




Substation Bill of Materials: A Novel Approach to Managing Supply Chain Cyber-risks on IEC 61850 Digital Substations

¹st Xabier Yurrebaso¹ , ²nd Fernando Ibañez² , ³rd Ángel Longueira-Romero¹ 

¹Digital - CORES, ²TECU - Grids and Energy System

TECNALIA, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA)

Derio, Spain

{xabier.yurrebaso, fernando.ibanez, angel.longueira}@tecnalia.com

Abstract—Smart grids have undergone a profound digitization process, integrating new data-driven control and supervision techniques, resulting in modern digital substations (DS). Attackers are more focused on attacking the supply chain of the DS, as they comprise a multivendor environment. In this research work, we present the Substation Bill of Materials (Subs-BOM) schema, based on the CycloneDX specification, that is capable of modeling all the IEDs in a DS and their relationships from a cybersecurity perspective. The proposed Subs-BOM allows one to make informed decisions about cyber risks related to the supply chain, and enables managing multiple DS at the same time. This provides energy utilities with an accurate and complete inventory of the devices, the firmware they are running, and the services that are deployed into the DS. The Subs-BOM is generated using the *Substation Configuration Description* (SCD) file specified in the IEC 61850 standard as its main source of information.

We validated the Subs-BOM schema against the Dependency-Track software by OWASP. This validation proved that the schema is correctly recognized by CycloneDX-compatible tools. Moreover, the Dependency-Track software could track existing vulnerabilities in the IEDs represented by the Subs-BOM.

Index Terms—Subs-BOM, IEC 61850, supply chain attack, risk assessment, CycloneDX, cybersecurity, SBOM, Critical infrastructures.

I. INTRODUCTION

IN recent years, smart grids have undergone a profound process of digitization. Until recently, the facilities responsible for the generation and distribution of energy were considered isolated elements of the grid. Therefore, it was sufficient to adopt physical security measures for the protection of the equipment deployed on them. However, the evolution of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) has increased the monitoring and remote control capabilities of the Smart Grid infrastructure. New data-driven control and supervision are being developed to solve identified challenges in the management of the smart grid. Distribution System

This work has been financed by the Department of Economic Development, Sustainability, and Environment of the Basque Government under the ELKARTEK 2023 program, project BEACON (with registration number KK-202300085).

Operators (DSOs), aware of the need for improvements in grid monitoring and control, are beginning the transition towards the deployment of new modern Digital Substations (DS). In the coming years, it is estimated that the deployment of DS will surpass that of traditional substations worldwide [1].

In this scenario, the IEC 61850 standard was created with the aim of systematizing the deployment of DS by standardizing all the communications that are involved in their operation. This international standard defines the communication protocols for Intelligent Electronic Devices (IEDs) at electrical substations.

Nevertheless, the IEC 61850 standard by itself is not enough: the digitalization of the Smart Grid substations requires deploying and integrating a massive number of devices manufactured by several vendors with new digital services and capabilities. One concern that is arising worldwide is how DSOs will manage cyber-risks associated to these new multi-vendor DS. In other words, DSOs need to create processes and tools for the identification and assessment of cyber-risks posed by digital products in charge of the correct transportation and distribution of energy.

In this multi-vendor scenario, attackers have directed their efforts towards the device supply chain, rather than directly attacking DS. This fact is supported by many national cybersecurity agencies, such as the European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) [2].

One of the biggest and most relevant attacks that exploits the supply chain is the SolarWinds hack [3]. SolarWinds mainly develops software for large business companies such as Microsoft, and CISCO. It also provides software services for US government institutions and agencies such as the Pentagon, Homeland Security, and the National Nuclear Security Administration. In this scenario, the attackers managed to affect the supply chain in a software delivered by SolarWinds known as Orion [4] by inserting functions in the source code of a particular dynamic library file (.dll). Subsequently, SolarWinds signed and distributed the .dll as part of its update processes,

infecting more than 18,000 customers and 40 public entities from different sectors with malware [5]. This attack highlights the importance of managing the risks in the supply chain, particularly in critical applications and environments.

In this research work, we present the Subs-BOM schema, a novel approach that helps DSOs managing Supply Chain Cyber-Risks of IEC 61850 DS:

- The Subs-BOM schema is compliant with the IEC 61850 standard.
- It can be integrated in the engineering process described in the IEC 61850.
- The Subs-BOM format is based on widely adopted international standards such as CycloneDX.
- It allows to make informed decisions about the supply-chain cyber risks associated to a set of DS.
- The Subs-BOM schema enables managing multiple DS at the same time.

The solution proposed in this paper takes as input the *Substation Configuration Description* (SCD) file specified in the IEC 61850 standard to generate the *Substation Bill of Materials* (Subs-BOM) during the engineering process. The Subs-BOM schema proposed in this paper provides DSOs with an accurate and complete inventory of the devices, associated software and services that are deployed into their substations. The Subs-BOM contributes to a rapid identification of vulnerable components deployed into their Operational Technologies (OT) environments. This data can be used to trigger an incident response process to analyze, triage and remediate the detected vulnerabilities. Moreover, the Subs-BOM can be reused or extended to other domains within the power utility automation beyond the DS domain, e.g., modeling of hydropower plants, wind turbines or even substation to substation communications.

The content of this papers is as follows: In Section II, we described the main concepts related to the supply chain attacks and the IEC 61850 standard. In Section III, we reviewed previous research works targeting supply chain attacks in DS. The proposed Subs-BOM schema is defined in Section IV, and then, validated in Section V. Finally, the results are discussed in Section VI, and the conclusions of this research are presented in Section VII.

II. BACKGROUND

In this section, we introduce the main concepts related to risk management in digital substations: (1) Supply chain attacks, (2) Bill of Materials (BOM), and (3) the IEC 61850 Standard.

A. Supply Chain Attack

According to the European Repository of Cyber Incidents (EuRepoC), the energy sector is the fifth in terms of the number of cyber attacks against critical infrastructures [6]. In their reports, the EuRepoC identifies Supply Chain Compromise as the main initial access techniques to DS [7].

According to the European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) [8], a *Supply Chain Attack* involves first targeting the

Software Development life cycle (SDLC) of product vendors. So instead of attacking the device itself directly when it is deployed, this attack goes a step back, and compromises the source code that it runs in the early phases of development.

Once the software of the product vendor is compromised, this attack targets the final customer that deploys the compromised product into their infrastructure. An attacker would have the ability to compromise a DS by compromising any of the software vendors involved in the development of any software component running in the final product.

To deal with these attacks in DS, it is essential to establish a comprehensive management procedure that is able to identify the affected assets and provide a remediation response, both in a timely manner. This asset management is identified by the NIST as one of the main challenges faced by energy utilities in managing the cyber-risks of their OT infrastructures [9]. Nevertheless, many energy organizations rely on manual processes to manage their OT assets, which makes it challenging to quickly identify and respond to potential threats targeting DS [9].

Under this scenario, manufacturers should start working on the definition of processes to automate asset management. These efforts should focus towards the correct generation of the inventory of IEDs and auxiliary devices deployed on DS, as they are crucial to an exhaustive cybersecurity management.

B. Bill of Materials (BOM)

The term *Bill of Materials* is not new, especially in the world of electronics where BOMs have historically been used to define the different electronic components that make up a given product. This concept was quickly translated into other fields. In 2021, the US Executive Office of the President published the “U.S. Executive Order 14028 - Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity” [10] where the Software Bill Of Materials (SBOM) is described as “a formal record containing the details and supply chain relationships of various components used in building software”. In this sense, SBOMs try to perform the same function by being a complete inventory of the different software elements included in a product, enabling the analysis of licenses and vulnerabilities. This information can be further used to assess the risk of a product, and if combined with a machine-readable SBOM format, it allows automating the risk assessment process to a large extent.

Due to the demonstrated usefulness of SBOM, it has been rapidly extended to other types of components and is not limited to software components alone. For example, Cryptographic Bill of Material (CBOM), Machine-learning Bill of Material (ML-BOM) or even Manufacturing Bill of Materials (MBOM). For this reason, it might be better to speak of eXtended Bill of Materials (xBOM) to refer to all the information that can be included about a given product to allow a quick and informed decision-making.

The Department of Commerce, in coordination with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), published which are the minimum elements that a

TABLE I
MINIMUM SBOM ELEMENTS [11].

Data Fields	Document baseline information about each component that should be tracked: Supplier, Component Name, Version of the Component, Other Unique Identifiers, Dependency Relationship, Author of SBOM Data, and Timestamp.
Automation Support	Support automation, including via automatic generation and machine-readability to allow for scaling across the software ecosystem
Practices and Processes	Define the operations of SBOM requests, generation and use including: Frequency, Depth, Known Unknowns, Distribution and Delivery, Access Control, and Accommodation of Mistakes

SBOM should have to support the Executive Order (14028) on Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity [11] (see Table I).

Nowadays, there exist several SBOM formats in commercial use that are compliant with the specifications in Table I. Raihanul [12] presents a comprehensive study of SBOMs from Supply-chain Risk Management perspective. This research work concludes that the main SBOM formats used by the industry are (in no particular order):

- Software Package Data eXchange (SPDX) [13].
- CycloneDX [14].
- SWID [15].

Table II shows a comparison between these formats.

TABLE II
SBOM FORMAT COMPARISON.

SBOM Format	SPDX	CycloneDX	SWID
Standardization Organization	ISO/IEC	ECMA	ISO/IEC
Standardized Version	2.2.1	1.6	2015
Supported Formats	XML JSON RDF/XML Tag-Value YAML XLS	XML JSON Protobuf	XML
VEX / VDR Support	NO	YES	YES

C. The IEC 61850 standard

First published in 2003, the IEC 61850 standard describes the communication networks and systems for power utility automation [16]. Its initial scope was related to the standardization of communications between substation automation systems. Since then, it has evolved until becoming a central communication standard for both IEC TC 57 and the Smart Grid reference architectures [17]. Nowadays, the IEC 61850 standard has been updated and extended to include additional use cases. This standard has become a key driver in the the expansion of smart grid networks and the modernization of existing substations. It enables supporting the desired interoperability and flexibility in an ecosystem where multi-manufacturer solutions exist.

Our research focuses on Part 6 of the IEC 61850 standard [18] which defines:

- The **System Configuration description Language (SCL)**, and all the types of SCL files required to describe the configuration of a substation.
- The **SCL based engineering automation processes** to manage the substation assets.

1) System Configuration description Language (SCL):

The System Configuration description Language (SLC) is a language that allows to describe a model of a DS from the primary (power) system structure, the communication system, the application level communication, to each IED conforming the substation.

Once the IEC 61850 defines the SCL, it sets the foundation to build different configuration files that upon the syntax specified by the SCL. Each configuration file is in charge of modeling a specific aspect of the DS (see Table III). More specifically, the System Configuration Description (SCD) file describes all the IEDs deployed in a DS.

TABLE III
MAIN SCL CONFIGURATION FILES DEFINED BY THE IEC 61850 STANDARD.

File	Name	Description
SCD	System Configuration Description	It is the configuration by a system (substation) level configurator after processing all the ICD files from each IED and SSD files
CID	Configured IED Description	Specific configuration of an IED for a specific DS

Any DS that is compliant with this standard must be modeled according to the SCL specifications, and therefore, must have an SCD file with all the information about its IEDs. Our proposal takes advantage of this fact to extract the necessary data to complete the Subs-BOM model.

2) *SCL based engineering automation processes*: In addition to the SCL definition, the IEC 61850 Part 6 defines six different SCL file types (see table III). These files are generated or consumed by both IEDs and system configuration tools. The standard also specifies the roles that these tools shall play to be integrated in a SCL-based DS engineering process.

More precisely, the standard defines the main functionalities expected for IED and system configuration tools regarding the SCL file management. For each tool, the IEC 61850 assigns specific capabilities that translate into (1) the generation, (2) editing or (3) processing of a SCL file or a part of it, for its own consumption or for another tool as part of the engineering process.

III. RELATED WORK

In this research work, we propose a new approach to manage the cyber risks in the supply chain of DS using the Substation Bill of Material (Subs-BOM). Therefore, this section covers both risk management in the supply chain, and the integration of BOM-related technologies in the analysis.

Liu et al. [19] propose a risk assessment procedure in terms of architecture and tripping logic. Lopez et al. [20] proposed a

intrusion detection system that integrates the communication protocols defined in the IEC 61850 standard, together with the topology of the the substation. Liu et al. [21] proposed an asset identification methodology further used for risk assessment of the DS. Khodabakhsh et al. [22] apply cyber-risk identification methodology with focus on DS for recognizing potential cyber-attacks, evaluates the cyber-risks and their impacts, and defines mitigation plans to ensure reliable operation of DS and safe and secure delivery of reliable power. These research works extract the information to model the substation from the SCL configuration file of the substation, but in their research, they do not propose any systematic data structure to store that data.

Holik et al. [23] create a threat model of a digital secondary substation and the communications with the control center. This model combines simulation with emulated communication, and enables verification of threat likelihoods and impacts. Their results show that even publicly available tools can be easily used to disrupt grid communication and potentially cause loss of the entire grid's observability and controllability. Even though this research work reviews several threats for DS, the supply chain for the IEDs is not considered. This lack of considering the supply chain in threat analysis for DS is common in the literature [17], [24]. The authors also took advantage of the SCL language model defined in the IEC 61850. Nevertheless, they do not propose any approach to extract information in a systematic manner, or using a standardized data structure to process it.

Duman et al. [25] propose a hardening system, namely, hardening framework for substations (HFS), to measure and optimally improve the security posture of substations against supply chain attacks. HFS provides a hardening mechanism for securing substations while considering the budget and operational constraints, together with a visual framework that allows operators to generate attack graphs and manually experiment with various hardening options. The authors validate the effectiveness of HFS based on several scenarios, showing that their approach improves the security postures of substations against supply chain attacks even with limited supply chain-related hardening options by reducing the number of successful supply chain attackers. Although this research work is really comprehensive, they do not specify how they obtain the data to generate the attack graph, or what information is needed to build it. Duman et al. [26] discuss the general concept and unique aspects of supply chain attacks. Then, they present concrete models of different supply chain attacks through extending the attack graph model and designing a security metric, namely k-Supply. Finally, the authors apply such models to quantitatively study the potential impact of supply chain attacks through simulations. Again, this research work neither describes which data is necessary to build the model, nor which is the source to obtained it.

In general, research works that address risk assessment in DS lack the inclusion of supply chain attacks as a threat in their analysis. On the other hand, those which do consider supply chain attacks are obscure about what data is needed

from the DS, or where it can be found. Finally, to the best of our knowledge, no research work proposed a standardized data structure that can model a DS from its components to the relations between them.

Our proposal focuses on those premises for modeling a DS from a cybersecurity perspective: which data is needed for a comprehensive modeling, where the data can be found for all IEC 61850 compliant substations, and how to define a standardized data structure that is capable of representing all the elements and their relations.

IV. PROPOSED APPROACH

DSOs need automated mechanisms to facilitate the management of OT assets deployed in their infrastructures, enabling effective management of cyber risks and threats. To perform such asset management, we propose the definition of a Substation Bill of Materials (Subs-BOM), whose generation could be fully automated during the Engineering process. The proposed Subs-BOM is based on the CycloneDX format for SBOMs, enriching its content using the information available in the SCD file of IEC 61850 compliant DS.

In this section, we first select the SBOM format that we are going to use as the foundation for the Subs-BOM. Then, we define the schema for the Subs-BOM, its structure and fields. Finally, we demonstrate how the proposed Subs-BOM is built, how it can be integrated in the IEC 61850 engineering process, and we discuss some of its limitations.

A. CycloneDX as SBOM Format

In the industry, there exist multiple standards for SBOMs that are currently in use. The most popular ones are shown in Table II. Nevertheless, the Subs-BOM proposed in this paper is based on the CycloneDX format for the following reasons:

- 1) **CycloneDX is standardized:** It was designed in 2017 for use with OWASP Dependency-Track, an open-source component analysis platform that identifies risk in the software supply chain.
- 2) **Integration with existing tools:** CycloneDX format is supported by most of the available tools in the market for supply chain risk management.
- 3) **CycloneDX is not limited to software inventory:** It is a full-stack standard capable of recording different types of components, like software packages, firmware, device configuration, and even cryptographic keys.
- 4) **CycloneDX is designed for native communication of cybersecurity:** This includes the Vulnerability Exploitability eXchange (VEX) or Vulnerability Disclosure Report (VDR).
- 5) **CycloneDX is widely adopted** [27]: It is a format that is widely spread in the modern industry.

These reasons make CycloneDX a good candidate to use as the foundation of the Subs-BOM.

B. Defining the fields in the Subs-BOM

The proposed Subs-BOM schema is a subset of the CycloneDX v1.6 Reference specification [28]. In this section, we

define the fields that comprise the Subs-BOM. Fig. 1 shows the proposed Subs-BOM schema.

1) *General Information*: The Subs-BOM is based on CycloneDX, so it also inherits most of its properties and fields. This means that the general information describing the Subs-BOM (e.g., format, version) is the same as in a SBOM defined in CycloneDX.6

2) *Metadata Field*: The metadata object contains the information that describes the main component of the Subs-BOM which is the IEC 61850 DS itself. CycloneDX does not support the definition of a *substation* component type. For this reason, we extended the component types defined by CycloneDX Reference schema [29] to include a new type called *substation*. This proposed substation type serves as the foundation to define the remaining fields of the Subs-BOM.

3) *Components Field*: The *substation* type can hold two types of CycloneDX components:

- **Device component type**: it describes each of the IEC 61850 IEDs identified in the SCD file of the substation. The information of each device is completed with some specific properties defined by the *cdx:device Namespace Taxonomy* of the CycloneDX standard [30].
- **Firmware component type**: As pointed out by the CycloneDX specification, when devices contain firmware, the BOM should also include an additional component of type *firmware* describing information about the software running on the device.

To group the data about the devices and their firmware, the Subs-BOMS defines the *components* array. This field describes every component in which, directly or transitively, the substation depends on.

4) *Services Field*: Finally, the Subs-BOM defines the *services* field. It contains the information of the several IEC 61850 services exposed by each IEDs (devices). The main purpose of the Subs-BOM is to enable and facilitate the risk management in DS. For this reason, it is critical to take into account these services as they expose data and functionalities of the IEDs to the DS process bus.

5) *Dependencies Field*: The Subs-BOM also defines the *dependencies* field to group the information of the existing dependencies between the components (firmware and devices). This field allows generating the dependency graph of the substation.

C. Level of Detail of the Subs-BOM

The level of detail refers to how deep an element in the BOM is described, and therefore, it impacts directly in the complexity of the BOM. The higher the level of detail, the more the number of dependencies that have to be captured [31].

CycloneDX recommends one node of depth (two levels) to avoid problems with circular dependencies and other complex relationships between components [14]. However, CycloneDX also specifies that the firmware that runs in a device should also be included as an additional component in the




Fig. 1. Proposed Substation BOM schema

SBOM [28]. Therefore, following this requirement, the Subs-BOM implements three levels of deepness to represent basic dependencies among components of the substation. This is shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Proposed Substation BOM dependency graph

D. Generation Process of the Subs-BOM

All the information needed to build the Subs-BOM is provided by the SCD file defined in the IEC 61850 standard. Fig. 3 shows the general building process of a Subs-BOM.

The IEC 61850 standard provides a reference object model for a DS in Part 6 [18]. This model, divided into three main parts, serves as the source of information to build the proposed Subs-BOM:

- **Substation/Line/Process**: Information related to the substation, mainly its name, description, and topological information.
- **Product**: Information about all IEDs and Logical Node implementations (information model) [32].
- **Communication**: Communications-related objects and communication connections between IEDs.




Fig. 3. Proposed Substation BOM schema

In this reference object model defined by the IEC 61850, all the IED information is modeled as a `Product`. There, each IED is modeled as a physical device hosting logical nodes (specific task) and data. There is also information related to the real devices, and communication services. Fig. 4 shows the object model for an IED `Product` according to the IEC 61850. For building the Subs-BOM of a substation, we will only need to focus on the information included first two parts of the model: Substation/Line/Process and Product. This is because the Subs-BOM schema just models the IEDs in a DS and their Firmware (FW) dependencies.




Fig. 4. Physical and Logical device representation according to IEC 61850 standard

Every IED instance in the SCD file should include a Logical Node of type LPHD [33]. The information contained on LPHD comprises device nameplate of the physical device which hosts the Logical Device.

In Table IV, we present the mapping between the attributes of the DPL data class associated to LPHD Logical Node, and the Subs-BOM of a component. DPL data class is fully described in Part 7-3 of the IEC 61850 standard [33].

E. Seamless integration with the IEC 61850 engineering process

The proposed approach allows the integration of the Subs-BOM generation into the general engineering process of an IEC 61850 DS in Part 6 of the standard [18]. Fig. 5 shows the flowchart of the engineering process implementing this approach. By integrating the generation of the Subs-BOM into the engineering process, the effort to gather the list of assets is minimized. A comprehensive list of assets enhances the

TABLE IV
MAPPING OF THE COMPONENT OBJECT.

Subs-BOM ATTRIBUTE	CycloneDX TYPE	IEC 61850 ATTRIBUTE
name	device	IED.name
manufacturer	firmware	LPHD.PhyNam.vendor LPHD.PhyNam.model LPHD.PhyNam.swRev
version	device	LPHD.PhyNam.hwRev
properties.serialNumber	firmware	LPHD.PhyNam.serNum N / A

results of the management of cyber risks, enabling a more in-depth analysis. This asset inventory is critical to a quality management of the cyber risks^o




Fig. 5. Flowchart of Substation BOM generation inside IEC 61850 engineering process

The proposed approach is as follows:

- 1) **Generation of the SCD File:** The System Configurator uses both the SSD and the ICD/IID files of the IEDs that will be deployed in the substation as input to generate the SCD file.
- 2) **Generation of the CID Files:** The SCD file is then imported by IED configuration tools to generate the CID files containing the configuration instances for each of substation's IEDs.
- 3) **Configuration of the IEDs:** The IED configurators configure each of the IEDs according to the generated CID files.
- 4) **Generation of the Subs-BOM:** Upon completion of the IEC 61850 engineering process, that results in the correct configuration of substation IEDs, the generated SCD and CID files are used by the Subs-BOM generator to generate the Subs-BOM of the substation with the mandatory information. The Subs-BOM could be

stored in the corresponding Supply-Chain Cyber risks management tool used by the utility.

- 5) **Search in Available Data sources:** The Supply-Chain Cyber Risks Management tool correlates the information of the Subs-BOM with other Threat intelligence data sources, like vulnerability information repositories, to expand risk information of each of the assets deployed inside substation infrastructure.
- 6) **Analysis of the Cyber Risk Information:** The generated cyber risk information is presented to the cybersecurity team to be studied and evaluated. The aim is to provide the cybersecurity team with the required information to allow the informed decision making around the actions to mitigate the identified risks.

F. Scope of Applicability and Model Preconditions

The Subs-BOM Schema needs a minimal amount of information to be properly built, and to extract its maximum potential. Nevertheless, in reality, there are some aspects that have to be considered:

- 1) **The IEC 61850 standard is an standard of minimums:** This means that only basic control and monitoring data and attributes are mandatory. This fact makes it difficult to standardize, and subsequently implement, engineering processes in multi-vendor environments. The proposed Subs-BOM schema requires that the various substation configuration SCD files contain the necessary data (e.g., device nameplate attributes of each deployed device) to build it.
- 2) **Modeling auxiliary equipment:** In an IEC 61850 DS usually exist other auxiliary equipment like firewalls or SCADA PCs that could not be modeled into the resulting SCD files, although they require an active management of their cybersecurity. The inclusion of these devices into the proposed Subs-BOM schema would require to manually include them, because of the requirements set by the IEC 61850 standard model.
- 3) **Inclusion of risk-related information:** The IEC 61850 standard was not designed with cybersecurity in mind. Because of this, the SCD files do not include critical information required to perform risk-based decisions regarding to the supply chain. This is the case of the Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) [34] identifiers. To overcome this limitation, the CPE can be included into the SCD file by defining a private attribute. Private attribute definition is supported by IEC 61850 standard.
- 4) **CPE Identification:** Not all IED vendors register the CPE IDs into the CPE Product Dictionary maintained by the NIST. Therefore, some products require defining *ad hoc* CPE identifiers.

V. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

DS are not isolated infrastructures, and in reality, DSOs have to manage and monitor multiple of them at the same time. This includes cybersecurity-related tasks, such as managing cyber-risks. For this purpose, the Subs-BOM schema is specially

useful, by allowing DSOs to supervise and evaluate the cybersecurity status of several DS. The information obtained from the Subs-BOM can be used to take informed decisions about patching activities, and prioritizing by criticality. In this section, the proposed Subs-BOM schema is validated against a laboratory DS.

A. Description of the Experimental Setup

All the validation of the Subs-BOM was performed in the Smart Grid Cybersecurity Laboratory of TECNALIA. This laboratory allows to carry out tests and experiments over real assets, including all the electronic equipment of an IEC 61850 primary substation.

The communication architecture of the substation of the laboratory is depicted in Fig. 6, together with the existing IEC 61850 devices. To simulate the electric behavior of the substation, an Omicron 256 testing device is used. It generates and injects IEC 61850 Sample value messages into the process bus simulating the values measured from electric equipment. The architecture of the laboratory substation is modeled within an SCD file according to Part 6 of the IEC 61850 standard.




Fig. 6. Laboratory IEC61850 communications architecture.

Finally, the experimental setup also includes the Dependency-Track software [35] by OWASP. This tool will serve as the Supply-Chain Cyber Risk Management Tool described in Fig. 5. This software is capable of importing the resulting Subs-BOM and analyzing its content. The Dependency-Track software is deployed in a virtualization platform in the laboratory.

B. Validation Process

The validation of the Subs-BOM is performed using the Dependency-Track software. By using an Open Source tool, we make sure that the proposed schema is recognized by CycloneDX-compatible tools. This process will cover the following points:

TABLE V
MAPPING OF THE SDC FIELDS TO THE SUBS-BOM FOR THE LABORATORY DS.

Subs-BOM ATTRIBUTE	CycloneDX TYPE	IEC 61850 ATTRIBUTE	USE CASE IED 1	USE CASE IED 2
name	device	IED.name	RTU_INGEPAC_IC3	LLTRJQ01I01
	firmware	LPHD.PhyNam.vendor LPHD.PhyNam.model LPHD.PhyNam.swRev	Ingeteam INGEPAC EF MD3 FC4140 8.1.0.20	ZIV Automation LLTRJQ01I01 irv8
manufacturer	device	LPHD.PhyNam.vendor	Ingeteam	ZIV Automation
	firmware			
version	device	LPHD.PhyNam.hwRev	ingepac_ef_md	unknown
	firmware	LPHD.PhyNam.swRev	8.1.0.20	irv8
properties.serialNumber	device	LPHD.PhyNam.serNum	LA1082100001	142295
	firmware	N / A	N / A	N / A

- **The format of the Subs-BOM file:** The validation has to check that the Dependency-Track software is capable of detecting the format of the proposed Subs-BOM schema.
- **Dependency extraction from the Subs-BOM:** The Dependency-Track software has to detect the dependencies defined in the Subs-BOM between the IEDs and their firmware.
- **Vulnerability analysis:** Finally, the Dependency-Track software has to detect potential vulnerabilities in public databases, and link them to the assets defined in the Subs-BOM.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This section describes the results obtained in the validation of the proposed Subs-BOM schema.

A. Generation of the Subs-BOM

The SCD file representing the DB depicted in Fig. 6 was parsed to extract the information relevant to the generation of its corresponding Subs-BOM. Table V shows the corresponding values for the RTU_INGEPAC_IC3 and the LLTRJQ01I01 IED.

B. Validation of the Subs-BOM Format

The Subs-BOM resulting from processing the SCD file of the laboratory was ingested into the Dependency-Track software. In this way, we validated that the format of the SBOM conforms to the CycloneDX v1.6 specification. As expected, the tool experiments a problem while parsing the Subs-BOM. This is because the new *substation* component type that we have incorporated to the set of standardized component types is not supported by the tool. The tool does not warn of any other problem with the parsing of the Subs-BOM.

The processing of the Subs-BOM allows obtaining cybersecurity information associated with the different components of the substation.

C. Assets and Dependencies Extraction from the Subs-BOM

The Dependency-Track software was capable of obtaining the asset list from the Subs-BOM (components according to CycloneDX naming convention). Moreover, the tool could also extract their dependencies, as shown in Fig. 7.



Fig. 7. Dependency graph of Tecnia’s Smart Grid Cybersecurity Laboratory.

D. Vulnerability Analysis

Based on information from the Subs-BOM, the Dependency-Track software could identify the vulnerabilities affecting the components deployed in the substation. Fig. 8 shows that the two components for which their CPE is available are affected by the vulnerability CVE-2023-3768.

Component	Version	Group	Vulnerability	Severity	Analyzer	Attributed On	Analysis	Suppressed
Ingeteam-INGEPAC_EF_MD3_FC4140-8.1.0.20	8.1.0.20		CVE-2023-3768	High	NVD	25 Sep 2024	-	
Ingeteam-RTU_INGEPAC_IC3-8.1.0.20	8.1.0.20		CVE-2023-3768	High	NVD	25 Sep 2024	-	

Fig. 8. Vulnerabilities affecting components of Tecnia’s Smart Grid Cybersecurity Laboratory.

Despite the tool indicating the existence of two vulnerabilities, in reality it is the same vulnerability. This is because

this vulnerability is affecting the firmware that is installed in the devices. And therefore, due to the transitive property, the vulnerability also affects the device where the firmware is running. For this reason, CycloneDX requires to establish a relation with the corresponding firmware component for every device that is defined. The generated Subs-BOM has correctly captured this dependency.

The information related to the vulnerabilities found in this analysis is shown in Fig. 9. This data confirms the correctness of the information included in the Subs-BOM.




Fig. 9. Information on components affected by vulnerability CVE-2023-3768 published by NIST.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Smart grids have undergone a profound process of digitization where more and more connected substation are being deployed, following the IEC 61850 standard. Nevertheless, the IEC 61850 standard does not consider the cybersecurity aspects of DS. In this multi-vendor scenario, attackers have directed their efforts towards the device supply chain, rather than directly attacking DS.

To manage the Supply Chain Cyber-Risks of IEC 61850 DS, we presented the Subs-BOM schema, which provides an accurate and complete inventory of the devices, the firmware they are running, and the services that are deployed into the DS, everything modeled from a cybersecurity perspective:

- It allows to manage a large number of devices.
- The Subs-BOM schema enhances the observability of IEDs.
- It allows to gather and update information about vulnerabilities in real time.
- The generation of the Subs-BOM can be automated and integrated in existing engineering processes.

We validated the Subs-BOM schema against the Dependency-Track software by OWASP. This validation proved that the schema is correctly recognized by CycloneDX-compatible tools. Moreover, the Dependency-Track software could track existing vulnerabilities to the IEDs represented by the Subs-BOM.

As future work, the proposed Subs-BOM can be expanded to include information about the electric equipment that is controlled by the IEDs, and a standardized method to integrate the CPE identifier of each device. This would allow to measure the impact of a supply chain attack in a DS.

REFERENCES

- [1] Global Market Insights (GMI), “Substation Market Size By Technology ,By Component, Application, Connectivity, Voltage Level, End-use, Category & Forecasts.”
- [2] R. Mattioli, A. Malatras, E. N. Hunter, M. Penso, D. Bertram, and I. Neubert, “Identifying emerging cyber security threats and challenges for 2030,” tech. rep., ENISA, 2023.
- [3] L. Lazarovitz, “Deconstructing the solarwinds breach,” *Computer Fraud & Security*, vol. 2021, no. 6, pp. 17–19, 2021.
- [4] J. Martínez and J. M. Durán, “Software supply chain attacks, a threat to global cybersecurity: Solarwinds’ case study,” *International Journal of Safety and Security Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 537–545, 2021.
- [5] R. Alkhadra, J. Abuzaid, M. AlShammari, and N. Mohammad, “Solar winds hack: In-depth analysis and countermeasures,” in *2021 12th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [6] European Repository of Cyber Incidents, “Eurepoc database,” tech. rep., European Repository of Cyber Incidents, 2000-2024.
- [7] MITRE, “Supply Chain Compromise.” <https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1195/>.
- [8] I. Lella, M. Theocharidou, E. Tsekmezoglou, A. Malatras, and S. García, “Threat Landscape for Supply Chain Attacks,” tech. rep., ENISA, 2021.
- [9] James McCarthy, Lauren Acierito, Glen Joy, Jason Kuruvilla, Titilayo Ogunyale, Nikolas Urlaub, John Wiltberger, Devin Wynne, “Nist special publication 1800-23: Energy sector asset management,” tech. rep., NIST, 2020.
- [10] Executive Office of the President, “Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity.”
- [11] U.S. Department of Commerce and National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), “The Minimum Elements For a Software Bill of Materials (SBOM).”
- [12] B. M. R. Haque, “An Analysis of SBOM in the Context of Software Supply-chain Risk Management,” Master’s thesis, University of Oslo, 2023.
- [13] The Linux Foundation, “System Package Data Exchange (SPDX®).” <https://spdx.dev/>.
- [14] OWASP Foundation, “CycloneDX: The International Standard for Bill of Materials (ECMA-424).” <https://spdx.dev/>.
- [15] ISO/IEC, “ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 Information technology — Software asset management — Part 2: Software identification tag.” <https://www.iso.org/standard/65666.html>.
- [16] IEC, “IEC 61850 Standard.” <https://iec61850.dvl.iec.ch/>.
- [17] M. A. Aftab, S. S. Hussain, I. Ali, and T. S. Ustun, “Iec 61850 based substation automation system: A survey,” *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 120, p. 106008, 2020.
- [18] IEC, “Communication networks and systems for power utility automation - part 6: Configuration description language for communication in power utility automation systems related to ieds,” tech. rep., IEC, 2018.
- [19] N. Liu, M. Panteli, and P. A. Crossley, “Risk assessment of an iec 61850 based substation communication network in a system integrity protection scheme,” in *IET International Conference on Resilience of Transmission and Distribution Networks (RTDN) 2015*, pp. 1–6, 2015.
- [20] J. A. Lopez, I. n. Angulo, and S. Martinez, “Substation-aware. an intrusion detection system for the iec 61850 protocol,” in *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security, ARES ’22*, (New York, NY, USA), Association for Computing Machinery, 2022.
- [21] N. Liu, J. Zhang, and X. Wu, “Asset analysis of risk assessment for iec 61850-based power control systems—part i: Methodology,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 869–875, 2011.
- [22] A. Khodabakhsh, S. Y. Yayilgan, M. Abomhara, M. Istad, and N. Hurzuk, “Cyber-risk identification for a digital substation,” in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security, ARES ’20*, (New York, NY, USA), Association for Computing Machinery, 2020.
- [23] F. Holik, L. H. Flå, M. G. Jaatun, S. Y. Yayilgan, and J. Foros, “Threat modeling of a smart grid secondary substation,” *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 6, 2022.
- [24] S. E. Quincozes, C. Albuquerque, D. Passos, and D. Mossé, “A survey on intrusion detection and prevention systems in digital substations,” *Computer Networks*, vol. 184, p. 107679, 2021.

- [25] O. Duman, A. Tabiban, L. Wang, and M. Debbabi, "Measuring and improving the security posture of iec 61850 substations against supply chain attacks," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–20, 2024.
- [26] O. Duman, M. Ghafouri, M. Kassouf, R. Atallah, L. Wang, and M. Debbabi, "Modeling supply chain attacks in iec 61850 substations," in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications, Control, and Computing Technologies for Smart Grids (SmartGridComm)*, pp. 1–6, 2019.
- [27] S. Nocera, S. Romano, M. D. Penta, R. Francese, and G. Scanniello, "Software bill of materials adoption: A mining study from github," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME)*, pp. 39–49, 2023.
- [28] OWASP Foundation, "CycloneDX v1.6 JSON Reference." <https://cyclonedx.org/docs/1.6/json/>.
- [29] O. Foundation, "Cyclonedx component types." https://cyclonedx.org/docs/1.6/json/#components_items_type.
- [30] O. Foundation, "Cyclonedx cdx:device namespace taxonomy." <https://github.com/CycloneDX/cyclonedx-property-taxonomy/blob/main/cdx/device.md>.
- [31] G. F. O. for Information Security, "Technical Guideline TR-03183: Cyber Resilience Requirements for Manufacturers and Products. Part2: Software Bill of Materials (SBOM)," tech. rep., German Federal Office for Information Security, 2023.
- [32] IEC, "Communication networks and systems for power utility automation - part 7-1: Basic communication structure - principles and models," tech. rep., IEC, 2011.
- [33] IEC, "Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – part 7-3: Basic communication structure – common data classes," tech. rep., IEC, 2010.
- [34] National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), "CPE - Common Platform Enumeration." <https://nvd.nist.gov/products/cpe>.
- [35] OWASP Foundation, "Dependency Track." <https://dependencytrack.org/>.