

A simple proof of reverse Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and Sobolev trace inequalities on the unit ball

Runmin Gong*, Qiaohua Yang†, Shihong Zhang‡

Abstract: Frank et al. (J. Funct. Anal., 2022) stated that there is no relation between the reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (HLS) inequalities and reverse Sobolev inequalities. However, we demonstrate that reverse Sobolev inequalities of order $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$ on the n -sphere can be readily derived from the reversed HLS inequalities. For the case $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, we present a simple proof of reverse Sobolev inequalities by using the center of mass condition introduced by Hang. In addition, applying this approach, we establish the quantitative stability of reverse Sobolev inequalities of order $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$ with explicit lower bounds. Finally, by using conformally covariant boundary operators and reverse Sobolev inequalities, we derive Sobolev trace inequalities on the unit ball.

2020 MSC: 43A90, 46E35 (58J32, 31B30)

Keywords: Reverse Sobolev inequality, stability, Sobolev trace inequality, conformal invariant boundary operator

1 Introduction

Conformally invariant operators have long been a cornerstone in the study of conformal geometry. The most general such operators on $\mathbb{S}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = 1\}$ are the GJMS operators $P_{2\gamma}$, whose general expression is given by the following:

$$P_{2\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(B + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(B + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma)}, \quad \text{where} \quad B = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}}.$$

This implies that for any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ with the spherical expansion

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Y_l, \quad Y_l \in \mathcal{H}_l,$$

where \mathcal{H}_l denotes the space of spherical harmonics of degree l , one has

$$P_{2\gamma} f = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} Y_l. \quad (1.1)$$

The Sobolev inequalities involving these GJMS operators have been developed over many years. The simplest case occurs when $\gamma = 1$, which asserts that for any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$, the following inequality holds (see [3, 59])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f|^2 + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} f^2 \right) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{n(n-2)}{4} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2, \quad (1.2)$$

*R. Gong, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, People's Republic of China, Email:2022302011151@whu.edu.cn.

†Q. Yang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, People's Republic of China, Email: qhyang.math@whu.edu.cn.

‡S. Zhang, School of Mathematics & IMS, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, Email:dg21210019@smail.nju.edu.cn.

where $|\mathbb{S}^n|$ denotes the volume of \mathbb{S}^n . This inequality is equivalent to the classical Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n , namely, for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \geq \frac{n(n-2)}{4} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

For general $\gamma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$, the sharp Sobolev inequalities are established in dual form by Lieb in [51] (see also Frank and Lieb [39]). The case $\gamma = \frac{n}{2}$ is known as the Onofri-Beckner inequality (see Onofri [57] for $n = 2$ and Beckner [5] for $n \geq 3$), which can be viewed as the limiting form of the Sobolev inequalities as $\gamma \rightarrow \frac{n}{2}$ (see [18, 62]). We present these results as follows:

Theorem A *If $0 < \gamma < \frac{n}{2}$, then we have, for any $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \quad (1.3)$$

The equality holds if and only if there exists a conformal transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$f = c(\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\gamma = \frac{n}{2}$, then we have, for any $f \in H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^n)$,

$$\frac{1}{2n!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_n f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{f-\bar{f}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.$$

The equality holds if and only if there exists a conformal transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$f = c + \log \det d\varphi, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the range $\gamma > \frac{n}{2}$, $P_{2\gamma}$ can be also well-defined by (1.1), provided one sets

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} = 0, \quad l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}. \quad (1.5)$$

However, in these cases, $P_{2\gamma}$ is no longer positive definite. Moreover, the exponent $\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}$ in (1.3) is negative, which necessitates restricting the function f in (1.1) to be positive almost everywhere. In [38], such inequalities are referred to as reverse Sobolev inequalities. An interesting problem is whether the reverse Sobolev inequality still holds. That is, whether the following inequality holds:

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2, \quad \gamma > \frac{n}{2}, \quad 0 < f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n), \quad (1.6)$$

where we define

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}. \quad (1.7)$$

By (1.1) and (1.5), it is straightforward to see that (1.6) holds for $\gamma \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{N}$ (here, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$), with equality if and only if f is in the linear span of spherical harmonics of degree not exceeding $\gamma - \frac{n}{2}$ (see [43, 38]).

For other cases, the first reverse Sobolev inequality (for $\gamma = 1$ in $n = 1$) was established by Exner, Harrell, and Loss [29] (see also Ai, Chou, and Wei [4]). For $\gamma = 2$ in $n = 3$, Zhu and Yang [64] proved the sharp reverse Sobolev inequality using the rearrangement method. In [46], Hang and Yang introduced a systematic variational approach and provided a new proof using perturbation techniques (see also the third author [65] for the related Liouville theorem).

We note that the approach by Hang and Yang [46] relies on the existence of a minimizer, which involves two key technical elements. The first is the approximation of a Sobolev function by functions that vanish near a point (see Lemma 2.3 in [43] and Lemma 2.2 in [46]). The second is the classification of critical exponent equations, as discussed in Li's work (see [50]). Using this approach, Hang [43] established reverse Sobolev inequalities for odd n with $\gamma = \frac{n+1}{2}$ or $\frac{n+3}{2}$. Closely following Hang's strategy, Frank, König, and Tang [38] proved the sharp reverse Sobolev inequality for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 2) \setminus \{\frac{n}{2} + 1\}$. They also proved that the reverse Sobolev inequalities do not hold for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 2, +\infty) \setminus (\frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{N})$ (see Hang [43] for n odd and $\gamma \in \frac{n+5}{2} + \mathbb{N}$).

It is well known that the HLS inequality is equivalent to the Sobolev inequality (1.3). In 2015, Dou and Zhu [27] posed the question: does this equivalence extend to the reverse HLS inequality and the reverse Sobolev inequality as well? For the reverse HLS inequality in the conformally invariant case, we refer to [6, 27, 54]. For the non-conformally invariant case, see [11, 12]. In 2022, Frank et al. [38] said that there may be no connection between the reverse HLS inequality and the reverse Sobolev inequality, due to the fact that the kernel in the reverse HLS inequality is not positive definite. However, in Section 3, we demonstrate that the reverse Sobolev inequality for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$ can indeed be derived from the reversed HLS inequalities, thus partially answering the question posed by Dou and Zhu [27].

For $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, we provide a direct proof of (1.6) using the vanishing center of mass condition introduced by Hang [43], which is different from that given by Frank and Lieb (see [39, Lemma B.1] and [40, Lemma B.1]). Our approach does not rely on the strategy of Hang and Yang [46], thereby bypassing the two key technical elements they employed.

For readers convenience, we state the results as the following:

Theorem 1.1 For $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1) \cup (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$ and $0 < f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$, it holds

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \quad (1.8)$$

The equality holds if and only if there exists a conformal transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$f = c(\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}, \quad c > 0.$$

Remark 1.1 For nonnegative function $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$, $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1) \cup (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f = 0$, the Proposition 3.1 states that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

By using (1.9) and (1.8), one can easily get the inequality (see also [43, 38])

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq 0.$$

Thus (1.8) holds also for nonnegative function.

On page 6 of [38], Frank et al. posed an open problem for regarding the search for the quantitative stability of the reverse Sobolev inequality for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1) \cup (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$. We refer the reader to [37] for a survey on the subject. For the quantitative stability of the (usual) Sobolev inequality and other kinds of functional and geometric inequalities, we refer to [7, 8, 22, 24, 25, 30, 31, 32, 35, 47, 48, 52, 60, 61] and the references therein. For explicit lower bounds in the Bianchi-Egnell inequality, we refer to [20, 21, 26]. Since, for $\gamma > \frac{n}{2}$, the conformal energy (1.7) is not positive definite, the quantitative stability of such inequalities remains unclear. Surprisingly, the method we use to prove the reverse Sobolev inequality for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$ allows us to obtain the quantitative stability of this inequality, which in turn enables us to derive explicit lower bounds. The main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$ and $0 < f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$. If f is not a extremal function of reverse Sobolev inequality of order γ , then there exist $c > 0$ and conformal transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ such that*

$$a_{2\gamma} \left(f - c (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}} \right) > 0$$

and

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \geq a_{2\gamma} \left(f - c (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}} \right).$$

Finally, we shall use these Sobolev inequalities along with conformally covariant boundary operators to establish Sobolev trace inequalities on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| < 1\}$. We note that the first inequality of this type was established by Escobar [28] (see also Beckner [5]). It states that for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$\frac{n-1}{2} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{n-1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2, \quad (1.10)$$

where u is a smooth extension of f to \mathbb{B}^{n+1} . In the limiting case $n = 1$, one has the Lebedev-Milin inequality ([49])

$$\log \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} e^f dV_{\mathbb{S}^1} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{B}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^1}. \quad (1.11)$$

Such inequalities have been generalized to higher-order derivatives on \mathbb{B}^{n+1} by several authors (see [2, 23, 55, 63]) and to the CR setting by Frank et al. [37] and Flynn, Lu, and the second author [33].

In [14], Case introduced a series of boundary operators on half-spaces and used them to establish a family of sharp Sobolev trace inequalities on half-spaces. However, it remains unclear whether the boundary operators in [14] satisfy the conformal covariance property. Additionally, it seems that the method of introducing boundary operators on half-spaces in [14] does not apply to the case of \mathbb{B}^{n+1} . Recently, Flynn, Lu, and the second author [33, 34] introduced another type of boundary operators for Poincaré-Einstein manifolds and the complex ball, which satisfy the conformal covariance property. Using these boundary operators and scattering theory (see [53, 42]), they also established higher-order (usual) Sobolev trace inequalities on Poincaré-Einstein manifolds and the complex ball. For other conformal boundary operators associated with the GJMS operators on manifolds, we refer to [13, 16]. In this paper, we will demonstrate that the approach, introduced in [33, 34], together with reverse Sobolev inequalities, can be also applicable to obtain Sobolev trace inequalities on \mathbb{B}^{n+1} for higher-order derivatives.

To state our results, let us agree to some conventions. Throughout the paper, we adopt the following notation and notions:

- We consider $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers, specifically given by

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Let $[\gamma]$ denote the integer part of γ and $\{\gamma\} = \gamma - [\gamma]$ the fractional part. Additionally, we often work with the constant

$$c_\gamma = \frac{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(-\gamma)}. \quad (1.12)$$

- Let $g_{\mathbb{B}}$ be the Poincaré metric on \mathbb{B}^{n+1} :

$$g_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{4|dx|^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} = \frac{4(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2)}{(1-r^2)^2},$$

where (r, θ) is the polar coordinate on \mathbb{B}^{n+1} and $d\theta^2$ is the canonical spherical metric.

- From the classical scattering theory, we need to introduce the two type defining functions as follows: the geodesic normal defining function

$$\rho = \frac{2(1-r)}{1+r},$$

and the flat defining function

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1-r^2}{2}.$$

- Denote by Δ_+ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{B}})$. One has

$$\Delta_+ = \frac{1-|x|^2}{4} \left[(1-|x|^2)\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} + 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i \partial_{x_i} \right]. \quad (1.13)$$

For $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$, we let

$$D_s = -\Delta_+ - s(n-s), \quad L_{2k}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} D_{s-2j}, \quad k = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1$$

and

$$L_{2k} = \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2k} \circ L_{2k}^+ \circ \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma}.$$

- For $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$, we consider the following function space

$$\mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) = C_{\text{even}}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} C_{\text{even}}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}),$$

where $f \in C_{\text{even}}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$ indicates that $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$ and possess a Taylor expansion of the form

$$f = f_0 + \rho^2 f_2 + \rho^4 f_4 + \cdots, \quad f_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 2, \dots.$$

Especially, it is noteworthy that if $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) = C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$.

- We adopt the notation $O(\rho^{\alpha})$ to indicate that there exist smooth functions $g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ such that

$$O(\rho^{\alpha}) = \rho^{\alpha}(g_0 + \rho^2 g_1 + \rho^4 g_2 \cdots).$$

- A defining function $\hat{\rho}$ of \mathbb{B}^{n+1} is called γ -admissible provided

$$\frac{\rho}{\hat{\rho}} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \rho_{(2j)} \rho^{2j} + \hat{\Phi} \hat{\rho}^{2\gamma} + o(\hat{\rho}^{2\gamma}) \text{ as } \hat{\rho} \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.14)$$

where $\rho_{(2j)} (1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor)$, $\hat{\Phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Clearly, if $\hat{\rho}$ is γ -admissible, then

$$\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \hat{\rho}_{(2j)} \rho^{2j} + \hat{\Phi} \rho^{2\gamma} + o(\rho^{2\gamma}) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.15)$$

for some $\hat{\rho}_{(2j)} (1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor)$, $\hat{\Phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$.

With the above notation, we begin by introducing the boundary operators in a simple case, namely when the index is small. For a given $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, we define the boundary operators associated with the metric $g = \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{B}}$ for small indices, following the approach in [33, 34], as follows:

- $B_0^{2\gamma}(U) = U|_{\rho=0}$;
- for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$, define

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j} \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1} D_{s-2\ell} \prod_{\ell=\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j + 1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} D_{s-2\ell} (\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} U) \Big|_{\rho=0};$$

- for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1$, define

$$B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{-1}{b_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \prod_{l=0}^j D_{s-2l} \prod_{l=\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j + 1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} D_{s-2l} (\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} U) \Big|_{\rho=0},$$

where

$$b_{2j} = 4^{2j} j! \frac{\Gamma(j+1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1-2j)} \frac{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1-j)}{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1-2j)};$$

$$b_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} = -4^{2j} j! \frac{\Gamma(j+1+\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)} \frac{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1-j)}{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor-2j)} \frac{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1-j-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1-2j-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}$$

are chosen such that

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j}) = B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}) = 1.$$

The definition of boundary operators with large indices is in terms of the solution $L_{2k}U = 0$. This is done in subsection 4.2.3.

Convention. Let $g = \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{B}}$. In this framework, our boundary operators are defined as the differential operators associated with the metric g , namely,

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma} := B_{2j}^{2\gamma, g}, \quad B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma} := B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma, g}, \quad P_{2\gamma} := P_{2\gamma}^{g|_{\mathbb{S}^n}},$$

where $P_{2\gamma}$ denotes the GJMS operator on the boundary. For clarity and simplicity, we often omit the superscript g in our notation.

Let $\widehat{\rho} = e^\tau \rho$ be another γ -admissible defining function. Denote by $\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}$ and $\widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}$ the boundary operators associated with $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \widehat{g} = e^{2\tau} g)$. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3 Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$. For $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$, we have

$$\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = e^{(-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)\tau} U \right); \quad (1.16)$$

$$\widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) = e^{(-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)\tau} U \right). \quad (1.17)$$

By the classical Caffarelli-Silvestre theory in [10], it is well-known that the boundary operator recovers the fractional GJMS operator on the boundary. The following theorem demonstrates that our boundary operators exhibit similar properties.

Theorem 1.4 Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $k = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1$. For any $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$ satisfying $L_{2k}U = 0$, it holds

$$B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{c_{\gamma-2j}} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor;$$

$$B_{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - 2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{c_{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - 2j}} P_{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - 4j} B_{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1,$$

where $c_{\gamma-2j}, c_{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - 2j}$ are given by (1.12).

Next, we consider the associated Dirichlet form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{1-2[\gamma]} U L_{2k} V dV_g - \sum_{j=0}^{[\gamma/2]} \sigma_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=[\gamma/2]+1}^{[\gamma]} \sigma_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} V B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_{j,\gamma} = \begin{cases} 2^{2[\gamma]+1} j! ([\gamma] - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(\gamma - 2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - [\gamma])}{\Gamma(2j + 1 - \gamma)}, & 0 \leq j \leq [\gamma/2]; \\ -2^{2[\gamma]+1} j! ([\gamma] - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(\gamma - 2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - [\gamma])}{\Gamma(2j + 1 - \gamma)}, & [\gamma/2] + 1 \leq j \leq [\gamma]. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 1.3, we establish that the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V)$ is conformally invariant in the following manner: if $\hat{\rho} = e^\tau \rho$, then we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{2\gamma}(U, V) = \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} U, e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} V).$$

Another significant property of $\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V)$ is its symmetry, which is deeply rooted in the construction of the conformal boundary operators. The proof of this symmetry is intricate and highly nontrivial.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $U, V \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, there holds*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V) = \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(V, U)$$

Using the results mentioned above, we can derive the sharp Sobolev trace inequality. With this, the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U)$ can be interpreted as the conformal energy.

Theorem 1.6 *Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $k = [\gamma] + 1$ and $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$. It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq \sum_{j=0}^{[\gamma/2]} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=[\gamma/2]+1}^{[\gamma]} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_{j,\gamma} = \begin{cases} 2^{4j-2[\gamma]+1} j! ([\gamma] - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - 2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - [\gamma])}{\Gamma(\gamma - 2j)}, & 0 \leq j \leq [\gamma/2]; \\ 2^{4\gamma-2[\gamma]+1} j! ([\gamma] - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(2j - \gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - [\gamma])}{\Gamma(2j + 1 - \gamma)}, & [\gamma/2] + 1 \leq j \leq [\gamma]. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $L_{2k}(U) = 0$.

By employing Theorem 1.6 along with the (usual and reverse) Sobolev inequalities, we can provide a comprehensive version of the Sobolev trace inequality for all $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. To ensure clarity, we introduce some new notations. For $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, we introduce two integers $j_1 = \lfloor \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ and $j_2 = \lfloor \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 1$. If $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, we define the function space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{2\gamma} &= \left\{ U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) \mid B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U = 0, \text{ for } j < j_1, \text{ and } B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma} U > 0 \right\} \cap \\ &\quad \left\{ U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) \mid B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U = 0, \text{ for } j_2 < j \leq [\gamma], \text{ and } B_{2\gamma-2j_2}^{2\gamma} U > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

if $\gamma \in (0, \frac{n}{2}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, then we can define

$$\mathcal{N}^{2\gamma} = \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}).$$

To this end, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1 Suppose $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and let $k = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1$. We have the following inequalities:

(I) $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}$ and n is odd.

(1) If $\gamma - \frac{n}{2} \in 2\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then for any $U \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq 2n! |\mathbb{S}^n| \zeta_{j_1, \gamma} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma}(U) - \overline{B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma}(U)}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma-4j}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma+4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{j_2-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{4j-2\gamma}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma-4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if U is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k}U = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor \gamma-2j-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l & \text{for } 0 \leq j < j_1, \\ B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2j_1} + \log \det d\varphi_{2j_1} & \text{for } j = j_1, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2j} (\det d\varphi_{2j})^{\frac{n-2\gamma+4j}{2n}} & \text{for } j_1 < j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2\gamma-2j} (\det d\varphi_{2\gamma-2j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma-4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j < j_2, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2j-\gamma-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l & \text{for } j_2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $\gamma + \frac{n}{2} \in 2\mathbb{N}$, then for any $U \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq 2n! |\mathbb{S}^n| \chi_{\{j_2-1, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} \zeta_{j_2-1, \gamma} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{B_{2\gamma-2j_2+2}^{2\gamma}(U) - \overline{B_{2\gamma-2j_2+2}^{2\gamma}(U)}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=\max\{j_1, 0\}+1}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma-4j}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma+4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\min\{j_2-2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{4j-2\gamma}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma-4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\{j_2-1, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} = 1$ if $j_2 - 1 \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$, otherwise $\chi_{\{j_2-1, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} = 0$. The equality holds if and only if U is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k}U = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor \gamma-2j-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq j_1, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2j} (\det d\varphi_{2j})^{\frac{n-2\gamma+4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \max\{j_1 + 1, 0\} \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2\gamma-2j} (\det d\varphi_{2\gamma-2j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma-4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \min\{j_2 - 2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j_2+2}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2\gamma-2j_2+2} + \log \det d\varphi_{2\gamma-2j_2+2} & \text{for } j = j_2 - 1 \text{ when } j_2 - 1 \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2j-\gamma-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l & \text{for } j_2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

(3) If $\gamma - \frac{n}{2} \notin 2\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\gamma + \frac{n}{2} \notin 2\mathbb{N}$, then for any $U \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq \sum_{j=\max\{j_1, 0\}+1}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma-4j}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma+4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\min\{j_2-1, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{4j-2\gamma}{n}} \zeta_{j, \gamma} \|B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma-4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if U is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k}U = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor \gamma-2j-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l, & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq j_1, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2j}(\det d\varphi_{2j})^{\frac{n-2\gamma+4j}{2n}}, & \text{for } \max\{j_1+1, 0\} \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2\gamma-2j}(\det d\varphi_{2\gamma-2j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma-4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \min\{j_2-1, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\} \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \in \oplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2j-\gamma-\frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_l. & \text{for } j_2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

(II) $[\gamma] \neq \frac{1}{2}$ or n is even. For any $U \in \mathcal{N}^{2\gamma}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq \sum_{j=\max\{j_1, 0\}}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma-4j}{n}} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \|B_{2j}^{2\gamma}U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma+4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\min\{j_2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{4j-2\gamma}{n}} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \|B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma-4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if U is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k}U = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq j < \max\{j_1, 0\}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2j}(\det d\varphi_{2j})^{\frac{n-2\gamma+4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \max\{j_1, 0\} \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = a_{2\gamma-2j}(\det d\varphi_{2\gamma-2j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma-4j}{2n}} & \text{for } \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \min\{j_2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\}, \\ B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = 0 & \text{for } \min\{j_2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\} < j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

where $a_{2j}, a_{2\gamma-2j} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi_{2j}, \varphi_{2\gamma-2j}$ are two conformal transformations on \mathbb{S}^n .

We must point out that in all cases, if no value of j satisfies the above constraint (e.g., if $\gamma < n/2$, then there is no j such that $\min\{j_2, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor\} < j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$), then the corresponding boundary condition does not exist when equality holds.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some foundational material on the hypergeometric function and the Funk-Hecke formula, which will be used in scattering theory and in deriving the formula for $P_{2\gamma}^{-1}$. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 by considering two cases: one for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$ and the other for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$. We also provide a proof of the quantitative stability of reverse Sobolev inequalities of order $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, i.e. Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we first present explicit formulas for the boundary operator with small indices, followed by establishing the Dirichlet solvability theorem. Based on this theorem, we then define the boundary operator for larger indices and prove Theorem 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6. Finally, combining all these results, we conclude with a complete Sobolev trace inequality.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Hypergeometric function

First, we recall some basic properties of the hypergeometric function. For further details on these functions, we refer the reader to [41]. We use the notation $F(a, b; c; z)$ to represent

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ and $(a)_k$ denotes the rising Pochhammer symbol, defined by

$$(a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_k = a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1), \quad k \geq 1.$$

If either a or b is a nonpositive integer, then the series terminates, and the function reduces to a polynomial.

Next, we list only the properties of the hypergeometric function that will be used in the remainder of the paper.

- The hypergeometric function $F(a, b; c; z)$ satisfies the hypergeometric differential equation

$$z(1-z)F'' + (c - (a+b+1)z)F' - abF = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

- If $\operatorname{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$, then $F(a, b; c; 1)$ exists and

$$F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}. \quad (2.3)$$

- Transformation formulas (1):

$$F(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{c-a-b}F(c-a, c-b; c; z). \quad (2.4)$$

- Transformation formulas (2): if $c - a - b$ is not an integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(a, b; c; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}F(a, b; a+b-c+1; 1-z) + \\ &(1-z)^{c-a-b} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}F(c-a, c-b; c-a-b+1; 1-z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

- Transformation formulas (3):

$$F\left(a, b; 2b; \frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right) = (1+z)^{2a}F\left(a, a + \frac{1}{2} - b; b + \frac{1}{2}; z^2\right). \quad (2.6)$$

- if $\operatorname{Re}\mu > 0$ and $|t| < 1$, then (see [41], page 407, 3.665)

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\mu-1}\theta}{(1-2t\cos\theta+t^2)^\alpha} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})}F\left(\alpha, \alpha - \mu + \frac{1}{2}; \mu + \frac{1}{2}; t^2\right). \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 Funk-Hecke formula

Let $K \in L^1((-1, 1), (1-t^2)^{(n-2)/2})$. The Funk-Hecke formula reads (see [1, Chapter 22])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} K(\xi \cdot \eta)Y_l(\eta)dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta) = \lambda_l Y_l(\xi), \quad Y_l \in \mathcal{H}_l, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\lambda_l = (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})l!}{\Gamma(l+n-1)} \int_{-1}^1 K(t)C_l^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(t)(1-t^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dt$$

and $C_l^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ denotes the l -th Gegenbauer polynomial with degree $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

In particular, we have that for $\gamma > 0$, it holds (see [5, (17)] or [39, Corollary 4.3])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\xi - \eta|^{2\gamma-n} Y_l(\eta)dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta) = \frac{2^{2\gamma}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(l+\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)}{\Gamma(l+\frac{n}{2}+\gamma)} Y_l(\xi), \quad Y_l \in \mathcal{H}_l. \quad (2.9)$$

3 The fractional reverse Sobolev inequalities

3.1 First case: $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$

We now present a notable sharp inequality involving the operators $P_{2\gamma}$ and $P_{2\gamma}^{-1}$, which serves as a central tool in establishing a reverse Sobolev inequality for values of γ in the range $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$. By (2.9), the inverse operator $P_{2\gamma}^{-1}$ for $\gamma \notin \frac{n-2}{2} + \mathbb{N}$, can be defined in terms of the integral kernel as follows:

$$P_{2\gamma}^{-1}(f)(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\xi - \eta|^{2\gamma - n} f(\eta) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta). \quad (3.1)$$

The primary approach we use involves expanding positive functions on the sphere through spherical harmonics.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $g \in H^{-\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ are positive functions. If $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(-P_{2\gamma})(f) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(-P_{2\gamma}^{-1})(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} fg dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right)^2, \quad (3.2)$$

with the equality holds if and only if $f = -cP_{2\gamma}^{-1}g$ with $c > 0$.

Proof. Since $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$, it is easy to observe that $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma) < 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(-P_{2\gamma}^{-1})(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(\xi)g(\eta)|\xi - \eta|^{2\gamma - n} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) > 0.$$

To prove (3.2), we assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(-P_{2\gamma})(f) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} > 0,$$

since, in the case where this condition does not hold, the inequality in (3.2) trivially holds. Now, we proceed by expanding the functions f and g in terms of the spherical harmonics. Specifically, we write

$$f(\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} Y_l(f)(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} Y_l(g)(\eta).$$

For the sake of simplicity and clarity in notation, we introduce the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(-P_{2\gamma})(f) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} &= - \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &=: a_f - |\mathbf{a}|^2 > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$a_f = - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}} \right)_{l \geq 1}.$$

Similarly, for the function g , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(-P_{2\gamma}^{-1})(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} &= - \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(g)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &=: b_g - |\mathbf{b}|^2 > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$b_g = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(g)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}} \right)_{l \geq 1}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is straightforward to establish that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f g dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} &= Y_0(f) Y_0(g) |\mathbb{S}^n| + \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} Y_l(f) Y_l(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\geq \sqrt{a_f b_g} - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \geq \sqrt{a_f b_g} - |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}| > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Additionally, we observe a crucial equality

$$(a_f - |\mathbf{a}|^2)(b_g - |\mathbf{b}|^2) - (\sqrt{a_f b_g} - |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}|)^2 = -(|\mathbf{a}| \sqrt{b_g} - |\mathbf{b}| \sqrt{a_f})^2 \leq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

which leads to the final inequality following from (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6).

When equality holds, we obtain the following identities for $l \geq 1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} Y_l(f) Y_l(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = -\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(g)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}|, \quad \frac{|\mathbf{a}|}{|\mathbf{b}|} = \frac{\sqrt{a_f}}{\sqrt{b_g}} = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}} := c_0.$$

Thus, when $l \geq 1$, we obtain $|Y_l(f)| = c_l |Y_l(g)|$ for some nonnegative constant c_l ,

$$Y_l(f) Y_l(g) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}}}{\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(g)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}}} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} = c_0.$$

So it follows that

$$Y_l(f) = -c_0 Y_l(g) \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Combing (3.7) and $\sqrt{a_f} = c_0 \sqrt{b_g}$ yields

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} Y_l(f) = -c_0 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} Y_0(g) - c_0 \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} Y_l(g) = -c_0 P_{2\gamma}^{-1} g.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is thereby completed. \square

Another crucial element in our proof is the Reverse Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, an essential tool in analysis. This inequality, originally established by Dou and Zhu in [27], provides a reverse form of the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, offering significant insights into the behavior of certain operators and integrals in functional spaces.

Theorem 3.1 (Dou and Zhu) *For any nonnegative function $f, g \in L^{\frac{2n}{2n+\lambda}}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ with $\lambda > 0$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\xi) g(\eta) |\xi - \eta|^\lambda dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta) \\ &\geq \pi^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \frac{\lambda}{2})} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \right)^{1+\frac{\lambda}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n+\lambda}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n+\lambda}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, equality holds, up to a constant scaling, if and only if there exists a conformal transformation φ such that $\varphi^* g_{\mathbb{S}^n} = f^{\frac{4}{2n+\lambda}} g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$, i.e., $f = (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{2n+\lambda}{2n}}$.

By applying Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the sharp reverse Sobolev inequality for $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$.

Theorem 3.2 For any positive function $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Furthermore, equality is achieved, up to a constant scaling, if and only if there exists a conformal transformation φ such that $f = (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}$.

Proof. First, by applying the reverse Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and setting $\lambda = 2\gamma - n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(-P_{2\gamma}^{-1})(g) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} &= -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g(\xi) g(\eta) |\xi - \eta|^{2\gamma - n} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\eta) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) \\ &\geq -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \pi^{-\gamma} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this inequality into (3.2) and setting $g = f^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}$, we derive that

$$-\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \pi^{-\gamma} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(-P_{2\gamma})(f) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2.$$

Recalling the Duplication formula

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-n} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n) \quad \square$$

and using the identity $|\mathbb{S}^n| \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = 2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, we can obtain the desired Sobolev inequality (3.8). When equality holds, by Theorem 3.1, up to a constant, we have $f^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} = g = (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2n}}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

3.2 Second case: $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$

For $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, we can provide a straightforward proof using a basic computation along with the reverse Holder inequality.

Theorem 3.3 For any positive function $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Furthermore, equality holds, up to a constant scaling, if and only if there exists a conformal transformation φ such that $f = (\det d\varphi)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}$.

Proof. First, we aim to establish the inequality (3.9) under the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\xi) \vec{\xi} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0.$$

To proceed, we express f in terms of its spherical harmonics expansion

$$f(\xi) = Y_0(f) + \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} Y_l(f)(\xi) \quad \text{with} \quad Y_0(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) > 0.$$

This decomposition allows us to rewrite the integral involving f as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(f) + \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma) > 0$ and $\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma) > 0$ for $l = 2, 3, \dots$, we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(f) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, applying the reverse Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \cdot 1 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} 1 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2n}} = \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2n}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting this inequality (3.12) into (3.11), we get the desired inequality (3.9).

Next, for a general function $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$, it is a well-established fact that there exists a conformal transformation φ such that (see Hang [43])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi(\xi) \xi \vec{d}V_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0,$$

where

$$f_\varphi(\xi) = f \circ \varphi(\xi) (\det d\varphi(\xi))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}.$$

Thanks to the conformal invariance of the operator $P_{2\gamma}$ (see [43, Corollary 2.2] and [38, Lemma 3]), we have the following equalities

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi P_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} = \|f_\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f P_{2\gamma} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi P_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f_\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, when equality holds in (3.9), it follows from (3.12) that $f_\varphi \equiv \text{const}$. This implies that f must be of the form $f = c (\det d\varphi(\xi))^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}$ for some conformal transformation φ on \mathbb{S}^n . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By applying Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we have completed the proof.

Finally, we note that the reverse Sobolev inequalities also hold for nonnegative functions. In this regard, we present the following fundamental proposition concerning the Sobolev embedding theorem with a negative exponent, inspired by the work of Hang and Yang [46].

Proposition 3.1 *Let f be a nonnegative function with $f \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$, where $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1) \cup (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$. If $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f = 0$ then we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq 0.$$

Proof. For the first assertion, we prove this argument by two cases.

Case 1: $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we can get $H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Suppose that f has a zero at some point on \mathbb{S}^n , without loss of generality, we can assume $f(S) = 0$, where S is the south pole. Letting $A = \|f\|_{C^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, then

$$f(p) = |f(p) - f(S)| \leq Ar(p)^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2}},$$

where $r(p) = \text{dist}_{\mathbb{S}^n}(p, S)$. Noticing that $\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma} < 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_-^n} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq A^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{S}_-^n} r^{-n} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = +\infty,$$

where $\mathbb{S}_-^n = \mathbb{S}^n \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x_{n+1} < 0\}$.

Case 2: $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2)$. In this case, we have $H^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{1, \gamma - \frac{n}{2} - 1}(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Since S is the minimizer of f , we can get $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(S) = 0$. Letting $B = \|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f\|_{C^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2} - 1}(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, one can see

$$|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(p)| = |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(p) - \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(S)| \leq Br(p)^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2} - 1},$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} f(p) = |f(p) - f(S)| &= \left| \int_0^{r(p)} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(\sigma(t)) \cdot \dot{\sigma}(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq Br^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2} - 1}(\sigma(t))r(p) \leq Br^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2}}(p) \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{S}_-^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the minimal geodesic from S to p on \mathbb{S}^n with $|\dot{\sigma}(t)| = 1$. The remaining part follows from the argument in the case $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$.

For the second assertion, given any $\epsilon > 0$, by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$a_{2\gamma}(f + \epsilon) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f + \epsilon\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \quad (3.13)$$

By applying Fatou's Lemma, we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (f + \epsilon)^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}} = 0.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$a_{2\gamma}(f + \epsilon) = a_{2\gamma}(f) + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \left(2\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \epsilon^2 |\mathbb{S}^n| \right). \quad \square$$

Using this relation and taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.13), we conclude that $a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let φ be the conformal transformation such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi(\xi) \vec{\xi} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0,$$

where $f_\varphi(\xi) = f \circ \varphi(\xi) (\det d\varphi(\xi))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}$. We note that f_φ has the expansion in spherical harmonics

$$f_\varphi = Y_0(f_\varphi) + \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} Y_l(f_\varphi) \quad \text{with} \quad Y_0(f_\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi).$$

We compute, by using (3.12),

$$a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(f_\varphi) + \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f_\varphi)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} |\mathbb{S}^n| Y_0^2(f_\varphi) + a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi) \\
&\geq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f_\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 + a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f}_\varphi = Y_0(f_\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f_\varphi dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}$. Obviously,

$$a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi) = \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Y_l(f_\varphi)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $f_\varphi = \bar{f}_\varphi$, i.e., f is a extremal function of reverse Sobolev inequality. Therefore, if f is not a extremal function of reverse Sobolev inequality, then we have

$$a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi) > 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{2\gamma}(f) = a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi) &\geq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f_\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 + a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 + a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

By the conformal invariance of $a_{2\gamma}$, we have

$$a_{2\gamma}(f_\varphi - \bar{f}_\varphi) = a_{2\gamma}\left(f - \bar{f}_\varphi (\det d\varphi^{-1})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}\right) > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Substituting (3.15) into (3.14), we obtain

$$a_{2\gamma}(f) \geq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma\right)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 + a_{2\gamma}\left(f - \bar{f}_\varphi (\det d\varphi^{-1})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2n}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Sobolev trace inequality

4.1 Scattering theory in the Poincaré ball

In this subsection, we will present the explicit integral formula for the solution of scattering theory within the context of the Poincaré ball. We refer to [53, 42, 17] and the references therein for the scattering theory on manifolds. Utilizing this integral formula, we will subsequently derive the series expansion with respect to ρ . This series expansion is of significant importance as it will facilitate our further analysis and enable us to derive the boundary operators effectively.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$. For $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^n)$, there exists a unique function $u \in C^2(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ that solves the Poisson problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_+ u - s(n-s)u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \rho_0^{s-n} u = f & \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The integral formula for the solution is given by

$$u(x) = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{2^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2}\right)^s f(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi). \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, if f has a spherical harmonic expansion of the form $f = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} Y_l$ with $Y_l \in \mathcal{H}_l$, then the solution $u(x)$ takes the series form

$$u(x) = \rho_0^{n-s} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_l(r^2) r^l Y_l, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l(r) &= \frac{F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r\right)}{F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; 1\right)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(l + \gamma + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2\gamma) \Gamma\left(l + \frac{n+1}{2}\right)} F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Step 1: We begin by verifying that the given integral formula satisfies the interior equation in \mathbb{B}^{n+1} , specifically,

$$-\Delta_+ u - s(n-s)u = 0.$$

It is enough to show

$$-\Delta_+ \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s - s(n-s) \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

To prove the identity (4.5), we first introduce the conformal Laplacian in $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{B}})$,

$$L_{g_{\mathbb{B}}}(u) = -\Delta_+ u - \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} u.$$

Through a straightforward calculation, then we can demonstrate that the above equation (4.5) transforms into

$$L_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s = \left(\frac{1 - (n - 2s)^2}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s. \quad (4.6)$$

Next, we rewrite the metric $g_{\mathbb{B}} = \rho_0^{-2} |dx|^2$ in the form

$$g_{\mathbb{B}} = \left(\rho_0^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{4}{n-1}} |dx|^2$$

and, using the conformal invariance, we conclude that the above equation (4.6) is equivalent to

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left[\rho_0^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s \right] = \left(\frac{1 - (n - 2s)^2}{4} \right) \rho_0^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \xi|^2} \right)^s. \quad (4.7)$$

The final equation (4.7) can be reduced to the following three identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} (1 - |x|^2)^{s - \frac{n-1}{2}} &= -2(n+1) \left(s - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) (1 - |x|^2)^{s - \frac{n+1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 4 \left(s - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \left(s - \frac{n+1}{2} \right) |x|^2 (1 - |x|^2)^{s - \frac{n+3}{2}}, \\ \nabla (1 - |x|^2)^{s - \frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \nabla |x - \xi|^{-2s} &= 4s \left(s - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) (1 - |x|^2)^{s - \frac{n+1}{2}} |x - \xi|^{-2-2s} \langle x, x - \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |x - \xi|^{-2s} = -2s(n - 2s - 1) |x - \xi|^{-2s-2}.$$

Step 2: Verifying the boundary condition, namely, we need to prove the following limitation

$$\lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \rho_0^{s-n} u = f. \quad (4.8)$$

Consider $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ and set $\xi_0 = x_0/|x_0| \in \mathbb{S}^n$. For any small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|f(\xi) - f(\xi_0)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in B_\delta(\xi_0),$$

where we assume $|x_0|$ is near the boundary \mathbb{S}^n and $1 - |x_0| < \delta$. We can estimate the integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{f(\xi)}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) - f(\xi_0) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{1}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) \\ &= \int_{B_\delta(\xi_0)} \frac{f(\xi) - f(\xi_0)}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_\delta(\xi_0)} \frac{f(\xi) - f(\xi_0)}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) \\ &= O(\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{1}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) + O(\delta^{-2s}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Using the Funk-Hecke formula (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{1}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{1}{(|x_0|^2 + 1 - 2|x_0|\xi_0 \cdot \xi)^s} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{(|x_0|^2 + 1 - 2|x_0|t)^s} (1-t^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dt \quad (\text{substituting } t = \cos \theta) \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{n-1} \theta}{(|x_0|^2 + 1 - 2|x_0| \cos \theta)^s} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying (2.7), (2.4) and (2.3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{1}{|x_0 - \xi|^{2s}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) &= |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} F\left(s, s - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{n+1}{2}; |x_0|^2\right) \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^n| (1 - |x_0|^2)^{n-2s} F\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - s, n - s; \frac{n+1}{2}; |x_0|^2\right) \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^n| (1 - |x_0|^2)^{n-2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(2s - n)}{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(s - \frac{n-1}{2})} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $o(1)$ means that $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x_0| \rightarrow 1$. Using the formula (4.9), (4.10) and Duplication formula for Gamma function

$$\Gamma(z) \Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2z} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z), \quad (4.11)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \rho_0^{s-n} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{2^{-s} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(\frac{1 - |x_0|^2}{|x_0 - \xi|^2}\right)^s f(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) - f(\xi_0) \right| \\ & \leq o(1) + C\epsilon + C(1 - |x_0|)^{2s-n} \delta^{-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there holds

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow 1} |\rho_0^{s-n} u(x) - f(x/|x|)| \leq C\epsilon,$$

which completes the proof of (4.8).

Step 3: Derivation of formula (4.3) and uniqueness. Choosing an orthogonal basis $Y_{l,1}, Y_{l,2}, \dots, Y_{l,N_l}$ of \mathcal{H}_l with $\|Y_{l,i}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)} = 1 (1 \leq i \leq N_l)$, we can write $u(x)$ as spherical decomposition

$$u(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} u_{l,i}(r) Y_{l,i}(\xi), \quad 0 < r = |x| < 1,$$

where

$$u_{l,i}(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(r\xi) Y_{l,i}(\xi) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} u_{l,i}(r) = \begin{cases} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{1/2} u(0) & \text{for } l = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } l \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Using the Step 1, we find

$$-\Delta_+(u_{l,i}(r) Y_{l,i}) - s(n-s)u_{l,i}(r) Y_{l,i} = 0.$$

Setting $v = \rho_0^{s-n} u$ and

$$v(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l Y_{l,i}(\xi),$$

it is not hard to see that

$$u_{l,i}(r) = v_{l,i}(r^2) \rho_0^{n-s} r^l. \quad (4.13)$$

Following the similar techniques in (4.7), we have

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\rho_0^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l Y_{l,i} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \gamma^2 \right) \rho_0^{\frac{n-3}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l Y_{l,i} = 0.$$

Using the formulas $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} Y_{l,i} = l(n+l-1) Y_{l,i}$ and

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{n}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{n}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left(\rho_0^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l \right) + l(n+l-1) \rho_0^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^{l-2} \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \gamma^2 \right) \rho_0^{\frac{n-3}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

By changing the variable $t = r^2$, we obtain

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1-t}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (4.15)$$

So it is not hard to see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\rho_0^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l \right) = \rho_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}-s} r^{l-1} \left[\left(l\rho_0 + \left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) r^2 \right) v_{l,i}(t) + 2\rho_0 r^2 v'_{l,i}(t) \right] \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \left(\rho_0^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} v_{l,i}(r^2) r^l \right) \\ & = \rho_0^{\frac{n-3}{2}-s} r^{l-2} \left[\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) r^2 + (l-1)\rho_0 \right] \left[\left(l\rho_0 + \left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) r^2 \right) v_{l,i}(t) + 2\rho_0 r^2 v'_{l,i}(t) \right] \\ & + \rho_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}-s} r^l \left[(2\gamma - l - 1) v_{l,i}(t) + (2(l+2)\rho_0 + (2\gamma - 3)r^2) v'_{l,i}(t) + 4\rho_0 r^2 v''_{l,i}(t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

By using identities (4.14), (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17), we conclude that $v_{l,i}$ satisfies the hypergeometric equation

$$t(1-t)v_{l,i}''(t) + \left[l + \frac{n+1}{2} - \left(l + \frac{n+3}{2} - 2\gamma \right) t \right] v_{l,i}'(t) - \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) v_{l,i}(t) = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

From (4.12) and (4.13), we have the initial data

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{l/2} |v_{l,i}(t)| < +\infty.$$

According to the properties of the hypergeometric equation (see page 1011 in [41]), there exist two linear independent solutions $\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)$, where

$$\omega_1(t) = F \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; t \right)$$

and $\omega_2(t)$ is a singular solution with asymptotic behaviour

$$\omega_2(t) \sim \begin{cases} \ln t & n=1, l=0 \\ t^{1-\frac{n+1}{2}-l} & \text{other} \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

In either case, we can get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{l/2} |\omega_2(t)| = \infty,$$

which implies $v_{l,i}(t) = c_{l,i} \omega_1(t)$. Therefore, we get

$$u(x) = \rho_0^{n-s} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} F \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r^2 \right) r^l \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_l} c_{l,i} Y_{l,i}(\xi) \right), \quad 0 < r = |x| < 1.$$

Furthermore, since $\lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \rho_0^{s-n} u = f = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} Y_l$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} Y_l &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} F \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r^2 \right) r^l \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_l} c_{l,i} Y_{l,i}(\xi) \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma \left(l + \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \Gamma(2\gamma)}{\Gamma \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(l + \gamma + \frac{n}{2} \right)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_l} c_{l,i} Y_{l,i}(\xi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_l} c_{l,i} Y_{l,i}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(l + \gamma + \frac{n}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(l + \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \Gamma(2\gamma)} Y_l.$$

Thus, we obtain the formula (4.3). The uniqueness part follows by taking $f \equiv 0$, which implies $c_{l,i} = 0$. \square

In the theorem above, we can express u as a series in terms of ρ_0 . However, we aim to derive an explicit formula in terms of ρ , which requires the following calculations.

Lemma 4.1 For $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$, the solution of (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \rho^{n-s} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right)^l F \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \gamma; \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right) Y_l + \\ &\quad \rho^s \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right)}{\Gamma \left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma \right)} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right)^l F \left(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2}; 1 + \gamma; \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right) Y_l. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. First, we assume that $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. From equations (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain the relationship

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r^2\right) \\ &= (1-r^2)^{2\gamma} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma; l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r^2\right) \\ &= (1-r^2)^{2\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(-2\gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma; 1 + 2\gamma; 1 - r^2\right) + \\ & \quad \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(2\gamma)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)} F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; 1 - 2\gamma; 1 - r^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the formula (4.4), we can express $\varphi_l(r^2)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l(r^2) &= \left(\frac{2\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right)^{2\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma) \Gamma(-2\gamma)}{\Gamma(2\gamma) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma; 1 + 2\gamma; \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right) \\ & \quad + F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; 1 - 2\gamma; \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Duplication formula

$$\Gamma(2\gamma) = 2^{2\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}, \quad \Gamma(-2\gamma) = 2^{-2\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma) \Gamma(-\gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})},$$

and combining this with (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l(r^2) &= \left(\frac{\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right)^{2\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma; 1 + 2\gamma; \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right) \\ & \quad + F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, \frac{1}{2} - \gamma; 1 - 2\gamma; \frac{2\rho}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2})^2}\right) \\ &= \rho^{2\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2l+n-2\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma)} F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} + \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2}; 1 + \gamma; \frac{\rho^2}{4}\right) \\ & \quad + \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2l+n-2\gamma} F\left(l + \frac{n}{2} - \gamma, l + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \gamma; \frac{\rho^2}{4}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

By substituting $r = \frac{2-\rho}{2+\rho}$ and $\rho_0 = \frac{\rho}{(1+\rho/2)^2}$ into equations (4.3) and (4.20), we derive the formula (4.19) for $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

When $\gamma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, taking limit for the above formula (4.19) as $\gamma + \epsilon \rightarrow \gamma$. \square

Remark 4.1 To be consistent with the scattering theory, we let $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and denote the solution of (4.1) by

$$u := \mathcal{P}(s)f = \rho^{n-s} F + \rho^s G \quad \text{with } F, G \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}).$$

From our earlier analysis, we know that $F|_{\mathbb{S}^n} = f$, and we define the scattering operator as

$$S(s)f = G|_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)} P_{2\gamma} f = \frac{1}{c_\gamma} P_{2\gamma} f,$$

where $P_{2\gamma}$ is nothing but the fractional GJMS operator of order 2γ . Moreover, we have the following expansion

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma\right) f = \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (f + \rho^2 f_1 + \rho^4 f_2 + \dots) +$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(-\gamma)}{2^{2\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma)}\rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma} (P_{2\gamma}f + \rho^2g_1 + \rho^4g_2 + \cdots), \quad (4.21)$$

with $f_i, g_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

4.2 Boundary operators

4.2.1 The boundary operators with small indices

For simplicity, we set

$$\tilde{\Delta}_+ := \Delta_+ + \frac{n^2}{4}, \quad (4.22)$$

we also recall that the metric $g_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be rewrite as

$$g_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{d\rho^2 + (1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4})^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^n}}{\rho^2}, \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{2(1 - |x|)}{1 + |x|}. \quad (4.23)$$

Then the boundary operators with small indices is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^{2\gamma}(U) &= U|_{\rho=0}; \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) &= \frac{1}{b_{2j}}\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left[(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2) (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor)^2) \right] (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}U)|_{\rho=0}, \\ &\quad 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor\gamma/2\rfloor; \\ B_{2j+2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) &= \frac{1}{b_{2j+2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor}}\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j-2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor} \prod_{l=0}^j (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2) \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor)^2) (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}U)|_{\rho=0}, \\ &\quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor\gamma\rfloor - \lfloor\gamma/2\rfloor - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$. For simplicity, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma} &= b_{2j}B_{2j}^{2\gamma}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor\gamma/2\rfloor; \\ \tilde{B}_{2j+2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor}^{2\gamma} &= b_{2j+2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor}B_{2j+2\lfloor\gamma\rfloor}^{2\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor\gamma\rfloor - \lfloor\gamma/2\rfloor - 1. \end{aligned}$$

To start, we present a simple and easily verifiable formula that illustrates how the operator $\tilde{\Delta}_+$ acts on expressions of the form $\rho^\alpha f$, where $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$. This formula will be a valuable tool in our subsequent analysis.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_+(\rho^\alpha f) = \left(\alpha - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \rho^\alpha f + O(\rho^{\alpha+2}).$$

In particular, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha = \frac{n}{2} - \gamma$, it holds

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - \gamma^2\right) (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}f) = O(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2}).$$

Proof. From the (4.23), we get $\sqrt{G} = \rho^{-(n+1)} (1 - \rho^2/4)^n \sqrt{G_{\mathbb{S}^n}}$. By the definition of $\tilde{\Delta}_+$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_+(\rho^\alpha f) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}\partial_\rho \left(\rho^2 \sqrt{G} \partial_\rho (\rho^\alpha f) \right) + \rho^{\alpha+2} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right)^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} f + \frac{n^2}{4} \rho^\alpha f \\ &= \alpha(\alpha+1)\rho^\alpha f - \alpha\rho^{\alpha+1} \left[\frac{n+1}{\rho} + \frac{n\rho/2}{1-\rho^2/4} \right] f + \rho^{\alpha+2} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4} \right)^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} f + \frac{n^2}{4} \rho^\alpha f \\ &= \left(\alpha - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \rho^\alpha f + O(\rho^{\alpha+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Note that the remaining terms in the final expression are the form $\rho^{\alpha+2m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Building on Lemma 4.2, we now present some important calculations regarding the operators $B_{2j}^{2\gamma}$ and $B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}$, which will provide significant convenience for future computations.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds*

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j} f) = f, \quad B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2m} f) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$$

and

$$B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2[\gamma]} f) = f, \quad B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2[\gamma]+2m} f) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1.$$

Proof. For any fixed $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$, using the Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - \gamma^2\right) (\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j} f) = ((\gamma - 2j)^2 - \gamma^2) \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j} f + O(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j + 2}).$$

Repeating the above computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2\right) (\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j} f) \\ &= \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} ((\gamma - 2j)^2 - (\gamma - 2l)^2) \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j} f + O(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2j + 2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

For the $\rho^{2j+2m} f$, we can also have

$$\rho^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \gamma - 2j} \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2\right) \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2[\gamma])^2\right) (\rho^{2j+2m} f) = O(\rho^{2m}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that, for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$, it holds

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j} f) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \tilde{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j} f) = f \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2m} f) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \tilde{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2m} f) = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

By the similar calculation, for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1$, we can also obtain

$$B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2[\gamma]} f) = f \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2[\gamma]+2m} f) = 0. \quad (4.27)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. \square

Next, we turn our attention to another type of calculation, specifically how the operator $B_{2j}^{2\gamma}$ acts on $\rho^{2[\gamma]+2m} f$ and how $B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}$ acts on $\rho^{2m} f$.

Lemma 4.4 *Suppose $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2[\gamma]+2m} f) &= 0, & \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor; \\ B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2m} f) &= 0, & \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is enough to show the case $m = 0$ for both identities.

By the Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2[\gamma])^2\right) (\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2[\gamma]} f) = \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2[\gamma] + 2} f_0 + O(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2[\gamma] + 4})$$

for some function $f_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Similarly, by using Lemma 4.2 again, we also get

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2[\gamma] + 2)^2\right) \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2[\gamma])^2\right) (\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \gamma + 2[\gamma]} f)$$

$$= \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+4} f_1 + O(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+6})$$

for some $f_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, hence it follows that, for some $f_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, it holds

$$\prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2[\gamma])^2 \right) \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]} f \right) = \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+2j} f_2 + O(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+2j+2}).$$

This will lead to

$$\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \prod_{l=0}^j \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2[\gamma])^2 \right) (\rho^{2[\gamma]} f) = O(\rho^{2[\gamma]}), \quad \square$$

which implies $B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2[\gamma]} f) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$.

The proof of the second identity is exactly similar and we omit it. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Based on the above computations, we can provide a clear description of the relationship between the expansion coefficients of a function in $\mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$ and the boundary operators.

Corollary 4.1 *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, namely, it has expansion*

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \rho^{2l} f_{2l} + \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \rho^{2l+2[\gamma]} f_{2l+2[\gamma]},$$

then

$$f_{2j} = B_{2j}^{2\gamma} \left(f - \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \rho^{2l} f_{2l} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor;$$

$$f_{2j+2[\gamma]} = B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma} \left(f - \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \rho^{2l+2[\gamma]} f_{2l+2[\gamma]} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1.$$

4.2.2 Dirichlet problem

In this subsection, we begin by proving the existence and uniqueness of the polyharmonic Dirichlet problem. This allows us to define the polyharmonic extension for any function in $\mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, which is crucial for defining boundary operators with large indices. To start, we recall the polyharmonic operators as follows: for $s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$, let

$$D_s = -\Delta_+ - s(n-s), \quad L_{2k}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} D_{s-2j}$$

and

$$L_{2k} = \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2k} \circ L_{2k}^+ \circ \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Given $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and boundary functions*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(2j)} &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n) & \text{for} & \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor; \\ \phi^{(2m)} &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n) & \text{for} & \quad 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Dirichlet problem ($k = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1$)

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k} U = 0 & \text{in} & \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = f^{(2j)} & \text{for} & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(U) = \phi^{(2m)} & \text{for} & 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

has a unique solution

$$U = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma} \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) f^{(2j)} + \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma} \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - [\gamma] - 2m \right) \phi^{(2m)}.$$

Proof. The proof is exactly similar to that in [33, Theorem 4.1] and [34, Theorem 4.1] and we omit it. \square

Definition 4.1 For any $U \in C^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, we say that \tilde{U} is a polyharmonic extension of U if \tilde{U} satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k} \tilde{U} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\tilde{U}) = B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(\tilde{U}) = B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(U) & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1. \end{cases}$$

From Corollary 4.1, we obtain the asymptotic expansion at the boundary

$$U - \tilde{U} \in \rho^{2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1)} C_{\text{even}}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{2(\gamma - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor)} C_{\text{even}}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}). \quad (4.29)$$

From Theorem 4.2, we can write

$$\tilde{U} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} U_j + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1} U'_j, \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_j &= \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma} \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U; \\ U'_j &= \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma} \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - [\gamma] - 2j \right) B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma} U. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1$, define $U_{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + j + 1} = U'_{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1 - j}$. We get

$$U_{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + j + 1} = \rho^{-\frac{n}{2} + \gamma} \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + j + 1) \right) B_{2\gamma - 2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + j + 1)}^{2\gamma} U.$$

From the above analysis, it is clear that

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2 \right) (\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} U_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor.$$

Using Remark 4.1, we obtain

$$U_j = \begin{cases} \rho^{2j} F_j + \rho^{2\gamma - 2j} G_j, & j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ \rho^{2\gamma - 2j} F_j + \rho^{2j} G_j, & j = \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor, \end{cases} \quad (4.31)$$

where $F_j, G_j \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$,

$$F_j|_{\rho=0} = \begin{cases} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U, & j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2\gamma - 2j}^{2\gamma} U, & j = \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$G_j|_{\rho=0} = \begin{cases} S(n/2 + \gamma - 2j) B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U, & j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ S(n/2 - \gamma + 2j) B_{2\gamma - 2j}^{2\gamma} U, & j = \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

4.2.3 The boundary operators with large indices

In this subsection, we begin by defining the boundary operators with large indices. For notational convenience, we introduce the following definitions:

$$\Pi^\gamma = (-1)^{[\gamma]+1} \prod_{l=0}^{[\gamma]} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) = L_{2k}^+, \quad k = [\gamma] + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_j^\gamma &= (-1)^{[\gamma]} \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \prod_{l=j+1}^{[\gamma]} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \\ &= (-1)^{[\gamma]} \prod_{l=[\gamma]-j+1}^{[\gamma]} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l - 2[\gamma])^2 \right) \prod_{l=0}^{[\gamma]-j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l - 2[\gamma])^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which can also be written as

$$\Pi_j^\gamma = \frac{\Pi^\gamma}{-\left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2 \right)}.$$

For the operator Π_j^γ , we list the following properties, which can be derived through similar computations as those in Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.5 For $0 \leq j \leq [\gamma]$, if $f \in \rho^{2i} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} f \right) &\in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) & \text{for } i \leq j, \\ \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} f \right) &\in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+2} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) & \text{for } i > j. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \rho^{2i+2[\gamma]} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} f \right) &\in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) & \text{for } i \leq [\gamma] - j, \\ \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} f \right) &\in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+2} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) & \text{for } i > [\gamma] - j. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, combining (4.29) with Lemma 4.5, we have

- $0 \leq j \leq [\gamma/2]$,

$$\Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) \in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2[\gamma]+2} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}). \quad (4.33)$$

- $[\gamma/2] + 1 \leq j \leq [\gamma]$,

$$\Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) \in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+2} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}). \quad (4.34)$$

For any $U \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, let \tilde{U} denote its polyharmonic extension. Following [33], we define the boundary operators with large indices as follows:

- $1 + [\gamma/2] \leq j \leq [\gamma]$,

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) \Big|_{\rho=0} + \frac{1}{c_{2j-\gamma}} P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U).$$

- $[\gamma] - [\gamma/2] \leq j \leq [\gamma]$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2j+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(U) &= \frac{1}{b_{2j+2[\gamma]}} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j-2[\gamma]} \Pi_{[\gamma]-j}^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_{2j+[\gamma]-[\gamma]}} P_{4j+2[\gamma]-2[\gamma]} B_{2[\gamma]-2j}^{2\gamma}(U), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{2j} = (-1)^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \prod_{i=0, i \neq j}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} ((\gamma - 2j)^2 - (\gamma - 2i)^2),$$

$$b_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} = (-1)^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \prod_{i=0, i \neq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} ((\gamma - 2j - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)^2 - (\gamma - 2i)^2)$$

are chosen such that

$$B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j}) = B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(\rho^{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}) = 1.$$

We can also express the boundary operators $B_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}$ in the following form

$$B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2\gamma-2j}} \rho^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma}(U - \tilde{U}) \right) \Big|_{\rho=0} + \frac{1}{c_{\gamma-2j}} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U), \quad (4.35)$$

where $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$.

4.3 Proof of theorem 1.3 and 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.3: The proof is similar to that given in [33, Theorem 1.4]. For readers convenience, we describe it as follows.

Firstly, the conformal invariance of boundary operators with small indices is straightforward. From the definitions of $\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U)$ and $\widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U)$, we can see that

$$\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor;$$

$$\widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}} \widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1,$$

where

$$\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \hat{\rho}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\gamma-2j} \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)^2 \right) \left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma} U \right) \Big|_{\rho=0};$$

$$\widehat{B}_{2j+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) = \hat{\rho}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\gamma-2j-2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \prod_{l=0}^j \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma + 2l - 2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)^2 \right) \left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma} U \right) \Big|_{\rho=0}.$$

Hence, the conformally invariant identities (1.16) and (1.17) follow from $\hat{\rho} = e^\tau \rho$.

Secondly, for large j , we only need to address $B_{2j}^{2\gamma}$, the remaining part can be obtained through a similar argument. Now, from the definition of $\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}$,

$$\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{b_{2j}} \hat{\rho}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma}(U - \widehat{U}) \right) \Big|_{\rho=0} + \frac{1}{c_{2j-\gamma}} \widehat{P}_{4j-2\gamma} \widehat{B}_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U), \quad (4.36)$$

where \widehat{U} is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{L}_{2k} \widehat{U} = 0 & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(\widehat{U}) = \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) & \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ \widehat{B}_{2m+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(\widehat{U}) = \widehat{B}_{2m+2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}^{2\gamma}(U) & \text{for} \quad 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1. \end{cases}$$

One crucial observation that significantly aids our proof is the following claim:

$$\widehat{U} = e^{-(\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma)\tau} (e^{(\frac{\alpha}{2}-\gamma)\tau} U) \tilde{\cdot}, \quad (4.37)$$

where $(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_{2k}(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \\ B_{2j}^{2\gamma}((e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim) = B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U) & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}((e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim) = B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U) & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor - 1. \end{cases}$$

To prove (4.37), we first verify whether it satisfies the interior equation

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}_{2k} \left(e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) &= \widehat{\rho}^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2k} \circ L_{2k}^+ \left(\widehat{\rho}^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) \\ &= e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2k)\tau} L_{2k} \left((e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, the boundary conditions can be verified through the following computations,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma} (e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim) &= e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} \left((e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) \\ &= e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U \right) = \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma} (e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau} (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim) &= e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2m-2[\gamma])\tau} B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma} \left((e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) \\ &= e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2m-2[\gamma])\tau} B_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U \right) \\ &= \widehat{B}_{2m+2[\gamma]}^{2\gamma}(U). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness result in Theorem 4.2, we complete the proof of claim (4.37). Now, returning to formula (4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) &= \frac{1}{b_{2j}} e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U - (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U)^\sim \right) \right) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_{2j-\gamma}} \widehat{P}_{4j-2\gamma} \left[e^{(-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} (e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Using the conformal property of GJMS operator $P_{2\gamma}$, we have

$$\widehat{P}_{4j-2\gamma}(f) = e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} P_{4j-2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} f \right) \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n). \quad (4.39)$$

Plugging (4.39) into (4.38), we conclude that

$$\widehat{B}_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = e^{(-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j)\tau|_{\mathbb{S}^n}} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}-\gamma)\tau}U \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Noticing that if $L_{2k}U = 0$, then $U = \tilde{U}$, hence we have

$$B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{c_{\gamma-2j}} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U)$$

and

$$B_{2[\gamma]-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) = \frac{1}{c_{[\gamma]-[\gamma]-2j}} P_{2[\gamma]-2[\gamma]-4j} B_{2[\gamma]+2j}^{2\gamma}(U).$$

4.4 Proof of theorem 1.5 and 1.6

In this subsection, we will establish an important integral identity (Lemma 4.7) and demonstrate the symmetry of the Dirichlet form (Theorem 1.5). Building on this, we will complete the proofs of the remaining theorems. We begin with a simple integral identity, which can be viewed as a Green-type formula on the Poincaré ball.

Lemma 4.6 *Suppose $U, V \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$, then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} (U \tilde{\Delta}_+ V - V \tilde{\Delta}_+ U) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\rho^{1-n} U \partial_\rho V - \rho^{1-n} V \partial_\rho U) \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.$$

Proof. Set $\sqrt{G} := \sqrt{G_{g_{\mathbb{B}}}} = \rho^{-(n+1)} \sqrt{G_g}$, then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U \tilde{\Delta}_+ V - V \tilde{\Delta}_+ U dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_0^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{G_{\mathbb{S}^n}}} \left(U \partial_\rho (\rho^{1-n} \sqrt{G_g} \partial_\rho V) - V \partial_\rho (\rho^{1-n} \sqrt{G_g} \partial_\rho U) \right) d\rho dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\rho^{1-n} U \partial_\rho V - \rho^{1-n} V \partial_\rho U) \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

here we use $\sqrt{G_g} = \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4}\right)^n \sqrt{G_{\mathbb{S}^n}}$ and $\sqrt{G_g} \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 2$. \square

By applying the Green-type formula and performing a careful asymptotic analysis near the boundary, we can establish the following nontrivial integral identity.

Lemma 4.7 *Suppose $U, V \in \mathcal{C}^{2\gamma}(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}})$ and $k = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{1-2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} U L_{2k} V dV_g \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) L_{2k}^+ \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V}) \right) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \sigma_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \sigma_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} V B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_{j,\gamma} = \begin{cases} 2^{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j) \Gamma(j+1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(\gamma-2j) \Gamma(2j+1-\gamma)}, & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ -2^{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j) \Gamma(j+1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(\gamma-2j) \Gamma(2j+1-\gamma)}, & \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor, \end{cases}$$

$$\zeta_{j,\gamma} = \begin{cases} 2^{4j-2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j) \Gamma(j+1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1-2j) \Gamma(\gamma-2j)}, & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ 2^{4\gamma-2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor+1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j) \Gamma(j+1-\lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(2j-\gamma) \Gamma(2j+1-\gamma)}, & \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor \end{cases}$$

and $\zeta_{j,\gamma} > 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$.

Proof. By the definition of L_{2k} and $L_{2k}^+ \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} \tilde{V} \right) = 0$, we can rewrite the following expression

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{1-2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} U L_{2k} V dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U L_{2k}^+ \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} V \right) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) L_{2k}^+ (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} \tilde{U} L_{2k}^+ (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&=: A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the decomposition of \tilde{U} , as shown in equations (4.30) and (4.31), i.e,

$$\tilde{U} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} U_j \quad \text{and} \quad U_j = \begin{cases} \rho^{2j} F_j + \rho^{2\gamma-2j} G_j & j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor, \\ \rho^{2\gamma-2j} F_j + \rho^{2j} G_j & j = \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor. \end{cases} \quad (4.40)$$

Using Lemma 4.6 and the fact that $(\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2) (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) = 0$, we proceed with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j L_{2k}^+ (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2) (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j (\tilde{\Delta}_+ - (\gamma - 2j)^2) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho^{1-n} \partial_\rho (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\
&- \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho^{1-n} \partial_\rho (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

From equations (4.32), (4.33), (4.35) and (4.40), for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$, we can show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^{1-n} \partial_\rho (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) F_j \Big|_{\rho=0} \cdot \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&+ \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) G_j \Big|_{\rho=0} \cdot \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) B_{2j}^{2\gamma} (U) \cdot \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) b_{2\gamma-2j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} (U) \cdot \left(B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} (V) - c_{\gamma-2j}^{-1} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} (V) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$, using (4.34) and (4.40), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^{1-n} \partial_\rho (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j) \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) F_j \Big|_{\rho=0} \cdot \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&+ \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) G_j \Big|_{\rho=0} \cdot \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma (\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} (V - \tilde{V})) \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) b_{2j} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} (U) \left(B_{2j}^{2\gamma} (V) - c_{2j-\gamma}^{-1} P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} (V) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For the other term in A_2 , for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \rho^{-2j} U_j \Big|_{\rho=0} \cdot \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0}. \tag{4.41}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.33) and (4.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \partial_\rho \left[\rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2j} \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) \left[\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} + \\
& \quad \rho \partial_\rho \left[\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) \left[\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2j} \Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) b_{2\gamma-2j} \left(B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(V) - c_{\gamma-2j}^{-1} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(V) \right). \tag{4.42}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.42) into (4.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j \right) b_{2\gamma-2j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \left(B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(V) - c_{\gamma-2j}^{-1} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(V) \right). \tag{4.43}
\end{aligned}$$

For $\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$, a similar argument yields the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{1-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} U_j \partial_\rho \left[\Pi_j^\gamma(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) \right] \Big|_{\rho=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j \right) b_{2j} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \left(B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(V) - c_{2j-\gamma}^{-1} P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(V) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} (\gamma - 2j) b_{2\gamma-2j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \cdot \left(B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(V) - c_{\gamma-2j}^{-1} P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(V) \right) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} (2j - \gamma) b_{2j} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(U) \left(B_{2j}^{2\gamma}(V) - c_{2j-\gamma}^{-1} P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma}(V) \right) dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

The final result follows by defining

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{j,\gamma} &= \begin{cases} 2(\gamma - 2j) b_{2\gamma-2j}, & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ 2(2j - \gamma) b_{2j}, & \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2^{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(\gamma - 2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - \lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(2j + 1 - \gamma)}, & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ -2^{2\lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1} j! (\lfloor \gamma \rfloor - j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - j)}{\Gamma(\gamma - 2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 - \lfloor \gamma \rfloor)}{\Gamma(2j + 1 - \gamma)}, & \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\zeta_{j,\gamma} = \begin{cases} -2c_{\gamma-2j}^{-1} (\gamma - 2j) b_{2\gamma-2j}, & 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor \\ -2c_{2j-\gamma}^{-1} (2j - \gamma) b_{2j}, & \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2^{4j-2[\gamma]+1} j!([\gamma]-j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1-2j)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\gamma])}{\Gamma(\gamma-2j)}, & 0 \leq j \leq [\gamma/2] \\ 2^{4\gamma-2[\gamma]+1} j!([\gamma]-j)! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1-j)}{\Gamma(2j-\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\gamma])}{\Gamma(2j+1-\gamma)}, & [\gamma/2]+1 \leq j \leq [\gamma]. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5: To simplify the notation, we define

$$A'_2(U, V) = \sum_{j=0}^{[\gamma/2]} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \sum_{j=[\gamma/2]+1}^{[\gamma]} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} V dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 4.7, we obtain:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V) = A_1(U, V) + A'_2(U, V),$$

where

$$A_1(U, V) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(U - \tilde{U}) L_{2k}^+(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(V - \tilde{V})) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}}.$$

For the term A_1 , it follows from the asymptotic behavior

$$\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(U - \tilde{U}), \Delta_+^k \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}(U - \tilde{U}) \right) \in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2([\gamma/2]+1)} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma-2[\gamma/2]} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}),$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and by using Lemma 4.6, we conclude that the boundary terms vanish, i.e., $A_1(U, V) = A_1(V, U)$. By the self-adjointness of $P_{2\gamma}$ for $\gamma > 0$, we can then conclude that

$$A'_2(U, V) = A'_2(V, U).$$

Thus, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 4.8 *Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any*

$$U \in \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2([\gamma/2]+1)} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-\gamma+2(\gamma-[\gamma/2])} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}),$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U (-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^k U dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \geq 0, \quad (4.44)$$

with equality if and only if $(-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} U = 0$.

Proof. We begin by proving the inequality for $k = 1$. In this case, it is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U L_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} U dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U^2 dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}},$$

where $L_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} = -\Delta_+ - \frac{n^2-1}{4}$ is the conformal Laplacian on the Poincaré ball. Using the conformal invariance of $L_{g_{\mathbb{B}}}$, this reduces to

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} V(x) (-\Delta) V(x) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \frac{V^2(x)}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx, \quad (4.45)$$

where

$$V(x) = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} U \in \rho^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma+2([\gamma/2]+1)} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma-2[\gamma/2]} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}).$$

Notice that, for $\gamma \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$,

$$2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1) - \gamma > 2 \cdot \gamma/2 - \gamma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma - 2\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor > \gamma - 2 \cdot \gamma/2 = 0.$$

If we let

$$\delta_1 = 2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1) - \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = \gamma - 2\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor,$$

then $\delta_1 > 0, \delta_2 > 0$ and

$$V(x) \in \rho^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta_1} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta_2} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}).$$

Therefore, by integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} V(x)(-\Delta)V(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} |\nabla V|^2 dx,$$

and inequality (4.45) follows from the Hardy inequality (see e.g. [9, 58]).

Next, for general k , observe that for $1 \leq l \leq k$, we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_+^{k-l} U \in \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1)} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2(\gamma - \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor)} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}),$$

which implies

$$\rho^{1-n} \tilde{\Delta}_+^{l-1} U \times \partial_\rho \tilde{\Delta}_+^{k-l} U \in \rho^{4(\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1) - 2\gamma} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^2 C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}) + \rho^{2\gamma - 4\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} C_{\text{even}}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}).$$

So the boundary terms in Lemma 4.6 vanish. That is, if $k = 2m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U(-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^k U dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} (\tilde{\Delta}_+^m U)^2 dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \geq 0;$$

if $k = 2m + 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} U(-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^k U dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} [(-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^m U] (-\tilde{\Delta}_+) [(-\tilde{\Delta}_+)^m U] dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \geq 0,$$

where the final inequality follows from the case $k = 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.8. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6: By the definition of $A_1(U, U)$ and Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(U, U) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) L_{2k}^+ \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \prod_{l=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \left(-\tilde{\Delta}_+ + (\gamma - 2l)^2 \right) \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right) dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \\ &\geq \prod_{l=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} (\gamma - 2l)^2 \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} (U - \tilde{U}) \right)^2 dV_{g_{\mathbb{B}}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, V) = A_1(U, V) + A_2'(U, V) \geq A_2'(U, V).$$

The desired result follows by the definition of $A_2'(U, V)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.1: Noticing that, for $\gamma \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$,

$$\gamma \pm \frac{n}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \iff \quad \lfloor \gamma \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1,$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ is the set of integers. Hence our proof is divide into two parts, we only give the proof of one case.

If $\gamma - \frac{n}{2} \in 2\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, using Theorem 1.6, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2\gamma}(U, U) &\geq \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of j_1 , we have $\gamma - 2j_1 = \frac{n}{2}$,

$$\frac{n}{2} < \gamma - 2j \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < j_1$$

and

$$\gamma - 2j < \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{for } j_1 < j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor.$$

Therefore, using the Theorem A and reverse Sobolev inequalities, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{2\gamma-4j} B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\geq 2n! |\mathbb{S}^n| \zeta_{j_1,\gamma} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma}(U) - \overline{B_{2j_1}^{2\gamma}(U)}} dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2\gamma-4j}{n}} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \|B_{2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma+4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma - \frac{n}{2} + \gamma + \frac{n}{2} = 2\gamma \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$, we can see $\gamma + \frac{n}{2} \notin 2\mathbb{N}$, which means $2j - \gamma \neq \frac{n}{2}$ for $j \geq 1$. Also, there holds

$$2(j_2 - 1) - \gamma < 2\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{n}{4}\right) - \gamma = \frac{n}{2} < 2j_2 - \gamma.$$

We can deduce that

$$\frac{n}{2} < 2j_2 - \gamma = \frac{n}{2} + 1 < \frac{n}{2} + 2.$$

So we can obtain

$$2j - \gamma < \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{for } \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq j < j_2$$

and

$$\frac{n}{2} < 2j - \gamma \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{for } j_2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor.$$

Then, it is not hard to see

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U P_{4j-2\gamma} B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U dV_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=\lfloor \gamma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{j_2-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma + 2j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma - 2j)} |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{4j-2\gamma}{n}} \zeta_{j,\gamma} \|B_{2\gamma-2j}^{2\gamma} U\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\gamma-4j}}(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we get the first inequality in the Corollary 1.1. For the proof of the remaining inequalities, we will only highlight the differences relative to the first one. Specifically, this involves comparing j_1 and 0, $j_2 - 1$ and $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor$, and so on.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables. Reprint of the 1972 edition. Dover Publications, New York, 1992.
- [2] A. G. Ache and S.-Y. A. Chang. Sobolev trace inequalities of order four. *Duke Math. J.* 166(14)(2017), 2719-2748.
- [3] T. Aubin, Problèmes isoperimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *J. Differ. Geom.* 11 (1976), 573-598.
- [4] J. Ai, K.-S. Chou, J. Wei, Self-similar solutions for the anisotropic affine curve shortening problem, *Calc. Var.* 13 (3) (2001), 311-337.
- [5] W. Beckner, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 138 (1993), 213-242.
- [6] W. Beckner, Functionals for multilinear fractional embedding, *Acta Math. Sin.* 31(1) (2015), 1-28.
- [7] G. Bianchi, H. Egnell, A note on the Sobolev inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* 100 (1) (1991), 18-24.
- [8] H. Brezis, E.H. Lieb, Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms, *J. Funct. Anal.* 62 (1) (1985), 73-86.
- [9] H. Brezis, M. Marcus, Hardy's inequality revisited, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa* 25 (1997), 217-237.
- [10] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(7-9)(2007), 1245-1260.
- [11] J. A. Carrillo, M. Delgadino, J. Dolbeault, R.L. Frank, F. Hoffmann, Reverse Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 132(9) (2019), 133-165.
- [12] J.A. Carrillo, M. Delgadino, R.L. Frank, M. Lewin, Fast diffusion leads to partial mass concentration in Keller-Segel type stationary solutions, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* Vol, 32(04)(2022), 831-850.
- [13] J. S. Case. Some energy inequalities involving fractional GJMS operators. *Anal. PDE*, 10(2)(2017), 253-280.
- [14] J. S. Case. Sharp weighted Sobolev trace inequalities and fractional powers of the Laplacian. *J. Funct. Anal.* 279(4)(2020), 108567.
- [15] J. S. Case S.-Y. A. Chang. On fractional GJMS operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69(6)(2016), 1017-1061.
- [16] J. S. Case and W. Luo. Boundary operators associated with the sixth-order GJMS operator. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 14(2021), 10600-10653.
- [17] S.-Y. A. Chang and M. d. M. González. Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. *Adv. Math.* 226(2)(2011), 1410-1432.
- [18] S.-Y. A. Chang, F. Wang, Limit of fractional power Sobolev inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 274(4)(2018), 1177-1201.
- [19] L. Chen, Z. Liu, G. Lu, C. Tao, Reverse Stein-Weiss inequalities and existence of their extremal functions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 370 (12) (2018), 8429-8450.
- [20] L. Chen, G. Lu, H. Tang, Stability of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities with explicit lower bounds, *Adv. Math.* 450 (2024), 109778.
- [21] L. Chen, G. Lu, H. Tang, Optimal stability of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Sobolev inequalities of arbitrary orders with dimension-dependent constants, [arXiv:2405.17727v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.17727v2).
- [22] H. Chen, S. Kim, J. Wei, Sharp quantitative stability estimates for critical points of fractional Sobolev inequalities, [arXiv:2408.07775](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.07775).
- [23] X. Chen, S. Zhang, A sharp Sobolev trace inequality of order four on three-balls, [arXiv:2403.00380](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.00380).
- [24] S. Chen, R. L. Frank, T. Weth, Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 62 (4) (2013), 1381-1397.
- [25] B. Deng, L. Sun, J. Wei, Sharp quantitative estimates of Struwe's decomposition, [arXiv:2103.15360v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15360v2), to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [26] Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, A. Figalli, R. L. Frank, M. Loss, Sharp stability for Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities, with optimal dimensional dependence, [arXiv:2209.08651v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.08651v4).
- [27] J. Dou, M. Zhu, Reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 19(19)(2015), 9696-9726.

- [28] J. F. Escobar, Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 37 (1988), 687-698.
- [29] P. Exner, E.M. Harrell, M. Loss, Optimal eigenvalues for some Laplacians and Schrödinger operators depending on curvature, in: *Mathematical Results in Quantum Mechanics*, Prague, 1998, in: *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, vol. 108, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999, pp. 47-58.
- [30] A. Figalli, F. Glaudo, On the sharp stability of critical points of the Sobolev inequality, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 237(1)(2020), 201-258.
- [31] A. Figalli, R. Neumayer, Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case $p \geq 2$, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 21 (2018), 319-354.
- [32] A. Figalli, Y. R.-Y. Zhang, Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality, *Duke Math. J.* 171 (2022), 2407-2459.
- [33] J. Flynn, G. Lu and Q. Yang, Conformally covariant boundary operators and sharp higher order CR Sobolev trace inequalities on the Siegel domain and complex ball, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2025-0017>, see available arXiv:2311.09956v2 [math.AP].
- [34] J. Flynn, G. Lu and Q. Yang, Conformally covariant boundary operators and sharp higher order Sobolev trace inequalities on Poincaré Einstein manifolds, arXiv:2311.10070.
- [35] R. L. Frank, Degenerate stability of some Sobolev inequalities, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire*, 39 (2022), 1459-1484.
- [36] R. L. Frank, The sharp Sobolev inequality and its stability: An introduction. In *Geometric and Analytic Aspects of Functional Variational Principles: Cetraro, Italy 2022*. Springer, 2024, pp. 1-64.
- [37] R. L. Frank, M. M. González, D. D. Monticelli, J. Tan. An extension problem for the CR fractional laplacian. *Adv. Math.* 270(2015), 97-137.
- [38] R. L. Frank, T. König, H. Tang, Reverse conformally invariant Sobolev inequalities on the sphere. *J. Funct. Anal.* 282(4)(2022), 109339.
- [39] R. L. Frank, E. Lieb, A new, rearrangement-free proof of the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* (219), Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012, 55-67.
- [40] R. L. Frank, E. Lieb, Sharp constants in several inequalities on the Heisenberg group, *Ann. Math.*, 176 (2012), 349-381.
- [41] I. S. Gradshteyn, L. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. 7th edition. Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2007. Reproduction in P.R.China authorized by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd.
- [42] C. R. Graham, M. Zworski, Scattering matrix in conformal geometry, *Invent. Math.* 152 (2003), 89-118.
- [43] F. Hang, On the higher order conformal covariant operators on the sphere. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 9(3)(2007), 279-299.
- [44] F. Hang, P. C. Yang, The Sobolev inequality for Paneitz operator on three manifolds, *Calc. Var.* 21 (1) (2004) 57-83.
- [45] F. Hang, P. Yang, A perturbation approach for Paneitz energy on standard three sphere. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* , 11(2020), 3295-3317.
- [46] F. Hang, P. Yang, The Sobolev inequality for Paneitz operator on three manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 21(1)(2004), 57-83.
- [47] T. König, On the sharp constant in the Bianchi-Egnell stability inequality, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 55(4)(2023), 2070–2075.
- [48] T. König, Stability for the Sobolev inequality: existence of a minimizer, arXiv:2211.14185v4.
- [49] N. A. Lebedev, I. M. Milin, On the coefficients of certain classes of analytic functions, *Mat. Sbornik N.S.* 28(70) (1951), 359-400.
- [50] Y. Y. Li, Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 6(2)(2004), 153-180.
- [51] E. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 118(2)(1983), 349-374.
- [52] G. Lu, J. Wei, On a Sobolev inequality with remainder terms, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 128 (1999) 75-84

- [53] R. R. Mazzeo, R. B. Melrose, Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature, *J. Funct. Anal.* 75 (1987), 260-310.
- [54] Q.A. Ngô, V.H. Nguyen, Sharp reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{R}^n , *Isr. J. Math.* 220 (1) (2017) 189-223.
- [55] Q. A. Ngô, V. H. Nguyen, Q. H. Pham, Higher order Sobolev trace inequalities on balls revisited, *J. Funct. Anal.* 278(7)(2020), 108414.
- [56] Y. Ni, M. Zhu, Steady states for one-dimensional curvature flows, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10 (2) (2008), 155-179.
- [57] E. Onofri, On the positivity of the effective action in a theory of random surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 86 (1982), 321-326.
- [58] M. P. Owen, The Hardy-Rellich inequality for polyharmonic operators, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 129(4) (1999), 825-839.
- [59] G. Talenti, Best constants in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 110 (1976) 353–372.
- [60] J. Wei, Y. Wu, Stability of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, *Math. Ann.* 384 (2022), 1509-1546.
- [61] J. Wei, Y. Wu, Stability of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality along Felli-Schneider curve: Critical points at infinity, preprint, arXiv:2407.19366
- [62] J. Xiong, A derivation of the sharp Moser-Trudinger-Onofri inequalities from the fractional Sobolev inequalities. *Peking Math. J.* 1(2)(2018), 221-229.
- [63] Q. Yang, Sharp Sobolev trace inequalities for higher order derivatives, arXiv:1901.03945.
- [64] P. Yang and M. Zhu, On the Paneitz energy on the standard three sphere. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 10(2)(2004), 211-223.
- [65] S. Zhang, A Liouville-type theorem of the linearly perturbed Paneitz equation on \mathbb{S}^3 . *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2023(2)(2023), 1730-1759.