F. Multimodal latent language modeling with next-token diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.08635*, 2024.
- Svensson, H. G., Tyrchan, C., Engkvist, O., and Chehreghani, M. H. Utilizing reinforcement learning for de novo drug design. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17615*, 2023.
- Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.-A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- Trott, O. and Olson, A. J. Autodock vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *Journal of computational chemistry*, 31(2):455–461, 2010.
- Van Montfort, R. L. and Workman, P. Structure-based drug design: aiming for a perfect fit. *Essays in biochemistry*, 61(5):431–437, 2017.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L. u., and Polosukhin, I. Attention is all you need. In Guyon, I., Luxburg, U. V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., and Garnett, R. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- Wang, L., Chen, X., Zhao, J., and He, K. Scaling proprioceptive-visual learning with heterogeneous pretrained transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.20537*, 2024.
- Weininger, D. Smiles, a chemical language and information system. 1. introduction to methodology and encoding rules. *Journal of chemical information and computer sciences*, 28(1):31–36, 1988.
- Wieder, O., Kohlbacher, S., Kuenemann, M., Garon, A., Ducrot, P., Seidel, T., and Langer, T. A compact review of molecular property prediction with graph neural networks. *Drug Discovery Today: Technologies*, 37:1–12, 2020.
- Yin, S., Fu, C., Zhao, S., Li, K., Sun, X., Xu, T., and Chen, E. A survey on multimodal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13549*, 2023.
- Zhang, G., Li, Y., Luo, R., Hu, P., Zhao, Z., Li, L., Liu, G., Wang, Z., Bi, R., Gao, K., et al. Unigenx: Unified generation of sequence and structure with autoregressive diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.06687*, 2025.
- Zhang, J., Zhang, K., Gao, Z.-G., Paoletta, S., Zhang, D., Han, G. W., Li, T., Ma, L., Zhang, W., Müller, C. E., et al. Agonist-bound structure of the human p2y12 receptor. *Nature*, 509(7498):119–122, 2014.
- Zhang, O., Zhang, J., Jin, J., Zhang, X., Hu, R., Shen, C., Cao, H., Du, H., Kang, Y., Deng, Y., et al. Resgen is a pocket-aware 3d molecular generation model based on parallel multiscale modelling. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(9):1020–1030, 2023a.
- Zhang, Z. and Liu, Q. Learning subpocket prototypes for generalizable structure-based drug design. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 41382–41398. PMLR, 2023.
- Zhang, Z., Min, Y., Zheng, S., and Liu, Q. Molecule generation for target protein binding with structural motifs. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023b.
- Zhang, Z., Yan, J., Liu, Q., Chen, E., and Zitnik, M. A systematic survey in geometric deep learning for structure-based drug design. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11768*, 2023c.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, M., and Liu, Q. Flexsbdd: Structure-based drug design with flexible protein modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.19645*, 2024.
- Zheng, K., Lu, Y., Zhang, Z., Wan, Z., Ma, Y., Zitnik, M., and Fu, T. Structure-based drug design benchmark: Do 3d methods really dominate? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03403*, 2024a.
- Zheng, S., He, J., Liu, C., Shi, Y., Lu, Z., Feng, W., Ju, F., Wang, J., Zhu, J., Min, Y., et al. Predicting equilibrium distributions for molecular systems with deep learning. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pp. 1–10, 2024b.
- Zholus, A., Kuznetsov, M., Schutski, R., Shayakhmetov, R., Polykovskiy, D., Chandar, S., and Zhavoronkov, A. Bindgpt: A scalable framework for 3d molecular design via language modeling and reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03686*, 2024.
- Zhou, C., Yu, L., Babu, A., Tirumala, K., Yasunaga, M., Shamis, L., Kahn, J., Ma, X., Zettlemoyer, L., and Levy, O. Transfusion: Predict the next token and diffuse images with one multi-modal model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.11039*, 2024a.
- Zhou, G., Gao, Z., Ding, Q., Zheng, H., Xu, H., Wei, Z., Zhang, L., and Ke, G. Uni-mol: A universal 3d molecular representation learning framework. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.

Zhou, X., Cheng, X., Yang, Y., Bao, Y., Wang, L., and Gu, Q. Decompt: Controllable and decomposed diffusion models for structure-based molecular optimization. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024b.