Hybrid FSO and RF Lunar Wireless Power Transfer

Barış Dönmez, Graduate Member, IEEE, Yanni Jiwan-Mercier, Graduate Member, IEEE, Sébastien Loranger, Senior Member, IEEE and Güneş Karabulut Kurt, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract

This study focuses on the feasibility analyses of the hybrid free-space optics (FSO) and radiofrequency (RF)-based wireless power transmission (WPT) system used in the realistic Cislunar environment, which is established by using system tool kit (STK) high-precision orbit propagator (HPOP) software in which many external forces are incorporated. In our proposed multi-hop scheme, a solar-powered satellite (SPS) beams the laser power to the low lunar orbit (LLO) satellite in the first hop, then the harvested power is used as a relay power for RF-based WPT to two critical lunar regions, which are lunar south pole (LSP) ($0^{\circ}E$, 90° S) and Malapert Mountain (0° E, 86° S), owing to the multi-point coverage feature of RF systems. The end-to-end system is analyzed for two cases, i) the perfect alignment, and ii) the misalignment fading due to the random mechanical vibrations in the optical inter-satellite link. It is found that the harvested power is maximized when the distance between the SPS and LLO satellite is minimized and it is calculated as 331.94 kW, however, when the random misalignment fading is considered, the mean of the harvested power reduces to 309.49 kW for the same distance. In the next hop, the power harvested by the solar array on the LLO satellite is consumed entirely as the relay power. Identical parabolic antennas are considered during the RF-based WPT system between the LLO satellite and the LSP, which utilizes a full-tracking module, and between the LLO satellite and the Malapert Mountain region, which uses a half-tracking module that executes the tracking on the receiver dish only. In the perfectly aligned hybrid WPT system, 19.80 W and 573.7 mW of maximum harvested powers are yielded at the LSP and Mountain Malapert, respectively. On the other hand, when the misalignment fading in the end-to-end system is considered, the mean of the maximum harvested powers degrades to 18.41 W and 534.4 mW for the former and latter hybrid WPT links.

Index Terms

Energy harvesting, free-space optics, misalignment error, Moon, multi-hop, radiofrequency, wireless power transfer.

NOMENCLATURE

- β_o Pointing error angle in FSO WPT
- η_{eo} PCE from electrical power to optical power
- η_{er} PCE from electrical power to RF signal power
- η_{oe} PCE from optical power to electrical power
- η_{re} PCE from RF signal power to electrical power
- λ_o Optical wavelength
- λ_r RF signal wavelength
- ϕ_a Angle off boresight
- ϕ_R Receiver angle off boresight
- ϕ_T Transmitter angle off boresight
- ρ_a Parabolic antenna efficiency
- ρ_R Receiver parabolic antenna efficiency
- ρ_T Transmitter parabolic antenna efficiency
- σ_o Pointing error standard deviation in FSO WPT
- θ Beam divergence angle
- *A* Area of the circular solar array
- *b* Radius of the solar array
- *d* LoS distance between the parabolic antennas located on the LLO satellite and the Moon
- *D_a* Parabolic antenna diameter
- d_m LoS distance between the parabolic antennas located on the LLO satellite and at Mons Malapert
- D_o Transmitting telescope lens diameter

 d_p LoS distance between the parabolic antennas located on the LLO satellite and at LSP

1

- D_R Receiver dish antenna diameter
- D_T Transmitter dish antenna diameter
- f_r RF signal frequency
- $f_v(v)$ PDF of v
- G_a Parabolic antenna gain
- G_R Receiver dish antenna gain
- G_T Transmitter dish antenna gain
- G_{R_m} LLO satellite-Malapert Mountain link receiver antenna gain
- G_{R_n} LLO satellite-LSP link receiver antenna gain
- G_{T_m} LLO satellite-Malapert Mountain link transmitter antenna gain
- G_{T_p} LLO satellite-LSP link transmitter antenna gain
- I(r, z) Laser irradiance as a function of r and z
- I_0 Maximum irradiance at the beam center
- $J_1(\cdot)$ First-order Bessel function
- P_R Received RF power
- P_S Total input (electrical) power of the SPS
- P_T Total input (electrical) power of LLO satellite
- P_{E_l} Harvested electrical power by the LLO satellite with a pointing error

- P_{E_m} Harvested DC power by Mons Malapert antenna in laser pointing error case
- P_{E_p} Harvested DC power by LSP antenna in laser pointing error case
- P_{H_1} Harvested electrical power by the LLO satellite
- P_{H_m} Harvested DC power by Mons Malapert antenna
- P_{H_p} Harvested DC power by LSP antenna
- P_{M_l} Received optical power in misalignment
- P_{M_m} Received RF power by Malapert Mountain antenna in laser pointing error case
- $P_{M_{v}}$ Received RF power by LSP antenna in laser pointing

error case

- P_{R_l} Received optical power in perfect alignment
- P_{R_m} Received RF power by Malapert Mountain antenna
- P_{R_p} Received RF power by LSP antenna
- *r* Radial distance from the centre of the beam
- v Random radial misalignment in FSO WPT
- w(z) The beam radius limited by $1/e^2$ at z
- w_0 Beam waist, or w(0)
 - LoS distance between the SPS laser and LLO solar array centre

ABBREVIATION

z

AoI	Angle of Incidence						
DC	Direct Current						
DRO	Distant Retrograde Orbit						
ELO	Elliptical Lunar Orbit						
EMLP	Earth-Moon Lagrange Point						
FLO	Frozen Lunar Orbit						
FSO	Free-Space Optics						
HPOP	High Precision Orbit Propagator						
LLO	Low Lunar Orbit						
LoS	Line-of-Sight						
LSP	Lunar South Pole						
MVA	Moon Village Association						
NRO	Near Rectilinear Orbit						
PCE	Power Conversion Efficiency						
PCO	Prograde Circular Orbit						
PDF	Probability Density Function						
RF	Radiofrequency						
SPS	Solar-Powered Satellite						
STK	System Tool Kit						
SWIPT	Simultaneous Wireless Information						

WPT Wireless Power Transmission

I. INTRODUCTION

and Power Transfer

THE Moon, which is the Earth's natural satellite, has a lot of promise for a variety of reasons. First of all, it permits expeditions beyond the Cislunar realm, like deep-space missions (i.e., interplanetary) because it can be used as a stopover point. It is possible to maintain a spaceship or a lunar rover. Moreover, the MVA aims to settle and explore the Moon through collaboration with hundreds of participants from many nations. Furthermore, the precious minerals on the crust of the Moon attract private enterprises for the lunar mining opportunities [1], [2], [3], [4].

There are multiple promising regions on the LSP and Mons Malapert is one of them. The summit of Malapert Mountain has an altitude of 4,700 m and is located at latitude 86°S and longitude 0°E which is inside of the LSP. This mountain offers many advantages, such that it enables a continuous LoS channel with the Earth and hence it can be considered as a relay base. Unlike the Shackleton crater, which is also one of the favourable LSP locations, Malapert has relatively flat and large lunar terrain that facilitates the approach and landing with radar systems. Moreover, lunar mining can be carried out easily due to the form of the crust covering its surface. Furthermore, there is a negligible amount of interference induced by Earth-based orbital communication [5], [6].

There are various Cislunar orbit types such as LLO, PCO, FLO, ELO, NRO, EMLP-2 Halo, and DRO. The perilune of the circular LLO is approximately 100 km with an orbit period of around two hours. Besides, LLO has no constraint on its inclination, however, it is one of the unstable lunar orbits [7]. Due to its lower altitude and any inclination possibility, LLO facilitates the lunar surface access as well as the signal transmission.

In WPT, there are multiple options, such as the ubiquitous RF and novel FSO. The latter technology becomes advantageous when the distances widen, as the detector is able to collect a relatively large portion of the collimated beam transmitted by the laser, whereas the random pointing error induced by the mechanical vibrations shall be considered, as these misalignment losses can be significant [8]. On the other hand, RF transmission through longer distances (e.g., kilometres) degrades the received signal power fairly, however, it enables multiple access due to its larger beam footprint compared to FSO.

A. Related Works

In the existing works, the FSO technology is preferred more frequently as the distances in the space environment are ultralong. In [9], FSO-based WPTs from EMLP-1 and -2 to a manned lunar rover are studied to harvest 30 kW of power. In [10], equally spaced three satellites orbiting around the Moon with an altitude of 2,000 km are studied for harvesting 75 kW and 1 MW of power by a rover and a lunar habitat, respectively. In [11], the power efficiencies of cable, RF, and FSO are compared for surface-to-surface WPT on the Moon. In [8], FSO-based inter-satellite WPT considering random misalignment losses are studied. The transmitted power is collected by receiver telescopes having diameters of 0.1 m and 0.2 m on the 1U and 12U small satellites, respectively, and then the received optical power is harvested by solar cells. In [2], the continuous WTP coverage on LFS is studied by assessing the multi-satellite halo orbit configurations on EMLP-2 and the losses induced by stochastic misalignment are incorporated in the analyses. In [12], the negative impacts of lunar dust on power beaming are explored under the perfect alignment scenario. It is demonstrated that the attenuation is significant in a ground-to-ground WPT scenario. In [13], a custom circular orbit, FLO, and DRO are considered up to ten satellites for WPT to the Shackleton crater and the lunar equator. Power budget analyses are carried out for different AoI constraints, and perfect alignment is assumed for the FSO. In [14], inter-satellite RF-based SWIPT and FSO-based SWIPT are compared regarding their features and architectures. The PCEs between DC and RF outperform the PCEs between DC and laser, whereas path loss is significantly higher for the RF WPT systems. In addition, RF-based systems enable point-to-multi-point WPT due to their larger coverage area than FSO-based systems can provide.

B. Motivation and Contributions

In our proposed hybrid WPT model, a laser-based inter-satellite WPT is realized from an SPS to an LLO satellite in the first stage. Then, the LLO satellite operates as a relay which uses the harvested power and establishes RF-based WPT to two different points which are LSP ($0^{\circ}E$, $90^{\circ}S$) and Malapert Mountain ($0^{\circ}E$, $86^{\circ}S$). There are identical parabolic receiver antennas at these points, and power conversion is executed using a corresponding PCE.

Our contributions are as follows

- A realistic space environment in which the gravitational forces of third celestial bodies, SRP, and Moon radiation pressures such as thermal and albedo effects are taken into consideration is designed by using STK HPOP [15].
- The influential variables for P_{H_l} , P_{H_p} , and P_{H_m} are investigated. It was found that distance is an influential variable for computing both P_{H_l} and P_{H_p} , whereas G_{T_m} is the dominant variable for the evaluation of P_{H_m} . Thus, extreme cases are considered when statistical distributions of P_{E_l} , P_{E_p} , and P_{E_m} are determined as a result of the random pointing error.
- In the first hop, FSO-based WPT is realized between the SPS and LLO satellite. When there is no pointing error, P_{H_l} can reach up to 331.94 kW and drop down to 305.33 kW. However, when the Rayleigh distributed random pointing error with $\sigma_o = 0.5$ m is considered, the mean values of P_{E_l} are 309.46 kW and 281.90 kW for z_{min} and z_{min} , respectively.
- In the second hop, RF-based WPT is realized between the LLO satellite and two separate locations, which are the LSP and Mons Malapert. When there is no misalignment error and hence $P_T = P_{H_l}$, the maximum values of P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} are 19.80 W and 573.7 mW, however, when $P_T = P_{E_l}$, the mean values of P_{E_p} at $d_{p,min}$ and $d_{p,max}$ are 18.41 W and 807.1 mW, respectively, and the mean values of P_{E_m} at $G_{T_m,max}$ and $G_{T_m,min}$ are 534.3 mW and 66.06 nW, respectively. Since our performance analyses focus on the end-to-end hybrid WPT system, random P_{E_l} values are computed by considering the P_T value at the specific sample times at which P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} take extreme values. For instance, G_{T_m} is the minimum at 00:31:50, thus, the value of P_T at that very second is considered. The simulation outcomes of P_{H_p} , P_{H_m} , P_{E_p} , and P_{E_m} represent the end-to-end hybrid WPT system harvested powers.

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

In our proposed hybrid WPT model shown in Fig. 1, FSO-based WPT between SPS and LLO satellite is realized with and without random misalignment error in the first hop. The harvested power by the LLO satellite is consumed as relay power for multi-point RF-based WPT in the last hop. As the path losses are significantly high through the lunar locations due to the extremely long distances (e.g., hundreds of kilometres), full-tracking ($\phi_T = \phi_R = 0^\circ$) is utilized for the LLO satellite and LSP link, which is the primary location, and semi-tracking ($\phi_R = 0^\circ$) is used for the LLO satellite and Malapert Mountain as the transmitter cannot track two distant locations simultaneously.

The Keplerian parameters used to establish the proposed system model are listed in Table I. The simulation duration is 2 hours, which is an approximate period of an LLO satellite, with a sampling time of 10 seconds.



Fig. 1. In the first hop, SPS transfers laser power to the LLO satellite. This laser power is harvested by the solar array attached to the LLO satellite and is used as a relay power. In the second hop, RF-based WPT is realized between the LLO satellite and parabolic antennas located at LSP and Mons Malapert regions.

Parameter	LLO satellite	SPS
Semi-major axis	1837.4 km	2037.4 km
Eccentricity	1.76383×10^{-32}	2.15718×10^{-16}
Inclination	90°	90°
Argument of perigee	0°	0°
Longitude of the ascending node	355°	90°
True anomaly	180°	180°
Satellite mass	1000 kg	5000 kg

TABLE I Orbital Simulation Parameters

The parameters of the FSO-based WPT simulation in which the random misalignment error is considered are presented in Table II.

TABLE II FSO-based WPT simulation parameters

P_S	λ_o	η_{eo}	D_o	θ	η_{oe}	b	σ_o	β_o
1 MW	1064 nm	51% [8]	0.3 m	3.547 μ rad	68.9% [13]	2 m	0.5 m	2.68 μ rad

The parameters of the RF-based WPT simulation in which multiple lunar regions are considered are presented in Table III.

TABLE III RF-based WPT simulation parameters

P_T	f_r	η_{er}	D_T	D_R	η_{re}	ρ_T	ρ_R
P_{H_l} or P_{E_l}	2.5 GHz	80% [14]	4 m	50 m	80% [14]	90%	90%

There are multiple steps in our proposed hybrid FSO and RF WPT systems. The methods we use are explained briefly as follows

- i . The orbital simulation parameters shown in Table I are defined to be used in STK simulation in which many time-varying forces (e.g., third-body forces) are incorporated.
- ii . LoS distances (i.e., z, d_p , d_m), azimuth angles, and elevation angles (i.e., θ_T , θ_R) are obtained as a function of time by using STK.
- iii . The visibility intervals between the LLO satellite and SPS, LSP and Malapert Mountain are obtained from the STK simulation outcomes. Finding the common visibility interval shown in Fig. 3 is necessary for comparing the RF-based WPT performances of LSP and Mons Malapert.
- iv . The parabolic antenna gains G_{T_p} , G_{R_p} , G_{T_m} , and G_{R_m} are computed by using Eq. (10) and Table III, then the outcomes are presented in Fig. 4.

- v. The FSO-based WPT with perfect alignment at the LLO satellite is computed by using Eq. (4) and (7). Then the relationship between P_{H_1} and z is investigated as demonstrated in Fig. 5.
- vi . By using Eq. (9–11) with the consideration of $P_T = P_{H_l}$, the RF-based WPT is realized for the regions mentioned in Step (iii). The harvested RF powers P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} and their relationships with the corresponding distances and antenna gains are investigated as shown in Fig. 6.
- vii . Using the Monte Carlo technique, many random misalignment errors v are generated with the parameter σ_o resulting from β_o which are defined in Table II. The theoretical Rayleigh distribution curve validates the simulation results showing $f_v(v)$ in Fig. 7 as well.
- viii . Section IV-B explains the random misalignment fading with an illustration in Fig. 2 and Eq. (5–8). The investigations in Steps (v) and (vi) to be able to find the influential variables of P_{H_l} , P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} are crucial as we consider two extreme cases which maximize and minimize P_{E_l} , P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} .
- ix . Once an inversely proportional relationship between P_{H_l} and z are concluded, the PDF of P_{E_l} for the z_{min} and z_{max} extreme cases are presented in Fig. 7. Statistical values are extracted as well.
- x. Then, an inversely proportional relationship between P_{H_p} and d_p is validated, too. However, d_m is not the dominant factor for the P_{H_m} . A directly proportional relationship between P_{H_m} and G_{T_m} is found from Fig. 6.
- xi . As we consider an end-to-end hybrid WPT system rather than two independent FSO-based and RF-based point-to-point systems, the sample times at which the maximum and minimum P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} occur are crucial since selecting the maximum P_T by ignoring its time would be inconvenient. Thus, the sample times that are used during the computations of P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} in extreme cases must match with the sample time of the $P_T = P_{E_l}$. The sample times at which extreme cases occur can be determined from Fig. 6 and 8.
- xii . The statistical distributions of P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} for the two end-to-end hybrid WPT systems are presented in Fig. 9 for the extreme cases. It should be noted that, as the RF frequency increases, P_{H_p} increases too, whereas P_{H_m} decreases since the LLO satellite antenna, which tracks the dish at LSP, becomes more directive.

III. THEORY AND CALCULATION

A. Laser Transmission Model

The flux density, or the irradiance, of the laser can be modelled with a frequently considered Gaussian distribution. The Gaussian density profile decreases as the distance between the transmitter and receiver increases and can be defined as [16].

$$I(r,z) = I_0 \left(\frac{w_0^2}{w(z)^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-2r^2}{w(z)^2}\right),$$
(1)

where $I_0 = \frac{2\eta_{eo}P_S}{\pi w_0^2}$. Hence, we can rewrite Eq. (1) as follows

$$I(r,z) = \frac{2\eta_{eo}P_S}{\pi w(z)^2} \exp\left(\frac{-2r^2}{w(z)^2}\right),\tag{2}$$

The beam (footprint) radius is determined from the point where the irradiance is reduced to $1/e^2$ of I_0 and is defined by

$$w(z) = w_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_o z}{\pi w_0^2}\right)^2},\tag{3}$$

where $w_0 = \frac{\lambda_o}{\pi \theta}$ and $\theta \cong \lambda_o / D_o$ [17].



Fig. 2. Random pointing error v shows the misalignment between the centres of the solar array with radius b and randomly swayed irradiance I(r - v, z) with a beam radius of w(z).

B. Laser Misalignment Model

The misalignment of the beam footprint centre over the solar array/detector centre causes degradation in the collected portion of the transmitted power from the laser transmitter as the intersected region becomes smaller as presented in Fig. 2. Although the pointing error is a vector, the symmetry of the solar array's circular area and the circular shape of the beam footprint enables us to consider only its magnitude and hence the error vector is reduced to a scalar value. It can be visualized by rotating Fig. 2 about the origin, and this will not change the collected amount of power.

It can be assumed that the radial distance is placed on the x-axis. The received portion of the optical power when there is a perfect alignment is defined as follows

$$P_{R_l} = \int_{-b}^{b} \int_{-\sqrt{b^2 - x^2}}^{\sqrt{b^2 - x^2}} \frac{2\eta_{eo}P_S}{\pi w(z)^2} \exp\left(-2\frac{x^2 + y^2}{w(z)^2}\right) dy dx,$$
(4)

The misalignment between the centres of the solar array and the beam footprint causes a loss, and Eq. (4) is modified as follows [18]

$$P_{M_l} = \int_{-b}^{b} \int_{-\sqrt{b^2 - x^2}}^{\sqrt{b^2 - x^2}} \frac{2\eta_{eo} P_S}{\pi w(z)^2} \exp\left(-2\frac{(x - v)^2 + y^2}{w(z)^2}\right) dy dx$$
(5)

where v can be modelled stochastically with Rayleigh distribution when the vertical and horizontal displacements on the receiver plane are considered as independent and identical Gaussian distributions [18]

$$f_v(v) = \frac{v}{\sigma_m^2} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma_m^2}\right), \quad v > 0$$
(6)

C. Harvesting the Optical Energy

The harvested electrical power by the LLO satellite can be determined after the conversion of the received optical power to the electrical power as follows:

$$P_{H_l} = \eta_{oe} P_{R_l} \tag{7}$$

$$P_{E_l} = \eta_{oe} P_{M_l} \tag{8}$$

D. RF Transmission Model

The RF signal transmission is modelled with the Friis equation [19] in which $P_T = P_{H_l}$ or $P_T = P_{E_l}$ as the total harvested power is used as the transmit power of the LLO satellite.

$$P_R = \left(\eta_{er} P_T\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_r}{4\pi d}\right)^2 G_T G_R \tag{9}$$

where G_T or G_R can be computed as a function of ϕ_a as follows [20]

$$G_a(\phi_a) = \rho_a \left(\pi \frac{D_a}{\lambda_r}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2J_1(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right) \tag{10}$$

where $\zeta = \frac{\pi D_a}{\lambda_r} \sin \phi_a$.

E. Harvesting the RF Energy

The harvested electrical power by an antenna located on the Moon can be determined after the conversion of the received RF power to the electrical DC power as follows:

$$P_{H_p} = \eta_{re} P_{R_p} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{H_m} = \eta_{re} P_{R_m} \tag{11}$$

$$P_{E_p} = \eta_{re} P_{M_p} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{E_m} = \eta_{re} P_{M_m} \tag{12}$$

The connections between SSP to LLO satellite, LLO satellite to LSP, and LLO satellite to Malapert Mountain have limited duration, and the corresponding visibility intervals are exhibited in Fig. 3. The visibility durations between LLO satellite to LSP and Mountain Malapert are 12:20 min. and 11:00 min., respectively. However, the common time interval for end-to-end WPTs is considered between 00:24:00 and 00:35:00, which lasts 11 minutes, for a fair comparison between LSP and Mons Malapert.



Fig. 3. All WPT link visibility intervals are used for finding a common time interval for the comparison of two end-to-end WPT at LSP and Mons Malapert.

The parabolic antennas placed on the LLO satellite and the LSP track each other, and hence G_{T_p} and G_{R_p} can be maximized at 39.95 dB and 61.89 dB, respectively, as presented in Fig. 4. However, the Malapert Mountain, in which another dish antenna is located, is also able to collect RF signals from the same LLO satellite transmitter focusing on another point on the Moon, owing to the multi-point transmission feature of the RF systems. The G_{T_m} varies as a function of ϕ_T , between 38.37 dB and -39.92 dB whereas G_{R_m} is maximized as 61.89 dB as presented in Fig. 4 since both of the receiving lunar dish antennas use tracking devices for maximizing the RF-based energy harvesting from the relaying satellite.



Fig. 4. Transmitting and receiving parabolic antenna gains as a function of time for the RF-based WPT realized at LSP and Malapert Mountain.

A. Energy Harvesting with Perfect Alignment

The received power P_{R_l} at the LLO satellite is then harvested by the circular solar array. P_{H_l} is computed by using Eq. (4) and is presented in Fig. 5. In addition, P_{H_l} increases as z decreases, thus, it is convenient to focus on the minimum and the maximum z in the following subsection, yet the distance may not be the dominating variable for RF-based harvested powers as there are significant variations in the G_{T_m} . The minimum and maximum P_{H_l} are 305.33 kW and 331.94 kW, which are necessary as harvested power will be used as relay power in our RF-based WPT and the path loss will be significant, especially for hundreds of kilometres of links. As $P_T = P_{H_l}$, the harvested powers P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} , which are computed using Eq. (9–11), are presented in Fig. 6.



Fig. 5. The inversely proportional relationship between harvested power P_{H_1} by the LLO satellite and SPS-LLO satellite LoS distance z in FSO-based WPT.

 P_{H_p} is inversely proportional to d_p as exhibited in Fig. 6. For instance, 19.80 W is harvested when the distance is 121.34 km at the time 00:30, or P_{H_p} is minimized at 00:24. However, this is not the case for P_{H_m} and d_m , the maximum P_{H_p} of 573.7 mW is obtained when G_{T_m} is maximum, which is 38.37 dB (See Fig. 4), at time 00:24 although d_m becomes maximum, which is 597 km.



Fig. 6. (a) The comparison between P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} , (b) the relationship between distances and harvested powers, and (c) the relationship between P_{H_m} and antenna gains

The average values of P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} are 6.05 W and 30.5 mW, and this discrepancy occurs because the LLO satellite antenna's boresight only tracks the antenna's boresight at the LSP.

B. Energy Harvesting with Random Misalignment

Many random pointing errors with $\sigma_o = 50$ cm are generated with the Monte Carlo approach first. Simulation results are validated by the theoretical Rayleigh distribution in Eq. (6), and then this is demonstrated in Fig. 7a. Furthermore, the stochastic distributions of P_{E_l} for the maximum and minimum z are presented in Fig. 7b, and Fig. 7c, respectively. The mean values of P_{E_l} for the z_{max} and z_{min} are 281.93 kW and 309.49 kW, respectively.

The harvested powers P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} will have statistical distributions as well due to random $P_T = P_{E_l}$. In Section IV-A, the influential parameters which take P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} to the maximum and the minimum are investigated. According to Fig. 5 and 6, P_{H_p} is inversely proportional to d_p whereas P_{H_m} is directly proportional to the dominant variable G_{T_m} . Hence, statistical distributions of P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} will be presented for the extreme d_p and G_{T_m} values, respectively. We consider end-to-end WPT systems, hence, when we focus on the sample times at which minima and maxima of P_{H_p} and P_{H_m} occur, the very same instant must be considered for P_T due to convenience. For instance, minimum G_{T_m} occurs at 00:31:50, therefore, time-varying P_T at that very second must be considered when generating random $P_T = P_{E_l}$ with the Monte Carlo method.

Fig. 7. Stochastic behaviours of (a) the pointing error v on the circular solar array, (b) harvested power P_{E_l} for the maximum z, and (c) harvested power P_{E_l} for the minimum z.

The point-to-point and end-to-end path lengths are exhibited in Fig. 8. It should be noted that the maximum end-to-end distance is 1071.7 km, which is for SPS to Malapert Mountain, and hence the corresponding path delay can be computed as 3.6 ms since it is assumed that the harvested power is directly used as a relay power without using any battery system. Thus, we neglect the impact of the path length-related delays as our sampling time is 10 seconds.

The maximum and minimum d_p values are computed as 597.0 km at 00:24:00 and 121.34 km at 00:30:00, respectively. On the other hand, the maximum and minimum G_{T_m} are 38.37 dB at 00:24:00 and -39.92 dB at 00:31:50, respectively.

The statistical distributions of P_{E_p} and P_{E_m} for the extreme values of d_p and G_{T_m} , respectively, are presented in Fig.9. The mean values of P_{E_p} are 807.1 mW and 18.41 W for $d_{p,max}$ and $d_{p,min}$, respectively. On the other hand, the mean values of P_{E_m} are 534.3 mW and 66.06 nW for $G_{T_m,max}$ and $G_{T_m,min}$, respectively.

Fig. 8. Time-varying point-to-point path lengths (z, d_p, d_m) and end-to-end path lengths $(z + d_p, z + d_m)$ that are used for the computation of end-to-end hybrid harvested power.

V. CONCLUSION

In our hybrid WPT system model, end-to-end analyses are conducted. Firstly, the adverse effects of the misalignment fading are ignored and the time-varying harvested powers of the laser-based WPT are computed in the first hop. The harvested power is maximized when the distance between SPS and LLO satellite is minimized, and it is calculated as 331.94 kW, however, when the random pointing error is considered, the mean of the harvested power reduces to 309.49 kW for the same distance.

In the final hop, the harvested power extracted by the solar array attached to the LLO satellite is consumed entirely as relay power. Two lunar regions in which two identical parabolic antennas are considered, however, the RF-based WPT system between the LLO satellite and LSP utilizes a full-tracking module and the other system, in which a dish antenna located at Malapert Mons region uses a half-tracking module. In the perfectly aligned end-to-end hybrid WPT system, 19.80 W and 573.7 mW of maximum harvested powers are evaluated at LSP and Mountain Malapert, respectively. When the misalignment fading in the end-to-end system is considered, the mean of the maximum harvested powers degrades to 18.41 W and 534.4 mW for the former and latter hybrid WPT links.

Fig. 9. Stochastic behaviours of (a) harvested power P_{E_p} for the maximum distance d_p , (b) harvested power P_{E_p} for the minimum distance d_p , (c) harvested power P_{E_m} for the maximum gain G_{T_m} , and (d) harvested power P_{E_m} for the minimum gain G_{T_m} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by the Tier-1 Canada Research Chair program.

REFERENCES

- K. Coggins, G. W. Heckler, J. Dobereiner, E. Weir, and P. Wetherbee, "NASA's approach to lunar communication and navigation: Artemis and beyond," in 75th International Astronautical Congress (IAC), no. IAC-24-B3-1-9-x85682, 2024.
- [2] B. Donmez and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Continuous power beaming to lunar far side from EMLP-2 halo orbit," arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16320, 2024.
- [3] "About Moon Village Association." [Online]. Available: https://moonvillageassociation.org/about/
- [4] D. A. Peacock, "Mining on the moon," Mining Engineering, vol. 2017, pp. 23-31, 2017.
- [5] P. D. Lowman Jr, B. L. Sharpe, and D. G. Schrunk, "Making the case," Aerospace America, p. 39, 2008.
- [6] E. Mazarico, G. Neumann, D. Smith, M. Zuber, and M. Torrence, "Illumination conditions of the lunar polar regions using lola topography," *Icarus*, vol. 211, no. 2, pp. 1066–1081, 2011.
- [7] R. Whitley and R. Martinez, "Options for staging orbits in cislunar space," in 2016 IEEE Aerospace Conference. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1-9.
- [8] B. Donmez, I. Azam, and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Mitigation of misalignment errors over inter-satellite FSO energy harvesting," in 2023 IEEE 34th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC). IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [9] M. Williams, Power transmission by laser beam from lunar-synchronous satellite. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of Management, 1993, vol. 4496.
- [10] M. D. Williams, J. Kwon, G. Walker, and D. Humes, "Diode laser satellite systems for beamed power transmission," 1990.
- [11] T. W. Kerslake, "Lunar surface-to-surface power transfer," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 969, no. 1. American Institute of Physics, 2008, pp. 466–473.
- [12] M. Naqbi, S. Loranger, and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Impact of lunar dust on free space optical (FSO) energy harvesting," in 2024 IEEE Aerospace Conference. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–9.
- [13] F. Lopez, A. Mauro, S. Mauro, G. Monteleone, D. E. Sfasciamuro, and A. Villa, "A lunar-orbiting satellite constellation for wireless energy supply," *Aerospace*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 919, 2023.
- [14] G. Pan, H. Zhang, R. Zhang, S. Wang, J. An, and M.-S. Alouini, "Space simultaneous information and power transfer: An enhanced technology for miniaturized satellite systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 122–129, 2022.
- [15] A.G.I., "Systems Tool Kit (STK)," 2024, digital mission engineering and systems analysis software.
- [16] A. K. Majumdar and J. C. Ricklin, Free-space Laser Communications: Principles and Advances. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010, vol. 2.
- [17] Z. Ghassemlooy, W. Popoola, and S. Rajbhandari, *Optical Wireless Communications: System and Channel Modelling with Matlab*[®]. CRC press, 2019.
- [19] H. T. Friis, "A note on a simple transmission formula," Proceedings of the IRE, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 254–256, 1946.
- [20] R. M. Gagliardi, Satellite Communications. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.