

# EGVD: Event-Guided Video Diffusion Model for Physically Realistic Large-Motion Frame Interpolation

Ziran Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> Xiaohui Li<sup>2,3</sup> Yihao Liu<sup>2</sup> Yujin Wang<sup>2</sup>  
 Yueting Chen<sup>1</sup> Tianfan Xue<sup>4,2\*</sup> Shi Guo<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang University <sup>2</sup>Shanghai AI Laboratory  
<sup>3</sup>Shanghai Jiao Tong University <sup>4</sup>The Chinese University of Hong Kong

\*Corresponding authors

<https://github.com/OpenImagingLab/EGVD>




Figure 1. Visual comparisons of video frame interpolation (VFI) results across diverse scenes. The top row presents synthetic data generated from a 240fps DJI Action4 video, downsampled to 30fps with simulated event data. The second row shows real-world data captured in low-light conditions, while the third row features real-world data under normal illumination with large motion. We compare RIFE [14] (RGB-based VFI), DualSVD [37] (RGB-based VFI with a diffusion model), CBMNet [19] (event-based VFI), and our proposed EGVD, which integrates event information within a diffusion-based framework. Our method not only achieves superior interpolation performance, producing sharper reconstructions, but also demonstrates strong generalization and robustness to large motion. **See the supplementary video for video results.**

## Abstract

Video frame interpolation (VFI) in scenarios with large motion remains challenging due to motion ambiguity between frames. While event cameras can capture high temporal resolution motion information, existing event-based VFI methods struggle with limited training data and complex motion patterns. In this paper, we introduce Event-Guided Video Diffusion Model (EGVD), a novel framework that leverages the powerful priors of pre-trained sta-

ble video diffusion models alongside the precise temporal information from event cameras. Our approach features a Multi-modal Motion Condition Generator (MMCG) that effectively integrates RGB frames and event signals to guide the diffusion process, producing physically realistic intermediate frames. We employ a selective fine-tuning strategy that preserves spatial modeling capabilities while efficiently incorporating event-guided temporal information. We incorporate input-output normalization techniques inspired by recent advances in diffusion modeling to enhance

*training stability across varying noise levels. To improve generalization, we construct a comprehensive dataset combining both real and simulated event data across diverse scenarios. Extensive experiments on both real and simulated datasets demonstrate that EGVD significantly outperforms existing methods in handling large motion and challenging lighting conditions, achieving substantial improvements in perceptual quality metrics (27.4% better LPIPS on Prophesee and 24.1% on BSRGB) while maintaining competitive fidelity measures. Code and datasets available at: <https://github.com/OpenImagingLab/EGVD>.*

## 1. Introduction

High-speed imaging plays a crucial role in computational photography, enabling applications such as capturing highly dynamic scenes [39], turbulence visualization [1], fast fluorescence lifetime imaging [20], and high-frequency vibration analysis [23]. However, the widespread use of high-speed cameras is limited by their high cost and the need for intense illumination, which restricts their practicality in many real-world scenarios. In contrast, video frame interpolation (VFI) methods [2, 14, 33, 38] that generate high-frame-rate videos from low-frame-rate recordings offer a more accessible alternative, especially when using conventional RGB cameras. Nevertheless, due to the lack of motion information between frames, traditional RGB-based VFI methods often struggle to handle complex, non-linear motions.

Event cameras, which capture changes in the scene at extremely high temporal resolutions, provide a promising solution to these challenges [4, 11, 22]. By capturing motion information between frames, event-based VFI (Event-VFI) has demonstrated significant improvements over traditional methods, particularly in scenes with non-linear motion [19, 35, 36]. Despite these advances, existing Event-VFI techniques still struggle with large-motion scenarios and varying lighting conditions [5], as shown in Fig. 1. These challenges primarily stem from the limited availability of real-world event training data and the ill-posed nature of the interpolation problem in such complex contexts. Therefore, achieving visually pleasing results for Event-VFI under large motion and diverse lighting conditions remains an open challenge.

Recently, the development of video generation models has significantly advanced generative models. Video generation models, such as video stable diffusion (SVD) models [3], contain billions of parameters and are trained on millions of high-quality video clips, embedding strong video priors. Leveraging these video priors, diffusion-based pre-trained models have been applied to various low-level vision tasks, including video colorization [24], RGB-based video frame interpolation (VFI) [9], and video super-

resolution[21], significantly improving visual quality. As shown in Fig. 1, diffusion-based RGB-VFI methods, such as DualSVD [37], can generate visually pleasing results in the absence of inter-frame motion information. This demonstrates the potential of diffusion priors in handling large motions in VFI tasks. Still, naively using diffusion model in interpolation may lead visually pleasing but incorrect motion, as shown in the last row of Fig. 1.

Therefore, in this work, we propose an event-guided video diffusion model (EGVD), which both utilize the strong prior from video diffusion model, but also ensure the correctness of reconstruction result. The key factor of EGVD is modeling event motion information along with adjacent RGB frames as a conditional signal for SVD finetuning. To achieve effective condition modeling, we design a Multi-Modal Motion Condition Generator (MMCG), which integrates the motion cues from events and RGB frames into the RGB domain. It formulates the condition generator as a coarse Event-VFI process, where its output approximates the VAE encoding of the interpolated frame. The generating conditions that resemble the coarse interpolation results brings them closer to the original input format of SVD, reducing optimization complexity of finetuning SVD.

With the novel condition calculated from input event stream, the next step is to finetune a pre-trained stable video diffusion (SVD) model is based on this condition. To reduce the optimization complexity of fine-tuning SVD, we propose a two-stage optimization strategy: the condition generator is first trained in a supervised manner as a separate stage, allowing only the SVD model to be fine-tuned in the later stage. Since fine-tuning SVD for a new task requires a large amount of data, we curate a dataset by gathering both real and synthetic Event-VFI scenes from publicly available sources, as well as capturing our own simulated scenes. The dataset contains 122,810 frames across 400 scenes, which we use for model training. Extensive experimental results demonstrate that our approach outperforms existing methods, particularly in large-motion and low-light scenarios.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We introduce a novel Multi-Modal Motion Condition Generator (MMCG), which integrates event information into the SVD framework to improve the interpolation of large motions.
- We propose a two-stage training strategy, which first trains the conditioning generator independently, followed by fine-tuning the SVD model to adapt to Event-VFI.
- We construct a diverse and comprehensive training dataset, combines real-world and synthetic event-RGB data, improving the generalization ability of our model.
- Extensive experimental results demonstrate that our approach outperforms existing methods, particularly in large-motion and low-light scenarios.




Figure 2. The illustration of our Event-Guided Video Diffusion Model for physically realistic large-motion frame interpolation.

## 2. Related work

### 2.1. RGB-based video frame interpolation

Video frame interpolation is a fundamental yet challenging task aimed at generating intermediate frames between consecutive video frames. Some learning-based methods directly generate inter-frames using techniques such as adaptive separable convolution [28], phase decomposition [26], and transformer-based structures [32]. Another class of methods [2, 14, 33, 38] relies on the explicit estimation of optical flow during interpolation. However, RGB-VFI methods often experience degraded performance when handling complex and large motion, primarily due to the lack of accurate motion information between consecutive RGB frames. Recently, diffusion-based models [8, 15, 16], which have seen significant success in generative modeling [13, 30], have been applied to RGB-based video frame interpolation, leading to substantial improvements, particularly in scenes with large motion. However, due to the absence of detailed motion information, the generated motion often fails to adhere to physical laws and does not align with real-world motion dynamics.

### 2.2. Event-based video frame interpolation

Event cameras, which capture intensity changes of objects, are known for their high frame rates and wide dynamic range [6, 10, 29], making them particularly beneficial for video frame interpolation (VFI) tasks. As a result, the use of event cameras for VFI (Event-VFI) has gained traction as a promising solution for interpolating complex, non-linear, and large motions [19, 34–36]. Notable approaches such as Time Lens [35] and its enhanced version, Time

Lens++ [36], have demonstrated strong performance in handling non-linear motion interpolation. In addition, Sun et al. [34] proposed REFID, a framework that jointly performs image deblurring and interpolation. Meanwhile, Kim et al. [19] introduced CBMNet, which incorporates cross-modal asymmetric bidirectional motion field estimation to further improve interpolation accuracy. TimeLens-XL [25] aims to address large-motion interpolation and is capable of real-time processing. However, due to the ill-posed nature of the interpolation problem in scenes with large motion, previous methods struggle to produce visually pleasing results. To address this issue, we incorporate video diffusion priors to enhance interpolation quality.

## 3. Video diffusion based Event-VFI

To obtain the interpolated frames  $I_{t+\Delta t}$  between two neighbor frames  $I_t, I_{t+1}$  and corresponding events  $E$ , where  $\Delta t \in (t, t+1)$ , a video diffusion based Event-VFI framework is designed, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The key factor of this framework is incorporating the motion information from events and the RGB frames as motion conditions within the stable video diffusion (SVD) framework. Therefore, we first review the background of SVD in Sec. 3.1, followed by description on how RGB and event signals control the generation process of SVD in Sec. 3.2, along with details on the SVD fine-tuning procedure in Sec. 3.3.

### 3.1. Stable video diffusion

Diffusion models [30] are generative models that add noise to data  $x_0 \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$  to obtain Gaussian noise  $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and learn a reverse process to progressively remove

the noise.  $I$  is the identity matrix. For video generation, image-conditioned stable video diffusion (SVD) [3] is proposed, which incorporates 3D convolution and temporal attention layers to model temporal dynamics. Since the original SVD generates the video from the first frame, despite having prior knowledge, the motion is not physically consistent. Therefore, we aim to leverage both the high temporal resolution of event cameras and the powerful generative capabilities of diffusion models to address physically realistic large-motion frame interpolation. The core step is how to model the motion information from  $I_t$  and  $I_{t+1}$  with event voxel signals  $E$  as condition  $c$  to control the output of SVD.

For model motion condition in RGB-VFI [9, 37] and Event-VFI [5], a common approach is to perform image-to-video tasks conditioned on the initial and final frames separately, then merge the intermediate features to create the final interpolated frame. However, this approach requires running two Diffusion U-Nets, which doubles the inference time. Additionally, training two diffusion models introduces complexity and instability to the training process. To address these limitations and efficiently control the SVD for interpolation, we propose the Multi-Modal Motion Condition Generator (MMCG) to explicitly generate motion conditions.

### 3.2. Multi-modal motion condition generator

As illustrated in Fig. 2 (a), the MMCG generates motion conditions  $\{c_t\}^n$ , where  $n$  is the number of interpolated frames, from  $I_t$ ,  $I_{t+1}$ , and the corresponding event signals  $E_{t \rightarrow t+1}$ . These conditions are then used to control the SVD process. We denote the MMCG as  $\mathcal{G}$ , with the output motion condition features expressed as:

$$c_t = \mathcal{G}(E_{t \rightarrow t+1}, I_t, I_{t+1}). \quad (1)$$

By incorporating MMCG as the motion condition controller within the SVD framework, we develop our Event-Guided Video Diffusion Model (EGVD), as illustrated in Fig. 2. The MMCG consists of three key components: feature extraction, multi-modal feature fusion, and condition generation, as described below.

**Feature extraction module.** Feature extraction module is used to encode RGB and event signals into feature space.

$$h_t = \mathcal{E}_{\text{VAE}}(I_t), \quad h^e = \mathcal{E}_{\text{VFE}}(E, M(E)), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{VAE}}(\cdot)$  is the pre-trained VAE encoder which is frozen in the training process, and  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{VFE}}$  is the voxel feature extraction module, which consists of 3D convolutions with LeakyReLU activations to model temporal information.

We employ a voxel grid representation with 8 temporal bins to encode fine-grained event features  $E$  for each frame[41]. We implement an ROI selection mechanism

to prioritize computation on motion-relevant regions. The event stream is first normalized ( $E' = |E| / \max(|E|)$ ) and smoothed with a Gaussian filter ( $E'' = G_\sigma * E'$ ). Binary masks are generated by thresholding ( $B = 1$  if  $E'' > 0.01$  else 0), followed by morphological dilation and median filtering to create coherent motion regions ( $M(E) = M_{\text{kmed}}(B \oplus K_{\text{dilate}})$ ). The final mask integrates ROIs across all temporal channels, concentrating the model’s attention on areas with significant event activity while reducing computational overhead in static regions.

**Multi-modal feature fusion.** Multi-modal feature fusion (MMF) aims to fuse information from both RGB and event modalities. The MMF process is defined as:

$$f_{\text{fuse}}, w = \text{MMF}(h_t, h_{t+1}, h^e), \quad (3)$$

where MMF utilizes 3D convolutions to generate the fusion feature  $f_{\text{fuse}}$  and the predicted weight vector  $w = [w_1, w_2, w_3]$ . The final fused feature is computed as:

$$f_{\text{mmf}} = w_1 h_t + w_2 h_{t+1} + w_3 h^e + f_{\text{fuse}}. \quad (4)$$

**Residual attention learning module.** The Residual Attention Learning Module (RALM) is designed to generate supplementary features for condition control information  $c_t$  used in frame interpolation. Specifically, RALM processes the fused feature  $f_{\text{mmf}}$  as input and produces the motion condition feature  $f_{\text{evs}}$  as follows:

$$f_{\text{evs}} = \text{RALM}(f_{\text{mmf}}). \quad (5)$$

As illustrated in Fig. 2(e), the RALM architecture comprises five 3D residual blocks, with the middle three blocks incorporating both temporal attention [31] and spatial attention [42] mechanisms. These attention mechanisms significantly enhance the module’s ability to capture complex motion patterns and spatial dependencies across frames. The output feature  $f_{\text{evs}}$  is subsequently passed to the Adaptive Weighting (AW) module, which utilizes these features to generate the optimal conditioning signals  $c_t$  for the diffusion model.

**Adaptive weighting.** For feature fusion across the temporal dimension, we employ a temporal-based dynamic weight allocation. Given a sequence of length  $T + 1$  (with  $T - 1$  intermediate frames between two key frames), the weights for frame features at time step  $k \in [0, T]$  are:

$$w_{h_t}(k) = k/T, \quad w_{h_{t+1}}(k) = (T - k)/T, \quad (6)$$

Please note that the indices  $[1, T - 1]$  represent the intermediate frames to be interpolated, while 0 and  $T$  correspond to the input frames that serve as the boundary conditions for the interpolation process. Event features  $f_{\text{evs}}$  contribute only to intermediate frames:

$$w_{\text{evs}}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, T - 1\} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \in \{0, T\} \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

Table 1. Quantitative comparison of video interpolation methods across different datasets. The best results are highlighted in **bold**, while the second-best results are underlined. All datasets consist of RGB images with a skip of  $\times 3$  to evaluate large motion.

Dataset	Method	PSNR $\uparrow$	SSIM $\uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$	MANIQA $\uparrow$	MUSIQ $\uparrow$	LIQE $\uparrow$
Prophesee	SuperSloMo [17]	21.13	0.6569	0.2051	<b>0.2278</b>	<u>45.03</u>	<u>1.451</u>
	RIFE [14]	21.64	0.6728	0.2276	0.1988	42.20	1.180
	CBMNet [19]	<b>24.01</b>	<u>0.7399</u>	0.2512	0.2134	43.14	1.233
	DualSVD [37]	19.98	0.7568	<u>0.1958</u>	0.2033	41.75	1.228
	EGVD (Ours)	<u>22.87</u>	<b>0.7768</b>	<b>0.1422</b>	<u>0.2225</u>	<b>46.04</b>	<b>1.475</b>
BS-RGB	SuperSloMo [17]	18.22	0.5552	<u>0.2283</u>	<u>0.2830</u>	<b>57.38</b>	<u>2.347</u>
	RIFE [14]	18.94	0.5859	0.2601	0.2697	54.81	1.964
	CBMNet [19]	<b>21.62</b>	<b>0.6487</b>	0.2216	0.2595	52.84	1.956
	DualSVD [37]	18.67	<u>0.6418</u>	0.2596	0.2322	51.52	1.920
	EGVD (Ours)	<u>19.81</u>	0.5913	<b>0.1732</b>	<b>0.2831</b>	<u>57.31</u>	<b>2.557</b>
DJI 30fps	SuperSloMo [17]	17.94	0.6082	0.3228	<u>0.2972</u>	<u>61.07</u>	2.456
	RIFE [14]	17.88	0.6254	0.3789	0.2675	52.73	1.830
	CBMNet [19]	16.24	0.5486	0.4298	0.1660	43.37	1.244
	DualSVD [37]	<u>18.73</u>	<u>0.6457</u>	<u>0.2906</u>	0.2506	54.86	2.466
	EGVD (Ours)	<b>22.46</b>	<b>0.7555</b>	<b>0.1993</b>	<b>0.3321</b>	<b>61.38</b>	<b>3.096</b>
GOPRO 240fps	SuperSloMo [17]	21.32	0.6745	<b>0.1309</b>	0.2020	<u>47.24</u>	1.532
	RIFE [14]	<u>21.90</u>	<u>0.6910</u>	<u>0.1397</u>	0.2069	<b>47.55</b>	1.523
	CBMNet [19]	13.70	0.4103	0.4057	0.1567	30.85	1.068
	DualSVD [37]	18.24	0.5655	0.2161	<u>0.2199</u>	45.22	<u>1.645</u>
	EGVD (Ours)	<b>23.84</b>	<b>0.8039</b>	0.1579	<b>0.2207</b>	46.35	<b>1.725</b>

The final feature for each time step is then obtained through:

$$c_t = w_{\text{evs}} \cdot f_{\text{evs}} + w_{h_t} \cdot h_t + w_{h_{t+1}} \cdot h_{t+1}, \quad (8)$$

This weighting strategy not only ensures smooth transitions between frames, but also leverages event information for residual learning to compensate for the incomplete information in the intermediate frames. It is worth noting that for the input frames at  $k = 0$  and  $k = T$ , the original information remains unchanged, preserving the integrity of the initial inputs throughout the interpolation process.

### 3.3. Training of EGVD

**Training of MMCG** Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_N, I_{N+1}\}$  represent the complete video frame sequence, where  $I_0$  and  $I_{N+1}$  are the input keyframes, and  $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N\}$  are the intermediate frames to be predicted. Given the input frames  $I_0, I_{N+1}$ , and the event stream  $E$  between them, the training objective of the condition generator  $\mathcal{G}_\Theta$  is to produce feature representations that are as consistent as possible with the complete sequence  $\mathcal{I}$  in the latent space. Notably, our model not only predicts the intermediate frames but also preserves the input frames in the output, thus generating latent representations for all  $N + 2$  frames. The training loss function is defined as the mean squared error in the latent

space:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MMCG}}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{N+2} \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \|\mathcal{E}_{\text{VAE}}(I_i) - \mathcal{G}_\Theta(E, I_0, I_{N+1})_i\|_2^2 \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{VAE}}$  represents the VAE encoder with fixed parameters,  $I_i$  is the  $i$ -th frame in the sequence,  $\mathcal{G}_\Theta$  denotes the condition generator with parameters  $\Theta$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_\Theta(E, I_0, I_{N+1})_i$  represents the predicted feature for the  $i$ -th frame by the condition generator.

**Denoising model fine-tuning** We fine-tune the pre-trained SVD model by focusing only on temporal self-attention modules, specifically the value ( $W_v$ ) and output ( $W_o$ ) projection layers. Our fine-tuning objective function borrows from Elucidated Diffusion Model (EDM)[18] to ensure training stability by normalizing inputs, outputs, and training targets to maintain consistent standard deviations throughout the training process.

The process begins by adding Gaussian noise  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  to latent features  $z$  with noise level  $\sigma_t$  sampled from a log-normal distribution:

$$\tilde{z} = z + \sigma_t \cdot N, \quad \sigma_t = \exp(\epsilon_t), \quad \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0.7, 1.6). \quad (10)$$

We normalize inputs to ensure stable network processing:

$$\tilde{z}_{\text{norm}} = \tilde{z} / (\sqrt{\sigma_t^2 + 1}). \quad (11)$$

The UNet then predicts the denoised features:  $z_{\text{pred}} = \text{UNet}(\tilde{z}_{\text{norm}}, c_t)$ . The denoised representation is calculated using normalized coefficients:

$$z_{\text{denoised}} = z_{\text{pred}} \cdot \frac{-\sigma_t}{\sqrt{\sigma_t^2 + 1}} + \tilde{z} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_t^2 + 1}. \quad (12)$$

Our noise-level-weighted loss function balances contributions across different noise levels:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{denoise}} = \mathbb{E}_{z, N} \left[ \frac{1 + \sigma_t^2}{\sigma_t^2} \cdot \|z_{\text{denoised}} - z\|_2^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

This selective fine-tuning approach, combined with data normalization techniques that stabilize diffusion training, preserves spatial modeling capabilities while efficiently incorporating event-guided temporal information, resulting in significantly reduced training data requirements and accelerated convergence.

## 4. Experiment

### 4.1. Dataset

We evaluate our method on four diverse datasets: Prophesee, BS-ERGB[36], DJI 30fps, and GOPRO 240fps[27]. The Prophesee dataset is a combination of three sub-datasets captured by a Prophesee event camera in tandem with a synchronized RGB camera system. It comprises HQEVFI[25] under normal lighting, EVF-LL[40] in low-light, and ERDS[7] under both lighting conditions. For simplicity, we refer to this combined collection as Prophesee.

The GOPRO 240fps dataset primarily consists of global motion, which limits its ability to represent diverse real-world scenes. To address this, we captured the DJI 30fps dataset using a Action4 camera at 240fps in challenging scenes. We simulate events in the reverse ISP space with the v2e simulator, following the method in [40], while ensuring that RIFE is not used for large-motion events. This results in an effective frame rate reduced by a factor of eight. In contrast, the GOPRO 240fps dataset undergoes a similar simulation, but RIFE is applied for eightfold frame interpolation, minimizing inter-frame motion.

For training, we use both simulated and real-world data, totaling 400 video sequences: 180 from DJI, 22 from GOPRO, 63 from BS-ERGB, and 135 from Prophesee. The test set includes 11 DJI, 11 GOPRO, 26 BS-ERGB, and 15 Prophesee videos. To rigorously evaluate performance under large-motion conditions, we adopt a ‘‘skip-three-frames’’ strategy, where every third frame is selected from each video, significantly increasing the frame displacement. Notably, our mixed training strategy combines skip-one and skip-two frame sequences, with skip-three frames randomly incorporated during training.

### 4.2. Implementation details

The proposed Event-Guided Video Diffusion (EGVD) model is implemented in PyTorch and trained on a server equipped with four NVIDIA A100 GPUs. Training proceeds in two stages. In the initial phase, a conditional generator is trained for 30,000 iterations using the Adam optimizer with a fixed learning rate of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  and a batch size of 4. This is followed by a fine-tuning stage focusing on the temporal self-attention layer within the SVD Unet for an additional 30,000 iterations. The patch size during the training process is 512×512. For inference, we adopt the DDIM sampler with 50 steps to balance generation quality and efficiency, and classifier-free guidance[12] is applied to further refine the output.

### 4.3. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods

We conduct extensive comparisons against leading frame interpolation approaches to evaluate our EGVD model’s performance. Our comparative analysis includes conventional optical flow-based methods (SuperSloMo [17] and RIFE [14]), an event-based approach (CBMNet [19]), and a diffusion-based method (DualSVD [37]). To ensure fair comparison, we use officially released pre-trained weights for all baseline methods. For CBMNet, we specifically employ their CBMNet-Large. Due to DualSVD’s resolution sensitivity, we process inputs at 1024×576 resolution before resizing them to 512×512.

#### 4.3.1. Quantitative results

Tab. 1 presents results across four diverse datasets. On the large-motion DJI 30fps dataset, EGVD demonstrates substantial improvements across all metrics, with remarkable gains in PSNR (+3.73dB) and LPIPS (31.4% improvement) over the second-best method. This confirms our approach’s capability in handling challenging large motion scenarios where conventional techniques fundamentally struggle due to motion ambiguity.

For real-event datasets (Prophesee and BSRGB), our method achieves optimal perceptual quality metrics (LPIPS, MANIQA, MUSIQ, LIQE) while maintaining competitive fidelity measures. Notably, EGVD improves LPIPS by 27.4% on Prophesee and 24.1% on BSRGB compared to the next best method, indicating substantially better perceptual quality that aligns with human visual assessment. Even on small-motion GOPRO data where event information provides less distinctive advantage, EGVD outperforms all competitors in PSNR (+1.94dB over RIFE) and SSIM (+0.1129), demonstrating the framework’s remarkable versatility across diverse motion scales and scenarios.

#### 4.3.2. Qualitative analysis

Fig. 3 illustrates EGVD’s significant advantages in challenging scenarios. In the fast-moving vehicle scene (rows 1-




Figure 3. Qualitative comparison of various VFI methods across multiple real scenes. For example, #*i* indicates the frame index. The three examples shown from top to bottom are from the real datasets HQEVFI, BSRGB, and ERDS, respectively. Note that all results are generated using a unified set of inference weights, without dataset-specific training. **See the supplementary video for more results.**

Table 2. Ablation study on key components of EGVD framework.

Configuration	PSNR $\uparrow$	SSIM $\uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$
w/o SVD denoiser	18.61	0.6489	0.3859
w/o MMCG	15.67	0.4533	0.3425
w/o VFE	16.95	0.5459	0.2938
w/o MMF	20.95	0.6788	0.1952
w/o RALM	21.18	0.6938	0.1857
w/o AW	18.49	0.6879	0.2789
Full model (EGVD)	<b>22.25</b>	<b>0.7319</b>	<b>0.1682</b>

3), conventional methods exhibit critical limitations: SuperSloMo generates ghosting artifacts, RIFE produces severe positioning errors, and DualSVD fails to reconstruct correct vehicle geometry. CBMNet better captures position but suffers from detail loss. In contrast, EGVD precisely reconstructs both position and structural details, closely matching ground truth. For non-rigid human motion (rows 4-6), SuperSloMo and RIFE exhibit object deformation and boundary artifacts, DualSVD produces unnatural distortions, and CBMNet introduces significant blur. EGVD reconstructs this challenging motion with exceptional fidelity, preserving both movement trajectory and fine-grained details. In the low-light scene (rows 7-9), conventional methods struggle substantially with ghosting, incorrect motion paths, and blurring. EGVD leverages event data’s high dynamic range to overcome these limitations, producing results with accurate positioning, clear edges, and natural appearance even under challenging lighting conditions.

#### 4.4. Ablation studies

To evaluate the contribution of individual components within our EGVD framework, we conduct comprehensive ablation experiments across diverse visual scenes. Tab. 2 presents quantitative results, while Fig. 4 provides qualitative visual evidence under challenging conditions.

**Effect of video diffusion prior:** To evaluate the impact of the video diffusion prior, we compare our full model with a variant that does not incorporate the video diffusion prior (w/o SVD denoiser). The results demonstrate that our full model achieves significantly better performance on both PSNR and LPIPS. Additionally, in scenes with large motion (e.g., the second image in Fig. 4), although events can help model (w/o SVD denoiser) recover accurate motion information, the synthesized frames still lack visually pleasing details. This highlights the critical role of diffusion priors in high-quality frame synthesis.

**Effect of MMCG architecture:** To validate the effectiveness of the Multi-modal Motion Condition Generator (MMCG), we conduct ablation studies on its individual components. As shown in Tab. 2 and Fig. 4, these studies confirm the effectiveness of our module designs. We focus our discussion on three key components: MMCG as a




Figure 4. Qualitative ablation study results under different component configurations. (Top: Low-Light, Bottom: Large Motion)

whole, the Voxel Feature Extractor (VFE), and the Adaptive Weighting (AW) module.

Removing MMCG entirely, *i.e.*, directly concatenating all inputs to fine-tune SVD, leads to significant performance degradation due to the modality gap and the limited Event-VFI data. The VFE module is crucial for capturing dense temporal motion cues from events, and its absence severely impacts performance. Lastly, AW fundamentally alters the model’s learning objective—without it, the model must directly predict features in the latent space rather than refining residuals, which increases the learning complexity. This is particularly detrimental in regions with complex motion, leading to less accurate interpolation results.

#### 4.5. Limitations

Despite EGVD’s visually superior results over existing methods in large-motion and diverse lighting scenarios, several limitations remain. Due to fidelity issues in the SVD prior, our approach achieves lower PSNR on certain real-event datasets compared to CBMNet, despite offering better perceptual quality. Additionally, the inference speed is limited by the computational cost of SVD.

### 5. Conclusion

We presented EGVD, a novel event-guided video diffusion model that effectively addresses frame interpolation challenges in large-motion scenarios by integrating stable video diffusion with high temporal resolution event data. Our Multi-modal Motion Condition Generator fuses RGB

and event information to provide precise diffusion guidance, while our selective fine-tuning strategy enables efficient adaptation of pre-trained diffusion priors. By adopting normalization techniques inspired by Elucidated Diffusion Models, we ensure stable training across different noise levels, significantly improving convergence efficiency. Extensive experiments demonstrate that EGVD consistently outperforms existing methods across diverse datasets, particularly in challenging scenarios with large motion and varying lighting conditions. Future work could explore incorporating more diverse real-world event data and extending our approach to other high temporal resolution video tasks.

## References

- [1] Kai Bai, Chunhao Wang, Mathieu Desbrun, and Xiaopei Liu. Predicting high-resolution turbulence details in space and time. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(6):1–16, 2021. 2
- [2] Wenbo Bao, Wei-Sheng Lai, Chao Ma, Xiaoyun Zhang, Zhiyong Gao, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Depth-aware video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3703–3712, 2019. 2, 3
- [3] Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023. 2, 4
- [4] Christian Brandli, Raphael Berner, Minhao Yang, Shih-Chii Liu, and Tobi Delbruck. A 240×180 130 db 3 us latency global shutter spatiotemporal vision sensor. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 49(10):2333–2341, 2014. 2
- [5] Jingxi Chen, Brandon Y Feng, Haoming Cai, Tianfu Wang, Levi Burner, Dehao Yuan, Cornelia Fermuller, Christopher A Metzler, and Yiannis Aloimonos. Repurposing pre-trained video diffusion models for event-based video interpolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.07761*, 2024. 2, 4
- [6] Yutian Chen, Shi Guo, Fangzheng Yu, Feng Zhang, Jinwei Gu, and Tianfan Xue. Event-based motion magnification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11957*, 2024. 3
- [7] Hoonhee Cho, Taewoo Kim, Yuhwan Jeong, and Kuk-Jin Yoon. Tta-evf: test-time adaptation for event-based video frame interpolation via reliable pixel and sample estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 25701–25711, 2024. 6
- [8] Duolikun Danier, Fan Zhang, and David Bull. Ldmvfi: Video frame interpolation with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1472–1480, 2024. 3
- [9] Haiwen Feng, Zheng Ding, Zhihao Xia, Simon Niklaus, Victoria Abrevaya, Michael J Black, and Xuaner Zhang. Explorative inbetweening of time and space. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 378–395. Springer, 2024. 2, 4
- [10] Daniel Gehrig and Davide Scaramuzza. Low-latency automotive vision with event cameras. *Nature*, 629(8014):1034–1040, 2024. 3
- [11] Menghan Guo, Shoushun Chen, Zhe Gao, Wenlei Yang, Peter Bartkovjak, Qing Qin, Xiaoqin Hu, Dahei Zhou, Masayuki Uchiyama, Yoshiharu Kudo, et al. A 3-wafer-stacked hybrid 15mpixel cis+ 1 mpixel evs with 4.6 gevent/s readout, in-pixel tdc and on-chip isp and esp function. In *2023 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC)*, pages 90–92. IEEE, 2023. 2
- [12] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. 6
- [13] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 3
- [14] Zhewei Huang, Tianyuan Zhang, Wen Heng, Boxin Shi, and Shuchang Zhou. Real-time intermediate flow estimation for video frame interpolation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 624–642. Springer, 2022. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
- [15] Zhilin Huang, Yijie Yu, Ling Yang, Chujun Qin, Bing Zheng, Xiawu Zheng, Zikun Zhou, Yaowei Wang, and Wenming Yang. Motion-aware latent diffusion models for video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 1043–1052, 2024. 3
- [16] Siddhant Jain, Daniel Watson, Eric Tabellion, Ben Poole, Janne Kontkanen, et al. Video interpolation with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7341–7351, 2024. 3
- [17] Huaizu Jiang, Deqing Sun, Varun Jampani, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Erik Learned-Miller, and Jan Kautz. Super slomo: High quality estimation of multiple intermediate frames for video interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9000–9008, 2018. 5, 6
- [18] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion-based generative models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:26565–26577, 2022. 5
- [19] Taewoo Kim, Yujeong Chae, Hyun-Kurl Jang, and Kuk-Jin Yoon. Event-based video frame interpolation with cross-modal asymmetric bidirectional motion fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18032–18042, 2023. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
- [20] Jongho Lee, Jenu Varghese Chacko, Bing Dai, Syed Azer Reza, Abdul Kader Sagar, Kevin W Eliceiri, Andreas Velten, and Mohit Gupta. Coding scheme optimization for fast fluorescence lifetime imaging. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 38(3):1–16, 2019. 2
- [21] Xiaohui Li, Yihao Liu, Shuo Cao, Ziyang Chen, Shaobin Zhuang, Xiangyu Chen, Yanan He, Yi Wang, and Yu Qiao. Diffvsr: Enhancing real-world video super-resolution with diffusion models for advanced visual quality and temporal consistency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.10110*, 2025. 2
- [22] Patrick Lichtsteiner, Christoph Posch, and Tobi Delbruck. A 128×128 120 db 15us latency asynchronous temporal contrast vision sensor. *IEEE journal of solid-state circuits*, 43(2):566–576, 2008. 2

- [23] Ce Liu, Antonio Torralba, William T Freeman, Frédo Durand, and Edward H Adelson. Motion magnification. *ACM transactions on graphics (TOG)*, 24(3):519–526, 2005. 2
- [24] Hanyuan Liu, Minshan Xie, Jinbo Xing, Chengze Li, and Tien-Tsin Wong. Video colorization with pre-trained text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01732*, 2023. 2
- [25] Yongrui Ma, Shi Guo, Yutian Chen, Tianfan Xue, and Jinwei Gu. Timelens-xl: Real-time event-based video frame interpolation with large motion. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 178–194. Springer, 2024. 3, 6
- [26] Simone Meyer, Abdelaziz Djelouah, Brian McWilliams, Alexander Sorkine-Hornung, Markus Gross, and Christopher Schroers. Phasenet for video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 498–507, 2018. 3
- [27] Seungjun Nah, Tae Hyun Kim, and Kyoung Mu Lee. Deep multi-scale convolutional neural network for dynamic scene deblurring. In *CVPR*, 2017. 6
- [28] Simon Niklaus, Long Mai, and Feng Liu. Video frame interpolation via adaptive separable convolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 261–270, 2017. 3
- [29] Christoph Posch, Daniel Matolin, and Rainer Wohlgenannt. A qvga 143 db dynamic range frame-free pwm image sensor with lossless pixel-level video compression and time-domain cds. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 46(1):259–275, 2010. 3
- [30] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 3
- [31] Guy D Rosin and Kira Radinsky. Temporal attention for language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02093*, 2022. 4
- [32] Zhihao Shi, Xiangyu Xu, Xiaohong Liu, Jun Chen, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Video frame interpolation transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17482–17491, 2022. 3
- [33] Hyeonjun Sim, Jihyong Oh, and Munchurl Kim. Xvfi: extreme video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 14489–14498, 2021. 2, 3
- [34] Lei Sun, Christos Sakaridis, Jingyun Liang, Peng Sun, Jiezhong Cao, Kai Zhang, Qi Jiang, Kaiwei Wang, and Luc Van Gool. Event-based frame interpolation with ad-hoc deblurring. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18043–18052, 2023. 3
- [35] Stepan Tulyakov, Daniel Gehrig, Stamatios Georgoulis, Julius Erbach, Mathias Gehrig, Yuanyou Li, and Davide Scaramuzza. Time lens: Event-based video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 16155–16164, 2021. 2, 3
- [36] Stepan Tulyakov, Alfredo Bochicchio, Daniel Gehrig, Stamatios Georgoulis, Yuanyou Li, and Davide Scaramuzza. Time lens++: Event-based frame interpolation with parametric non-linear flow and multi-scale fusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17755–17764, 2022. 2, 3, 6
- [37] Xiaojuan Wang, Boyang Zhou, Brian Curless, Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman, Aleksander Holynski, and Steven M Seitz. Generative inbetweening: Adapting image-to-video models for keyframe interpolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15239*, 2024. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6
- [38] Yue Wu, Qiang Wen, and Qifeng Chen. Optimizing video prediction via video frame interpolation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17814–17823, 2022. 2, 3
- [39] Ting-Hua Yang, Hsien-Yuan Hsieh, Tse-Han Lin, Wei-Zen Sun, and Ming Ouhyoung. A high frame rate affordable nystagmus detection method with smartphones used in outpatient clinic. pages 1–2, 2022. 2
- [40] Ziran Zhang, Yongrui Ma, Yueting Chen, Feng Zhang, Jinwei Gu, Tianfan Xue, and Shi Guo. From sim-to-real: Toward general event-based low-light frame interpolation with per-scene optimization. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–10, 2024. 6
- [41] Lin Zhu, Xianzhang Chen, Lizhi Wang, Xiao Wang, Yonghong Tian, and Hua Huang. Continuous-time object segmentation using high temporal resolution event camera. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2024. 4
- [42] Xizhou Zhu, Dazhi Cheng, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Jifeng Dai. An empirical study of spatial attention mechanisms in deep networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6688–6697, 2019. 4