

HILBERT GRASSMANNIANS AS CLASSIFYING SPACES

GIUSEPPE DE NITTIS, KIYONORI GOMI, AND SANTIAGO G. RENDEL

ABSTRACT. In this short work we prove that the Hilbert Grassmannians endowed with the weak topology are models for the classifying spaces of the unitary groups. As application of this result one can use Hilbert Grassmannians for the presentation of the K-theory of topological spaces by computing equivalence classes of homotopy equivalent maps.

MSC 2020: Primary: 14M15; Secondary: 55R35, 55R45, 46L30.

Keywords: *Hilbert Grassmannians, weak topology, classifying space, K-theory.*

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Generalities on Hilbert Grassmannians	5
3. The fixed rank case	7
4. The indefinite rank case	10
5. Continuous path of states	12
Appendix A. Classical Grassmannians	12
Appendix B. Infinite unitary group	13
Appendix C. The uniform Grassmannian as classifying space	14
Appendix D. Weak contraction of projections	15
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

The *classifying space* $B\mathbb{G}$ of a topological group \mathbb{G} can be obtained as the quotient of a weakly contractible space $E\mathbb{G}$ by a proper free action of \mathbb{G} . Let us recall that the weak contractibility of $E\mathbb{G}$ means that all its homotopy groups are trivial, *i. e.* $\pi_k(E\mathbb{G}) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In particular $\pi_0(E\mathbb{G}) = 0$ means that $E\mathbb{G}$ is path-connected. The existence of the universal \mathbb{G} -bundle $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow E\mathbb{G} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}$ is guaranteed by the *Milnor construction* [Miln]. The classifying space $B\mathbb{G}$ is unique up to *weak homotopy equivalence*, meaning that two classifying spaces for the same group \mathbb{G} are related by a continuous map which induces isomorphisms of all the homotopy groups. Additionally, if one insists that $B\mathbb{G}$ must have a CW-complex structure (always possible up to a CW-approximation), then the

classifying space is unique up to homotopy equivalence as a consequence of the *Whitehead theorem*. Let $[X, B\mathbb{G}]$ be the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps between the topological spaces X and $B\mathbb{G}$. The defining property of $B\mathbb{G}$ is that the set $[X, B\mathbb{G}]$ provides the classification of principal \mathbb{G} -bundles over X up to isomorphism. In the relevant case that X is (homotopy equivalent to) a CW-complex, $[X, B\mathbb{G}]$ doesn't change under weak homotopy equivalence of the classifying space $B\mathbb{G}$ [MMS, Theorem 2]. For more details about the theory of the universal \mathbb{G} -bundle and classifying spaces we refer to [RS, Sections 3.4 & 3.5].

The classifying spaces of the unitary groups $U(n)$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ have a special role in the applications. In fact one has bijections of sets

$$[X, BU(n)] \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X) \simeq \text{Hilb}_{\mathfrak{h}_n}(X) \quad (1.1)$$

showing that $BU(n)$ provides the homotopy description of the set $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X)$ of isomorphism classes of rank- n complex vector bundles over X , or equivalently of $\text{Hilb}_{\mathfrak{h}_n}(X)$, the set of isomorphism classes of Hilbert bundles over X with typical fiber the n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathfrak{h}_n [Dup, Scho]. The classification space $BU(\infty)$ of the *infinite* unitary group $U(\infty)$ (the inductive limit of growing finite unitary groups, see Appendix B) provides the homotopy description of the set $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ of *stable* equivalence classes of complex vector bundles over X , or equivalently of the reduced topological K-theory of X [Huse, Section 9.4]. In fact one has the bijection of sets

$$[X, BU(\infty)] \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \simeq \tilde{K}^0(X). \quad (1.2)$$

Although the existence of the spaces $BU(n)$ and $BU(\infty)$ is guaranteed by abstract arguments, in applications one is interested in concrete and manageable models representing these spaces. Requiring a CW-complex structure for $BU(n)$ and $BU(\infty)$ (always possible up to a CW-approximation), then, one has the *identifications* $BU(n) \approx \text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ and $BU(\infty) \approx \text{Gr}_{\infty}$ where $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ and Gr_{∞} are inductive limits of the classical Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d)$ (see Appendix A for more details). By identification \approx we mean a *homotopy equivalence* and we will denote with the symbol \sim a *weak homotopy equivalence*.

In the study of topological phenomena emerging in quantum theories one is lead to construct models of the classifying spaces builded inside the framework of a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Henceforth, we will denote with \mathfrak{h} a separable complex Hilbert space of dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = \aleph_0$ and with $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ its von Neumann algebra of bounded operators. One interesting example of classifying space defined inside the Hilbert space theory is provided by the *Atiyah-Jänich Theorem* [Atiy, Theorem A1] which states that the set $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ of (bounded) Fredholm operators on \mathfrak{h} , endowed with the uniform topology of the operator norm, is a classifying space for the K-theory. More precisely one gets that $[X, \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})] \simeq K^0(X)$ where X is any compact space. This shows in particular that $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h}) \sim BU(\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}$ (where \mathbb{Z} is regarded as a discrete topological space) [Huse, Section

9.4, Remark 4.6]. Another example of classifying space for K-theory builded inside the Hilbert space framework is discussed [Kuip, Section 5].

Our interest consist in the use of *Hilbert Grassmannians* for the construction of models of classifying spaces. Let us introduce the group of the unitary operators by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, and the complete lattice of (orthogonal) projections $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, i. e. of the elements $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $P^* = P = P^2$. Let $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the canonical trace on \mathfrak{h} . A projection $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ has finite rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$ when $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}(P) = n$. The Banach space of trace-class operators will be denoted with $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{h})$. Following [Shu, AM], one can introduce the Hilbert Grassmannian of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as the set

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) := \{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) \mid \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}(P) = n\} , \quad (1.3)$$

i. e. as the space of orthogonal projections of \mathfrak{h} with rank n . It will be useful to denote with $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathfrak{h}) := \{0\}$ the singleton consisting of the *null-projection*. Any element $P \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ can be uniquely identified with a closed subspace $\mathfrak{v}_P := \text{Ran}(P) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ of dimension n and this identification is bijective. As a consequence one can interpret $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ as the set of the n -dimensional closed subspaces of \mathfrak{h} . We also need the set

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \quad (1.4)$$

consisting of all trace-class projections. The spaces $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h})$ can be endowed with all the relevant topologies that one can define on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$. One natural choice is to use the *uniform topology* of the operator norm and in this case we will denote the related spaces with ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^u\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h})$. This is the situation studied in [AM]. A different, less restrictive option is to endow the Hilbert Grassmannians with the *weak topology* of operators. The resulting spaces will be denoted with ${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h})$ is this work. The weak topology of the Hilbert Grassmannians has been extensively studied in [Shu]. A relevant fact that is worth mentioning right away is that on these spaces the strong operator topology agrees with the weak operator topology [Shu, Proposition 3.1]. More information about the topology of Hilbert Grassmannians will be provided in Section 2.

In the following let us use the notation $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The main achievement of this work is contained in the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Hilbertian classifying spaces). *The following homotopy equivalences hold true:*

$$\text{BU}(n) \approx {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \approx {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) , \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 . \quad (1.5)$$

The main strategy of the proof is to show weak homotopy equivalences \sim between the spaces and then deduce the homotopy equivalences \approx by observing that the various Grassmannians in the game are metric spaces [Pala2, Theorem 15]. Of course, by insisting on the CW-complex structure of the classifying spaces we will freely use the classical spaces $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ and Gr_{∞} as models for $\text{BU}(n)$ and $\text{BU}(\infty)$, respectively. A justification of

the equivalence $B\mathbb{U}(n) \sim {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is contained in [AM, Section 2.3] and will be discussed at the end of Section 2. The remaining equivalences are new, at least to the best of our knowledge. The equivalence $B\mathbb{U}(n) \sim {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is proved in Section 3.

The search for a model of the classifying space $B\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ classifying space infinite unitary group in terms of Hilbert Grassmannians is less immediate. The first immediate candidates would seem to be the spaces ${}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$. However, none of these spaces can be homotopy equivalent to $B\mathbb{U}(\infty)$. In the first case the uniform topology turns out to be “too strong” (Lemma 4.1) and in the second case the weak topology turns out to be “too weak” (Corollary 4.3).

A relevant consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the possibility of using Hilbert Grassmannians with the weak topology as classify spaces.

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff space with the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Then*

$$[X, {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})] \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The proof of this result is obtained by combining the homotopy equivalences in Theorem 1.1 with equations (1.1) and (1.2) and the support of [MMS, Theorem 2].

Remark 1.3 (Chern classes). By combining the case $n = 1$ with (A.3) one gets

$$[X, {}^w\mathcal{G}_1(\mathfrak{h})] \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

where the map c_1 is the *first Chern class*. ◀

Remark 1.4 (Reduced K-theory). Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff space with the homotopy type of a finite CW-complex of dimension $d \leq 3$. Then, has that

$$[X, {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})] \simeq \tilde{K}^0(X)$$

where $\tilde{K}^0(X)$ denotes the reduced topological complex K-group of X . This fact relies on the *stable range theorem* [Huse, Theorem 1.2, Chapter 9] which implies that the classification of vector bundles of any rank reduces to the classification of line bundles if the dimension of X is bounded by 3. This means that $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X) \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(X)$ for every rank n , and under the canonical inclusion $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}(X)$ given by the sum of a trivial line bundle one gets $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(X) \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(X)$ where $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(X)$ is the set of equivalence classes of complex vector bundles under *stable* isomorphisms. However, the latter is classified exactly by the reduced K-theory of X , i. e. $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(X) \simeq \tilde{K}^0(X)$. ◀

The physical relevance of the use of the Hilbert Grassmannians with the weak topology as classifying spaces relies in the following construction. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ a unital C^* -algebra and $P : X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ a continuous function such that $1 \leq \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}(P(x)) \leq M$ for

every $x \in X$ and some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that the map $x \mapsto \text{Tr}_h(P(x))$ is continuous. Consider the family of states of \mathcal{A} parametrized by $x \in X$ and defined by

$$\omega_x(A) := \frac{\text{Tr}_h(P(x)A)}{\text{Tr}_h(P(x))}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proposition 1.5. *The map $x \mapsto \omega_x$ is continuous with respect to the $*$ -weak topology of states.*

This result suggests that the weak topology on the Hilbert Grassmannian is sufficient to guarantee continuity of the associated states with respect to the point-wise evaluation on observables. The proof is postponed to Section 5.

Structure of the paper. In **Section 2**, we present basic results and the general structure of Hilbert Grassmannians. Then, **Section 3** deals with the topology of weak Grassmannians of fixed dimension and the ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In **Section 4** we deal with the space of all trace-class projections, and prove no-go results showing that neither the norm nor weak topology endow it with the homotopy type of $B\mathbb{U}(\infty)$. In **Section 2** we prove Proposition 1.5. **Appendix A** provides a succinct exposition on the classical Grassmannians. In **Appendix B** we present the infinite unitary groups and their homotopy groups. **Appendix C** shows the homotopy equivalence between the classical Grassmannians and the uniform Hilbert Grassmannians. Finally, in **Appendix D** we construct the tools needed for the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Acknowledgements. GD's research is supported by the grant *Fondecyt Regular - 1230032*. KG's research is supported by *JSPS KAKENHI* Grant Numbers 20K03606.

2. GENERALITIES ON HILBERT GRASSMANNIANS

This section contains results from [Shu, AM]. Let \mathfrak{h} be a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space, i. e. $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = \aleph_0$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the space $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is defined by (1.3) with the convention $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \{0\}$. The *reciprocal* Grassmannian is

$$\mathcal{G}_n^\perp(\mathfrak{h}) := \{P^\perp := (\mathbf{1} - P) \mid P \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})\} \quad (2.1)$$

with the convention $\mathcal{G}_0^\perp(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$. Finally, the *(purely) infinite* Grassmannian is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty, \infty}(\mathfrak{h}) := \{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) \mid P, P^\perp \notin \mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{h})\}. \quad (2.2)$$

meaning that both P and P^\perp project on infinite-dimensional subspaces. With the definition of $\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ given by (1.4), and its dual

$$\mathcal{G}_\bullet^\perp(\mathfrak{h}) := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_k^\perp(\mathfrak{h}),$$

one obtains the following partition of the set of projections of \mathfrak{h} :

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_\bullet^\perp(\mathfrak{h}) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_{\infty, \infty}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

It is worth commenting, as recalled in [Shu, eq. (1.1)], that there is a bijection of sets

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) / [\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)] \quad (2.3)$$

where the n -dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{v}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the range of any reference projection $P_0 \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp is its orthogonal complement. The set $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ denotes the group of unitary operators on \mathfrak{h} (see Appendix B for more details), and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)$ are the restriction to \mathfrak{v}_0 and \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp , respectively. A similar bijection holds true for $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$.

All the spaces above are subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ and in turn can be topologized with any of the topologies defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$. There are at least three relevant topologies: the norm (or uniform) topology, the strong topology and the weak topology. However, the weak and the strong topology agree on any of the Grassmannians above and on the full space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ [Shu, Proposition 3.1] (as well as on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ as reported in Appendix B). Let us also observe that the mapping $P \mapsto \mathbf{1} - P$ induces an homeomorphism between $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{G}_n^\perp(\mathfrak{h})$ in any of the aforementioned topologies and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For this reason we will consider only the Grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$. We will use the symbols ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ for the Grassmannian endowed with the norm topology and the weak (or strong) topology, respectively. Likewise for the purely infinite Grassmannian.

With respect to the norm topology one has that ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$, ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n^\perp(\mathfrak{h})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ${}^u\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$ are closed connected components of ${}^u\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ [Shu, Lemma 1.1 & Corollary 1.3]. Moreover [Shu, Corollary 1.4] implies that

$${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) / [{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)] \quad (2.4)$$

as topological spaces, and the same for ${}^u\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$. The homotopy of these spaces is computed in [AM, Section 2.3] as a direct consequence of equation (2.4) and the Kuiper's theorem that shows the contractibility of ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ when \mathfrak{h} is infinite dimensional. One has that ${}^u\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a weakly contractible space, and in turn contractible in view of [Pala2, Theorem 15]. Moreover the Grassmannians ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ have the same homotopy type of the classifying space of $\mathbb{U}(n)$, namely $B\mathbb{U}(n) \sim {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$. To see this choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and identify \mathbb{C}^d with $\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_d) \subset \mathfrak{h}$. This provides inclusions $j_d : \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \hookrightarrow {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ which commutes with the inclusions $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \subset \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{d+1})$, and therefore extends to the inductive limit

$$j : \text{Gr}_{n,\infty} \hookrightarrow {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) . \quad (2.5)$$

The map j in (2.5) results to be continuous by construction and provides a weak homotopy equivalence. Although this result is claimed in the literature (se [AM, p. 28] for instance), we were unable to find an explicit reference to this result. For that we will add an explicit proof in Appendix C for the benefit of the reader.

With respect to the weak topology one has that ${}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$, ${}^w\mathcal{G}_k^\perp(\mathfrak{h})$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{h})$ are metrizable and separable, and the same holds true also for ${}^w\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ [Shu, Corollaries 2.5 & 2.7]. However these spaces are not closed in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ with respect to the

weak topology. A description of the closures is provided in [Shu, Propositions 3.3, 3.4 & 3.5].

3. THE FIXED RANK CASE

Let us start by proving that the bijection of sets (2.3) provides a homeomorphism also with respect the weak topology.

Proposition 3.1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has that*

$${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) / [{}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)] . \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the projection with range \mathfrak{v}_0 . To prove the homeomorphism above we will initially prove that the map

$$\pi : {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow {}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$$

induced by $\pi : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathcal{U}P_0\mathcal{U}^*$ is a locally trivial fiber bundle with typical fiber given by ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)$. For that one can adapt the argument in [Shu, Proposition 1.2]. The step 1° is the check of the continuity of π . First of all since both ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$ are metrizable spaces the continuity of the map π can be controlled on sequences. Then the continuity of π follows by the inequality

$$\langle f, (\mathcal{U}P_0\mathcal{U}^* - P_0)g \rangle \leq \langle P_0\mathcal{U}^*f, (\mathcal{U}^* - \mathbf{1})g \rangle + \langle f, (\mathcal{U} - \mathbf{1})P_0g \rangle$$

valid for every $f, g \in \mathfrak{h}$ which shows that when $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ in the weak topology then also $\pi(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}P_0\mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow P_0 = \pi(\mathbf{1})$ weakly. The most general case follows by the same argument by observing that

$$\langle f, (\mathcal{U}P_0\mathcal{U}^* - VP_0V^*)g \rangle = \langle Vf, (V^*\mathcal{U}P_0\mathcal{U}^*V - P_0)Vg \rangle$$

for every pair of unitaries \mathcal{U} and V . What it remains to prove is the existence of local trivializations. By repeating almost verbatim the step 2° of [Shu, Proposition 1.2] this is equivalent to the existence of local sections. In fact the only difference in the original argument is that continuity of the local trivialization defined by the local section follows from the fact that ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a topological group also in the weak topology [EU, Theorem 1.2]. To conclude the proof according to the step 3° of [Shu, Proposition 1.2], one has to show that for any $Q \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$ we have a neighbourhood \mathcal{O} of Q and a continuous map $s : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}}$ (a local section). First let $Q = P_0$ and fix an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{v}_0 given by $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$. With this, one can define a neighbourhood of P_0 given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_0 &:= \{P \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h}) \mid |\langle b_i, (P - P_0)b_j \rangle| < \varepsilon_k, \ i, j = 1, \dots, k\} \\ &= \{P \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h}) \mid \|(P - P_0)b_j\| < \varepsilon_k, \ j = 1, \dots, k\} . \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows by observing that

$$\langle b_i, (P - P_0)b_j \rangle = \langle b_i, (P - \mathbf{1})b_j \rangle = \langle b_i, (P - \mathbf{1})^2b_j \rangle = \langle b_i, (P - P_0)^2b_j \rangle$$

implies $|\langle b_j, (P - P_0)b_j \rangle| = \|(P - P_0)b_j\|^2$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. This fact along with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle b_i, (P - P_0)b_j \rangle| \leq \|(P - P_0)b_j\|$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ provides the equivalence of the two descriptions of \mathcal{O}_0 . The number $\varepsilon_k > 0$, will be assumed initially sufficiently small (depending on k) and we will fix a sufficient explicit bound during the proof. In order to define a local section on \mathcal{O}_0 let us introduce the operator $A_P := PP_0 + P^\perp P_0^\perp$, where $P^\perp := \mathbf{1} - P$ and $P_0^\perp := \mathbf{1} - P_0$. Given its polar decomposition $A_P = U_P |A_P|$, we will define our section on \mathcal{O}_0 as the map $s_0 : P \mapsto U_P$. The crucial point is to prove that U_P is indeed a unitary operator, continuous on P , and such that $U_P P_0 U_P^* = P$. As in the step 3° of [Shu, Proposition 1.2] this follows by proving that A_P is invertible and defines linear topological isomorphisms $\mathfrak{v}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}^\perp$ where $\mathfrak{v} := \text{Ran}(P)$. The continuity follows by observing that A_P ,

$$A_P^* A_P = P_0 P P_0 + P_0^\perp P^\perp P_0^\perp,$$

and in turn $|A_P| = \sqrt{A_P^* A_P}$, depend continuously on P in the weak topology. The remaining properties follow as in step 3° of [Shu, Proposition 1.2]. Note that $P \in \mathcal{O}_0$ implies $\|P^\perp b_j\| < \varepsilon_k$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{v}_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\|P^\perp f\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k f_j P^\perp b_j \right\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \|P^\perp b_j\|^2 < k \varepsilon_k^2 \|f\|^2,$$

or equivalently $\|P^\perp P_0\| < \sqrt{k} \varepsilon_k$. Let us fix $\sqrt{k} \varepsilon_k < 1$. Observing that

$$\|f\|^2 = \|Pf + P^\perp f\|^2 = \|Pf\|^2 + \|P^\perp f\|^2 < k \varepsilon_k^2 \|f\|^2 + \|Pf\|^2$$

one gets that $\|Pf\|^2 > c_k^2 \|f\|^2$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{v}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ with $c_k^2 := 1 - k \varepsilon_k^2 > 0$. This means that PP_0 is an injective map from \mathfrak{v}_0 to \mathfrak{v} , and in view of the fact that the two spaces have same dimension k , it follows that $A_{P,0} := PP_0|_{\mathfrak{v}_0} : \mathfrak{v}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ is a linear isomorphism (hence invertible) between \mathfrak{v}_0 and \mathfrak{v} , and by definition $A_P|_{\mathfrak{v}_0} = A_{P,0}$. Note that $\|A_{P,0}^{-1}\| < c_k^{-1}$ in view of the inequality above. From

$$\langle g, A_{P,0} f \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}} = \langle g, PP_0 f \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle P_0 P g, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle P_0 P g, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}_0} \quad (3.2)$$

valid for every $f \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ and $g \in \mathfrak{v}$ one infers that $A_{P,0}^* := P_0 P|_{\mathfrak{v}} : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_0$. The invertibility of $A_{P,0}$ implies the invertibility of $A_{P,0}^*$, and by standard equalities one gets that $\|(A_{P,0}^*)^{-1}\| = \|(A_{P,0}^{-1})^*\| = \|A_{P,0}^{-1}\| < c_k^{-1}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_0^\perp P g\|^2 &= \|P g\|^2 - \|P_0 P g\|^2 = \|g\|^2 - \|A_{P,0}^* g\|^2 \\ &\leq \|g\|^2 - \frac{\|g\|^2}{\|(A_{P,0}^*)^{-1}\|^2} < (1 - c_k^2) \|g\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $g \in \mathfrak{v}$. Let $C_P := P_0^\perp P|_{\mathfrak{v}} : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp$ and from the same argument in (3.2) observe that $C_P^* = PP_0^\perp|_{\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp}$. From $\|C_P\|^2 = \|C_P^*\|^2 < (1 - c_k^2)$ one infers

$$\|P^\perp P_0^\perp h\|^2 = \|P_0^\perp h\|^2 - \|PP_0^\perp h\|^2 > \|h\|^2 - (1 - c_k^2) \|h\|^2 = c_k^2 \|h\|^2 \quad (3.3)$$

for every $h \in \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp$. Hence $A_{P,\perp} := P^\perp P_0^\perp|_{\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp} : \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}^\perp$ is an injective operator. Since $A_P|_{\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp} = A_{P,\perp}$ to conclude the proof the only missing point is the surjectivity, and in turn the invertibility, of $A_{P,\perp}$. For that it suffices to show that the positive operator $D_P := A_{P,\perp} A_{P,\perp}^* : \mathfrak{v}^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}^\perp$ is invertible and therefore surjective. One has that

$$D_P = P^\perp P_0^\perp P^\perp|_{\mathfrak{v}^\perp} = (P^\perp - P^\perp P_0 P^\perp)|_{\mathfrak{v}^\perp} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{v}^\perp} - P^\perp P_0 P^\perp|_{\mathfrak{v}^\perp},$$

and since $\|P^\perp P_0 P^\perp|_{\mathfrak{v}^\perp}\| \leq \|P^\perp P_0\| < k\varepsilon_k$, it follows that D_P is invertible, hence surjective. We proved that $A_P = A_{P,0} + A_{P,\perp}$ provides the required topological isomorphisms on the open set $P \in \mathcal{O}_0$. To obtain the same result on the neighbourhood \mathcal{O}_Q of a generic element $Q \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$ one can translate P_0 the neighborhood \mathcal{O}_0 and the section s_0 using any unitary operator V such that $Q = VP_0V^*$. To conclude the proof of the homeomorphism (3.1) one can repeat verbatim the argument of [Shu, Corollary 1.4]. \square

The computation of the homotopy groups of ${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ can be performed with the same argument used in [AM, Section 2.3]. This consists in applying the long exact sequence in homotopy associated to the (Serre) fibration

$$[{}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)] \longrightarrow {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\pi} {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$$

obtained as result of Proposition 3.1. By using the fact that ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)$ are both contractible in view of the infinite dimensionality of \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{v}_0^\perp respectively, and that ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \simeq \mathbb{U}(n)$ since $\mathfrak{v}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$, one obtains

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The homotopy groups of ${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ are given by*

$$\pi_k({}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})) = \pi_k(B\mathbb{U}(n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \pi_{k-1}(\mathbb{U}(n)) & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular ${}^w\mathcal{G}_k(\mathfrak{h})$ is path-connected.

Consider the bijective identification

$$\iota : {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \hookrightarrow {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}). \quad (3.4)$$

This map is evidently continuous.

Proposition 3.3. *The map (3.4) provides a weak homotopy equivalence ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \sim {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. We already know that $\pi_k({}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})) \simeq \pi_k({}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, one only needs to prove that the isomorphism is induced by ι_* . For that let us simplify the notation. Let us define $F_u := [{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0) \times {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0^\perp)]$, $U_u := {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $G_u := {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and F_w , U_w and G_w the same spaces but with the weak topology. From [Shu, Proposition 1.2] and Proposition 3.1 we know that there are (Serre) fibrations (indeed locally trivial fiber bundles)

$$F_u \xrightarrow{j'} U_u \xrightarrow{\pi'} G_u, \quad F_w \xrightarrow{j} U_w \xrightarrow{\pi} G_w,$$

where j', j are the inclusions of the fibers and π', π are the bundle projections. On top of that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_u & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathcal{U}_w \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{G}_w & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{G}_w \end{array}$$

where the maps ν and ι are the bijective identifications which correspond only to the change of topology of the spaces. These continuous maps induce homomorphisms in the associated long-exact sequences in homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(\mathcal{U}_u) & \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_u) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_u) \xrightarrow{j'_*} \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_u) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \nu_* \downarrow & & \iota_* \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & \nu_* \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(\mathcal{U}_w) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_w) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_w) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_w) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow f_* is the homomorphism induced by the continuous map $f : \mathcal{F}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_w$ obtained as the restriction of the map $\nu : \mathcal{U}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_w$ on the fiber. Again f is the bijective identification which corresponds only to the change of topology of the corresponding spaces. Since both \mathcal{U}_u and \mathcal{U}_w are contractible spaces one gets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_u) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_u) & \xrightarrow{j'_*} & 0 \\ & & \iota_* \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_w) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_w) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & 0 \end{array}$$

which provides that $\iota_* : \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_u) \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathcal{G}_w)$ is an isomorphisms. \square

By transitivity of the weak homotopy equivalence one gets:

Corollary 3.4. *There is a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{BU}(n) \sim {}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

4. THE INDEFINITE RANK CASE

Let us introduce the spaces

$$\# \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}(\mathfrak{h}) := \bigcup_{n=0}^N \# \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) = \#\{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) \mid \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}(P) \leq N\} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\# = \{u, w\}$ denotes the possible topology. One has evident inclusions $\# \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \# \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N+1}(\mathfrak{h})$ and in turn

$$\# \mathcal{G}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \# \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}(\mathfrak{h}) \quad (4.2)$$

has the structure of an inductive limit.

Let us observe that each ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is closed in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ [Shu, Lemma 1.1]. In fact ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is a complete metric space with respect to the operator norm. This means that

$${}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \quad (4.3)$$

is indeed the disjoint union of closed spaces each of which has the homotopy type of a distinct classifying space $\mathrm{BU}(n) \sim {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ (see Appendix C). This observation paves the way for a first no-go result.

Lemma 4.1. *The spaces ${}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathrm{BU}(\infty)$ have different homotopy type.*

Proof. Since ${}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ is an infinite disjoint union then $\pi_0({}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})) = \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand $\pi_0(\mathrm{BU}(\infty)) = 0$ since this space is path connected. Additionally, after fixing the base point $*$ in one of the component of ${}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$, say for instance $*$ $\in {}^u\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,n}(\mathfrak{h})$, then $\pi_k({}^u\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})) = \pi_k(\mathrm{BU}(n))$ (with respect to the given base point). However, the series of homotopy groups of the spaces $\mathrm{BU}(n)$ is different from the series of homotopy groups of $\mathrm{BU}(\infty)$. \square

Let us explore now the case of the weak topology. The first relevant observation is [Shu, Proposition 3.4 (ii)] which shows that

$$\overline{{}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})} = {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq n}(\mathfrak{h})$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the closure is meant with respect to the weak-topology *inside* the projections. In particular this fact implies that the spaces ${}^w\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ are not disconnected as in the case of the uniform topology, and for that we are not in the situation of Lemma 4.1. From the equality above one also gets

$$\overline{{}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}(\mathfrak{h})} = {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}(\mathfrak{h})$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, showing that these space are indeed closed in the weak topology inside the projections. The homotopy properties of these space are described in the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *The space ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ is contractible. The spaces ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}(\mathfrak{h})$ are contractible for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{h} is separable there is a (not unique) unitary map $U : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$. Since a unitary map preserves the property of being a projection and the trace one gets that $\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) = U\mathcal{G}_\bullet U^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}(\mathfrak{h}) = U\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N} U^{-1}$, where the sets \mathcal{G}_\bullet and $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}$ have been introduced in Appendix D. The unitary equivalence also preserves the weak topology, implying that ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}(\mathfrak{h})$ have the same topological properties of ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet,\leq N}$ respectively. The rest of the proof follows from Proposition D.5 and Remark D.6. \square

The result above implies our second no-go result.

Corollary 4.3. *The spaces ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathrm{BU}(\infty)$ have different homotopy type.*

5. CONTINUOUS PATH OF STATES

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 1.5.

Observe since $\text{Tr}_h(P(x)) \in \mathbb{N}$ the continuity of $x \mapsto \text{Tr}_h(P(x))$ implies that $\text{Tr}_h(P(x))$ must have constant values on the connected components of X . Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that X is connected and $\text{Tr}_h(P(x)) = M$ for every $x \in X$. On the contrary one can repeat the argument on each connected component of X .

Let $x_0 \in X$ be a given point and $U \in \mathcal{A}$ a unitary element. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_x(U) - \omega_{x_0}(U)| &= \frac{1}{M} |\text{Tr}_h(P(x)U) - \text{Tr}_h(P(x_0)U)| \\ &\leq \|P(x)U - P(x_0)U\|_1 \\ &= \|Q_x - Q_{x_0}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the norm of the Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}^1(h)$ of trace class operators and $Q_x := P(x)U$ for every $x \in X$. One has that $Q_x \rightarrow Q_{x_0}$ in the weak topology. Moreover $|Q_x| = U^*P(x)U$ and $|Q_x^*| = P(x)$ for every $x \in X$ showing that also $|Q_x| \rightarrow |Q_{x_0}|$ and $|Q_x^*| \rightarrow |Q_{x_0}^*|$ in the weak topology. Finally $\|Q_x\|_1 = \text{Tr}_h(U^*P(x)U) = M$ for every $x \in X$. Since all the condition of [Simó, Theorem 2.20] are verified one gets that $\|Q_x - Q_{x_0}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$, and in turn $\omega_x(U) \rightarrow \omega_{x_0}(U)$ for every unitary U . To complete the proof it is enough to recall that each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is the sum of at most four unitaries $A = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_j U_j$ with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ bounded by $|a_j| \leq \|A\|/2$ [BR1, Lemma 2.2.14], and to use the linearity of ω_x .

APPENDIX A. CLASSICAL GRASSMANNIANS

The classifying spaces $B\mathbb{U}(n)$ can be modeled out of the classical *Grassmannian* as described in [Hat2, Section 1.2] and [MS, Chapters 5 & 6]. For non negative integers $n \leq d$, let

$$\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \simeq \mathbb{U}(d)/[\mathbb{U}(n) \times \mathbb{U}(d-n)] \quad (\text{A.1})$$

be the set of all the possible subspaces of dimension n inside \mathbb{C}^d or, equivalently, the set of all possible n -hyperplanes of \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin. For $k = 1$ one has that $\text{Gr}_1(\mathbb{C}^d) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ coincides with the projective space of \mathbb{C}^n . Any $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d)$ can be endowed with the quotient topology making it into a Hausdorff and path-connected manifold with the structure of a finite CW-complex. The inclusions $\mathbb{C}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \subset \dots$ obtained identifying the vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ with the vector $(v, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ yield inclusions $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \subset \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}) \subset \dots$ and one can consider the union

$$\text{Gr}_{n,\infty} := \bigcup_{d=n}^{\infty} \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The space $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ consists of all the possible n -hyperplane of \mathbb{C}^∞ containing the origin. Given the injections $\iota_d : \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ one can endow $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ with the *inductive*

limit topology, that is the final topology given by the set of the functions ι_d . The space $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty}$ inherits a CW-complex structure and it results to be a Hausdorff, paracompact and path-connected space. A relevant case is $n = 1$ for which $\text{Gr}_{1,\infty} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \sim K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, where $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ is the projective space of \mathbb{C}^∞ and $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space. In this case one obtains that

$$[X, \text{Gr}_{1,\infty}] \simeq [X, K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)] \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where the map c_1 which provides the bijection (indeed a group homomorphism) is known as *first Chern class*.

For the infinite Grassmannian the inclusions $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \subset \text{Gr}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}) \subset \dots$, which consist of adding the subspace generated by the last vector $e_{d+1} := (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$, induce injections $j_n : \text{Gr}_{n,\infty} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{n+1,\infty}$. One can define the space of all possible hyperplanes of \mathbb{C}^∞ containing the origin as

$$\text{Gr}_\infty := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Gr}_{n,\infty} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

endowed with the inductive limit topology induced by the maps j_k . It turns out that Gr_∞ is again a Hausdorff, paracompact and path-connected space.

APPENDIX B. INFINITE UNITARY GROUP

Let \mathfrak{h} be a separable Hilbert space with $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = \aleph_0$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ the group of unitary operators. One can induce on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ any of the topologies supported on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$. There are at least three (indeed more) relevant topologies: the norm (or uniform) topology, the strong topology and the weak topology. As shown in [EU] there are only two relevant cases: (1) Let ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ be $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ endowed with the uniform topology. Then ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a Banach-Lie group. (2) All the other topologies on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ coincide and let us use the symbol ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ to denote $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ endowed with the weak topology just to fix one. Then ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a Polish group. In particular it is a completely metrizable space. It is known that both ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ are contractible [Kuip, Scho]. Therefore the bijective identification

$$\iota : {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \hookrightarrow {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

provides a weak homotopy equivalence ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \sim {}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ which is indeed a homotopy equivalence in view of the fact that both spaces are metrizable [Pala2, Theorem 15]. Considering the inclusions $\mathbb{U}(n) \subset \mathbb{U}(n+1)$ and the inductive limit

$$\mathbb{U}(\infty) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{U}(n) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

one knows that $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) = 0$ if k is 0 or even, and $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}$ if k is odd (Bott periodicity). Therefore, $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ cannot be weakly homotopy equivalent to ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ or ${}^w\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$. In fact $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ has the same homotopy type of the subgroup ${}^u\mathcal{U}_c(\mathfrak{h}) \subset {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ made of unitary operators U such that $U - \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ is compact [Pala1].

APPENDIX C. THE UNIFORM GRASSMANNIAN AS CLASSIFYING SPACE

In this section we will give an explicit proof of the fact that the map (2.5) provides a weak homotopy equivalence $\text{Gr}_{n,\infty} \sim {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$.

Taking a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_1\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathfrak{h} , we have the identifications $\mathbb{C}^d \simeq \mathfrak{h}_d := \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_d) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This identification induces a homeomorphism $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \simeq \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}_d)$. For an orthogonal projection $P \in \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d)$ (under the aforementioned identification), one gets $P \oplus \mathbf{o}_{\mathfrak{h}_d^\perp} \in \mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathbf{o}_{\mathfrak{h}_d^\perp}$ is the null-map on the orthogonal complement \mathfrak{h}_d^\perp of \mathfrak{h}_d in \mathfrak{h} . Then we get a continuous injection

$$\mathfrak{j}_d : \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) \hookrightarrow {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$$

given by $P \mapsto P \oplus \mathbf{o}_{\mathfrak{h}_d^\perp}$. This injection is compatible with the linear embedding $\mathbb{C}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$, so that the \mathfrak{j}_d 's together define a continuous map

$$\mathfrak{j} : \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \rightarrow {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) .$$

To prove that \mathfrak{j} is a weak homotopy equivalence we will make use of the homotopy exact sequence. Let us consider the classical homeomorphism (A.1) which represents $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d)$ as a homogeneous space, and the equivalent homeomorphism (2.4) for ${}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ with the choice of $\mathfrak{v}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_n$. We have a continuous monomorphism $\mathbb{U}(d) \simeq {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_d) \rightarrow {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ given by $U \mapsto U \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{h}_d^\perp}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{h}_d^\perp}$ is the identity on the orthogonal complement \mathfrak{h}_d^\perp of \mathfrak{h}_d in \mathfrak{h} . The induced map on the quotient spaces turn out to be \mathfrak{j}_d . Thus, we get a map of principal bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{U}(d)/\mathbb{U}(d-n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{j}}_d} & {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^d) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{j}_d} & {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \end{array}$$

whose structure groups are $\mathbb{U}(n) \simeq {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n)$. Taking the direct limit, one also gets a map of principal bundles (with the same structure group)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{n,\infty} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{j}}} & {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Gr}_{n,\infty} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{j}} & {}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}) \end{array}$$

where $S_{n,\infty} := \lim_{\rightarrow d} \mathbb{U}(d)/\mathbb{U}(d-n)$. It follows a homomorphism of homotopy exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(n)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_k({}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_k(S_{n,\infty}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_*} & \pi_k({}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_k(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,\infty}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_k({}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_{k-1}(\mathbb{U}(n)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_{k-1}({}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

The injection j induces a homeomorphic homomorphism $j : \mathbb{U}(n) \rightarrow {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n)$. Hence one gets isomorphisms $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)) \simeq \pi_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{v}_0))$ for all k induced by the maps j_* . Now, to complete the proof it is enough to show that

$$\tilde{j}_* : \pi_k(S_{n,\infty}) \longrightarrow \pi_k({}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp))$$

is an isomorphism for all k . This simply follows from the vanishing of these homotopy groups. In fact $\mathbb{U}(d)/\mathbb{U}(d-n)$ is nothing but the Stiefel manifold. It is known [Huse, Theorem 5.1] that $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(d)/\mathbb{U}(d-n)) = 0$ for $k \leq 2n$. By applying [Huse, Proposition 4.3] one obtains $\pi_k(S_{n,\infty}) = 0$ for all k . According to [Shu, Proposition 1.2], the projection ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/[{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n) \times {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)]$ admits a local section. Using this local section, one can get a local section of the projection ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow {}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)$. Thus, the latter is a principal ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)$ -bundle. Since the homotopy groups of ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)$ are trivial by Kuiper's theorem [Kuip], so are the homotopy groups of ${}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/{}^u\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_n^\perp)$ by the homotopy exact sequence. In conclusion, one obtains isomorphisms $j_* : \pi_k(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,\infty}) \rightarrow \pi_k({}^u\mathcal{G}_n(\mathfrak{h}))$ for every k . This implies that j is a weak homotopy equivalence.

APPENDIX D. WEAK CONTRACTION OF PROJECTIONS

This section contains some technical results inspired by [AS, Appendix 2].

Let $L^2([0, 1])$ be the separable Hilbert space of the L^2 -functions on the unit interval. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2([0, 1]) = L^2([0, t]) \oplus L^2([t, 1]) .$$

Let $R_t : L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, t])$ be the orthogonal projection onto $L^2([0, t])$. More precisely, if $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ then $(R_t f)(x) := \chi_{[0, t]}(x)f(x)$ where χ_I denotes the characteristic function of the set $I \subseteq [0, 1]$. Let us introduce the projection π_t and the inclusion ι_t given by

$$\pi_t : L^2([0, 1]) \longrightarrow L^2([0, t]), \quad \iota_t : L^2([0, t]) \longrightarrow L^2([0, 1]), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

As operations on an element of $L^2([0, 1])$ the projection π_t consists in the restriction of the domain, and ι_t is the extension of the domain by zero. One has the decomposition $R_t = \iota_t \pi_t$. Finally, for $0 < t \leq 1$, let $\sigma_t : L^2([0, t]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$ be the isometric isomorphism defined by

$$(\sigma_t f)(x) := \sqrt{t} f(tx). \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(L^2([0, 1]))$ be the set of bounded operators on $L^2([0, 1])$. For every $0 < t \leq 1$ consider the map $\phi_t : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\phi_t(A) := \iota_t \sigma_t^{-1} A \sigma_t \pi_t, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

From the definition above, one immediately infers that $\phi_1(A) = A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma D.1. *For every $0 < t \leq 1$ the map ϕ_t is linear. Moreover,*

$$\phi_t(A^*) = \phi_t(A)^*, \quad \phi_t(AB) = \phi_t(A)\phi_t(B)$$

for every $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. The linearity is evident. Let $D_t := \sigma_t \pi_t \in \mathcal{B}$. By observing that ι_t and π_t are adjoint to each other, and $\sigma_t^* = \sigma_t^{-1}$ since it is an isometry, one gets that $D_t^* = \iota_t \sigma_t^{-1}$. This means that $\phi_t(A) = D_t^* A D_t$ and therefore the adjoint property follows immediately. For the product property let us observe that $\pi_t \iota_t$ is the identity on $L^2([0, t])$. Therefore $D_t D_t^* = \mathbf{1}$ is the identity on $L^2([0, 1])$ and $D_t^* D_t = R_t$ is the subspace projection introduced above. The first one of these relations is enough to show the product formula. \square

Let \mathcal{G}_\bullet be the set of trace-class orthogonal projections of $L^2([0, 1])$.

Corollary D.2. *For every $0 < t \leq 1$, it holds that $\phi_t : \mathcal{G}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\bullet$.*

Proof. Let P be an orthogonal projection. Then, in view of Lemma D.1 it follows that $\phi_t(P)^* = \phi_t(P^*) = \phi_t(P)$ and $\phi_t(P)^2 = \phi_t(P^2) = \phi_t(P)$. Therefore $\phi_t(P)$ is a projection. Moreover

$$\text{Tr}(\phi_t(P)) = \text{Tr}(D_t^* P D_t) \leq \text{Tr}(P)$$

since D_t is a partial isometry, and in turn $\|D_t\| \leq 1$. \square

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}$ be the set of orthogonal projections of $L^2([0, 1])$ of rank at most N . Since ϕ_t doesn't increase the trace one obtains the more precise result:

Corollary D.3. *For every $0 < t \leq 1$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it holds that $\phi_t : \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}$.*

We are now interested in the behavior of ϕ_t when $t \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma D.4. *For every $A \in \mathcal{B}$ one has that $\phi_t(A) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$ with respect to the weak topology.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ be a normalized function. Form the inequality

$$\|D_t f\|^2 = \langle f, R_t f \rangle \leq \|R_t f\| = \left(\int_0^t dx |f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

one deduces that $\|D_t f\| \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. For instance, this fact can be checked firstly on the dense set $f \in C([0, 1]) \subset L^2([0, 1])$ of continuous functions. Therefore from

$$|\langle f, \phi_t(A)g \rangle| \leq \|A\| \|D_t f\| \|D_t g\|$$

one gets that $\langle f, \phi_t(A)g \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for every $f, g \in L^2([0, 1])$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. \square

Let us denote with ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}$ the space of trace-class orthogonal projections endowed with the weak topology. We are in position to prove the main result of this section.

Proposition D.5. *Let $\Phi : [0, 1] \times {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet} \rightarrow {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}$ be the map defined by $\Phi(t, P) := \phi_{1-t}(P)$. Then Φ is a continuous map such that $\Phi(0, P) = P$ and $\Phi(1, P) = 0$ for every $P \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}$.*

Proof. For every $t, t' \in [0, 1]$ and $P, P' \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}$ one has that

$$\Phi(t', P') - \Phi(t, P) = \phi_{1-t'}(P' - P) + \Phi(t', P) - \Phi(t, P).$$

Therefore, in order to prove that

$$\lim_{(t', P') \rightarrow (t, P)} |\langle f, (\Phi(t', P') - \Phi(t, P))g \rangle| = 0$$

for every $f, g \in L^2([0, 1])$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{P' \rightarrow P} |\langle f, \phi_{1-t'}(P' - P)g \rangle| = 0, \quad \forall t' \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{D.4})$$

and

$$\lim_{t' \rightarrow t} |\langle f, (\Phi(t', P) - \Phi(t, P))g \rangle| = 0, \quad \forall P \in {}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

separately. The (D.4) simply follows by observing that

$$\langle f, \phi_s(P' - P)g \rangle = \langle D_s f, (P' - P)D_s g \rangle, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore $P' \rightarrow P$ in the weak topology immediately implies the validity of (D.4). For the (D.5) let us observe that

$$\Phi(t', P) - \Phi(t, P) = D_{1-t'}^* P (D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t}) + (D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t})^* P D_{1-t}.$$

Let us assume for the moment the continuity of the map $s \mapsto D_s$ with respect to the strong topology. If this were the case one would have

$$\lim_{t' \rightarrow t} |\langle f, D_{1-t'}^* P (D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t})g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \lim_{t' \rightarrow t} \|(D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t})g\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t' \rightarrow t} |\langle f, (D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t})^* P D_{1-t} g \rangle| \leq \|g\| \lim_{t' \rightarrow t} \|(D_{1-t'} - D_{1-t})f\| = 0$$

proving the (D.5). To conclude the argument let us observe that for $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ and $0 < s \leq 1$ one has that

$$(D_s f)(x) = \sqrt{s} f|_s(sx)$$

where $f|_s := \pi_s f$ denotes the restriction of f on $[0, s]$. Therefore

$$\|(D_{s'} - D_s)f\|^2 = \int_0^1 dx \left| \sqrt{s'} f|_{s'}(s'x) - \sqrt{s} f|_s(sx) \right|^2 \leq a_f(s', s) + b_f(s', s)$$

where

$$a_f(s', s) := 2 \left| \sqrt{s'} - \sqrt{s} \right|^2 \int_0^1 dx |f|_{s'}(s'x)|^2 \leq 2 \left| \sqrt{s'} - \sqrt{s} \right|^2 \|f\|^2$$

and

$$b_f(s', s) := 2s \int_0^1 dx |f|_{s'}(s'x) - f|_s(sx)|^2.$$

From the inequality which dominates $a_f(s', s)$ it follows that $a_f(s', s) \rightarrow 0$ when $s' \rightarrow s$ for every $f \in L^2([0, 1])$. For the term $b_f(s', s)$ let us assume for the moment that $f \in C([0, 1])$ is a continuous function. Then the integrand $g_{s', s}(x) := |f|_{s'}(s'x) - f|_s(sx)|^2$ is dominated by $4\|f\|_\infty^2$ and $g_{s', s}(x) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise when $s' \rightarrow s$ in view of the continuity. As a consequence the application of the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem assures that $b_f(s', s) \rightarrow 0$ when $s' \rightarrow s$. To sum up we have deduced that $\|(D_{s'} - D_s)f\| \rightarrow 0$ when $s' \rightarrow s$ for every $f \in C([0, 1])$. Since continuous functions are dense in L^2 functions one can conclude that the map $s \mapsto D_s$ is indeed strongly continuous. The last part of the claim follows from $\Phi(0, P) = \phi_1(P) = P$ and Lemma D which provides $\Phi(1, P) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t(P) = 0$. \square

Remark D.6 (Deformation retraction). In the jargon of topology the map Φ introduced in Proposition D.5 is a *deformation retraction* of the space ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet$ to the singleton $\{0\}$ [Hat1, Chapter 0]. Since the map Φ doesn't increase the trace of projections (as for Corollary D.3), it follows also that Φ retracts each subspace ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}$ to $\{0\}$. Therefore Proposition D.5 implies that the spaces ${}^w\mathcal{G}_\bullet$ and ${}^w\mathcal{G}_{\bullet, \leq N}$ are homotopy equivalent to the singleton $\{0\}$ or in other words they are contractible. \blacktriangleleft

REFERENCES

- [AM] Abbondandolo, A.; Majer, P.: *Infinite dimensional Grassmannians*. J. Op. Theory **61**, 19-62 (2009)
- [AS] Atiyha, M. F.; Segal, G.: *Twisted K-theory*. Ukr. Mat. Visn. **1**, no. 3, 287-330 (2004). English transl., Ukr. Math. Bull. **1**, no. 3, 291-334 (2004)
- [Atiy] Atiyha, M. F.: *K-Theory*. CRC Press, 1967
- [BR1] Bratteli, O.; Robinson, D. W.: *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I. C*- and W*-Algebras, Symmetry Groups, Decomposition of States*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1987

- [Dup] Dupré, J. M.: *Classifying Hilbert Bundles*. J. Funct. Anal. **15**, 244-278 (1974)
- [EU] Espinoza, J.; Uribe, B.: *Topological properties of the unitary group*. JP J. Geom. Topol. **16**, 45-55 (2014)
- [Hat1] Hatcher, A.: *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002
- [Hat2] Hatcher, A.: *Vector Bundles and K-Theory*
E-print: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/hatcher/VBKT/VB.pdf>, 2017
- [Huse] Husemoller, D.: *Fibre bundles*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994
- [Kuip] Kuiper N.: *The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space*. Topology **3**, 19-30 (1965).
- [Miln] Milnor, J.: *Construction of universal bundles II*. Ann. of Math. **63**, 430-443 (1956)
- [MMS] Matumoto, T.; Minami, N.; Sugawara, M.: *On the set of free homotopy classes and Brown's construction*. Hiroshima Math. J. **14**, 359-369 (1984)
- [MS] Milnor, J. W.; Stasheff, J. D. *Characteristic Classes* Princeton University Press, 1974
- [Pala1] Palais, R. S.: *On the homotopy type of certain groups of operators*. Topology **3**, 271-279 (1965)
- [Pala2] Palais, R. S.: *Homotopy theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds*. Topology **5**, 1-16 (1966)
- [RS] Rudolph, G.; Schmidt, M.: *Differential Geometry and Mathematical Physics Part II. Fibre Bundles, Topology and Gauge Fields*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer, 2017
- [Scho] Schottenloher, M.: *The Unitary Group in Its Strong Topology*. Adv. Pure Math. **8**, 508-515 (2018)
- [Shu] Shubin, M. A.: *Remarks on the Topology of the Hilbert Grassmannian*. Amer.Math. Soc. Transl. **175**, 191-198 (1996)
- [Simo] Simon, B.: *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*. AMS, 2005

(G. De Nittis) FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS & INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, SANTIAGO, CHILE.

Email address: gidenittis@uc.cl

(K. Gomi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 152-8551, JAPAN.

Email address: kgomi@math.titech.ac.jp

(S. G. Rendel) FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS & INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, SANTIAGO, CHILE.

Email address: sgr@uc.cl