

Network Inversion for Generating Confidently Classified Counterfeits

Pirzada Suhail
IIT Bombay
India

psuhail@iitb.ac.in

Amit Sethi
IIT Bombay
India

asethi@iitb.ac.in

Abstract

In machine learning, especially with vision classifiers, generating inputs that are confidently classified by the model is essential for understanding its decision boundaries and behavior. However, creating such samples that are confidently classified yet distinct from the training data distribution is a challenge. Traditional methods often modify existing inputs, but they don't always ensure confident classification. In this work, we extend network inversion techniques to generate Confidently Classified Counterfeits—synthetic samples that are confidently classified by the model despite being significantly different from the training data. We achieve this by modifying the generator's conditioning mechanism from soft vector conditioning to one-hot vector conditioning and applying Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD) between the one-hot vectors and the classifier's output distribution. This encourages the generator to produce samples that are both plausible and confidently classified. Generating Confidently Classified Counterfeits is crucial for ensuring the safety and reliability of machine learning systems, particularly in safety-critical applications where models must exhibit confidence only on data within the training distribution. By generating such counterfeits, we challenge the assumption that high-confidence predictions are always indicative of in-distribution data, providing deeper insights into the model's limitations and decision-making process.

1. Introduction

Neural networks have demonstrated exceptional performance across various fields, from image recognition to autonomous driving and medical diagnostics. Despite their success, these models often operate as "black boxes," with their decision-making processes remaining opaque. This lack of transparency is particularly concerning in safety-critical applications, where trustworthiness and interpretability are essential. As neural networks are increasingly relied upon in high-stakes environments, there is a growing demand for techniques that can provide a clearer

understanding of their internal workings and decision-making pathways.

A fundamental challenge in improving the interpretability of neural networks is understanding the relationship between their predictions and the input data. In many traditional approaches, high-confidence predictions are assumed to indicate that the model is making decisions based on in-distribution data—data that is similar to what it has seen during training. This assumption, however, can be problematic. In reality, neural networks may confidently classify data that is significantly different from the training distribution, leading to Confidently Classified Counterfeits—synthetic inputs that are confidently classified by the model despite being far from the training data.

This paper introduces a novel approach to generating Confidently Classified Counterfeits by extending network inversion techniques. Network inversion typically works by reconstructing inputs that would lead to specific outputs, enabling insight into the model's learned features. However, traditional inversion does not guarantee that the generated samples are confidently classified, which is crucial for understanding how the model behaves under confident predictions. Our method focuses on generating synthetic samples that are confidently classified by the model while being distinctly different from the training data, challenging the conventional notion that high-confidence predictions are always tied to in-distribution samples.

To achieve this, we propose a conditioned generator that learns to produce diverse, plausible samples corresponding to specific class labels. We modify the conditioning mechanism from simple label vectors to one-hot vectors and matrices, which better capture the model's classification logic. To encourage diversity in the generated samples, we apply a combination of loss functions, including cross-entropy, Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence, cosine similarity, and feature orthogonality. The use of dropout during the generation process ensures that the samples vary significantly, while the regularization of feature orthogonality prevents redundancy in the generated set. By penalizing deviations in the Gram matrix of the features, we enforce more distinct

and independent representations, resulting in a more varied set of counterfeits.

Our methodology not only increases the diversity of the generated inputs but also provides deeper insights into the decision-making processes of neural networks. By revealing the hidden patterns and features that influence network predictions, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of neural network behavior. This understanding is crucial for several applications, including improving interpretability, enhancing safety, and boosting adversarial robustness. The generation of Confidently Classified Counterfeits challenges the prevailing assumption that high-confidence predictions are always an indicator of in-distribution data. This approach provides a unique opportunity to test the boundaries of the model’s decision space and to better understand how neural networks make decisions when faced with unfamiliar inputs. By generating counterfeits with high confidence but distinct from the training distribution, we gain valuable insights into the model’s decision boundaries and its limitations. Ultimately, this work contributes to improving the interpretability and trustworthiness of neural networks, particularly in safety-critical applications, where high-confidence predictions must be scrutinized to ensure reliability and transparency.

2. Related Works

The idea of neural network inversion has attracted considerable attention as a means of gaining insight into the inner workings of neural networks. Inversion focuses on identifying input patterns that closely match a given output, allowing us to uncover the data processing functions embedded in the network’s parameters. These methods provide critical insights into how models represent and manipulate information, thereby exposing the latent structure within neural networks. Early work on inversion for multi-layer perceptrons, as demonstrated by [5] using the back-propagation algorithm, highlighted the utility of this technique in tasks like digit recognition. Despite the strong generalization abilities of multi-layer perceptrons—successfully classifying previously unseen digits—they often struggle to reject counterexamples, such as random input patterns.

Building on this, [4] introduced evolutionary inversion techniques for feed-forward networks, notable for their ability to identify multiple inversion points simultaneously. This approach provides a broader perspective on the input-output relationships within the network. [9] examines the lack of interpretability in artificial neural networks (ANNs) and proposes an inversion-based method for rule extraction, which generates input patterns that correspond to specific output targets. This method allows for the creation of hyperplane-based rules that clarify the network’s decision-making process. In a different approach, [13] addresses the inversion of deep networks by formulating the task of find-

ing inputs that minimize certain output criteria as a constrained optimization problem. This is solved using the alternating direction method of multipliers. In the context of adversarial attacks, [16] studies model inversion techniques, where attackers seek to infer training data from a model’s predictions by training a secondary network to perform the inversion, using auxiliary datasets generated from the adversary’s prior knowledge.

Another important contribution is presented in [7], which introduces Model Inversion Networks (MINs) to solve data-driven optimization problems. MINs learn an inverse mapping from scores to inputs, enabling them to efficiently handle high-dimensional input spaces. Similarly, [1] proposes an automated inversion method that emphasizes the reliability of inverse solutions. The approach works by identifying inverse solutions near reliable data points sampled during the forward process, and it integrates predictive uncertainty to improve both accuracy and robustness during inversion.

Traditional network inversion methods often rely on gradient descent across a non-convex loss landscape, which can result in slow and unstable optimization. To overcome these challenges, [8] introduces a method for learning the loss landscape in such a way that gradient descent becomes more efficient, greatly improving the speed and stability of the inversion process. Likewise, [11] proposes a deterministic method for inversion by encoding the network into a Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) propositional formula and using SAT solvers and samplers to find satisfying assignments for the formula. Although this approach ensures the generation of diverse input samples, it comes at the cost of high computational complexity, making it less suitable for large-scale applications.

In this paper, we apply network inversion to generate Confidently Classified Counterfeits (CCC), striking a balance between computational efficiency and the diversity of generated inputs. Our method employs a conditioned generator that learns the data distribution within the input space of a trained neural network. To encourage diversity and avoid trivial solutions, we encode the conditioning information in a hidden form and apply heavy dropout during the generation process. Additionally, we minimize cosine similarity between features of the generated images to ensure a wide range of unique input variations corresponding to each output. By generating Confidently Classified Counterfeits that are confidently classified despite being distinct from the training data, we challenge the common assumption that high-confidence predictions are always linked to in-distribution data. This helps provide a deeper understanding of the model’s decision boundaries and its behavior when confronted with unfamiliar inputs, offering new insights into neural network decision-making.




Figure 1. Proposed Approach to Network Inversion

3. Methodology

In this paper we use the Network Inversion approach proposed in [12] that uses a single carefully conditioned generator that learns data distributions in the input space of the trained classifier.

3.1. Classifier

Inversion and subsequent generation of counterfeits is performed on classifiers which include convolution and fully connected layers as appropriate to the classification task. We use standard non-linearity layers like Leaky-ReLU [15] and Dropout layers [10] in the classifier for regularisation purposes to discourage memorisation. The classification network is trained on a particular dataset and then held in evaluation mode for the purpose of inversion and generation of counterfeits.

3.2. Vector-Matrix Conditioned Generator

The generator in our approach is conditioned on vectors and matrices to ensure that it learns diverse representations of the data distribution. Unlike simple label conditioning, the vector-matrix conditioning mechanism encodes the label information more intricately, allowing the generator to better capture the input space of the classifier. The generator is initially conditioned using N -dimensional vectors for an N -class classification task. These vectors are derived from a normal distribution and are softmaxed to form a probability distribution. They implicitly encode the labels, promoting diversity in the generated images. Further, a Hot Condition-

ing Matrix of size $N \times N$ is used for deeper conditioning. In this matrix, all elements in a specific row or column are set to 1, corresponding to the encoded label, while the rest are 0. This conditioning is applied during intermediate stages of the generation process to refine the diversity of the outputs.

3.3. Counterfeit Generation via Network Inversion

In order to generate counterfeits, we modify the conditioning mechanism in inversion by shifting from soft vectors to one-hot vectors and matrices. In addition, we apply Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KLD) between the one-hot vectors and the output distribution of the classifier. This ensures that the generated samples are confidently classified by the model. With the classifier trained, the inversion is performed by training the generator to learn the data distribution for different classes in the input space of the classifier, as shown schematically in Figure 1. The inversion is guided by a combined loss function \mathcal{L}_{Inv} , which incorporates cross-entropy, KLD, and other regularization terms to generate confidently classified counterfeits, and is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Inv} = \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{KL} + \beta \cdot \mathcal{L}_{CE} + \gamma \cdot \mathcal{L}_{Cosine} + \delta \cdot \mathcal{L}_{Ortho}$$

where \mathcal{L}_{KL} is the KL Divergence loss, \mathcal{L}_{CE} is the Cross Entropy loss, \mathcal{L}_{Cosine} is the Cosine Similarity loss, and \mathcal{L}_{Ortho} is the Feature Orthogonality loss. The hyperparameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ control the contribution of each individual loss terms.




Figure 2. Counterfeit images with the confidence values generated for all 10 classes in MNIST, FMNIST, SVHN & CIFAR-10 respectively.

4. Results

The counterfeit generation experiments were carried out by modifying the inversion technique on the MNIST [2], FashionMNIST [14], SVHN and CIFAR-10 [6] datasets by training a generator to produce images that, when passed through a classifier, elicit the desired labels with very high confidence. The classifier is initially normally trained on a dataset and then held in evaluation for the purpose of inversion. The images generated by the conditioned generator corresponding to the latent and the conditioning vectors are then passed through the classifier.

The classifier is a simple multi-layer convolutional neural network consisting of convolutional layers, dropout layers, batch normalization, and leaky-relu activation followed by fully connected layers and softmax for classification. While the generator is based on Vector-Matrix Conditioning in which the class labels are encoded into random softmaxed vectors concatenated with the latent vector followed by multiple layers of transposed convolutions, batch normalization [3] and dropout layers [10]. Once the vectors are upsampled to $N \times N$ spatial dimensions for an N class classification task they are concatenated with a conditioning matrix for subsequent generation upto the required image size of 28×28 or 32×32 .

The Confidently Classified Counterfeits generated along with their confidence values are visualized in Figure 2 for all 10 classes of MNIST, FashionMNIST, SVHN, and CIFAR-10, respectively. It can be observed that the model is near perfectly confident in its classification of these

samples, as reflected in the softmax scores. Despite being confidently classified, these images are distinctly different from anything the model was trained on, yet they fall within the input space of different labels, highlighting their unsuitability for safety-critical tasks. In applications, where models are expected to demonstrate high confidence only on in-distribution data, the ability to confidently classify out-of-distribution inputs presents a critical vulnerability. These Confidently Classified Counterfeits expose the model’s susceptibility to miss-classifying unfamiliar inputs as high-confidence predictions, raising concerns about the model’s reliability in safety-critical environments, where erroneous predictions could have severe consequences. This reveals the need for more robust methods to address out-of-distribution data to ensure the model’s trustworthiness in real-world applications.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the generation of Confidently Classified Counterfeits highlights the vulnerabilities of neural networks, particularly in safety-critical applications. By leveraging network inversion techniques, we have demonstrated how a model can confidently classify synthetic inputs that are far from the training data distribution, challenging the assumption that high-confidence predictions always correlate with in-distribution data. This work emphasizes the importance of scrutinizing high-confidence predictions, especially when models are deployed in environments where misclassifications can have serious consequences.

References

- [1] Navid Ansari, Hans-Peter Seidel, Nima Vahidi Ferdowsi, and Vahid Babaei. Autoinverse: Uncertainty aware inversion of neural networks, 2022. 2
- [2] Li Deng. The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 29(6):141–142, 2012. 4
- [3] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 448–456, Lille, France, 2015. PMLR. 4
- [4] C.A. Jensen, R.D. Reed, R.J. Marks, M.A. El-Sharkawi, Jae-Byung Jung, R.T. Miyamoto, G.M. Anderson, and C.J. Eggen. Inversion of feedforward neural networks: algorithms and applications. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 87(9): 1536–1549, 1999. 2
- [5] J Kindermann and A Linden. Inversion of neural networks by gradient descent. *Parallel Computing*, 14(3):277–286, 1990. 2
- [6] Alex Krizhevsky, Vinod Nair, and Geoffrey Hinton. Cifar-10 (canadian institute for advanced research). 4
- [7] Aviral Kumar and Sergey Levine. Model inversion networks for model-based optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 5126–5137. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020. 2
- [8] Ruoshi Liu, Chengzhi Mao, Purva Tendulkar, Hao Wang, and Carl Vondrick. Landscape learning for neural network inversion, 2022. 2
- [9] Emad W. Saad and Donald C. Wunsch. Neural network explanation using inversion. *Neural Networks*, 20(1):78–93, 2007. 2
- [10] Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(56):1929–1958, 2014. 3, 4
- [11] Pirzada Suhail. Network inversion of binarised neural nets. In *The Second Tiny Papers Track at ICLR 2024*, 2024. 2
- [12] Pirzada Suhail and Amit Sethi. Network inversion of convolutional neural nets. In *Muslims in ML Workshop co-located with NeurIPS 2024*, 2024. 3
- [13] Eric Wong. Neural network inversion beyond gradient descent. In *WOML NIPS*, 2017. 2
- [14] Han Xiao, Kashif Rasul, and Roland Vollgraf. Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms, 2017. 4
- [15] Bing Xu, Naiyan Wang, Tianqi Chen, and Mu Li. Empirical evaluation of rectified activations in convolutional network, 2015. 3
- [16] Ziqi Yang, Jiyi Zhang, Ee-Chien Chang, and Zhenkai Liang. Neural network inversion in adversarial setting via background knowledge alignment. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, page 225–240, New York, NY, USA, 2019. Association for Computing Machinery. 2