

---

# PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR FRACTIONAL AUTOREGRESSIVE PROCESS WITH PERIODIC STRUCTURE

---

A PREPRINT

**Cai Chunhao**  
School of mathematics(Zhuhai)  
Sun Yat-sen University  
Zhuhai, People's Republic of China  
caichh9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

**Shang Yiwu**  
School of mathematical sciences  
Nankai University  
Tianjin, People's Republic of China  
shangyiwu@mail.nankai.edu.cn

March 27, 2025

## ABSTRACT

This paper introduces a new kind of periodic fractional autoregressive process (PFAR) driven by fractional Gaussian noise (fGn). The new model is a specialized varying coefficient fractional autoregressive model, where the coefficients adhere to a periodic structure. In this working, Generalized least squares estimation and GPH method are employed to construct an initial estimator to estimate the joint estimation of the parameters of these models. Then one-step procedure is used to obtain a more asymptotically-efficient estimator. The paper proves that both estimators are consistent and asymptotically normal, and their performance is demonstrated through a simulation study using finite-size samples via Monte Carlo simulations. Simulation studies suggests that, while both estimation methods can accurately estimate the model, the one-step estimator outperforms the initial estimator.

## Keywords

Periodic autoregressive process; fractional Gaussian noise; one-step procedure

## 1 Introduction

In many fields of time series analysis, such as finance, meteorology, and engineering, the phenomena of long memory and periodicity have received extensive attention. Long memory implies that there is still a strong correlation in time series data over a relatively long time interval, while periodicity indicates that the data will exhibit similar patterns repeatedly at fixed time intervals. These two characteristics are of great significance for understanding the evolution of time series.

The time series involved in climatology [Hannan, 1955], hydrology [Fernandez and Salas, 1986] and economics [Franses and Paap, 2004] often exhibit periodic characteristics. Unlike other varying coefficients time series, the coefficients of periodic time series satisfy

$$\phi(u) = \phi(u + nT), n \in \mathbb{N}, u = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

where  $T$  is the period. Here, we consider p-order PFAR model which has the recurrence

$$X_{nT+u} = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(nT+u)X_{nT+u-i} + \epsilon_{nT+u}^H, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, T, \quad (1)$$

where  $\epsilon_{nT+u}^H$  is fractional Gaussian noise, which explains the nonperiodic fluctuations. Fractional Gaussian noise exhibits long memory when  $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$ . The long memory phenomenon indicates strong autocorrelation or dependence in time series data. We typically say that  $X_t$  has long memory if its covariance satisfies

$$\gamma_j \sim Qj^{2H-2}, \quad j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

the spectral density is defined by the scheme

$$f(\lambda) \sim V\lambda^{1-2H}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (3)$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$ ,  $Q$  and  $V$  are constants greater than 0.

The discourse regarding the parameter estimation of the autoregressive (PAR) model predominantly centers around moment estimation, least squares estimation ([Jones and Brelsford, 1967]), and maximum likelihood estimation ([Vecchia, 1985]). It is a widely recognized fact that the shortcoming inherent in these methodologies is that their respective estimated values exhibit a high degree of sensitivity to outliers and minor fluctuations in the distribution pattern.

Previous studies by [Brouste et al., 2014] and [Soltane, 2024] have laid a foundation for the estimation of the parameters  $\phi_i(u)$  in FAR models. In this paper, we use the modified Generalized Least Squares Estimation (GLSE) proposed by [Esstafa, 2019] and [Hariz et al., 2024] to obtain a consistent estimator of  $\phi_i(u)$ . Additionally, we will prove that this estimator is asymptotically normal.

Time series models with long memory show long range dependencies between distant observations, posing challenges to traditional statistical analysis and forecasting. In the PFAR model, long memory comes from fractional Gaussian noise, where the parameter  $H$  determines this characteristic. Thus, estimating  $H$  is crucial. The first method for estimating  $H$  was the rescaled range analysis by [Hurst, 1951], but its lack of a limiting distribution complicates statistical inference. Now, popular estimation techniques are the GPH estimation by [Geweke and Porter-Hudak, 1983] and the local Whittle estimation by [Robinson, 1995].

For the estimation of the Hurst index  $H$ , we will adopt the Geweke Porter-Hudak (GPH) method, which exhibits a smaller bias, for an additive stationary time series derived from the samples. It is worth noting that it would be more straightforward to estimate  $\hat{H}_n(u)$  by  $(X_{nT+u})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . However, this approach is not fundamentally different from the method in [Hariz et al., 2024] and each  $\hat{H}_n(u)$  cannot contain information about all the data. Meanwhile, considering that sequence  $(X_{nT+u})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, u=1, \dots, T}$  represents data of the same nature, we assume that the long memory parameter is the same for each season and is independent of the season  $u$ , and the differences between different seasons are only determined by the seasonal parameters. To obtain a unique  $\hat{H}_n$ , we sum up the data in each cycle to obtain a new sequence  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then we prove the stationarity of  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , calculate its spectral density, and finally use the GPH method to get  $\hat{H}_n(u)$ . This improvement enables us to address the issue of parameter estimation for  $H$  in nonstationary time series with seasonality.

After obtaining the initial estimators of  $\phi_i(u)$  and  $H$ , we modify our approach using a faster and asymptotically efficient method known as the one-step estimator. This method, first proposed by [Le Cam, 1956], has been widely applied in ergodic Markov chains [Kutoyants and Motrunich, 2016], diffusion processes [Gloter and Yoshida, 2021], and fractional autoregressive processes [Hariz et al., 2024].

This paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 introduces some notations and assumptions. Section 3 introduces the initial estimator of the Hurst index,  $\phi(1)$ ,  $\phi(2)$ . Section 4 present the main results and Section 5 discusses the one-step estimator and its asymptotic properties. Section 6 provides numerical illustrations to demonstrate the performance of both the initial and one-step estimators.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Statement of the problems, notations and assumptions

From the PFAR model representation in (1), we can consider the first-order model without loss of generality in this paper,  $X_{nT+u}$  is said to be a PFAR(1) model if it admits the representation

$$X_{nT+u} = \phi(nT+u)X_{nT+u-1} + \epsilon_{nT+u}^H, \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots T, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\phi(u) = \phi(u+nT)$ ,  $T$  represents the season length and  $u$  denotes the  $u$ -th season of the  $n$ -th cycle.  $\epsilon_{nT+u}^H = B_{nT+u+1}^H - B_{nT+u}^H$  is a stationary fractional Gaussian noise of hurst index  $H$ ,  $H \in (0, 1)$ . The autocovariance of sequence  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  takes the form of

$$\rho_{\epsilon^H}(k) = \frac{1}{2}(|k+1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H} + |k-1|^{2H}), \quad (5)$$

The spectral density of  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by

$$f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda) = C_H(1 - \cos(\lambda)) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|\lambda + 2j\pi|^{2H+1}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $C_H = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Gamma(2H+1)\sin(\pi H)$  and  $\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is Gamma function,

Here are some assumptions and notations bellow.

$A_0$ : Denote  $\Theta_u^{l*}$  as a compact set with the following expression,

$$\Theta_u^{l*} = \{\phi(u) \in R; \text{ the roots of } 1 - \phi(v)z = 0 \text{ have modulus } \geq 1 + l\}$$

We define the set  $\Theta_u^l$  as the Cartesian product  $\Theta_u^{l*} \times [d_1, d_2]$ , where  $l$  is a positive constant and  $[d_1, d_2] \in (0, 1)$ .

$A_1$ :  $\phi(u) \in (-1, 1)$  and  $H \in (0, 1)$ .

**Notation:** By  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}$ , respectively, we denote convergence in law and convergence in probability. Let  $\underline{\phi} = (\phi(1), \phi(2), \dots, \phi(T))$ . Denote the parameters  $\theta(u) = (\phi(u), H)$ , where  $\theta(u) \in \Theta_u^l$ , and  $\hat{\Theta}_u^l$  represents the interior of  $\Theta_u^l$ .

Define the parameter space  $\Theta^l = \Theta_1^{l*} \times \Theta_2^{l*} \times \dots \times \Theta_T^{l*} \times [d_1, d_2]$ , which encompasses all the required parameters. Given samples of size  $n$ , we obtain the estimators  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\phi}_n(1), \hat{\phi}_n(2), \dots, \hat{\phi}_n(T), \hat{H}_n)$  and  $\hat{\theta}_n(u) = (\hat{\phi}_n(u), \hat{H}_n)$ .

In this article, we will assume, without loss of generality, that  $T=2$ . From equation (4), one can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ X_4 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \phi(2) \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_3 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2^H \\ \epsilon_4^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n}^H \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ X_5 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \phi(1) \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ X_4 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_3^H \\ \epsilon_5^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n+1}^H \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where  $\epsilon_t^H$  is the fractional gaussian noise with hurst index  $H$ . One can emphasize that the random vector  $(\epsilon_2^H, \epsilon_4^H, \dots, \epsilon_{2n}^H)^T$  and  $(\epsilon_3^H, \epsilon_5^H, \dots, \epsilon_{2n+1}^H)^T$  are centered normal random vectors with covariance matrix  $\Omega_{n,H}^{(1)} = [\rho_{\epsilon^H}(2j-2i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = [Cov(\epsilon_{2i}^H, \epsilon_{2j}^H)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $\Omega_{n,H}^{(2)} = [\rho_{\epsilon^H}(2j-2i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = [Cov(\epsilon_{2i+1}^H, \epsilon_{2j+1}^H)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . By the properties of the fGn, we can obtain  $\Omega_{n,H}^{(1)} = \Omega_{n,H}^{(2)}$ . Next, we will not distinguish between these two covariance matrices and will denote them uniformly as  $\Omega_{n,H}$ .

**Remark 1.** Since the definition of  $\gamma_{\epsilon^H}(2j-2i)$ , we know that  $\Omega_{n,H}$  is a symmetric real matrix that can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix. In clearer terms, there exists a  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix  $D_{n,H}$  satisfies  $D_{n,H} = P_{n,H}^T \Omega_{n,H} P_{n,H}$  where  $P_{n,H}$  is an orthogonal matrix. By taking into consideration the positive definition of the matrix  $\Omega_{n,H}$ , we have  $\Omega_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} = P_{n,H}^T D_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} P_{n,H}$ .

Due to the standard form of the generalized least squares estimator, we will consider a straightforward transformation for the sequences  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(X_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in vector form. More specifically, let

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n,H} = \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_3 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{n,H} = \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ X_4 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1^H \\ \epsilon_3^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n-1}^H \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2^H \\ \epsilon_4^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n}^H \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

### 3 Parameter Estimator

#### 3.1 The GPH estimator for the hurst index

Due to the non-stationarity of  $X_n$ , obtaining an estimator for  $H$  using standard semiparametric methods is not feasible. To address this, we can extract stationarity from the data by splitting the time series  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  into seasonal components, resulting in  $T$  stationary subsequences  $\mathbf{X}(u) = (X_u, X_{T+u}, \dots, X_{nT+u})$  and we construct a stationary additive series defined as  $Y_n = \sum_{u=1}^T X_{nT+u}$ .

In this subsection, we will estimate  $H$  using the log-periodogram method, specifically the GPH estimator, applied to the additive series  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The spectral density and stationarity properties of  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(X_{nT+u})_{u \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are outlined in the following three propositions.

Let new series  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an observation sample generated via the equation (4) and choose a suitable integer  $m$  which can decrease the mean square error of estimation, where  $m < n$ . we get the periodogram of  $Y_n$  given by

$$I(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left| \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t \exp(it\lambda) \right|^2, \quad (11)$$

$$\lambda_j = \frac{2\pi j}{n}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad (12)$$

$$a_j = \log(2 \sin \frac{\lambda_j}{2}), \quad \bar{a}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j, \quad S_m = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j - \bar{a}_m)^2. \quad (13)$$

We estimate  $d$  by regressing  $\log I(\lambda_j)$  with respect to  $a_j$ , such that

$$\hat{d}_n = -\frac{1}{2S_m} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j - \bar{a}_m) \log I(\lambda_j), \quad (14)$$

The estimator  $\hat{H}_n$  is defined by

$$\hat{H}_n = \hat{d}_n + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (15)$$

**Remark 2.** *There are several semi-parametric methods for estimating the long memory parameter  $d$  and  $H$ , such as whittle estimation and R/S estimation method proposed by [Robinson, 1995] and [Marinucci and Robinson, 1998]. These models rely on the log-periodogram approach. However, these methods tend to exhibit greater bias compared to the GPH estimator.*

#### 3.2 The GLSE for $\phi(1)$ and $\phi(2)$

We now focus on estimating  $\phi(u)$  given that the parameter  $H$  has been estimated. When the noise in the seasonal autoregressive model is white noise, we can easily obtain the estimator of the parameters of these models using Least Squares Estimation (LSE). However, when the noise is fractional Gaussian noise (fGn), the covariance matrix of fGn is no longer diagonal, making LSE inappropriate. Therefore, we consider using Generalized Least Squares Estimation (GLSE).

To address the effect of seasonal structure on parameter estimation, we apply GLSE to the subsequences  $(X_1, X_3, \dots, X_{2n-1})$  and  $(X_2, X_4, \dots, X_{2n})$ , where  $u = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . This allows us to estimate the parameters  $\phi(1), \phi(2)$  sequentially, assuming the Hurst index is known.

The GLSE of  $\hat{\phi}_n(1)$  and  $\hat{\phi}_n(2)$  are defined by

$$\hat{\phi}_n(2) = \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}}{\|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2}, \quad \hat{\phi}_n(1) = \frac{(\mathcal{B}^2 \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}^T) \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}}{\|\mathcal{B}^2 \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}^T\|^2} \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is lag operator. Moreover, in view of the equations (16), we can deduce that

$$\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2) = \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)}}{\|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2}, \quad \hat{\phi}_n(1) - \phi(1) = \frac{(\mathcal{B}^2 \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}^T) (\mathcal{B}^2 \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})}{\|\mathcal{B}^2 \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}^T\|^2}. \quad (17)$$

### 3.3 Properties of the components of the matrix $\Omega_{n,H}$ and $\Omega_{n,H}^{-1}$

Thanks to the chapter 4 in [Esstafa, 2019]. We know that the elements of  $\Omega_{n,H}^{-1}$  can be expressed as a function of the spectral density of fGn. the spectral representation of  $(\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,k}$  implies that

$$(\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,k} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} e^{i(k-j)2\lambda} d\lambda, \quad (18)$$

As  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , according to the definition of fractional Gaussian noise, we have

$$f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda) \sim \frac{C_H}{2} |\lambda|^{1-2H}. \quad (19)$$

We can categorize the elements of the matrix into two types: diagonal elements and off-diagonal elements.

When  $j = k$ , we have

$$(\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,j} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} d\lambda. \quad (20)$$

One has when  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  that

$$\frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} = \frac{2}{C_H} |\lambda|^{2H-1} + o\left(\frac{2}{C_H} |\lambda|^{2H-1}\right). \quad (21)$$

This implies that for  $l > 0$  there exists  $\delta_l > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (-\delta_l, \delta_l)$ , we have

$$(1-l) \frac{2}{C_H} |\lambda|^{2H-1} \leq \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} \leq (1+l) \frac{2}{C_H} |\lambda|^{2H-1}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, equation (20) and equation (22) have an upper bound when  $\lambda \in (-\delta_l, \delta_l)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,j}| &\leq \frac{1+l}{C_H \pi^2} \int_0^{\delta_l} \lambda^{2H-1} d\lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\delta_l}^{\pi} \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_l^{2H} (1+l)}{2HC_H \pi^2} + \frac{\pi - \delta_l}{2\pi^2} \sup_{\lambda \in (\delta_l, \pi]} \frac{1}{f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)} \\ &\leq K, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $K$  is a constant.

When  $j \neq k$ , according to [Esstafa, 2019], there exists a positive constant  $K$  and  $T$  such that for any  $j, k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$|(\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,k}| \leq K \left| \frac{1}{2(k-j)} \right|^{2H}. \quad (24)$$

According to the equation (5), we have

$$(\Omega_{n,H})_{i,j} = \rho_{\epsilon^H}(2i - 2j). \quad (25)$$

For large  $k$ , the asymptotic behavior of  $\rho_{\epsilon^H}(k)$  is given by

$$\rho_{\epsilon^H}(k) \sim H(2H-1)k^{2H-2} + o(k^{2H-2}) \quad (26)$$

### 3.4 The expression of $\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{n,H}$ as functions of $\mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)}$

Under assumption  $A_0$ , we could write the process  $\{X_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{X_{2n+2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as a linear combination of the infinite fractional Gaussian noises  $\epsilon_n^H$ . More explicitly,  $X_{2n+1}$  and  $X_{2n+2}$  takes the following expression

$$X_{2n+2} = \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2), \quad (27)$$

$$X_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1), \quad (28)$$

From equations (27) and (28), the vectors  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n-1})$  and  $(X_2, X_4, \dots, X_{2n})$  have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_3 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1) \\ \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{3-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{3-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^j \mathcal{B}^{2j} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1^H \\ \epsilon_3^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n-1}^H \end{pmatrix} + \phi(1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^k \mathcal{B}^{2k+1} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1^H \\ \epsilon_3^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n-1}^H \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ X_4 \\ \vdots \\ X_{2n} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) \\ \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{4-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{4-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^j \mathcal{B}^{2j} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2^H \\ \epsilon_4^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n}^H \end{pmatrix} + \phi(2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^k \mathcal{B}^{2k+1} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2^H \\ \epsilon_4^H \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n}^H \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}^i$  is the lag shift that acts on all the fGn vector, i.e.  $\mathcal{B}^i(\epsilon_1^H, \epsilon_2^H, \dots, \epsilon_n^H) = (\epsilon_{1-j}^H, \epsilon_{2-j}^H, \dots, \epsilon_{n-j}^H)$ . In view of equations (29) and (30), vectors  $Y_{n,H}$  and  $Z_{n,H}$  can be expressed as functions of  $U_{n,H}^{(1)}$  and  $U_{n,H}^{(2)}$ :

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n,H} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^j \mathcal{B}^{2j} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} + \phi(1) \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^K \mathcal{B}^{2K+1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n,H} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^j \mathcal{B}^{2j} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} + \phi(2) \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^K \mathcal{B}^{2K+1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} \quad (32)$$

## 4 Main results

The main results of this paper are divided into three major parts. In the first part, the stationarity of the subsequence  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(X_{2n+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and the additive series  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}$  have been proved. In the second part, the spectral densities of the above three sequences are calculated. In the third part, the consistency and asymptotic normality of the estimators obtained in the second section are demonstrated.

**Theorem 4.1.** *When  $T = 2$ , the subsequence  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(X_{2n+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy*

$$X_{2n+2} = \phi(2)X_{2n+1} + \epsilon_{2n+2}^H, \quad X_{2n+1} = \phi(1)X_{2n} + \epsilon_{2n+1}^H, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\phi(2)|^j$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\phi(1)|^j$  is bounded. Thus,  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(X_{2n+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are stationary process.

*Proof.* Because the coefficients of the subsequence are absolutely summable, it can be expressed as an infinite sum of fractional Gaussian noise.

$$X_{2n+2} = \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2), \quad (33)$$

$$X_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1), \quad (34)$$

To verify that  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are wide stationary, the following three conditions must be satisfied.

(1) When  $u = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_{nT+u}) = \mu$  is a finite constant. Without loss of generality, we assume  $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_n^H) = 0$ . For any time series  $(X_{nT+u})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  under the monotone convergence theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{2n+2}| &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}}| + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2)| \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} |\epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}}| + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} |\epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} |[\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}}| + |\phi(2)| \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} |[\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}}|, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

we have known  $\phi^j(u)$  is absolutely summable, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\phi^j(u)| < \infty$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E}|X_{2n+u}| < \infty$  as shown in equation (35). By the monotone convergence theorem,  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j(u)$  is absolutely convergent almost surely.

Considering that

$$\left| \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} \right| \leq \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} \right| \quad (36)$$

$$\left| \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \right| \quad (37)$$

with the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{2T+u}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{2k} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{2k+1} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(u) \right) = 0. \quad (38)$$

(2) When  $u = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_{nT+2})^2 \leq \infty$ .

From equation (38), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_{nT+2})^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2), \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{s_1=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \phi^{\frac{s_1}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_1}{2}}(1) \epsilon_{2n+1-s_1}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_1}^H \right) \\ &\quad + \phi^2(2) \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{s_2=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \phi^{\frac{s_2-1}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_2-1}{2}}(1) \epsilon_{2n+1-s_2}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_2}^H \right) \\ &\quad + 2\phi(2) \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{s_3=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_3=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \phi^{\frac{s_3}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_3-1}{2}}(1) \epsilon_{2n+1-s_3}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_3}^H \right) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

By applying the conclusion above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{nT+u}|^2 &= \sum_{s_1=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \left| \phi^{\frac{s_1}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_1}{2}}(1) \right| \mathbb{E} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-s_1}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_1}^H \right| \\ &+ \phi^2(2) \sum_{s_2=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \left| \phi^{\frac{s_2-1}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_2-1}{2}}(1) \right| \mathbb{E} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-s_2}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_2}^H \right| \\ &+ 2\phi(2) \sum_{s_3=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k_3=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \left| \phi^{\frac{s_3}{2}}(2) \phi^{\frac{k_3-1}{2}}(1) \right| \mathbb{E} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-s_3}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k_3}^H \right| \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$(41)$$

and the covariance of  $\epsilon_{(n-s)T+u}^H$  and  $\epsilon_{(n-k)T+u}^H$  is

$$Cov(\epsilon_{2n+1-s}^H, \epsilon_{2n+1-k}^H) = \frac{1}{2} (|(s-k) + 1|^{2H} - 2|(s-k)T|^{2H} + |(s-k)T - 1|^{2H}). \quad (42)$$

Since  $\phi^j(u)$  is absolutely summable, it is also square summable. Additionally, as  $s - k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Cov(\epsilon_{2n+1-s}^H, \epsilon_{2n+1-k}^H) \rightarrow 0$ , implying that there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $\mathbb{E} \left| \epsilon_{2n+1-s}^H \epsilon_{2n+1-k}^H \right| \leq M$ . Based on the above discussion and equation (40), we have established that  $\mathbb{E}(X_{nT+u})^2 \leq \infty$ .

(3) For any  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_{kT+u} - \mu)\mathbb{E}(X_{sT+u} - \mu) = \gamma_{(k-s)T}$ , which means that the autocovariance of  $X_{kT+u}$  and  $X_{sT+u}$  depends only on the time interval  $(k-s)T$ . Let's denote

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} &= A_{2n+2}, \\ \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n+2-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) &= B_{2n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

Then, the covariance of  $\{X_{2n+2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k^{\phi(2)} &= Cov(X_{2n}, X_{2(n-k)}) \\ &= Cov(A_{2n} + B_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)} + B_{2(n-k)}) \\ &= Cov(A_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)}) + Cov(B_{2n}, B_{2(n-k)}) \\ &\quad + Cov(A_{2n}, B_{2(n-k)}) + Cov(B_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)}), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Next, we analyze  $Cov(A_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)})$  and the cross term  $Cov(A_{2n}, B_{2(n-k)})$ . The properties of the other two terms on the right side can be proved similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(A_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)}) &= Cov \left( \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}}, \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2(n-k)-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \rho_{\epsilon^H}(2k - i + j), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here,  $\rho_{\epsilon^H}(\cdot)$  is the autocovariance function of fractional Gaussian noise. Observing the above expression, we find that  $Cov(A_{2n}, A_{2(n-k)})$  is independent of the value of  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(A_{2n}, B_{2(n-k)}) &= Cov \left( \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i}{2}}, \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2(n-k)-i}^H [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \phi(2) [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{\frac{i+j-1}{2}} \rho(2k - i + j), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Similarly, it can be shown that the cross term  $Cov(A_{2n}, B_{2(n-k)})$  is also independent of the value of  $n$ . The stationarity of the sequence  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be proved in a similar manner. Therefore, we conclude that the covariance of the subsequences  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(X_{2n+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  depends only on the time interval, indicating that they are stationary processes.  $\square$



**Remark 3.** When  $T \neq 2$ , a more general constant, the above proposition still holds; however, the cross terms in the proof increase. Nevertheless, the property that the autocovariance function depends only on the time interval remains unchanged.

After proving the stationarity of the sequences, we can calculate their spectral densities.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\bar{f}_{H,\phi(u)}(\lambda)$  is the spectral density of  $\{X_{nT+u}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have

$$\bar{f}_{H,\phi(u)}(\lambda) = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{T-2} \Psi_j(\lambda) + \frac{1}{1 - Ce^{-iT\lambda}} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda),$$

where  $f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda)$  is the spectral density of  $fGn$ ,  $u = 1, 2, 3, \dots, T$ ,  $C = \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T+1)$ ,  $\Psi_j(\lambda) = \frac{\phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-j)}{1 - Ce^{-iT\lambda}}$ .

*Proof.* We can write  $X_{nT+u}$  as the infinite sum of fractional gaussian noise

$$\begin{aligned} X_{nT+u} &= \epsilon_{nT+u}^H + \phi(u)X_{nT+u-1} \\ &= \epsilon_{nT+u}^H + \phi(u)\epsilon_{nT+u-1}^H + \cdots + \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T)X_{(n-1)T+u-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0, T, 2T, \dots} C^{i/T} \epsilon_{nT-i+u}^H + \sum_{i=1, T+1, 2T+1, \dots} \phi(u)C^{i/T-1} \epsilon_{nT-i+u}^H \\ &\quad + \cdots + \sum_{i=T-1, 2T-1, 3T-1, \dots} \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T+2)C^{i/T-1} \epsilon_{nT-i+u}^H \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_k \epsilon_{nT+u-k}^H, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where  $C = \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T+1)$ ,  $\tilde{h}_k$  is

$$\tilde{h}_k = \begin{cases} C^{k/T}, & \text{when } k = 0, T, 2T, \dots \\ \phi(u)C^{k/T-1}, & \text{when } k = 1, 1+T, 1+2T, \dots \\ \vdots \\ \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T+2)C^{k/T-1}, & \text{when } k = T-1, 2T-1, 3T-1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can get a Polynomial of  $e^{-i\lambda}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} H(e^{-i\lambda}) &= \sum_{j=kT}^{\infty} C^{j/T} e^{-ij\lambda} + \sum_{j=1+kT}^{\infty} \phi(u)C^{j/T-1} e^{-ij\lambda} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \sum_{j=(k+1)T-1}^{\infty} \phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-T+2)C^{j/T-1} e^{-ij\lambda} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Let  $q = Ce^{-iT\lambda}$ , and  $\Psi_j(\lambda)$  takes the form of

$$\Psi_j(\lambda) = \frac{\phi(u)\phi(u-1) \cdots \phi(u-j)}{1 - q}, \tag{48}$$

Thus,  $H(e^{-i\lambda})$  has the following expression

$$H(e^{-i\lambda}) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-2} \Psi_j(\lambda) + \frac{1}{1 - q}, \tag{49}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\bar{f}_{H,\phi(u)}(\lambda) = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{T-2} \Psi_j(\lambda) + \frac{1}{1 - Ce^{-iT\lambda}} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda). \tag{50}$$

□

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$  is the spectral density of  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , when  $T = 2$ , we have

$$p_{H,\phi(2),\phi(1)}(\lambda) = \left| 1 + \frac{e^{-2\lambda i}}{\phi(1)[1 - e^{-2\lambda i}\phi^2(2)\phi^2(1)]} + \frac{e^{-\lambda i}(1 + \phi(1))}{1 - e^{-2\lambda i}\phi^2(2)\phi^2(1)} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_n^H},$$

where  $f_{\epsilon_n^H}$  is the spectral density of fractional gaussian noise.

*Proof.* According to the definition of  $Y_n$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= X_{2n} + X_{2n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1) + \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots} \epsilon_{2n-i}^H [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0,2,4,\dots} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [[\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i}{2}} + [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i-2}{2}} \phi(2)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H [[\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \phi(1) + [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{\frac{i-1}{2}}] \\ &= \epsilon_{2n+1}^H + \sum_{i=2,4,6,\dots} [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^i \frac{1}{\phi(1)} \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H + \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots} [\phi(2)\phi(1)]^{i-1} (1 + \phi(1)) \epsilon_{2n+1-i}^H, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p_{H,\phi(2),\phi(1)}(\lambda) = \left| 1 + \frac{e^{-2\lambda i}}{\phi(1)[1 - e^{-2\lambda i}\phi^2(2)\phi^2(1)]} + \frac{e^{-\lambda i}(1 + \phi(1))}{1 - e^{-2\lambda i}\phi^2(2)\phi^2(1)} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_n^H}.$$

□

To obtain the asymptotic properties of the estimator  $\hat{\phi}(1)$  and  $\hat{\phi}(2)$ . We consider the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4.** Under assumption  $(A_0)$ ,  $(A_1)$ . we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $Y_{n,H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 &= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + 2\phi(1) \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \phi(1)^2 \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right) \\ &=: A_n + B_n + C_n. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

with a slight abuse of notation

$$A_n = \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right), \tag{52}$$

$$B_n = 2\phi(1) \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right), \tag{53}$$

and

$$C_n = \phi(1)^2 \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right), \tag{54}$$

Next, we will show that  $\mathbb{E}(\frac{A_n}{n}) \rightarrow C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\frac{B_n}{n}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\frac{C_n}{n}) \rightarrow C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)}$ , in view of (52)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{A_n}{n} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{1,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \\ \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{2,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{n,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{1,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_2}^H \\ \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{2,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_2}^H \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{r_1=1}^n \Omega_{n,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_2}^H \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{r_1=1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \Omega_{k,r_1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{k,r_2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_2+1-2j_2}^H \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

Given the definition of the elements in the matrix as specified in (5), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{A_n}{n}\right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} (\Omega^*)_{2r_1+1-2j_1, 2r_2+1-2j_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1-j_1, r_2-j_2}. \tag{56}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Where  $(\Omega^*)_{i,j} = \rho_{e^H}(|i-j|)$ . The stationarity of  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$  implies that, for  $j_1 > j_2$ :

$$\Omega_{r_1-j_1, r_2-j_2} = \Omega_{r_2, r_1+j_2-j_1},$$

for  $j_1 \leq j_2$ :

$$\Omega_{r_1-j_1, r_2-j_2} = \Omega_{r_1, r_2+j_1-j_2}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{A_n}{n}\right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_2, r_1+j_2-j_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=j_1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1, r_2+j_1-j_2} \tag{57}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{A_n}{n}\right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_2=j_1}^n (\mathcal{I}_n)_{r_1, r_1+j_2-j_1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^{j_2-1} \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_2, r_1+j_2-r_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=j_1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n (\mathcal{I}_n)_{r_2, r_2+j_1-j_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=j_1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \sum_{r_1=1}^{j_1-1} \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1, r_2+j_1-j_2} \\
&=: R_n^{(1)} + R_n^{(2)} + R_n^{(3)} + R_n^{(4)} \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is a diagonal matrix. The matrix element  $(\mathcal{I}_n)_{r_1, r_1+j_2-j_1}$  is non-zero if and only if  $j_2 = j_1$ . It clearly that

$$R_n^{(1)} = 0 \tag{59}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{2j-1} (n-j+1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{2j-1} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{2j-1} j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{2j-1} \\
&= C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

By the properties of the components of matrix  $\Omega_{n,H}^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_{n,H}$ , there exists a positive constant P such that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(2)} &= \frac{P}{n} \left| \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^{j_2-1} \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_2,r_1+j_2-r_1} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{P}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^{j_2-1} \frac{1}{(2r_2-2r_1)^{2H}} \frac{1}{(2r_2-2r_1+2j_1-2j_2)^{2-2H}} \\
&\leq \frac{P}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^{j_2-1} \frac{1}{(2j_1-2r_1-1)^{2H}} \frac{1}{(2j_1-2r_1-1)^{2-2H}} \\
&= \frac{p}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \frac{|\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_2-1}}{(2j_1-2r_1-1)^2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} (j_2-1) |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_2-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Since outer sum satisfies

$$\sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} (j_2-1) |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_2-1} \leq \frac{1}{(1-|\phi(1)\phi(2)|)^2} \tag{62}$$

Thus, for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(2)} &= \frac{P}{n} \left| \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1-1} |\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^{j_2-1} \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_2,r_1+j_2-r_1} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{P}{n(1-|\phi(1)\phi(2)|)^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \frac{|\phi(1)\phi(2)|^{j_2-1}}{(2j_1-2r_1-1)^2} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Similarity, we can get

$$R_n^{(4)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \tag{64}$$

Due to the equations (58), (63), (60) and (58), we can prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{A_n}{n} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} \tag{65}$$

The following part will verify the asymptotic behavior of  $B_n$ . In view of (53), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{B_n}{n} \right) &= \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^T \left( \mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right) \\
&= \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{2r_1-1-2j_1,2r_2-2j_2}^*
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where  $\Omega_{2r_1-1-2j_1,2r_2-2j_2}^*$  is the covariance matrix of vector  $(\epsilon_1^H, \epsilon_2^H, \dots, \epsilon_{2n}^H)$ . Since  $\Omega_{i,j}^*$  decreases as  $|i-j|$  increases, we have

**Case 1:**  $2r_1-2j_1-2r_1+2j_2-1 \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{B_n}{n} \right) &\leq \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1-1-j_1,r_2-j_2} \\
&= \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1,r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1,r_2-j_2-1+j_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

**Case 2:**  $2r_1 - 2j_1 - 2r_1 + 2j_2 - 1 < 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{B_n}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1, r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1-j_1, r_2-j_2} \quad (68)$$

In case 1, in view of the outer sum of right side, we know when  $j_2 \leq j_1 + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{B_n}{n}\right) &\leq \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1, r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1-1-j_1, r_2-j_2} \\ &\leq \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n (I_n)_{r_2, r_2-j_2+1+j_1} \\ &\leq \frac{\phi(1)}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{2j-1} (n-j-1) = C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

when  $j_2 > j_1 + 1$

$$\sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=j_2}^n \Omega_{r_1, r_2}^{-1} \Omega_{r_1, r_2-j_2+1+j_1} = 0 \quad (70)$$

In case 2, by the conclusions in [Esstafa, 2019], we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{B_n}{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (71)$$

Thus, we can obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{B_n}{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)} \quad (72)$$

We can obtain the asymptotic behavior of  $C_n$  in a manner similar to that used for studying the asymptotic behavior of  $A_n$ , it is

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{C_n}{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(3)} \quad (73)$$

let

$$C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H = C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(1)} + C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)} + C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(3)} \quad (74)$$

and the lemma is proved.

**Remark 4.** Denote by  $\Sigma_{n,H}^{(1)}$  the variance matrix of the random vector  $(X_1, \dots, X_{2n-1})^T$ , i.e. for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $(\Sigma_{n,H}^{(1)})_{i,j} = COV(X_{2i-1}, X_{2j-1})$ , let

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^{(1)} = \Sigma_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (X_1, X_3, \dots, X_{2n-1})^T \quad (75)$$

From (9), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{Y}_{n,H} = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T \Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{n,H} \Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^{(1)} \quad (76)$$

Since  $\Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{n,H} \Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a symmetric real matrix. So  $\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2$  has the following form

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j^{n,H} (Q_{n,H}^T \mathbf{Z}_{n,H}^{(1)})_j^2, \quad (77)$$

where  $\eta_1^{n,H}, \eta_2^{n,H}, \dots, \eta_n^{n,H}$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{n,H} \Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $Q_{n,H}$  is an orthogonal matrix such that  $Q_{n,H}^T \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^{(1)}$  is the standard normal random vector.

**Lemma 4.5.** Under assumption  $(A_0)$  and for any  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have

$$D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(1)} \leq \eta_j^{n,H} \leq D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)}.$$

*Proof.* Denote by the  $f_X(\lambda)$  is the spectral density of  $(X_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $f_{\epsilon_t^H}(\lambda)$  is defined as (6). In view of proposition 2.2,  $f_X(\lambda)$  is

$$f_X(\lambda) = \left| \frac{1 + \phi(1)e^{-i\lambda}}{1 - \phi(1)\phi(2)e^{-i2\lambda}} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_t^H}(\lambda), \quad (78)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1 + \phi(1)e^{-i\lambda}}{1 - \phi(1)\phi(2)e^{-i2\lambda}} \right|^2 =: \frac{A^2 + B^2}{C^2}. \quad (79)$$

where

$$A = 1 - \phi(1)\phi(2)\cos 2\lambda + \phi(1)\cos \lambda - \phi^2(1)\phi(2)\cos \lambda \quad (80)$$

$$B = \phi(1)\phi(2)\sin 2\lambda + \phi^2(1)\phi(2)\sin \lambda - \phi(1)\sin \lambda, \quad (81)$$

$$C = 1 - 2\phi(2)\phi(1)\cos 2\lambda + \phi^2(1)\phi^2(2), \quad (82)$$

Through some calculations, we can obtain

$$D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} < \frac{A^2 + B^2}{C^2} < D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)}, \quad (83)$$

and

$$D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} := \frac{D - 2|\phi(1)| - 2|\phi(1)\phi(2)| - 4\phi^2(1)|\phi(2)| - 2|\phi^3(1)\phi(2)| - 2\phi^2(2)|\phi^3(1)|}{(1 + |\phi(1)\phi(2)|)^2}, \quad (84)$$

$$D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)} := \frac{D + 2|\phi(1)| + 2|\phi(1)\phi(2)| + 4\phi^2(1)|\phi(2)| + 2|\phi^3(1)\phi(2)| + 2\phi^2(2)|\phi^3(1)|}{(1 - |\phi(1)\phi(2)|)^2}, \quad (85)$$

where

$$D := 1 + \phi^2(1) + \phi^2(1)\phi^2(2) + \phi^4(1)\phi^2(2), \quad (86)$$

From equations (83) and (78), we obtain that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)}} f_X(\lambda) \leq f_{\epsilon_t^H}(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} f_X(\lambda), \quad (87)$$

Thus, for any vector  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V^T \left( \Omega_{n,H} - \frac{\Sigma_{n,H}^{(1)}}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \right) V &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n V_j^T \left( \Omega_{n,H} - \frac{\Sigma_{n,H}^{(1)}}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \right)_{j,k} V_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n V_j^T \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( f_{\epsilon_t^H}(\lambda) - \frac{f_X(\lambda)}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \right) e^{i2(k-j)\lambda} d\lambda V_k \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( f_{\epsilon_t^H}(\lambda) - \frac{f_X(\lambda)}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \right) V^T \Gamma_n(\lambda) V d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where  $\Gamma_n(\lambda) = V_n(\lambda)V_n^*(\lambda)$  with  $V_n(\lambda) = (e^{i\lambda}, e^{2i\lambda}, \dots, e^{ni\lambda})^T$ .  $V^*$  is the conjugate of the vector  $V$ . Furthermore, the real  $V^T \Gamma_n(\lambda) V$  is non-negative number, it equals to

$$V^T \Gamma_n(\lambda) V = V^T V_n(\lambda) V_n^*(\lambda) V = (V_n^*(\lambda) V)^* (V_n^*(\lambda) V) = |V_n^*(\lambda) V|^2. \quad (89)$$

From equations (87) and (88), we have

$$V^T \left( \Omega_{n,H} - \frac{\Sigma_{n,H}}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \right) V \leq 0, \quad (90)$$

Let  $\xi$  be the element of the spectrum of  $C_1^T \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_{n,H} \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then there exists  $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  such that

$$\Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_{n,H} \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_1 = \xi \|C_1\|^2. \quad (91)$$

Taking  $C_2 = \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_1$ , we obtain from this last equation that

$$C_2^T \Sigma_{n,H} C_2 = \xi \left\| \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_2 \right\|^2, \quad (92)$$

By the equation (90), we deduce that

$$C_2^T \Omega_{n,H} C_2 \leq \frac{1}{D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}} \xi \left\| \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_2 \right\|^2, \quad (93)$$

and

$$\xi \geq \frac{C_2^T \Omega_{n,H} C_2}{\left\| \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_2 \right\|^2} D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} = D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)}, \quad (94)$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\xi \leq D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)}, \quad (95)$$

Since the spectrum of the matrix  $\Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{n,H}^{-1} \Sigma_{n,H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is equal to the set of the eigenvalues of  $\Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_{n,H} \Omega_{n,H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Under assumption (A<sub>0</sub>), we have*

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H$$

*Proof.* In view of (77), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\eta_j^{n,H})^2 \text{Var}([Q_{n,H}^T \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^{(1)}]_j^2) \\ &= \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\eta_j^{n,H})^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \left( D_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \quad (97)$$

There exists a constant  $E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 - E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H \right)^2 \right] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \right)^2 - 2E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + (E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H)^2 \\ &= C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H - 2E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H + (E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

If  $E_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H = C_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^H$ , the last equation equals to 0. This lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Under assumption (A<sub>0</sub>), we have*

$$\frac{1}{n} [\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)}] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} 0$$

*Proof.* Due to the fact that  $\epsilon_t^H$  is centered for any  $t$ . We notice that for fixed  $(i, j, k)$ , we can obtain the joint cumulant of  $\epsilon_0^H, \epsilon_i^H, \epsilon_j^H, \epsilon_k^H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cum}(\epsilon_0^H, \epsilon_i^H, \epsilon_j^H, \epsilon_k^H) &= \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_0^H \epsilon_i^H \epsilon_j^H \epsilon_k^H) - \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_0^H \epsilon_i^H) \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_j^H \epsilon_k^H) - \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_0^H \epsilon_j^H) \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i^H \epsilon_k^H) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_0^H \epsilon_k^H) \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i^H \epsilon_j^H). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Because of the equations (9) and (10). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} &= \sum_{j_1=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1-1} (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} \\ &\quad + \phi(1) \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_2-1} (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n,H} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2\phi(1)}{n^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\phi(1)^2}{n^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \\ &:= M_{n,H}^{(1)} + M_{n,H}^{(2)} + M_{n,H}^{(3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

where  $M_{n,H} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}) \right]^2$ , next, we will prove that  $M_{n,H}^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $M_{n,H}^{(2)} = 0$  and  $M_{n,H}^{(3)} = 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(1) The proof of  $M_{n,H}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Since the definition of  $U_{n,H}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(M_{n,H}^{(1)}) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T U_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^n \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_4=1}^n \left( \Omega_{n,H}^{-1/2} \right)_{k_1, r_1} \left( \Omega_{n,H}^{-1/2} \right)_{k_1, r_2} \left( \Omega_{n,H}^{-1/2} \right)_{k_2, r_3} \left( \Omega_{n,H}^{-1/2} \right)_{k_2, r_4} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[ \epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_3+1-2j_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_4}^H \right] \\ &= M_{1,n,H}^{(1)} + M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} + M_{3,n,H}^{(1)} + M_{4,n,H}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,n,H}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ &\quad \times \text{cum}(\epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H, \epsilon_{2r_2}^H, \epsilon_{2r_3+1-2j_2}^H, \epsilon_{2r_4}^H), \\ M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_2}^H] \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_3+1-2j_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_4}^H], \\ M_{3,n,H}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{r_3+1-j_2}^H] \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{r_2+1}^H \epsilon_{r_4+1}^H] \text{ and} \\ M_{4,n,H}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_4}^H] \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_3+1-2j_2}^H]. \end{aligned}$$



In light of the monotonic decrement of the fractional Gaussian noise and the expressions of  $M_{2,n,H}^{(1)}$ , we have

$$M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ \times \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_1+1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_2}^H] \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_3+1-2j_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_4}^H], \quad (102)$$

By classifying the magnitudes of  $2r_3 + 1 - 2j_2 - 2r_4$  and  $2r_1 + 1 - 2j_1 - 2r_2$ , We can divide the summation into the following four parts.

- (1)  $2r_3 + 1 - 2j_2 - 2r_4 > 1 \Leftrightarrow r_3 > r_4 + j_2$
- (2)  $2r_3 + 1 - 2j_2 - 2r_4 < 1 \Leftrightarrow r_3 < r_4 + j_2$
- (3)  $2r_1 + 1 - 2j_1 - 2r_2 > 1 \Leftrightarrow r_1 > r_2 + j_1$
- (4)  $2r_1 + 1 - 2j_1 - 2r_2 < 1 \Leftrightarrow r_1 < r_2 + j_1$

By the symmetry of  $\Omega_{i,j}$  and the monotonicity of  $\rho_{\epsilon_H}(i-j)$ , we only need to consider the part of (1)+(3).

When  $r_3 > r_4 + j_2$  and  $r_1 > r_2 + j_1$ ,

$$M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ \times \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_1-2j_1}^H \epsilon_{2r_2}^H] \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{2r_3-2j_2}^H \epsilon_{2r_4}^H] \\ = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_2-j_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_3-j_2, r_4}$$

Denote  $\Omega_{n+1,H}$  as the covariance matrix of the random vector  $(\epsilon_1^H, \dots, \epsilon_{n+1}^H)^*$ . Due to the stationarity of the process  $(\epsilon_t^H)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , for  $j_2, r_4 = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j_2 + 1 \leq r_3 \leq n$ , it holds that  $(\Omega_{n+1,H})_{r_3+1-j_2, r_4+1} = (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_3-j_2, r_4}$ . Consequently, by the equation of (4.39) and Lemma 4.4 in [Esstafa, 2019], we conclude that:

$$M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \sum_{r_1=j_1}^n \sum_{r_2=1}^n \sum_{r_3=j_2}^n \sum_{r_4=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{r_3, r_4} \\ (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_2-j_1, r_2} (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_3-j_2, r_4} \\ = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j-1} \sum_{r=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,r} (\Omega_{n+1,H}^{-1})_{1,r+1} \right)^2 \quad (103)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j-1} \sum_{r=1}^n (\Omega_{n,H}^{-1})_{j,r} (\Omega_{n+1,H}^{-1})_{1,r+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad (104)$$

Therefore,

$$M_{2,n,H}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (105)$$

Using the stationarity of  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have

$$(\Omega_{n,H})_{r_1+1-j_1, r_3+1-j_2} = (\Omega_{n,H})_{r_1+2-j_1, r_3+2-j_2} \quad (106)$$

Thus, using lemma 4.4 in [Esstafa, 2019], we obtain

$$M_{3,n,H}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (107)$$

Clearly, when we swap the roles of  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  as well as those of  $r_2$  and  $r_4$  in the expression of  $M_{4,n,H}^{(1)}$ , we get  $M_{4,n,H}^{(1)} = M_{2,n,H}^{(1)}$ . Subsequently, the sequence  $(M_{4,n,H}^{(1)})_{n \geq 1}$  also converges to 0.

(2) The proof of  $M_{n,H}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

$$M_{n,H}^2 = \frac{2\phi(1)}{n^2} \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j_1+j_2-2} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_1-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{2j_2-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)})^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)} \right] \\ := M_{1,n,H}^{(2)} + M_{2,n,H}^{(2)} + M_{3,n,H}^{(2)} + M_{4,n,H}^{(2)}. \quad (108)$$

By making use of the stationarity and monotonicity of the sequence  $(\epsilon_n^H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have

$$\frac{M_{n,H}^{(2)}}{2\phi(1)} \leq M_{n,H}^{(1)} \quad (109)$$

Thus,

$$M_{n,H}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (110)$$

(3) The proof of  $M_{n,H}^{(3)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Analogous to the proof of the fact that  $M_{n,H}^{(1)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we are able to derive this conclusion under the condition that  $\phi(1) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Under assumption  $(A_0)$ , the sequence of random variables*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H)$$

*Proof.* In this proof, we aim to demonstrate that the moments of the random variable  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H}$  coincide with those of a normal distribution. Specifically, we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^T \mathbf{U}_{n,H} \right)^r \right\} = \mathbb{E}(G_2^r) \quad (111)$$

This is equivalent to verifying that

$$\mathbb{E}(G_2^r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \text{ is odd} \\ D^{\frac{r}{2}}(r-1)!!, & r \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (112)$$

By the binomial - theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [n^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A+B)^r] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left( A^r + \binom{r}{1} A B^{r-1} + \dots + \binom{r}{r-1} A^{r-1} B + B^r \right) \right] \quad (113)$$

where

$$A := \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j-1} \mathcal{B}^{2j-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \sum_{k=0}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{k-1} \mathcal{B}^{2k-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)}$$

We decompose the above equation into three components: The first part is  $R_1^r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(n^{-\frac{r}{2}} A^r)$ ; the second part is  $R_2^r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(n^{-\frac{r}{2}} B^r)$ ; and the third part is  $R_3^r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right)$ .

Firstly, we derive the expression for  $R_1^r$ . By virtue of Lemma 4.5 in Esstafa's work, we obtain

$$R_1^r = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } r \text{ is odd} \\ \left( D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{1,H} \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} (r-1)!!, & \text{when } r \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (114)$$

where  $D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{1,H}$  is a constant that depends on  $H$ ,  $\phi(1)$ , and  $\phi(2)$ .

The quantity  $R_2^r$  has the same form as  $R_1^r$ , and we can write  $R_2^r = \left( D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{2,H} \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} (r-1)!!$  when  $r$  is even ( $R_2^r = 0$  when  $r$  is odd), with  $D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{2,H}$  being another constant related to  $H$ ,  $\phi(1)$ ,  $\phi(2)$ .

Secondly, we analyze  $R_3^r$ . Starting from the  $k$ -th term of the expansion in equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] \\ &= \binom{r}{k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{j-1} \mathcal{B}^{2j-2} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^k \left( \sum_{k=0}^n [\phi(1)\phi(2)]^{k-1} \mathcal{B}^{2k-1} \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(1)} \right)^{r-k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following cases based on the parity of  $k$  and  $r - k$ :

1. If  $k$  is odd and  $r - k$  is odd when  $r$  is even, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] = 0$$

2. If  $k$  is even and  $r - k$  is even when  $r$  is even, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] = D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{3,H}$$

3. If  $k$  is odd and  $r - k$  is even when  $r$  is odd, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] = 0$$

4. If  $k$  is even and  $r - k$  is odd when  $r$  is odd, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] = 0$$

Consequently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \binom{r}{k} A^k B^{r-k} \right] = D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{3,H}$  holds only when  $r$  is even,  $k$  is even, and  $r - k$  is even.

Combining  $R_1^r$ ,  $R_2^r$ , and  $R_3^r$ , we have

$$R^r = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } r \text{ is odd} \\ \left( D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} (r-1)!!, & \text{when } r \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (115)$$

where  $R^r = R_1^r + R_2^r + R_3^r$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^{i,H} = D_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** Letting  $m = \lceil Kn^\delta \rceil$  for some  $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$ , assume that  $(X_{nT+u})_{n \geq 0}$  satisfy the equation. Under conditions  $(A_0)$  and  $(A_1)$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_n \\ \hat{\phi}_n(1) \\ \hat{\phi}_n(2) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \begin{pmatrix} H \\ \phi(1) \\ \phi(2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\hat{\phi}_n(u)$  are defined by equation (16),  $u = 1, 2$ ,  $\hat{H}_n$  is given by equations (15).

*Proof.* The first part of the proof to establish the consistency of  $\hat{H}_n$ , while second part is to verify consistency of  $\hat{\phi}_n(u)$ .

(1) Consistency of  $\hat{H}_n$

This proof is based on Lemma 5.5 [Hariz et al., 2024] and the corollary [Hurvich et al., 1998]. We can express  $f_{H, \phi(u)}$  in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} f_{H, \phi(u)}^*(\lambda) &= (1 - \cos \lambda T)^{2d} f_{H, \phi(u)}(\lambda) \\ &= (1 - \cos \lambda T)^{2d} (1 - 2\phi(u) \cos \lambda T + \phi^2(u))^{-1} f_{\epsilon_n^H}(\lambda) \\ &= C_H (1 - \cos \lambda T)^{2d+1} (1 - 2\phi(u) \cos \lambda T + \phi^2(u))^{-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|\lambda + 2j\pi|^{2H+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

According to [Hurvich et al., 1998], it can be concluded that

$$\hat{d}_n - d = -\frac{1}{2S_m} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j - \bar{a}_m) \log (f_{H, \phi(u)}^*) - \frac{1}{2S_m} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j - \bar{a}_m) \epsilon_j \quad (117)$$

where  $\epsilon_j$  is the error defined in Equation (3) of [Hurvich et al., 1998]. According to the theorem 1 from the aforementioned sources, we have

$$\hat{d}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} d. \quad (118)$$

Hence, it is evident that

$$\hat{H}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} H. \quad (119)$$

(2) Consistency of  $\hat{\phi}_n(u)$

Assuming

$$\tilde{\Phi}_i^j(1) = (\epsilon_{2i-1}^H, \epsilon_{2i+1}^H, \dots, \epsilon_{2j-1}^H), \tilde{\Phi}_i^j(2) = (\epsilon_{2i}^H, \epsilon_{2i+2}^H, \dots, \epsilon_{2j}^H), 1 \leq i \leq j. \quad (120)$$

and

$$\Phi_i^j(1) = (X_{2i-1}, X_{2i+1}, \dots, X_{2j-1}), \Phi_i^j(2) = (X_{2i}, X_{2i+2}, \dots, X_{2j}), 1 \leq i \leq j. \quad (121)$$

we can derive the following expression

$$\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2) = \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) \left( \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \right) \Phi_1^n(1)}{\Phi_1^{n*}(1) \left( \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \right) \Phi_1^n(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{n, \hat{H}_n}^* \mathbf{U}_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{(2)}}{\|\mathbf{Y}_{n, \hat{H}_n}\|^2}, \quad (122)$$

We apply the Taylor expansion of the matrix  $\Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1}$  at  $H$  to the the numerator, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \Phi_1^n(1) &= \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \Phi_1^n(1) \\ &+ \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(1)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) (\hat{H}_n - H) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(2)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) (\hat{H}_n - H)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(3)}(\bar{H}_n) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) (\hat{H}_n - H)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

Thanks to the work of [Hariz et al., 2024], we have the following three conclusions

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(1)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} k_{H, \phi(2)}^{(1)} \quad (124)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(2)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} k_{H, \phi(2)}^{(2)} \quad (125)$$

$$n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) A_n^{(3)}(\bar{H}_n) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \quad (126)$$

where  $k_{H, \phi(2)}^{(1)}, k_{H, \phi(2)}^{(2)}$  are constants and  $A_n^{(1)}(H), A_n^{(2)}(H), A_n^{(3)}(H)$  are

$$A_n^{(1)}(H) = -\Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \quad (127)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(2)}(H) &= \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial^2 H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(3)}(H) &= -\Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial^3 \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial^3 H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \\ &- 3\Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_n(H)}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial^2 H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \\ &- 3\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H) \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial^2 H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \\ &- 6\Omega_n^{-1}(H) \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \Omega_n^{-1}(H) \frac{\partial \Omega_{n, H}}{\partial H} \\ &\times \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \frac{\partial \Omega_{n-1}(H)}{\partial H} \Omega_{n, H}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

The convergences established in Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 imply that the joint vector

$$\frac{(\mathbf{Y}_{n, H}^* \mathbf{U}_{n, H}^{(2)}, \|\mathbf{Y}_{n, H}\|^2)}{n}$$

converges in probability to  $(0, C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H)$ . Since the function  $\frac{x}{y}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$  and the vector  $(0, C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H)$  is in the set of its continuity points, the continuous mapping theorem yields

$$\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2) = \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}^* \mathbf{U}_{n,H}^{(2)}/n}{\|\mathbf{Y}_{n,H}\|^2/n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \frac{0}{C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H} = 0$$

It has been demonstrated in Lemma 4.4 that

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) \Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad (131)$$

The combination of equations above allows us to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad (132)$$

Next, we consider the asymptotic properties of the denominator of the above equation. We can similarly expand the denominator using a Taylor series around  $H$ , resulting in

$$\Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) = \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) \Omega_{n,H}^{-1} \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \quad (133)$$

$$+ \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) A_n^{(1)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) (\hat{H}_n - H)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) A_n^{(2)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) (\hat{H}_n - H)^2 \quad (134)$$

Similarly, this part of the proof aligns with Lemma 1 [Esstafa, 2019] and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{n} \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) A_n^{(1)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} k_{H, \phi(1)}^{(3)} \quad (135)$$

$$n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Phi_1^{n*}(1) A_n^{(2)}(H) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \quad (136)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \Phi_1^{n*}(1) \Omega_{n,H}^{-1} \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H \quad (137)$$

The denominator in the above equation converges in probability as follows

$$\frac{1}{n} \Phi_1^{n*}(1) \Omega_{n, \hat{H}_n}^{-1} \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H \quad (138)$$

Combining the above equations, we find that when the numerator of the above equation is multiplied by  $\frac{1}{n}$ , it approaches 0, while the denominator, also multiplied by  $\frac{1}{n}$ , converges to a constant. Furthermore, since convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution, we conclude that

$$\hat{\phi}_n(2) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \phi(2). \quad (139)$$

Analogously,

$$\hat{\phi}_n(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \phi(1). \quad (140)$$

□

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $m = [n^\delta]$  for some  $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{4}{5}$ .  $\hat{\phi}_n(1)$ ,  $\hat{\phi}_n(2)$  and  $\hat{H}_n$  has a 3 dimension limiting normal distribution given by

$$\sqrt{m} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_n - H \\ \hat{\phi}_n(1) - \phi(1) \\ \hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma),$$

The covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is of the form  $\Sigma = V_H \tilde{\Sigma}$  and  $V_H$  is the asymptotic variance of  $\sqrt{m}(\hat{H}_n - H)$ ,  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a built-in singular matrix and  $u = 1, 2$ .

According to Theorem 2 [Hurvich et al., 1998], without loss of generality, we can assume  $m = o(n^{\frac{2}{3}})$ . Here,  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes convergence in distribution. We thus have

$$\sqrt{m}(\hat{H}_n - H) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\pi^2}{24}). \quad (141)$$

Building on the results from equation above, we establish that

$$\sqrt{m}(\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2)) = \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_2^{n*}(2) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1)}{\Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(\hat{H}_n)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1)} + R_n^{(1)} \quad (142)$$

According to the proof of consistency and some results on [Esstafa, 2019], the denominator of the first term on the right side of the above equation satisfies

$$\frac{1}{n} \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(\hat{H}_n)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} C_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H, \quad (143)$$

The nominator converge to a normal distribution

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H), \quad (144)$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the reminder  $R_n^{(1)}$  converges to 0.

Thus, we can rewrite equation (142) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{m}(\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2)) &= \sqrt{m} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1)}{\frac{1}{n} \Phi_1^{n-1*}(1) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(\hat{H}_n)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1)} + R_n^{(1)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\phi(1), \phi(2)}^H} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{n*}(2) (\Omega_{n-1}^{-1}(H)) \Phi_1^{n-1}(1) + R_n^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

By slusky theorem, we can conclude that  $\sqrt{m}(\hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2))$  converges to a normal distribution. Similarly,  $\sqrt{m}(\hat{\phi}_n(1) - \phi(1))$  also converges to a normal distribution.

Lastly, we consider expressing these results in the form of a joint normal distribution. Based on the findings of [Hariz et al., 2024], the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\phi}_n(u) - \phi(u))$  can be represented as a constant multiple of the asymptotic distribution of  $(\hat{H}_n - H)$ , Furthermore, by the Cramer-Wold theorem, the asymptotic distribution of  $\sum_{u=1}^T (\hat{\phi}_n(u) - \phi(u))$  remains asymptotic normal. Thus, the vector

$$(\hat{\phi}_n(1) - \phi(1), \hat{\phi}_n(2) - \phi(2), \hat{H}_n - H),$$

converges to a Gaussian vector, tending towards a joint normal distribution. The covariance matrix of this vector is

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_\theta = U_\theta U_\theta^*, \quad (146)$$

where

$$U_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ Q_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(1)} \\ Q_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (147)$$

$Q_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(1)}$  and  $Q_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}^{(2)}$  are the constants related to  $\phi(1)$ ,  $\phi(2)$  and  $H$ .

## 5 One-step estimator of PFAR(1) models

In this section, we explore modifications to the initial estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  to develop a one-step estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

We assume that  $Y_n$  is stationary with a spectral density  $p_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}(\lambda)$ , as obtained in proposition 4.3. For  $p_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}(\lambda)$  to satisfy the necessary regularity conditions, the following criteria must be met. We let  $\Theta^l$  be an open subset of  $R^3$ . *Condition.1* For any  $\theta \in \Theta^l$ ,  $p_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}(\lambda)$  is three-times continuously differentiable on  $\Theta^l$ . In addition, for any  $0 \leq l \leq 2$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_3$ , the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^l}{\partial \theta_{j_1} \dots \partial \theta_{j_l}} p_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}(\lambda), \quad (148)$$

is a continue equation on  $\Theta \times [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\}$ , is continuously differentiable with respect to  $\lambda$  and its partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^{l+1}}{\partial \lambda \partial \theta_{j_1} \dots \partial \theta_{j_l}} p_{H, \phi(1), \phi(2)}(\lambda), \quad (149)$$

and is continuous on  $\Theta \times [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Condition.2* There also exists a continuous function  $\alpha: \Theta^l \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ , such that for any compact set  $\Theta^{l*} \subset \Theta^l$  and  $\delta > 0$ , the following conditions hold for every  $(\theta, \lambda) \in \Theta^* \times [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\}$ .

$$c_{1,\delta,\Theta^{l*}} |\lambda|^{-\alpha(\theta)+\delta} \leq p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \leq c_{2,\delta,\Theta^{l*}} |\lambda|^{-\alpha(\theta)-\delta}, \quad (150)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \right| \leq c_{2,\delta,\Theta^{l*}} |\lambda|^{-\alpha(\theta)-1-\delta}, \quad (151)$$

For any  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and any  $j \in (1, \dots, T+1)^l$ .

$$\left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \theta_{j_1}, \dots, \partial \theta_{j_l}} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \right| \leq c_{2,\delta,\Theta^*} |\lambda|^{-\alpha(\theta)-\delta}, \quad (152)$$

here,  $c_{i,\delta,\Theta^*}$  is some positive finite constant which only depends upon  $\delta$  and  $\Theta^*$ . We will prove the spectral density of  $Y_n$  satisfy regular condition in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Under the hypothesis on the parametric space have the following results*

(1) For any  $H \in [0, 1]$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial^j H} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$ .

(2) For any  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  the functions  $\frac{\partial^j}{\partial^j H} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$  are symmetric with respect to  $\lambda$ .

(3) For any  $\delta > 0$  and all  $(H, \lambda) \in [0, 1] \times [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\}$

a.  $C_{1,\delta} |\lambda|^{1-2H+\delta} \leq p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \leq C_{2,\delta} |\lambda|^{1-2H-\delta}$ .

b.  $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \right| \leq C_{3,\delta} |\lambda|^{-2H-\delta}$ .

c. For any  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\left| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial^j H} p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda) \right| \leq C_{4,\delta} |\lambda|^{-2H-\delta}$ .

*Proof.* We start from Assertion 3a, which states that

$$p_{H,\phi(2),\phi(1)}(\lambda) = \left| 1 + \frac{e^{-2\lambda i}}{\phi(1)[1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)]} + \frac{e^{-\lambda i} (1 + \phi(1))}{1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)} \right|^2 f_{\epsilon_n^H}, \quad (153)$$

where  $C_H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(2H+1) \sin(\pi H)$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denote the Gamma function. According to lemma 5.4 in [Hariz et al., 2024], we have

$$K_{1,\delta} |\lambda|^{1-2H+\delta} \leq C_H (1 - \cos(\lambda)) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|\lambda + 2j\pi|^{2H+1}} \leq K_{2,\delta} |\lambda|^{1-2H-\delta}, \quad (154)$$

and

$$H_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} \leq \left| 1 + \frac{e^{-2\lambda i}}{\phi(1)[1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)]} + \frac{e^{-\lambda i} (1 + \phi(1))}{1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)} \right|^2 \leq H_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)}, \quad (155)$$

where  $H_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(1)} = \frac{||\phi(1)| - |\phi(1) + \phi^2(1)| - |1 - \phi^3(1) \phi^2(2)||^2}{|\phi(1)|^2 (1 + |1 - \phi^3(1) \phi^2(2)|)^2}$  and  $H_{\phi(1),\phi(2)}^{(2)} = \frac{(|\phi(1)| + |\phi(1) + \phi^2(1)| + |1 - \phi^3(1) \phi^2(2)|)^2}{|\phi(2)|^2 |1 - |1 - \phi^3(1) \phi^2(2)||^2}$ . Thus, Assertion 3a has been proved and assertion 3b follows straightforwardly from Assertion 3a.

Next, we discuss Assertion 3c, which can be obtained directly from Lemma 5.4. The partial derivative of  $p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$  does not depend on  $\left| 1 + \frac{e^{-2\lambda i}}{\phi(1)[1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)]} + \frac{e^{-\lambda i} (1 + \phi(1))}{1 - e^{-2\lambda i} \phi^2(2) \phi^2(1)} \right|^2$ , and the modulus is bounded.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *We let  $l_n$  be the log-likelihood function of a stationary process  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We assume that  $g_{H,\phi}(\lambda)$  satisfies the regularity conditions and let  $B(\theta, R)$  (open ball of center  $\theta$  and radius  $R$ ) for some  $R > 0$ . For any  $t \in B(\theta, R)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$l_n(\theta + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}) - l_n(\theta) = t \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{t \mathcal{I}(\theta) t^*}{2} + r_{n,\theta}(t), \quad (156)$$

where, under  $\mathbb{P}_\theta^{(n)}$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the score function  $\nabla(\cdot)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)), \quad (157)$$

and

$$r_{n,\theta}(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0, \quad (158)$$

uniformly on each compact set. The Fisher information matrix is given in our case by

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \log p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{\partial \log p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_j} d\lambda \right)_{1 \leq k, j \leq T+1}, \quad (159)$$

This result is a direct consequence of Theorem from [Cohen et al., 2013].

Since  $p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the regularity conditions, the elements of the Fisher information matrix  $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  are finite. After obtaining the Fisher information matrix  $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  and the log-likelihood function of  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we can compute the one-step estimator as follows

$$\tilde{\theta}_n = \hat{\theta}_n + \mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \nabla l_n(\hat{\theta}_n), \quad (160)$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , such that for any  $\theta \in B(\theta_0, \delta)$ , it holds that*

$$\|\mathcal{I}(\theta) - \mathcal{I}(\theta_0)\| \leq K \|\theta - \theta_0\|, \quad (161)$$

where  $K$  is some constant.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, let  $B(\theta_0, \delta)$  be a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For ease of notation,  $p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$  can be denoted as  $p_\theta(\lambda)$ . According to the relevant conclusions in [Cohen et al., 2013] and the discussion of regularity conditions for  $p_{H,\phi(1),\phi(2)}(\lambda)$ , it is known that for any  $k, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  that the following inequality holds

$$\left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \log p_\theta(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{\partial \log p_\theta(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_j} d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \log p_{\theta_0}(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_{0,k}} \frac{\partial \log p_{\theta_0}(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_{0,j}} d\lambda \right) \right| \leq K \|\theta - \theta_0\|, \quad (162)$$

$K$  is defined as

$$K = \sup_{\theta \in B(\theta_0, \delta)} \left\| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \log p_\theta(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{\partial \log p_\theta(\lambda)}{\partial \theta_j} d\lambda \right) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq d} \right\|, \quad (163)$$

which is related to  $k$  and  $j$ . Furthermore, since the conditions (A1) and (A2) [Cohen et al., 2013] hold, it follows that  $K < \infty$ , hence the lemma holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $\theta \in \Theta$ , it follows from the distribution of the parameter  $\theta$  that*

$$\frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{n} \mathcal{I}(\theta) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \quad (164)$$

*Proof.* The Lemma 3.6 [Cohen et al., 2013] implies that, from the distribution of  $\theta$ , we have

$$E \left( \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n} \right) \rightarrow -\mathcal{I}(\theta). \quad (165)$$

To determine the convergence rate of the above expression, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 [Lieberman et al., 2012] yield the following conclusion

$$E \left( \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n} \right) + \mathcal{I}(\theta) = O(n^{-1+\delta}), \quad (166)$$

where  $\delta$  is a positive real number. Therefore,

$$E \left( \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + \sqrt{n} \mathcal{I}(\theta) = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}). \quad (167)$$

Furthermore, by utilizing Lemma 3.6 [Cohen et al., 2013] once again, we obtain

$$\text{Var} \left( \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = O(1). \quad (168)$$

Thus, the proof is concluded.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $\{\bar{\theta}_n\}_n$  be a stochastic sequence satisfying  $\bar{\theta}_n - \theta = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ . Then, according to the distribution of parameter  $\theta$ , for any  $k > 0$ , it holds that*

$$\frac{\Delta l_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{n} - \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n} = O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^k (\bar{\theta}_n - \theta)). \quad (169)$$



*Proof.* Let  $C_{k,\theta}$  be a compact convex set depending on  $k$  and  $\theta$ , and  $\bar{\theta}_n \in C_{k,\theta}$ . According to the proof of Lemma 3.7 [Cohen et al., 2013], we have

$$\sup_{\bar{\theta}_n \in C_{k,\theta}} \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial^{i_1} \theta_1 \partial^{i_2} \theta_2 \dots \partial^{i_d} \theta_d} \frac{l_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{n^{1+k}} \right| = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \quad (170)$$

where  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}^d$ , satisfying  $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = 3$ . In conclusion, for a finite positive random variable  $K$ , we have

$$P \left( \left\| \frac{\Delta l_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{n} - \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n} \right\| \leq K n^k (\|\bar{\theta}_n - \theta\|) \right) \geq P(\bar{\theta}_n \in C_{k,\theta}), \quad (171)$$

which implies  $\frac{\Delta l_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{n} - \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n} = O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^k(\bar{\theta}_n - \theta))$  holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the initial estimator of  $\theta$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is the one-step estimator of  $\theta$ . When  $g_{H,\underline{\phi}}(\lambda)$  satisfy regular condition, we have a asymptotic normal distribution of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  that

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}),$$

*Proof.* We will discuss the consistency and asymptotic normality of one-step estimator.

(1) Consistency of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$

Observing equation (160), the first and second terms on the right-hand side can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) (\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n) + \frac{\int_0^1 \Delta l_n(\theta(v)) dv}{n}), \\ &= \sqrt{n^\delta}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) \sqrt{n^{1-\delta}} (\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n) + \frac{\int_0^1 \Delta l_n(\theta(v)) dv}{n}), \end{aligned} \quad (172)$$

and

$$B_n = \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} + (\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta)) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (173)$$

Fristly, we analyze the properties of  $A_n$  and derive the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n) + \frac{\int_0^1 \Delta l_n(\theta(v)) dv}{n} &= (\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{I}(\theta_n)) \\ &+ (\mathcal{I}(\theta_n) + \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{n}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta(v))}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\Delta l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} \right) dv, \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

Based on equation (174) and lemmas 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4. The convergence order of  $\frac{A_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  is

$$\frac{A_n}{\sqrt{n}} = n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} (O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) + O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{k-\frac{\delta}{2}})), \quad (175)$$

when  $k - \delta < 0$ , we have  $\frac{A_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0$ .

Secondly, we consider the property of  $B_n$  and it has the form of

$$B_n = \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} + (\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta)) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (176)$$

According to [Hariz et al., 2024] and theorem 1 in [Lieberman et al., 2012], we have

$$\frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (177)$$

When  $\mathcal{I}n(\cdot)$  is a non-degenerate continuous function, as indicated by the above equation, it can be observed that both the first and second terms of  $B_n$  tend to 0. Consequently,  $\frac{B_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges in probability to 0, and naturally, it also converges in distribution to 0.

Combining the above results, we can conclude the consistency of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

(2) Asymptotic normality of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$

According to the results of [Hariz et al., 2024], the equation

$$\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} + (\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta)) \frac{\nabla l_n(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (178)$$

converges in probability to a bounded limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Simultaneously, the second term on the right-hand side of equation (178) converges to 0. By applying the Slutsky theorem, we can verify the asymptotic normality of  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .  $\square$

## 6 Simulation study

According to equation  $Y_n = \sum_{u=1}^T X_{nT+u}$ , the likelihood function based on the sample  $\underline{Y}^{(n)} = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$  is given by

$$l_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \det (\Gamma_n^Y(\theta)) - \frac{1}{2} \underline{Y}^{(n)*} \Gamma_n^Y(\theta) \underline{Y}^{(n)}, \quad (179)$$

where  $\Gamma_n^Y(\theta)$  is the covariance matrix of  $\underline{Y}^{(n)}$ . For any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$Cov(Y_0, Y_k) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(ik\lambda) g_{H,\phi}(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (180)$$

where  $Cov(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the covariance. The score function with respect to  $\theta$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial l_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} = -\frac{1}{2} Tr \left( (\Gamma_n^Y(\theta))^{-1} \frac{\partial \Gamma_n^Y(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \underline{Y}^{(n)*} (\Gamma_n^Y(\theta))^{-1} \frac{\partial \Gamma_n^Y(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} (\Gamma_n^Y(\theta))^{-1} \underline{Y}^{(n)}, \quad (181)$$

where  $Tr(\cdot)$  denotes the trace of a matrix. The Fisher information matrix (FIM) can be deduced from equation (159). We simulate the spectral density and its derivatives using the method described in [Hariz et al., 2024], then plug the FIM and score functions into equation (160) to compute the one-step estimator numerically.

For each set of parameters, specifically  $(\phi(1), \phi(2), H) = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  and  $(\phi(1), \phi(2), H) = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$ , we conduct  $M = 1000$  Monte Carlo simulations. The sample sizes considered are  $n = 100$ ,  $n = 1000$ , and  $n = 2000$ . The number of Fourier frequencies for the initial estimations is set as  $m = \lceil n^{0.6} \rceil$  and remains fixed throughout the simulations. Without loss of generality, we assume  $T = 2$ , and the spectral density of  $Y_n$  in this case is given by

$$p_{H,\phi(2),\phi(1)}(\lambda) = (A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + 2AC \cos \lambda + 2AB \cos 2\lambda + 2BC \cos 3\lambda) f_{\epsilon_n^H}, \quad (182)$$

where  $A = 1 + \phi^4(1)\phi^4(2) - \phi(1)\phi^2(2)$ ,  $B = \frac{1}{\phi(1)}$ ,  $C = (1 - \phi(1))(1 - \phi^2(1)\phi^2(2))$ .




Figure 1: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  for  $m = \lceil n^{\frac{3}{5}} \rceil$ ,  $n = 100$ .




Figure 2: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  for  $m = \lfloor n^{0.3} \rfloor, n = 1000$ .




Figure 3: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  for  $m = \lfloor n^{0.3} \rfloor, n = 2000$ .

Table 1: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  when  $n = 100$ 

$n = 100$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.1537	0.1929	0.3056	0.2850
$\phi(1)$	0.0439	0.0688	0.1098	0.1078
$\phi(2)$	0.0325	0.0408	0.1037	0.1011

Table 2: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  when  $n = 1000$ 

$n = 1000$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.0241	0.0110	0.0129	0.0132
$\phi(1)$	0.0453	0.0787	0.0302	0.0866
$\phi(2)$	0.0368	0.0309	0.0221	0.0406

Table 3: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.7, 0.6, 0.6)$  when  $n = 2000$ 

$n = 2000$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.0111	0.0091	0.0911	0.0366
$\phi(1)$	0.0456	0.0237	0.0510	0.0298
$\phi(2)$	0.0378	0.0191	0.0432	0.0326




Figure 4: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  for  $m = \lceil n^{\frac{3}{5}} \rceil$ ,  $n = 100$ .




Figure 5: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  for  $m = \lfloor n^{0.3} \rfloor, n = 1000$ .






Figure 6: The simulation of initial estimator and one-step estimator where  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  for  $m = \lceil n^{\frac{3}{8}} \rceil, n = 2000$ .

Table 4: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  when  $n = 100$ 

$n = 100$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.0641	0.0495	0.1132	0.0476
$\phi(1)$	0.036	0.0249	0.0714	0.0707
$\phi(2)$	0.035	0.0458	0.0723	0.0769

Table 5: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  when  $n = 1000$ 

$n = 1000$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.0299	0.0192	0.0732	0.0377
$\phi(1)$	0.0455	0.0324	0.0492	0.0483
$\phi(2)$	0.0451	0.0278	0.0491	0.0363

Table 6: The Bias and RMSE of Initial estimator and One-step estimator for  $\theta = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8)$  when  $n = 2000$ 

$n = 2000$	B IE	B OS	RMSE IE	RMSE OS
$H$	0.0253	0.0101	0.0581	0.0344
$\phi(1)$	0.0458	0.0099	0.0479	0.0374
$\phi(2)$	0.0461	0.0310	0.0478	0.0459

Figure 1 ,2 and 3 depict the frequency distribution of statistical errors for the initial estimator and one-step estimator of the PFAR(1) model with parameters  $\phi(1) = 0.7$ ,  $\phi(2) = 0.6$ , and  $H = 0.6$ . Figure 4 ,5 and 6 depict the frequency distribution of statistical errors for the initial estimator and one-step estimator of the PFAR(1) model with parameters  $\phi(1) = 0.8$ ,  $\phi(2) = 0.8$ , and  $H = 0.8$ .

In all the tables, B stands for Bias, IE represents initial estimator, and OS denotes one-step estimator. From the above tables, it can be seen that the OS estimator shows a significant improvement in the estimation of  $H$ . From these figures and the accompanying table, it is evident that the one-step estimator outperforms the initial estimation, with a particularly notable improvement in estimating the parameter  $H$ , at the same time, we found that as the sample size increases, the estimation becomes more efficient. According to [Hariz et al., 2024] and our simulations, the one-step estimation also has a faster running speed.

## 7 Conclusions

This paper presents a study on parameter estimation for a periodic fractional autoregressive process (PFAR) driven by fractional Gaussian noise. The PFAR model, a specialized varying coefficient fractional autoregressive model with periodic coefficients, is introduced to capture long memory and periodicity in time series.

The authors first use the Generalized Least Squares Estimation (GLSE) and the GPH method to obtain an initial estimator for the model parameters  $\phi(u)$  and the Hurst index  $H$ . Then, a one - step estimator is developed to improve the asymptotic efficiency. Theoretical analysis proves that both estimators are consistent and asymptotically normal.

Monte Carlo simulations are conducted with different parameter values  $(\phi(1), \phi(2), H)$  and sample sizes ( $n = 100$ ,  $n = 1000$ ,  $n = 2000$ ). The simulation results show that the one - step estimator performs better than the initial estimator, especially in estimating the Hurst index  $H$ . As the sample size increases, the estimation accuracy improves. Overall, this research provides effective methods for parameter estimation in PFAR models, which can be useful in various fields such as finance, meteorology, and engineering where long memory and periodicity are common features in time series data.

## References

- EJ Hannan. A test for singularities in sydney rainfall. *Australian Journal of Physics*, vol. 8, p. 289, 8:289, 1955.
- Bonifacio Fernandez and Jose D Salas. Periodic gamma autoregressive processes for operational hydrology. *Water Resources Research*, 22(10):1385–1396, 1986.
- Philip Hans Franses and Richard Paap. *Periodic time series models*. OUP Oxford, 2004.
- Richard H Jones and William M Brelsford. Time series with periodic structure. *Biometrika*, 54(3-4):403–408, 1967.
- AV Vecchia. Maximum likelihood estimation for periodic autoregressive moving average models. *Technometrics*, 27(4):375–384, 1985.
- Alexandre Brouste, Chunhao Cai, and Marina Kleptsyna. Asymptotic properties of the mle for the autoregressive process coefficients under stationary gaussian noise. *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, 23:103–115, 2014. doi:10.3103/S1066530714020021.
- Marius Soltane. Asymptotic efficiency in autoregressive processes driven by stationary gaussian noise. *Stochastic Models*, 40(1):70–96, 2024. doi:10.1080/15326349.2023.2202227.
- Youssef Esstafa. *Long-memory time series models with dependent innovations*. PhD thesis, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 2019.
- Samir Ben Hariz, Alexandre Brouste, Chunhao Cai, and Marius Soltane. Fast and asymptotically-efficient estimation in an autoregressive process with fractional type noise. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 232:106148, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jspi.2024.106148.
- Harold Edwin Hurst. Long-term storage capacity of reservoirs. *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers*, 116(1):770–799, 1951. doi:10.1061/TACEAT.0006518.
- John Geweke and Susan Porter-Hudak. The estimation and application of long memory time series models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 4(4):221–238, 1983. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1983.tb00371.x.
- Peter M Robinson. Log-periodogram regression of time series with long range dependence. *The annals of Statistics*, pages 1048–1072, 1995. doi:10.1214/aos/1176324636.
- Lucien Le Cam. On the asymptotic theory of estimation and testing hypotheses. In *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics*, volume 3, pages 129–157. University of California Press, 1956. doi:10.1525/9780520313880-014.
- Yu A Kutoyants and Anastasia Motrunich. On multi-step mle-process for markov sequences. *Metrika*, 79:705–724, 2016. doi:10.1007/s00184-015-0574-4.
- Arnaud Gloter and Nakahiro Yoshida. Adaptive estimation for degenerate diffusion processes. 2021. doi:10.1214/20-EJS1777.
- Domenico Marinucci and Peter M Robinson. Semiparametric frequency domain analysis of fractional cointegration. 1998. doi:10.1093/oso/9780199257294.003.0015.
- Clifford M Hurvich, Rohit Deo, and Julia Brodsky. The mean squared error of geweke and porter-hudak’s estimator of the memory parameter of a long-memory time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 19(1):19–46, 1998. doi:10.1111/1467-9892.00075.

---

Serge Cohen, Fabrice Gamboa, Céline Lacaux, and Jean-Michel Loubes. LAN property for some fractional type Brownian motion. *ALEA : Latin American Journal of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 10(1):91–106, 2013. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1111.1077. URL <https://hal.science/hal-00638121>.

Offer Lieberman, Roy Rosemarin, and Judith Rousseau. Asymptotic theory for maximum likelihood estimation of the memory parameter in stationary gaussian processes. *Econometric Theory*, 28(2):457–470, 2012. doi:10.1017/S0266466611000399.