

Pretty good fractional revival on abelian Cayley graphs

Akash Kalita and Bikash Bhattacharjya

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India

akash.kalita@iitg.ac.in, b.bikash@iitg.ac.in

Abstract

Let Γ be a graph with the adjacency matrix A . The transition matrix of Γ , denoted $H(t)$, is defined as $H(t) := \exp(-itA)$, where t is a real variable and $\mathbf{i} := \sqrt{-1}$. The graph Γ is said to exhibit pretty good fractional revival (PGFR in short) between the vertices a and b if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k)\mathbf{e}_a = \alpha\mathbf{e}_a + \beta\mathbf{e}_b$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\beta \neq 0$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. In this paper, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of PGFR on abelian Cayley graphs. Using that necessary and sufficient condition, we obtain some infinite classes of circulant graphs exhibiting PGFR. We also obtain some classes of circulant graphs not exhibiting PGFR. Some of our results generalize the results of Chan et al. [Pretty good quantum fractional revival in paths and cycles. *Algebr. Comb.* 4(6) (2021), 989-1004.] for cycles. We also prove that an unitary Cayley graph on n vertices ($n \geq 4$) exhibits PGFR if and only if $n = 2p$, where p is a prime.

Keywords: Fractional revival, Pretty good fractional revival, Circulant graph, Eigenvalue

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 15A16, 05C50, 81P45

1 Introduction

Continuous-time quantum walk serves as a foundational method within the realm of quantum information. Farhi and Gutmann [10] used continuous-time quantum walk to study quantum algorithmic problems on graphs in 1998. The generation of entanglement serves as an important resource in the field of quantum information. Fractional revival can be used for entanglement generation in quantum information. Fractional revival takes place when a continuous-time quantum walk maps the state of a vertex into a superposition of the states of a subset of vertices which includes the initial vertex. Chen et al. [6] introduced the notion of fractional revival in the year 2007. Rohith and Sudheesh [16], Christandl et al. [7], and Genest et al. [11] studied fractional revival in the context of quantum spin networks.

Let Γ be a graph on n vertices with the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$. For $a \in V(\Gamma)$, the *vertex state* of a is defined to be the unit vector \mathbf{e}_a , where $\{\mathbf{e}_a : a \in V(\Gamma)\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n . If A is the adjacency matrix of Γ , then the *transition matrix* of Γ is defined by

$$H(t) := \exp(-\mathbf{i}tA) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathbf{i}tA)^j}{j!}, \text{ where } \mathbf{i} := \sqrt{-1}.$$

The graph Γ exhibits *fractional revival* (FR in short) between two distinct vertices a and b if there exists a positive real number t such that

$$H(t)\mathbf{e}_a = \alpha\mathbf{e}_a + \beta\mathbf{e}_b,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\beta \neq 0$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

Chan et al. [2] studied FR on graphs with respect to the adjacency matrix. Their work offered a theoretical introduction to FR and developed tools that can be used to construct graphs exhibiting FR. They proved that a cycle exhibits FR if and only if the number of vertices of the cycle is either 4 or 6. Two vertices a and b of a graph Γ are called *cospectral*, if the subgraphs $\Gamma \setminus a$ and $\Gamma \setminus b$ hold the same list of eigenvalues. Chan et al. [2] proved that for a positive integer k , there are only finitely many connected graphs with maximum degree at most k exhibiting FR between cospectral vertices. In the definition of FR, Chan et al. [5] considered the Laplacian matrix in place of adjacency matrix to define Laplacian FR. They proved that Laplacian FR is a rare phenomenon.

Motivated by this, Chan et al. [3] introduced the notion of pretty good fractional revival, a relaxation to the notion of fractional revival on graphs. The graph Γ is said to exhibit *pretty good fractional revival* (PGFR in short) between two distinct vertices a and b if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k)\mathbf{e}_a = \alpha\mathbf{e}_a + \beta\mathbf{e}_b,$$

where α and β are complex numbers with $\beta \neq 0$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. For two distinct vertices a and b of Γ , we assume that the first two rows and the first two columns of A are indexed by a and b , respectively. Then it can be proved that Γ exhibits PGFR between a and b if and only if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\beta \neq 0$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $\gamma = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}\beta}{\beta}$; $\mathbf{0}$ is the $2 \times (n-2)$ zero matrix and N is a $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ unitary matrix. In the definition of PGFR, if $\alpha = 0$, then Γ is said to exhibit *pretty good state transfer* (PGST in short) between a and b . If there exists a convergent subsequence $\{t'_k\}$ of $\{t_k\}$, then the definition of PGFR reduces to the definition of FR.

In [3], Chan et al. developed the theory of PGFR on graphs with respect to the adjacency matrix and gave a complete characterization of PGFR on paths and cycles. They proved that a cycle exhibits PGFR if and only if the number of vertices is of the form $2p^s$, where p is a prime number and s is a positive integer, and it occurs between every pair of antipodal vertices. In [4], Chan et al. studied Laplacian PGFR on graphs and classified all the paths and double stars that exhibit Laplacian PGFR. Recently, Drazen et al. [8] generalized the concept of PGFR between two vertices of a graph to an arbitrary sized subsets. Pal and Bhattacharjya [14] proved that a cycle exhibits PGST if the number of vertices of the cycle is a power of two and it occurs between antipodal vertices. Further, Pal [15] generalized this result to a class of circulant graphs. In this paper, we study the existence of PGFR on abelian Cayley graphs.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we discuss some basic definitions and results which will be required in later sections. In Section 3, we determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of PGFR on Cayley graphs over abelian groups. In Section 4, we obtain some classes of circulant graphs exhibiting PGFR [see Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3] using the necessary and sufficient condition describe in Section 3. We also obtain some classes of circulant graphs not exhibiting PGFR [see Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.9]. In Corollary 4.3.1, we obtain that the complement of a cycle on $2p^s$ vertices, where p is an odd prime and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, exhibits PGFR. This gives rise to infinitely many circulant graphs exhibiting PGFR that fails to exhibit PGST. In Section 5, we prove that an unitary Cayley graph on n vertices, where $n \geq 4$, exhibits PGFR if and only if $n = 2p$ for some prime p . This produces another infinite family of circulant graphs with PGFR that fails to exhibit PGST.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a finite group and S be a subset of $G \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ with $S = \{s^{-1} : s \in S\}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity element of G . The *Cayley graph* of G with the *connection set* S , denoted $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, is a graph whose vertex set is G and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if $a^{-1}b \in S$. In particular, if $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ then $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a *circulant graph*. A *cycle* on n vertices, denoted C_n , can be regarded as the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$, where $S = \{1, n-1\}$. The *unitary Cayley graph* is defined as the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$, where $U(n) = \{r : 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \text{ and } \gcd(r, n) = 1\}$. The *complement* of the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ is the graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus (S \cup \{0\}))$. In particular, the complement of C_n , denoted \overline{C}_n , is the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0, 1, n-1\})$.

For a finite group G , a *representation* of G is a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, where $\text{GL}(V)$ is the group of all invertible linear operators on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V . The dimension of V is called the *degree* of ρ . Two representations $\rho_1 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_1)$ and $\rho_2 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_2)$ are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ with the property that $T\rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g)T$ for all $g \in G$.

The *character* of a representation ρ , denoted χ_ρ , is the mapping $\chi_\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is defined as

$\chi_\rho(g) = \text{tr}(\rho(g))$ for all $g \in G$, where $\text{tr}(\rho(g))$ denotes the trace of $\rho(g)$. A subspace U of V is said to be G -invariant if U is invariant under $\rho(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Clearly, $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and V are G -invariant subspaces of V , called the *trivial* subspaces. If V has no non-trivial G -invariant subspaces, then ρ is called an *irreducible representation* and χ_ρ an *irreducible character* of G . For an abelian group, each irreducible representation has degree one. Therefore each irreducible representation of an abelian group can be identified with its character.

In what follows, we write $G = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, where G is an abelian group with the identity element a_0 . By fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups, we can write

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_k},$$

where n_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) is a power of a prime number and $n = n_1 \cdots n_k$.

For $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, write $a_r = (g_{1r}, \dots, g_{kr})$ and define

$$\chi_r(a_\ell) = \prod_{j=1}^k \omega_{n_j}^{g_{jr}g_{j\ell}} \text{ for all } a_\ell \in G,$$

where $\omega_{n_j} = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n_j})$. Then $\{\chi_r : 0 \leq r \leq n-1\}$ is a complete set of non-equivalent irreducible representations of G .

The following lemma is the key ingredient to compute the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors of an abelian Cayley graph.

Lemma 2.1. [17] *Let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{a_0\}$ such that $S = \{y^{-1} : y \in S\}$. For $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, let $\lambda_r = \sum_{y \in S} \chi_r(y)$ and $\mathbf{v}_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [\chi_r(x)]_{x \in G}^T$. Then*

(i) *the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ are $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$, and*

(ii) *\mathbf{v}_r is an eigenvector of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_r and $\{\mathbf{v}_r : 0 \leq r \leq n-1\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.*

Let A be the adjacency matrix of a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ such the spectral decomposition of A is given by $A = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \lambda_r E_r$, where $E_r = \mathbf{v}_r \mathbf{v}_r^*$. Then the spectral decomposition of the transition matrix is

$$H(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \exp(-it\lambda_r) E_r.$$

For two real numbers t and ε , we write $|t| < \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi}$ to mean that $-\varepsilon < t - 2\pi m < \varepsilon$, for some integer m . The following lemma, called *Kronecker approximation theorem*, is useful in investigating PGFR on abelian Cayley graphs.

Lemma 2.2. [13] *For a positive integer n with $n \geq 2$, let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}$ be arbitrary real numbers and ε be a positive real number. Then the following system of inequalities*

$$|\theta_r t - \mu_r| < \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$$

has a simultaneous solution if and only if, for integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$,

$$\ell_1\theta_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1}\theta_{n-1} = 0$$

implies

$$\ell_1\mu_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1}\mu_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}.$$

For a positive integer n , the n -th *cyclotomic polynomial*, denoted $\Phi_n(x)$, is defined as

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{a \in U(n)} (x - \omega_n^a).$$

Indeed, $\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and it is the minimal polynomial for any primitive n -th root of unity. Note that if n is an odd integer with $n > 1$, then $\Phi_{2n}(x) = \Phi_n(-x)$. Also, for an odd prime p and a positive integer s , $\Phi_{p^s}(x)$ satisfies the relation

$$\Phi_{p^s}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x^{jp^{s-1}}.$$

For more details on cyclotomic polynomial, see [9].

We use \mathbb{T} to denote the set of all the complex numbers of unit modulus, that is, $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

3 A necessary and sufficient condition for PGFR on abelian Cayley graphs

In this section, we determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of PGFR on abelian Cayley graphs. In what follows, A denotes the adjacency matrix of a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ on n vertices. For two distinct vertices a and b of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, we have the convention that the first two rows (columns) of A are indexed by a and b , respectively. Let M denotes the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

where α, β are complex numbers such that $\beta \neq 0$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $\gamma = -\frac{\overline{\alpha\beta}}{\beta}$. Further, let N denote a $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ unitary matrix and $\mathbf{0}$ denote the $2 \times (n-2)$ zero matrix. We start by proving the following theorem that gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of PGFR on a Cayley graph.

Theorem 3.1. *If G is a finite group of order n , then the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR if and only if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \alpha\mathbb{I} + \beta\mathbb{P}$, where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix, \mathbb{P} is a permutation matrix of order two with no fixed points and $\alpha\overline{\beta} + \overline{\alpha}\beta = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b . Then there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = U$. It is well known that the transition matrix of a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is G -invariant, that is, $H(t)_{gx, gz} = H(t)_{x, z}$ for all $x, g, z \in G$. As a consequence, all the diagonal entries of U are equal to α . This yields that $U = \alpha\mathbb{I} + \beta\mathbb{P}$, where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix and \mathbb{P} is a permutation matrix with zero diagonals. Note that \mathbb{P} has no fixed points. Since $\alpha = \gamma$, we have $\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0$. As the matrix U is unitary, we have $(\alpha\mathbb{I} + \beta\mathbb{P})(\bar{\alpha}\mathbb{I} + \bar{\beta}\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{I}$. This further implies that $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{I}$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \alpha\mathbb{I} + \beta\mathbb{P},$$

where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix, \mathbb{P} is a permutation matrix of order two with no fixed points and $\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0$. Now there exists distinct $a, b \in G$ such that $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{e}_a = \mathbf{e}_b$. Without loss of generality, assume that the first two rows and columns of A are indexed by a and b . Now we prove that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b . Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = U$. Since U is a unitary matrix, we have $(U\mathbf{e}_a)^*(U\mathbf{e}_a) = 1$. This implies that $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. As \mathbb{P} has no fixed point, we have $\mathbb{P}_{a,a} = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{b,b} = 0$. Then $U_{a,a} = \alpha = U_{b,b}$ and $U_{a,b} = \beta = U_{b,a}$. Thus it follows that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b . This completes the proof. \square

The next theorem gives a necessary condition for the existence of PGFR on a Cayley graph.

Theorem 3.2. *If $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b , then $b^{-1}a$ is an element of order two.*

Proof. Since $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b , Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists a real sequence $\{t_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \alpha\mathbb{I} + \beta\mathbb{P}$. It is clear that $\mathbb{P}_{a,b} = 1 = \mathbb{P}_{b,a}$. Also, the matrix \mathbb{P} is G -invariant. This implies that $\mathbb{P}_{b^{-1}a, \mathbf{1}} = 1 = \mathbb{P}_{a^{-1}b, \mathbf{1}}$. Since the column of T indexed by $\mathbf{1}$ contains exactly one non-zero entry, we obtain that $b^{-1}a = a^{-1}b$. Therefore the order of the element $b^{-1}a$ is two. \square

As a consequence of the Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2.1. *If a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR, then the number of vertices must be even.*

For the case when G is an abelian group, Corollary 3.2.1 can also be proved alternatively. For a vertex a of a graph Γ (need not be a Cayley graph), let $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(a)$ denote the group of automorphisms of Γ that fixes a .

Lemma 3.3. *If a graph Γ (need not be a Cayley graph) exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b , then $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(a) = \text{Aut}_\Gamma(b)$.*

Proof. Since Γ exhibits PGFR between a and b , there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\Gamma(a)$ and \mathbb{P} is the permutation matrix corresponding to σ . Assume that $\sigma(b) = c$ for some vertex c of Γ . Since the adjacency matrix A commutes with \mathbb{P} and $H(t)$ is a polynomial in A , we have

$$\mathbf{e}_c^T H(t_k) \mathbf{e}_a = \mathbf{e}_c^T H(t_k) \mathbb{P} \mathbf{e}_a = \mathbf{e}_b^T H(t_k) \mathbf{e}_a \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Taking limit on both sides of the preceding equation yields that $b = c$. Therefore $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(a) \subseteq \text{Aut}_\Gamma(b)$. Similarly we find $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(b) \subseteq \text{Aut}_\Gamma(a)$. Hence the lemma follows. \square

It follows from definition that a graph Γ exhibits PGFR between two distinct vertices a and b if and only if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k)_{a,a} \right|^2 + \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k)_{a,b} \right|^2 = 1. \quad (1)$$

Now we use Lemma 3.3 to establish the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *If an abelian Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR, then the order of the group G is even.*

Proof. The uv -th entry of the transition matrix of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is given by

$$H(t)_{uv} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \exp(-it\lambda_r) \chi_r(u-v).$$

Thus we have $H(t)_{00} = H(t)_{aa}$ and $H(t)_{0,b-a} = H(t)_{ab}$. Therefore if $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b , then it also exhibits PGFR between 0 and $b-a$. Consider the map $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $\sigma(z) = -z$. Clearly, σ is an automorphism of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ that fixes 0 . Therefore Lemma 3.3 gives $\sigma(b-a) = b-a$. This implies that the order of G is even. \square

Theorem 3.4 generalizes Lemma 5 of Pal and Bhattacharjya [14] to Cayley graphs over abelian groups.

Let $\{\chi_r: 0 \leq r \leq n-1\}$ be a complete set of non-equivalent irreducible representations of an abelian group G . Also let $a, b \in G$ such that the order of $b-a$ is two. For $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, we define the following two sets.

$$X_1 = \{r: \chi_r(b-a) = 1\} \text{ and}$$

$$X_2 = \{r: \chi_r(b-a) = -1\}.$$

In the following lemma, we give a necessary condition for the existence of PGFR on abelian Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. We prove that this necessary condition is also sufficient in Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.5. *Let a and b be two vertices of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ such that the order of $b - a$ is two. If $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b , then for integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$, the equation*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$$

implies

$$\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

Proof. Since $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR from a to b , there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that the first two rows (columns) of A are indexed by a and b , respectively. Therefore the first two entries of the eigenvector \mathbf{v}_r of A are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_r(a)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_r(b)$, respectively for $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. As $H(t)$ is a polynomial in A , we have $H(t)\mathbf{v}_r = \exp(-it\lambda_r)\mathbf{v}_r$. Since \mathbb{T} is sequentially compact, there exists a subsequence $\{\exp(-it'_k\lambda_r)\}$ of the sequence $\{\exp(-it_k\lambda_r)\}$ such that $\{\exp(-it'_k\lambda_r)\}$ converges to a point ζ in \mathbb{T} . Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t'_k)\mathbf{v}_r = \zeta\mathbf{v}_r.$$

From the preceding equation, we obtain $\zeta\chi_r(a) = \alpha\chi_r(a) + \beta\chi_r(b)$. This implies that $\zeta = \alpha + \beta\chi_r(b - a)$. Since the order of $b - a$ is two, $\chi_r(b - a) \in \{-1, 1\}$ for each r . Now we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-it'_k\lambda_r) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta & \text{if } r \in X_1 \\ \alpha - \beta & \text{if } r \in X_2. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

From (2), it is clear that both $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha - \beta$ are complex numbers of unit modulus. Let $\alpha + \beta = \exp(-i\delta_1)$ and $\alpha - \beta = \exp(-i\delta_2)$ for some $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (2) implies that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the following system of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |t\lambda_r - \delta_1| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_1 \text{ and} \\ |t\lambda_r - \delta_2| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_2 \end{aligned}$$

has a simultaneous solution. This further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_0)| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_1 \setminus \{0\} \text{ and} \\ |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_0) - \delta_2 + \delta_1| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_2 \end{aligned}$$

has a simultaneous solution for each positive real number ε .

Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ be arbitrary integers such that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0.$$

By Kronecker approximation theorem, we have

$$(\delta_2 - \delta_1) \left(\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

□

Now we are going to prove that the necessary condition of Lemma 3.5 is also sufficient for the existence of PGFR on $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. We obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let a and b be two vertices of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ such that the order of $b - a$ is two. Suppose that for integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$, the equation*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$$

implies

$$\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

Then $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b .

Proof. Consider

$$W = \left\{ \sum_{r \in X_2} c_r : c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} c_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0 \right\}.$$

It is clear that W is a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Z} . Therefore $W = m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \notin \{-1, 1\}$. Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ be integers such that $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$. Define the numbers ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 by $\phi_1 = 0$ and $\phi_2 = \frac{2\pi}{m}$. Then we have

$$\left(\sum_{r \in X_1 \setminus \{0\}} \ell_r \right) \phi_1 + \left(\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \right) \phi_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Therefore Kronecker approximation theorem yields that the following system of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_0) - \phi_1| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_1 \setminus \{0\} \text{ and} \\ |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_0) - \phi_2| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_2, \end{aligned}$$

has a simultaneous solution (say t_0) for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Write $\delta_1 = \phi_1 + t_0\lambda_0$ and $\delta_2 = \phi_2 + t_0\lambda_0$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |t\lambda_r - \delta_1| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_1 \text{ and} \\ |t\lambda_r - \delta_2| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } r \in X_2 \end{aligned}$$

has a simultaneous solution t_0 .

Let α and β be two complex numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = \exp(-i\delta_1)$ and $\alpha - \beta = \exp(-i\delta_2)$. Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-it_k\lambda_r) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta & \text{if } r \in X_1 \\ \alpha - \beta & \text{if } r \in X_2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

for some sequence of real numbers $\{t_k\}$. It can be seen that $\beta \neq 0$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta = 0$.

Note that the first two entries of the eigenvector \mathbf{v}_r of A are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_r(a)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_r(b)$, respectively for $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Let $E_r = \mathbf{v}_r\mathbf{v}_r^*$. Then we have

$$E_r\mathbf{e}_a = \begin{cases} E_r\mathbf{e}_b & \text{for } r \in X_1 \\ -E_r\mathbf{e}_b & \text{for } r \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Define $F_1 = \sum_{r \in X_1} E_r$ and $F_2 = \sum_{r \in X_2} E_r$. Then

$$F_1\mathbf{e}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_a + \mathbf{e}_b), \quad F_1\mathbf{e}_b = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_a + \mathbf{e}_b), \quad F_2\mathbf{e}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_a - \mathbf{e}_b) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2\mathbf{e}_b = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_b - \mathbf{e}_a).$$

This implies that

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\mathbf{0}$ is the $2 \times (n-2)$ zero matrix, N_1 and N_2 are $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ matrices.

Let us consider the matrix U given by

$$U = (\alpha + \beta)F_1 + (\alpha - \beta)F_2.$$

This implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(t_k) = U$. It can be seen that

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

and N is a $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ unitary matrix. This yields that the abelian Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and b . \square

Now we combine Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 to present the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let a and b be two vertices of abelian Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ such that the order of $b - a$ is two. Then $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b if and only if for arbitrary integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$, the equation*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$$

implies

$$\sum_{r \in X_2} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

For $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, \dots, n-1\} = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, assume that r and a_r have the same parity for $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Now the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.7.1. *Let n be an even positive integer; a and b be two vertices of the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ such that $b = a + \frac{n}{2}$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and b if and only if for arbitrary integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$, the equation*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$$

implies

$$\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

The following example illustrates Corollary 3.7.1.

Example 3.1. *Consider the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_6, \{2, 3, 4\})$. The eigenvalues are $\lambda_0 = 3, \lambda_1 = -2, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 1, \lambda_4 = 0$ and $\lambda_5 = -2$. Let $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ and ℓ_5 be integers such that*

$$\sum_{r=1}^5 \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0.$$

Then we have $5(\ell_1 + \ell_3 + \ell_5) = 3(-\ell_2 + \ell_3 - \ell_4)$. This implies $\ell_1 + \ell_3 + \ell_5 \neq \pm 1$, and therefore Corollary 3.7.1 yields that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_6, \{2, 3, 4\})$ exhibits PGFR.

4 PGFR on some classes of circulant graphs

In this section, we use Corollary 3.7.1 to explore the existence of PGFR on some classes of circulant graphs. We also present some circulant graphs that fails to exhibit PGFR. Chan et al. proved the following sufficient condition for the existence of PGFR on cycles.

Lemma 4.1. [3] *Let p be an odd prime and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a cycle on $2p^s$ vertices exhibits PGFR between antipodal vertices.*

We extend Lemma 4.1 to obtain more circulant graphs on $2p^s$ vertices exhibiting PGFR.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n = 2p^s$, where p is an odd prime and s is a positive integer. Also, let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $S = \{p^{k_0}, \dots, p^{k_m}, n - p^{k_0}, \dots, n - p^{k_m}\}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 = k_0 < \dots < k_m < s$. If $\gcd(p, m + 1) = 1$, then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and $a + p^s$.*

Proof. The eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ are given by

$$\lambda_r = \sum_{i=0}^m (\omega_n^{p^{k_i} a_r} + \omega_n^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq n - 1.$$

Suppose that the integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\omega_n^{p^{k_i} a_r} + \omega_n^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) - (2m + 2) \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r = 0.$$

Thus ω_n satisfies the polynomial $f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (x^{p^{k_i} a_r} + x^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) - (2m + 2) \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r.$$

Putting $x = -1$, we get

$$f(-1) = -4(m + 1) \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r.$$

Since $\Phi_{2p^s}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and it is the minimal polynomial for any primitive $2p^s$ -th root of unity, there exists a polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$f(x) = \Phi_{2p^s}(x)g(x).$$

Note that $\Phi_{2p^s}(-1) = \Phi_{p^s}(1) = p$. Therefore we have

$$-4(m + 1) \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = f(-1) = \Phi_{2p^s}(-1)g(-1) = pg(-1).$$

Since p is an odd prime and $\gcd(p, m + 1) = 1$, the relation $4(m + 1) \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = pg(-1)$ gives that p divides $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r$. Thus

$$\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r \neq \pm 1.$$

Therefore Corollary 3.7.1 yields that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibits PGFR between a and $a + p^s$ for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. \square

Example 4.1. *Theorem 4.2 implies that the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{18}, \{1, 3, 15, 17\})$ exhibits PGFR. However $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{18}, \{1, 3, 15, 17\})$ fails to exhibit FR, by Corollary 2.1 of Wang et al. [18].*

In the following theorem, we prove that the complement of the graph mentioned in the preceding theorem also exhibits PGFR.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n = 2p^s$, where p is an odd prime and s is a positive integer. Also, let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $S = \{p^{k_0}, \dots, p^{k_m}, n - p^{k_0}, \dots, n - p^{k_m}\}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 = k_0 < \dots < k_m < s$. If $\gcd(p, m + 1) = 1$, then the complement of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibits PGFR.*

Proof. The eigenvalues of the complement of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ are given by

$$\lambda_0 = n - 2m - 3 \text{ and } \lambda_r = -1 - \sum_{i=0}^m (\omega_n^{p^{k_i} a_r} + \omega_n^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq n - 1.$$

Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ be integers such that $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$. This yields that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\omega_n^{p^{k_i} a_r} + \omega_n^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) - (2m + 2) \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r + n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r = 0.$$

Then ω_n satisfies the polynomial

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (x^{p^{k_i} a_r} + x^{-p^{k_i} a_r}) - (2m + 2) \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r + n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r.$$

Putting $x = -1$, we get

$$f(-1) = -4(m + 1) \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r + n \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \ell_r.$$

Now the rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2, and hence the details are omitted. \square

As a consequence of the preceding theorem we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3.1. *Let n be a positive integer such that $n = 2p^s$, where p is an odd prime and s is a positive integer. Then \overline{C}_n exhibits PGFR between the vertices a and $a + p^s$.*

Pal and Bhattacharjya [14] proved that the circulant graphs mentioned in Corollary 4.3.1 does not exhibit PGST. Thus we obtain an infinite family of circulant graphs exhibiting PGFR that fails to exhibit PGST. From Corollary 4.3.1, one can observe that if $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibits PGFR, where $n = 2p^s$ for an odd prime p and a positive integer s , then the connection set S is not necessarily of the form mentioned in Theorem 4.2.

Now we present some circulant graphs not exhibiting PGFR. Chan et al. proved the following lemma which gives some cycles not exhibiting PGFR.

Lemma 4.4. [3] *A cycle on n vertices does not exhibit PGFR if n is divisible by $2pq$, for some distinct odd primes p and q .*

We now show that the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ does not exhibit PGFR if n is divisible by $2pq$, for some distinct odd primes p and q , under the condition that $p \nmid y$ and $q \nmid y$ for all $y \in S$.

Let $n = mp$, where m is an even positive integer and p is an odd prime. Further, let $p \nmid y$ for all $y \in S$. Pal [15] proved that the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ satisfy the equation

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\lambda_{mj+2} - \lambda_{mj+1}) + (-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\lambda_{mj-1} - \lambda_{mj-2}) = 0. \quad (4)$$

We now use the preceding equation to prove the next theorem which generalize Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *Let n be a positive integer such that $2pq \mid n$, where p and q are two distinct odd primes. If $p \nmid y$ and $q \nmid y$ for all $y \in S$, then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ does not exhibit PGFR.*

Proof. If possible, let $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ exhibit PGFR. Then following the notations and the proof of the Lemma 3.5, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-it'_k \lambda_r) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta & \text{for even } r \\ \alpha - \beta & \text{for odd } r. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Assume that $(\alpha + \beta)/(\alpha - \beta) = \exp(-i\eta)$ for some $\eta (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Equation (5) implies that the system of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) - \eta| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for even } r, \text{ and} \\ |t(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) + \eta| &< \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for odd } r \end{aligned}$$

has a simultaneous solution for each positive real number ε .

Note that $n = mp$ for some even positive integer m and $p \nmid y$ for all $y \in S$. Therefore Equation (4) can be written as

$$\ell_1 \theta_1 + \cdots + \ell_{n-1} \theta_{n-1} = 0,$$

where $\theta_r = \lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and

$$\ell_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \in \{mj+2 : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\} \\ -1 & \text{if } r \in \{mj-1 : 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore by Kronecker approximation theorem, we find that $p\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$. Similarly, we also find that $q\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$. As p and q are relatively prime, there exist integers c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 p + c_2 q = 1$. Then $\eta = c_1 p \eta + c_2 q \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$. This implies that $\beta = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ cannot exhibit PGFR. This completes the proof. \square

Let $n = mp$, where m is an even positive integer with $m \geq 4$ and p is an odd prime. In [14] Pal and Bhattacharjya proved that the eigenvalues of \overline{C}_n satisfies

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\lambda_{mj+2} - \lambda_{mj+1}) + (-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\lambda_{mj-1} - \lambda_{mj-2}) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Using Equation (6) and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we find that \overline{C}_n does not exhibit PGFR.

Theorem 4.6. *Let n be a positive integer such that $2pq \mid n$, where p and q are two distinct odd primes. Then \overline{C}_n does not exhibit PGFR.*

In [3], Chan et al. proved the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. [3] *If $n = 2^h p^s$, where p is an odd prime, h and s are integers with $h \geq 2$, and $s \geq 1$, then C_n does not exhibit PGFR.*

Now we generalize Lemma 4.7 to a larger class of circulant graphs. We start by proving the following lemma, borrowing some ideas from [1].

Lemma 4.8. *Let $m = p_1 k$, where p_1 is an odd number and k is a positive integer. Also let p_2 be an odd number such that $1 \leq p_2 < p_1$. If d is an integer such that $0 \leq d \leq k - 1$, then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{(d+jk)p_2\pi}{m}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{(d+jk)p_2\pi}{m}\right) \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{dp_2\pi}{m}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{jkp_2\pi}{m}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{dp_2\pi}{m}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} (-1)^j \sin\left(\frac{jkp_2\pi}{m}\right) \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{dp_2\pi}{m}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \cos\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{dp_2\pi}{m}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \cos\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) + \mathbf{i} \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}p_1(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) - 1}{\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since both p_1 and p_2 are odd, therefore $\frac{p_1(kp_2+m)}{m}$ is even, giving $\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}p_1(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) = 1$. This yields that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \cos\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) + \mathbf{i} \sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(kp_2+m)\pi}{m}\right) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p_1-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{(d+jk)p_2\pi}{m}\right) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we use Lemma 4.8 to establish the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $n = 2^h p^s$, where p is an odd prime, $h \geq 2$ and $s \geq 1$. Also let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $S = \{p^{k_0}, \dots, p^{k_m}, n-p^{k_0}, \dots, n-p^{k_m}\}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 = k_0 < \dots < k_m < s$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ does not exhibit PGFR.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.8, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^s-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{2(d+j2^{h-1})p^{k_i}\pi}{2^h p^s}\right) = 0,$$

for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ and $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{h-1} - 1\}$. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{p^s-1} (-1)^j \cos\left(\frac{2(d+j2^{h-1})p^{k_i}\pi}{2^h p^s}\right) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Note that the eigenvalues λ_r of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ are given by

$$\lambda_r = \sum_{i=0}^m 2 \cos\left(\frac{2a_r p^{k_i} \pi}{n}\right) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq n-1.$$

Now from Equation (7), we find that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^s-1} (-1)^j (\lambda_{d+j2^{h-1}} - \lambda_0) = -\lambda_0,$$

for each $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{h-1} - 1\}$. In particular, for $d = 0$ and $d = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p^s-1} (-1)^j (\lambda_{j2^{h-1}} - \lambda_0) = -\lambda_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{p^s-1} (-1)^j (\lambda_{1+j2^{h-1}} - \lambda_0) = -\lambda_0.$$

Define the integers ℓ_r ($1 \leq r \leq n-1$) given by

$$\ell_r = \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{if } r = j2^{h-1}, \text{ where } 1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1 \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \text{if } r = 1 + j2^{h-1}, \text{ where } 0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$ and $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = -1$. Now Corollary 3.7.1 yields that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ does not exhibit PGFR. \square

It is clear that Lemma 4.7 can be seen as a specific instance of Theorem 4.9. Let p be an odd prime, $h \geq 2$ and $s \geq 1$. There are circulant graph whose number of vertices is of the form $2^h p^s$ and exhibits PGFR. For example, consider the graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{48}, S)$, where $S = \{3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 20, 28, 33, 36, 39, 42, 44, 45\}$. Pal [15] proved that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{48}, S)$ exhibits PGST, and hence, it will exhibit PGFR as well.

5 PGFR on unitary Cayley graphs

In this section, we use Corollary 3.7.1 to explore more about PGFR on the unitary Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$. We classify all $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibiting PGFR. The following lemma is useful to determine the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$.

Lemma 5.1. [12] *Let μ denote the Mobius function and φ denote the Euler's phi function. Also, let n be a positive integer and r be an integer such that $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Then the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ are given by*

$$\lambda_r = \mu(c(r, n)) \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(c(r, n))}, \quad \text{where } c(r, n) = \frac{n}{\gcd(r, n)}.$$

The following lemma gives a necessary condition for the existence of PGFR on $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ in terms of the number of vertices.

Lemma 5.2. *The unitary Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR if n is divisible by $2pq$, for some distinct odd primes p and q .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $y \in U(n)$ such that $p \mid y$. This implies that $\gcd(y, n) \neq 1$, which contradicts the fact that $y \in U(n)$. Therefore $p \nmid y$ for all $y \in U(n)$. Similarly, $q \nmid y$ for all $y \in U(n)$. Therefore Theorem 4.5 yields that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR. \square

From Corollary 3.2.1 and Lemma 5.2, we observe that if $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibits PGFR, then it is necessary that $n = 2^h p^s$, where p is an odd prime, $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let p be an odd prime and $n = 2p$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibits PGFR.*

Proof. The eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ are given by

$$\lambda_r = \begin{cases} p-1 & \text{if } r=0 \\ -1 & \text{if } r(\neq 0) \text{ is even} \\ -p+1 & \text{if } r=p \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$(-p+2) \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = p(\ell_p + \sum_{r \text{ even}} \ell_r).$$

This implies that $p \mid \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r$. Therefore $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r \neq \pm 1$ and $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibits PGFR.. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let $n = 2^h p^s$, where $h \geq 1$ and $s \geq 2$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR.*

Proof. We have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{2^h} = 0$. Define the integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ given by

$$\ell_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } r = 2^h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$ and $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = 1$. Therefore Theorem 3.7.1 yields that $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $n = 2^h p^s$, where $h \geq 3$ and $s \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR.*

Proof. We have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Define the integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ given by

$$\ell_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } r = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$ and $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = 1$. Hence $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ fails to exhibit PGFR. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let p be an odd prime number and $n = 4p$. Then $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR.*

Proof. We have $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 2$ and $\lambda_8 = -2$. Define the integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ given by

$$\ell_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } r = 2 \\ \frac{1-p}{2} & \text{if } r = 8 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ell_r (\lambda_r - \lambda_0) = 0$ and $\sum_{r \text{ odd}} \ell_r = 1$. Therefore $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ does not exhibit PGFR. \square

Note that for $n = 4$, the graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_4, U(4))$ is the cycle C_4 , which exhibits PGFR. Thus from the preceding lemmas, we conclude that for $n \geq 4$, the graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibits PGFR if and only if $n = 2p$, for some prime p .

Theorem 5.7. *Let n be a positive integer such that $n \geq 4$. Then the unitary Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U(n))$ exhibits PGFR if and only if $n = 2p$, for some prime p .*

Theorem 5.7 supplies another infinite family of circulant graphs which exhibit PGFR and does not exhibit PGST.

Acknowledgements

The first author gratefully acknowledges the support received through the Prime Minister's Research Fellowship (PMRF), under PMRF-ID: 1903283, funded by the Government of India.

References

- [1] C. M. van Bommel. Quantum walks and pretty good state transfer on paths. PhD Thesis. University of Waterloo, (2019).
- [2] A. Chan, G. Coutinho, C. Tamon, L. Vinet, and H. Zhan. Quantum fractional revival on graphs. *Discret. Appl. Math.* 269 (2019), 86-98.
- [3] A. Chan, W. Drazen, O. Eisenberg, M. Kempton, and G. Lippner. Pretty good quantum fractional revival in paths and cycles. *Algebr. Comb.* 4(6) (2021), 989-1004.
- [4] A. Chan, B. Johnson, M. Liu, M. Schmidt, Z. Yin, and H. Zhan. Laplacian pretty good fractional revival. *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022), 112971.
- [5] A. Chan, B. Johnson, M. Liu, M. Schmidt, Z. Yin, and H. Zhan. Laplacian fractional revival on graphs. *Electron. J. Comb.* 28(3) (2021), #P3.22.
- [6] B. Chen, Z. Song, and C.P. Sun. Fractional revivals of the quantum state in a tight-binding chain. *Phys. Rev. A.* 75 (2007), 012113.
- [7] M. Christandl, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov. Analytic next-to-nearest-neighbor XX models with perfect state transfer and fractional revival. *Phys. Rev. A.* 96(3) (2017), 032335.
- [8] W. Drazen, M. Kempton, and G. Lippner. Pretty good fractional revival via diagonal perturbation: theory and examples. *Algebr. Comb.* 7(5) (2024), 1507-1523.
- [9] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote. Abstract Algebra. John Wiley and Sons. Inc. Third Edition. (2003).
- [10] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann. Quantum computation and decision trees. *Phys. Rev. A.* (3) 58 (1998), 915-928.
- [11] V. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov. Quantum spin chains with fractional revival. *Ann. Phys.* 371 (2016), 348-367.
- [12] W. Klotz and T. Sander. Some properties of unitary Cayley graphs. *Electron. J. Comb.* 14 (2007), #R45.

- [13] B. M. Levitan and V.V. Zhikov. Almost periodic functions and differential equations. Cambridge University Press. (1983).
- [14] H. Pal. and B. Bhattacharjya. Pretty good state transfer on circulant graphs. *Electron. J. Comb.* 24(2) (2017), #P2.23.
- [15] H. Pal. More circulant graphs exhibiting pretty good state transfer. *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018), 889-895.
- [16] M. Rohith and C. Sudheesh. Visualizing revivals and fractional revivals in a Kerr medium using an optical tomogram. *Phys. Rev. A.* 92 (2015), 053828.
- [17] B. Steinberg. Representation Theory of Finite Groups. Universitext. Springer. New York. (2012).
- [18] J. Wang, L. Wang, and X. Liu. Fractional revival on Cayley graphs over abelian groups. *Discrete Math.* 347 (2024), 114218.