

THE SHAFAREVICH CONJECTURE FOR VARIETIES WITH GLOBALLY GENERATED COTANGENT

THOMAS KRÄMER AND MARCO MACULAN

ABSTRACT. We prove the Shafarevich conjecture for varieties with globally generated cotangent bundle, subject to mild numerical conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a number field and Σ a finite set of primes in K . In this paper we prove new cases of the following conjecture:

Shafarevich conjecture for canonically polarized varieties. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, then up to K -isomorphism there are only finitely many smooth projective canonically polarized varieties over K with Hilbert polynomial P and good reduction outside Σ .*

Here by the Hilbert polynomial of a variety we mean the one of its canonical bundle. We say that a smooth projective canonically polarized variety Y over K has *good reduction outside Σ* if it admits a smooth projective model \mathcal{Y} over the ring of Σ -integers $R = \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ such that the canonical bundle $\det \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/R}^1$ is relatively ample. If such a model exists, then it is unique up to isomorphism. In what follows we will simply talk about *good reduction*, assuming that Σ has been fixed.

The above conjecture is a special case of the Lang-Vojta conjecture, predicting the nondensity of Σ -integral points on varieties of log general type over K . Indeed, the moduli spaces (or rather stacks) of canonically polarized varieties are known to be of log general type, as are all their subvarieties [CP15]. The complex analogue of the above conjecture says that the moduli stacks of canonically polarized varieties do not contain entire curves, which is a celebrated result of Viehweg and Zuo [VZ03]; however, these hyperbolicity properties will play no role in our arguments.

In the case of curves the conjecture is due to Shafarevich and was proven by Faltings on his path to the Mordell conjecture [Fal83]. Since then, many cases of the conjecture and analogous finiteness results for unpolarized varieties have been proven [Sch85, And96, She97, Tak20, JL15, JL17, Jav15, JL18, JL21, Tak19]. All of these rely on some classification of the varieties under consideration; this is not the case in [KM23] where we proved the Shafarevich conjecture for subvarieties of abelian varieties with ample normal bundle, using the method of [LV20, LS20] and the big monodromy criterion from [JKLM23]. In this paper we extend the scope of the results in [KM23] to a much larger class of canonically polarized varieties:

Definition. A projective variety Y over k has *amply generated cotangent bundle* if it is smooth, geometrically connected and the morphism $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1$ is surjective with nonzero and antiample (that is, with ample dual) kernel.

If Y has a k -rational point $y \in Y(k)$, then Y has amply generated cotangent bundle if and only if the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_y: Y \rightarrow \text{Alb}(Y)$ is unramified with ample normal bundle. In [KM23] we discussed smooth projective varieties that embed in their Albanese variety with ample normal bundle, but the current class is much larger and goes beyond subvarieties of abelian varieties:

Example. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let S be an irreducible smooth projective variety over k such that the evaluation morphism $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \Omega_S^1$ has locally free cokernel \mathcal{C} of rank r (for instance take $h^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = 0$). Let A be an abelian variety over k , and let

$$Y \subset A \times S$$

be a smooth complete intersection of ample divisors of dimension $d \geq 2$. Then the morphism $\text{Alb}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(S) \times A$ is an isomorphism by the Lefschetz theorem. If moreover $d + r < \dim A \times S$ and Y is generic, the cotangent bundle of Y is globally generated. The kernel \mathcal{K} of the evaluation morphism $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1$ is nonzero and sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow q^* \mathcal{C}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{N} is the normal bundle of Y in $A \times S$ and $q: Y \rightarrow S$ the morphism induced by the second projection. The vector bundle \mathcal{N} is ample, thus so is \mathcal{K}^\vee and with the above terminology Y has amply generated cotangent bundle.

It may happen that Y embeds into its Albanese over an algebraic closure of k , but the following construction gives new examples where this is not the case. Suppose that S admits a connected finite étale cover $f: S' \rightarrow S$ of degree > 1 inducing an isomorphism

$$\text{Alb}(S') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Alb}(S).$$

Over $k = \mathbb{C}$ such a cover exists whenever there is nontrivial torsion in $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$, e.g. for an Enriques surface S . In general, the preimage $Y' = S' \times_S Y$ has amply generated cotangent bundle and its Albanese variety is isomorphic to $\text{Alb}(Y)$. So any Albanese morphism $Y' \rightarrow \text{Alb}(Y')$ factors through Y and hence cannot be an embedding, and the same holds after any finite extension of the base field. This construction can be iterated by using Y and Y' in place of S and S' .

1.1. Intrinsic results. Varieties with amply generated cotangent bundle are in particular canonically polarized, so when they are defined over the number field K , our notion of good reduction from above applies. In the case of surfaces our main result takes the following form:

Theorem A. *Fix an integer $c \geq 1$. Then up to K -isomorphism there are only finitely many projective surfaces Y over K with amply generated cotangent bundle, good reduction, $c_2(Y) = c$ and $h^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \geq 6$.*

In fact we obtain an analogous result for varieties of arbitrary dimension, subject to certain mild numerical conditions:

Theorem B. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree d . Then up to K -isomorphism there are only finitely many projective varieties Y over K with amply generated cotangent bundle, good reduction, Hilbert polynomial P , $h^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \geq 2d + 2$ and satisfying the numerical conditions 1.1 and 1.2 below with $\pi = \text{id}$.*

Conditions 1.1 and 1.2 will be discussed in the next section. They in particular hold if $d = 2$, so theorem B implies theorem A since by the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality, the Hilbert polynomial of a surface S with amply generated cotangent bundle is controlled by $c_2(S)$ [KM23, 2.7]. For odd d and $h^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \geq 4d + 2$ the conditions 1.1 and 1.2 are empty, so theorem B in particular implies:

Corollary. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of odd degree d . Then up to K -isomorphism there are only finitely many projective varieties with amply generated cotangent bundle, good reduction, Hilbert polynomial P and $h^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \geq 4d + 2$.*

1.2. Numerical conditions. To formulate the two numerical conditions that go into theorem B and into theorem C below, let Y be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic zero. The first condition compares the topological Euler characteristic $\chi_{\text{top}}(Z)$ of the smooth projective variety $Z = Y \times Y$ to the Euler characteristic of the vector bundle Ω_Z^d :

Condition 1.1. *If $d = \dim Y \geq 4$ is even, assume that*

$$(-1)^d \chi(\Omega_{Y \times Y}^d) \leq \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{top}}(Y \times Y).$$

For the second numerical condition, we place ourselves in a slightly more general framework that will be used in theorem C, starting from an arbitrary surjective morphism $\pi: \text{Alb}(Y) \rightarrow A$ of abelian varieties; in fact in theorem C the variety Y will be given together with a morphism $Y \rightarrow A$ and we then tacitly assume π to be induced by this morphism. Consider the vector subspace

$$V := (\text{Lie } A)^\vee \hookrightarrow (\text{Lie } \text{Alb}(Y))^\vee = H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1)$$

and suppose that the induced morphism $\varphi: V \otimes_k \mathbb{C}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1$ is surjective. If k is algebraically closed, let $\text{alb}_y: Y \rightarrow \text{Alb}(Y)$ be the Albanese morphism associated with $y \in Y(k)$. The composite morphism

$$f = \pi \circ \text{alb}_y: Y \longrightarrow A$$

is unramified because φ is assumed to be surjective. Denote the *stabilizer* of the image of f by

$$G := \text{Stab}_A(f(Y)) = \{a \in A(k) : f(Y) + a = f(Y)\}.$$

Then Y is of general type if and only if the group G is finite; see section 2.1. In this case we set

$$\chi_{\text{top}, \pi}(Y) := \frac{\chi_{\text{top}}(Y)}{n} \quad \text{for } n = |G| \cdot \deg(f),$$

where $\chi_{\text{top}}(Y)$ is the topological Euler characteristic of Y . Note that $\chi_{\text{top}, \pi}(Y)$ is an integer since it is equal to the topological Euler characteristic of the normalization of the quotient $f(Y)/G$ (this normalization is smooth by lemma 2.4). We also say that Y is *symmetric with respect to π* if $f(Y) = a - f(Y)$ for some $a \in A(k)$. This notion and the definition of $\chi_{\text{top}, \pi}(Y)$ do not depend on y , so by extending scalars they make sense also when the base field k is not algebraically closed. Our second condition excludes a few values of $\chi_{\text{top}, \pi}(Y)$ in terms of $g = \dim A$:

Condition 1.2. *If $d = \dim Y \geq (g - 1)/4$ and Y is symmetric with respect to π , assume that*

$$|\chi_{\text{top}, \pi}(Y)| \neq 2^{2m-1} \quad \text{for all } m \in \{3, \dots, d\} \text{ with } m \equiv d \text{ modulo } 2.$$

We take advantage of the general setup of this section to give two definitions to be used in sections 1.4 and 1.5. When k is algebraically closed, a subvariety $X \subset A$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ is said to be

- a *product* if there are $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ of dimension > 0 with $X = X_1 + X_2$ such that the sum morphism induces on normalizations an isomorphism

$$\tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X},$$

- a *symmetric power of a curve* if there is a curve $C \subset A$ with $X = C + \dots + C$ such that the sum morphism induces on normalizations an isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}.$$

When k is arbitrary, we say that a subvariety $X \subset A$ is a product resp. a symmetric power of a curve if its base change to an algebraic closure of k is.

1.3. Extrinsic result. We will deduce theorem B from a general result for smooth projective varieties with an unramified morphism to an abelian variety. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over K and L an ample line bundle on it. Recall that A has good reduction if it is the generic fiber of an abelian scheme \mathcal{A} over the ring of Σ -integers $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$, in which case \mathcal{A} is unique up to isomorphism.

Definition. A finite unramified morphism $f: Y \rightarrow A$ has *good reduction* if it extends to an unramified morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{Y} is a smooth projective scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ with generic fiber Y . Note that then in particular Y is a smooth variety.

For varieties Y with amply generated cotangent bundle and $y \in Y(K)$, the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_y: Y \rightarrow A = \text{Alb}(Y)$ has good reduction if and only if Y has good reduction in the sense explained at the beginning of this paper. We show:

Theorem C. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree $d < (g-1)/2$. Up to isomorphism of schemes over A , there are only finitely many finite unramified morphisms $f: Y \rightarrow A$ with good reduction and ample normal bundle such that Y is geometrically integral, $f(Y)$ is not a product, f^*L has Hilbert polynomial P , and conditions 1.1 and 1.2 hold.*

Theorem B is deduced from theorem C via the Shafarevich conjecture for abelian varieties that was proven by Faltings [Fal83]. The argument here is identical to the one in [KM23, 2.4-2.6] and we will not repeat it: roughly speaking, when looking at the moduli space of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial P , it suffices to consider the locus where the relative Albanese morphism of the universal family is unramified, instead of being a closed embedding. Theorem C follows from the big monodromy criterion in the next section and the nondensity result in [KM23, th. D]. The latter is based on the Lawrence-Venkatesh method [LV20] as elaborated by Lawrence-Sawin [LS20]; in section 3 we will explain the main changes that are needed for the proof of theorem C compared to [KM23, 2.2-2.3].

1.4. Big monodromy. We now formulate the big monodromy criterion which is the main geometric input for the proof of theorem C. Let S be a smooth connected variety over $k = \mathbb{C}$, and A a complex abelian variety of dimension g . Suppose we are given a morphism $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow A \times S$ such that the projection $\pi_S: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ is smooth with connected fibers of dimension d . Given an n -tuple $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ of characters

$$\chi_i: \pi_1(A(\mathbb{C}), 0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

of the topological fundamental group of the abelian variety, let $L_{\underline{\chi}} = L_{\chi_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\chi_n}$ be the direct sum of the associated local systems of rank one on $A(\mathbb{C})$, and consider the local system

$$V_{\underline{\chi}} := R^d \pi_{S*} \pi_A^* L_{\underline{\chi}}$$

where $\pi_A: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow A$ is the projection. We want to ensure that for all n and for sufficiently general tuples of characters, the Zariski closure of the image of the monodromy representation of $V_{\underline{\chi}}$ is as large as possible. In this case we say $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ has *big monodromy for most tuples of torsion characters*; see [KM23, 1.5] for the precise definition. As in loc. cit. it will be convenient to phrase our criterion in terms of the fiber over a geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$ of S . We show:

Big Monodromy Criterion. *Suppose $f_{\bar{\eta}}: \mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow A_{S,\bar{\eta}}$ is unramified with ample normal bundle, $d < (g-1)/2$ and condition 1.2 holds. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $f_{\bar{\eta}}$ is birational onto its image, and this image is nondivisible, not constant up to translation, not a product and not a symmetric power of a curve;
- (2) $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow A \times S$ has big monodromy for most tuples of torsion characters.

Here the subvariety $f(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}) \subset A_{S, \bar{\eta}}$ is said to be *constant up to translation* if it is a translate $Y + a$ of a complex subvariety $Y \subset A$ by a point $a \in A(\bar{\eta})$. If $f(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$ is nondivisible, then by [JKLM23, cor. 4.8] it is constant up to translation if and only if the family $f(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow S$ is isotrivial. Also note that the morphism $f_{\bar{\eta}}$ is finite, hence it is birational if and only if $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the normalization of its image in $A_{S, \bar{\eta}}$.

As in [JKLM23, 1.1], if $f(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$ is divisible, or constant up to translation, or a product, or a symmetric power of a curve, then $V_{\underline{\chi}}$ does not have big monodromy for most tuples of torsion characters. If $f_{\bar{\eta}}$ is not birational, then the pushforward to $A_{S, \bar{\eta}}$ of the constant sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ has several direct summands, so the monodromy decomposes in block matrices and cannot be big. This proves (2) \Rightarrow (1).

The nontrivial implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is the one relevant for theorem C and the main content of the big monodromy criterion. By [JKLM23, th. 4.10], it suffices to show that the subvariety $f(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}) \subset A_{S, \bar{\eta}}$ has big Tannaka group with respect to the convolution of perverse sheaves, which we will explain in the next section.

1.5. Big Tannaka groups. We reset notation and let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Our results hold both in the algebraic and in the analytic framework. In the former, we consider perverse sheaves for the étale topology on A with coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ for a prime ℓ ; in the latter, we assume $k = \mathbb{C}$ and consider perverse sheaves for the classical topology on $A(\mathbb{C})$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. For background about perverse sheaves we refer to [BBDG18].

Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension d and $f: Y \rightarrow A$ a semismall morphism in the sense that $\dim Y \times_A Y = \dim Y$. The constant sheaf $\delta_Y := \mathbb{F}_Y[d]$ on Y placed in degree $-d$ is a perverse sheaf, and the semismallness of f then implies that $P = f_*\delta_Y$ is also perverse. The group law on A induces a convolution product on perverse sheaves, and P generates a neutral Tannaka category $\langle P \rangle$ with respect to convolution [JKLM23, 3.1]. We fix a fiber functor

$$\omega: \langle P \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$$

and consider the associated Tannaka group

$$G_{Y, \omega} := \text{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega) \subset \text{GL}(V) \quad \text{where} \quad V := \omega(P).$$

We say that $f: Y \rightarrow A$ is *symmetric up to translation* if there is an involution ι of Y and a point $a \in A(k)$ such that $f(\iota(x)) = a - f(x)$ for all $x \in Y$. In this case, the group $G_{Y, \omega}$ preserves a bilinear form $\theta: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ which is symmetric if d is even and alternating otherwise. The group $G_{Y, \omega}$ is then said to be *big* if the derived subgroup $G_{Y, \omega}^*$ of its connected component is

$$G_{Y, \omega}^* = \begin{cases} \text{SL}(V) & \text{if } Y \text{ is not symmetric up to translation,} \\ \text{SO}(V, \theta) & \text{if } Y \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Sp}(V, \theta) & \text{if } Y \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If f is finite birational, which is the case relevant here, then Y is the normalization of its image $X \subset A$ and therefore $P = \delta_X$ is the perverse intersection complex on this image, in which case $G_{Y, \omega}$ can be thought as the Tannaka group of X .

Big Tannaka group Criterion. *Suppose that f is unramified with ample normal bundle, $d < (g - 1)/2$ and condition 1.2 holds. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is birational onto its image, and this image is nondivisible, not a product and not a symmetric power of a curve;*
- (2) *$G_{Y, \omega}$ is big.*

Similarly to the big monodromy criterion, the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is easy and the main task is to prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). We follow the strategy explained in the introduction of [JKLM23]:

The first step is to ensure that the algebraic group $G_{Y,\omega}^*$ is simple. For this we do not need to assume f is unramified: in theorem 5.1 we show under very mild conditions that the Tannaka group of a subvariety $X \subset A$ fails to be simple only if the subvariety is a product. We are then in good shape since V is known to be a minuscule representation of $G_{Y,\omega}^*$ by [JKLM23, cor. 5.15] and there are very few minuscule representations of simple algebraic groups. Except for the standard representations of classical groups (which lead to big Tannaka groups), we are left with wedge powers of the standard representation of SL_n , spin representations, and the smallest irreducible representations of the exceptional groups E_6 and E_7 . In theorem 6.1 we show that wedge powers occur if and only if Y is the symmetric power of a smooth curve and f is a closed embedding; in theorem 7.1 we exclude spin representations, and in theorem 8.1 we rule out the groups E_6 and E_7 .

Acknowledgements. We thank Olivier Benoist for suggesting us the motivating example in the introduction. This project has been carried out during a Research In Paris and we thank the Institut Henri Poincaré for their hospitality.

Conventions. By a variety over a field k we mean a separated k -scheme of finite type. Subvarieties are always taken to be closed. We write \tilde{X} for the normalization of a variety X and say that X has smooth unramified normalization if \tilde{X} is smooth and the normalization morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is unramified. If this is the case and if $X \subset W$ is given as a subvariety of a smooth projective variety W , we say that \tilde{X} has ample normal bundle if the unramified morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow W$ does.

2. VARIETIES WITH GLOBALLY GENERATED COTANGENT BUNDLE

In this section we recall some generalities about varieties with globally generated cotangent bundles that will be used throughout this paper.

2.1. Basic properties. Let $f: Y \rightarrow A$ be a morphism between a smooth projective variety Y and an abelian variety A over a field k . By definition f is unramified if and only if the cotangent map $\text{Lie } A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1$ is surjective. If this is the case, then the cotangent bundle of Y is globally generated. For instance, if

$$f = \text{alb}_y: Y \longrightarrow A = \text{Alb}(Y)$$

is the Albanese morphism associated with a point $y \in Y(k)$, then the cotangent map is the evaluation morphism $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1$. Therefore the Albanese morphism is unramified if and only if the cotangent bundle is globally generated.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow A$ be an unramified morphism where Y is a smooth projective variety and A an abelian variety. Then, the normalization \tilde{X} of $X = f(Y)$ is smooth and the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) Y is canonically polarized;
- (2) \tilde{X} is canonically polarized;
- (3) Y is of general type;
- (4) \tilde{X} is of general type;
- (5) X is of general type.

Proof. First of all, the morphism $g: Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ induced by f is unramified, hence étale by [Stacks, Lemma 0BTF], thus \tilde{X} is smooth. The étale morphism g induces an

isomorphism between the canonical bundle of Y and the pull-back of \tilde{X} , yielding the following implications:

$$(1) \iff (2) \implies (3) \iff (4) \iff (5).$$

It suffices to show that if Y is of general type, then the canonical bundle \mathcal{K}_Y of Y is ample. Since Y is smooth, being of general type means that its canonical bundle \mathcal{K}_Y is big. It is also nef, because Ω_Y^1 is globally generated. In this case the non-ample locus $Z := B_+(\mathcal{K}_Y)$ is covered by rational curves; see for instance [BBP13, Corollary A]. Since there are no rational curves on A and f is finite, Z must be finite. Then by [BCL14, Theorem A] the morphism $h_d: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_Y^{\otimes d})^\vee)$ is an isomorphism outside Z for any integer $d \geq 1$ divisible enough. In other words h_d is finite and $\mathcal{K}_Y = h_d^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample. \square

2.2. Models. Let K be a number field, Σ a finite set of places and $R = \mathcal{O}_{K, \Sigma}$. For a smooth scheme \mathcal{X} over R we denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/R} = \det \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/R}^1$ its relative canonical bundle. We will use the following key property:

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a smooth proper R -scheme such that, for any ring morphism $R \rightarrow k$ to an algebraically closed field k , the base change X_k admits an unramified morphism towards an abelian variety. If the generic fiber X_K is of general type, then the relative canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{X/R}$ is relatively ample.*

Proof. We show that for any $s \in S = \text{Spec } R$ the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_s = \mathcal{K}_{X_s}$ of the fiber X_s at s is ample. In view of lemma 2.1 it suffices to show that \mathcal{K}_s is big. For the generic point of S this is true because X_K is assumed to be of general type. When s is a closed point, for any $n \geq 1$ we have $h^0(X_s, \mathcal{K}_s^{\otimes n}) \geq h^0(X_K, \mathcal{K}_{X_K}^{\otimes n})$ by semicontinuity. Therefore \mathcal{K}_s is big, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $d \geq 1$ and let \mathcal{Y} be a projective and flat scheme over R with geometrically integral generic fiber. Then, up to isomorphism of schemes over \mathcal{Y} , there are only finitely many unramified surjective morphisms $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with \mathcal{X} projective smooth over R , $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/R}$ relatively ample and $\deg f \leq d$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the normalization of \mathcal{Y} . We may suppose that there exists an unramified surjective morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with \mathcal{X} projective smooth over R , the statement being trivial otherwise. The induced morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ is unramified, hence étale by [Stacks, Lemma 0BTf]. It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ is smooth over R . Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K and $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\bar{K}}$ the base change to \bar{K} of the generic fiber of $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$. By [KM23, lemma 2.7] it suffices to show that, up to isomorphism of schemes over \tilde{Y} , there are only finitely many finite étale morphisms $X \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ of degree $\leq d$. This is standard, as we may fix an embedding $\bar{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and use that the topological fundamental group of the complex manifold $\tilde{Y}(\mathbb{C})$ is finitely generated. \square

2.3. Normalization and stabilizer. Let $X \subset A$ a geometrically integral subvariety of an abelian variety A over a field k . Recall that the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_A(X)$ is the algebraic subgroup of A whose points with values in a k -algebra R are

$$\{a \in A(R) : X_R + a = X_R\}.$$

Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normalization. Suppose that $G = \text{Stab}_A(X)$ is smooth. This is always the case if k of characteristic zero; when k is of characteristic $p > 0$, this is true for instance if G is finite of rank prime to p . Under the smoothness assumption the action of G lifts to an action on \tilde{X} by functoriality of the normalization and the compability of its construction with respect to smooth base change [Stacks, Section

081J]. It follows that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{X}' := \tilde{X}/G & \xrightarrow{g} & X' := X/G \end{array}$$

where π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are the quotient morphisms. Note that Z is integral and \tilde{Z} is normal by [MFK94, p. 5].

Lemma 2.4. *The morphism $g: \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X'$ is the normalization. Moreover, if \tilde{X} is smooth, then so is \tilde{X}' .*

Proof. The action of G on X is free, thus the action on \tilde{X} is free. It follows that π and $\tilde{\pi}$ have same degree, thus g is finite birational because f is so. Therefore g is the normalization. If \tilde{X} is smooth, then so is \tilde{X}' by [MFK94, prop. 0.9]. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM C

In this section we explain how to deduce the theorem C from the big monodromy criterion in section 1.4 and the nondensity result in [JKLM23, th. D].

3.1. Finiteness for subvarieties of abelian varieties. The proof of theorem C is similar to [KM23, 2.2-2.3], but the arguments at the level of Hilbert schemes are a bit subtler, so we include them here. The key point is a Shafarevich-type finiteness result for subvarieties of abelian varieties that have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle.

Let K be a number field, Σ a finite set of primes in K and $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma} \subset K$ the ring of Σ -integers. Let A be an abelian variety over K with good reduction and \mathcal{A} the unique abelian scheme over R extending A . Fix an ample line bundle L on A .

Theorem 3.1. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ of degree $d < (g-1)/2$. Then up to translation by points in $A(K)$ there are only finitely many geometrically integral subvarieties $X \subset A$ which are not a product with the following properties:*

- (1) X has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle,
- (2) \tilde{X} satisfies the numerical conditions 1.1 and 1.2,
- (3) the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of the Zariski closure $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of X is smooth over R ,
- (4) \tilde{X} has Hilbert polynomial P with respect to L .

Before deducing theorem 3.1 from the big monodromy criterion in section 1.4, let us show how it implies theorem C:

Proof of theorem C. Let $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an unramified morphism with \mathcal{Y} projective smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ and let $f: Y \rightarrow A$ be its generic fiber. The point is that the normalization of \tilde{X} of $X = f(Y)$ has good reduction in the sense of theorem 3.1 (3) and Hilbert polynomial controlled by that of X . More precisely, the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{X} = f(\mathcal{Y})$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ because the induced morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is unramified, thus étale by [Stacks, Lemma 0BTF]. If Y is integral and f^*L has Hilbert polynomial $P(t)$, then \tilde{X} has Hilbert polynomial $Q(t) := P(t)/\deg f$ with respect to L . Now there are only finitely many integers $n > 0$ such that the polynomial $P(t)/n$ takes integral values at nonnegative integers. Thus there is a finite subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}[t]$ depending only on P such that $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. With these considerations, theorem 3.1 implies that up to translation by points in $A(K)$ there are only finitely many geometrically integral subvarieties $X \subset A$ which are not a product and

- X is the image of an unramified morphism $f: Y \rightarrow A$ with smooth source Y , ample normal bundle and good reduction in the sense of section 1.3,
- the Hilbert polynomial of f^*L is P ,
- Y satisfies conditions 1.1 and 1.2.

By lemma 2.3 there are only finitely many étale covers of the normalization of such a variety X , which concludes the proof. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of theorem 3.1. As a preliminary step, we need to pass from the relevant Hilbert scheme to a certain constructible cover as explained in the next section.

3.2. A constructible cover. For an ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(A)$ and $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, consider the subset

$$H_{L,P} \subset \text{Hilb}_A$$

which consists of the images of all geometric points $\text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_A$ corresponding to integral subvarieties $X \subset A_\Omega$ such that

- X is nondivisible, not a product, and has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle,
- \tilde{X} has Hilbert polynomial P with respect to L and satisfies the numerical conditions 1.1 and 1.2.

The main obstacle compared to [KM23, 2.2] is that the normalization does not behave well with respect to arbitrary base change. In particular, there is no natural parameter space for the normalization of subvarieties of A . Instead, we will cut $H_{L,P}$ into finitely many constructible pieces over which normalization is well-behaved, similarly to what is done in [Kol93, prop. 2.4]. To do this, given a constructible subset $Z \subset A$ of the Hilbert scheme of A , we consider the restriction $\mathcal{X}_Z \rightarrow Z$ to Z of the universal family on $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_A$ and let $\pi_Z: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_Z$ be the normalization of the scheme \mathcal{X}_Z . Then, we have the following:

Lemma 3.2. *There is a cover of $H_{L,P}$ by a finite collection of pairwise disjoint constructible subsets $Z \subset \text{Hilb}_A$ of finite type over K such that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_Z$ is smooth over Z , π_Z is unramified and, for each $z \in Z$, the fiber of π_Z at z is the normalization of \mathcal{X}_z .*

Proof. By definition any geometric point in $H_{L,P}$ corresponds to a subvariety X whose normalization $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ has Hilbert polynomial P with respect to L . To show that these varieties form a bounded family we need to control the Hilbert polynomial of X rather than the one of its normalization \tilde{X} . This is possible as X is reduced: in this case, by [SGA 6, exp. XIII, cor. 6.11] the Hilbert polynomial is controlled by the degree of X with respect to L , that is, the top self-intersection $L_{|X}^d$ of $L|_X$ where $d = \dim X$. As the normalization morphism f is birational, the degree of X coincides with that of \tilde{X} which is in turn $d!$ times the leading coefficient of P . It follows that $H_{L,P}$ lies in finitely many components of Hilb_A . Therefore it is of finite type over K as soon as it is constructible.

To prove the existence of the constructible cover in the statement, consider the open subset of Hilb_A where the universal family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_A$ has geometrically integral fibers. The above discussion shows that $H_{L,P}$ meets only finitely many connected components of such an open subset, and we denote by U their union. Since U is of finite type, arguing as in the proof of [Kol93, prop. 2.4], there is a finite cover of U by pairwise disjoint constructible subsets Z such that, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow Z$ is smooth, π_Z is unramified and, for any $z \in Z$, the fiber of $\pi_Z: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_z$ at z is the normalization of \mathcal{X}_z .

To conclude the proof, it suffices to show $Z \cap H_{L,P}$ is constructible. Ampleness and being nondivisible are open conditions, and the same holds for conditions 1.1 and 1.2. Being a product is not a closed condition but by Noetherian induction a spreading out argument as in the proof of [KM23, lemma 2.1] shows that $Z \cap H_{L,P}$ is constructible. \square

3.3. Proof of theorem 3.1. We first prove the finiteness statement in the theorem only for nondivisible subvarieties, and then deduce it in the general case.

The nondivisible case. The proof of the finiteness for *nondivisible* subvarieties X is similar to [KM23, th. 2.3], so we just point out how to use lemma 3.2 instead of [KM23, lemma 2.1]. Consider the family of constructible subsets of Hilb_A given by lemma 3.2. For any such constructible subset $Z \subset \text{Hilb}_A$, let \bar{Z} its Zariski closure in $\text{Hilb}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{Z}}$ the restriction to \bar{Z} of the universal family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{Z}}$ be the normalization of the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{Z}}$ and consider the open subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \bar{Z}$ where the morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{Z}} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ is smooth. The schemes Z and \mathcal{X} are constructed in such a way that the following property is satisfied: for a point in $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma})$ extending a K -rational point of Z , the corresponding closed subscheme $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is such that the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$. Let

$$F \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}) \cap H_{L,P}$$

be a subset and S an irreducible component of the Zariski closure of F in Z . By Noetherian induction, it suffices to show that there is a nonempty open $S'_K \subset S_K$, a morphism $a: S'_K \rightarrow A_K$ and a subvariety $X \subset A_K$ such that

$$\mathcal{X}_{S'_K} = X + a.$$

Hence the proof of [KM23, th. 2.3] goes through without changes if we replace the big monodromy criterion from loc. cit. by its generalization in section 1.4.

The general case. We pass now to the proof of the statement with no additional assumptions on the varieties in question. To reduce to the nondivisible case, we will mod out by the stabilizer and, to do so, we need to show that only finitely many subgroups of A can occur as stabilizers of the varieties in the statement. Note that all the varieties in question are of general type, so their stabilizer are finite subgroups of A . To bound their order, we may restrict ourselves to subvarieties of A corresponding to K -rational points of a fixed constructible subset $Z \subset \text{Hilb}_A$ given by lemma 3.2. It follows from lemma 2.4 that, for any $z \in Z(K)$, the stabilizer of corresponding subvariety $X \subset A$ divides the topological Euler characteristic of the normalization \tilde{X} of X . The topological Euler characteristic of the fibers of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_Z \rightarrow Z$ is locally constant, thus takes only finitely many values. Therefore the set

$$F := \{\text{Stab}_A(\mathcal{X}_h) \mid z \in Z(K)\}$$

is finite. To apply the nondivisible case, we need to ensure that good reduction is preserved after having mod out by the stabilizer. To do this, we may enlarge Σ and suppose that the prime divisors of $\chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_z)$ for $z \in Z(K)$ lie in Σ . Under this additional assumption, the Zariski closure $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of any $G \in F$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$. The abelian variety $A' := A/G$ is the generic fiber of the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ and thus has good reduction. Since the set F is finite it suffices to prove the statement for those subvarieties $X \subset A$ in the statement having a fixed $G \in F$ as stabilizer and corresponding to a point of Z . To do this, let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the Zariski closure of such X and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ the normalization of \mathcal{X} , which is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ by hypothesis. In particular, the Zariski closure $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of G is the stabilizer of \mathcal{X} . Since the construction of the normalization is compatible with smooth base change [Stacks, Section 081J], the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{X} extends to the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Such an action being free, the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' := \tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{G}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ [MFK94, prop.

0.9] and is the normalization of $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ by lemma 2.4 applied to generic fibers. The subvariety $X' := X/G \subset A'$ is nondivisible, so the statement follows from the nondivisible case as soon as we know that the Hilbert polynomial of X' for some polarization of A' takes finitely many values; see [KM23, end of 2.3]. \square

4. PERVERSE SHEAVES AND CHARACTERISTIC CYCLES

The rest of this paper will be concerned with Tannaka groups of perverse sheaves on abelian varieties. In this section we set up the general framework and recall a few facts about characteristic cycles that will be used in what follows.

4.1. Setup. From now on A will always denote an abelian variety of dimension g over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, and $X \subset A$ an integral subvariety of dimension d . We treat the algebraic and analytic framework on the same footing: in the former we use perverse sheaves for the étale topology on A with coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for a prime ℓ , in the latter we work over $k = \mathbb{C}$ and use perverse sheaves for the classical topology on $A(\mathbb{C})$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. We denote by

$$\delta_X \in \text{Perv}(A, \mathbb{F})$$

the perverse intersection complex supported on $X \subset A$. By [JKLM23, prop. 3.1] the convolution powers of this intersection complex generate a neutral Tannaka category $\langle \delta_X \rangle$. We fix a fiber functor

$$\omega: \langle \delta_X \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$$

and consider the associated Tannaka group $G_{X,\omega} := \text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega) \subset \text{GL}(\omega(\delta_X))$. This is a reductive group, and we denote the derived group of its connected component by

$$G_{X,\omega}^* := [G_{X,\omega}^\circ, G_{X,\omega}^\circ].$$

4.2. Characteristic cycles. The above Tannaka groups are closely related to the conormal geometry of subvarieties. Recall that the *conormal variety* to $X \subset A$ is defined as the Zariski closure in $T^\vee A = A \times \text{Lie}(A)^\vee$ of the conormal bundle to the smooth locus of X . This is a conic Lagrangian subvariety of $T^\vee A$. We denote its projectivization by

$$\Lambda_X \subset A \times \mathbb{P}_A \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{P}_A := \mathbb{P}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee)$$

and define the *Gauss map* of the subvariety as the projection $\gamma_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$. In the context of perverse sheaves such conormal varieties arise naturally as irreducible components of characteristic cycles:

Over $k = \mathbb{C}$ one may attach to any $P \in \text{Perv}(A, \mathbb{F})$ a *characteristic cycle* $\text{CC}(P)$, which is a finite formal sum of conormal varieties to the strata in a suitable Whitney stratification of the support $\text{Supp}(P)$ [Dim04, def. 4.3.19]. We denote by $\text{cc}(P)$ the cycle on $A \times \mathbb{P}_A$ which is obtained from the projectivization of the characteristic cycle by discarding any components with non-dominant Gauss map. If $X \subset A$ is a smooth subvariety of general type, then $\text{cc}(\delta_X) = \Lambda_X$. We are interested in a class of subvarieties which may be singular but still satisfy this last condition.

Over an arbitrary algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero this leads to the following notion:

Definition 4.1. We say that a subvariety $X \subset A$ has *integral characteristic cycle* if for any embedding $\sigma: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the associated complex subvariety $X_\sigma \subset A_\sigma$ has characteristic cycle

$$\text{cc}(\delta_{X_\sigma}) = \Lambda_{X_\sigma}.$$

Note that this condition is independent of the chosen embedding σ : indeed, the characteristic cycle of the perverse intersection complex can be defined over k via Saito's theory for ℓ -adic sheaves [Sai17], and the base change of this cycle via σ gives back the characteristic cycle in the above sense by [Rai23, th. 1.2].

The reason for the above definition is that for any nondivisible subvariety $X \subset A$ with integral characteristic cycle, the Tannaka group $G_{X,\omega}^*$ acts via a minuscule representation on $\omega(\delta_X)$, see [Krä22, cor. 1.10] [JKLM23, cor. 5.15]. The main class of subvarieties with integral characteristic cycles to be considered in this paper will be the following:

Definition 4.2. We say that variety X has *smooth unramified normalization* if \tilde{X} is smooth and the normalization morphism $\nu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is unramified.

If this is the case and if $X \subset W$ is a subvariety of a smooth variety W , then the kernel

$$\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/W} = \text{Ker}(\nu^*(\Omega_W^1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1)$$

is a vector bundle whose associated projective bundle is the pullback of the conormal variety:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/W}) = \tilde{X} \times_X \Lambda_X$$

We say that $X \subset W$ has *smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle* if it has smooth unramified normalization and the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}/W} = (\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/W})^\vee$ is ample. Let us now again take $W = A$ to be an abelian variety.

Lemma 4.3. *For any subvariety $X \subset A$ with smooth unramified normalization, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *X has integral characteristic cycle and the morphism $\Lambda_X \rightarrow X$ has constant fiber dimension.*
- (2) *X is of general type if and only if the Gauss map $\gamma_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ is dominant, in which case γ_X is generically finite of degree*

$$\deg(\gamma_X) = \chi(\delta_X) = (-1)^d \chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}) \quad \text{where } d = \dim X.$$

- (3) *The following three properties are equivalent:*

- *The normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}/A}$ is ample.*
- *The Gauss map $\gamma_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ is finite.*
- *For every $\omega \in H^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \setminus \{0\}$ the pull-back $\nu^*\omega$ has finite zero locus.*

- (4) *If $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}/A}$ is not ample, then there is a curve $C \subset X$ lying in a smaller abelian subvariety of A . In particular, if A is simple, then $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}/A}$ is ample.*

Proof. We may assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. For claim (1) we begin by showing that the characteristic variety

$$\text{Char}(\delta_X) := \text{Supp}(\text{CC}(\delta_X)) \subset T^\vee A$$

is irreducible. Note that $\delta_X = \nu_*(\delta_{\tilde{X}})$ since the normalization $\nu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a finite birational morphism. So we may apply Kashiwara's estimate for the characteristic variety of direct images [Kas76, th. 4.2(b)]: the morphism $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow A$ induces a correspondence

$$T^\vee \tilde{X} \xleftarrow{\rho} \tilde{X} \times_X T^\vee A \xrightarrow{\varpi} T^\vee A$$

where $\varpi = f \times \text{id}$ and $\rho = df$, and with this notation

$$\text{Char}(\delta_X) = \text{Char}(\nu_*(\delta_{\tilde{X}})) \subset \varpi(\rho^{-1}(\text{Char}(\delta_{\tilde{X}}))).$$

Here $\text{Char}(\delta_{\tilde{X}})$ is the zero section $T^\vee \tilde{X}$. Since f is unramified, the codifferential ρ is smooth equidimensional with integral fibers of dimension $r = g - d$ where $d = \dim X$, so $\rho^{-1}(\text{Char}(\delta_{\tilde{X}}))$ is integral of dimension g . Since ϖ is a finite morphism, it follows

that $\varpi(\rho^{-1}(\text{Char}(\delta_{\tilde{X}})))$ is integral of dimension g . So the inclusion in Kashiwara's estimate is an equality since $\text{Char}(\delta_X)$ is of pure dimension g . In particular, the characteristic cycle $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ coincides with the conormal variety Λ_X , which is in turn the projectivization

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/A}) \subset A \times \mathbb{P}_A$$

of the conormal bundle $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/A}$ of the unramified morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow A$. Hence the projection $\Lambda_X \rightarrow X$ is the composite morphism

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{X}/A}) \longrightarrow \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X,$$

so it has constant fiber dimension. This proves claim (1). Claim (2) then follows from the Kashiwara index formula together with the identity

$$\chi(\delta_X) = \chi(\nu_*(\delta_{\tilde{X}})) = \chi(\delta_{\tilde{X}}) = (-1)^d \chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X})$$

where the last equality uses that \tilde{X} is smooth. The claims (3) and (4) are proven in [Laz04, prop. 6.3.10] in the special case where f is a closed embedding, but the proof goes through verbatim when f is only unramified. \square

4.3. Lower bounds for the Euler characteristic. Recall that the group $G_{X,\omega}$ comes with a natural faithful irreducible representation $\omega(\delta_X)$ whose dimension is given by $\dim \omega(\delta_X) = \chi(\delta_X) = |\chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X})|$. In section 7.4 we will use the following lower bound on this topological Euler characteristic:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral subvariety of dimension $d < g$ that has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle. Then*

$$|\chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X})| \geq g.$$

Proof. We may assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$. The claim then follows from [BSS93, th. 4] by identifying $\chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X})$ with the top Segre class of the normal bundle, since the normal bundle is ample, globally generated, and $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. \square

The above bound is clearly not sharp, as one already sees for curves. For surfaces we have the following bound:

Lemma 4.5. *Let S be a smooth minimal surface of general type and $q = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ its irregularity. Then*

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(S) \geq 3q - 9.$$

Proof. Since S is of general type, the Chern classes $c_i = c_i(T_S)$ satisfy $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$ by the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality. By Noether's formula this is equivalent to $3\chi \leq c_2$ where $\chi = \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 1 - q + p$ for $p = h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. As S is minimal, we have $p \geq 2q - 4$ by [Deb82, appendix]. This is equivalent to $\chi \geq q - 3$. Combining these inequalities we obtain $c_2 \geq 3(q - 3)$. \square

5. SIMPLICITY

In this section we prove a criterion for the simplicity of Tannaka groups that applies to a large class of singular subvarieties of abelian varieties, including all subvarieties that receive a finite dominant morphism from a smooth variety.

5.1. Main result. We say that an integral variety X has *uniruled modifications* if for every proper birational morphism

$$f: X' \longrightarrow X$$

from a normal variety X' all irreducible components of the exceptional locus of f are uniruled over X . When X is normal, this is the definition in [Kol95, VI.1.6]. The class of varieties that have uniruled modifications is quite large: in particular, by [Kol95, chapt. VI, th. 1.2 and (1.6.2.3)] it includes all varieties which receive a finite dominant morphism from a smooth variety. More generally, Hacon and McKernan have shown that any normal variety with divisorially log terminal singularities has uniruled modifications [HM07, cor. 1.6]. The main goal of this section is the following result:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety with uniruled modifications and dimension $d < g - 1$. If every component of $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ has finite Gauss map, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $G_{X,\omega}^*$ is not simple.
- (2) $X = X_1 + X_2$ for positive dimensional integral subvarieties $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ such that the sum morphism induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}.$$

Moreover, all subvarieties $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ with the property (2) have finite Gauss map.

Before we come to the proof, which will take up the rest of this section, let us note that the theorem in particular applies to subvarieties $X \subset A$ which have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle: for such subvarieties the characteristic cycle $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ is integral with finite Gauss map by lemma 4.3. In such a situation also the summands $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle:

Corollary 5.2. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle and dimension $d < g - 1$. For any decomposition $X = X_1 + X_2$ with integral subvarieties $X_i \subset A$ such that the sum map induces an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X},$$

both $X_i \subset A$ have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle.

Indeed, if X has smooth unramified normalization, then so do both X_i . If $\tilde{X} \rightarrow A$ moreover has ample normal bundle, then X has finite Gauss map by lemma 4.3. In this case the last sentence in theorem 5.1 shows that the Gauss maps of both X_i are finite, hence $\tilde{X}_i \rightarrow A$ has ample normal bundle as claimed.

5.2. A necessary criterion for simplicity. In the proof of theorem 5.1, we will apply the following criterion to show the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) and the finiteness of the Gauss map for the summands:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral subvariety such that every component of $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ has finite Gauss map. Suppose there are two subvarieties $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ of positive dimension such that $\delta_X \simeq \delta_{X_1} * \delta_{X_2}$. Then*

- (1) the group $G_{X,\omega}^*$ is not simple, and
- (2) the subvarieties $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ have finite Gauss map.

Proof. Replacing all the subvarieties by their image under the isogeny $[n]: A \rightarrow A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that X is nondivisible; moreover, replacing all the subvarieties by suitable translates we may assume that $H := G_\omega(\delta_{X_1} \oplus \delta_{X_2})$ is a semisimple group [Krä21, lemma 5.3.1]. Since by assumption every component of $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ has finite Gauss map, it then follows by [Krä20, lemma 2.3] that the natural morphism

$$H \rightarrow G_{X,\omega}$$

is an isogeny. Hence if $G_{X,\omega}^*$ were a simple algebraic group, then H^* would be as well. But in

$$\omega(\delta_X) \simeq \omega(\delta_{X_1}) \otimes \omega(\delta_{X_2})$$

the left hand side is a nontrivial irreducible representation of H while the right hand side is a tensor product of *two* nontrivial irreducible representations. This contradicts the uniqueness of the number of irreducible factors in a tensor product of representations of simple algebraic groups [Raj04], thus proving (1). Claim (2) follows from the fact that

$$[n]_* \text{cc}(\delta_{X_i}) \in \langle \text{cc}(\delta_X) \rangle$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by [Krä20, prop. 2.5, lemma 2.6 and ex. 2.3]. \square

5.3. Proof of theorem 5.1. (2) \Rightarrow (1): If $X = X_1 + X_2$ where $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ are integral subvarieties of positive dimension such that the sum morphism induces an isomorphism $\tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, then the sum morphism $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X$ is finite and birational. It follows that

$$\delta_X \simeq \delta_{X_1} * \delta_{X_2}$$

and lemma 5.3 shows that in this situation the Tannaka group $G_{X,\omega}^*$ is not simple and the subvarieties $X_1, X_2 \subset A$ again have finite Gauss map.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): If $G_{X,\omega}^*$ is not simple, then as in the proof of [JKLM23, prop. 6.10] there exist clean effective cycles $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \langle \text{cc}(\delta_X) \rangle$ of Gauss degree $\deg(\Lambda_i) > 1$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_1 \circ \Lambda_2 = \text{cc}(\delta_Y) \quad \text{for } Y = [n](X),$$

where \circ denotes the convolution product of clean cycles [JKLM23, def. 5.2]. The cycle on the right has the form $\text{cc}(\delta_Y) = \Lambda_Y + R$ where R is either zero or an effective clean cycle which is supported over a strict subvariety of $Y \subset A$. Since the convolution of effective clean cycles is effective, in the above product decomposition there must be components $\Lambda_{Y_i} \subset \text{Supp}(\Lambda_i)$ over integral subvarieties $Y_i \subset A$ such that

$$\Lambda_{Y_1} \circ \Lambda_{Y_2} = \Lambda_Y + \dots$$

where \dots is a sum of components of R . By assumption all components of $\text{cc}(\delta_X)$ have finite Gauss map, so for $i = 1, 2$ the Gauss map of $\Lambda_{Y_i} \in \langle \text{cc}(\delta_X) \rangle$ is finite. Since $\dim X < g - 1$, it follows that

$$\dim Y = \dim Y_1 + \dim Y_2$$

by [JKLM23, cor. 5.7]. The product decomposition $\Lambda_{Y_1} \circ \Lambda_{Y_2} = \Lambda_Y + \dots$ then shows that the sum morphism induces a birational morphism $Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Y$. Note that $\dim Y_i > 0$ for both $i = 1, 2$: if not, then by irreducibility we could assume that $Y_1 = \{p\}$ is a point, in which case $Y_2 = Y - p$ by the product decomposition. But since

$$\Lambda_1 \circ \Lambda_{Y_2} \subset \Lambda_1 \circ \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_Y + R,$$

this could happen only if $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_{\{p\}}$ which is impossible since $\deg(\Lambda_1) > 1$.

It remains to deduce from the above decomposition of Y a similar decomposition of X . Since $X \subset A$ is nondivisible, the morphism $[n]: X \rightarrow Y$ is birational. Hence if

we pick irreducible components $X_i \subset A$ of the preimage $[n]^{-1}(X_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $X = X_1 + X_2$, then the sum morphism $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X$ is birational. By passing to normalizations we obtain a birational morphism

$$\tilde{\sigma}: \tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{X}.$$

Since \tilde{X} has uniruled modifications, any positive-dimensional fiber of $\tilde{\sigma}$ would have to be uniruled. But $\tilde{X}_1 \times \tilde{X}_2$ admits a finite morphism to an abelian variety $A \times A$ and hence it cannot contain any uniruled subvariety of positive dimension. Thus the morphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ is finite, and the claim follows since any finite birational morphism between normal varieties is an isomorphism. \square

6. WEDGE POWERS

We now focus on subvarieties that have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle. In this section we show that for such subvarieties of high enough codimension, wedge powers of the standard representation of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ occur only if the normalization is a symmetric power of a curve.

6.1. Main result. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that a subvariety $X \subset A$ is an *r-th wedge power* if there exists an integer $n \geq r$ such that the Tannaka group is

$$G_{X,\omega}^* \simeq \mathrm{Alt}^r(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})) \quad \text{with the standard action on } \omega(\delta_X) \simeq \mathrm{Alt}^r(\mathbb{F}^n).$$

If r is given, then n is determined by the Euler characteristic of the intersection cohomology since

$$\chi(\delta_X) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\omega(\delta_X)) = \binom{n}{r}.$$

By duality it suffices to discuss wedge powers for $r \leq n/2$. In this case we have:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle and dimension $d < (g-1)/2$. Suppose that $\chi(\delta_X) = \binom{n}{r}$ with $1 < r \leq n/2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $X \subset A$ is an *r-th wedge power*.
- (2) $r = d$ and there is an irreducible curve $C \subset A$ such that
 - $X = C + \cdots + C \subset A$ is the sum of d copies of C , and
 - the sum map induces on normalizations an isomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^d \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$.

Any such $C \subset A$ has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle.

The proof will proceed in three steps: in section 6.2 we discuss the general structure of conormal varieties of wedge powers, then in section 6.3 we show the finiteness of the resulting sum morphism, and in section 6.4 we conclude by some general arguments about symmetric powers of varieties.

6.2. Conormal varieties of wedge powers. Let $Z \subset A$ be a subvariety with dominant Gauss map. Then there exists an open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}_A$ over which the Gauss map γ_Z is finite and flat. For an integer $r \geq 1$ we consider the Zariski closure

$$\Lambda_Z^{[r]} := \overline{\Lambda_{Z|U}^{\times r} \setminus \Delta_r} \subset A^r \times \mathbb{P}_A$$

and put

$$\mathrm{Alt}^r \Lambda_Z := \frac{1}{r!} \sigma_* (\Lambda_Z^{[r]}) \in \mathcal{L}(A).$$

for the sum morphism $\sigma: A^r \times \mathbb{P}_A \rightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}_A, (z_1, \dots, z_r, \xi) \mapsto (z_1 + \cdots + z_r, \xi)$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $X \subset A$ be a nondivisible subvariety with $\dim X > 0$ and with integral characteristic cycle. If $\omega(\delta_X)$ is an r -th wedge power for some $r \geq 1$, then there exists an integer $e \geq 1$ and an integral subvariety $Z \subset A$ with $\Lambda_Z \in \langle \Lambda_X \rangle$ such that*

$$\text{Alt}^r \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{[e](X)}.$$

In this case we have

$$\deg \Lambda_X = \binom{n}{r} \quad \text{for } n = \deg \Lambda_Z,$$

and if the Gauss map $\gamma_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ is a finite morphism, then so is $\gamma_Z: \Lambda_Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$.

Proof. In [JKLM23, th. 7.4] this was stated only when $X \subset A$ is a smooth integral subvariety, but the same proof applies verbatim also under the weaker assumption that $X \subset A$ is a subvariety with integral characteristic cycle. \square

6.3. The sum morphism. The next step in the proof of theorem 6.1 is to use the dimension estimate for the image

$$\text{Alt}^r Z := \text{Im}\left(\text{pr}_A: \text{Supp}(\text{Alt}^r \Lambda_Z) \rightarrow A\right) \subset A$$

of the support of the cycle constructed above: for any integral subvariety $Z \subset A$ such that the Gauss map γ_Z is a finite morphism and $\dim \text{Alt}^r Z < (\dim A - 1)/2$ for some $r \leq \deg(\gamma_Z)/2$, we have

$$r \dim Z < \dim A$$

by [JKLM23, th. 7.5]. We can therefore apply the following result to $Y = [e](X)$:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $Y, Z \subset A$ be integral subvarieties with finite Gauss map such that*

$$\text{Alt}^r \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_Y$$

for some $r \geq 1$ with $r \dim Z < \dim A$. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) *The sum morphism $\sigma: Z^r \rightarrow A$ has image $Y \subset A$ and induces a birational morphism*

$$\tau: \text{Sym}^r Z = Z^r / \mathfrak{S}_r \longrightarrow Y$$

- (2) *If $\text{pr}_Y: \Lambda_Y \rightarrow Y$ has constant fiber dimension, then τ is finite.*

Proof. The finiteness of the Gauss map γ_Z implies that the subvariety $Z \subset A$ is nondegenerate [JKLM23, th. 2.8]. As in the proof of [JKLM23, prop. 7.12], the assumption $r \dim Z < \dim A$ thus implies that $Y \subset A$ is a sum of r copies of Z and that the sum morphism $\tau: \text{Sym}^r Z \rightarrow Y$ is birational, thus proving (1). Claim (2) amounts to the statement that if the projection pr_Y has constant fiber dimension, then σ is a finite morphism. This follows as in loc. cit. from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z^r & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_{Z,r}} & \Lambda_Z^{[r]} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{Z,r}} & \mathbb{P}_A \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \parallel \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_Y} & \Lambda_Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma_Y} & \mathbb{P}_A \end{array}$$

by the semicontinuity of the fiber dimension for proper morphisms, using that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a finite morphism since the Gauss maps γ_Z and $\gamma_{Z,r}$ are finite. \square

Note that $\Lambda_Y \rightarrow Y$ has constant fiber dimension when $Y = [e](X)$ for some integer $e \geq 1$ and for some nondivisible integral subvariety $X \subset A$ with smooth unramified normalization; see lemma 4.3 (1).

6.4. Conclusion of the proof of theorem 6.1. Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety of dimension $d < (g-1)/2$ which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle, and suppose that $\chi(\delta_X) = \binom{n}{r}$ with $1 < r \leq n/2$.

If condition (1) in the theorem holds, then by propositions 6.2 and 6.3 we can find an integral subvariety $Z \subset A$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ with the property that the sum morphism induces a finite birational morphism $\text{Sym}^r Z \rightarrow Y = [e](X)$. Taking preimages under $[e]: A \rightarrow A$, the same argument as in [JKLM23, proof of th. 7.6] goes through without changes and shows that the sum morphism induces a finite birational morphism

$$\text{Sym}^r C \longrightarrow X,$$

where $C \subset A$ is a suitable translate of an irreducible component of $[e]^{-1}(Z)$. So we get an isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^r \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}$$

between the respective normalizations. Since by assumption the normalization \tilde{X} is smooth, it follows that C must be a curve. Hence (2) holds. Moreover, since we assumed the morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ to be unramified, we know that $\text{Sym}^r \tilde{C} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^r C$ is unramified. By looking at points over the diagonal one sees that this can happen only if $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is unramified.

Conversely, suppose that condition (2) of the theorem holds for some integral nondegenerate curve $C \subset A$ and some integer $r > 1$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}^r \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_r} & \tilde{X} \\ \mu_r \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_r \\ \text{Sym}^r C & \xrightarrow{\sigma_r} & X \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_r$ is an isomorphism and μ_r and ν_r are finite birational since they are normalization morphisms. To reduce to the case $r = 2$, we fix $r - 2$ general points on \tilde{C} and embed $\text{Sym}^2 \tilde{C}$ into $\text{Sym}^r \tilde{C}$ by adding those points. Then $\tilde{\tau}_r$ restricts to a morphism

$$\text{Sym}^2 \tilde{C} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}$$

which is still an isomorphism onto its image. Denote this image by $\tilde{W}_2 \subset \tilde{X}$ and put $W_2 = \nu(\tilde{W}_2)$. We get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}^2 \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_2} & \tilde{W}_2 \\ \mu_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_2 \\ \text{Sym}^2 C & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & W_2 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_2$ is still an isomorphism and where μ_2 and ν_2 are still finite birational because we fixed $r - 2$ general points of \tilde{C} . Hence it follows that τ_2 is a finite birational morphism. By precomposing it with the double cover $C^2 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 C$ we see that the sum morphism $\sigma_2: C^2 \rightarrow W_2$ is finite of degree two, so the convolution square

$$\delta_C * \delta_C = \sigma_{2*}(\delta_{C^2})$$

has only two perverse direct summands. It then follows from Larsen's alternative that $G_{X,\omega}^* \simeq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ where $n = \chi(\delta_C)$, see [JKLM23, lemma 3.7]. Moreover, by construction

$$\omega(\delta_X) \simeq \omega(\tau_{r*}(\delta_C)) \simeq \text{Alt}^r(\omega(\delta_C)),$$

hence condition (1) in the theorem holds. \square

7. SPIN REPRESENTATIONS

We now exclude spin representations for subvarieties of high codimension that have smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle.

7.1. How to rule out the spin case. For $n \geq 1$, we say that a subvariety $X \subset A$ is

- of *spin type* B_n if its Tannaka group is the spin group $G_{X,\omega}^* \simeq \text{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F})$,
- of *spin type* D_n if its Tannaka group is a half-spin group $G_{X,\omega}^* \simeq \text{Spin}_{2n}^\pm(\mathbb{F})$.

In this definition we do not make any assumption on the representation $V_X = \omega(\delta_X)$ of the Tannaka group, since it will be automatically determined in the case relevant to us: If a nondivisible subvariety $X \subset A$ has smooth unramified normalization, then by lemma 4.3 it has integral characteristic cycle, so the group $G_{X,\omega}^*$ acts on V_X via a minuscule representation [Krä22, cor. 1.10] [JKLM23, cor. 5.15]. A look at the list of faithful minuscule representations then shows:

- If X is of spin type B_n , then V_X is the spin representation.
- If X is of spin type D_n , then V_X is the associated half-spin representations.

We exclude both cases in high enough codimension:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle and dimension $d < (g-1)/2$.*

- (1) *The subvariety $X \subset A$ is not of spin type B_n for any $n \geq 1$.*
- (2) *If X is of spin type D_n for some n , then $d \geq (g-1)/4$ and $n = 2m$ for some integer $m \in \{3, \dots, d\}$ which has the same parity as d .*

The proof of this follows a similar pattern as the argument for wedge powers and will take up the rest of this section.

7.2. Conormal varieties in the spin case. Let Z be a symmetric reduced clean effective cycle on A with dominant Gauss map. Then there exists an open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}_A$ over which the Gauss map γ_Z is finite étale. For an integer $r \geq 1$ we consider the Zariski closure

$$\Lambda_{Z,S}^{[r]} := \overline{\Lambda_{Z|U}^{\times r} \setminus (\Delta_r \cup \Delta_r^-)} \subset \Lambda_Z^{\times r}$$

and put

$$\text{Alt}_S^r \Lambda_Z := \sigma_*(\Lambda_{Z,S}^{[r]})$$

for the sum morphism $\sigma: A^r \times \mathbb{P}_A \rightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}_A$. We say the Gauss map $\gamma_Z: \Lambda_Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ has *even monodromy* if its degree is an even integer $\deg(\gamma_Z) = 2n$ and the finite étale cover $\gamma_{Z|U}: \Lambda_{Z|U} \rightarrow U$ has its monodromy group contained in the index two subgroup

$$H := \{\pm 1\}_+^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \{\pm 1\}^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$$

where $\{\pm 1\}_+^n := \{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in (\pm 1)^n \mid \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n = 1\}$. Looking at the orbits of this index two subgroup on a general fiber of the Gauss map, one obtains a decomposition

$$\Lambda_{Z,S}^{[n]} = \Lambda_{Z,S,+}^{[n]} + \Lambda_{Z,S,-}^{[n]}$$

where H acts transitively on the fibers of each of the two summands $\Lambda_{Z,S,\pm}^{[n]}$ on the right hand side. Note that there is no intrinsic way to distinguish the two summands from each other. For $r = n$ we put

$$\text{Alt}_{S,\pm}^n \Lambda_Z := \sigma_*(\Lambda_{Z,S,\pm}^{[n]}).$$

These appear naturally as characteristic cycles in the spin case:

Proposition 7.2. *Let $X \subset A$ be a nondivisible subvariety with dominant Gauss map and integral characteristic cycle. If X is of spin type B_n or D_n for some n , then there exists $a \in A(k)$, a reduced symmetric effective cycle Z on A of Gauss degree $\deg \Lambda_Z = 2n$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *If X is of spin type B_n , then $\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S}}^n \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{[e](X+a)}$.*
- (2) *If X is of spin type D_n , then the Gauss map γ_Z has even monodromy and we have*

$$\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{[e](X+a)} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}.$$

In both cases, if $\gamma_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ is a finite morphism, then so is $\gamma_Z: \Lambda_Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$.

Proof. In [JKLM23, th. 8.5] this was stated only when $X \subset A$ is a smooth integral subvariety, but the same proof applies verbatim also under the weaker assumption that $X \subset A$ is a subvariety with integral characteristic cycle. \square

7.3. The sum morphism. The next step in the proof of theorem 7.1 is to consider the image

$$\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n Z := \text{pr}_A(\text{Supp}(\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n)) \subset A \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in \{+, -, \emptyset\}.$$

For any reduced symmetric effective cycle Z on A whose Gauss map is a finite morphism of even degree $\deg(\gamma_Z) = 2n$, we know from [JKLM23, th. 8.6]:

- (1) If $\dim \text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S}}^n Z < (g-1)/2$, then $n \dim Z < g-1$.
- (2) If γ_Z has even monodromy and there is $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ for which $r := \dim \text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n Z$ satisfies

$$r < \begin{cases} (g-1)/4 & \text{if } n = 2m \text{ is even and } m \leq r+1, \\ (g-1)/2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $n \dim Z < g-1$.

In what follows, we will use the uniform notation $\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n \Lambda_Z$ for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -, \emptyset\}$ to include all the above cases. For $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ this notation includes the tacit assumption that the Gauss map γ_Z has even monodromy. With this convention we have the following dimension estimate in the opposite direction to (1) and (2) above:

Proposition 7.3. *Let $X \subset A$ be a nondivisible subvariety with finite Gauss map and integral characteristic cycle such that the projection $\text{pr}_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow X$ has constant fiber dimension. Suppose that there is a reduced symmetric effective cycle Z on A with finite Gauss map of degree $2n$ such that*

$$\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{[e](X)}$$

for some integers $n \geq 2$, $e \geq 1$ and some $\varepsilon \in \{+, -, \emptyset\}$. Then $n \dim Z \geq g$.

Proof. In [JKLM23, th. 8.7] this was stated only when X is smooth, but the proof goes through without change in the more general setting considered here. \square

7.4. Conclusion of the proof of theorem 7.1. Let $X \subset A$ be a nondivisible subvariety of dimension d with smooth unramified normalization and ample normal bundle. Suppose X is of spin type B_n or D_n for some integer $n \geq 1$. Replacing X by some translate, we obtain from proposition 7.2 an integer $e \geq 1$ and a reduced symmetric effective cycle Z on A with finite Gauss map of degree $\deg(\gamma_Z) = 2n$ such that

$$\text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^n \Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{[e](X)} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon \in \{+, -, \emptyset\}.$$

Since X has smooth unramified normalization, the projection $\text{pr}_X: \Lambda_X \rightarrow X$ has constant fiber dimension. Moreover, the Gauss map γ_Z is finite, so proposition 7.3 shows $n \dim Z \geq g$. But

$$\dim \text{Alt}_{\mathbb{S}, \varepsilon}^n Z = \dim[e](X) = \dim X = d.$$

Hence by the above items (1) and (2) with $r = d$ together with [JKLM23, lemma 8.8] we have

$$d \geq (g-1)/2$$

unless X is of spin type D_{2m} for some integer $m \leq d+1$, in which case $d \geq (g-1)/4$. In this case m must have the same parity as d because the half-spin representation is orthogonal for even m and symplectic for odd m [JKLM23, rem. 8.2]. It only remains to exclude the case where $m = 2$ and d is even. But in this case we would have $\chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}) = \chi(\delta_X) = 8$, which is impossible by lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. \square

8. EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS

Finally we rule out the appearance of exceptional groups as Tannaka groups in the cases relevant to theorems A and B.

8.1. How to rule out exceptional groups. The main goal of this section is the following result:

Theorem 8.1. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle and dimension $d < (g-1)/2$. Then,*

$$G_{X, \omega}^* \not\cong E_6, E_7.$$

This statement is sharp because for $(d, g) = (2, 5)$ the group E_6 occurs as the Tannaka group of the Fano surface X of lines on a smooth cubic threefold, embedded in $A = \text{Alb}(\tilde{X})$. Actually, one can show as in [KLM24, th. A] that for $d < g/2$ this is the only example of subvarieties with exceptional Tannaka group. Since we do not need this for theorems A and B, we here limit ourselves to the following positive statement:

Proposition 8.2. *Suppose $X \subset A$ is nondivisible of dimension $d < g/2$ and has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle. If $G_{X, \omega}^* \simeq E_6$, then X is surface with*

$$\chi(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 6, \quad c_2(\tilde{X}) = 27 \quad \text{and} \quad g = 5.$$

The proof of this will be given in section 8.2. To rule out the group E_7 we will use a simpler argument, based on the decomposition of the convolution square. Recall that

$$\delta_X * \delta_X = \text{Sym}^2(\delta_X) \oplus \text{Alt}^2(\delta_X)$$

where the symmetry constraint of the convolution product acts trivially on $\text{Sym}^2(\delta_X)$ and by $- \text{id}$ on $\text{Alt}^2(\delta_X)$. The representation theory of E_7 then implies:

Proposition 8.3. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle. If $G_{X, \omega}^* \simeq E_7$, then $d = \dim X$ is odd, we have $X = a - X$ for some $a \in A(k)$, and*

$$\text{Alt}^2(\delta_X) \simeq P \oplus \delta_a \oplus N$$

for a simple perverse sheaf $P \in \text{Perv}(A, \mathbb{F})$ and a negligible complex $N \in D_c^b(A, \mathbb{F})$.

The proof is the same as in [JKLM23, cor. 8.2], since by lemma 4.3 (1) the cycle $cc(\delta_X)$ is integral. To exclude the situation in the above proposition for subvarieties of dimension $d < g/2$ we then use as in loc. cit. a stronger geometric version of Larsen's alternative. It will be more natural to state the latter in a more general setup. For a subvariety $X \subset A$ of dimension d , we define

$$T_+(\delta_X) := \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^2(\delta_X) & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Alt}^2(\delta_X) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If $X = a - X$ for some $a \in A(k)$, then δ_a is a direct summand in $T_+(\delta_X)$. If X is nondivisible, then a is unique and we denote by $S_+(\delta_X)$ a complementary direct summand so that

$$T_+(\delta_X) = S_+(\delta_X) \oplus \delta_a.$$

The skyscraper summand gives rise to a bilinear form $\theta: V \otimes V \rightarrow \omega(\delta_a)$ which is symmetric if d is even and alternating if d is odd. Hence the derived subgroup $G_{X,\omega}^*$ of the connected component of $G_{X,\omega}$ is contained in $SO(V, \theta)$ if d is even and in $Sp(V, \theta)$ if d is odd. To have a uniform notation, in the case where X is not symmetric up to translation we set

$$S_+(\delta_X) := T_+(\delta_X).$$

We then have the following version of Larsen's alternative:

Proposition 8.4. *Let $X \subset A$ be an integral nondivisible subvariety which has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle and dimension $d < g/2$. Then $S_+(\delta_X)$ is a perverse sheaf without negligible direct summands. If this perverse sheaf is simple, then*

$$G_{X,\omega}^* = \begin{cases} \text{SL}(V) & \text{if } X \text{ is not symmetric up to translation,} \\ \text{SO}(V, \theta) & \text{if } X \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Sp}(V, \theta) & \text{if } X \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of this will be given in section 8.3. Putting everything together, we can rule out exceptional Tannaka groups as follows:

Proof of theorem 8.1. If $X \subset A$ is the preimage of a subvariety $Y \subset B$ under an isogeny $A \rightarrow B$, then we have $G_{X,\omega}^* \simeq G_{Y,\omega}^*$ by [JKLM23, cor. 3.5], and Y still has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle. Hence in what follows we will assume that $X \subset A$ is nondivisible.

In this case, proposition 8.2 rules out the Tannaka group E_6 since $(d, g) = (2, 5)$ does not satisfy the condition $d < (g - 1)/2$ in theorem 8.1. Likewise, for $d < g/2$ the Tannaka group E_7 is ruled out by combining propositions 8.3 and 8.4. \square

8.2. Proof of proposition 8.2. By [JKLM23, cor. 4.4] we may assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$ and work analytically. We first prove that X is a surface with

$$\chi(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 6 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(\tilde{X}) = 27.$$

As noted above, $G_{X,\omega}^*$ acts on $V := \omega(\delta_X)$ via a minuscule representation. The only minuscule representations of E_6 are the irreducible representations of dimension 27, hence

$$c_2(\tilde{X}) = \chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}) = 27.$$

For the remaining claims we use Hodge theory. Recall that up to isomorphism the group $G_{X,\omega}^*$ does not depend on our choice of the fiber functor ω . In what follows we fix a torsion character $\chi: \pi_1(A, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $H^i(X, L_\chi) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$ and take

$$\omega: \langle \delta_X \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{C}), \quad P \longmapsto H^0(A, P \otimes L_\chi).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_\chi \in \text{Pic}(A)$ be the line bundle defined by the torsion character χ , and let r be the order of this character. By [KM23, prop. B.1] the Hodge decomposition of the normalization of $[r]^{-1}(X)$ gives a decomposition of the vector space $V = H^d(\tilde{X}, L_\chi)$ as a direct sum

$$V = \bigoplus_{p=0}^d H^p(\tilde{X}) \quad \text{where} \quad H^p(\tilde{X}) = H^{d-p}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\dim H^p(\tilde{X}) = (-1)^{d-p} \chi(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p)$$

by [KM23, prop. B.3]. The normal bundle of the unramified morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow A$ is ample, so the zero locus on \tilde{X} of any nonzero differential form $\omega \in H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$ is finite by lemma 4.3 (3). So by [KM23, th. A.1] we have

$$(-1)^{d-p} \chi(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p) \geq \begin{cases} g - d + 1 & \text{for } p \in \{0, d\}, \\ 2 & \text{for } p \in \{1, d-1\}, \\ 1 & \text{for } 2 \leq p \leq d-2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be the cocharacter such that $\lambda(z)$ acts by $z^{2p-d} \text{id}$ on $H^p(\tilde{X})$. By [KLM24, th. C] this cocharacter takes values in the subgroup $G_{X,\omega}^* \subset \text{GL}(V)$, hence

$$d = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(\tilde{X}) = \chi(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 6$$

due to [KLM24, prop. 4.3]. To apply this latter result, note that the cocharacter λ has the properties (H1), (H2), (H3) in loc. cit., see also [KM23, prop. B.3].

It remains to show $g = 5$, for which we will need to unveil the link with cubic threefolds. To begin with, Noether's formula and the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem yield $c_1(\tilde{X})^2 = 45$ and $\chi(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) = -15$. Consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$(8.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Lie } A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{T} is the tangent bundle of the smooth variety \tilde{X} and \mathcal{N} is the normal bundle of the unramified morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow A$. From this sequence we obtain

$$c_1(\mathcal{N})^2 = 45 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(\mathcal{N}) = c_1(X)^2 - c_2(X) = 18.$$

The Gauss map $\gamma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2(\text{Lie } A)$, $x \mapsto T_x \tilde{X}$ is a finite morphism because X is nondivisible [Deb95, prop. 3.1]. By interpreting $c_2(\mathcal{N})$ as the top Segre class of \mathcal{T} , the positivity of $c_2(\mathcal{N})$ implies that the morphism $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{Lie } A)$ is generically finite onto its image. One easily checks that the generic degree of π is bounded by

$$\deg \pi \geq \deg D \quad \text{for the difference morphism} \quad D: X \times X \longrightarrow X - X,$$

see e.g. [KLM24, lemma 7.3] (the cited result is only for smooth subvarieties $Z \subset A$, but the same proof works for smooth varieties with a morphism $Z \rightarrow A$ birational onto its image). A key point is now that

$$\deg D \geq 6$$

by the same argument as in [KLM24, prop. 4.6] (which used smoothness only to ensure δ_X has integral characteristic cycle). By construction the degree $\deg(\pi)$ divides $c_2(\mathcal{N}) = 18$, thus

$$\deg \pi \in \{6, 9, 18\}.$$

Furthermore, the morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{Alt}^2 \text{Lie } A)$ given by γ followed by the Plücker embedding of $\text{Gr}_2(\text{Lie } A)$ is the one defined by the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}$ of \tilde{X} . In particular $\deg \gamma$ must divide $c_1(\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}) = c_1(\tilde{X})^2 = 45$, hence

$$(8.2) \quad \deg \gamma \in \{1, 3, 9\}.$$

It follows that image $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(\text{Lie } A)$ of π has dimension 3 and degree

$$\deg Y = c_2(\mathcal{N}) / \deg \pi \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

The subvariety X generates the abelian variety A since it is nondegenerate, so Y is not contained in any hyperplane; hence $\deg Y \neq 1$. The classical lower bound (see e.g. [EH87, prop. 0])

$$\deg Y \geq 1 + \text{codim } Y$$

implies that Y has codimension 1 or 2 in $\mathbb{P}(\text{Lie } A)$. Up to replacing X by \tilde{X} , the proof of [KLM24, prop. 7.4] goes through without changes and shows that the codimension 2 case is not possible, hence $g = 5$ as required. \square

8.3. Proof of proposition 8.4. With notation as in proposition 8.4, let $T_-(\delta_X)$ be the direct summand complementary to $T_+(\delta_X)$ in the convolution square $\delta_X * \delta_X$ so that

$$\delta_X * \delta_X = T_+(\delta_X) \oplus T_-(\delta_X).$$

We then have the following result:

Lemma 8.5. *Suppose that $X \subset A$ is nondivisible of dimension $d < g/2$ and has smooth unramified normalization with ample normal bundle.*

- (1) *The convolution $\delta_X * \delta_X$ is a perverse sheaf without negligible summands.*
- (2) *If $S_+(\delta_X)$ is simple, then*
 - (a) *the sum morphism $f: \text{Sym}^2 X \rightarrow X + X$ is birational, and*
 - (b) *$T_-(\delta_X)$ is a simple perverse sheaf with support $X + X$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [KLM24, cor. 8.7] except for the following two changes: in (1) the Gauss map $\Lambda_X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A$ is finite by lemma 4.3 (3). In (2) one has to replace $\text{Sym}^2 X$ by $\text{Sym}^2 \tilde{X}$. The latter is a rational homology manifold since \tilde{X} is smooth [Bri99, prop. A.1(iii)]; hence the intersection complex on $\text{Sym}^2 \tilde{X}$ is the constant sheaf shifted in degree $-2d$ by [HTT08, prop. 8.2.21]. \square

Proof of proposition 8.4. Part (1) of lemma 8.5 shows in particular that $S_+(\delta_X)$ is a perverse sheaf. Hence it only remains to show that if this perverse sheaf is simple, then

$$G_{X,\omega}^* = \begin{cases} \text{SL}(V) & \text{if } X \text{ is not symmetric up to translation,} \\ \text{SO}(V, \theta) & \text{if } X \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Sp}(V, \theta) & \text{if } X \text{ is symmetric up to translation and } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

But this follows from part (2b) of lemma 8.5 and [JKLM23, lemma 3.7]. \square

REFERENCES

- [And96] Y. André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyperkähler varieties*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 2, 205–248.
- [BBDG18] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, and O. Gabber, *Faisceaux pervers. Actes du colloque “Analyse et Topologie sur les Espaces Singuliers”. Partie I*, 2nd edition ed., Astérisque, vol. 100, Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2018.
- [BBP13] S. Boucksom, A. Broustet, and G. Pacienza, *Uniruledness of stable base loci of adjoint linear systems via Mori theory*, Math. Z. **275** (2013), no. 1-2, 499–507.
- [BCL14] S. Boucksom, S. Cacciola, and A. F. Lopez, *Augmented base loci and restricted volumes on normal varieties*, Math. Z. **278** (2014), no. 3-4, 979–985.
- [Bri99] M. Brion, *Rational smoothness and fixed points of torus actions*, Transform. Groups **4** (1999), no. 2-3, 127–156 (English).
- [BSS93] M. C. Beltrametti, M. Schneider, and A. J. Sommese, *Applications of the Ein-Lazarsfeld criterion for spannedness of adjoint bundles*, Math. Z. **214** (1993), no. 4, 593–599.

- [CP15] F. Campana and M. Păun, *Orbifold generic semi-positivity: an application to families of canonically polarized manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **65** (2015), no. 2, 835–861.
- [Deb82] O. Debarre, *Inégalités numériques pour les surfaces de type général*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1982), no. 3, 319–346, With an appendix by A. Beauville.
- [Deb95] ———, *Fulton-Hansen and Barth-Lefschetz theorems for subvarieties of abelian varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **467** (1995), 187–197.
- [Dim04] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Berlin: Springer, 2004.
- [EH87] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account)*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 3–13.
- [Fal83] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 3, 349–366.
- [HM07] C. D. Hacon and J. McKernan, *On Shokurov’s rational connectedness conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **138** (2007), no. 1, 119–136.
- [HTT08] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki, *\mathcal{D} -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [Jav15] A. Javanpeykar, *Néron models and the arithmetic Shafarevich conjecture for certain canonically polarized surfaces*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **47** (2015), no. 1, 55–64.
- [JKLM23] A. Javanpeykar, T. Krämer, C. Lehn, and M. Maculan, *The monodromy of families of subvarieties on abelian varieties*, 2023, [arXiv:2210.05166](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.05166), to appear in Duke Math. J.
- [JL15] A. Javanpeykar and D. Loughran, *Good reduction of algebraic groups and flag varieties*, Arch. Math. **104** (2015), no. 2, 133–143.
- [JL17] ———, *Complete intersections: moduli, Torelli, and good reduction*, Math. Ann. **368** (2017), no. 3-4, 1191–1225.
- [JL18] ———, *Good reduction of Fano threefolds and sextic surfaces*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) **18** (2018), no. 2, 509–535.
- [JL21] ———, *Arithmetic hyperbolicity and a stacky Chevalley–Weil theorem*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **103** (2021), no. 3, 846–869.
- [Kas76] M. Kashiwara, *B-functions and holonomic systems*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 33–54.
- [KLM24] T. Krämer, C. Lehn, and M. Maculan, *The Tannaka group E_6 only arises from cubic threefolds*, 2024, [arXiv:2406.07401](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.07401).
- [KM23] T. Krämer and M. Maculan, *Arithmetic finiteness of irregular varieties*, 2023, [arXiv:2310.08485](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08485).
- [Kol93] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 1, 177–215.
- [Kol95] János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge, vol. 32, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Krä20] T. Krämer, *Summands of theta divisors on Jacobians*, Compos. Math. **156** (2020), no. 7, 1457–1475.
- [Krä21] ———, *Characteristic cycles and the microlocal geometry of the Gauss map, II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **774** (2021), 53–92.
- [Krä22] ———, *Characteristic cycles and the microlocal geometry of the Gauss map, I*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **55** (2022), 1475–1527.
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.
- [LS20] B. Lawrence and W. Sawin, *The Shafarevich conjecture for hypersurfaces in abelian varieties*, 2020, [arXiv:2004.09046v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09046v3).
- [LV20] B. Lawrence and A. Venkatesh, *Diophantine problems and p -adic period mappings*, Invent. Math. **221** (2020), no. 3, 893–999.
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2), vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Rai23] Ankit Rai, *Comparison of the two notions of characteristic cycles*, Preprint, 2023.
- [Raj04] C. S. Rajan, *Unique decomposition of tensor products of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 2, 683–704.
- [Sai17] Takeshi Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*, Invent. Math. **207** (2017), no. 2, 597–695.
- [Sch85] A. J. Scholl, *A finiteness theorem for del Pezzo surfaces over algebraic number fields*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **32** (1985), 31–40.

- [SGA 6] *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–1967 (SGA 6), Dirigé par P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck et L. Illusie. Avec la collaboration de D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud et J. P. Serre.
- [She97] Y. She, *The unpolarized Shafarevich Conjecture for K3 Surfaces*, 1997, [arXiv:1705.09038](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.09038).
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors, *The Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [Tak19] T. Takamatsu, *On the Shafarevich conjecture for Enriques surfaces*, 2019, [arXiv:1911.03419](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.03419).
- [Tak20] ———, *On a cohomological generalization of the Shafarevich conjecture for K3 surfaces*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 9, 2505–2531.
- [VZ03] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *On the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces for canonically polarized manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 103–150.

THOMAS KRÄMER, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, RUDOWER CHAUSSEE 25, 12489 BERLIN, GERMANY.

Email address: `thomas.kraemer@math.hu-berlin.de`

MARCO MACULAN, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE

Email address: `marco.maculan@imj-prg.fr`