

ROOTS OF REAL-VALUED ZERO MEAN MAPS: COMPOSITIONS OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS AND EQUIVARIANT MAPS

FRANCESCA CANTOR, JULIA D'AMICO, FLORIAN FRICK, AND ERIC MYZELEV

ABSTRACT. We exhibit the Carathéodory-type result that any point in the convex hull of a closed convex curve in \mathbb{R}^{2d} is a convex combination of at most $d + 1$ points along the curve and extremal results for gaps between zeros of trigonometric polynomials as Borsuk–Ulam-type results for \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant maps from the circle. We develop a geometric-topological framework that gives structural results for the distribution of zeros of certain zero mean maps on the circle and the n -torus. Given an equivariant map γ from a compact metric space X to \mathbb{R}^d and a set \mathcal{F} of subsets of X , this framework provides general criteria that guarantee the existence of an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that all compositions of linear functionals with γ have a zero on A . In particular, this seems to be a fruitful perspective to generalize results about common zeros of functions in a Chebyshev space. As a consequence we derive higher precision integration formulas and bounds for the largest geodesic ball in the n -torus where multivariate trigonometric polynomials may stay positive in terms of the size of their spectrum.

1. INTRODUCTION

A linear combination of the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2\pi kt)$ and $t \mapsto \cos(2\pi kt)$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is a *trigonometric polynomial* of degree at most d . Since every such function is periodic, we may consider them as functions from the circle $S^1 = [0, 1]/(0 \sim 1)$ of circumference one to \mathbb{R} . Moreover, any such function has *zero mean*, that is, the integral over its domain vanishes. Babenko [7] showed that any trigonometric polynomial of degree at most d has a zero on any closed interval of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$. A $(d+1)$ -dimensional vector space of continuous functions $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *Chebyshev space* if it contains all constant functions and if for all non-constant $f \in V$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ the preimage $f^{-1}(b)$ has size at most d . Chebyshev spaces of maps with domain S^1 only exist for d even. Chebyshev spaces are central in approximation theory; see for example [25]. Babenko more generally shows that if V is a $(2d+1)$ -dimensional Chebyshev space invariant under a shift by $\frac{1}{d+1}$, then every $f \in V$ with zero mean has a zero on any closed interval of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$, and that in fact the measure of the set where f is positive is at least $\frac{1}{d+1}$ (see also Tabachnikov [29]). We show:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V \subset C(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ be a $(2d+1)$ -dimensional Chebyshev space. Then there is a closed interval $I \subset S^1$ of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$ such that every $f \in V$ with zero mean has a zero on I .*

In [3] the authors give a topological proof of Theorem 1.1 (in the case of trigonometric polynomials), which relies on understanding the topology of the nerve complex of circular arcs and a mapping degree argument that involves the combinatorics of cyclic polytopes. Here we give a similar, but simpler, topological proof of Theorem 1.1 for general Chebyshev spaces; see Section 4. Our proof replaces the need for mapping degree arguments and comparisons to cyclic

Date: March 25, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A50, 42A05, 52A20, 54H25.

polytopes with an application of a Borsuk–Ulam-type theorem for \mathbb{Z}/p -actions; additionally, bounds for the topology of the nerve complex of circular arcs are no longer needed. This allows us to prove various generalizations and variations, such as a generalization to compositions of linear functionals with a \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ (see Theorem 1.2), a variant of this result for small diameter sets instead of short intervals (see Theorem 1.3), and bounds for the radius r such that any multivariate trigonometric polynomial with prescribed spectrum has a zero on any geodesic ball of radius r in the n -torus $(S^1)^n$ (see Theorem 1.4). This result generalizes to compositions of linear functionals with a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant map (see Theorem 5.2).

Theorem 1.1 is known in different language: Pick a basis f_1, \dots, f_{2d} for the zero mean part of V as in Theorem 1.1 and consider the map $\gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_{2d}(x))$. As we will show in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the condition on preimages in a Chebyshev space then implies that γ is a convex curve with the origin in its convex hull. (This connection is not a new insight; see for example [8].) It is known that the origin is then a convex combination of at most $d + 1$ points on γ , and we will give a new topological proof of this; see Theorem 4.2. The preimages under γ of these points necessarily lie within an interval of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$ in S^1 , which is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1 by Lemma 2.9.

For a group G acting on metric spaces X and Y , a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant if it commutes with the G -actions, that is, if $f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $g \in G$. The p -element cyclic group \mathbb{Z}/p acts on the circle S^1 by rotations. A point $x \in X$ is a *fixed point* of a G -action on X if $g \cdot x = x$ for all $g \in G$. For G -actions on \mathbb{R}^d , we do not necessarily need that G acts by linear maps, only that the action preserves rays through the origin. We give the following generalization of Babenko's extremal result for zeros of trigonometric polynomials to \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant maps from the circle (see Section 4):

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map for some prime $p \geq 2$ and some \mathbb{Z}/p -action on \mathbb{R}^{2d} whose only fixed point is 0. Then there is a closed interval $I \subset S^1$ of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$ such that all compositions of γ with linear functionals, that is, maps $f_a: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, have a zero on I . In fact, the origin is a convex combination of at most $d + 1$ points along γ .*

Given a \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, the vector space of maps f_a as above has dimension $2d$. While these maps have zero mean, they are not necessarily a Chebyshev space. In fact, Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem 1.1: For a basis f_1, \dots, f_{2d} of the zero mean part of a $(2d + 1)$ -dimensional Chebyshev space of maps $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the curve $x \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_{2d}(x))$ is a convex closed curve, and such curves can be arbitrarily well-approximated by \mathbb{Z}/p -maps $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ for some \mathbb{Z}/p -action (by homeomorphisms preserving rays) on \mathbb{R}^{2d} whose only fixed point is the origin; see Lemma 4.1. An interesting class of examples that shows Theorem 1.2 strictly generalizes Theorem 1.1 are the functions $f: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Fourier expansion has spectrum not containing multiples of a prime p . Such functions do not necessarily satisfy the preimage conditions of Theorem 1.1 but we will show in Remark 4.3 that by Theorem 1.2, they have zeros in any interval of length $\frac{r}{r+1}$, where r is the number of equivalence classes mod p that have representatives in the spectrum f . These functions were studied by Tabachnikov [29], where a bound on the measure of a set on which such a function can remain negative is given in terms of p , but not r .

The bound of $\frac{d}{d+1}$ for the length of intervals in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is optimal since Babenko's original result is. We say that a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *changes sign* on $A \subset X$ if there are $x, y \in A$ with $f(x) \geq 0$ and $f(y) \leq 0$. We prove the following variant of Theorem 1.2 (see Section 4):

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map for some prime $p \geq 2$ and some \mathbb{Z}/p -action on \mathbb{R}^{2d} whose only fixed point is 0. Then there is a set $A \subset S^1$ of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$ such that all compositions of γ with linear functionals, that is, maps $f_a: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, change sign on A .*

In particular, by approximation, for any $(2d+1)$ -dimensional Chebyshev space $V \subset C(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ there is a set $A \subset S^1$ of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$ such that every $f \in V$ with zero mean changes sign on A . For $\gamma(t) = (\cos 2\pi kt, \sin 2\pi kt)_{k \in S}$, $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of size d , Theorem 1.3 implies that trigonometric polynomials with frequencies in S all change sign on some set $A \subset S^1$ of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$.

Not every set $A \subset S^1$ of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$ can be extended to a connected set of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$; the vertices of a regular $(2d+1)$ -gon are one example. Thus a change of sign does not imply that there is a set A of diameter at most $\frac{d}{2d+1}$ where all f have a zero. Nevertheless, understanding constraints on sets A where all f change sign is natural, since those are precisely the sets such that evaluation in the points of A yields formulas for efficient numerical integration; see Proposition 2.11. Theorem 1.3 was previously established for *raked trigonometric polynomials* of degree at most $2d-1$, that is, for linear combinations of the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2\pi kt)$ and $t \mapsto \cos(2\pi kt)$ for $k \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2d-1\}$; see Adams, Bush, and Frick [4]. Theorem 1.3 generalizes this to trigonometric polynomials of other frequencies and—more generally—to compositions of linear functionals with an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map. Raked trigonometric polynomials also show that this result is optimal [4, Thm. 4].

Our equivariant-topological approach works in some generality. In Section 3 we provide general results for compositions of linear functionals with a G -equivariant map γ from a compact metric space X to \mathbb{R}^n , provided the G -action on \mathbb{R}^n is free away from the origin. (A G -action on X is *free* if $g \cdot x \neq x$ for all $x \in X$ and all non-identity $g \in G$.) Among others, these results assert that if for a collection \mathcal{F} of connected closed subsets of X , the space of probability measures with support entirely within a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$, equipped with a Wasserstein metric of optimal transport, is homotopically $(n-1)$ -connected, then there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that any composition of a linear functional with γ has a zero in A . For example, this framework provides efficient integration formulas for compositions of affine functionals with a fixed \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map from a compact metric measure space; see Theorem 3.5.

As another application of this framework we derive structural results for the zeros of *multivariate trigonometric polynomials*, that is, linear combinations of the functions $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \cos(2\pi \sum \alpha_i t_i)$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \sin(2\pi \sum \alpha_i t_i)$ for non-negative integers α_i . The set S_f of all n -tuples of coefficients $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the *spectrum* of the multivariate trigonometric polynomial f . Multivariate trigonometric polynomials are zero mean maps $(S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Earlier results of this form are due to Kozma and Oravecz [20] and Steinerberger [27]; see Subsection 2.1. In the following the torus $(S^1)^n$ carries the ℓ_2 -metric inherited from the usual Euclidean metric on $[0, 1]^n$. We prove (see Section 5):

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $p \geq 3$ be a prime. Let $S \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \setminus \{0\}$ be a set of cardinality at most $\frac{1}{2}p^n - 1$, and let $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(n-1) + (\frac{p-2}{2p})^2}$. Further assume that for*

every $\alpha \in S$ some coordinate α_i is not divisible by p . Then every multivariate trigonometric polynomial with spectrum S has a zero on any closed geodesic ball of radius r in $(S^1)^n$.

Such results do not trivially follow from the 1-dimensional case by restricting the inputs to $(t_1, 0, \dots, 0)$: The restriction of a multivariate trigonometric polynomial to a single non-zero variable is in general not a trigonometric polynomial, but differs from a trigonometric polynomial by an additive constant; in particular, this restriction generally does not have zero mean.

Again, we will show that Theorem 1.4 holds more generally for compositions of linear functionals with $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant maps from the n -torus; see Theorem 5.2, where now we can only guarantee the existence of a zero on some geodesic ball of the given radius, instead of any such geodesic ball.

2. CONTEXT AND METHODS

Our results constrain the zeros of trigonometric polynomials, generalize Carathéodory-type results for convex curves to images of equivariant maps, and consequently yield efficient formulas for numerical integration. Further, our results may be seen as generalizations of Borsuk–Ulam-type theorems to the “overconstrained” setting, where generically equivariant maps will not have zeros, yet compositions with linear functionals will exhibit zeros on some set of quantifiable size. Our methods combine Borsuk–Ulam-type results and a construction in metric geometry originally motivated by applications in computational topology and topological data analysis: Metric thickenings. Here we collect the most important context, definitions, notation, and results.

2.1. Roots of trigonometric polynomials. A trigonometric polynomial of degree d is a linear combination of the real and imaginary part of a complex polynomial of degree d restricted to the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, that is, up to identifying the circle S^1 with $[0, 1]/(0 \sim 1)$, a function of the form $f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^d a_k \cos(2\pi kt) + b_k \sin(2\pi kt)$, where at least one of a_d or b_d is nonzero. We emphasize that we only consider homogeneous trigonometric polynomials, where we do not allow an additive constant. Thus $\int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0$; we say that f is a *zero mean* function. In the following we will always identify S^1 with the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with its endpoints glued together. In particular, S^1 has circumference 1 and diameter $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the n -dimensional torus $(S^1)^n$ is obtained from the cube $[0, 1]^n$ by gluing opposite facets together without a twist. The torus thus inherits a metric from the usual Euclidean metric on $[0, 1]^n$, and in particular the torus has diameter $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Babenko [7]). *Let $I \subset S^1$ be a closed interval of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$. Then any trigonometric polynomial of degree d has a zero on I .*

Also see Gilbert and Smyth [16] for further results. Tabachnikov [29] exploits the cyclic symmetry of trigonometric polynomials to give upper bounds for the measure of the set, where a trigonometric polynomial may be non-positive. Ismailov [18] proved generalizations to arbitrary sets of frequencies and generalizes further beyond trigonometric polynomials. Variants of these results for multivariate trigonometric polynomials have been studied:

Theorem 2.2 (Kozma and Oravecz [20]). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be finite with $-S = S$. Let $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in S} c(\lambda) \exp(2\pi i \langle x, \lambda \rangle)$. The induced map $\hat{f}: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a zero on any closed geodesic ball of radius $\sum_{\lambda \in S} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}}$.*

Theorem 2.3 (Steinerberger [27]). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be finite with $-S = S$. Let $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in S} c(\lambda) \exp(2\pi i \langle x, \lambda \rangle)$. The induced map $\hat{f}: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a zero on any closed geodesic ball of radius $n^{3/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda}$, where $\Lambda = \{\sqrt{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle} \mid \lambda \in S\}$.*

Recall that the diameter of the n -torus $(S^1)^n$ is $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}$. While these results give strong bounds for large frequencies, the bounds are trivial in other cases. Our results provide non-trivial bounds independent of the spectrum, and in particular improve on Theorem 2.3 for any spectrum S , where $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} > \frac{1}{2n}$ with Λ as above.

2.2. Borsuk–Ulam results. Let S^d denote the d -dimensional sphere. The classical Borsuk–Ulam theorem [11] states that any continuous map $\gamma: S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that is *odd*, i.e. $\gamma(-x) = -\gamma(x)$ for all $x \in S^d$, must have a zero.

The following is a simple rephrasing of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem: Let V be a d -dimensional vector space of continuous odd maps $f: S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there is an $x_0 \in S^d$ with $f(x_0) = 0$ for all $f \in V$. In other words, the functions in V have a common zero. This rephrasing follows from the classical statement by choosing a basis f_1, \dots, f_d of V and defining $\gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_d(x))$. Now if V is an n -dimensional vector space of continuous odd maps $f: S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n > d$ then the maps in V will generically not have a common zero. In this case, there is a set $A \subset S^d$ of diameter at most $\frac{n}{2n+2}$ such that all $f \in V$ change sign on A ; see [4, 14].

Numerous generalizations of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for maps respecting larger groups of symmetries have been proven. Let G be a group and let X and Y be topological spaces with G -actions by homeomorphisms. Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant if $f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $g \in G$, and that a G -action on X is *free* if $g \cdot x \neq x$ for all $x \in X$ and all non-identity $g \in G$. A G -action on a CW complex X is *cellular* if for every $g \in G$ and every cell σ of X , $g \cdot \sigma$ is again a cell of X . A topological space X is n -connected for $n \geq 0$ if the first n homotopy groups of X vanish. We will need the following two results:

Theorem 2.4 (Dold [15]). *Let G be a non-trivial finite group that acts cellularly on the CW complex X and acts freely on the sphere S^n . If there is a G -equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow S^n$ then X is not n -connected.*

Dold states this result as if there is a G -equivariant map $S^m \rightarrow S^n$ for free G -actions on domain and codomain, then $m \geq n$. This is equivalent to the version above: If X is homotopically n -connected, then by elementary obstruction theory there is a G -equivariant map $S^{n+1} \rightarrow X$, which composed with f yields a G -equivariant map $S^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$. Here we may assume that the action on X is free, since f maps to a free space. Recall that a point $x \in X$ is a *fixed point* of a G -action on X if $g \cdot x = x$ for all $g \in G$. Not having fixed points is generally a strictly weaker requirement than the freeness of a G -action.

Theorem 2.5 (Volovikov [30]). *Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime, and let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ be an elementary abelian group that acts on the CW complex X and without fixed points on S^n . If there is a G -equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow S^n$ then X is not n -connected.*

2.3. Carathéodory-type results. We refer to Barvinok [9] and Matoušek [22] for the basics of convexity. We recall the classical theorems of Carathéodory and Steinitz:

Theorem 2.6 (Carathéodory [12, 13]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a subset, and let $x \in \text{conv } X$. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} \in X$ with $x \in \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$.*

For $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the *Carathéodory number* is the smallest integer k such that any $x \in \text{conv } X$ is a convex combination of at most k points in X .

Theorem 2.7 (Steinitz [28]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a subset, and let x be in the interior of $\text{conv } X$. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_{2d} \in X$ such that x is in the interior of $\text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_{2d}\}$.*

We point out that the papers of Carathéodory and Steinitz are foundational papers in convexity, and properties of convex sets were established for the purpose of studying trigonometric polynomials and Fourier series. To this end the *trigonometric moment curve* $\gamma(t) = (\sin t, \cos t, \dots, \sin dt, \cos dt)$ appears in Carathéodory's work; the convex hull of the image of γ is now referred to as *Carathéodory orbitope* [24]. More generally in [24] Sanyal, Sottile, and Sturmfels study *orbitopes*, that is, convex hulls of orbits of actions of compact Lie groups on Euclidean space. Longinetti, Sgheri, and Sottile [21] determine bounds for the Carathéodory number of the convex hull of orbits of a certain $SO(3)$ -action on \mathbb{R}^{10} . Instead of studying the convex hull of the orbit of a single representation, that is, of a G -equivariant map $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, our work more generally bounds Carathéodory numbers for convex hulls of the images of equivariant maps; see [4], where the connection between Borsuk–Ulam-type theorems and Carathéodory numbers of orbitopes exploited in the present paper is made.

A curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *convex* if every affine hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d intersects γ in at most $d + 1$ points, that is, if the equation $\langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle = b$ has at most d solutions for fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that closed convex curves, that is, those with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$, only exist for even d . For the following result see for example [9, Prop. II.9.4]; we will give a topological proof, see Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a closed convex curve, and let $y \in \text{conv}\{\gamma(x) \mid x \in S^1\}$. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} \in S^1$ with $y \in \text{conv}\{\gamma(x_1), \dots, \gamma(x_{d+1})\}$.*

The hyperplane separation theorem (or finite-dimensional Hahn–Banach theorem) states that for any two disjoint convex closed sets $C, D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where at least one of them is compact, there is an affine hyperplane that strictly separates them, that is, there is an $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle a, x \rangle < b < \langle a, y \rangle$ for all $x \in C$ and all $y \in D$; see [22, Thm. 1.2.4].

Lemma 2.9. *Let V be a vector space of continuous maps $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where X is compact, and let f_1, \dots, f_d be a basis of V . Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be given by $\gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_d(x))$, and let $A \subset X$ be closed. Then $0 \in \text{conv}(\gamma(A))$ if and only if every $f \in V$ changes sign on A .*

Proof. We have that $0 \notin \text{conv}(\gamma(A))$ if and only if there is an $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ such that $\langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle > 0$ for all $x \in A$. Since $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle = a_i f_i(x)$ is a linear combination of the f_i this is equivalent to f_a not changing its sign on A . \square

2.4. Integration by finite evaluation. Carathéodory-type results are closely related to numerical integration by averaging over a finite sample; see Seymour and Zaslavsky [26] and Barvinok's book [9, Ch. II, 9]. For example, the following is the double-precision integration formula; see [9, Ch. II, 10].

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\rho: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous density function. For any positive integer m there are points $\tau_1^*, \dots, \tau_m^* \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^1 f(t)\rho(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f(\tau_i^*)$$

for any polynomial of degree at most $2m - 1$.

Here the name “double-precision” refers to the phenomenon that a sample of m points is sufficient to integrate polynomials of degree up to $2m - 1$. This follows directly from the fact that every point in the convex hull of the moment curve $(t, t^2, \dots, t^{2m-1})$ is in the convex hull of m points along this curve.

For a measure space X with measure μ of finite total measure and V a finite-dimensional vector space of integrable functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, an *averaging set* is a finite set $A \subset X$ such that $\frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_X f \, d\mu = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} f(a)$ for all $f \in V$. Seymour and Zaslavsky show the existence of averaging sets in broad generality by relating it to Carathéodory-type results. By Lemma 2.9, we can moreover relate integration by finite evaluation results to results about functions changing their sign on the given point sample:

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a metric measure space of total measure 1, and let V be a finite-dimensional vector space of continuous functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that all constant functions are in V . Let $A \subset X$ be a closed set. Then every $f \in V$ with zero mean changes sign on A if and only if there is a function $w: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with finite support such that for every $g \in V$ we have that*

$$\int_X g(x) \, dx = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)g(a).$$

Proof. First suppose that every $f \in V$ with $\int_X f(x) \, dx = 0$ changes sign on A . Let f_1, \dots, f_n be a basis of the codimension one subspace of V that contains all zero mean functions. Let

$$\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)).$$

By Lemma 2.9 we have that $0 \in \text{conv}(\gamma(A))$. By Theorem 2.6 there are $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in A$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \geq 0$ with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ such that $0 = \sum_i \lambda_i \gamma(x_i)$. Let $g \in V$ be arbitrary. Then there are coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g = c + \sum_i a_i f_i$. Then

$$\sum_i \lambda_i g(x_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i \left(c + \sum_j a_j f_j(x_i) \right) = c \sum_i \lambda_i = c = c + \sum_i a_i \int_X f_i(x) \, dx = \int_X g(x) \, dx.$$

Setting $w(x_i) = \lambda_i$ and $w(a) = 0$ for $a \in A \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ proves one direction of the proposition. (Here we assume for simplicity of notation that the x_i are pairwise distinct.)

Conversely, let $w: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function with $\int_X g(x) \, dx = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)g(a)$ for all $g \in V$. Since V contains non-zero constant functions, w cannot be identically zero. Given any $f \in V$ with zero mean, we have that $0 = \int_X f(x) \, dx = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)f(a)$. Since $w(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$, the function f must change sign on A . \square

For $X = S^d \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and V the vector space of real polynomials in $d + 1$ variables of total degree at most t , an averaging set $A \subset S^d$ is called *spherical t -design*. Asymptotically optimal bounds for the size of t -designs were proven by Bondarenko, Radchenko, and Viazovska [10] using topological techniques.

2.5. Metric thickenings. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of subsets of X . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ the space of Borel probability measures that are supported in one $A \in \mathcal{F}$ equipped with the 1-Wasserstein metric of optimal transport. The 1-Wasserstein distance between Borel probability measures μ and ν on X is the infimum of $\int_{X \times X} d(x, y) \, d\pi$ over all Borel probability measures π on $X \times X$ with $\mu(B) = \pi(B \times X)$ and $\nu(B) = \pi(X \times B)$ for all

Borel sets $B \subset X$. We will not make reference to metric properties of $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$; the choice of 1-Wasserstein metric is thus of no consequence, since any q -Wasserstein metric induces the same topology. We call $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ the *metric thickening* by \mathcal{F} of X . We refer to [2, 6] for the basics. There the definition is restricted to sets \mathcal{F} that only consist of finite sets, and thus $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ only contains convex combinations of *Dirac measures* δ_x that assign measure one exactly to those measurable sets that contain x . The more general definition here will simplify the presentation somewhat.

A *simplicial complex* Σ is a set of finite sets closed under taking subsets, that is, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$ we have that $\tau \in \Sigma$. Singletons $\{v\} \in \Sigma$ are *vertices*, whereas general $\sigma \in \Sigma$ are *faces*. Every simplicial complex Σ is naturally a topological space: Let V be the set of vertices of Σ and identify elements of V with their indicator functions $\mathbf{1}_v$ in \mathbb{R}^V . Endow \mathbb{R}^V with the supremum-norm and consider

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{conv}\{\mathbf{1}_v \mid v \in \sigma\} \subset \mathbb{R}^V,$$

the *geometric realization* of Σ . Whenever we refer to topological properties of Σ , they are properties of this geometric realization. We refer to Kozlov [19] and Matoušek [23] for the basics.

Theorem 2.12 (Adams, Frick, and Virk [5]). *Let X be a compact metric space. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X , and let \mathcal{F} be a simplicial complex of finite subsets of sets in \mathcal{U} . Then \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ have isomorphic homotopy groups.*

Gillespie [17] showed the stronger result that in this case \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ are weakly homotopy equivalent. Recall that a topological space X is *n -connected* for $n \geq 0$ if the first n homotopy groups of X vanish. The maximal n such that X is n -connected will be denoted by $\text{conn}(X)$.

Theorem 2.13 (Adamaszek and Adams [1]). *Let $\frac{k}{2k+1} < r < \frac{k+1}{2k+3}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{F} be the simplicial complex of all finite subsets $X \subset S^1$ of diameter less than r . Then \mathcal{F} is homotopy equivalent to S^{2k+1} , and in particular \mathcal{F} is $2k$ -connected.*

For X and Y topological spaces, the *join* $X * Y$ is the quotient of $X \times Y \times [0, 1]$ by the equivalence relation generated by $(x, y, 0) \sim (x', y, 0)$ and $(x, y, 1) \sim (x, y', 1)$ for $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$. This operation can be iterated and is associative, so that for example the threefold join $X * Y * Z$ is well-defined. Denote the k -fold join of X by X^{*k} . Elements of X^{*k} can uniquely be referred to as abstract convex combinations $\lambda_1 x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k x_k$, where $x_i \in X$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$, and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$. In this notation, if some coefficient $\lambda_i = 0$, then the corresponding x_i has no influence on the point in X^{*k} .

Lemma 2.14 ([23, Prop. 4.4.3]). *Let X and Y be simplicial complexes. Then $\text{conn}(X * Y) \geq \text{conn}(X) + \text{conn}(Y) + 2$.*

Let X be a compact metric space with a G -action. Then the k -fold join X^{*k} carries the diagonal G -action given by $g \cdot (\lambda_1 x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k x_k) = \lambda_1 g \cdot x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k g \cdot x_k$. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of subsets of X such that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all $g \in G$ we have that $g \cdot A \in \mathcal{F}$. In this case we say that \mathcal{F} is *invariant under the G -action*. The G -action on X canonically lifts to $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ via $g \cdot \mu(A) = \mu(g^{-1} \cdot A)$.

3. THE TOPOLOGICAL APPROACH

A configuration space / test map scheme is a popular approach to reduce not necessarily topological problems to a topological question. The configuration space parametrizes the space of all potential solutions for the problem, while the test map measures to which extent a potential solution deviates from an actual one. The goal then is to use properties of the test map (such as the map respecting certain inherent symmetries) to show that the test map must have a zero on the configuration space. This implies the existence of a solution.

In this section we develop a configuration space / test map scheme that can be used to establish that for a given finite-dimensional vector space V of zero mean continuous functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for a given set \mathcal{F} of subsets of X there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that every $f \in V$ changes sign on A . In particular, if A is connected, then every $f \in V$ has a zero in A . The strength of these results, that is, the largest dimension of a vector space V exhibiting this phenomenon, is governed by the topology of the simplicial complex obtained as the downward-closure of the finite subsets of the set system \mathcal{F} . For compact metric space X and an open cover \mathcal{F} , this is up to weak homotopy equivalence the space $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ of probability measures with support fully contained in some $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and equipped with an optimal transport metric; see [17].

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space of zero mean continuous functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $f_1, \dots, f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a basis of V . Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the map $\gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$. By integration we get a map

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \mapsto \int_X \gamma(x) d\mu.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a compact metric space, and let \mathcal{F} be a set of closed subsets of X . With notation as above, Γ has a zero if and only if there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that every $f \in V$ changes sign on A . In particular, if every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is connected, then Γ has a zero if and only if there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that every $f \in V$ has a zero in A .*

Proof. By Proposition 2.11 every $f \in V$ changes sign on A if and only if there is a finitely-supported (and non-zero) $w: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $0 = \int_X f(x) dx = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)f(a)$ for all $f \in V$. We may assume that $\sum_{a \in A} w(a) = 1$, and in fact the w constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.11 has this property without any need for normalization. Let $\mu = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)\delta_a$. Then

$$\int_X f_j(x) d\mu = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)f_j(a) = 0.$$

Thus, $\Gamma(\mu) = 0$.

Conversely, let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ with $\Gamma(\mu) = 0$. By definition of Riemann integral 0 is in the closure of the convex hull of $\gamma(S)$, where S denotes the support of μ . There is a closed set $A \supset S$ with $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then since $\gamma(A)$ is compact, so is its convex hull, and thus $0 \in \text{conv}(\gamma(A))$. By Theorem 2.6 there are $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in A$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \geq 0$ with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ such that $0 = \sum_i \lambda_i \gamma(x_i)$. Define $w: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $w(x_i) = \lambda_i$ and $w(a) = 0$ for $a \in A \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. Then $0 = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)f_j(a)$ and thus $0 = \sum_{a \in A} w(a)f(a)$ for all $f \in V$. As remarked above, this is sufficient.

The second part of the lemma follows immediately from the first part and the intermediate value theorem. \square

Remark 3.2. Let X be a compact metric space that is homogeneous, that is, there is a group G acting by isometries on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ there is a $g \in G$ with $g \cdot x = y$. Let \mathcal{F} be the

set of closed geodesic balls in X of some fixed radius r . Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be G -equivariant, where 0 is the only fixed point of the G -action on \mathbb{R}^n . If for Γ as above, $\Gamma(\mu) = 0$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ supported in some geodesic ball B of radius r , then by homogeneity for any geodesic ball B' there is $\mu' \in \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ supported in B' such that $\Gamma(\mu') = 0$. In particular, for a homogeneous metric space X and G -equivariant $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, if Lemma 3.1 guarantees that all $f \in V$ have a zero on some geodesic ball of radius r , then every $f \in V$ has a zero on every geodesic ball of radius r .

For this reason Theorem 1.1 (which makes an assertion about one closed interval of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$ in S^1) implies Theorem 2.1 (which makes an assertion for every such interval).

For G -equivariant $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, Lemma 3.1 combined with Borsuk–Ulam theorems for larger symmetry groups yields the following configuration space / test map scheme for detecting common zeros of compositions of linear functionals with γ on some set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ in terms of the topology of $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a nontrivial finite group. Assume that G acts on the compact metric space X and acts on \mathbb{R}^n such that the action on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is free (or fixed-point free if $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ for some prime p). Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be G -equivariant. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of connected closed subsets of X that is invariant under the G -action. If $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is $(n-1)$ -connected, then there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that all compositions of γ with a linear functional, that is, maps $f_a: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, have a zero on A .*

Proof. Let

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \mapsto \int_X \gamma(x) d\mu.$$

For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ with finite support, say $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \delta_{x_i}$, the evaluation $\Gamma(\mu) = \sum_i \lambda_i \gamma(x_i)$ is a convex combination of $\gamma(x_i)$. For $g \in G$ we have that

$$\Gamma(g \cdot \mu) = \sum_i \lambda_i \gamma(g \cdot x_i) = g \cdot \sum_i \lambda_i \gamma(x_i) = g \cdot \Gamma(\mu).$$

Thus by approximation, since γ is G -equivariant so is Γ . The map Γ has a zero by Theorem 2.4, or by Theorem 2.5 if the G -action is only fixed-point free and $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$.

By Lemma 3.1 there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that every linear combination of the coordinates of γ , that is, every map $f_a: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, changes sign on A . Then every f_a has a zero on A , since A is connected. \square

The proof of Theorem 3.3 also shows the following version that we will sometimes find more convenient:

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a nontrivial finite group. Assume that G acts on the compact metric space X and acts on \mathbb{R}^n such that the action on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is free (or fixed-point free if $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ for some prime p). Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be G -equivariant. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of connected closed subsets of X that is invariant under the G -action. If there is a G -equivariant map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$, but no G -equivariant $Y \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, then there is an $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that all compositions of γ with a linear functional, that is, maps $f_a: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, have a zero on A .*

As a consequence of this setup, we can prove the following $(c+2)$ -fold precision integration formula. Here c refers to the homotopical connectivity of the domain. In the case, where the domain is S^1 and thus $c = 0$, this gives a double-precision integration formula for trigonometric

polynomials, and more generally compositions of linear functionals with a fixed \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a c -connected compact metric measure space with an action by the non-trivial group G , and let $\gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a G -equivariant map, where the G -action on \mathbb{R}^n is free on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Let V be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space of compositions of affine functionals with γ , that is, of maps $g(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle + b$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let k be an integer with $k(c+2) > n$. Then there is a set $A \subset X$ of cardinality at most k and a function $w: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for every $g \in V$ the integral $\int_X g(x) dx$ is equal to $\sum_{a \in A} g(a) \cdot w(a)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.11 we have to show that there is a set $A \subset X$ of cardinality k such that every $g \in V$ changes sign on A . Consider as before

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \mapsto \int_X \gamma(x) d\mu,$$

where \mathcal{F} contains all sets of cardinality at most k . By Lemma 3.1 we have to show that Γ has a zero. If not Γ induces a G -equivariant map $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. The k -fold join X^{*k} is $(kc + 2k - 2)$ -connected (by Lemma 2.14) and the map

$$\Phi: X^{*k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F}), \Phi(\lambda_1 x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k x_k) = \sum_i \lambda_i \delta_{x_i}$$

is G -equivariant. By composition with Γ we get a G -equivariant map $X^{*k} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, in contradiction to Theorem 2.4. \square

Theorem 3.5 guarantees that functions in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space of maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be exactly integrated by evaluation in about $\frac{n}{c+2}$ many points of X . Even for $c = 0$, that is, for path-connected X , this yields double-precision integration formulas as in Theorem 2.10. For highly connected X , by which we mean a $(c+1)$ -dimensional (e.g., as a simplicial complex) and c -connected X , the space $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{F})$ of probability measures supported in at most k points of X , has dimension $k(c+2) - 1$. If $k(c+2) - 1 < n$ or equivalently $k(c+2) \leq n$, then generically the map Γ will not have zeros, and so for highly connected X Theorem 3.5 is generically optimal.

4. THE TOPOLOGY OF CARATHÉODORY-TYPE RESULTS FOR CONVEX CURVES

Recall that an embedding $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (also called a *closed curve*) is *convex* if every affine hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d intersects it in at most d points. If γ is translated so that the origin is its barycenter, then $\gamma(S^1)$ is an orbit of an S^1 -action on \mathbb{R}^d . This is already claimed without proof in Carathéodory's paper [13]. Along the way to our generalizations, we want to provide a purely geometric-topological proof of the fact that for a convex closed curve $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ any point in $\text{conv}(\gamma(S^1))$ is a convex combination of at most $d+1$ points along $\gamma(S^1)$. We thus start with an elementary geometric proof that any convex closed curve can after translation be arbitrarily well approximated by an orbit of a \mathbb{Z}/p -action. We say that a G -action on \mathbb{R}^d *respects rays* if for all $g \in G$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all $\lambda \geq 0$ we have that $g \cdot \lambda x = \lambda(g \cdot x)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a closed convex curve such that the origin is in the interior of $\text{conv}(\gamma(S^1))$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a sufficiently large prime p and p points x_1, \dots, x_p on γ such that there is a \mathbb{Z}/p -action on \mathbb{R}^{2d} that respects rays, which is free on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and such that x_1, \dots, x_p is an orbit of this action. Further, the x_i can be chosen such that every point in the image of γ is at distance at most ε from some x_i .*

Proof. Let $p \geq 4d$ be a prime. Since the origin is in the convex hull of $\gamma(S^1)$, by Steinitz' theorem, Theorem 2.7, there are p points x_1, \dots, x_p such that the origin is in the interior of $\text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$. By potentially increasing p we can enlarge the set of points x_i such that every $y \in \gamma(S^1)$ is at distance at most ε from some x_i . These points are in convex position: Otherwise we could find an x_j and a set $I \subset [p] \setminus \{j\}$ of size $2d+1$ such that $x_j \in \text{conv}\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$. The point x_j is necessarily in the interior of the simplex $\text{conv}\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$, since otherwise $2d+1$ points would lie on a common hyperplane. Let $k, \ell \in I$ such that no other point x_i for $i \in I$ lies between x_k and x_ℓ along γ . Continuously move x_k towards x_ℓ along γ , that is, $y(t)$ is a point on γ that continuously depends on t with $y(0) = x_k$, $y(1) = x_\ell$ and $y(t) \neq x_i$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and all $i \in I$. By the above x_j will remain in the interior of $\text{conv}(\{x_i \mid i \in I \setminus \{k\}\} \cup \{y(t)\})$ throughout. For $t = 1$ this implies that the $2d+1$ points x_j and $\{x_i \mid i \in I \setminus \{k\}\}$ are contained in a common hyperplane, a contradiction.

Thus $P = \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ is a simplicial polytope with the origin in its interior. A \mathbb{Z}/p -action on the vertices of this polytope is given by $x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}$ with indices modulo p . This induces a unique action on the boundary of P by affinely extending to faces. Lastly, by declaring that 0 is a fixed point of the action, the \mathbb{Z}/p -action extends to the cones over faces of the boundary of P and thus to all of \mathbb{R}^{2d} . Since no proper face of P is setwise fixed, this action is free away from 0. \square

It is now simple to give an equivariant-topological proof for the upper bound for Carathéodory number of convex closed curves. The following theorem asserts that for every convex closed curve γ in \mathbb{R}^{2d} every point in the interior of $\text{conv}(\gamma(S^1))$ is a convex combination of $d+1$ points along γ . Then the same is true for points on the boundary of $\text{conv}(\gamma(S^1))$ by approximation and compactness of S^1 .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a closed convex curve such that the origin is in the interior of $\text{conv}(\gamma(S^1))$. Then there are $d+1$ points y_1, \dots, y_{d+1} with $0 \in \text{conv}\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let p be a sufficiently large prime, and x_1, \dots, x_p points along γ chosen according to Lemma 4.1 such that the map $f: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ that agrees with γ on $\gamma^{-1}(J)$, where J is the interval along γ from x_1 to x_2 , and otherwise is \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant deviates from γ pointwise by at most ε . Define the \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map

$$F: (S^1)^{*(d+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad \lambda_1 x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p x_p \mapsto \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_p f(x_p).$$

Since $(S^1)^{*(d+1)}$ is $2d$ -connected by Lemma 2.14 (in fact it is homeomorphic to S^{2d+1}), the map F has a zero: If F did not have a zero, it would induce a map $S^{2d+1} \rightarrow S^{2d-1}$ by normalization, which will again be equivariant since the \mathbb{Z}/p -action on \mathbb{R}^{2d} respects rays. This would contradict Theorem 2.4. This implies that there are $y'_1, \dots, y'_{d+1} \in f(S^1)$ with $0 \in \text{conv}\{y'_1, \dots, y'_{d+1}\}$. Let y_i be a point on $\gamma(S^1)$ at distance less than ε from y'_i . Then $\text{conv}\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$ is at distance less than ε from 0. Letting ε go to 0 finishes the proof by compactness of S^1 . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let f_1, \dots, f_{2d} be a basis for the codimension one subspace of zero mean maps in V , and let $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be given by $\gamma(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_{2d}(x))$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of solutions of the equation $\langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle = b$ is the preimage $f_a^{-1}(b)$, where $f_a = \sum_i a_i f_i$, and thus has size at most $2d$. Thus γ is a convex curve. By Theorem 4.2, there are $d+1$ points $t_1, \dots, t_{d+1} \in S^1$ such that $0 \in \text{conv}\{\gamma(t_1), \dots, \gamma(t_{d+1})\}$. These $d+1$ points are necessarily contained in a closed interval I of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$. By Lemma 2.9 all zero mean $f \in V$ change sign on I . Thus by continuity all zero mean $f \in V$ have a zero on I . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all closed intervals of length $\frac{d}{d+1}$ in S^1 . Let

$$\Phi: (S^1)^{*(d+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S^1, \mathcal{F}), \quad \Phi(\lambda_1 x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{d+1} x_{d+1}) = \sum_i \lambda_i \delta_{x_i}.$$

Any probability measure in the image of Φ is supported in at most $d+1$ points, and in particular, this support is a subset of some interval $A \in \mathcal{F}$. The join $(S^1)^{*(d+1)}$ is $2d$ -connected by Lemma 2.14, in fact, it is homeomorphic to S^{2d+1} , and thus does not admit a \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant map to $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\} \simeq S^{2d-1}$ by Theorem 2.4. Now use Theorem 3.4. \square

Remark 4.3. Fix a prime p and let $f: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function whose Fourier expansion converges uniformly to f . Theorem 1.2 yields that if $\hat{f}(pk) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f has a zero on every interval of length $(p-1)/p$. In fact, if $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the spectrum of f , then f has a zero in every closed interval of length $\frac{r}{r+1} \leq \frac{p-1}{p}$, where $r = |\{k \bmod p \mid k \in S\}|$. To see this, first note that it suffices by uniform convergence to consider the case where the Fourier expansion is a trigonometric polynomial, that is, f is a trigonometric polynomial with spectrum S such that every $k \in S$ is nonzero modulo p . Define $S_j = \{k \in S \mid k \bmod p \equiv j\}$. Note that we can identify \mathbb{R}^{2r} with \mathbb{C}^r and then define $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ by setting component i to be $\gamma(t)_i = \sum_{k \in S_j} \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k t}$. Then γ is \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant, and the result follows from Theorem 1.2 by setting a to be a vector with 1 in every component. See Tabachnikov [29] for related results.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{F} be the simplicial complex of all finite subsets of S^1 of diameter less than r for some $r > \frac{d}{2d+1}$. By Lemma 3.1 we have to show that $\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(S^1, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with $\Gamma(\mu) = \int_{S^1} \gamma(x) d\mu$ has a zero. This implies that for every $r > \frac{d}{2d+1}$ there is an $A \subset S^1$ of diameter at most r , where every $f \in V$ changes sign. The statement of the theorem is derived by taking the limit $r \rightarrow \frac{d}{2d+1}$ and using that S^1 is compact. By Theorem 2.12 $\mathcal{P}(S^1, \mathcal{F})$ is $2d$ -connected since $\mathcal{F} \simeq S^{2d+1}$ (by Theorem 2.13) is. Since Γ is \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant (as in the proof of Theorem 3.3), it has a zero by Theorem 2.4, which completes the proof. \square

5. MULTIVARIATE TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS AND EQUIVARIANT MAPS FROM THE TORUS

To establish bounds for the size of geodesic balls, where multivariate trigonometric polynomials must have zeros, we need lower bounds for the equivariant topology of the metric thickening of $(S^1)^n$ by \mathcal{F} , where \mathcal{F} consists of all geodesic balls of a fixed radius r . For this it suffices to give a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -invariant subset L of $(S^1)^n$ such that for any proper subset of L the geodesic balls of radius r around these points have a common intersection.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $p \geq 2$ be a prime. Let $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(n-1) + (\frac{p-2}{2p})^2}$. Then there is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -invariant subset $L \subset (S^1)^n$ such that for every $x \in L$ the set $L \setminus \{x\}$ lies in a common geodesic ball of radius r .*

Proof. Consider the n -torus $(S^1)^n$ as the cube $[0, 1]^n$ with opposite faces identified without a twist. Let

$$\hat{L} = \left\{ \left(\frac{k_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{k_n}{p} \right) \in [0, 1]^n \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \right\}.$$

The set \hat{L} is a grid of p^n points in $[0, 1]^n$, and after taking the quotient yields a set L of size p^n in $(S^1)^n$. We compute the distance r from the barycenter $(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ to the point $(0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{p})$. Here it is irrelevant whether we compute this distance in $[0, 1]^n$ or in $(S^1)^n$. This distance is $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(n-1) + (\frac{p-2}{2p})^2}$. The geodesic ball of radius r around $(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ contains all points of L

with the exception of $(0, \dots, 0)$. By symmetry for every $x \in L$, the set $L \setminus \{x\}$ is contained in some closed geodesic ball of radius r . \square

We can now show that compositions of linear functionals with a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant map $(S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ have a common zero on a geodesic ball whose radius may be quantified in terms of d and n .

Theorem 5.2. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, let $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(n-1) + (\frac{p-2}{2p})^2}$, and let $d = p^n - 3$. Let $\gamma: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant, where the $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -action on \mathbb{R}^d has no fixed points other than 0 . Then there is a closed geodesic ball $B \subset (S^1)^n$ of radius r such that all compositions of γ with a linear functional, that is, maps $f_a: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, have a zero on B .*

Proof. Let $L \subset (S^1)^n$ be the set constructed in Lemma 5.1. Let Σ be the simplicial complex of all proper subsets of L . Thus Σ is the boundary of a simplex on p^n vertices and thus a sphere of dimension $p^n - 2$. As L is $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -invariant, Σ carries a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -action inherited from the natural action on its vertices. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all closed geodesic balls of radius r in $(S^1)^n$. There is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant map $\Phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((S^1)^n, \mathcal{F})$: Every point x in Σ is a convex combination of all but one of the points in L , say $x = \sum_{y \in L \setminus \{y_0\}} \lambda_y y$. Define $\Phi(x) = \sum_{y \in L \setminus \{y_0\}} \lambda_y \delta_y$. The set $L \setminus \{y_0\}$ is contained in a common closed geodesic ball of radius r , and thus Φ is well-defined. There is no $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant map $\Sigma \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ by Theorem 2.5. Now use Theorem 3.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $S \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \setminus \{0\}$ be a set of cardinality at most $\frac{1}{2}p^n - 1$. Since p is odd, $2|S| \leq p^n - 3$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in S$ define $g_\alpha: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$g_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \left(\cos \left(2\pi \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \right), \sin \left(2\pi \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \right) \right).$$

That is, g_α parametrizes the orbit of the $(S^1)^n$ -action on \mathbb{C} given by $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot z = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n} z$. Since $z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n} = 1$ for every choice of p th roots of unity z_1, \dots, z_n only if all α_i are divisible by p , the $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -action on \mathbb{R}^2 given by $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot z = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n} z$ is fixed-point free on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\gamma: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2|S|}$ be given by $\gamma(z) = (g_\alpha(z))_{\alpha \in S}$. The map γ is equivariant with respect to the $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -action on $\mathbb{R}^{2|S|}$ given (for each \mathbb{R}^2 separately) above, and the standard action on $(S^1)^n$ as a subgroup. Theorem 5.2 guarantees the existence of a ball of radius $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(n-1) + (\frac{p-2}{2p})^2}$, where all maps $f_a(x) = \langle a, \gamma(x) \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2|S|}$, that is, all multivariate trigonometric polynomials with spectrum S , vanish. This is sufficient by Remark 3.2 since $(S^1)^n$ is homogeneous. \square

Remark 5.3. For $r > 0$ let \mathcal{F}_r be the set of all closed geodesic balls of radius r in $(S^1)^n$. Let Σ be the simplicial complex of all finite subsets of \mathcal{F}_r . This complex is the nerve complex (or Čech complex) of closed geodesic balls of radius r in the n -torus. Our proof of Theorem 1.4 shows that if Σ is c -connected then any multivariate trigonometric polynomial with spectrum of size at most $\frac{c+1}{2}$ has a zero on any closed geodesic ball of radius r . Thus topological lower bounds for Σ provide results for zeros of multivariate trigonometric polynomials. In the contrapositive, if some n -ary trigonometric polynomial with spectrum S is positive on some closed geodesic ball of radius r , then the metric thickening $\mathcal{P}((S^1)^n, \mathcal{F}_r)$ admits a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ -equivariant map to $\mathbb{R}^{2|S|} \setminus \{0\}$, and in particular is not $(2|S| - 1)$ -connected.

Motivated by the optimality of Theorem 1.1, we conjecture that an $(S^1)^n$ -equivariant map $\mathcal{P}((S^1)^n, \mathcal{F}_r) \rightarrow S^{2d-1}$ for some fixed-point free action on S^{2d-1} exists if and only if every n -ary

trigonometric polynomial with spectrum of size d has a zero on every closed geodesic ball of radius r . This is known for $n = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors were supported by NSF grant DMS 2042428. We are grateful to Nikola Sadovek and Ran Tao for helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adamaszek and H. Adams. The Vietoris–Rips complexes of a circle. *Pacific J. Math.*, 290(1):1–40, 2017.
- [2] M. Adamaszek, H. Adams, and F. Frick. Metric reconstruction via optimal transport. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 2(4):597–619, 2018.
- [3] M. Adamaszek, H. Adams, F. Frick, C. Peterson, and C. Previte-Johnson. Nerve complexes of circular arcs. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 56:251–273, 2016.
- [4] H. Adams, J. Bush, and F. Frick. Metric thickenings, Borsuk–Ulam theorems, and orbitopes. *Mathematika*, 66(1):79–102, 2020.
- [5] H. Adams, F. Frick, and Ž. Virk. Vietoris thickenings and complexes have isomorphic homotopy groups. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 7(2):221–241, 2023.
- [6] H. Adams, F. Mémoli, M. Moy, and Q. Wang. The persistent topology of optimal transport based metric thickenings. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 24(1):393–447, 2024.
- [7] A. G. Babenko. An extremal problem for polynomials. *Mathematical Notes*, 35:181–186, 1984.
- [8] I. Bárány, J. Matoušek, and A. Pór. Curves in \mathbb{R}^d intersecting every hyperplane at most $d+1$ times. In *Proc. Symp. Comput. Geom.*, pages 565–571, 2014.
- [9] A. Barvinok. *A course in convexity*, volume 54 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.
- [10] A. Bondarenko, D. Radchenko, and M. Viazovska. Optimal asymptotic bounds for spherical designs. *Ann. Math.*, 178(2):443–452, 2013.
- [11] K. Borsuk. Drei Sätze über die n -dimensionale euklidische Sphäre. *Fund. Math.*, 20(1):177–190, 1933.
- [12] C. Carathéodory. Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffizienten von Potenzreihen, die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen. *Math. Ann.*, 64(1):95–115, 1907.
- [13] C. Carathéodory. Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourier’schen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 32(1):193–217, 1911.
- [14] M. C. Crabb. On Borsuk–Ulam theorems and convex sets. *Mathematika*, 69(2):366–370, 2023.
- [15] A. Dold. Simple proofs of some Borsuk–Ulam results. *Contemp. Math*, 19:65–69, 1983.
- [16] A. D. Gilbert and C. J. Smyth. Zero-mean cosine polynomials which are non-negative for as long as possible. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 62(2):489–504, 2000.
- [17] P. Gillespie. Vietoris thickenings and complexes are weakly homotopy equivalent. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 8(1):35–53, 2024.
- [18] A. Ismailov. Lower bounds on the measure of the support of positive and negative parts of trigonometric polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05308*, 2023.
- [19] D. Kozlov. *Combinatorial algebraic topology*, volume 21 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [20] G. Kozma and F. Oravecz. On the gaps between zeros of trigonometric polynomials. *Real Anal. Exchange*, 28(2):447–454, 2002.
- [21] M. Longinetti, L. Sgheri, and F. Sottile. Convex hulls of orbits and orientations of a moving protein domain. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 43(1):54–77, 2010.
- [22] J. Matoušek. *Lectures on discrete geometry*, volume 212 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [23] J. Matoušek. *Using the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem*. Springer–Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [24] R. Sanyal, F. Sottile, and B. Sturmfels. Orbitopes. *Mathematika*, 57(2):275–314, 2011.
- [25] L. Schumaker. *Spline Functions: Basic Theory*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 3rd edition, 2007.
- [26] P. D. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky. Averaging sets: a generalization of mean values and spherical designs. *Adv. Math.*, 52(3):213–240, 1984.

- [27] S. Steinerberger. Local sign changes of polynomials. *J. Anal. Math.*, 154(1):255–273, 2024.
- [28] E. Steinitz. Bedingt konvergente Reihen und konvexe Systeme. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 143:128–175, 1913.
- [29] S. Tabachnikov. On functions with zero mean over a finite group. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 31(1):73–74, 1997.
- [30] A. Yu. Volovikov. On a topological generalization of the Tverberg theorem. *Mat. Zametki*, 59(3):454–456, 1996.

(FC) DEPT. MATH. STAT., SWARTHMORE COLLEGE, SWARTHMORE, PA 19081, USA

Email address: `fcantor1@swarthmore.edu`

(JD) DEPT. MATH & STAT., REED COLLEGE, PORTLAND, OR 97202, USA

Email address: `jhdamico@reed.edu`

(FF) DEPT. MATH. SCIENCES, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA 15213, USA

Email address: `frick@cmu.edu`

(EM) DEPT. MATH., UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104

Email address: `myzelev@sas.upenn.edu`