

ARGO-SLSA: Software Supply Chain Security in Argo Workflows

Mohomed Thariq
Department of Computer Science
Informatics Institute of Technology
Colombo, Sri Lanka
seyed.20200758@iit.ac.lk

Indrajith Ekanayake
Department of Computer Science
Informatics Institute of Technology
Colombo, Sri Lanka
indrajith.e@iit.ac.lk

Abstract—Distributed systems widely adopt microservice architecture to handle growing complexity and scale. It breaks applications into independent, loosely coupled services. Kubernetes has become the de facto standard when it comes to managing microservices. Automating complex, multi-step workflows is a common requirement in Kubernetes. Argo Workflows is a Kubernetes-native engine for managing these workflows in an automated fashion. These workflows generate artifacts such as executables, logs, container images, packages, etc. These artifacts require proper management, which is often automated through software supply chain security. However, Argo Workflows doesn't have any built-in ways to provide the ability to incorporate frameworks like Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts (SLSA), which is necessary to achieve artifact integrity, traceability, and security. This vacuum often creates silos because practitioners need to rely on third-party tools to meet software supply chain security standards. This paper proposes a Kubernetes-native controller written on top of existing open-source Argo Workflows to enhance the security of artifacts. Cryptographic signing and provenance attestations for the artifacts will be produced by the controller, which allows Argo Workflows to comply with SLSA standards. The paper proves implementations can be made to provide cryptographic signing and provenance attestations for the artifacts that can be produced by the controller, which will allow software artifacts built with Argo Workflows to comply with SLSA standards. The proposed validation model evaluates the proof of concept of the controller including the ability to reconcile workflows, detect pods associated with workflow nodes, operate without disrupting the existing operations, enforce integrity, and monitor software artifacts

Keywords—Argo Workflows, Artifact Management, Distributed Systems, Kubernetes, Software Supply Chain Security

I. INTRODUCTION

The inherent requirement for high maintainability and scalability in software is frequently addressed through the adoption of microservice architecture, which is distinguished by its key features, such as bounded context, flexibility, and modularity [1]. This architectural style typically necessitates the decoupling of services into independent containers, which are then orchestrated via an engine such as Kubernetes, Docker Swarm, and OpenShift. Among them, Kubernetes archived mass adoption due to customizable features, mature security features, flexibility, scalability, and reliability [2]. Complex Kubernetes batch processes such as machine learning (ML) workflows [3], data processing workflows [4], [5], and continuous integration/ continuous delivery (CI/CD) pipelines [6] require workflow automation engines such as Argo Workflows [7], Apache Airflow [8], and Tekton Pipelines [9]. These workflows often generate container-native software artifacts such as container images, helm charts, open policy agent (OPA) bundles, and software bills of materials (SBOMs) [10].

Argo Workflows is a popular open-source cloud-native workflow engine for orchestrating parallel jobs on Kubernetes [7]. Even though it is a strong tool that can leverage the highly scalable cloud-native model for workflow automation, it doesn't provide a native way to secure the artifacts built through its system. This leaves the responsibility of securing the supply chain in the developers' hands; this can lead to many issues, such as inconsistent security practices & lack of standardization, loss of developer productivity by focusing on security practices, delayed detection can lead to huge damage to both the artifact producers & consumers, failure to meet industry standards can lead to compliance issues, and lack of artifact traceability without proper provenance can reduce trust and accountability [6], [11], [12]. However, modern CI/CD systems like Google Cloud Build [13], GitHub actions [14], and workflow automation tools like Tekton Pipelines [15] all provide ways to achieve software supply chain security through Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts (SLSA) framework [16] compliance for all its users without much involved implementations around software supply chain security area [16]. Hence there's a pressing need to address this gap in Argo Workflows with an efficient, easy-to-integrate & scalable solution to secure its build artifacts. This paper addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: *How to secure artifacts without modifying existing Argo Workflows Operator implementation?*

As a non-invasive way to secure artifacts to apply without modifying the application of the Argo Workflows Operator, the proposed solution implements a separate stand-alone Kubernetes-native controller that runs outside of the main Argo Workflows Operator logic but against the same Kubernetes Custom Resource.

RQ2: *How to keep track of workflow reconciliation status and workflow tasks reconciliation statuses without conflicting with the Argo Workflows Operator?*

To not allow the controller to get into a conflict by tracking the reconciliation status of the workflow resource status section, the paper proposes the controller should use the Kubernetes resource annotation section to maintain its statuses in a non-disruptive way. During the runtime of a workflow, the Argo Workflows Operator will spawn pods to execute the task configurations defined within the workflow. In order to track individual task statuses, the controller must use the pod resource annotation section.

RQ3: *What is the possibility of providing SLSA compliance to artifacts created with Argo Workflows?*

At runtime, the controller will extract relevant artifact information from workflow & its task pods. Once identified the controller will secure the artifacts using cryptographic keys & attach a provenance to attest how the artifact is being

built via the workflow. This process allows artifacts to be built with SLSA standards.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II reviews the relevant literature surrounding the problem domain, as well as the frameworks employed for the proposed validation model. Sections III and IV detail the methodology and evaluation of the proof-of-concept, respectively. Section V synthesizes the primary conclusions and explores potential future directions in software supply chain security. Finally, Section VI outlines the procedure for accessing the complete source code of the proposed solution.

II. RELATED WORK

Automated building, testing, and deployment in the cloud through CI/CD have revolutionized software development, and adoption of this way of working has increased rapidly [17]. While this shift provides a great number of advantages, if any software artifact produced by these systems is not properly secured throughout the supply chain, it will introduce obvious vulnerabilities, exploit software consumers, and impact the software producers' credibility [2]. This is evident in high-profile incidences like SolarWinds [18].

When it comes to the topic of software supply chain security, there's a considerable amount of work that has been done and standardized. In comparison with that, the implementation of the concept and wider adoption of these practices concerning secure software supply chains is rather limited. This section is devoted to the discussion of prior research associated with this topic in literature in the recent past.

A. Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts (SLSA)

SLSA is a security concept aimed at providing a clear process for implementing secure practices in software supply chains [16]. SLSA introduces different tracks and levels of security to achieve within those tracks. The v1.0 of SLSA focuses its build track and defines security levels (L0-L3) concentrating on provenance, tamper resistance, and building artifacts with hardened environments. This framework has found traction in open-source projects and CI/CD ecosystems, which allows organizations to implement strict security policies at the time of artifact building and consumption. However, its application is complex, especially for tools that are not inherently programmed without SLSA in mind.

B. Tekton Chains

Tekton Pipelines [9] is a cloud-native workflow automation system that is built using open source similar to Argo Workflows. Tekton Chains [15] was developed to become an extension of the Tekton ecosystem to enable cryptographic signing and provenance attestation for artifacts built using Tekton Pipelines. The solution is highly tethered and tailored to work within the Tekton ecosystem and will not support integration into any other external workflow automation engines. It works well for Tekton itself but only gets up to Level 2 of the SLSA build track.

Fig 1 and Fig 2 illustrate the distinct architectural designs of Tekton and Argo Workflows. At the time of execution, Tekton maintains a PipelineRun Custom Resource which contains all the information about the pipeline execution and the tasks, then TaskRuns Custom Resources to maintain information on individual tasks. So Tekton chains can work on a task level to extract information about artifacts and

secure them. But when it comes to Argo Workflows at the time of execution there will only be one Workflow Custom Resource which will contain the information about the workflow. Because of this architecture, handling things at a task level is complex in Argo workflows




Fig. 1. Tekton Pipelines runtime architecture




Fig. 2. Argo Workflows runtime architecture

C. Sigstore

Sigstore is an open-source project that aims to ease the process of signing, verification, and transparency of cryptographic signatures for artifacts like container images, binaries as well as source codes [19]. The main goal of the project is to make sure to enable effortless signing and validation even without user-managed cryptographic keys for the built artifacts to enhance the security of the software supply chain. Cosign is a key tool provided by Sigstore to enable effortless cryptographic signatures with or without key management. Signing without keys can be achieved by integrating the Fulcio tool provided by Sigstore. Sigstore also provides transparency by providing an immutable, tamper-resistant ledger of metadata using Rekor. Although Sigstore is not mentioned as being specifically for cloud-native technologies, it plays a vital role in open-source software development software supply chain security procedures which create most of the components demanded by cloud-native technologies.

D. Trivy

Trivy [20] is an open-source vulnerability scanner that analyzes every library dependency and finds vulnerabilities within software artifacts. It can scan container images, file systems, and repositories and detect security issues in operating systems and programming language dependencies. Trivy fits well with CI/CD pipelines, giving real-time feedback on vulnerabilities. Having a solution like Trivy for a secure supply chain means it can automate security checks to reduce the likelihood of delivery of vulnerable dependencies with software artifacts.

III. METHODOLOGY

To address the gap identified within Argo workflows, the proposed solution is to create a Kubernetes controller [21] to watch and reconcile the Argo Workflows Custom Resources. Since the controller should reconcile the same Custom Resources of the Argo Workflows Operator it should be done in a non-disruptive manner. This is accomplished by consuming the open-source Argo Workflows Custom Resources which is responsible for describing how the workflows execute within the cluster. This way of development is common when adding features to an existing system [22]. The controller will act as an extension to the Argo

Workflows ecosystem and is designed to seamlessly integrate into the system.




Fig. 3. ARGO-SLSA Controller architecture

Managing the lifecycle of a workload or a process is crucial in Kubernetes because it sits in a distributed system, and any resource can be evicted or disrupted at any given time [23]. The Argo Workflows Custom Resource is responsible for describing how the workflow should be run, and the Argo Workflows Operator is responsible for creating the pod workload to execute the desired workflow execution within the Kubernetes cluster. Because the controller proposed by this paper is built to work on top of existing Argo workflows' Custom Resources, it should not interfere with the Argo Workflows Operator.

Resources in Kubernetes are defined in a YAML configuration & stored in a key-value store called ETCD. The Kubernetes reconciliation process can be defined as an iterative process by making the desired state equivalent to the state defined in a resource configuration. During this iterative process, it is crucial to store the state of the individual resource configuration. Usually, this is done under the “status” section of a Kubernetes resource configuration. This is the case for the Argo Workflows Operator as well. If the ARGO-SLSA controller also maintains its reconciliation status under the “status” section of the workflow Custom Resource, it will lead to conflicts with the actual Argo Workflows Operator. To avoid this, the authors decided to maintain the controller statuses under the “annotations” section of the workflow resources.

Then, researchers addressed the problem of tracking individual task states within the workflow. During the runtime of a workflow, the Argo Workflows Operator will spawn pods to execute the workflow steps (Fig 3). The information on the tasks will be maintained under the “status.nodes” section of a workflow configuration by the Argo Workflows Operator (Fig 2, Fig 4). With the same idea of not interfering with the Argo Workflows Operator, authors decided to maintain individual task reconciliation status in the “annotation” section of pod resources spawned for each task.

Because the implementation is done on top of the existing Argo Workflows ecosystem it can leverage existing features

like Argo CLI and Argo server for UI. Enabling ARGO-SLSA for a workflow can be done by adding {argo.slsa.io/enable: "true"} annotation to a workflow.

```
status:
  nodes:
    build-and-push-docker-xyzp-918567359:
      boundaryID: build-and-push-docker-xyzp
      displayName: build-and-push(1,context:/workspace/test/build-src/docker/hello-world-2,image:mothk/hello-world-2:0.1.0)
      finishedAt: "2025-01-29T06:01:42Z"
      hostNodeName: k3d-test-cluster-server-0
      id: build-and-push-docker-xyzp-918567359
      inputs:
        parameters:
          - name: image
            value: mothk/hello-world-2:0.1.0
          - name: context
            value: /workspace/test/build-src/docker/hello-world-2
      name: build-and-push-docker-xyzp(0),build-and-push(1,context:/workspace/test/build-src/docker/hello-world-2,image:mothk/hello-world-2:0.1.0)
      outputs:
        exitCode: "0"
      phase: Succeeded
      progress: 1/1
      resourceDuration:
        cpu: 0
        memory: 4
      startedAt: "2025-01-29T06:01:41Z"
      templateName: build-and-push
      templateScope: local/build-and-push-docker-xyzp
      type: Pod
```

Fig. 4. Task information under the “status.nodes” section of a workflow

The next step of the controller implementation is to define how to output artifact information so the ARGO-SLSA controller can detect them and secure them accordingly. The controller uses Cosign and Fulcio from Sigstore to provide secure artifact signing and attach attestations required by SLSA with or without cryptographic keys. Rekor to provide transparency in signing processes. If the artifact type is detected as a docker image Trivy is used to generate SBOM and vulnerability report.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To evaluate the controller architecture proposed (Fig 3), the authors have used a self-hosted Kubernetes cluster created using K3D [24] with Argo Workflows and ARGO-SLSA controller deployed with required role-based access controls (RBAC) with the least privileges. The setup and teardown of the test environment are automated with the Make [25] tool. Fig 5 shows the available commands related to the test environment context. The overall evaluations are done manually, triggering workflows to check if the controller behaves in its expected behavior. In addition to the manual tests, automated unit tests using the Golang Test Suit have been implemented to evaluate the controller functionalities. Fig 6 shows the test coverage of the controller.

```
Environment
setup-env      Setup the environment with k3d to run the controller.
teardown-env  Teardown the k3d environment.
stop-env      Stop the k3d environment.
start-env      Start the k3d environment.
import-image-to-k3d Import the controller image to the k3d cluster.
build-and-deploy-controller Build and deploy the controller to the k3d cluster.
remove-controller Remove the controller from the k3d cluster
```

Fig. 5. Automated environment setup and teardown using Make

```
ok      github.com/MohamedTharig/argo-supply-chain-security/internal/controller  0.033s  coverage: 39.7% of statements
ok      github.com/MohamedTharig/argo-supply-chain-security/pkg/annotation-updater  0.029s  coverage: 76.33% of statements
ok      github.com/MohamedTharig/argo-supply-chain-security/pkg/workflow-pod-reconciler  0.026s  coverage: 41.03% of statements
```

Fig. 6. ARGO-SLSA Controller unit tests coverage

A. Multiple Trigger methods: Make sure the controller can reconcile workflow resources instantiated by all available trigger methods

As the controller is built to work on top of existing Argo Workflows custom resource definition (CRD) the controller should support working with Workflows resources created in any supported way (Table I).

TABLE I. SUPPORT FOR TRIGGER METHODS

Table Column Head	Supported
Triggered using Kubectl	✓
Triggered using ArgoCLI	✓
Triggered using Argo Server UI	✓

B. Feature toggle: Make sure the controller can identify feature-enabled workflows and ignore not-enabled workflows

Because the controller is designed as a plugin to the existing Argo Workflows ecosystem. In case a user does not need the feature to be enabled for a workflow, the user should be able to exclude it from the ARGO-SLSA controller. Because of this reason, authors have added a feature toggle to only enable when needed. The feature is enabled by adding {argo.slsa.io/enable: "true"} annotation to the workflow resource. Fig 7 shows how the controller only executes its logic against workflows with {argo.slsa.io/enable: "true"} annotation. This corresponds to proving **RQ1**.

```
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z DEBUG events argo-slsa-controller-manager-5d658f9977-25kw5_076a211a-cd8
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Starting EventSource {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Starting workqueue {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Waiting for tasks to execute {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Waiting for tasks to execute {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Workflow secured successfully {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO Workflow reconciled {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
2025-01-19T06:08:33Z INFO [Not enabled] ignoring workflow {"controller": "workflow", "controllerGroup": "argo-slsa.io"}
```

Fig. 7. Feature toggle

C. High Availability: Make sure the controller is implemented to support high availability

The underline controller uses Operator-SDK, which uses Kubebuilder [26] for Golang-based controllers. This provides most of the scaffolding to implement a Kubernetes controller comparatively fast. Given Kubernetes is a distributed system, the workloads can be evicted or disrupted at any time. This reason motivated implementing things to work with high availability. Here Kubebuilder also provides leader election functionality to controllers built with it. Once the controller is deployed into the cluster with multiple replicas controller will have the intelligence to elect a leader among the replicas to do the reconciliation on workflows. In case the lead controller gets evicted the remaining replicas will assign a leader among them to continue the reconciliation process.

```
18119 06:08:33.172586 | 1 leaderelection.go:257| attempting to acquire leader lease argo-slsa/ea2e965f.argo.slsa.io...
18119 06:08:33.179118 | 1 leaderelection.go:271| successfully acquired lease argo-slsa/ea2e965f.argo.slsa.io
```

Fig. 8. Controller pod replicas leader election

D. Maintain Status: Make sure the controller maintains its status of workflows and its tasks so that in case of a controller pod eviction it can pick up where it left off

Unlike Tekton Pipelines, Argo Workflows do not have their own Custom Resources for task-level objects. Since ARGO-SLSA is a plugin controller to Argo Workflows, it will not own the workflow Custom Resource and will have to work with the Argo Workflows Operator. Because of this reason, if the controller directly maintains its status in the resource's status section, it can lead to conflicts with the Argo Workflows Operator. This is the reason the status is updated in the "annotations" section of the resource. When it comes to individual task statuses, the pod annotations section will be used. This shows that **RQ2** can be accessed.

```
Name: build-and-push-docker-zjxg9
Namespace: test
Labels: workflows.argo.proj.io/completed=true
workflows.argo.proj.io/phase=Succeeded
Annotations: argo.slsa.io/enable: true
argo.slsa.io/status: Completed
workflows.argo.proj.io/pod-name-format: v2
API Version: argoproj.io/v1alpha1
Kind: Workflow
```

Fig. 9. How status is maintained in a Workflow resource

```
Name: build-and-push-docker-zjxg9-build-and-push-918567359
Namespace: test
Priority: 0
Service Account: default
Node: k3d-test-cluster-server-0/172.19.0.2
Start Time: Sun, 19 Jan 2025 11:31:41 +0530
Labels: workflows.argo.proj.io/completed=true
workflows.argo.proj.io/workflow=build-and-push-docker-zjxg9
Annotations: argo.slsa.io/artifacts: No-Artifacts-Found
argo.slsa.io/signed: Skipped
argo.slsa.io/status: Skipped
kubectl.kubernetes.io/default-container: main
workflows.argo.proj.io/node-id: build-and-push-docker-zjxg9-918567359
```

Fig. 10. How status is maintained in a Pod – Workflow task

E. SLSA in Argo Workflows: Make sure it is possible to provide supply chain security to artifacts built using Argo Workflows

The implementation for SLSA enforcement can be verified by using cosign to validate final artifact signatures & attestation. This answers **RQ3**.

```
1 # Command to verify OCI artifact signature
2 cosign verify <OCI-Image> \
3 --certificate-identity "https://kubernetes.io/
4 namespaces/<argo-slsa-namespace>/
5 serviceaccounts/<serviceaccount-of-controller>
6 " \
7 --certificate-oidc-issuer <cluster-Open-ID-Issuer>
8
9 # Command to verify OCI artifact attestation
10 cosign verify-attestation --type slsaprovenance <OCI-Image> \
11 --certificate-identity "https://kubernetes.io/
12 namespaces/<argo-slsa-namespace>/
13 serviceaccounts/<serviceaccount-of-controller>
14 " \
15 --certificate-oidc-issuer <cluster-Open-ID-Issuer>
```

Fig. 11. Verify OCI artifact signature and attestation

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, authors prove that the artifacts generated by Argo Workflows potentially can be secured by an approach demonstrated using a separate Kubernetes controller with the ability to integrate and work with the existing Argo Workflows ecosystem. With a product like this, authors make it possible to address gaps identified in software supply chain security in cloud-native workflow automation.

The solution is proposed to be integrated without any disruption to the existing processes of Argo Workflows, complemented using real-time monitoring without interfering too much with Argo Workflows Custom Resource. The results show that the controller can analyze workflow resources and its tasks. The scope of this evaluation was limited to proving that supply chain security practices can be implemented into Argo Workflows.

VI. SOURCE CODE

The source code for the proposed solution is available at <https://github.com/MohomedThariq/argo-supply-chain-security>

REFERENCES

[1] L. De Lauretis, "From Monolithic Architecture to Microservices Architecture," in *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Workshops (ISSREW)*, Oct. 2019, pp. 93–96. doi: 10.1109/ISSREW.2019.00050.

[2] N. Poulton, *The Kubernetes Book*. Nigel Poulton Ltd, 2023.

[3] P. Liu, G. Bravo-Rocca, J. Guitart, A. Dholakia, D. Ellison, and M. Hodak, "Scanflow-K8s: Agent-based Framework for Autonomic Management and Supervision of ML Workflows in Kubernetes Clusters," in *2022 22nd IEEE International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Internet Computing (CCGrid)*, May 2022, pp. 376–385. doi: 10.1109/CCGrid54584.2022.00047.

- [4] A. Teslyuk, S. Bobkov, A. Poyda, A. Novikov, V. Velikhov, and V. Ilyin, "Development of Experimental Data Processing Workflows Based on Kubernetes Infrastructure and REANA Workflow Management System," in *Supercomputing*, V. Voevodin and S. Sobolev, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 563–573. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-64616-5_48.
- [5] C. K. Tummalachervu, "OPTIMIZING DATA SCIENCE WORKFLOWS IN CLOUD COMPUTING," *J. Sci. Technol. Res. JSTAR*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 6, 2023.
- [6] C. Sekhar, "ORCHESTRATING THE CLOUD: AI-ENHANCED RELEASE AUTOMATION IN KUBERNETES ENVIRONMENTS," Nov. 2024, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.14045753.
- [7] *argoproj/argo-workflows*. (Jan. 19, 2025). Go. Argo Project. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/argoproj/argo-workflows>
- [8] *apache/airflow*. (Jan. 19, 2025). Python. The Apache Software Foundation. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/apache/airflow>
- [9] *tektoncd/pipeline*. (Jan. 17, 2025). Go. Tekton. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/tektoncd/pipeline>
- [10] "Open Container Initiative Image Format Specification," 2015.
- [11] C. Lekkala, "The Role of Kubernetes in Automating Data Pipeline Operations: From Development to Monitoring," Mar. 03, 2021, *Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY*: 4908376. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4908376.
- [12] Z. Pauzi, R. Thind, and A. Capiluppi, "Artifact Traceability in DevOps: An Industrial Experience Report," in *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, in EASE '23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2023, pp. 180–183. doi: 10.1145/3593434.3593451.
- [13] A. Bushong and K. Hua, *Cloud Native Automation with Google Cloud Build: Easily automate tasks in a fully managed, scalable, and secure platform*. Place of publication not identified: Packt Publishing, 2022.
- [14] T. Kinsman, M. Wessel, M. A. Gerosa, and C. Treude, "How Do Software Developers Use GitHub Actions to Automate Their Workflows?," in *2021 IEEE/ACM 18th International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*, May 2021, pp. 420–431. doi: 10.1109/MSR52588.2021.00054.
- [15] *tektoncd/chains*. (Jan. 16, 2025). Go. Tekton. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/tektoncd/chains>
- [16] "Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts," SLSA. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://slsa.dev/>
- [17] L. De Lauretis, "From Monolithic Architecture to Microservices Architecture," in *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Workshops (ISSREW)*, Oct. 2019, pp. 93–96. doi: 10.1109/ISSREW.2019.00050.
- [18] R. Alkhadra, J. Abuzaid, M. AlShammari, and N. Mohammad, "Solar Winds Hack: In-Depth Analysis and Countermeasures," in *2021 12th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, Jul. 2021, pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1109/ICCCNT51525.2021.9579611.
- [19] Z. Newman, J. S. Meyers, and S. Torres-Arias, "Sigstore: Software Signing for Everybody," in *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, in CCS '22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Nov. 2022, pp. 2353–2367. doi: 10.1145/3548606.3560596.
- [20] *aquasecurity/trivy*. (Jan. 19, 2025). Go. Aqua Security. Accessed: Jan. 20, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/aquasecurity/trivy>
- [21] "Controllers," Kubernetes. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/architecture/controller/>
- [22] O. Yilmaz, "Extending the Kubernetes API," in *Extending Kubernetes: Elevate Kubernetes with Extension Patterns, Operators, and Plugins*, O. Yilmaz, Ed., Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2021, pp. 99–141. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4842-7095-0_4.
- [23] P. Jakku, "Kubernetes: Ensuring High Availability for Your Applications," *Int. J. Comput. Trends Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 10, p. 7, 2024.
- [24] *k3d-io/k3d*. (Jan. 19, 2025). Go. k3d. Accessed: Jan. 20, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/k3d-io/k3d>
- [25] *wakusnieryk/make*. (Dec. 08, 2024). C. Accessed: Jan. 20, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/wakusnieryk/make>
- [26] P. Martin, "Creating an Operator with Kubebuilder," in *Kubernetes Programming with Go: Programming Kubernetes Clients and Operators Using Go and the Kubernetes API*, P. Martin, Ed., Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2023, pp. 291–308. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4842-9026-2_13.