

PROOF OF KULIKOV-PERSSON-PINKHAM THEOREM VIA THE MINIMAL MODEL PROGRAM

JOSÉ GALINDO JIMÉNEZ

ABSTRACT. We give an alternative proof of the Kulikov-Persson-Pinkham Theorem for a projective degeneration of K -trivial surfaces. After running the Minimal Model Program, the obtained model has mild singularities that we resolve via Brieskorn's simultaneous resolutions and toric resolutions. Furthermore, we obtain a very explicit description of the singularities in the analogue case of schemes over fields of characteristic 0.

0. INTRODUCTION

Within the study of degenerations and singularity theory, a particular breakthrough was obtained in the late 70's by Kulikov. Given a semistable degeneration of $K3$ surfaces he was able to construct a birational semistable minimal degeneration. The result, as later generalized by Persson and Pinkham, is the following.

Theorem 0.1 (Kulikov [Kul77], Persson-Pinkham [PP81]). *Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a semistable¹ morphism to a complex disk such that*

- (1) \mathcal{Y}_t is a smooth K -trivial surface for $t \in \mathbb{D}, t \neq 0$ and
- (2) all irreducible components of the special fiber $\mathcal{Y}_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ are algebraic.

Then there is a birationally equivalent semistable degeneration $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{f'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

More generally in the literature, a semistable degeneration $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ with general fibers \mathcal{X}_t such that $K_{\mathcal{X}_t} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t}$ is called a *Kulikov model* if $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. These have very explicit descriptions, particularly in relation to the action of the monodromy. They have been quite fruitful and were studied extensively in the previous century (cf. [Per77] or [FM83] among others). Moreover, this result influenced later developments in birational geometry, in particular the beginnings of the Minimal Model Program (MMP).

We are interested in Theorem 0.1 in the context of the MMP. It was proven in [Fuj11] that for degenerations of K -trivial varieties² the MMP runs. This can

The author has received funding from Basque Government through the BERC 2022-2025 program and BCAM Severo Ochoa 2023-2027 accreditation funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033.

¹It is well known that by Mumford's Semistable Reduction Theorem (cf. [KKMSD73, Ch. 4]) any flat proper degeneration of complex analytic spaces or schemes over characteristic 0 fields can be arranged into a semistable model after finite surjective base change and birational modifications. Moreover, it may be constructed to be projective if the original one was projective.

²In fact, a larger class satisfying the weaker condition $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ for the generic fiber. We do not use said generality as Kulikov-Persson-Pinkham Theorem does not hold in this case, see Remark 2.11.

be used to obtain from a semistable model a *minimal dlt model*³, essentially the weaker MMP notion of a Kulikov Model. Said model has been further studied and has very interesting applications, for example in recent developments for the SYZ conjecture (cf. [KX15] and [NXY19]).

In particular, under special assumptions it was proven in [KLSV18] that a minimal dlt degeneration of hyper-Kähler manifolds serves as n-dimensional analogue to a Kulikov model. They share many properties, most interestingly regarding the action of the monodromy and topology of the associated dual complexes. This posed the question: How far are these dlt models from Kulikov models? For degenerations of curves it is trivial, see Remark 2.10. So we look at the case of fiber dimension 2, can one obtain Theorem 0.1? This is what we try to answer.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ a projective flat dlt surjective morphism from a complex analytic space to C a smooth curve. Assume that*

- (1) \mathcal{Y} is terminal and \mathbb{Q} -factorial and
- (2) the general fibers of f , \mathcal{Y}_t over closed points $t \in C$, are smooth surfaces with $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$ outside of a finite set C^0 .

Then there exists a finite surjective base change $\pi : C' \rightarrow C$ and a birational map p fitting a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \overset{p}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{Y} \times_C C' \\ & \searrow f' & \swarrow \pi_2 \\ & & C' \end{array}$$

such that $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C'$ is a projective Kulikov model and p is an isomorphism over $\pi^{-1}(C \setminus C^0)$. Here π_2 denotes the natural projection to C' .

In particular it holds for f projective and semistable. The proof is done in 3 steps:

- Step 1** Start with a dlt/semistable model of degenerating K -trivial surfaces and as in [Fuj11] obtain a relatively K -trivial dlt model via the MMP.
- Step 2** Prove that this minimal dlt model is snc away from the intersection loci of special fibers. More specifically the total space has at most terminal singularities above isolated canonical surface singularities in the interior of irreducible components of special fibers.
- Step 3** As canonical surface singularities are Du Val singularities, they can be resolved *crepantly*, after finite surjective base change, using Brieskorn's resolutions and toric resolutions.

Remark 0.3. i. Projectiveness is used to be able to run the MMP for complex analytic spaces, in this sense the result is weaker than Theorem 0.1, see Remark 2.8 for more details.
 ii. Step 2 was essentially done already in more generality in [NXY19, Theorem 4.5], they prove that a (good) minimal dlt model (\mathcal{X}, D) of arbitrary dimension with $K_{\mathcal{X}} + D$ Cartier is snc along the 1-dimensional lc strata. In our exposition the geometry of 3-dimensional terminal singularities allows for a simpler argument, see Remark 2.3.

³Here we use model in the sense of the MMP [KM98] as birationally equivalent scheme with nef canonical divisor in the same class of singularities.

So why give a new proof of Theorem 0.1? The original proof for $K3$ surfaces was written by Kulikov in [Kul77]. Nevertheless it was quite obscure and difficult to follow, to the point that at time of publishing some were left wondering whether it was valid (see the review of his article in Mathematical Reviews 58, # 2208).

Following Kulikov's ideas, the result was generalized and the proof was made clearer in [PP81]. Nevertheless, even though the exposition is better it is quite technical and difficult to follow. Their strategy relies on combinatorial arguments and complicated subtle complex-analytic constructions such as *generic contractions* and *quasi-degenerations*. We give a much shorter and more concise proof using the more modern language of the MMP.

Moreover, as a nice small application we get a new counter example that the MMP does not hold in general for compact complex manifolds (see Remark 2.8.i). Furthermore, the generality in which the MMP has been developed allows for a generalization with analogous arguments. Applying steps 1 and 2, one can give a quite detailed description of the minimal dlt model for algebraic varieties in characteristic 0.

Theorem 0.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ a semistable morphism to a smooth geometrically integral curve C over k a field of characteristic 0. Suppose the general fibers of f , \mathcal{Y}_t , over closed points $t \in C$ are smooth surfaces with $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$ outside of a finite set C^0 .*

Then there exists a birational morphism $p : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ fitting a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{X} \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f' \\ & & C \end{array}$$

such that p is an isomorphism over $C \setminus C^0$ and

- (1) \mathcal{X} is terminal, \mathbb{Q} -factorial and $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_f \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}$ is $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.
- (2) $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_t)$ is a dlt pair for all $t \in C$ closed points and snc in an open neighborhood of the 1-dim. strata of \mathcal{X}_t .
- (3) The irreducible components $V_{i,t}$ of \mathcal{X}_t have at most isolated canonical singularities, i.e. ADE singularities on the algebraic closure, and $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}^{snc} = \cup_{i,t} \text{Sing}(V_{i,t})$.

If $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{\bar{k}}$ is an Abelian surface for $t \in C \setminus C^0$ then $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ is semistable, where $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{\bar{k}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}$ denote the respective pullbacks via base change to the algebraic closure of k .

The reason not to apply the previously mentioned Step 3 to obtain a Kulikov model is that the simultaneous resolution of Du Val singularities does not exist in general for schemes (see Remark 3.3).

The structure of this article is the following: Section 1 gives a short overview of the terminology of the Minimal Model Program needed; in Section 2 we give the proof of Theorem 0.4 for complex analytic spaces and Theorem 0.2 and in Section 3 the proof of Theorem 0.4 as stated in the algebraic case.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to Evgeny Shinder and Philip Engel for all their help and guidance.

1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

1.1. Basic definitions from the Minimal Model Program. In general we use the definitions and notation from [KM98] and [Kol13]. Varieties are assumed to be over a field k of characteristic 0. Throughout this section we use the language of schemes but everything applies to complex analytic spaces.

An *algebraic variety* X is an integral separated scheme of finite type over k . A *pair* (X, Δ) consists of a normal algebraic variety X and a \mathbb{Q} -(Weil) divisor Δ on it. We consider pairs (X, Δ) over a smooth variety S satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) X is normal proper variety that has a dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X/S}$ and
- (2) let $\Delta = \sum a_i D_i$ be the irreducible decomposition then no D_i has its support contained in $\text{Sing}(X)$.

For a birational morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, with exceptional divisors $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ if $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier one can write

$$(1) \quad K_Y + f_*^{-1}\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_{E_i \text{ exceptional}} a(X, \Delta, E_i)E_i,$$

where $a(X, E_i, \Delta)$ is called the *discrepancy* of E_i . Moreover, set $a(Y, \Delta, D) = -\text{coeff}_D \Delta$ for non-exceptional divisors $D \subset Y$.

Let (X, Δ) be a pair, for any birational morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and any irreducible divisor $E \subset Y$ one says that

$$(X, \Delta) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{terminal} \\ \text{canonical} \\ \text{plt or log terminal} \\ \text{log canonical (lc)} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } a(X, \Delta, E) \begin{cases} > 0 \text{ for all } E \text{ exceptional,} \\ \geq 0 \text{ for all } E \text{ exceptional,} \\ > -1 \text{ for all } E \text{ exceptional,} \\ \geq -1 \text{ for all } E. \end{cases}$$

If $\Delta = 0$, then we will say that Y is terminal (resp. canonical, etc.) if $(Y, 0)$ is terminal (resp. canonical, etc.). Locally, a point $(p \in X, \Delta)$ is terminal (resp. canonical, etc.) if there is an open neighborhood $p \in U$ with $(U, \Delta|_U)$ terminal (resp. canonical, etc.).

Let (X, Δ) be an lc pair, then an irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ is a *log canonical center* or *lc center* if there is a birational morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and a divisor $E \subset Y$ such that $a(X, \Delta, E) = -1$ and $\overline{f(E)}^X = Z$. Here $\overline{(\cdot)}^X$ denotes the scheme-theoretic closure. More generally, for any divisor $E \subset Y$ such that $\overline{f(E)}^X \subseteq Z$ one says that E is a *divisor over* Z .

We say that (X, Δ) is *simple normal crossings* or *snc* if X is smooth and Δ has simple normal crossing support. The largest open set $X^{\text{snc}} \subset X$ such that $(X^{\text{snc}}, \Delta|_{X^{\text{snc}}})$ is an snc pair is called the *simple normal crossing locus* or *snc locus*.

Definition 1.1. [Kaw94, p.2] Let $f : X \rightarrow C$ be a flat proper⁴ morphism. f is called *semistable* if it satisfies the following conditions

- the generic fiber X_{gen} is smooth;

⁴Kawamata further assumes f is projective but we prioritize that semistable coincides over \mathbb{C} with the original meaning used by Kulikov.

- X is regular and the fibers X_t for the closed points $t \in C$ are geometrically reduced normal crossing divisors, and
- the irreducible components of any closed fiber X_t are geometrically irreducible and smooth.

A log canonical pair is called *divisorial log terminal* or *dlt* if none of the lc centers of (X, Δ) are over $X \setminus X^{snc}$. Analogously a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ is called *dlt* if (X, X_t) is dlt for all t closed point in C , where $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ with reduced scheme structure.

A *birational map* $f : (X, \Delta_X) \dashrightarrow (Y, \Delta_Y)$ will be called *crepant* if $K_X + \Delta_X \sim f^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$ and $\Delta_Y = f_*\Delta_X$.

Finally, we say a pair $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ is *minimal* if $K_X + \Delta$ is f -nef, that is nef for every closed irreducible curve contained in a closed fiber of f .

1.2. Dlt pairs and the different. We now recall some useful facts about dlt pairs and the different. In general, it will be of interest to have control of dlt pairs when Δ is reduced. For this reason we draw attention to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. [Fuj07, Section 9] *Let (X, Δ) be a dlt pair and V_1, \dots, V_r the irreducible divisors that appear in Δ with coefficient 1.*

- (1) *The k -codimensional lc centers of (X, Δ) are exactly the irreducible components of the various $V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_k}$.*
- (2) *Every irreducible component of $V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_k}$ is normal of pure codimension k .*
- (3) *Let $Z \subset X$ be any lc center. Assume that V_i is (\mathbb{Q}) -Cartier for some i and $Z \subset V_i$. Then every irreducible component of $V_i|_Z$ is also (\mathbb{Q}) -Cartier.*

Definition 1.3. Let (X, Δ) be a pair and $V_i, i \in I$ the prime components of Δ . A *stratum* of Δ is a connected component of the schematic intersection $\Delta_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} V_j$ for some non-empty $J \subset I$.

In particular, in the setting of the previous theorem if Δ is reduced and effective the irreducible components in each strata correspond to log canonical centers.

One can say more about these lc centers. Working with divisors it is always useful to have some form of adjunction formula, but singularities pose obstructions to the usual adjunction formula. Luckily dlt pairs allow for a “fix” of adjunction by adding a correcting term (this also holds for a more general setting see [Kol13, Section 4.1])

Def./Prop. 1.4. [Fuj07, Rmk. 8.2] Let (X, Δ) be a dlt pair and V an irreducible component appearing with coefficient 1 in Δ . Then there exists a unique \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\text{Diff}_V(\Delta - V)$ on V , defined by the equation

$$(K_X + \Delta)|_V = K_V + \text{Diff}_V(\Delta - V),$$

known as the *different*. Moreover, $(V, \text{Diff}_V(\Delta - V))$ is a dlt pair.

In particular notice the different inherits the property of dlt-ness. Computing the different is in general not easy but under good hypotheses it is possible. One deduces easily from Theorem 1.2 and [Fuj07, Prop. 9.2] the following description of the different.

Corollary 1.5. [KX15, Paragraphs 6 and 15] *Let (X, Δ) be a dlt pair such that Δ is effective and reduced with irreducible components V_i and $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Let D_i be the sum of codimension 2 strata of Δ supported on V_i . Then*

$$\text{Diff}_{V_i}(\Delta - V_i) = D_i,$$

and D_i is Cartier. Consequently, the pair (V_i, D_i) is dlt and satisfies the adjunction formula

$$(K_X + \Delta)|_{V_i} = K_{V_i} + D_i.$$

2. PROOF OF KULIKOV-PERSSON-PINKHAM THEOREM.

In this section we restrict ourselves to complex analytic spaces.

We want to follow the strategy laid out in the introduction. Step 1 will be just running the MMP, to obtain a terminal and \mathbb{Q} -factorial analytic space \mathcal{X} with $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_t)$ being dlt. Step 2 is then studying the geometry of dlt pairs from the previous section to obtain an accurate description of its singularities. For this purpose we need the following results.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be 3-dimensional and (X, Δ) a dlt pair such that Δ is effective and reduced with irreducible components V_i \mathbb{Q} -Cartier⁵. Suppose $K_X + \Delta$ is Cartier, then V_i have at most isolated canonical singularities away from the 1-dimensional strata.*

Proof. Let D_i be the sum of 1-dimensional strata supported on V_i . By Theorem 1.2, all V_i are normal, hence have at most isolated singularities, and so are the irreducible components of D_i , so these are smooth curves.

By Corollary 1.5 (V_i, D_i) is dlt and $K_{V_i} + D_i$ is Cartier. By definition of dlt pair there exists a closed subset $Z := V_i \setminus V_i^{\text{snc}}$ such that any divisor over Z has discrepancy > -1 . Since $K_{V_i} + D_i$ is Cartier, by formula (1), discrepancies are integers and thus the discrepancy must be ≥ 0 .

Z cannot contain neither the 0-dimensional strata of D_i nor an irreducible curve supported on D_i as these are log canonical centers by Theorem 1.2. Suppose there is a closed point $p \in \text{Supp } D_i \cap Z$ as discrepancy is ≥ 0 it is canonical so regular in V_i by [Kol13, Theorem 2.29]. Hence $\text{Supp } D_i$ is in the smooth locus of V_i . Away from it one has at most canonical singularities. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be 3-dimensional Gorenstein and terminal and (X, Δ) a dlt pair such that Δ is effective and reduced with irreducible components V_i \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Suppose $K_X + \Delta$ is Cartier and $X \setminus \text{Supp } \Delta \subset X^{\text{snc}}$. Then*

$$X \setminus X^{\text{snc}} = \cup_i \text{Sing}(V_i)$$

where $\text{Sing}(V_i)$ consists only of isolated canonical singularities in the interior of V_i . In particular, (X, Δ) is snc in an open neighborhood of the 1-dimensional strata.

Proof. By the previous proposition it suffices to prove that the V_i are Cartier as then a non-regular point of X will also be a non-regular point of V_i .

Since X is a 3-dimensional, terminal and Gorenstein [Kaw88, Lemma 5.1] implies that every \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on X is Cartier. Hence the V_i are Cartier and one deduces that $\text{Sing}(X) \subset \cup_i \text{Sing}(V_i)$ and thus $X \setminus X^{\text{snc}} = \cup_i \text{Sing}(V_i)$. □

⁵In the context of dlt morphisms and degenerations is sometimes referred to as *vertically \mathbb{Q} -Cartier* (cf. [BFJ15]) or *good dlt model* (cf. [NXY19]).

Remark 2.3. A rephrasing of this statement was proven in more generality in [NXY19, Theorem 4.5]. In particular, they do not assume that X is terminal, Gorenstein and 3-dimensional. The proof differs in how to show that the V_i are Cartier around the 1-dimensional strata. They prove this with a sophisticated argument, but for our setting it is enough to apply Kawamata’s Lemma.

Theorem 2.4. *Theorem 0.4 holds for complex analytic spaces if one further assumes that $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ is a projective morphism.*

Proof. Step 1. Run the MMP as in [KM98], i.e. after a series of flips and divisorial contractions one obtains $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ a projective (because we assumed f projective) morphism with \mathcal{X} terminal and \mathbb{Q} -factorial and $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ being f' -nef. Furthermore, $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ is dlt because any step of the MMP for $K_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -MMP is a step of the $(K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{Y}_t)$ -MMP, as all fibers are numerically equivalent and thus intersect trivially with any curve contained in a fiber. Furthermore, by [Fuj11, Theorem 1.1] one has that $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$ for a general fiber implies that $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{f'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

For any closed fiber \mathcal{X}_t , by adjunction (cf. [Kol13, Sec 4.1]) one has

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t} \sim (K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{X}_t)|_{\mathcal{X}_t} = K_{\mathcal{X}_t}.$$

Moreover, a general fiber \mathcal{Y}_t is K -trivial so by adjunction an arbitrary divisor from $K_{\mathcal{Y}}$ induces a principal divisor on \mathcal{Y}_t and so $|K_{\mathcal{Y}}|$ consists of a linear combination divisors on the special fibers. Hence $K_{\mathcal{Y}}$ intersects trivially with any curve of a general fiber \mathcal{Y}_t . Consequently, they cannot be contracted by any step of the MMP, hence p is an isomorphism over $C \setminus C^0$.

Step 2. \mathcal{X} is relatively K -trivial, so both $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{X}_t$ are Cartier. Moreover, every divisor is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier by \mathbb{Q} -factoriality. Thus away from other special fibers in some neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X}_t the pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}_t)$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 2.2 which yields the desired result.

The last assertion about degenerating Abelian surfaces is postponed to Remark 2.9. □

From this theorem one deduces that the only obstruction to obtain an honest Kulikov model after running the MMP are the remaining canonical singularities of the special fibers. Recall that canonical surface singularities are exactly Du Val singularities (cf. [KM98, Theorem 4.5]), also known as ADE singularities. In the category of complex analytic spaces these admit a simultaneous resolution after finite surjective base change.

Theorem 2.5. [Bri71] *Let $f : (x \in \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (0 \in S)$ be a flat morphism of pointed analytic space germs such that \mathcal{X}_0 is a surface with a rational double point, i.e. Du Val singularity, at x . Then there exists a finite and surjective ramified covering $g : S' \rightarrow S$ such that $f' : \mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$ has a simultaneous resolution*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{X}'} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \overline{f'} \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{g} & S' \end{array}$$

One has that $\overline{\mathcal{X}'_s}$ is the minimal resolution of \mathcal{X}'_s , of \mathcal{X}'_s , for every $s' \in S'$.

We are now ready to finish the proof of theorem 0.2. It is a bit tedious to write but conceptually it is simple. Essentially, first apply Theorem 2.5, this allows to

get rid of the Du Val singularities but the base change produces toric singularities along the intersection loci. Luckily, this case was already studied by Friedman who showed that these are resolved crepantly via toric resolutions.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. After applying Theorem 2.4 it suffices to show we can resolve crepantly all the isolated Du Val singularities on the minimal dlt model.

Step 3. Without loss of generality assume there is a single (possibly) singular fiber which we call \mathcal{Y}_0 . Pick a Du Val singularity $x \in V_i$ in an irreducible component of \mathcal{Y}_0 . There is a neighborhood $x \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ for which the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Hence there exists a finite ramified surjective base change $\pi_0 : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ giving a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Y} \\ h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f_0 \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & C \end{array}$$

Notice that \mathcal{Z} is h -trivial as the relative canonical divisor does not change for a finite surjective base change. By Theorem 2.5 locally one finds a simultaneous resolution q

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & x \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{Y} \\ \tilde{h} \downarrow & & h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f_0 \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{=} & \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & C \end{array} \quad .$$

q gives a minimal resolution fiberwise, therefore q is a birational morphism and an isomorphism in codimension 1, thus $q^*K_{\mathcal{Z}} \sim K_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}$. Globally we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Y} \\ \tilde{h} \downarrow & & h \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f_0 \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{=} & \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & C \end{array} \quad .$$

Now $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ may have singularities arising from the effect of the base change in the lc locus.

Claim. *There exists a crepant birational morphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}' & \xrightarrow{j} & \tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \\ & \searrow h' & \swarrow \tilde{h} \\ & & \tilde{C} \end{array}$$

such that it is an isomorphism outside of a neighborhood \mathcal{V}_0 of the intersection locus of $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0$; it is a log resolution of singularities over \mathcal{V}_0 and $h' : \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is a flat, proper morphism.

The proof of this claim is obtained by applying the arguments from [Fri83, Proposition 1.2]. An order $n : 1$ base change creates toric singularities which locally analytically around the triple points look like

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]/(xyz - t^n)$$

and the double curves become curves of A_{n-1} singularities. These can be resolved by the standard toric resolution subdividing the corresponding fans. Most importantly

turns out in this case the process is crepant. This is done locally around the intersection loci so away from the canonical singularities so it is equivalent to the snc case described by Friedman's article.

After applying the claim, $(\mathcal{Z}', (\mathcal{Z}'_0))$ satisfies being snc away from the Du Val singularities, has one Du Val singularity less than $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}_0)$ and maintains K -triviality. Repeating this process to $(\mathcal{Z}', \mathcal{Z}'_0)$, leads inductively to a finite surjective morphism $\pi : C' \rightarrow C$, a birational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \overset{p}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{Y} \times_C C' \\ & \searrow f' & \swarrow \\ & C' & \end{array}$$

and a flat proper morphism $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C'$. Now any terminal singularity $(x \in \mathcal{X})$ must lie over the interior of the irreducible components V_i since \mathcal{X}_0 is a Cartier divisor. This does not occur since we resolved all singularities hence \mathcal{X} is smooth, $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{f'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and \mathcal{X}_0 is an snc divisor q.e.d. \square

Remark 2.6. One does not need to resolve the ADE singularities one by one, we wrote it this way to prioritize readability.

Corollary 2.7 (Kulikov-Persson-Pinkham Theorem). *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a projective degeneration of smooth K -trivial surfaces over the complex disk. Then there is a finite and surjective base change $\pi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and \mathcal{X}' a smooth manifold fitting a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \overset{p}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{D} \\ & \searrow f' & \swarrow \pi_2 \\ & \mathbb{D} & \end{array}$$

Where p is a birational map which is an isomorphism outside the central fiber and $f' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a projective semistable degeneration with $K_{\mathcal{X}'} \sim_{f'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$.

Proof. Apply Mumford's Semistable Reduction Theorem [KKMSD73, Ch. 4] and then Theorem 0.2. \square

Remark 2.8. For Theorem 0.1 originally in [Kul77] the morphism is not assumed to be projective just proper with Kähler fibers, this was later improved in [PP81] from Kähler to algebraic.

The projective condition we only used to run the MMP for complex analytic spaces. The MMP has not yet been established in the setting of Theorem 0.1, so this strategy restricts us to the sufficient condition for the MMP to run. A couple of comments about what is known about the matter.

- i. A degeneration of $K3$ surfaces that does not admit a Kulikov model was constructed in [Nis88]. This degeneration satisfies that the central fiber contains a non-algebraic complex analytic surface as irreducible component (so-called Kodaira class VII surfaces).

This provides a new counterexample that the MMP cannot be established in general for compact complex manifolds (previously observed in [KM98, 2.17]). As if it did applying these arguments would give a Kulikov model yielding a contradiction.

- ii. In dimension 3 if \mathcal{X} is a compact Kähler \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal complex analytic space and f is proper the Minimal Model conjecture holds, as shown in [HP16]. Hence one could replace f projective by these weaker hypotheses.

Remark 2.9 (Abelian surface case). For a Kulikov model of Abelian surfaces, each irreducible component of the central fiber admits a torus embedding (cf. [FM83, p. 22]). These do not admit ADE configurations of curves (cf. [Ful93]). This shows that there were no ADE singularities to begin with, so Step 3 was unnecessary. This proves the assertion about Abelian surfaces in Theorem 0.4.

Remark 2.10 (Curve case). As it happened in [PP81], the arguments for degenerating surfaces also apply for degenerations of curves. For a semistable degeneration $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ such that general fibers \mathcal{Y}_t are smooth curves with $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$. As in [Fuj11, Theorem 1.2] running the MMP gives $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ with \mathcal{X} a terminal, hence smooth, surface with $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

From Theorem 1.2 one deduces that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_t)$ are snc around intersection points because they are lc centers and the irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_t are normal, hence smooth, curves. So $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ is a Kulikov model.

Remark 2.11 (Surface case $\kappa(\mathcal{X}_t) = 0$). Kulikov models do not always exist for smooth surfaces with *numerically* trivial canonical divisor. A counterexample for degenerations of Enriques surfaces is given by the “flower pots” in [Per77, 3.3 and Appendix 2]. By [Fuj11, Theorem 1.2] running the MMP gives a minimal dlt model $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ with $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

The key point is that in Step 2 it is essential that $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is Cartier: To deduce that discrepancies of (V_i, D_i) are integers and that irreducible components V_i are Cartier ($K_{\mathcal{X}} + \Delta$ being Cartier is also used in [NXY19, Theorem 4.5]). This is not necessarily true in the case of degenerating Enriques surfaces or other numerically trivial surfaces.

3. ALGEBRAIC CASE.

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 0.4. We assume that varieties are over an arbitrary field k of characteristic 0, we denote its algebraic closure as \bar{k} .

Definition 3.1. Let Z be a scheme and G a group acting on Z , Z is called $G\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial if every G -invariant Weil divisor on Z is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. If $k = \bar{k}$ then it follows by the exact same arguments as Theorem 2.4.

Assume otherwise that k is not an algebraically closed field.

Step 1. Running the MMP as in [Kol98] and repeating the arguments as in the algebraically closed case obtain that p is an isomorphism over the general fiber, \mathcal{X} is terminal, \mathbb{Q} -factorial, $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim_f \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $f' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ is dlt. Moreover, if $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}$ denotes the pullback to the algebraic closure one gets that it is $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial by [Mor88, 0.3.14].

Step 2. Proposition 2.1 applies to describe the singularities of irreducible components of fibers. But not Corollary 2.2, this is because Kawamata’s Lemma does not apply as its proof depends on topological arguments.

Observe that as $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$ was semistable, the remaining non-contracted irreducible components of the fibers are still geometrically irreducible. Take the

pullback to the algebraic closure $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}$ and denote $\bar{V}_{i,t}$ as the pullback of the irreducible components of the closed fibers \mathcal{X}_t . Note that being geometrically irreducible implies being $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -invariant, so these are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Moreover $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}$ is also relatively K -trivial and terminal (cf. [Kol99]) hence by [Kaw88, Lemma 5.1] $\bar{V}_{i,t}$ are Cartier. Furthermore, $\bar{V}_{i,t}$ are regular on the boundary because of Proposition 2.1. Thus $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k}}) \subset \cup_{i,t} \text{Sing}(\bar{V}_{i,t})$. Consequently $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \cup_{i,t} \text{Sing}(V_{i,t})$ as $\text{char } k = 0$.

The assertion about Abelian surfaces follows by Remark 2.9. \square

Remark 3.2. From this result one can redo the classification of Kulikov models in type I, II and III degenerations as in [Kul77, Theorem II], by simply identifying the type of the model in the base change to the algebraic closure. In particular, one obtains the same description of the central fiber and its dual complex.

Remark 3.3. As described in [Art74], the simultaneous resolution of rational double points does not exist in general in the category of schemes. For this reason we cannot apply Step 3 in this setting.

Assuming k is algebraically closed, in the same article Artin also proved that in the category of algebraic spaces it does exist. In fact, the MMP can be ran for algebraic spaces and in [Kol13] one sees that the classes of singularities work similarly. So the analogous version of Theorem 0.2 should hold for algebraic spaces following from similar arguments.

REFERENCES

- [Art74] Michael Artin. Algebraic construction of Brieskorn’s resolutions. *Journal of Algebra*, 29(2):330–348, 1974.
- [BFJ15] Sébastien Boucksom, Charles Favre, and Mattias Jonsson. Singular semipositive metrics in non-archimedean geometry. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(3):617–667, 2015.
- [Bri71] Egbert Brieskorn. Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups. In *Proc. Int. Cong. Math., Nice*. Gauthier-Villars, 1971.
- [FM83] Robert Friedman and David R. Morrison. *The Birational Geometry of Degenerations*, volume 29 of *Progress in mathematics*. Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [Fri83] Robert Friedman. Base change, automorphisms, and stable reduction for type III K3 surfaces. *The birational geometry of degenerations (Cambridge, Mass., 1981)*, 29:277–298, 1983.
- [Fuj07] Osamu Fujino. What is log terminal? *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, 35:49–62, 2007.
- [Fuj11] Osamu Fujino. Semi-stable minimal model program for varieties with trivial canonical divisor. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 87(3):25–30, 2011.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [HP16] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell. Minimal models for Kähler threefolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 203(1):217–264, 2016.
- [Kaw88] Yujiro Kawamata. Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 127(1):93–163, 1988.
- [Kaw94] Yujiro Kawamata. Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 3(3):463, 1994.
- [KKMSD73] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and Bernard Saint-Donat. *Toroidal embeddings. I*, volume 339 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Springer, 1973.
- [KLSV18] János Kollár, Radu Laza, Giulia Saccà, and Claire Voisin. Remarks on degenerations of hyper-Kähler manifolds. volume 68, pages 2837–2882, 2018.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

- [Kol98] János Kollár. Real algebraic threefolds. I: Terminal singularities. *Collectanea Mathematica*, 49(2-3):335–360, 1998.
- [Kol99] János Kollár. Real algebraic threefolds. II: Minimal model program. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):33–83, 1999.
- [Kol13] János Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program.*, volume 200 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [Kul77] Viktor Kulikov. Degenerations of K3 surfaces and Enriques surfaces. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 11(5):957, 1977.
- [KX15] János Kollár and Chenyang Xu. The dual complex of Calabi–Yau pairs. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 205(3):527–557, December 2015.
- [Mor88] Shigefumi Mori. Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(1):117–253, 1988.
- [Nis88] Kenji Nishiguchi. Degeneration of K3 surfaces. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 28(2):267–300, 1988.
- [NXY19] Johannes Nicaise, Chenyang Xu, and Tony Yue Yu. The non-archimedean SYZfibration. *Compositio Mathematica*, 155(5):953–972, 2019.
- [Per77] Ulf Persson. *On degenerations of algebraic surfaces*, volume 189 of *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. American Mathematical Society, 1977.
- [PP81] Ulf Persson and Henry Pinkham. Degeneration of surfaces with trivial canonical bundle. *Annals of Mathematics*, 113:45–66, 1981.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, CARRER DEL DOCTOR MOLINER
50, BURJASSOT, 46100, PAÍS VALENCIÀ, SPAIN

Email address: `gajijo@uv.es`