

# Fast relaxation of a viscous vortex in an external flow

Martin Donati and Thierry Gallay

March 27, 2025

## Abstract

We study the evolution of a concentrated vortex advected by a smooth, divergence-free velocity field in two space dimensions. In the idealized situation where the initial vorticity is a Dirac mass, we compute an approximation of the solution which accurately describes, in the regime of high Reynolds numbers, the motion of the vortex center and the deformation of the streamlines under the shear stress of the external flow. For ill-prepared initial data, corresponding to a sharply peaked Gaussian vortex, we prove relaxation to the previous solution on a time scale that is much shorter than the diffusive time, due to enhanced dissipation inside the vortex core.

## 1 Introduction

We revisit the classical problem of the evolution of a concentrated vortex in a background flow, which was carefully studied in the monographs [23, 24] and the previous works [25, 13]. We assume that the external velocity field is smooth, divergence-free, and uniformly bounded together with its derivatives. Our goal is to give a rigorous description of the solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in such a background flow, for concentrated initial data corresponding either to a point vortex or to a sharply peaked Gaussian vortex. In both cases the solution remains concentrated for quite a long time provided the kinematic viscosity  $\nu > 0$  is sufficiently small. The leading order approximation is a Lamb-Oseen vortex whose center is advected by the external flow, whereas the vortex core spreads diffusively due to viscosity. Higher order corrections describe the deformation of the streamlines under the external shear stress, and appear to be sensitive to the choice of the initial data.

From the point of view of mathematical analysis, it is convenient to consider first the idealized situation where the initial vorticity is just a Dirac mass. Despite the singular nature of such data, the initial value problem remains globally well-posed, as can be seen by adapting to the present case the results that are known for the two-dimensional vorticity equation in the space of finite measures [10, 7, 9]. By construction, the size of the vortex core vanishes at initial time, and is therefore infinitely small compared to the typical length scale  $d_0 > 0$  defined by the external flow. For such *well-prepared* initial data, the approximate solution constructed in [25, 23] depends only on the “normal” time scale associated with the external field, and describes the deformation of the vortex core under the external shear stress.

The situation is quite different if the initial vorticity is a radially symmetric vortex patch or vortex blob with finite extension  $\ell_0 \ll d_0$ . Such data can be described as *ill-prepared*, in the sense that the resulting solution exhibits a transient regime during which the initially symmetric vortex gets deformed to adapt its shape to the external strain. The streamlines near the vortex core become elliptical, with an eccentricity that undergoes damped oscillations on a short time scale until it settles down to the value predicted by the well-prepared solution. This evolution is illustrated by a numerical simulation in Figure 1. In a second stage, the vorticity distribution inside the core slowly relaxes to a Gaussian profile under the action of viscosity. This two-step process was carefully studied by Le Dizès and Verga [11] in the related case of a co-rotating vortex pair, for which the deformation of the vortex cores is just the first stage of a complex




Figure 1: Numerical simulation of a vortex in an external field with Gaussian initial data. The vorticity distribution (left) and the deviation from the Lamb-Oseen vortex (right) are represented at nine different times, using standard color codes for the vorticity levels. The final state at  $t = 7$  is close to the approximate solution defined in (1.10). This simulation is made with the free software [Basilisk](#), and the external field is chosen as in Appendix A.1.

dynamics eventually leading to vortex merging [20]. In the perturbative approach of Ting and Klein [23], a two-time analysis is necessary to obtain an accurate description of the solution in the ill-prepared case.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we show that the techniques introduced in [3] to study the solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with a finite collection of point vortices as initial data can be adapted to the emblematic case of a single vortex in an external flow, which is at the same time simpler and more general. In particular, if the initial vorticity is a Dirac mass, we construct perturbatively an accurate approximation of the solution, and we verify that the exact solution remains close to it over a long time interval if the viscosity is small enough. Next, we consider ill-prepared data for which the initial vorticity is a sharply concentrated Gaussian function, and we prove that the resulting solution rapidly relaxes towards the approximate solution computed in the well-prepared case. That part of the analysis relies on enhanced dissipation estimates for the linearized Navier-Stokes equations at the Lamb-Oseen vortex, which are due to Li, Wei, and Zhang [12]. Such estimates were already applied in [4] to quantify the stability properties of a Gaussian vortex in the regime of high Reynolds numbers, but to our knowledge they were never used to study the deformation of vortices under external strain.

We now present our results in a more precise way. We give ourselves a smooth, time-dependent velocity field  $f = (f_1, f_2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  which is uniformly bounded together with its derivatives with respect to the space variable  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the time  $t \in [0, T]$ . We assume that  $f$  is divergence-free, namely

$$\nabla \cdot f(x, t) := \partial_{x_1} f_1(x, t) + \partial_{x_2} f_2(x, t) = 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T].$$

The characteristic time  $T_0 > 0$  of the velocity field  $f$  is defined by the classical formula

$$\frac{1}{T_0} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Df(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $Df$  denotes the first order differential of  $f$  with respect to the space variable. To avoid trivial situations, we suppose from now on that  $T_0 < \infty$ , which means that  $Df \not\equiv 0$ .

We consider the evolution of a concentrated vortex embedded in the external flow described by the velocity field  $f$ . The vorticity distribution  $\omega(x, t)$  is a scalar function satisfying the evolution equation

$$\partial_t \omega(x, t) + (u(x, t) + f(x, t)) \cdot \nabla \omega(x, t) = \nu \Delta \omega(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

where the parameter  $\nu > 0$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The velocity field  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  associated with  $\omega$  is given by the Biot-Savart formula

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(x - y)^\perp}{|x - y|^2} \omega(y, t) dy, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T), \quad (1.3)$$

where we use the notation  $x^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$  and  $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$  for all  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote  $u = \text{BS}[\omega]$  and we observe that  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$  and  $\partial_{x_1} u_2 - \partial_{x_2} u_1 = \omega$ . Equations (1.2), (1.3) form a closed system, which corresponds when  $f \equiv 0$  to the usual two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in vorticity form. We refer the reader to [17, 19] for general results on these equations.

**Remark 1.1.** Equation (1.2) appears in at least two physical contexts. The first one is the evolution of a finite number of isolated vortices under the dynamics of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A natural strategy in this situation is to concentrate on the motion of one particular vortex, in which case the corresponding vorticity distribution  $\omega$  satisfies the evolution equation (1.2) with  $u = \text{BS}[\omega]$  and  $f$  being the velocity field associated with all the other vortices in the flow, see for example [18, 9, 3]. Note that the vorticity  $\omega_f := \partial_{x_1} f_2 - \partial_{x_2} f_1$  is advected by the velocity field  $u$ , so that the external flow  $f$  is not independent of the solution  $\omega$  of (1.2). Alternatively, following [23, 24], we can consider the evolution of a single vortex in a background potential flow  $f$ , which is typically due to an inflow condition at infinity. In that case  $\omega_f = 0$ , so that the dynamics of the vortex does not influence the external flow.

We first consider the idealized situation where the initial vorticity is a Dirac mass, which means that  $\omega_0 = \Gamma \delta_{z_0}$  for some  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Without loss of generality, we assume henceforth that  $\Gamma > 0$ . Adapting the results of [10, 9], which hold for  $f \equiv 0$ , it is not difficult to verify that Eq. (1.2) has a unique (mild) solution  $\omega \in C^0((0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$  such that

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\cdot, t) dx \rightharpoonup \Gamma \delta_{z_0} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where the half-arrow  $\rightharpoonup$  denotes the weak convergence of measures. In the simple case where  $f \equiv 0$ , the solution takes the explicit form

$$\omega(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \Omega_0 \left( \frac{x - z_0}{\sqrt{\nu t}} \right), \quad u(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{\nu t}} U_0 \left( \frac{x - z_0}{\sqrt{\nu t}} \right), \quad (1.5)$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, +\infty)$ , where the vorticity  $\Omega_0$  and the velocity  $U_0 = \text{BS}[\Omega_0]$  are given by

$$\Omega_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right), \quad U_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\xi^\perp}{|\xi|^2} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)\right), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.6)$$

Note that  $u \cdot \nabla \omega \equiv 0$ , so that  $\omega$  actually solves the linear heat equation  $\partial_t \omega = \nu \Delta \omega$ . The self-similar solution (1.5) of the two-dimensional vorticity equation is referred to as the *Lamb-Oseen*

vortex with circulation  $\Gamma > 0$ , centered at the point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . More generally, for solutions of (1.2), (1.3), the *total circulation* is the conserved quantity defined by

$$\Gamma := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega(x, t) \, dx.$$

The dimensionless ratio  $\Gamma/\nu$  is called the *circulation Reynolds number*.

In the more interesting situation where  $f \neq 0$ , no explicit expression is available in general, but if the viscosity is weak enough so that the diffusion length  $\sqrt{\nu t}$  is small compared to the characteristic length defined by the external flow, we can approximate the solution of (1.2) by a sharply concentrated Lamb-Oseen vortex which is simply advected by the external velocity field. This fact is rigorously stated in the following result.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Fix  $\Gamma > 0$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . There exist positive constants  $K_0, \delta_0$  such that, if  $0 < \nu/\Gamma < \delta_0$ , the unique solution of (1.2), (1.3) satisfying (1.4) has the following property:*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \omega(x, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \Omega_0 \left( \frac{x - \hat{z}(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}} \right) \right| dx \leq K_0 \frac{\sqrt{\nu t}}{d}, \quad \forall t \in (0, T), \quad (1.7)$$

where  $d = \sqrt{\Gamma T_0}$  and  $\hat{z}(t)$  is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\hat{z}'(t) = f(\hat{z}(t), t), \quad \hat{z}(0) = z_0. \quad (1.8)$$

**Remark 1.3.** *The dimensionless constants  $K_0, \delta_0$  depend only on the ratio  $\mathcal{R} := T/T_0$  and on the quantity*

$$\mathcal{K} := \frac{T_0}{d} \sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{k=0}^4 T_0^m d^k \|\partial_t^m \mathbb{D}^k f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T])}, \quad (1.9)$$

which measures the intensity of the external flow. We expect that  $K_0 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta_0 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \infty$ . We emphasize, however, that estimate (1.7) holds uniformly in  $\nu$  provided the inverse Reynolds number  $\nu/\Gamma$  is sufficiently small. In particular we see that  $\omega(\cdot, t) dx \rightarrow \Gamma \delta_{\hat{z}(t)}$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

**Remark 1.4.** *The quantity  $d = \sqrt{\Gamma T_0}$  can be interpreted as the effective size of a vortex of circulation  $\Gamma$  in an external field, namely the size of the neighborhood of the vortex center in which the external strain is weaker than the strain of the vortex itself. It should not be confused with the size of the vortex core, which depends on the vorticity distribution and can be considerably smaller. In the setting of Proposition 1.2, the latter quantity is proportional to the diffusion length  $\sqrt{\nu t}$ , which is indeed much smaller than  $d$  if  $\delta_0 T/T_0 \ll 1$ . Under these assumptions, estimate (1.7) provides a good approximation of the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of (1.2).*

Estimate (1.7) is simple and elegant, but does not describe the deformation of the vortex core under the action of the external flow, which is the main phenomenon we want to study in this paper. Therefore we need a more precise asymptotic expansion of the solution of (1.2), which includes non-radially symmetric corrections that were neglected in (1.7). To this end, we propose the following approximation of a Gaussian vortex of circulation  $\Gamma > 0$  and core size  $\ell > 0$ , located at a point  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and undergoing the strain of an external velocity field  $f$ :

$$\omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \ell, z, f; x) = \frac{\Gamma}{\ell^2} \Omega_0 \left( \frac{x - z}{\ell} \right) + w_2 \left( \frac{|x - z|}{\ell} \right) (a_f(z) \sin(2\theta) - b_f(z) \cos(2\theta)). \quad (1.10)$$

Here, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we denote by  $\theta$  the polar angle of the rescaled variable  $(x - z)/\ell$ , which is adapted to the description of the vortex core. The strain rates  $a_f(z), b_f(z)$  are defined by

$$a_f(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 f_1 - \partial_2 f_2)(z), \quad b_f(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 f_2 + \partial_2 f_1)(z), \quad (1.11)$$

and the smooth function  $w_2 : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  can be expressed in terms of the solution of a linear differential equation, see Remark 2.7 and Figure 2. For our purposes it is enough to know that  $w_2(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^2)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_2(r) \sim (r^4/8)e^{-r^2/4}$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Remark 1.5.** *The expression (1.10) is not new and appears in related contexts, in particular in the large-Reynolds-number expansion of Burgers vortices, see [22, 21] and Section A.1 below.*

Identifying the core size  $\ell$  with the diffusion length  $\sqrt{\nu t}$ , we see that the first term in the right-hand side of (1.10) is the exactly Lamb-Oseen vortex (1.5), which is a radially symmetric function of the rescaled variable  $(x - z)/\ell$ ; in contrast, the correction term involving  $w_2$  depends explicitly on the polar angle  $\theta$ . In view of (1.1) the strain rates (1.11) are bounded by  $T_0^{-1}$ , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| w_2 \left( \frac{|x - z|}{\ell} \right) (a_f(z) \sin(2\theta) - b_f(z) \cos(2\theta)) \right| dx \leq C \frac{\ell^2}{T_0}, \quad (1.12)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . If  $\ell^2 = \nu t \ll d^2 = \Gamma T_0$ , as is the case under the assumptions of Proposition 1.2, we deduce that the Lamb-Oseen vortex is the leading term in the approximation (1.10). We also observe that, since the correction term is a linear function of  $\cos(2\theta)$  and  $\sin(2\theta)$ , the streamlines of the corresponding velocity field are elliptical in a first approximation, which is of course a well-known fact [11, 20].

We are now in a position to state our first main result, which subsumes Proposition 1.2.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Fix  $\Gamma > 0$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . There exist positive constants  $K_1, \delta_1$  such that, if  $0 < \nu/\Gamma < \delta_1$ , the unique solution of (1.2), (1.3) satisfying (1.4) has the following property:*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \omega(x, t) - \omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t}, z(t), f(t); x) \right| dx \leq K_1 \varepsilon(t)^2 (\varepsilon(t) + \delta), \quad \forall t \in (0, T), \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ ,  $d = \sqrt{\Gamma T_0}$ ,  $\delta = \nu/\Gamma$ , and  $z(t)$  is the unique solution of the ODE

$$z'(t) = f(z(t), t) + \nu t \Delta f(z(t), t), \quad (1.14)$$

with initial condition  $z(0) = z_0$ .

Estimate (1.13) shows that the solution of (1.2) stays very close to the approximation (1.10) with  $\ell = \sqrt{\nu t}$  and  $f = f(\cdot, t)$ , provided the vortex position  $z(t)$  evolves according to the ODE (1.14), which contains the viscous correction term  $\nu t \Delta f$ . We observe that, if the external velocity field  $f$  is irrotational, then  $\Delta f = \nabla^\perp \omega_f = 0$  so that (1.14) reduces to (1.8). In the general case, the solutions of (1.8), (1.14) do not coincide, but they stay close to each other, and a simple calculation that is postponed to Section 3.3.4 shows that estimate (1.13) implies (1.7).

**Remark 1.7.** *The most natural way of locating the position of a concentrated vortex with nonzero circulation  $\Gamma$  is to use the center of vorticity  $\bar{z}(t)$ , which satisfies*

$$\bar{z}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x \omega(x, t) dx, \quad \bar{z}'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx. \quad (1.15)$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we show in Section 3.3.4 that  $|\bar{z}(t) - z(t)| \leq C d \varepsilon^3 (\varepsilon + \delta)$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . This means that the motion of the center of vorticity is accurately described by the ODE (1.14), and that estimate (1.13) still holds if  $z(t)$  is replaced by  $\bar{z}(t)$ . In contrast, using the approximate vortex position  $\hat{z}(t)$  leads to a less precise control on the solution, as in (1.7).

We now consider the different situation where the initial vorticity is not a Dirac mass, but a Gaussian vortex of circulation  $\Gamma > 0$  and small characteristic length  $\ell_0 > 0$ . To facilitate the comparison with the previous results, it is convenient to fix an initial time  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  and to assume that  $\ell_0 = \sqrt{\nu t_0}$ . Our second main result can be stated as follows.



**Theorem 1.8.** Fix  $\Gamma > 0$ ,  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ . There exist positive constants  $K_2, \delta_2, c_2$  such that, if  $0 < \nu/\Gamma < \delta_2$ , the unique solution of (1.2), (1.3) with initial data

$$\omega(x, t_0) = \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t_0} \Omega_0\left(\frac{x - z_0}{\sqrt{\nu t_0}}\right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.16)$$

satisfies, for all  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \omega(x, t) - \omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t}, z(t), f(t); x) \right| dx \leq K_2 \varepsilon(t)^2 \left\{ \delta^{1/6} \left( \log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{t_0}{t} \right)^\beta \right\}, \quad (1.17)$$

where  $\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ ,  $d = \sqrt{\Gamma T_0}$ ,  $\delta = \nu/\Gamma$ ,  $\beta = c_2 \delta^{-1/3}$ , and  $z(t)$  is the unique solution of the ODE (1.14) with initial condition  $z(t_0) = z_0$ .

**Remark 1.9.** It is important to realize that the left-hand side of (1.17) does not vanish at initial time  $t_0$ , unless the strain rates  $a_0 := a_{f(t_0)}(z_0)$  and  $b_0 := b_{f(t_0)}(z_0)$  are both equal to zero. Indeed, it follows from (1.10), (1.16) that

$$\omega(x, t_0) - \omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t_0}, z_0, f(t_0); x) = w_2 \left( \frac{|x - z_0|}{\sqrt{\nu t_0}} \right) \left( b_0 \cos(2\theta) - a_0 \sin(2\theta) \right),$$

and the  $L^1$  norm of the right-hand side is proportional to  $\nu t_0 (a_0^2 + b_0^2)^{1/2}$ . In that sense our initial data (1.16) are ill-prepared if  $(a_0, b_0) \neq (0, 0)$ : being radially symmetric around the point  $z_0$ , they do not take into account the strain of the external velocity field  $f(\cdot, t_0)$ .

Since  $\beta = c_2 \delta^{-1/3}$  we have  $(t_0/t)^\beta \leq \delta$  when  $t \geq t_0(1 + \tau_\delta)$ , where  $\tau_\delta = c_3 \delta^{1/3} \log(1/\delta)$  for some  $c_3 > 0$ . The right-hand side of (1.17) is therefore of size  $\varepsilon(t)^2 \delta^{1/6} (\log(1/\delta))^{1/2}$  as soon as  $t \geq t_0(1 + \tau_\delta)$ . In other words, the solution of (1.2) rapidly relaxes towards the approximate solution (1.10), which takes into account the effect of the external strain, and remains close to it up to the final time  $T$ . This description agrees with the numerical observation in Figure 1. That the relaxation rate  $\beta$  depends on the inverse Reynolds number  $\delta = \nu/\Gamma$  is a consequence of the *enhanced dissipation* effect in the vortex core, see [4] and Section 4.

Theorem 1.8 can be seen as an extension of Theorem 1.6, in the sense that the latter is obtained from the former by taking, at least formally, the the limit  $t_0 \rightarrow 0$ . This connection can be made rigorous if we write the the approximation formula (1.17) in a slightly more precise form, see Section 4. The comparison of (1.13), (1.17) also shows that the solution starting from a Dirac mass can be considered as a canonical model for the deformation of a concentrated vortex in an external field, in the sense that it attracts solutions of (1.2) starting from ill-prepared initial data.

**Remark 1.10.** In the context of Theorem 1.8, it is perfectly natural to start with a radially symmetric vortex, but a priori there is no reason to restrict oneself to the Gaussian case. As a matter of fact, numerical experiments show that relaxation to the well-prepared solution occurs for a large class of initial profiles, even though the damped oscillations that are observed in the transient period after initial time strongly depend on the choice of the profile [11]. In this paper we consider the particular initial data (1.16) because we want to use the enhanced dissipation estimates of [12], which have been established so far only in the Gaussian case.

The proof of our results relies on the construction of an approximate solution of the initial value problem in self-similar variables, which is carried out in Section 2. This part of the argument closely follows the previous works [3, 2] where particular situations were considered. The proof of Theorem 1.6 is carried out in Section 3, first under the simplifying assumption that  $T/T_0 \ll 1$ , and then for any  $T > 0$ . In both cases the desired control on the solution is obtained by an energy estimate in some weighted  $L^2$  space, but the construction of the weight function is much more complicated if  $T$  is not small compared to  $T_0$ . Improving upon the results of [3], we

construct a Gaussian-like weight that provides an optimal control on the solution as far as the decay at infinity is concerned, and implies in particular the  $L^1$  estimate (1.13). In Section 4, we show how these arguments can be combined with the enhanced dissipation estimates obtained by Li, Wei, and Zhang [12] to yield a proof of Theorem 1.8. Finally, a few auxiliary results are collected in the Appendix. In particular, we clarify the link between our approximate solution (1.10) and the Burgers vortex in an asymmetric strain, and we investigate the motion of the center of vorticity under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6.

## 2 Self-similar variables and approximate solution

We first explain the common strategy in the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. Fix  $\Gamma > 0$ ,  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\omega(x, t)$  be the solution of (1.2), (1.3) satisfying either (1.4) or (1.16). In both cases, the solution is sharply concentrated near a time-dependent point  $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  if the viscosity  $\nu > 0$  is sufficiently small. To desingularize the problem, it is useful to make the self-similar change of coordinates

$$\omega(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \Omega\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}, t\right), \quad u(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{\nu t}} U\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}, t\right). \quad (2.1)$$

In what follows we denote

$$\xi = \frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}, \quad \delta = \frac{\nu}{\Gamma}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\sqrt{\nu t}}{d}, \quad d = \sqrt{\Gamma T_0}. \quad (2.2)$$

The new space variable  $\xi$  measures the distance to the vortex center  $z(t)$  in units of the diffusion length  $\sqrt{\nu t}$ . As already explained, the small parameter  $\delta$  is the inverse Reynolds number, and the time-dependent aspect ratio  $\varepsilon$  compares the size  $\sqrt{\nu t}$  of the vortex core to the effective size  $d$  of the vortex.

As is easily verified, the evolution equation satisfied by the rescaled vorticity  $\Omega(\xi, t)$  is

$$t\partial_t\Omega(\xi, t) + \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} U(\xi, t) + \sqrt{\frac{t}{\nu}} \left( f(z(t) + \sqrt{\nu t}\xi, t) - z'(t) \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla\Omega(\xi, t) = \mathcal{L}\Omega(\xi, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the diffusion operator defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \Delta_\xi + \frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot \nabla_\xi + 1. \quad (2.4)$$

The position  $z(t)$  of the vortex center is unknown at this stage, but will be chosen so as to minimize the quantity  $f(z(t) + \sqrt{\nu t}\xi, t) - z'(t)$  in an appropriate sense. The leading order approximation corresponds to the natural choice  $z'(t) = f(z(t), t)$ , but higher order corrections, leading to the modified ODE (1.14), will be needed to achieve the desired precision. We also observe that the rescaled velocity  $U(\xi, t)$  is divergence-free and satisfies  $\partial_1 U_2 - \partial_2 U_1 = \Omega$ , which means that  $U$  is obtained from  $\Omega$  by the Biot-Savart formula (1.3), namely  $U = \text{BS}[\Omega]$ .

It is important to observe that (2.3) is not a regular evolution equation at time  $t = 0$ , due to the singular time derivative  $t\partial_t\Omega$  in the left-hand side. Nevertheless, if we adapt to the present case the results of [7, 9], which hold for  $f(z, t) = 0$  and  $z(t) = 0$ , it is not difficult to show that (2.3) has a unique (mild) solution  $\Omega \in C^0((0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$  that satisfies  $\|\Omega(\cdot, t) - \Omega_0\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . This is precisely the solution we study in Theorem 1.6. Note that the Gaussian profile (1.5) is, up to normalization, the only possible choice for the initial vorticity at time  $t = 0$ . The situation considered in Theorem 1.8 is much different: the Cauchy problem for equation (2.3) is well-posed at any positive time  $t_0 > 0$ , and we could therefore choose arbitrary initial data at  $t = t_0$ . However, for reasons that are explained in Remark 1.10 above, our choice is to take the same initial vorticity  $\Omega_0$  as in Theorem 1.6.

Since  $\delta = \nu/\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma > 0$  is fixed, it is clear that the evolution equation (2.3) becomes highly singular in the vanishing viscosity limit  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , and this is actually the main problem in the proof of both Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. To overcome this difficulty, we use the approach introduced in [3, 5, 2] which relies on the construction of an approximate solution of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) &= \Omega_0(\xi) + \varepsilon(t)^2 \Omega_2(\xi, t) + \varepsilon(t)^3 \Omega_3(\xi, t) + \varepsilon(t)^4 \Omega_4(\xi, t), \\ U_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) &= U_0(\xi) + \varepsilon(t)^2 U_2(\xi, t) + \varepsilon(t)^3 U_3(\xi, t) + \varepsilon(t)^4 U_4(\xi, t),\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

where  $\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ . The vorticity profiles  $\Omega_j$  and the velocity profiles  $U_j = \text{BS}[\Omega_j]$  depend on the small parameter  $\delta > 0$ , and will be determined so that equality (2.3) holds up to corrections terms of size  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5/\delta + \delta\varepsilon^2)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** *It is not obvious at this point that the aspect ratio  $\varepsilon(t)$  is the correct parameter for our perturbative expansion, since it does not appear explicitly in the evolution equation (2.3). However this parameter naturally occurs when expanding the external velocity field in (2.3), as we now demonstrate.*

## 2.1 Expansion of the external velocity

We first rewrite the evolution equation (2.3) in the equivalent form

$$\delta t \partial_t \Omega(\xi, t) + \left( U(\xi, t) + E(f, z; \xi, t) \right) \cdot \nabla \Omega(\xi, t) = \delta \mathcal{L} \Omega(\xi, t),\tag{2.6}$$

where  $E(f, z; \xi, t) = \delta \sqrt{t/\nu} (f(z(t) + \sqrt{\nu t} \xi, t) - z'(t))$ . In view of (2.2), we have

$$\delta \sqrt{\frac{t}{\nu}} = \frac{\sqrt{\nu t}}{\Gamma} = \varepsilon(t) \frac{d}{\Gamma} = \varepsilon(t) \frac{T_0}{d},$$

so that  $E(f, z; \xi, t) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ . To obtain a better approximation, we use a fourth-order Taylor expansion of the quantity  $f(z(t) + \sqrt{\nu t} \xi, t)$  in powers of the diffusion length  $\sqrt{\nu t} = d\varepsilon(t)$ , which leads to the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all  $(\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$  we have the expansion*

$$E(f, z; \xi, t) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \varepsilon(t)^k E_k(f, z; \xi, t) + \mathcal{R}_E(f, z; \xi, t),\tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E_1(f, z; \xi, t) &= \frac{T_0}{d} (f(z(t), t) - z'(t)), \\ E_2(f, z; \xi, t) &= T_0 \text{D}f(z(t), t)[\xi], \\ E_3(f, z; \xi, t) &= \frac{1}{2} T_0 d \text{D}^2 f(z(t), t)[\xi, \xi], \\ E_4(f, z; \xi, t) &= \frac{1}{6} T_0 d^2 \text{D}^3 f(z(t), t)[\xi, \xi, \xi].\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

Moreover the remainder in (2.7) satisfies the estimate

$$|\mathcal{R}_E(f, z; \xi, t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon(t)^5}{24} T_0 d^3 \|\text{D}^4 f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} |\xi|^4, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T].$$

*Proof.* The proof is a straightforward calculation which can be omitted.  $\square$



As is clear from (2.8), if  $z'(t) = f(z(t), t)$ , the leading term  $E_1$  in (2.7) vanishes, so that  $E(f, z; \xi, t) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ . For any  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the term  $E_{k+1}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$  in the variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the coefficients of which are linear combinations of  $k$ -th order derivatives of  $f$  evaluated at the point  $(z(t), t)$ . The following more precise information about  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  will be needed.

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $(\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$  the quantity  $E_2 := E_2(f, z; \xi, t)$  satisfies

$$E_2 = T_0 \left( a(t) \cos(2\theta) + b(t) \sin(2\theta) \right) \xi + T_0 \left( b(t) \cos(2\theta) - a(t) \sin(2\theta) + c(t) \right) \xi^\perp, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\xi$  is the polar angle of the variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $a(t), b(t), c(t)$  denote the following derivatives of  $f$  evaluated at  $(z(t), t)$ :

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 f_1 - \partial_2 f_2), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 f_2 + \partial_2 f_1), \quad c = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 f_2 - \partial_2 f_1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is divergence-free, the Jacobian matrix  $Df(z(t), t)$  takes the form

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 f_1 & \partial_2 f_1 \\ \partial_1 f_2 & \partial_2 f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b - c \\ b + c & -a \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c$  are as in the statement. We deduce that

$$\xi \cdot Df[\xi] = a(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) + 2b\xi_1\xi_2, \quad \xi^\perp \cdot Df[\xi] = b(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) - 2a\xi_1\xi_2 + c(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2),$$

and (2.9) immediately follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $(\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$  the quantity  $E_3 := E_3(f, z; \xi, t)$  satisfies

$$\xi \cdot E_3 = T_0 d |\xi|^3 \left( \frac{1}{8} \Delta f_1 \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{8} \Delta f_2 \sin(\theta) + A \cos(3\theta) + B \sin(3\theta) \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\xi$  is the polar angle of the variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $A = \frac{3}{8} \partial_1^2 f_1 - \frac{1}{8} \partial_2^2 f_1$ ,  $B = \frac{1}{8} \partial_1^2 f_2 - \frac{3}{8} \partial_2^2 f_2$ . All derivatives of  $f$  are evaluated at  $(z(t), t)$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $E_3$  in (2.8) we readily obtain

$$\frac{\xi \cdot E_3}{T_0 d} = \frac{\xi_1}{2} \left( \xi_1^2 \partial_1^2 f_1 + 2\xi_1 \xi_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 f_1 + \xi_2^2 \partial_2^2 f_1 \right) + \frac{\xi_2}{2} \left( \xi_1^2 \partial_1^2 f_2 + 2\xi_1 \xi_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 f_2 + \xi_2^2 \partial_2^2 f_2 \right).$$

Introducing polar coordinates  $\xi = |\xi|(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$  and using the elementary identities

$$\begin{aligned} \cos^3(\theta) &= \frac{3}{4} \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{4} \cos(3\theta), & \cos^2(\theta) \sin(\theta) &= \frac{1}{4} \sin(\theta) + \frac{1}{4} \sin(3\theta), \\ \sin^3(\theta) &= \frac{3}{4} \sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{4} \sin(3\theta), & \cos(\theta) \sin^2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{4} \cos(\theta) - \frac{1}{4} \cos(3\theta), \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at (2.10) after straightforward calculations.  $\square$

## 2.2 Functional framework

This section is almost entirely taken from the previous works [3, 5, 2], and is reproduced here for the reader's convenience. Our goal is to introduce the function spaces in which the approximate solution (2.5) will be constructed, and to study the properties of a pair of linear operators in that framework. We first define the weighted  $L^2$  space

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ \Omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2); \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Omega(\xi)|^2 e^{|\xi|^2/4} d\xi < \infty \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

which is a Hilbert space equipped with the natural scalar product. If we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  such that  $\xi = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ , we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{Y} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_n, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  is the subspace of all radially symmetric functions in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and, for each  $n \geq 1$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  contains all  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}$  of the form  $\Omega = a(r) \cos(n\theta) + b(r) \sin(n\theta)$ . It is clear that the decomposition (2.12) is orthogonal, in the sense that  $\mathcal{Y}_n \perp \mathcal{Y}_{n'}$  if  $n \neq n'$ . We also introduce the dense subset  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \Omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \xi \mapsto e^{|\xi|^2/4} \Omega(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is the space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with moderate growth at infinity. In other words a function  $\Omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{R}^2)$  if, for any multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  there exists  $C > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\partial^\alpha \Omega(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^N$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The linear operators we are interested in are the diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  introduced in (2.4) and the advection operator  $\Lambda$  defined by the formula

$$\Lambda \Omega = U_0 \cdot \nabla \Omega + \text{BS}[\Omega] \cdot \nabla \Omega_0, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\Omega_0, U_0$  are given by (1.6). If we consider the operators  $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda$  as acting on the function space (2.11), with maximal domain, we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.5.** [6, 7, 16]

1) The linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , with purely discrete spectrum

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

The kernel of  $\mathcal{L}$  is one-dimensional and spanned by the Gaussian function  $\Omega_0$ . More generally, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n = -n/2$  is spanned by the  $n+1$  Hermite functions  $\partial^\alpha \Omega_0$  where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = n$ .

2) The linear operator  $\Lambda$  is skew-adjoint in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , so that  $\Lambda^* = -\Lambda$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Ker}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{Y}_0 \oplus \{ \beta_1 \partial_1 \Omega_0 + \beta_2 \partial_2 \Omega_0 \mid \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_0 \subset \mathcal{Y}$  is the subspace of all radially symmetric elements of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Another important feature of both operators  $\mathcal{L}, \Lambda$  is rotation invariance. As is easily verified, if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\Omega$  belongs to the domain of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . The same property holds for the integro-differential operator  $\Lambda$ , and can be established using the definitions (1.6), (2.14) together with the properties of the Biot-Savart law.

As we shall see in the next section, the construction of the approximate solution (2.5) requires solving elliptic equations of the form

$$\Lambda \Omega = F, \quad \text{for some } F \in \mathcal{Y}. \quad (2.16)$$

Since the operator  $\Lambda$  is skew-adjoint in  $\mathcal{Y}$  we have  $\text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp = \overline{\text{Ran}(\Lambda)}$ , where  $\text{Ran}(\Lambda)$  is the range of  $\Lambda$ . Thus a necessary condition for the solvability of (2.16) is that  $F \perp \text{Ker}(\Lambda)$ . In view of (2.15), this is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} F(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) d\theta = 0 \quad \forall r > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_j F(\xi) d\xi = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (2.17)$$

It turns out that, in the subspace (2.13), the solvability conditions above are also sufficient. This is the content of the following result, whose proof is recalled in Section A.2.

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $F \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$ , there is a unique  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$  such that  $\Lambda \Omega = F$ .*

## 2.3 Construction of the approximate solution

We now explain how to construct the vorticity profiles  $\Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4$  in (2.5) so as to obtain a precise approximate solution of (2.6). From now on, we denote by  $z(t)$  the unique solution of the ODE (1.14), and we use the decomposition  $z'(t) = z'_0(t) + \varepsilon^2 z'_2(t)$ , where

$$z'_0(t) := f(z(t), t), \quad z'_2(t) := d^2 \Delta f(z(t), t). \quad (2.18)$$

Taking into account the correction term  $z'_2$  in (2.18), the velocity expansion (2.7) can be written in the slightly modified form

$$E(f, z; \xi, t) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \varepsilon^k \hat{E}_k(f, z; \xi, t) + \mathcal{R}_E(f, z; \xi, t), \quad (2.19)$$

where  $\hat{E}_1 = 0$ ,  $\hat{E}_2 = E_2$ ,  $\hat{E}_4 = E_4$ , and

$$\hat{E}_3(f, z; \xi, t) = T_0 d \left( \frac{1}{2} D^2 f(z(t), t) [\xi, \xi] - \Delta f(z(t), t) \right). \quad (2.20)$$

If  $\Omega_{\text{app}}$  is an approximate solution of (2.6), we define

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}} := \delta (t \partial_t \Omega_{\text{app}} - \mathcal{L} \Omega_{\text{app}}) + (U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}}. \quad (2.21)$$

Our goal is to choose  $\Omega_{\text{app}}$  and  $U_{\text{app}} = \text{BS}[\Omega_{\text{app}}]$  so as to minimize the remainder  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$ . Since the external velocity  $E(f, z)$  has a power series expansion in the (time-dependent) parameter  $\varepsilon$ , it is natural to expand  $\Omega_{\text{app}}$  and  $U_{\text{app}}$  in powers of  $\varepsilon$  too, as in (2.5). Note that equation (2.6) also involves the small parameter  $\delta$ , which means that the profiles  $\Omega_k, U_k$  in (2.5) are functions of  $\delta$ . This dependence will not be indicated explicitly, but it is understood that all quantities we consider are uniformly bounded as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

To obtain a more explicit expression of  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$ , we insert the expansions (2.5), (2.19) into (2.21), and we use the facts that  $\delta t = \varepsilon^2 T_0$  and  $t \partial_t \varepsilon^k = (k/2) \varepsilon^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , see (2.2). Recalling also the definition (2.14) of the operator  $\Lambda$ , we arrive at the decomposition

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}} = \sum_{k=2}^8 \varepsilon^k \mathcal{R}_k + \mathcal{R}_E \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}}, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_2 &= \delta (1 - \mathcal{L}) \Omega_2 + \Lambda \Omega_2 + E_2 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0, \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= \delta \left( \frac{3}{2} - \mathcal{L} \right) \Omega_3 + \Lambda \Omega_3 + \hat{E}_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0, \\ \mathcal{R}_4 &= \delta (2 - \mathcal{L}) \Omega_4 + \Lambda \Omega_4 + E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 + (U_2 + E_2) \cdot \nabla \Omega_2 + T_0 \partial_t \Omega_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The exact expression of the higher-order terms  $\mathcal{R}_k$  for  $k \geq 5$  is not important for our analysis. We now determine the profiles  $\Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4$  so as to minimize the quantities  $\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{R}_3, \mathcal{R}_4$ . Since the quantities  $E_2, \hat{E}_3, E_4$  involve derivatives of the external field  $f$ , which is time-dependent, the vorticity profiles  $\Omega_j$  also depend on time, as indicated in (2.5). However, they are determined by solving ‘‘elliptic’’ equations which can be studied at frozen time.

### 2.3.1 Second order vorticity profile

We take  $\Omega_2 = \bar{\Omega}_2 + \delta \tilde{\Omega}_2$ , where  $\bar{\Omega}_2, \tilde{\Omega}_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$  satisfy

$$\Lambda \bar{\Omega}_2 + E_2 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \tilde{\Omega}_2 + (1 - \mathcal{L}) \tilde{\Omega}_2 = 0. \quad (2.24)$$




Figure 2: The function  $w_2$ , which enters the definition of the approximate solution (1.10) and describes to leading order the deviation of the vorticity distribution from the Gaussian profile, is represented as a function of the radius  $r = |\xi|$ .

This is indeed possible because, using (2.9) and the identity  $\nabla\Omega_0 = -(\xi/2)\Omega_0$ , we see that

$$E_2 \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 = -\frac{1}{2} T_0\Omega_0|\xi|^2 \left( a(t) \cos(2\theta) + b(t) \sin(2\theta) \right), \quad (2.25)$$

where  $a, b$  are as in Lemma 2.3. In view of the definitions (2.11)–(2.13), this expression shows that  $E_2 \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . Applying Lemma A.1, we deduce that there exists a unique  $\bar{\Omega}_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\Lambda\bar{\Omega}_2 + E_2 \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 = 0$ . Now, as already observed, the diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  leaves the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$  invariant. So, applying Lemma A.1 again, we see that there exists a unique  $\tilde{\Omega}_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\Lambda\tilde{\Omega}_2 + (1 - \mathcal{L})\bar{\Omega}_2 = 0$ . Finally, combining (2.23) and (2.24), we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \delta^2(1 - \mathcal{L})\tilde{\Omega}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2). \quad (2.26)$$

**Remark 2.7.** Using (2.25) and the formulas reproduced in Section A.2, it is straightforward to verify that  $\bar{\Omega}_2(\xi, t) = T_0 w_2(|\xi|) (a(t) \sin(2\theta) - b(t) \cos(2\theta))$  where

$$w_2(r) = h(r) \left( \varphi_2(r) + \frac{r^2}{2} \right), \quad h(r) = \frac{r^2/4}{e^{r^2/4} - 1}, \quad r > 0,$$

and  $\varphi_2$  is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$-\varphi_2''(r) - \frac{1}{r} \varphi_2'(r) + \left( \frac{4}{r^2} - h(r) \right) \varphi_2(r) = \frac{r^2}{2} h(r), \quad r > 0,$$

such that  $\varphi_2(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^2)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi_2(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . In particular  $w_2(r) > 0$  for all  $r > 0$ ,  $w_2(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^2)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , and  $w_2(r) \sim (r^4/8)e^{-r^2/4}$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

### 2.3.2 Third order vorticity profile

Let  $\mathcal{Y}'_1 = \mathcal{Y}_1 \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$  be the subspace introduced in (A.11). We take  $\Omega_3 = \bar{\Omega}_3 + \delta\tilde{\Omega}_3$ , where  $\bar{\Omega}_3, \tilde{\Omega}_3 \in (\mathcal{Y}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_3) \cap \mathcal{Z}$  satisfy

$$\Lambda\bar{\Omega}_3 + \hat{E}_3 \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda\tilde{\Omega}_3 + \left( \frac{3}{2} - \mathcal{L} \right) \bar{\Omega}_3 = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

The strategy for solving (2.27) is the same as before. Using (2.20) and Lemma 2.4, we easily find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_3 \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} T_0 d\Omega_0 |\xi|^3 \left( \frac{1}{8} \Delta f_1 \cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{8} \Delta f_2 \sin(\theta) + A \cos(3\theta) + B \sin(3\theta) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} T_0 d\Omega_0 |\xi| (\Delta f_1 \cos(\theta) + \Delta f_2 \sin(\theta)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$




Figure 3: Level lines of the perturbation  $\Omega_2 : \xi \mapsto w_2(|\xi|) \sin(2\theta)$  on the square  $[-6, 6]^2$  (right), and of the approximate solution  $\Omega_0 + 0.04 * \Omega_2$  on the smaller square  $[-1.4, 1.4]^2$  (left).

where the first line is the expression of  $E_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0$ , and the second one is the correction due to the additional velocity  $z'_2(t)$  of the vortex center. This shows that  $E_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 \in (\mathcal{Y}_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_3) \cap \mathcal{Z}$ , which is not quite sufficient since we cannot invert the operator  $\Lambda$  in the full subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . Actually, thanks to the correction term in (2.28), we have  $E_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 \in (\mathcal{Y}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_3) \cap \mathcal{Z}$ , because a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_j (\hat{E}_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0) d\xi = -\frac{1}{8} T_0 d \Delta f_j \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/4} \left( \frac{r^5}{8} - r^3 \right) dr = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Thus, applying Lemmas A.1 and A.2, we conclude that there exists a unique  $\bar{\Omega}_3 \in (\mathcal{Y}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_3) \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\Lambda \bar{\Omega}_3 + \hat{E}_3 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 = 0$ . The profile  $\tilde{\Omega}_3$  is then constructed as before, and we arrive at

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \delta^2 \left( \frac{3}{2} - \mathcal{L} \right) \tilde{\Omega}_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2). \quad (2.29)$$

### 2.3.3 Fourth order vorticity profile

We start from the following observation.

**Lemma 2.8.** *One has  $E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 + (\bar{U}_2 + E_2) \cdot \nabla \bar{\Omega}_2 + T_0 \partial_t \bar{\Omega}_2 \in (\mathcal{Y}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_4) \cap \mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\nabla \Omega_0 = -(\xi/2)\Omega_0$ , it follows from the definition (2.8) that  $E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 = P_4 \Omega_0$  where  $P_4$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in the variable  $\xi = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . In particular we have  $E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 \in (\mathcal{Y}_0 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_4) \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . In addition, since  $\Omega_0$  is radially symmetric, we have for any  $r > 0$ :

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0)(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) d\theta = -\frac{r}{8\pi} e^{-r^2/4} \int_0^{2\pi} E_4(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) \cdot e_r d\theta = 0,$$

where in the last equality we used the divergence theorem and the fact that  $\nabla \cdot E_4 = 0$ . This shows that  $E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0$  has zero radial average, hence zero projection onto the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_0$ .

Next we recall that  $\bar{\Omega}_2(\xi, t) = T_0 w_2(r)(a \sin(2\theta) - b \cos(2\theta))$ , see Remark 2.7. According to (A.6), the associated velocity field takes the form

$$\bar{U}_2 = \frac{2T_0}{r} \varphi_2(r)(a \cos(2\theta) + b \sin(2\theta)) e_r + T_0 \varphi'_2(r)(-a \sin(2\theta) + b \cos(2\theta)) e_\theta.$$

By a direct calculation, we deduce that

$$\bar{U}_2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\Omega}_2 = \frac{T_0^2}{r} (\varphi'_2 w_2 - \varphi_2 w'_2) \left( (b^2 - a^2) \sin(4\theta) + 2ab \cos(4\theta) \right) \in \mathcal{Y}_4 \cap \mathcal{Z}.$$

Similarly, using the expression of  $E_2$  in Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\Omega}_2 &= \frac{T_0^2}{2} (2w_2 - rw_2') \left( (b^2 - a^2) \sin(4\theta) + 2ab \cos(4\theta) \right) \\ &\quad + 2T_0^2 w_2 (ac \cos(2\theta) + bc \sin(2\theta)) \in (\mathcal{Y}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_4) \cap \mathcal{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the expression above of  $\bar{\Omega}_2$  shows that  $T_0 \partial_t \bar{\Omega}_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

According to Lemmas 2.8 and A.1, there exists a unique profile  $\Omega_4 \in (\mathcal{Y}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_4) \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that

$$\Lambda \Omega_4 + E_4 \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 + (\bar{U}_2 + E_2) \cdot \nabla \bar{\Omega}_2 + T_0 \partial_t \bar{\Omega}_2 = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

We that choice, we obviously have

$$\mathcal{R}_4 = \delta(2 - \mathcal{L})\Omega_4 + \delta(\bar{U}_2 + E_2) \cdot \nabla \bar{\Omega}_2 + \delta \tilde{U}_2 \cdot \nabla \Omega_2 + T_0 \partial_t \tilde{\Omega}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\delta). \quad (2.31)$$

The results obtained in this section can be summarized as follows.

**Proposition 2.9.** *There exist  $C > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if the profiles  $\Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4$  of the approximate solution (2.5) are given by (2.24), (2.27), (2.30), then the remainder  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$  defined by (2.21) satisfies the estimate*

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}(\xi, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon(t)^5 + \delta^2 \varepsilon(t)^2)(1 + |\xi|)^N e^{-|\xi|^2/4}, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]. \quad (2.32)$$

*Proof.* This is a straightforward consequence of the calculations above and of the choice of the function space  $\mathcal{Z}$ . We consider the expression (2.22) of the remainder  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$ . Using Lemma 2.2 and the fact that  $\Omega_{\text{app}} \in \mathcal{Z}$ , we see that the last term  $\mathcal{R}_E \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}}$  satisfies an estimate of the form (2.32). This is also the case for the terms  $\varepsilon^k \mathcal{R}_k$  for  $k \geq 5$ , because  $\mathcal{R}_k \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\varepsilon^k \leq \varepsilon^5$ . Finally, in view of (2.26), (2.29), (2.31), we have

$$\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{R}_2 + \varepsilon^3 \mathcal{R}_3 + \varepsilon^4 \mathcal{R}_4 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2 \varepsilon^2 + \delta^2 \varepsilon^3 + \delta \varepsilon^4) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2 \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^6),$$

in the topology of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , which again implies an inequality of the form (2.32).  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** *Since  $\Omega_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ ,  $\Omega_3 \in \mathcal{Y}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_3$ , and  $\Omega_4 \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_4$ , the approximate solution (2.5) satisfies for all positive times*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) d\xi = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_1 \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_2 \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) d\xi = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

### 3 The solution starting from a point vortex

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. We assume throughout that the kinematic viscosity  $\nu > 0$  is small compared to the circulation parameter  $\Gamma > 0$ , which is fixed once and for all. Given any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we consider the unique solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of the vorticity equation (1.2), (1.3) satisfying the conditions (1.4), which imply that the initial vorticity is a Dirac mass of strength  $\Gamma$  located at point  $z_0$ . Since (1.2) is a viscous conservation law, we know in particular that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega(x, t) dx = \Gamma$  for all positive times. To desingularize the solution in the regime where  $\nu t$  is small, we make the change of variables (2.1), where  $z(t)$  denotes the unique solution of the modified ODE (1.14) such that  $z(0) = z_0$ . The rescaled vorticity  $\Omega(\xi, t)$  and the associated velocity  $U(\xi, t)$  then satisfy the evolution equation (2.6) with initial data (1.6).

To obtain precise estimates on  $\Omega$  and  $U$ , we use the decomposition

$$\Omega(\xi, t) = \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) + \delta w(\xi, t), \quad U(\xi, t) = U_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) + \delta v(\xi, t), \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$  and all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $\Omega_{\text{app}}$  is the approximate solution (2.5) and  $U_{\text{app}}$  is the associated velocity field. By construction, the correction terms  $w(\xi, t), v(\xi, t)$  in (3.1) vanish at



initial time  $t = 0$ , and our goal is to show that they remain small in an appropriate topology for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . In view of (2.6), the vorticity  $w(\cdot, t)$  satisfies the evolution equation

$$t\partial_t w + \frac{1}{\delta}(U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{\delta} v \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}} + v \cdot \nabla w = \mathcal{L}w - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}, \quad (3.2)$$

for  $t \in (0, T)$ , where  $\delta = \nu/\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$  is the remainder term (2.21). The velocity  $v(\cdot, t)$  is obtained from  $w(\cdot, t)$  by the usual Biot-Savart formula. Since  $\int \Omega(\xi, t) d\xi = 1$ , it follows from Remark 2.10 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (3.3)$$

The main difficulty in our analysis is the necessity of controlling the solution of (3.2) uniformly in the small parameter  $\delta > 0$ . Since we consider zero initial data, the evolution is entirely driven by the source term  $\delta^{-2}\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$  in the right-hand side, which is of size  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 + \delta^{-2}\varepsilon^5)$  according to Proposition 2.9. Note that the fifth power of  $\varepsilon$  in estimate (2.32) is due to our choice of constructing the approximate solution (2.5) as a *fourth order* expansion in  $\varepsilon$ . Now, it follows from the definitions (2.2) that  $\delta^{-1}\varepsilon^2 = t/T_0$ , which means that  $\delta^{-2}\varepsilon^5 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  as long as  $t$  is comparable with  $T_0$ .

To prove that the solution of (3.2) remains of size  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  over the whole time interval  $[0, T]$ , we have to show that the contributions of the source term do not get excessively amplified by the linear terms in (3.2), which are multiplied by the large factor  $\delta^{-1}$ . This can be done using an appropriate energy estimate in a weighted  $L^2$  space, where the weight function is carefully chosen so as to minimize the contributions of the dangerous linear terms in (3.2). Note that the nonlinear term  $v \cdot \nabla w$  is not multiplied by  $\delta^{-1}$ , because we chose to include a factor of  $\delta$  in the definition (3.1) of the corrections terms  $w, v$ .

### 3.1 The short time estimate

If the observation time  $T$  is small compared to the time scale  $T_0$  defined by (1.1), the solution of (3.2) can be controlled using a simple energy estimate in the space  $\mathcal{Y}$  defined by (2.11). To show this, we introduce the functionals

$$\mathcal{E}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(\xi)w(\xi)^2 d\xi, \quad \mathcal{F}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(\xi)(|\nabla w(\xi)|^2 + |\xi|^2 w(\xi)^2 + w(\xi)^2) d\xi, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $p(\xi) = e^{|\xi|^2/4}$ , and we observe that  $\mathcal{E}[w] = \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$ . We have the following result:

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exist positive constants  $K_3, \rho, \kappa$  such that, if  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and  $T/T_0 \leq \rho$ , the solution of (3.2) with zero initial data satisfies*

$$t\partial_t \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)] + \kappa \mathcal{F}[w(\cdot, t)] \leq K_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2}, \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (3.5)$$

*In particular*

$$\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2} \leq K_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right), \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* Using the definition (2.14) of the operator  $\Lambda$ , we can write the evolution equation (3.2) in the more compact form

$$t\partial_t w + \frac{1}{\delta} \Lambda w + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{A}[w] + \mathcal{B}[w, w] = \mathcal{L}w - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the (time-dependent) linear operator defined by

$$\mathcal{A}[w] = (U_{\text{app}} - U_0) \cdot \nabla w + \text{BS}[w] \cdot \nabla (\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0) + E(f, z) \cdot \nabla w, \quad (3.7)$$

and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the bilinear map

$$\mathcal{B}[w_1, w_2] = v_1 \cdot \nabla w_2, \quad \text{where } v_1 = \text{BS}[w_1]. \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}[w] = \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$ , it follows that

$$\frac{t}{2} \partial_t \mathcal{E}[w] + \frac{1}{\delta} \langle w, \mathcal{A}[w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} + \langle w, \mathcal{B}[w, w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle w, \mathcal{L}w \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \langle w, \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}} \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$  denotes the scalar product in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Here we used the well known fact that  $\langle w, \Lambda w \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$  since  $\Lambda$  is skew-symmetric in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , see Proposition 2.5. Our task is to estimate the various terms in (3.9).

First of all, we know that the diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is negative in the subspace of all  $w \in \mathcal{Y}$  with zero integral, see Proposition 2.5. In fact, there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\langle w, \mathcal{L}w \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw(\mathcal{L}w) \, d\xi \leq -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(|\nabla w(\xi)|^2 + |\xi|^2 w(\xi)^2 + w(\xi)^2) \, d\xi = -\kappa \mathcal{F}[w], \quad (3.10)$$

see [4, Lemma 5.1]. On the other hand, using Proposition 2.9, we easily obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} |\langle w, \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}} \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \|\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Note that  $\varepsilon^2/\delta = t/T_0 \leq \rho$ , which also implies that  $\varepsilon^2 \leq \rho$  since we assumed that  $\delta \leq 1$ . To bound the trilinear term in (3.9), we integrate by parts, using the incompressibility condition  $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ , to obtain the convenient expression

$$\langle w, \mathcal{B}[w, w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw(v \cdot \nabla w) \, d\xi = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w^2(v \cdot \nabla p) \, d\xi = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw^2(v \cdot \xi) \, d\xi,$$

where we used the fact that  $\nabla p = (\xi/2)p$ . Since  $w \in \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies (3.3), we can apply Lemma A.4 with  $q = 3$  and  $m = 5/3$  to obtain the bound  $\|v \cdot \xi\|_{L^3} \leq C\|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . By Hölder's inequality, we thus find

$$|\langle w, \mathcal{B}[w, w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|p^{1/2}w\|_{L^3}^2 \|v \cdot \xi\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla(p^{1/2}w)\|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|p^{1/2}w\|_{L^2}^{4/3} \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where in the last step we applied the interpolation estimate  $\|g\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^{1/3} \|g\|_{L^2}^{2/3}$  to the function  $g = p^{1/2}w$ . Observing that  $\nabla(p^{1/2}w) = p^{1/2}\nabla w + (\xi/4)p^{1/2}w$  and using the notation (3.4), we conclude that

$$|\langle w, \mathcal{B}[w, w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq C \mathcal{F}[w]^{1/3} \mathcal{E}[w]^{7/6} \leq C \mathcal{F}[w]^{1/2} \mathcal{E}[w], \quad (3.12)$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{E}[w] \leq \mathcal{F}[w]$ .

We now consider the quadratic term  $\langle w, \mathcal{A}[w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$  in (3.9) which is multiplied by the large factor  $1/\delta$ . Integrating by parts as before we easily find

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw(U_{\text{app}} - U_0) \cdot \nabla w \, d\xi \right| = \frac{1}{4} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw^2(U_{\text{app}} - U_0) \cdot \xi \, d\xi \right| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2,$$

because  $\|(U_{\text{app}} - U_0) \cdot \xi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^2$  in view of (2.5). Similarly

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} pw(v \cdot \nabla(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0)) \, d\xi \right| \leq \|p^{1/2}w\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \|p^{1/2}\nabla(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2,$$

because  $\|v\|_{L^2} \leq C\|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  by Lemma A.4 and  $\|p^{1/2}\nabla(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^2$ . Finally, we recall that

$$E(f, z; \xi, t) = \frac{\varepsilon T_0}{d} \left( f(z(t) + \sqrt{vt} \xi, t) - f(z(t), t) - \varepsilon^2 d^2 \Delta f(z(t), t) \right),$$

so that

$$|E(f, z; \xi, t)| \leq \varepsilon^2 |\xi| + \varepsilon^3 T_0 d \|\Delta f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon^2 |\xi| + \mathcal{K} \varepsilon^3,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined by (1.9). Assuming that  $\rho$  is small enough so that  $\mathcal{K} \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we thus obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p w E(f, z) \cdot \nabla w \, d\xi \right| \leq \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla w\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \left( \|\xi w\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{K} \varepsilon \|w\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right) \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{F}[w].$$

Altogether, since  $\varepsilon^2/\delta = t/T_0$ , we have shown that

$$\frac{1}{\delta} |\langle w, \mathcal{A}[w] \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq \frac{t}{T_0} (\mathcal{F}[w] + C \mathcal{E}[w]). \quad (3.13)$$

Collecting all estimates (3.10)–(3.13), we deduce from (3.9) that

$$t \partial_t \mathcal{E}[w] + \left( 2\kappa - \frac{2t}{T_0} \right) \mathcal{F}[w] \leq K_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \mathcal{E}[w]^{1/2} + C_0 \left( \frac{t}{T_0} + \mathcal{F}[w]^{1/2} \right) \mathcal{E}[w], \quad (3.14)$$

for some positive constants  $K_3$  and  $C_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}[w] \leq \mathcal{F}[w]$ , it follows that

$$t \partial_t \mathcal{E}[w] + \left( 2\kappa - (2 + C_0) \frac{t}{T_0} - C_0 \mathcal{E}[w]^{1/2} \right) \mathcal{F}[w] \leq K_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \mathcal{E}[w]^{1/2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Taking  $\rho > 0$  small enough, we can ensure that  $(2 + C_0)t/T_0 \leq (2 + C_0)\rho \leq \kappa/2$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . We now define

$$T_1 := \inf \{ t \in [0, T]; C_0 \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2} > \kappa/2 \}, \quad (3.16)$$

with the convention that  $T_1 = T$  if the set above is empty. Since  $w(\cdot, 0) = 0$ , it is clear that  $T_1 > 0$  by continuity. By construction, on the time interval  $(0, T_1)$ , the differential inequality (3.15) reduces to (3.5). In particular, we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2} \leq \frac{K_3}{2t} \left( \frac{\varepsilon(t)^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon(t)^2 \right), \quad \forall t \in (0, T_1),$$

where we recall that  $\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, 0)] = 0$  we deduce that, for all  $t \in (0, T_1)$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2} \leq \frac{K_3}{2} \int_0^t \left( \frac{\varepsilon(s)^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon(s)^2 \right) \frac{ds}{s} = K_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon(t)^5}{5\delta^2} + \frac{\varepsilon(t)^2}{2} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

As  $\varepsilon^2/\delta = t/T_0 \leq \rho$ , the right-hand side of (3.15) is no larger than  $K_3 \rho$  if  $\rho$  is small enough. We assume finally that  $C_0 K_3 \rho \leq \kappa/4$ . Then  $C_0 \mathcal{E}[w(\cdot, t)]^{1/2} \leq \kappa/4$  for all  $t \in (0, T_1)$ , and in view of the definition (3.16) this implies that  $T_1 = T$ . Thus inequalities (3.15), (3.17) hold for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , and imply (3.5), (3.6). This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 3.2 Construction of the energy functional

The approach of the previous section is relatively simple and provides a control of the solution of (3.2) in the natural function space  $\mathcal{Y}$ . However, as can be seen from the left-hand side of (3.14), the argument completely breaks down when  $t/T_0 > \kappa$ . To reach longer times, it is necessary to use a more sophisticated energy functional which allows us to treat separately three regions of the physical space: a small neighborhood of the vortex center, an intermediate region, and a far field region where the influence of the vortex is negligible. This idea is implemented in the previous work [3], which deals with the interaction of localized vortices. In this section, we provide a simplified version of the argument, which gives slightly stronger results.

Given a small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider the non-radially symmetric function

$$q_\varepsilon(\xi, t) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 T_0}{4\nu_0(\xi)} \left( b(t)(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) - 2a(t)\xi_1 \xi_2 \right), \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $a(t), b(t), T_0$  are as in Lemma 2.3 and

$$v_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|^2} \left(1 - e^{-|\xi|^2/4}\right), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Next, given positive numbers  $A, B$  with  $A \ll 1 \ll B$  we define the time-dependent regions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}_\varepsilon(t) &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; \varepsilon|\xi| \leq 2A, \varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq A^2/4 \right\}, \\ \text{II}_\varepsilon(t) &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{I}_\varepsilon(t); \varepsilon|\xi| < B \right\}, \\ \text{III}_\varepsilon(t) &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; \varepsilon|\xi| \geq B \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

which are pairwise distinct and satisfy  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \text{I}_\varepsilon(t) \cup \text{II}_\varepsilon(t) \cup \text{III}_\varepsilon(t)$  for any  $t \in (0, T)$ . Finally, we introduce the weight function  $p_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  defined by the formula

$$p_\varepsilon(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} \exp(q_\varepsilon(\xi, t)) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{I}_\varepsilon(t), \\ \exp(A^2/(4\varepsilon^2)) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{II}_\varepsilon(t), \\ \exp(\gamma|\xi|^2/4) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{III}_\varepsilon(t), \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\gamma = A^2/B^2 \ll 1$ .

It is not difficult to verify that, if  $A > 0$  is small enough and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll A$ , the inner region  $\text{I}_\varepsilon(t)$  defined by (3.20) is a small deformation of the disk of radius  $A/\varepsilon$  centered at the origin:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $A > 0$  is sufficiently small and  $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(A^\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ , then for all  $t \in (0, T)$  the inner region  $\text{I}_\varepsilon(t)$  is given by*

$$\text{I}_\varepsilon(t) = \left\{ (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq r \leq \frac{A}{\varepsilon} (1 + \rho(\theta, t)) \right\}, \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  is smooth,  $2\pi$ -periodic, and satisfies

$$\rho(\theta, t) = \pi T_0 (a(t) \sin(2\theta) - b(t) \cos(2\theta)) A^2 + \mathcal{O}(A^4), \quad \text{as } A \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.23)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , and assume that  $\xi = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$ . If we denote  $s = r^2/4$ , we observe that  $q_\varepsilon(\xi, t) = g_\varepsilon(s)$  where

$$g_\varepsilon(s) = s + 8\pi\varepsilon^2 T_0 (b(t) \cos(2\theta) - a(t) \sin(2\theta)) \phi(s), \quad \phi(s) = \frac{s^2}{1 - e^{-s}}. \quad (3.24)$$

The function  $\phi$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\phi'(s) \leq 1 + 2s$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Moreover, we know from (1.1) that  $T_0|a(t)| \leq 1$  and  $T_0|b(t)| \leq 1$ . Assuming that  $0 \leq s \leq A^2/\varepsilon^2$ , we thus find

$$|g'_\varepsilon(s) - 1| \leq 16\pi\varepsilon^2(1 + 2s) \leq 16\pi(\varepsilon^2 + 2A^2) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.25)$$

provided  $A$  and  $\varepsilon$  are small enough. This implies that the function  $g_\varepsilon$  is strictly increasing on the interval  $[0, A^2/\varepsilon^2]$  with  $g_\varepsilon(0) = 0$  and  $g_\varepsilon(A^2/\varepsilon^2) \geq A^2/(2\varepsilon^2)$ . By the intermediate value theorem, the equation  $g_\varepsilon(s) = A^2/(4\varepsilon^2)$  has a unique solution  $s = \bar{s}(\theta, t)$  in that interval, and the implicit function theorem ensures that  $\bar{s}(\theta, t)$  is a smooth function of  $\theta$  and  $t$ . Moreover, we easily deduce from (3.25) that  $A^2/(6\varepsilon^2) \leq \bar{s} \leq A^2/(2\varepsilon^2)$ . If we assume that  $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(A^\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ , this implies that  $\bar{s} = \mathcal{O}(A^{2-2\alpha})$ , hence  $\phi(\bar{s}) = \bar{s}^2 + \mathcal{O}(A^\infty)$ . Returning to (3.24), we deduce that

$$\bar{s}(\theta, t) = \frac{A^2}{4\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - 2\pi T_0 (b(t) \cos(2\theta) - a(t) \sin(2\theta)) A^2 + \mathcal{O}(A^4)\right). \quad (3.26)$$

Now, in view of the definition (3.20), we have  $\xi \in I_\varepsilon(t)$  if and only if  $r^2/4 \leq \bar{s}(\theta, t)$ , which gives the formula (3.22) where  $\rho(\theta, t)$  is defined by the relation

$$(1 + \rho(\theta, t))^2 = \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{A^2} \bar{s}(\theta, t). \quad (3.27)$$

The expansion (3.23) follows directly from (3.26), (3.27).  $\square$

According to the definition (3.21), the weight function  $p_\varepsilon(\xi, t)$  is equal to  $\exp(q_\varepsilon(\xi, t))$  in the (elliptical) inner region  $I_\varepsilon(t)$ , at the boundary of which it takes the value  $\exp(A^2/(4\varepsilon^2))$  by construction. It is then extended as a constant function in the intermediate (annular) region  $II_\varepsilon(t)$ , and as a Gaussian function in the exterior region  $III_\varepsilon(t)$ . Since  $\gamma = A^2/B^2$ , we observe that  $\exp(\gamma|\xi|^2/4) = \exp(A^2/(4\varepsilon^2))$  when  $|\xi| = B/\varepsilon$ , which implies that  $p_\varepsilon(\xi, t)$  is a Lipschitz continuous function of  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We also have uniform bounds of the form

$$\exp(\gamma|\xi|^2/4) \leq p_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq \exp(\mu|\xi|^2/4), \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T), \quad (3.28)$$

where  $\mu > 1$  and  $\mu = 1 + \mathcal{O}(A^2)$  as  $A \rightarrow 0$ .

In analogy with (3.4), we introduce the functionals that will be used to control the solution of (3.2). The first one is the weighted energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon(\xi, t) w(\xi)^2 d\xi, \quad (3.29)$$

which depends explicitly on time because the coefficients  $a(t), b(t)$  in the definition (3.18) are time-dependent. Our second functional is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \left\{ |\nabla w(\xi)|^2 + \chi_\varepsilon(\xi) w(\xi)^2 + w(\xi)^2 \right\} d\xi \geq \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon, t}[w], \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\chi_\varepsilon(\xi) = \begin{cases} |\xi|^2 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq A/\varepsilon, \\ A^2/\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } A/\varepsilon < |\xi| < B/\varepsilon, \\ \gamma|\xi|^2 & \text{if } |\xi| \geq B/\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

We can now state the main result of this section, which provides an accurate estimate of the solution of (3.2) on the whole time interval  $(0, T)$ . Unlike in Proposition 3.1, there is no smallness assumption on the ratio  $T/T_0$ , but the various constants in the statement depend on  $T/T_0$  and on the quantity  $\mathcal{K}$  defined in (1.9).

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $A > 0$  is small enough and  $B > 0$  is large enough, there exist positive constants  $K_4, K_5, \kappa$  and  $\delta_0$  such that, if  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ , the solution of (3.2) with zero initial data satisfies*

$$t\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) + \kappa \mathcal{F}(t) \leq K_4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} + K_5 \left( \frac{t}{T_0} + \mathcal{F}(t)^{1/2} \right) \mathcal{E}(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, T), \quad (3.32)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)]$ , and  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ . In particular

$$\mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \leq K_4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \exp\left(\frac{K_5 t}{2T_0}\right), \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (3.33)$$

### 3.3 The large time estimate

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 3.3. If  $w(\cdot, t)$  is the solution of (3.2) with zero initial data, a direct calculation shows that the energy function (3.29) satisfies, as in (3.9),

$$\frac{t}{2} \partial_t \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)] = \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)] - \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)] - \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)] - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, t}[w(\cdot, t)], \quad (3.34)$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , where the *diffusion terms*  $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}[w]$ , the *advection terms*  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon, t}[w]$ , the *nonlinear term*  $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, t}[w]$ , and the *source term*  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, t}[w]$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (t \partial_t p_\varepsilon) w^2 \, d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w (\mathcal{L}w) \, d\xi, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w (U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla w \, d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w (v \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}}) \, d\xi, \\ \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w (v \cdot \nabla w) \, d\xi, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}} \, d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

In (3.34) it is understood that  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{vt}/d$  as usual, so that  $t \partial_t \varepsilon = \varepsilon/2$ . Except for that relation, we can consider the quantities introduced in (3.35) as defined for any fixed  $t \in (0, T)$  and for an arbitrary value of the (small) parameter  $\varepsilon$ . Useful estimates on these quantities are derived in the following paragraphs.

#### 3.3.1 Control of the diffusion terms

Using the definition (2.4) of the differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and integrating by parts, we see that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (t \partial_t p_\varepsilon) w^2 \, d\xi - \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w], \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ p_\varepsilon |\nabla w|^2 + w (\nabla w \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{4} (\xi \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon) w^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_\varepsilon w^2 \right\} \, d\xi. \quad (3.37)$$

The quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon, t}$  is everywhere coercive except in the region  $\text{II}_\varepsilon$  where the weight function  $p_\varepsilon$  is independent of  $\xi$ . The following lower bound can be established as in [5, Prop. 4.15]. For the reader's convenience, we provide the details in Section A.4.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that  $A > 0$  is small enough and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll A$ . There exists a positive constant  $\kappa$  such that, if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w \, d\xi = 0$ , the following estimate holds*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] \geq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon |\nabla w|^2 \, d\xi + \kappa \int_{\text{I}_\varepsilon \cup \text{III}_\varepsilon} (\chi_\varepsilon + 1) p_\varepsilon w^2 \, d\xi - \int_{\text{II}_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon w^2 \, d\xi, \quad (3.38)$$

where  $\chi_\varepsilon$  is given by (3.31) and the regions  $\text{I}_\varepsilon$ ,  $\text{II}_\varepsilon$ ,  $\text{III}_\varepsilon$  are defined in (3.20).

**Corollary 3.5.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, the diffusion term  $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}$  defined in (3.35) satisfies*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon, t}[w] \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon \left\{ |\nabla w|^2 + \chi_\varepsilon w^2 + w^2 \right\} \, d\xi = -\frac{\kappa}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon, t}[w]. \quad (3.39)$$

*Proof.* In view of (3.36) and (3.38), what remains is to estimate the time derivative of the weight function  $p_\varepsilon$ . In the region  $\text{I}_\varepsilon$  we have  $p_\varepsilon = \exp(q_\varepsilon)$  where  $q_\varepsilon$  is given by (3.18). Recalling that  $t \partial_t \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^2$ , we find

$$t \partial_t q_\varepsilon(\xi, t) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 T_0}{4v_0(\xi)} \left( [b(t) + tb'(t)] (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) - 2[a(t) + ta'(t)] \xi_1 \xi_2 \right). \quad (3.40)$$



Using the definitions of  $a(t), b(t)$  in Lemma 2.3 and the ODE (1.14) for  $z(t)$ , it is straightforward to verify that

$$T_0(|a(t)| + |b(t)|) + T_0^2(|a'(t)| + |b'(t)|) \leq C, \quad (3.41)$$

where the constant  $C$  only depends on the quantity  $\mathcal{K}$  defined in (1.9). Since  $|v_0(\xi)| \leq C/(1+|\xi|^2)$  and  $\varepsilon^2|\xi|^2 \leq 2A^2$  in region  $I_\varepsilon$ , we deduce from (3.40), (3.41) that

$$|t\partial_t q_\varepsilon| \leq C_0 A^2 (1 + |\xi|^2), \quad \forall \xi \in I_\varepsilon,$$

where the constant  $C_0$  depends only on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $T/T_0$ .

On the other hand, it is clear that  $t\partial_t p_\varepsilon = -(A^2/(4\varepsilon^2))p_\varepsilon$  in region  $II_\varepsilon$ , and  $t\partial_t p_\varepsilon = 0$  in region  $III_\varepsilon$ . Summarizing, we have shown that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (t\partial_t p_\varepsilon) w^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} C_0 A^2 \int_{I_\varepsilon} (1 + |\xi|^2) p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi - \frac{A^2}{8\varepsilon^2} \int_{II_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi. \quad (3.42)$$

Now, combining (3.36), (3.38) and (3.42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,t}[w] &\leq -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon |\nabla w|^2 d\xi - \int_{I_\varepsilon} \left[ \kappa(\chi_\varepsilon + 1) - \frac{C_0}{2} A^2 (1 + |\xi|^2) \right] p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{II_\varepsilon} \left( \frac{A^2}{8\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right) p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi - \kappa \int_{III_\varepsilon} (\chi_\varepsilon + 1) p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

As is easily verified, we have  $|\xi|^2 \leq 4\chi_\varepsilon$  in region  $I_\varepsilon$ , so that the quantity inside square brackets is larger than  $\kappa(\chi_\varepsilon + 1)/2$  if  $A > 0$  is small enough. Similarly  $\chi_\varepsilon \leq A^2/\varepsilon^2$  in region  $II_\varepsilon$ , which implies that  $A^2/(8\varepsilon^2) - 1 \geq (\chi_\varepsilon + 1)/16$  if  $\varepsilon \ll A$ . So, assuming that  $\kappa \leq 1/16$ , we find

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,t}[w] \leq -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon |\nabla w|^2 d\xi - \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{I_\varepsilon} (\chi_\varepsilon + 1) p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi - \kappa \int_{II_\varepsilon \cup III_\varepsilon} (\chi_\varepsilon + 1) p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi,$$

and (3.39) immediately follows.  $\square$

### 3.3.2 Control of the advection terms

We first consider the local advection term

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(1)}[w] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w (U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla w d\xi = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w^2 (U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon d\xi,$$

where the second expression is obtained after integrating by parts.

**Lemma 3.6.** *There exists a positive constant  $K_6$  (independent of  $A, B$ ) such that*

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(1)}[w]| \leq K_6 \varepsilon^2 \left( A + \frac{1}{B} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi + K_6 \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi. \quad (3.43)$$

*Proof.* In view of (2.5) and Lemma 2.2 we can decompose

$$U_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) = U_0(\xi) + \varepsilon^2 \hat{U}_2(\xi, t), \quad E(f, z; \xi, t) = \varepsilon^2 E_2(\xi, t) + \varepsilon^3 \bar{E}_3(\xi, t),$$

where  $U_0(\xi) = \xi^\perp v_0(\xi)$ ,  $E_2$  is given by (2.9),  $|\hat{U}_2(\xi, t)| \leq C/(1+|\xi|)$ , and  $|\bar{E}_3(\xi, t)| \leq C(1+|\xi|^2)$ . To estimate the quantity  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(1)}[w]$ , we first assume that  $\xi \in I_\varepsilon$ , so that  $p_\varepsilon = \exp(q_\varepsilon)$ . Using the explicit expression (3.18), we find by a direct calculation

$$U_0 \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon^2 T_0}{4} \xi^\perp \cdot \nabla \left( b(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) - 2a\xi_1 \xi_2 \right) = -\frac{\varepsilon^2 T_0}{2} \left( a(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) + 2b\xi_1 \xi_2 \right) = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \xi \cdot E_2,$$

where the last equality follows from (2.9). We thus have a *partial cancellation* between the terms  $U_0 \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^2 E_2 \cdot \nabla q_0$ , which is the reason for which we included a non-radially symmetric correction in the definition (3.18) of the function  $q_\varepsilon$ . It follows that

$$(U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 E_2 \cdot \nabla \left( q_\varepsilon - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4} \right) + \varepsilon^2 \hat{U}_2 \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^3 \bar{E}_3 \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon.$$

Since  $|\nabla q_\varepsilon| \leq C|\xi|$  and  $|\nabla(q_\varepsilon - |\xi|^2/4)| \leq C\varepsilon^2|\xi|(1 + |\xi|^2)$  for  $\xi \in \text{I}_\varepsilon$ , we easily obtain

$$|(U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^4|\xi|^2(1 + |\xi|^2) + C\varepsilon^2 + C\varepsilon^3|\xi|^3 \leq C\varepsilon^2(A|\xi|^2 + 1), \quad (3.44)$$

because  $\varepsilon^2|\xi|^2 \leq 2A^2$  in region  $\text{I}_\varepsilon$  and  $A \leq 1$ .

Obviously, we do not need to consider the case where  $\xi \in \text{II}_\varepsilon$ , because  $\nabla p_\varepsilon = 0$  in that region. When  $\xi \in \text{III}_\varepsilon$ , we have  $\nabla p_\varepsilon = (\gamma\xi/2)p_\varepsilon$ , so that  $U_{\text{app}} \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \hat{U}_2 \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon$ . Observing that  $|E(f, z)| \leq \mathcal{K}\varepsilon$  where  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined in (1.9), we conclude that

$$|(U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon| \leq C\gamma(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon|\xi|)p_\varepsilon \leq C\gamma\varepsilon^2\left(1 + \frac{|\xi|^2}{B}\right)p_\varepsilon, \quad (3.45)$$

because  $|\xi| \geq B/\varepsilon$  in region  $\text{III}_\varepsilon$ . Combining (3.44) and (3.45), we have shown that

$$|(U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^2\left\{1 + \left(A + \frac{1}{B}\right)\chi_\varepsilon\right\}p_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Multiplying by  $w^2$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we obtain (3.43).  $\square$

We next consider the nonlocal term

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(2)}[w] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w(v \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}}) d\xi, \quad \text{where } v = \text{BS}[w].$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *There exists a positive constant  $K_7$  such that  $|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(2)}[w]| \leq K_7\varepsilon^2\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$ .*

*Proof.* We deduce from (2.5) that  $\Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) = \Omega_0(\xi) + \varepsilon^2 \hat{\Omega}_2(\xi, t)$ , where  $\Omega_0$  is given by (1.6) and the correction satisfies  $|\nabla \hat{\Omega}_2(\xi, t)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^N \Omega_0$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , because  $\hat{\Omega}_2$  belongs to the function space  $\mathcal{Z}$  defined in (2.13). We can thus decompose  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(2)}[w] = \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(3)}[w] + \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(4)}[w]$  where

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(3)}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w(v \cdot \nabla \Omega_0) d\xi, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(4)}[w] = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w(v \cdot \nabla \hat{\Omega}_2) d\xi.$$

The second term is easily estimated using Hölder's inequality:

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(4)}[w]| \leq \varepsilon^2 \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^4} \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} \nabla \hat{\Omega}_2\|_{L^4} \leq C\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w],$$

because  $\|v\|_{L^4} \leq C\|w\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C\|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2}$ , see Lemma A.3, and  $\|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} \nabla \hat{\Omega}_2\|_{L^4}$  is uniformly bounded. Here and in what follows, we use the uniform bounds (3.28) satisfied by the weight function  $p_\varepsilon$ . Next, denoting  $p_0(\xi) = \exp(|\xi|^2/4)$ , we observe that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(3)}[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (p_\varepsilon - p_0) w(v \cdot \nabla \Omega_0) d\xi, \quad \text{because } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 w(v \cdot \nabla \Omega_0) d\xi = 0,$$

see [7, Lemma 4.8]. If  $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ , then  $|p_\varepsilon - p_0| \leq C\varepsilon^2 p_0 |\xi|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)$  so that

$$\int_{\{|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}} |p_\varepsilon - p_0| |w| |v| |\nabla \Omega_0| d\xi \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\text{I}_\varepsilon} |\xi|^3 (1 + |\xi|^2) |w| |v| d\xi \leq C\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w].$$

by the same arguments as before. When  $|\xi| > \varepsilon^{-1/2}$  we use the crude bound  $|p_\varepsilon - p_0| \leq p_\varepsilon + p_0$  together with the estimates (3.28) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}} p_\varepsilon |w| |v| |\nabla \Omega_0| d\xi &\leq \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^4} \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} \nabla \Omega_0\|_{L^4(|\xi| > \varepsilon^{-1/2})} \leq C e^{-c/\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w], \\ \int_{\{|\xi| > \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}} p_0 |w| |v| |\nabla \Omega_0| d\xi &\leq \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^4} \|\xi p_\varepsilon^{-1/2}\|_{L^4(|\xi| > \varepsilon^{-1/2})} \leq C e^{-c/\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w], \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c > 0$ . We deduce that  $|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}^{(3)}[w]| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.3.3 End of the proof of Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 1.6

In the previous paragraphs we obtained accurate bounds on the diffusion terms  $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$  and the advection term  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$  in (3.35). As for the nonlinear term  $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$  and the source term  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]$ , they can be estimated exactly as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. We thus find

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]| \leq K_8 \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w], \quad |\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]| \leq K_9 (\varepsilon^5 + \delta^2 \varepsilon^2) \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w]^{1/2}, \quad (3.46)$$

for some positive constants  $K_8, K_9$  depending on  $A$  and  $B$ .

It is now a straightforward task to complete the proof of Proposition 3.3. If  $w(\xi, t)$  is the solution of (3.2) with zero initial data, we consider the weighted energy  $\mathcal{E}(t) := \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,t}[w(\cdot, t)]$ , which evolves according to (3.34). It is understood here that  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ , so that  $\varepsilon^2/\delta = t/T_0$ . Using the bounds (3.46) and the estimates collected in Corollary 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we obtain the differential inequality

$$\begin{aligned} t\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) &\leq -\kappa \mathcal{F}(t) + 2K_6 \left(A + \frac{1}{B}\right) \frac{t}{T_0} \mathcal{F}(t) + 2(K_6 + K_7) \frac{t}{T_0} \mathcal{E}(t) \\ &\quad + 2K_8 \mathcal{F}(t)^{1/2} \mathcal{E}(t) + 2K_9 \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right) \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(t) := \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon,t}[w(\cdot, t)]$ . We next choose  $A > 0$  small enough and  $B > 1$  large enough so that

$$2K_6 \left(A + \frac{1}{B}\right) \frac{T}{T_0} \leq \frac{\kappa}{2}.$$

Under this assumption, inequality (3.47) implies (3.32) with  $K_4 = 2K_9$ ,  $K_5 = 2(K_6 + K_7 + K_8)$ , and  $\kappa$  replaced by  $\kappa/2$ .

To deduce (3.33) from (3.32), we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Since  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ , we know that  $K_5 \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} \leq \kappa$  at least for short times. As long as that inequality holds, we deduce from (3.32) that

$$t\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) \leq K_4 \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right) \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} + K_5 \frac{t}{T_0} \mathcal{E}(t),$$

and that differential inequality can be integrated using Grönwall's lemma to give the estimate in (3.33). As  $\varepsilon^2 = \delta t/T_0 \leq \delta T/T_0$ , the conclusion remains true for all  $t \in (0, T)$  provided  $\delta > 0$  is chosen small enough so that

$$K_4 K_5 \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{K_5 t}{2T_0}\right) \leq K_4 K_5 \left\{ \delta^{1/2} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{5/2} + \frac{\delta T}{T_0} \right\} \exp\left(\frac{K_5 T}{2T_0}\right) < \kappa.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.6.* We consider the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of (1.2), (1.3) satisfying (1.4), and we make the change of variables (2.1) where  $z(t)$  is the solution of the ODE (1.14) with initial condition  $z(0) = z_0$ . Comparing the definition (1.10) with the expression of  $\bar{\Omega}_2$  given in Remark 2.7, we see that

$$\omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t}, z(t), f(t); x) = \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \left\{ \Omega_0\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}, t\right) + \varepsilon^2 \bar{\Omega}_2\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}, t\right) \right\},$$

where  $\Omega_0$  is defined in (1.6) and  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \omega(x, t) - \omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t}, z(t), f(t); x) \right| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \Omega(\xi, t) - \Omega_0(\xi, t) - \varepsilon^2 \bar{\Omega}_2(\xi, t) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \Omega(\xi, t) - \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) \right| d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) - \Omega_0(\xi, t) - \varepsilon^2 \bar{\Omega}_2(\xi, t) \right| d\xi =: \mathcal{I}_1(t) + \mathcal{I}_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_{\text{app}}$  is defined in (2.5). Using (3.1), (3.28) and Proposition 3.3, we find

$$\mathcal{I}_1(t) = \delta \|w(t)\|_{L^1} \leq C\delta \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2}w(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta} + \delta\varepsilon^2\right) \leq C\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon + \delta), \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (3.48)$$

On the other hand, we have  $\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0 - \varepsilon^2\tilde{\Omega}_2 = \delta\varepsilon^2\tilde{\Omega}_2 + \varepsilon^3\Omega_3 + \varepsilon^4\Omega_4$ , as can be seen from the expansion (2.5) and the definition of  $\Omega_2$  in Section 2.3. We deduce that  $\mathcal{I}_2(t) \leq C\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon + \delta)$ , and together with (3.48) this concludes the proof of estimate (1.13).  $\square$

### 3.3.4 Alternative definitions of the vortex position

The results stated in the introduction are sensitive to the precise definition of the vortex position, because they concern sharply concentrated solutions. As is mentioned in Remark 1.7, it is natural to consider the center of vorticity  $\bar{z}(t)$  defined by (1.15), which however does not satisfy an ODE such as (1.8) or (1.14). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, it turns out that  $\bar{z}(t)$  stays very close to the solution  $z(t)$  of (1.14) with  $z(0) = z_0$ . To see this, we observe that

$$\bar{z}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x \omega(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x}{\nu t} \Omega\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (z(t) + \varepsilon d\xi) \Omega(\xi, t) d\xi,$$

where  $\Omega$  is defined in (2.1). Using the decomposition (3.1) and the moment identities (2.33), (3.3), we deduce

$$\bar{z}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (z(t) + \varepsilon d\xi) \left( \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) + \delta w(\xi, t) \right) d\xi = z(t) + \delta\varepsilon d \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi w(\xi, t) d\xi.$$

The right-hand side can be estimated using Proposition 3.3, which gives

$$|\bar{z}(t) - z(t)| \leq \delta\varepsilon d \| |\xi|w(t) \|_{L^1} \leq C\delta\varepsilon d \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2}w(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Cd\varepsilon^3(\varepsilon + \delta), \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

As is easily verified, this implies that estimate (1.13) in Theorem 1.6 remains valid if the vortex position  $z(t)$  is replaced by the center of vorticity  $\bar{z}(t)$ .

On the other hand, the approximate vortex solution  $\hat{z}(t)$  given by the simple ODE (1.8) is only  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$  close to the solution  $z(t)$  of (1.14), unless of course the additional term  $\Delta f(z(t), t)$  in (1.14) vanishes identically. As was already mentioned, this is the case if the external velocity field  $f$  is irrotational, see the discussion in Remark 1.1. In general, taking the difference of (1.8) and (1.14), we obtain the inequality

$$|z'(t) - \hat{z}'(t)| \leq |f(z(t), t) - f(\hat{z}(t), t)| + \nu t |\Delta f(z(t), t)| \leq \frac{1}{T_0} |z(t) - \hat{z}(t)| + \mathcal{K} \frac{\nu t}{T_0 d},$$

which can be integrated using Grönwall's lemma to give

$$|z(t) - \hat{z}(t)| \leq \mathcal{K} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)/T_0} \frac{\nu s}{T_0 d} ds \leq \mathcal{K} e^{t/T_0} \frac{\nu t}{d} \leq Cd\varepsilon^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.49)$$

With estimate (3.49) at hand, it is not difficult to verify that (1.13) implies (1.7), so that Proposition 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.6. Indeed, using the bound (1.12) with  $\ell = \sqrt{\nu t}$  and the fact that  $\varepsilon(t) \leq 1$  and  $\delta \leq 1$  under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we deduce from (1.13) that

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \omega(x, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \Omega_0\left(\frac{x - z(t)}{\sqrt{\nu t}}\right) \right| dx \leq C\varepsilon(t)^2, \quad \forall t \in (0, T), \quad (3.50)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . This estimate is similar to (1.7), except that the Lamb-Oseen vortex is centered at the modified position  $z(t)$ , which differs from  $\hat{z}(t)$ . To obtain (1.7) from (3.50), we use the elementary bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \Omega_0\left(\frac{x - z}{\ell}\right) - \Omega_0\left(\frac{x - \hat{z}}{\ell}\right) \right| dx \leq \ell \|\nabla \Omega_0\|_{L^1} |z - \hat{z}|,$$

together with the estimate (3.49) for the difference  $z(t) - \hat{z}(t)$ .

### 3.3.5 Alternative choice of the weight function

There is a certain amount of freedom in the choice of the weight function that is used to control the solution of (3.2) for large times. In particular, the diameter of the inner region  $I_\varepsilon(t)$  should tend to infinity as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , but does not need to be proportional to  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ , as in (3.20). Arguably the definition (3.21) gives the largest possible weight  $p_\varepsilon(\xi, t)$  for which our energy estimates apply. Compared with the previous works [3, 5, 2], where a similar approach is implemented, our argument here provides a stronger control of the solution of (1.2). One can also observe that the diameters of the regions  $I_\varepsilon$  and  $II_\varepsilon$  defined by (3.20) are  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$  in the self-similar variable  $\xi$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in the original variable  $x$ , which is quite remarkable. A minor drawback of the definition (3.21) is that the weight  $p_\varepsilon$  is not a small perturbation of  $p_0$ , even in the inner region  $I_\varepsilon(t)$ . In particular, as indicated in (3.28), it satisfies an upper bound of the form  $p_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq C \exp(\mu|\xi|^2/4)$  for  $\mu = 1 + \mathcal{O}(A^2)$ , but not for  $\mu = 1$ .

Another interesting possibility is to use the alternative weight

$$\hat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} \exp(q_\varepsilon(\xi, t)) & \text{if } \xi \in \hat{I}_\varepsilon(t), \\ \exp(A^2/(4\varepsilon)) & \text{if } \xi \in \hat{II}_\varepsilon(t), \\ \exp(\gamma|\xi|/4) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{III}_\varepsilon(t), \end{cases} \quad (3.51)$$

where  $\gamma = A^2/B$  and the new regions  $\hat{I}_\varepsilon, \hat{II}_\varepsilon$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_\varepsilon(t) &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; \varepsilon^{1/2}|\xi| \leq 2A, \varepsilon q_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq A^2/4 \right\}, \\ \hat{II}_\varepsilon(t) &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \hat{I}_\varepsilon(t); \varepsilon|\xi| < B \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Up to inessential details, this is the choice made in [3] in a related context. The main difference with the previous definition is that the inner region is now smaller, with a diameter proportional to  $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$  instead of  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ . Since the outer region is unchanged, the intermediate region is proportionally larger. The new weight satisfies uniform estimates of the form

$$C^{-1} e^{\gamma|\xi|/4} \leq \hat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq C e^{|\xi|^2/4}, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T], \quad (3.52)$$

for some constant  $C > 1$ . As is easily verified, the analogue of Proposition 3.3 holds for the functionals (3.29), (3.30) defined with the new weight  $\hat{p}_\varepsilon$ , provided the function  $\chi_\varepsilon$  introduced in (3.31) is replaced by

$$\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\xi) = \begin{cases} |\xi|^2 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq A/\varepsilon^{1/2}, \\ A^2/\varepsilon & \text{if } A/\varepsilon^{1/2} < |\xi| < B/\varepsilon, \\ \gamma|\xi| & \text{if } |\xi| \geq B/\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.53)$$

The estimates are even simpler because the intermediate region, where the dangerous advection terms give no contribution, is now larger. Although somewhat weaker, the result is still sufficient to imply Theorem 1.6.

## 4 The solution starting from a Gaussian vortex

In this section we prove our second main result, Theorem 1.8, by combining the energy estimates developed in Section 3 with the enhanced dissipation estimates established by Li, Wei, and Zhang in [12]. Let  $\omega(x, t)$  denote the solution of (1.2), (1.3) with initial data (1.16) at time  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ . We make the change of variables (2.1) for  $t \geq t_0$ , where  $z(t)$  is the solution of the ODE (1.14) with initial condition  $z(t_0) = z_0$ . The new functions  $\Omega(\xi, t)$  and  $U(\xi, t)$  still satisfy the evolution

equation (2.6). To eliminate the slightly unusual time derivative  $t\partial_t$ , it is convenient here to introduce the new dimensionless variable

$$\tau = \log(t/t_0), \quad (4.1)$$

which is nonnegative and vanishes precisely at initial time  $t = t_0$ .

In the spirit of (3.1), we decompose

$$\Omega(\xi, t) = \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) + \delta w(\xi, \log(t/t_0)), \quad U(\xi, t) = U_{\text{app}}(\xi, t) + \delta v(\xi, \log(t/t_0)), \quad (4.2)$$

the only difference being that the perturbations  $w, v$  are now considered as functions of the dimensionless time  $\tau \geq 0$ , instead of the original time  $t \geq t_0$ . As in (3.2), they satisfy the evolution equation

$$\partial_\tau w + \frac{1}{\delta} (U_{\text{app}} + E(f, z)) \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{\delta} v \cdot \nabla \Omega_{\text{app}} + v \cdot \nabla w = \mathcal{L}w - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$  is defined in (2.21). Here and in what follows, it is understood that all quantities that depend explicitly on time, such as the vortex position  $z(t)$  or the aspect ratio  $\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\nu t}/d$ , should be considered as functions of the dimensionless variable (4.1) via the relation  $t = t_0 e^\tau$ .

At initial time  $\tau = 0$ , we have by construction

$$w(\xi, 0) = \phi_0(\xi) := \frac{1}{\delta} (\Omega_0(\xi) - \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t_0)), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Using the notations of Section 2.2, we observe that  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$ , and that  $\phi_0$  is of size  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_0^2/\delta)$  where  $\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{\nu t_0}/d$ . Since  $\varepsilon_0^2/\delta = t_0/T_0$ , this means that the initial data (4.4) are not small in the limit where  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , which is in contrast with the situation considered in the proof of Theorem 1.6. However, as we shall see, it is possible to decompose the perturbation  $w(\xi, \tau)$  into a linear component  $w_0(\xi, \tau)$  that is initially of size  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  but decays rapidly as time evolves, and a correction term  $\tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)$  which vanishes initially and remains small for all times.

#### 4.1 Enhanced dissipation estimates

Given  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we define  $w_0(\xi, \tau)$  as the unique solution of the linear equation

$$\partial_\tau w_0(\xi, \tau) = (\mathcal{L} - \delta^{-1}\Lambda)w_0(\xi, \tau), \quad w_0(\xi, 0) = \phi_0(\xi). \quad (4.5)$$

The spectral and pseudospectral properties of the linear operator  $\mathcal{L} - \delta^{-1}\Lambda$  have been thoroughly studied in previous works, including [7, 16, 1, 4, 12]. Combining the optimal resolvent estimates obtained by Li, Wei, Zhang [12] with the quantitative Gearhart-Prüss theorem due to Wei [26], we immediately obtain the following result.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exist positive constants  $C_0$  and  $c_0$  such that, for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and all  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$ , the solution of (4.5) satisfies*

$$\|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C_0 \exp\left(-\frac{c_0\tau}{\delta^{1/3}}\right) \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0. \quad (4.6)$$

In particular, denoting  $C_1 = C_0^2/(2c_0)$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 d\tau \leq C_1 \delta^{1/3} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2. \quad (4.7)$$

**Remark 4.2.** *Unfortunately, it is impossible to obtain an estimate of the form (4.7) for the gradient norm  $\|\nabla w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . Indeed, since the operator  $\Lambda$  is skew-symmetric in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , an easy calculation shows that*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 = \langle w_0, (\mathcal{L} - \delta^{-1}\Lambda)w_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle w_0, \mathcal{L}w_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = -\|\nabla w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2,$$



where the last equality is obtained after integrating by parts. It follows that

$$\|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + 2 \int_0^\tau \|\nabla w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 ds = \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + 2 \int_0^\tau \|w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 ds, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0.$$

Taking the limit  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  and using (4.6), we see that  $\int_0^\infty \|\nabla w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 ds \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$ , a lower bound that holds uniformly for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

Our main result regarding the linear equation (4.5) is the following integrated estimate for the weighted norm  $\|\xi|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *For any  $\gamma > 1/8$ , there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that, for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and all  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$  satisfying*

$$\|\phi_0\|_\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma|\xi|^2} |\phi_0(\xi)| < \infty,$$

the solution of (4.5) satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \|\xi|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 d\tau \leq C_2 \delta^{1/3} \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|\phi_0\|_\gamma^2. \quad (4.8)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma > 1/8$ , we first observe that  $\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\|\phi_0\|_\gamma$  for some constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $\gamma$ . Next, we give ourselves a cut-off parameter  $\rho > 0$  satisfying  $\rho^2 = N \log(2/\delta)$ , for some (large) integer  $N$  that will be chosen later, depending only on  $\gamma$ . In view of (4.7), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \|\xi|\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq \rho\}} w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 d\tau \leq \rho^2 \int_0^\infty \|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 d\tau \leq C_1 N \delta^{1/3} \log\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2, \quad (4.9)$$

which gives the first half of the desired bound. To complete the proof of (4.8), we need an integral estimate of the quantity  $\|\xi|\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi| > \rho\}} w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$ . This can be obtained using appropriate energy estimates, as we now explain.

First of all, we introduce the function  $h(\xi, \tau) = p(\xi)^{1/2} w_0(\xi, \tau)$ , where  $p(\xi) = e^{|\xi|^2/4}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\partial_\tau h = (L - \delta^{-1} \hat{\Lambda})h$ , where

$$L = \Delta - \frac{|\xi|^2}{16} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}h = U_0 \cdot \nabla h + p^{1/2} \text{BS}[p^{-1/2}h] \cdot \nabla \Omega_0.$$

As asserted in Proposition 2.5, the operator  $L$  is self-adjoint in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , whereas  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is skew-adjoint. Moreover, we have  $\|h\|_{L^2} = \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  by definition. We are interested in estimating the  $L^2$  norm of the function  $|\xi|h(\xi, \tau)$  outside the disk of radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin. The desired localization is obtained by setting  $g(\xi, \tau) = \chi(\xi)h(\xi, \tau)$ , where  $\chi(\xi) = \psi(|\xi|)$  and  $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is a smooth function satisfying

$$\psi(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-\rho^2} & \text{if } r \leq \rho/2, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \geq \rho, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \psi'(r) \leq 1 \quad \forall r > 0.$$

A direct calculation leads to the evolution equation  $\partial_\tau g = (L_\chi - \delta^{-1} \hat{\Lambda}_\chi)g$ , where

$$L_\chi g = \Delta g - \frac{2\nabla \chi}{\chi} \cdot \nabla g - \frac{|\xi|^2}{16} g + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2|\nabla \chi|^2}{\chi^2} - \frac{\Delta \chi}{\chi} \right) g,$$

and  $\hat{\Lambda}_\chi g = U_0 \cdot \nabla g + \tilde{\Lambda}_\chi g$  with

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_\chi g = \chi p^{1/2} \text{BS}[p^{-1/2} \chi^{-1} g] \cdot \nabla \Omega_0 = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \chi p^{-1/2} \xi \cdot \text{BS}[p^{-1/2} \chi^{-1} g]. \quad (4.10)$$

The idea is now to perform a standard energy estimate in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , namely

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 = -\|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{16} \|\xi|g|\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{|\nabla\chi|}{\chi} g \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\delta} \langle g, \tilde{\Lambda}_\chi g \rangle_{L^2}.$$

In particular, since  $|\nabla\chi| \leq 1$  and  $|g| \leq \chi^{-1}|g| = |h|$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{8} \int_0^\infty \|\xi|g(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq \|g_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^\infty \left( 3\|h(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\delta} |\langle g(\tau), \tilde{\Lambda}_\chi g(\tau) \rangle_{L^2}| \right) d\tau, \quad (4.11)$$

where  $g_0 = \chi p^{1/2} \phi_0$ . It remains to estimate the various terms in the right-hand side of (4.11). We already know from (4.7) that

$$\int_0^\infty \|h(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau = \int_0^\infty \|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 d\tau \leq C_1 \delta^{1/3} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2.$$

To bound the other terms, the following elementary observation will be useful. Since  $\chi(\xi) = e^{-\rho^2}$  when  $|\xi| \leq \rho/2$  and  $\chi(\xi) \leq 1$  otherwise, we have for any  $\mu \in (0, 4)$ :

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left( \chi(\xi) e^{-\mu|\xi|^2} \right) \leq \max\left( e^{-\rho^2}, e^{-\mu\rho^2/4} \right) = e^{-\mu\rho^2/4} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad (4.12)$$

provided  $\rho^2 = N \log(2/\delta)$  with  $N \geq 4/\mu$ .

As a first application of (4.12), we consider the initial data  $g_0 = \chi p^{1/2} \phi_0$ . Taking  $\mu \in (0, 4)$  such that  $2\mu \leq \gamma - 1/8$ , we can bound

$$|g_0(\xi)| \leq \chi(\xi) e^{|\xi|^2/8} |\phi_0(\xi)| \leq \chi(\xi) e^{-(\gamma-1/8)|\xi|^2} \|\phi_0\|_\gamma \leq e^{-\mu|\xi|^2} \left( \chi(\xi) e^{-\mu|\xi|^2} \right) \|\phi_0\|_\gamma,$$

and using (4.12) we deduce that  $\|g_0\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\phi_0\|_\gamma$ . Similarly, if  $\mu \leq 1/16$ , we have

$$\chi(\xi) p(\xi)^{-1/2} = \chi(\xi) e^{-|\xi|^2/8} \leq e^{-\mu|\xi|^2} \left( \chi(\xi) e^{-\mu|\xi|^2} \right), \quad \text{hence} \quad \|\xi|\chi p^{-1/2}\|_{L^4} \leq C\delta.$$

Applying (4.10) and Hölder's inequality, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g, \tilde{\Lambda}_\chi g \rangle_{L^2}| &\leq \|g \chi p^{-1/2} \xi \cdot \text{BS}[p^{-1/2} h]\|_{L^1} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2} \|\xi|\chi p^{-1/2}\|_{L^4} \|\text{BS}[p^{-1/2} h]\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq C\delta \|g\|_{L^2} \|p^{-1/2} h\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C\delta \|h\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we deduce from (4.11) that

$$\int_0^\infty \|\xi|g(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C \left( \|g_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^\infty \|h(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \right) \leq C \left( \delta^2 \|\phi_0\|_\gamma^2 + \delta^{1/3} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 \right). \quad (4.13)$$

Observing that  $\|\xi|g(\tau)\|_{L^2} \geq \|\xi|\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|>\rho\}} h(\tau)\|_{L^2} = \|\xi|\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|>\rho\}} w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ , we see that estimate (4.8) is a direct consequence of (4.9), (4.13).  $\square$

It is unclear if enhanced dissipation estimates of the form (4.6) hold in weighted  $L^q$  norms for  $q > 2$ . The following bound is certainly not optimal, but will be sufficient for our purposes.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp$  satisfies  $p^{1/2} \phi_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $q \in (2, +\infty)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that, for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , the solution of (4.5) satisfies*

$$\sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|p^{1/2} w_0(\tau)\|_{L^q} \leq C_3 \left( \|p^{1/2} \phi_0\|_{L^q} + \frac{1}{\delta} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right). \quad (4.14)$$

*Proof.* We recall that the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in  $\mathcal{Y}$  which satisfies, for any  $q \geq 2$  and any  $\tau > 0$ , the following estimates

$$\|p^{1/2}e^{\tau\mathcal{L}}\phi\|_{L^q} \leq C\|p^{1/2}\phi\|_{L^q}, \quad \|p^{1/2}e^{\tau\mathcal{L}}\nabla\phi\|_{L^q} \leq \frac{C e^{-\tau/2}}{a(\tau)^{1-1/q}} \|p^{1/2}\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad (4.15)$$

where  $a(\tau) = 1 - e^{-\tau}$ , see [4, Section 5.1]. To prove (4.14) we start from the integral formulation of equation (4.5), namely

$$w_0(\tau) = e^{\tau\mathcal{L}}\phi_0 - \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\tau e^{(\tau-s)\mathcal{L}}\nabla \cdot (U_0 w_0(s) + v_0(s)\Omega_0) ds,$$

where  $v_0 = \text{BS}[w_0]$ . Using estimates (4.14) we thus find

$$\|p^{1/2}w_0(\tau)\|_{L^q} \leq C\|p^{1/2}\phi_0\|_{L^q} + \frac{C}{\delta} \int_0^\tau \frac{e^{-(\tau-s)/2}}{a(\tau-s)^{1-1/q}} \|U_0 w_0(s) + v_0(s)\Omega_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} ds. \quad (4.16)$$

To bound the integral term, we observe that  $\|U_0 w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \|U_0\|_{L^\infty} \|w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  and that  $\|v_0(s)\Omega_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \|p^{1/2}\Omega_0\|_{L^4} \|v_0(s)\|_{L^4} \leq C\|w_0(s)\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C\|w_0(s)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . Since  $2 < q < \infty$ , we also have

$$\int_0^\tau \frac{e^{-(\tau-s)/2}}{a(\tau-s)^{1-1/q}} ds \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s/2}}{a(s)^{1-1/q}} ds < \infty,$$

hence (4.14) follows directly from (4.16).  $\square$

## 4.2 Energy estimates

We now come back to the evolution equation (4.3) for the vorticity perturbation  $w(\xi, \tau)$ . We introduce the following decomposition

$$w(\xi, \tau) = w_0(\xi, \tau) + \tilde{w}(\xi, \tau), \quad (4.17)$$

where  $w_0$  is the solution of the linear equation (4.5). The correction  $\tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)$  satisfies

$$\partial_\tau \tilde{w} + \frac{1}{\delta} \Lambda \tilde{w} + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{A}[w_0 + \tilde{w}] + \mathcal{B}[w_0 + \tilde{w}, w_0 + \tilde{w}] = \mathcal{L}\tilde{w} - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}, \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the linear operator (3.7) and  $\mathcal{B}$  the bilinear map (3.8). Since  $w_0(0) = w(0) = \phi_0$ , the correction  $\tilde{w}$  vanishes at initial time, and our goal is to show that this quantity remains small in an appropriate function space for all  $\tau \in [0, \log(T/t_0)]$ . As in Section 3, the proof is much simpler if we assume that the observation time  $T$  is small compared to  $T_0$ . So, for the sake of clarity, we first provide the details of the argument in the simpler situation, and we return to the general case at the end of this section.

### 4.2.1 Relaxation for small time

Under the assumption that  $T/T_0 \ll 1$ , the solution of (4.18) with zero initial data can be controlled using a simple energy estimate in the function space  $\mathcal{Y}$ , as in Section 3.1.

**Proposition 4.5.** *There exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  such that, if  $T/T_0 \ll 1$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , the solution of (4.18) with zero initial data satisfies*

$$\|\tilde{w}(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C_4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) + C_4 e^\tau \delta^{1/6} \left( \log \frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{t_0}{T_0} \right)^2, \quad (4.19)$$

for all  $\tau \in [0, \log(T/t_0)]$ .

*Proof.* Following (3.4) we introduce the energy functional  $\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] = \|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$  which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_\tau\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] + \frac{1}{\delta}\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{A}[w_0 + \tilde{w}]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} + \langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{B}[w_0 + \tilde{w}, w_0 + \tilde{w}]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{L}\tilde{w}\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} - \frac{1}{\delta^2}\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}. \quad (4.20)$$

We first recall the estimates already obtained in Section 3.1. According to (3.10), there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that  $\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{L}\tilde{w}\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq -\kappa\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]$ , where  $\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]$  is defined in (3.4). In view of (3.12), (3.13), there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\delta}|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{A}[\tilde{w}]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq \frac{t}{T_0}(\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}] + C\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]), \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{B}[\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq C\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]. \quad (4.21)$$

Finally, the contribution of the source term  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}$  can be bounded as in (3.11):

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2}|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{R}_{\text{app}}\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right)\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}.$$

What remains is to estimate all the terms in (4.20) that involve the solution  $w_0$  of the linear equation (4.5). We start with the advection term  $\mathcal{A}[w_0]$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{A}[w_0]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla(p\tilde{w}) \cdot \left((U_{\text{app}} - U_0)w_0 + v_0(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0) + E(f, z)w_0\right) d\xi,$$

where  $p(\xi) = e^{|\xi|^2/4}$ . We know that  $\|U_{\text{app}} - U_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^2$ , that  $\|p^{1/2}(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0)\|_{L^q} \leq C\varepsilon^2$  for any  $q \geq 1$ , and that  $|E(f, z)| \leq C\varepsilon^2(1 + |\xi|)$ . This gives

$$\|(U_{\text{app}} - U_0)w_0 + v_0(\Omega_{\text{app}} - \Omega_0) + E(f, z)w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\varepsilon^2(\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\xi|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}).$$

On the other hand we have  $\nabla(p\tilde{w}) = p(\nabla\tilde{w} + \xi\tilde{w}/2)$  where  $\|\nabla\tilde{w} + \xi\tilde{w}/2\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq 2\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}$ . Since  $\varepsilon^2/\delta = t/T_0$  we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{\delta}|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{A}[w_0]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq \frac{Ct}{T_0}\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}(\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\xi|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}). \quad (4.22)$$

We next consider the various terms involving  $w_0$  in the quadratic form  $\mathcal{B}[w_0 + \tilde{w}, w_0 + \tilde{w}]$ . Integrating by parts as above, we observe that, for all  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{B}[w_1, w_2]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq 2\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}\|v_1w_2\|_{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad \text{where} \quad v_1 = \text{BS}[w_1].$$

We first take  $w_1 = w_0$  and  $w_2 = w_0 + \tilde{w}$ , in which case  $\|v_1w_2\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}(\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}})$ . To estimate the  $L^\infty$  norm of  $v_0 = \text{BS}[w_0]$ , we invoke [4, Lemma 5.5] which asserts that

$$\|v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|w_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2} \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{\|w_0\|_{L^3}}{\|w_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2}}\right)^{1/2} \leq C\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1/2},$$

where in the last inequality we used the a priori estimate on  $\|w_0\|_{L^3}$  given by Lemma 4.4. We arrive at

$$|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{B}[w_0, w_0 + \tilde{w}]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq C\left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}(\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}}). \quad (4.23)$$

The second case to consider is  $w_1 = \tilde{w}$  and  $w_2 = w_0$ . Here we invoke [4, Lemma 5.6] which directly gives

$$\|\tilde{w}w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\|\tilde{w}\|_{L^1 \cap L^2} \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{\|p^{1/2}w_0\|_{L^3}}{\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}}\right)^{1/2} \leq C\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1/2},$$

and we conclude that

$$|\langle\tilde{w}, \mathcal{B}[\tilde{w}, w_0]\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}| \leq C\left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2}\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Summarizing, if we collect all estimates (4.21)–(4.24) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] + \left(2\kappa - \frac{2t}{T_0}\right) \mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}] &\leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right) \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} + \frac{Ct}{T_0} \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] + C_0 \mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] \\ &+ \frac{Ct}{T_0} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} (\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\xi|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}) + C \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} (\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}}), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $C$  and  $C_0$ . As in Section 3.1, we suppose that  $t/T_0 \leq T/T_0 \leq \kappa/2$ , and we work under the assumption that  $C_0 \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} \leq \kappa/2$ , which will be verified a posteriori. Using Young's inequality and the fact that  $\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}] \leq \mathcal{F}[\tilde{w}]$ , we obtain the simpler relation

$$\partial_\tau \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}(\tau)] \leq \mathcal{M}(\tau) \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}(\tau)] + \mathcal{S}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq \log(T/t_0), \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\tau) &= \frac{Ct}{T_0} + C \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2, \\ \mathcal{S}(\tau) &= C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right)^2 + C \left(\frac{t}{T_0}\right)^2 \left(\|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + \|\xi|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2\right) + C \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t = t_0 e^\tau$  and  $\|w_0(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  satisfies (4.7), we have

$$\int_0^{\log(T/t_0)} \mathcal{M}(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{CT}{T_0} + C \delta^{1/3} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 \leq K,$$

for some constant  $K > 0$ . Similarly, using Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 with  $\gamma \in (1/8, 1/4)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{S}(\tau') d\tau' &\leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right)^2 + C \left(\frac{t}{T_0}\right)^2 \delta^{1/3} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + C \delta^{1/3} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^4 \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right)^2 + C \delta^{1/3} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{t t_0}{T_0^2}\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C t_0/T_0 \leq C t/T_0$ . So, applying Grönwall's lemma to the differential inequality (4.25), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}(\tau)] \leq e^K \int_0^\tau \mathcal{S}(\tau') d\tau' \leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2\right)^2 + C \delta^{1/3} \left(\log \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{t t_0}{T_0^2}\right)^2. \quad (4.26)$$

If  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, this ensures that the a priori estimate  $C_0 \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}]^{1/2} \leq \kappa/2$  holds whenever  $\tau \leq \log(T/t_0)$ . Finally, since  $\|\tilde{w}(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{E}[\tilde{w}(\tau)]^{1/2}$  and  $t = t_0 e^\tau$ , we see that (4.19) follows from (4.26).  $\square$

#### 4.2.2 Relaxation for large time

In the general situation where  $T/T_0$  is not small, more complicated energy functionals are needed to control the solutions of (4.18), even in the particular case  $w_0 = 0$  which was considered in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. As a matter of fact, the terms involving  $w_0$  in (4.18) do not create any real trouble, and can be treated exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Since the estimates given by Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 hold in the space  $\mathcal{Y}$ , it is preferable here to use the weight function  $\hat{p}_\varepsilon$  defined in (3.51), which satisfies the upper bound in (3.52). Also, as already explained, it is convenient to express all quantities in terms of the logarithmic time (4.1), instead of the original time  $t \in [t_0, T]$ . We thus consider the energy functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)^2 d\xi, \\ \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \left\{ |\nabla \tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)|^2 + \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\xi) \tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)^2 + \tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)^2 \right\} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon$  is defined in (3.53). Here and in what follows it is understood that  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{vt}/d$  with  $t = t_0 e^\tau$ . The analogue of Proposition 4.5 is:

**Proposition 4.6.** *There exists a constant  $C_5 > 0$  such that, if  $\delta > 0$  is small enough, the solution of (4.18) with zero initial data satisfies*

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)^{1/2} \leq C_5 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) + C_5 e^\tau \delta^{1/6} \left( \log \frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{t_0}{T_0} \right)^2, \quad (4.27)$$

for all  $\tau \in [0, \log(T/t_0)]$ .

*Proof.* We only give a sketch of the argument, which simply combines the estimates already obtained in the proofs of Propositions 3.3 and 4.5. In analogy with (3.32) we have

$$\partial_\tau \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) + \kappa \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) \leq K_4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^5}{\delta^2} + \varepsilon^2 \right) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)^{1/2} + K_5 \left( \frac{t}{T_0} + \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\tau)^{1/2} \right) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) - \mathcal{G}(\tau), \quad (4.28)$$

where the additional term  $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$  collects all terms contributions due to  $w_0$ , namely

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{p}_\varepsilon \tilde{w} \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{A}[w_0] + \mathcal{B}[w_0, w_0] + \mathcal{B}[w_0, \tilde{w}] + \mathcal{B}[\tilde{w}, w_0] \right) d\xi.$$

Integrating by parts and proceeding as in (4.22), (4.23), (4.24), we easily find

$$|\mathcal{G}(\tau)| \leq C \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\tau)^{1/2} \left\{ \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \left( \log \frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} (\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{Y}}) \right\}. \quad (4.29)$$

Here we used the fact that  $\hat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi, t) \leq C e^{|\xi|^2/4}$ , see (3.52). Applying Young's inequality to (4.29) and returning to (4.28), we arrive at a differential inequality of the form

$$\partial_\tau \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) \leq \mathcal{M}(\tau) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) + \mathcal{S}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq \log(T/t_0),$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$  are exactly as in (4.25). Estimate (4.27) is then obtained by the same argument as in Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.8.* As in the proof of Theorem 1.6 we estimate the quantity

$$\mathcal{I}_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Omega(\xi, t) - \Omega_{\text{app}}(\xi, t)| d\xi \leq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_0(\xi, \tau)| d\xi + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{w}(\xi, \tau)| d\xi,$$

where we used the decompositions (4.2) and (4.17). To bound the first term in the right-hand side, we apply Proposition 4.1, and we recall that  $\|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C \varepsilon_0^2 / \delta$  where  $\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{\nu t_0} / d$ , see (4.4). Since  $\tau = \log(t/t_0)$ , we find

$$\delta \|w_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} \leq C \delta \|w_0(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C \delta \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0 \tau}{\delta^{1/3}}\right) \leq C \varepsilon_0^2 \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^\beta,$$

where  $\beta = c_0 \delta^{-1/3} \gg 1$ . For the second term we invoke Proposition 4.6 which gives

$$\delta \|\tilde{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} \leq C \delta \|\tilde{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = C \delta \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)^{1/2} \leq C \varepsilon^2 (\varepsilon + \delta) + C \varepsilon^2 \delta^{1/6} \left( \log \frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \frac{t_0}{T_0},$$

where we used again the relation  $\varepsilon^2 = \delta t / T_0$ . Altogether arrive at

$$\mathcal{I}_1(t) \leq C \varepsilon^2 \left\{ \varepsilon + \delta + \delta^{1/6} \left( \log \frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \frac{t_0}{T_0} + \left( \frac{t_0}{t} \right)^{\beta+1} \right\}, \quad t \in (t_0, T), \quad (4.30)$$

and the second integral that appears in the the proof of Theorem 1.6 satisfies  $\mathcal{I}_2(t) \leq C \varepsilon^2 (\varepsilon + \delta)$ , so that  $\mathcal{I}_2(t) \leq \mathcal{I}_1(t)$ . Estimate (1.17) thus follows from (4.30).  $\square$

## A Appendix

### A.1 Comparison with the Burgers vortex

We consider here the simple example of a time-independent velocity field of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the strain rate  $\gamma > 0$  is a parameter. Using the definitions (1.1), (1.11), we see that  $T_0 = 1/\gamma$ ,  $a_f(z) = -\gamma/2$ , and  $b_f(z) = 0$  in the present case. Let  $\omega(x, t)$  be the solution of (1.2), (1.3) satisfying  $\omega(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \Gamma\delta_0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Applying the self-similar change of coordinates (2.1) with  $z(t) = 0$ , we obtain the evolution equation (2.3) which takes the form

$$t\partial_t\Omega + \frac{1}{\delta}U \cdot \nabla\Omega = \mathcal{L}\Omega + \gamma t\mathcal{M}\Omega, \quad U = \text{BS}[\Omega], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined in (2.4) and  $\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1\partial_1 - \xi_2\partial_2)$ . If we freeze time in (A.2), we arrive at the elliptic equation

$$\frac{1}{\delta}U \cdot \nabla\Omega = \mathcal{L}\Omega + \lambda\mathcal{M}\Omega, \quad U = \text{BS}[\Omega], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $\lambda = \gamma t$ . This is exactly the equation satisfied by the profile of a Burgers vortex with Reynolds number  $1/\delta$  and asymmetry parameter  $\lambda$ , see [22, 21, 8]. In particular the results of [8, 14, 15] show that, if  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is fixed and  $\delta > 0$  is sufficiently small, equation (A.3) has a unique solution  $\Omega_{\lambda, \delta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  which satisfies

$$\Omega_{\lambda, \delta}(\xi) = \Omega_0(\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\delta w_2(|\xi|)\sin(2\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where  $w_2$  is precisely the function considered in Remark 2.7. Comparing (A.4) with approximate solution (1.10) in the particular case of the external field (A.1), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\Gamma}{\nu t} \Omega_{\gamma t, \delta} \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{\nu t}} \right) - \omega_{\text{app}}(\Gamma, \sqrt{\nu t}, 0, f; x) \right| dx = \mathcal{O}(\Gamma\delta^2), \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

This means that, for  $t \in (0, T_0)$  and  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, the approximate solution (1.10) is a simple rescaling of the vorticity profile of a Burgers vortex with asymmetry parameter  $\gamma t \in (0, 1)$  and Reynolds number  $1/\delta \gg 1$ .

### A.2 Partial inverse for the advection operator $\Lambda$

In this section, for completeness, we recall the known formulas for the (partial) inverse of the integro-differential operator  $\Lambda$  defined in (2.14). More details can be found in the references [3, 5]. Since  $\Lambda$  leaves invariant the direct sum decomposition (2.12), it is sufficient to study the restriction of  $\Lambda$  to each subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ . Actually  $\mathcal{Y}_0 \subset \text{Ker}(\Lambda)$  by (2.15), so we can assume that  $n \geq 1$ . To exploit the rotational symmetry, we use polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $\xi = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ , and we introduce the radially symmetric functions

$$v_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^2}(1 - e^{-r^2/4}), \quad g(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi}e^{-r^2/4}, \quad h(r) = \frac{g(r)}{v_0(r)} = \frac{r^2/4}{e^{r^2/4} - 1}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Note that  $U_0 = v\xi^\perp$  and  $\nabla\Omega_0 = -g\xi$ , where  $\Omega_0$  and  $U_0$  are defined in (1.6).

Assume that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}_n$  takes the form  $\Omega = -w(r)\cos(n\theta)$  for some function  $w : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . As is easily verified, the associated velocity field is

$$U = \text{BS}[\Omega] = \frac{n}{r}\varphi(r)\sin(n\theta)e_r + \varphi'(r)\cos(n\theta)e_\theta, \quad (\text{A.6})$$



where  $e_r = \xi/|\xi|$ ,  $e_\theta = \xi^\perp/|\xi|$ , and  $\varphi$  is the unique solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$-\varphi''(r) - \frac{1}{r}\varphi'(r) + \frac{n^2}{r^2}\varphi(r) = w(r), \quad r > 0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

satisfying the boundary conditions  $\varphi(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^n)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-n})$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Using (A.5), (A.6), we easily obtain

$$\Lambda\Omega = U_0 \cdot \nabla\Omega + U \cdot \nabla\Omega_0 = n(vw - g\varphi) \sin(n\theta). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Similarly, if  $\Omega = w(r) \sin(n\theta)$ , then  $\Lambda\Omega = n(vw - g\varphi) \cos(n\theta)$ .

Now we give ourselves a function  $F \in \mathcal{Y}_n$  of the form  $F = b(r) \sin(n\theta)$ . If the inhomogeneous differential equation

$$-\varphi''(r) - \frac{1}{r}\varphi'(r) + \left(\frac{n^2}{r^2} - h(r)\right)\varphi(r) = \frac{b(r)}{nv_0(r)}, \quad r > 0, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

has a (unique) solution  $\varphi$  satisfying the boundary conditions, we define  $\Omega = -w(r) \cos(n\theta)$  with

$$w(r) = \varphi(r)h(r) + \frac{b(r)}{nv_0(r)}, \quad r > 0, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which is depicted in Figure 2 in the case  $n = 2$ . Then (A.7) is obviously satisfied, and (A.8) implies that  $\Lambda\Omega = F$ . The same conclusion holds if  $F = b(r) \cos(n\theta)$  and  $\Omega = w(r) \sin(n\theta)$ . The level lines of  $\Omega$  in the case  $n = 2$  are depicted on the right of Figure 3.

This discussion shows that the invertibility of the operator  $\Lambda$  in the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  is linked to the solvability of the ODE (A.9). The favorable case is  $n \geq 2$ , because the coefficient  $n^2/r^2 - h(r)$  is positive, which ensures that (A.9) has a unique solution satisfying the boundary conditions. If  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the function space (2.13), we thus obtain the following result:

**Lemma A.1.** [3] *If  $n \geq 2$  and  $F \in \mathcal{Y}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}$ , there exists a unique  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\Lambda\Omega = F$ . Moreover, if  $F = b(r) \sin(n\theta)$  (respectively,  $F = b(r) \cos(n\theta)$ ) then  $\Omega = -w(r) \cos(n\theta)$  (respectively,  $\Omega = w(r) \sin(n\theta)$ ) where  $w$  is defined by (A.10) with  $\varphi$  given by (A.9).*

If  $n = 1$ , the homogeneous differential equation (A.9) with  $b = 0$  has a nontrivial solution  $\varphi = rv$  which satisfies the boundary conditions. As a consequence, the inhomogeneous equation can be solved only if the right-hand side satisfies  $\int_0^\infty b(r)r^2 dr = 0$ , and the solution is never unique. The solvability condition ensures that  $F$  belongs to the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}'_1$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Y}'_1 = \mathcal{Y}_1 \cap \text{Ker}(\Lambda)^\perp = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{Y}_1; \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_1 F(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_2 F(\xi) d\xi = 0 \right\}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

see also (2.17). We have the following result, which complements Lemma A.1.

**Lemma A.2.** [5] *If  $n = 1$  and  $F \in \mathcal{Y}'_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}$ , there exists a unique  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Y}'_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\Lambda\Omega = F$ . Moreover, if  $F = b(r) \sin(\theta)$  (respectively,  $F = b(r) \cos(\theta)$ ) then  $\Omega = -w(r) \cos(\theta)$  (respectively,  $\Omega = w(r) \sin(\theta)$ ) where  $w$  is defined by (A.10) with  $\varphi$  given by (A.9).*

### A.3 Estimates on the velocity field

We collect here, for easy reference, a few classical estimates on the Biot-Savart operator (1.3) which are used in the proof of our main results. Given a vorticity distribution  $\omega$ , we define  $u = \text{BS}[\omega]$  as in (1.3).

**Lemma A.3.** [6, Lemma 2.1] *Assume that  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ .*

- 1) *If  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\|u\|_{L^q} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^p}$ .*
- 2) *If  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q}$  with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^p}^\theta \|\omega\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta}$ .*

Let  $b$  be the weight function defined by  $b(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Lemma A.4.** [6, Proposition B.1] *Assume that  $m \in (1, 2)$ .*

*If  $b^m \omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega \, dx = 0$ , then  $\|b^{m-2/q} \omega\|_{L^q} \leq C \|b^m \omega\|_{L^2}$  for all  $q \in (2, \infty)$ .*

*In particular, by Hölder's inequality,  $\|u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|b^m \omega\|_{L^2}$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the function space defined by (2.13). The following statement can be established using the same arguments as in [6, Appendix B].

**Lemma A.5.** *If  $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $bu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover:*

1) *If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega \, dx = 0$ , then  $b^2 u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ;*

2) *If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega \, dx = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_j \omega \, dx = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , then  $b^3 u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .*

#### A.4 Proof of Lemma 3.4

The parameter  $t \in (0, T)$  does not play any role in the argument here, so we omit the time dependence of all quantities. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we give ourselves two smooth and radially symmetric functions  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\zeta_1(\xi)^2 + \zeta_2(\xi)^2 = 1$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\zeta_1(\xi) = 1$  whenever  $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ , and  $\zeta_2(\xi) = 1$  whenever  $|\xi| \geq 2\varepsilon^{-1/4}$ . It is well-known that such a partition of unity exists, and we can also assume that  $|\nabla \zeta_1(\xi)| + |\nabla \zeta_2(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/4}$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Given  $w : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we define  $w_1 = \zeta_1 w$  and  $w_2 = \zeta_2 w$ , so that  $w^2 = w_1^2 + w_2^2$ . A direct calculation shows that  $|\nabla w|^2 = |\nabla w_1|^2 + |\nabla w_2|^2 - w^2(|\nabla \zeta_1|^2 + |\nabla \zeta_2|^2)$ . Thus, recalling the definition (3.37) of  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w] &= \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1] + \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w^2 (|\nabla \zeta_1|^2 + |\nabla \zeta_2|^2) \, d\xi \\ &\geq \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1] + \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2] - C\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon[w]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

It is therefore sufficient to obtain lower bounds on the quantities  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1]$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2]$ .

To estimate  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2]$  we apply Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_2 (\nabla w_2 \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon) \, d\xi \right| \leq \frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon |\nabla w_2|^2 \, d\xi + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla p_\varepsilon|^2}{p_\varepsilon} w_2^2 \, d\xi,$$

so that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2] \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{1}{4} |\nabla w_2|^2 + \left( V_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \right) w_2^2 \right\} \, d\xi, \quad V_\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi \cdot \nabla p_\varepsilon}{4p_\varepsilon} - \frac{|\nabla p_\varepsilon|^2}{3p_\varepsilon^2}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Using the definition (3.21) of the weight  $p_\varepsilon$ , it is not difficult to verify that, under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2,

$$V_\varepsilon(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{|\xi|^2}{24} (1 + \mathcal{O}(A^2)) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{I}_\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \in \text{II}_\varepsilon, \\ |\xi|^2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{8} - \frac{\gamma^2}{12} \right) & \text{if } \xi \in \text{III}_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

We further observe that  $\gamma/8 - \gamma^2/12 \geq \gamma/16$  as soon as  $\gamma \leq 3/4$ , and that  $w_2$  vanishes for  $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ , which implies that

$$\left( V_\varepsilon(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \right) w_2(\xi)^2 \geq \kappa (|\xi|^2 + 1) w_2(\xi)^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \text{I}_\varepsilon,$$

for some  $\kappa < 1/24$ . Thus, assuming that  $\varepsilon, A$  are as in Lemma 3.2, we obtain the lower bound

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_2] \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon |\nabla w_2|^2 \, d\xi + \kappa \int_{\text{I}_\varepsilon \cup \text{III}_\varepsilon} (\chi_\varepsilon + 1) p_\varepsilon w_2^2 \, d\xi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{II}_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon w_2^2 \, d\xi. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

On the other hand, since  $w_1$  is supported in the region  $I_\varepsilon$  where the weight  $p_\varepsilon = \exp(q_\varepsilon)$  is smooth, we can define  $h = e^{q_\varepsilon/2}w_1$  and integrate by parts to show that  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1] = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon[h]$ , where

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon[h] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla h|^2 + U_\varepsilon h^2) d\xi, \quad U_\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \xi \cdot \nabla q_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{4} |\nabla q_\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $|U_\varepsilon(\xi) - U_0(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon$  when  $|\xi| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1/4}$ , so that  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon[h]$  is close to  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0[h]$  when  $\varepsilon$  is small. Note that  $q_0(\xi) = |\xi|^2/4$  and  $U_0(\xi) = |\xi|^2/16 - 1/2$ , so that  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  is the quadratic form of the quantum harmonic oscillator with ground state  $\psi(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2/8}$ , see [6, Appendix A]. In particular, if  $\langle h, \psi \rangle_{L^2} = 0$ , it is known that  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0[h] \geq \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^2}^2$ .

In our case, since we assume that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w d\xi = 0$ , the orthogonality condition above is nearly satisfied in the sense that

$$|\langle h, \psi \rangle_{L^2}| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{(q_\varepsilon - q_0)/2} w_1 d\xi \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( e^{(q_\varepsilon - q_0)/2} \zeta_1 - 1 \right) w d\xi \right| \leq C\varepsilon \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2},$$

where we used that  $e^{(q_\varepsilon - q_0)/2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  for  $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ , and that  $p_\varepsilon^{-1/2} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1] = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon[h] \geq \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^2}^2 - C\varepsilon \|p_\varepsilon^{1/2} w\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon w_1^2 d\xi - C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Observing that inequality (A.13) also holds with  $w_2$  replaced by  $w_1$ , we add 3/4 of (A.15) and 1/4 of (A.13) to arrive at the lower bound

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon[w_1] \geq \kappa \int_{I_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon (|\nabla w_1|^2 + (\chi_\varepsilon + 1)w_1^2) d\xi - C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\varepsilon w^2 d\xi, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

for some  $\kappa > 0$ . Finally, estimate (3.38) is a direct consequence of (A.12), (A.14), (A.16).

**Acknowledgements.** The research of both authors is supported by the grant BOURGEONS ANR-23-CE40-0014-01 of the French National Research Agency.

## References

- [1] Wen Deng, Pseudospectrum for Oseen vortices operators, *Int. Math Res. Notices* **2013** (2013), 1935–1999.
- [2] M. Dolce and Th. Gallay, The long way of a viscous vortex dipole, preprint arXiv:2407.13562.
- [3] Th. Gallay, Interaction of vortices in weakly viscous planar flows, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **200** (2011), 445–490.
- [4] Th. Gallay, Enhanced dissipation and axisymmetrization of two-dimensional viscous vortices, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **230** (2018), 939–975.
- [5] Th. Gallay and V. Šverák, Vanishing viscosity limit for axisymmetric vortex rings, *Invent. Math.* **237** (2024), 275–348.
- [6] Th. Gallay and C. E. Wayne, Invariant manifolds and the long-time asymptotics of the Navier-Stokes and vorticity equations on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **163** (2002), 209–258.
- [7] Th. Gallay and C.E. Wayne, Global stability of vortex solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **255** (2005), 97–129.
- [8] Th. Gallay and C.E. Wayne, Existence and stability of asymmetric Burgers vortices, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **9** (2007), 243–261.

- [9] I. Gallagher and Th. Gallay, Uniqueness for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation with a measure as initial vorticity, *Math. Ann.* **332** (2005), 287–327.
- [10] Y. Giga, T. Miyakawa, and H. Osada, Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow with measures as initial vorticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **104** (1988), 223–250.
- [11] S. Le Dizès and A. Verga, Viscous interactions of two co-rotating vortices before merging, *J. Fluid Mech.* **467** (2002), 389–410.
- [12] Te Li, Dongyi Wei, and Zhifei Zhang, Pseudospectral and spectral bounds for the Oseen vortices operator, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Normale Supérieure* **53** (2020), 993–1035.
- [13] C.H. Liu and L. Ting, Interaction of decaying trailing vortices in spanwise shear flow. *Comput. Fluids* **15** (1987), 77–92.
- [14] Y. Maekawa, On the existence of Burgers vortices for high Reynolds numbers. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **349** (2009), 181–200.
- [15] Y. Maekawa, Existence of asymmetric Burgers vortices and their asymptotic behavior at large circulations, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **19** (2009), 669–705.
- [16] Y. Maekawa, Spectral properties of the linearization at the Burgers vortex in the high rotation limit, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **13** (2011), 515–532.
- [17] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [18] C. Marchioro, On the inviscid limit for a fluid with a concentrated vorticity, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196** (1998), 53–65.
- [19] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **96**, Springer, 1994.
- [20] P. Meunier, S. L. Dizès, and T. Leweke, Physics of vortex merging, *C. R. Phys.* **6** (2005), 431–450.
- [21] H. K. Moffatt, S. Kida, and K. Ohkitani, Stretched vortices—the sinews of turbulence; large-Reynolds-number asymptotics, *J. Fluid Mech.* **259** (1994), 241–264.
- [22] A. C. Robinson and P. G. Saffman, Stability and structure of stretched vortices, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **70** (1984), 163–181.
- [23] L. Ting and R. Klein, *Viscous vortical flows*, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **374**, Springer, 1991.
- [24] L. Ting, R. Klein, and O. Knio, *Vortex Dominated Flows. Analysis and Computation for Multiple Scale Phenomena*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **161**, Springer, 2007.
- [25] L. Ting and C. Tung, Motion and decay of a vortex in a nonuniform stream, *Phys. Fluids* **8** (1965), 1039–1051.
- [26] Dongyi Wei, Diffusion and mixing in fluid flow via the resolvent estimate, *Sci. China Math.* **64** (2021), 507–518.

**Martin Donati**

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS  
 100 rue des Maths, 38610 Gières, France  
 Email [Martin.Donati@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](mailto:Martin.Donati@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

**Thierry Gallay**

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut Universitaire de France  
 100 rue des Maths, 38610 Gières, France  
 Email [Thierry.Gallay@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](mailto:Thierry.Gallay@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)