# **Burst Image Super-Resolution with Mamba**

Ozan Unal Steven Marty Dengxin Dai Computer Vision Lab, Huawei Research Center Zurich, Switzerland

ozan.unal@huawei.com

## Abstract

Burst image super-resolution (BISR) aims to enhance the resolution of a keyframe by leveraging information from multiple low-resolution images captured in quick succession. In the deep learning era, BISR methods have evolved from fully convolutional networks to transformer-based architectures, which, despite their effectiveness, suffer from the quadratic complexity of self-attention. We see Mamba as the next natural step in the evolution of this field, offering a comparable global receptive field and selective information routing with only linear time complexity. In this work, we introduce BurstMamba, a Mamba-based architecture for BISR. Our approach decouples the task into two specialized branches: a spatial module for keyframe super-resolution and a temporal module for subpixel prior extraction, striking a balance between computational efficiency and burst information integration. To further enhance burst processing with Mamba, we propose two novel strategies: (i) optical flow-based serialization, which aligns burst sequences only during state updates to preserve subpixel details, and (ii) a wavelet-based reparameterization of the state-space update rules, prioritizing high-frequency features for improved burst-to-keyframe information passing. Our framework achieves SOTA performance on public benchmarks of SyntheticSR, RealBSR-RGB, and RealBSR-RAW.

## 1. Introduction

Burst image super-resolution (BISR) is an emerging task with a wide range of real-world applications, including mobile photography [60] and satellite imaging [29, 53]. Unlike single image super-resolution (SISR), BISR leverages multiple low-resolution (LR) images captured in quick succession to enhance a keyframe's resolution and quality. By aggregating information from these frames, a burst sequence can reduce the ill-posedness of SISR and thus recover finer details than a single image approach. This is especially valuable when super-resolving at high scaling factors (e.g.  $\times 4$  or  $\times 8$ ) or when facing high-frequency textures that are difficult to reconstruct from a single frame.



Figure 1. BurstMamba decouples the processing of the keyframe image for single image super-resolution (SISR), with the processing of the burst sequence for subpixel prior extraction. By design, the temporal module is invariant to sequence length, thus Burst-Mamba can adapt to varying burst lengths after deployment.

With the advancements in deep learning, research into BISR primarily revolves around developing data-driven techniques, with the SOTA being pushed forward with new architectural advances. Early works were dominated by convolutional UNets [11, 39, 41] that now made their way to transformer-based models [12, 40]. As vision backbones, transformers generally outperform CNNs when learning from large-scale data due their self-attention mechanism that allows dynamically weighting parameters [10]. However, as the number of tokens increases, the self-attention operation exhibits quadratic complexity, leading to substantial computational demands in tasks that require high spatial resolutions. Considerable efforts have been spent optimizing efficiency [36, 49], yet such strategies often result in performance drops [55].

To maintain a global receptive field and selective information routing, the quest to develop an effective backbone has turned researchers to Mamba [18, 35]. Mamba is a selective state-space model-based (SSM) architecture, referred to as S6, designed for efficient sequence modeling. Following its success in natural language processing (NLP) [18], Mamba has also demonstrated significant impact across various vision tasks [20, 35, 67], offering a backbone with linear time complexity [18]. In this work, we explore Mamba's potential in the setting of BISR, a currently underexplored domain [8].

While Mamba's efficiency and high receptive field make it a promising backbone for various vision tasks, its application to BISR is not trivial. Unlike other multi-image fusionbased vision tasks [34], BISR is distinct in its objective of reconstructing a single high-resolution (HR) keyframe. This necessitates a careful balance between computational efficiency and information integration. Existing BISR architectures either employ mid/late fusion where all burst frames are independently processed before aggregation [2, 59], or deep fusion that allows continuous interactions between the burst features [12]. However, treating all frames as equally important leads to inefficiencies in computation and representation. When incorporating Mamba into BISR, we seek to refine how information is extracted and utilized, shifting from exhaustive processing, toward a more selective and adaptive approach that aligns with the ultimate objective of HR keyframe reconstruction.

As a first step, we argue that BISR can be seen as a complementary task to SISR. Rather than treating burst processing as an end in itself, we frame it as a means to refine keyframe super-resolution. Instead of solving BISR as an independent task, we integrate it into a SISR pipeline, leveraging burst information to extract and incorporate subpixel priors for enhanced reconstruction.

With this goal, we introduce BurstMamba, a novel burst image super-resolution model based on the Mamba architecture. To the best of our knowledge, BurstMamba is the first Mamba-based model for BISR that does not rely on any transformer backbone. BurstMamba consists of two distinct branches: a spatial module for SISR that processes only the keyframe and a temporal module that extracts subpixel priors from the burst sequence. By decoupling these two components, we enable the spatial branch to specialize in super-resolution while the temporal branch focuses exclusively on subpixel information extraction. This design aims to reduce redundant computations on the entire burst sequence while still allowing the necessary high-frequency information to flow from the burst to the keyframe. Furthermore, as a byproduct of the SSM's invariance to sequence length, BurstMamba can adapt seamlessly to varying burst lengths and scale efficiently. On the extreme, our design choice of decoupling the two tasks allows us to completely detach the temporal module for single image inference with only a minor performance trade-off compared to training a SISR model, offering flexibility in deployment scenarios.

Furthermore, we propose two novel strategies to improve the extraction and transmission of subpixel information with Mamba. First, we tackle the problem of subpixel information loss due to burst alignment. Typically, temporal Mamba blocks use linear serialization, where corresponding spatial regions are aligned throughout the sequence (e.g. processing the same patch position in every image) [64]. However, prealigning the burst sequence can blur local context and destroy subpixel details for spatial processing. To tackle this issue, we propose an optical flow-based serialization (OFS) approach that aligns the burst sequence *only* during information passing within the S6 block. By preserving the original reference frame for further feature extraction and abstraction, OFS mitigates the loss of high-frequency details while maintaining alignment where it matters most.

Second, we improve our model's capability of passing subpixel information across images within the temporal module. While deepening the module could enhance highfrequency detail extraction, it incurs a significant computational cost. Instead, we reparameterize the state-space update rules using wavelets, prioritizing high-frequency features to capture and pass subpixel information.

In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We explore a Mamba-based architecture for BISR.
- We introduce BurstMamba, a pipeline that decouples the processing of the keyframe for SISR, with the processing of the burst sequence for subpixel prior extraction.
- We propose a novel optical flow-based serialization strategy for processing bursts with Mamba, aligning features only during state updates to preserve the original reference frames for further feature extraction.
- We improve subpixel information extraction by leveraging discrete wavelets to reparameterize the Mamba state update rules, focusing burst-to-keyframe information passing on high-frequency details.

With these contributions, BurstMamba achieves SOTA performance on public BISR benchmarks such as RealBSR-RGB [59], RealBSR-RAW [59] and SyntheticSR [2].

#### 2. Related Work

**Single image super-resolution (SISR)** has been extensively studied and serves as a foundation for many multiframe super-resolution approaches [58]. Given that our proposed pipeline for BISR revolves around decoupling SISR with subpixel information extraction from the burst sequence, we deem it necessary to understand the advances in SISR over the years, focusing on the evolution of key architectural backbones.

We start with the pioneering work SRCNN [9], the earliest adopter of deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for SISR. SRCNN broke from traditional sparse-codingbased super-resolution (SR) to learn an end-to-end mapping between the LR/HR images. This newly established norm spawned many follow-up works exploring deeper and more efficient CNN architectures [30, 33, 56, 66]. CNN-based models soon made way to a new frontline contender with the rise of the transformer [54]. Liang *et al.* [32] introduced SwinIR, that leveraged the Swin Transformer [36] as a SR backbone. SwinIR achieved a larger effective receptive field, enhanced texture reconstruction, and more efficiently modeled long-range context. Subsequent transformer variants emerged to improve pixel activations [5], model efficiency [38, 65] and training efficiency [63]. These designs highlight an architectural shift towards global context modeling for SISR, enabling finer detail recovery. Naturally, this shift opened a path to MambaIR [20], a simple but strong baseline for SISR, exploiting the global receptive field and efficient computation of Mamba blocks, while also using channel attention layers to combat local pixel forgetting and channel redundancy.

Drawing from these past advancements, we view a Mamba-based backbone as the natural next step in advancing BISR toward more efficient and powerful architectures, motivating our exploration into this framework.

**Burst image super-resolution (BISR)** exploits multiple LR images to overcome the ill-posed nature of SISR [62]. The core challenge is effectively aligning and fusing the burst frames, which often contain subpixel shifts and scene motion, to reconstruct a higher-resolution result.

Tsai *et al.* [52] were the first to utilize a consecutively collected burst sequence to improve SR performance. In their work, the authors propose a simple frequency-domain based solution to up-sample multiple LR images. The focus of researchers soon shifted towards tackling the problem in the spatial domain as pure frequency domain-based solutions were often prone to artifacts [1, 13, 14, 26, 43]. One commonality of these approaches was the assumption of a pre-known image degradation model and the exact motion between each frame. Subsequent work improved upon this by tackling BISR without such strong assumptions, either jointly optimizing with image registration to provide robustness against exact motion [23, 44], or with blur deconvolution for linear space-invariance [15]. Finally, with the advancements in deep learning, emphasis quickly shifted towards data-driven techniques, leading the way to new architectural designs for improved performance, efficiency and flexibility [11, 12, 28, 39-42, 51]. Similarly, in this work we propose a novel model called BurstMamba based on Mamba [18] for burst image super-resolution, a previously underexplored architecture for the task [8].

Returning to the fundamental challenges of BISR, namely the alignment and fusion of burst images, we focus on these two aspects separately to better motivate our contributions. While we summarize here, a more extensive search can be found in the supplement.

First, we investigate the alignment of images or features of a burst sequence to facilitate better fusion. One straightforward strategy is pre-alignment. DBSR [2] uses optical flow [47, 48] to align the burst at the model's bottleneck. FBANet [59] pre-align images with homography before feeding them into a Siamese structure. Although simple and effective, such alignments can cause loss in subpixel details due to local blurring. In contrast, we develop an optical flow-based serialization for Mamba, that *only* aligns the burst for image-to-image message passing. While our module relies on precomputed flow paths, it retains each image's perspective during feature extraction. In this way, it mimics the behavior of recent learnable alignment modules based on deformable convolutional operations [8, 11, 12, 40–42].

After alignment, the information from multiple frames must be aggregated while suppressing misaligned content. Given that subpixel information often lies in the highfrequencies, recent works have tried to leverage such cues from misaligned aliased observations for improved fusion. Delbracio et al. [7] accumulated Fourier bursts to weigh and amplify each frame's dominant high-frequency components before averaging for burst deblurring. Huang et al. [25] decomposed images into multi-scale frequency bands and employed wavelet-based fusion to fuse the high-frequency subbands for multi-scale face super-resolution. By explicitly enhancing and retaining high-frequency content during the merging process, these methods produce a sharper, more detailed restored image. This motivates us to improve the subpixel information passing capabilities of Mamba through a wavelet-based reparametrization of the state update rules.

Burst image super-resolution with Mamba has remained an underexplored domain. The only BISR work that utilizes Mamba blocks is QMambaBSR [8] for query-based fusion. Compared to QMambaBSR, our model differs in three distinct ways: (i) QMambaBSR still relies on transformer blocks that use self-attention with quadratic complexity, while BurstMamba is linear, as a byproduct of being transformer free; (ii) QMambaBSR relies on only the keyframe to update states across the entire sequence, losing full selectivity on the burst. BurstMamba uses waveletbased updates to focus on high-frequency regions, with each state-space parameter being dependent on the corresponding image features; (iii) Finally, while QMambaBSR processes the entire burst in heavy MSFM blocks, the temporal module of BurstMamba is shallow, consisting of only a Mamba block and two 2D convolutional layers.

In the supplement, we provide further insights into models that adopt a Mamba backbone for other SR tasks.

## 3. Burst Image Super-Resolution with Mamba

In this section, we first start by tackling keyframe SR with a spatial selective state-space model (Sec. 3.2). We then develop a temporal module to extract valuable subpixel information from the burst sequence (Sec. 3.3). We finally improve (i) the temporal information alignment via optical flow-based serialization (Sec. 3.4) and (ii) subpixel in-



Figure 2. Illustration of the BurstMamba pipeline. BurstMamba takes a RAW or RGB burst sequence as input and super-resolves the keyframe (often the first image of the sequence). The model consists of two key modules: (purple) spatial SSM to process only the keyframe for single image super-resolution, (green) wavelet-based temporal SSM to feed subpixel priors from the burst sequence into the spatial module.

formation extraction via wavelet-based state-space update rules (Sec. 3.5). Our overall pipeline can be seen in Fig. 2.

## 3.1. Preliminaries

We begin by introducing state-space models, which are defined by three parameters (A, B, C), providing a sequenceto-sequence transformation via a latent state h:

$$h'(t) = \boldsymbol{A}h(t) + \boldsymbol{B}x(t) \qquad y(t) = \boldsymbol{C}h(t) \qquad (1)$$

We can transform the continuous (A, B) to discrete parameters  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$  with a newly introduced step size hyperparameter  $\Delta$  through the zero-order hold discretization rules  $\overline{A} = \exp(\Delta A)$  and  $\overline{B} = (\Delta A)^{-1}(\exp(\Delta A) - I) \cdot \Delta B$ , resulting in the discrete formulation:

$$h_t = \overline{A}h_{t-1} + \overline{B}x_t \qquad y_t = Ch_t \tag{2}$$

As seen, structured state-space models (S4) [19] follow a recurrent form similar to a recurrent neural network (RNN).

Compared to a transformer, which relies on recomputing the attention matrix for every step, inference is more efficient with S4 as state updates only rely on the current input and the previous state.

One of the key advantages of a transformer compared to an RNN is in its training, as attention masking allows parallelization. Unlike an RNN, the linear nature of statespace update rules (Eq. 1) allow the S4 output equation to be restated as a convolution:

$$h_t = \overline{A}h_{t-1} + \overline{B}x_t \qquad y = x * \overline{K} \tag{3}$$

with the defined kernel  $\overline{K} = (C\overline{B}, C\overline{AB}, \dots, C\overline{A}^k\overline{B})$  allowing parallelization during training.

Despite the advantages of training and inference efficiency compared with RNNs and transformers respectively, the main drawback of S4 is its lack of selectivity when processing information, a critical limitation for many NLP and computer vision tasks. To this end, Mamba proposes a compromise in training efficiency by setting the variables  $\overline{B}$ , C and  $\Delta$  input-dependent to form a selective state-space model (S6) [18]:

$$h_t = \exp(\mathbf{\Delta}(x_t)\mathbf{A})h_{t-1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}(x_t)x_t$$
(4a)

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{C}(x_t)h_t \tag{4b}$$

While this restructuring disallows the use of the convolutional representation (Eq. 3), training times can still be improved through hardware-aware parallel algorithms [18].

#### 3.2. Single Image Super-Resolution with Spatial S6

Adapting Mamba for computer vision tasks is not trivial, as unlike natural language prompts, images do not possess an inherent 1D sequential structure. A simple but effective solution is to use 2D selective scanning proposed by VMamba [35], which decomposes the task into a set of individual 1D scans, leveraging bi-directional row- and columnwise serialization of an image to obtain discrete input sequences. This strategy is then utilized in MambaIR [20] for SISR with the addition of channel attention layers that improve performance by combating local pixel forgetting and channel redundancy.

In this work, we start by developing a simple Mambabased keyframe SR model based on MambaIR, leveraging both 2D selective scanning for effective spatial information routing, as well as channel attention layers to improve performance. The spatial SSM module focuses on superresolving *only* the keyframe, without needing subpixel information from the burst sequence, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (purple).

## 3.3. Extending to Burst Signals with Temporal S6

Since the spatial module only relies on the keyframe image, its task of super-resolution is ill-posed in nature. To reduce the ambiguity in determining subpixel information, we want to leverage the burst sequence. Each image in a burst sequence is different, either due to global variances (e.g. a moving environment, changing light conditions, camera jitter), or local variances (e.g. moving salient object). Even minor shifts can be quite telling, providing vital subpixel information that can alleviate the ill-posedness of the keyframe SR task.

Previous methods either process each image from a burst sequence in a deep Siamese network and employ late fusion [59], or process the entire burst via constant deep fusion [42]. Processing the entire burst sequence throughout a pipeline likely leads to redundant computations and representations. To reiterate, the goal of BISR is to obtain a single HR image from the keyframe (often the first frame of the sequence). We therefore argue that the objective of spending resources processing the burst sequence should not be direct super-resolution, but to extract valuable subpixel information to aid the SISR module.

With this goal, we construct a temporal branch that iteratively feeds subpixel priors to the single image keyframe model. We build this temporal module leveraging temporal Mamba blocks for inter-image-, and convolutional layers for intra-image-information routing. Specifically for temporal S6, we follow a typical bi-directional 1D selective scanning strategy [27, 31, 37] and construct data forwarding in a three step approach: serialization, selective scanning with S6, and merger. We first unfold the burst into sequences of patches along two distinct traversal paths (serialization), causal and reverse-causal. Each patch sequence is then processed in parallel using a separate S6 block. Finally, we sum the results of individual blocks to integrate the information from both paths (merger). To further extract context and help align with the spatial latent space, we append a shallow convolutional block consisting of two 2D convolutional layers after the temporal SSM that processes the burst in a batched manner. After the burst is processed, only the keyframe features are passed to the spatial SSM.

#### 3.4. Optical Flow-Based Serialization (OFS)

The two building blocks of our temporal SSM, namely the inter-image S6 layers and the intra-image shallow convolutional layers, don't add up to an overall high receptive field, leaving the temporal module inadequate when dealing with large motions in scenes. While consecutively taken photos in rapid succession rarely have large motion present, robustness against such phenomenon can easily be achieved via image or feature alignment [2, 59]. Yet while alignment can improve image-to-image information passing by simplifying the act, the alignment process itself often leads to information loss through feature smoothing, particularly for subpixel details. And it is these details that are vital for the keyframe SR module.



Figure 3. Illustration of the optical flow-based serialization (OFS) with bilinear alignment. OFS allows the model to preserve the input structure and prevents smoothing subpixel features when processing individual frames but aligns images for improved imageto-image message passing within the temporal state-space blocks.

To address this, we propose a different approach: instead of aligning the images beforehand [59], we keep each image in the burst intact, in their native viewpoint, preserving rich high-frequency information when feeding to the convolutional blocks. Alignment is only performed for inter-burst message passing, within the S6 layers, via a novel serialization strategy.

Specifically, we compute the optical flow to determine how to map each pixel in each image to the keyframe, and then serialize the information along these flow paths. However, we cannot simply use optical flow to compute an integer pixel-to-pixel mapping. Since we are interested in extracting subpixel information, rounding the flow direction would introduce a significant precision loss. For further fidelity, we serialize not on integer coordinates, but along real-valued coordinates using bilinear interpolation to capture subpixel features.

Formally, we start by computing the pixelwise optical flow map  $\delta^{b\to 0}$  from a frame  $I^b$  in the burst sequence to the keyframe  $I^0$ . Any pixel coordinates  $(x^0, y^0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  of the reference keyframe is therefore given by the corresponding mapped coordinate  $(x^b, y^b) = (x^0, y^0) - \delta^{b\to 0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  in frame  $I^b$ . For the temporal SSM, we serialize the features f along the optical flow path, i.e.  $[f^0(x^0, y^0), f^1(x^1, y^1), \ldots, f^b(x^b, y^b)]$  with:

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{i,j} \cdot f(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_j) , \qquad (5)$$

the interpolation weights  $w_{i,j}$  given by:

$$w_{ij} = (1 - |x - \bar{x}_i|)(1 - |y - \bar{y}_j|)$$
(6)

and  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  denoting the closest integer neighbors of the flow compensated coordinates  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$   $(\bar{x}_1 = \lfloor x \rfloor, \bar{x}_2 = \lceil x \rceil, \bar{y}_1 = \lfloor y \rfloor, \bar{y}_2 = \lceil y \rceil)$ . We illustrate OFS in Fig. 3.

#### **3.5.** Wavelet-based State-Space Update ( $\psi$ S6)

Subpixel information is primarily concentrated in highfrequency regions, whereas low-frequency areas offer less valuable priors for extracting fine details. A common approach to capturing high-frequency features is to deepen a model, but this is inefficient, especially in the context of the temporal module. Since the temporal module processes the entire burst sequence, appending further layers would lead to redundant computations, as similar features would be repeatedly extracted across all burst frames.

Instead, we take a more efficient approach by leveraging wavelets to enhance information transfer. The temporal module plays a critical role in passing information across images, with the key objective of relaying subpixel details from the burst sequence to the keyframe module. To optimize this process, we prioritize high-frequency regions, ensuring that the most relevant subpixel information is effectively preserved and utilized.

Wavelets provide a structured way to capture highfrequency activation maps while maintaining spatial coherence. By integrating wavelet-based guidance into Mamba's state update rules, we aim to improve the selective transmission of subpixel details without excessively deepening the temporal module, therefore achieving a more computationally efficient and effective fusion of burst information.

Formally, we restate Eq. 4 for the SSM update rules to be wavelet-dependent:

$$h_t = \exp(\mathbf{\Delta}(\psi_{x_t})\mathbf{A})h_{t-1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}(\psi_{x_t})x_t$$
(7a)

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{C}(\psi_{x_t})h_t \tag{7b}$$

In practice, we pass the wavelet feature maps  $(\psi_{x_t})$  of each burst image through a convolutional layer to map the features to a low dimension. We then apply a single linear layer to extract the parameters  $(\Delta, B, C)$  before feeding to the SSM. The wavelet transform helps identify high-frequency responses, which then guide the state update rules, ensuring that the subpixel information from these regions is prioritized in the model's learning process.

## 4. Experiments

We evaluate our method on three public burst image super-resolution benchmarks for  $\times 4$  enhancement (predebayered): synthetic BurstSR [2] with synthetic RAWto-RGB, RealBSR-RAW [59] with real RAW-to-RGB and RealBSR-RGB [59] for real RGB-to-RGB super-resolution. We conduct our ablation studies using RealBSR-RGB unless stated otherwise. We provide further information on implementation details in the supplementary materials.

#### 4.1. Results

We show the quantitative results on the three public benchmarks in Tab. 1. As seen, BurstMamba outperforms existing work across the board, showing up to 1.886dB PSNR improvement in RealBSR-RGB.

In Fig. 4 we show qualitative results of our model compared to existing methods [2, 3, 11, 12, 40, 59]. As seen, our model is better capable of generating high-frequency information in the final image: (top) better preserving small gaps, (bottom) showing improved performance on fine structure, e.g. thin lines. Further samples can be seen in the supplementary materials.

#### **4.2.** Ablation Studies

Effects of Individual Components. In Tab. 2 we showcase an ablation study where we isolate the effects of our proposed components. Starting with the spatial SSM which already shows SOTA performance on RealBSR-RGB, we first include the temporal SSM that feeds in subpixel priors into the keyframe module. This is where we expect the biggest gain in performance as we introduce new, vital subpixel information from the burst sequence not available to the initial keyframe module. As observed, this addition results in a whopping 1.213dB PSNR improvement. We then further refine the performance of the temporal module by changing the linear serialization to optical flow-based serialization (OFS), aligning the features of the burst sequence for the state updates. The model further improves by +0.199dB PSNR. Finally we force the model to focus on high-frequency regions of the burst to better extract subpixel information by introducing wavelet-based state update rules ( $\psi$ S6), resulting in a final boost of +0.207dB PSNR.

Effects of Burst Length and the Temporal Module. We investigate how our model scales with the burst sequence length post-training. Not only is our burst module completely detachable (for burst length of 1), but also our temporal module is independent of the burst length. This stems from the structure of Mamba's sequential state updates (Eq. 2) where each element is processed one after another, allowing our model to be used with any burst length post-training without alterations. In Tab. 3 we observe two key features of our model. First, we observe that our model scales well with burst length, continuously showing increased performance as more information is added to the system. Still, we also face diminishing returns. The most significant boost comes from adding the first burst image (+0.882dB PSNR) showing more than 6 times higher gains than adding 4 more images at a burst length

	SyntheticSR [2]		RealBSR-RAW [59]			RealBSR-RGB [59]			
Method	PSNR↑	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS↓	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	$LPIPS {\downarrow}$	PSNR↑	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS↓
DBSR [2]	39.17	0.946	0.081	20.91	0.635	0.134	30.72	0.899	0.101
MFIR [3]	41.55	0.964	0.045	21.56	0.638	0.131	30.90	0.899	0.098
BIPNet [11]	41.93	0.967	0.035	22.90	0.641	0.144	30.66	0.892	0.111
BSRT-L [40]	43.62	0.975	0.025	22.58	0.622	0.103	30.78	0.900	0.101
FBANet [59]	42.23	0.970	-	23.42	0.677	0.125	31.01	0.898	0.102
SBFBurst [6]	42.19	0.968	0.036	-	-	-	31.07	0.903	0.096
Burstormer [12]	42.83	0.973	-	27.29	0.816	-	31.20	0.907	-
QMambaBSR [8]	43.12	0.97-	-	27.56	0.820	-	31.40	0.908	-
BurstMamba (Ours)	44.51	0.978	0.037	28.03	0.832	0.064	33.29	0.929	0.045

Table 1. Performance comparison of existing burst image SR methods on the SyntheticSR, RealBSR-RAW and RealBSR-RGB datasets.



Figure 4. Qualitative comparison of different methods on the RealBSR-RGB dataset for ×4 burst image super-resolution.

of 10 ( $\pm$ 0.131dB PSNR). We showcase qualitative samples demonstrating the correlation between improved performance and burst length in Fig. 5. Further samples are provided in the supplementary materials.

Second, we observe that despite being trained with the temporal module to learn how to utilize subpixel priors, when detached, the keyframe SR module still performs well when compared to the keyframe module trained on its own (only -0.379dB PSNR compared to Tab. 2 row 1). This is especially apparent when the input image consists mainly of low-frequency components as seen in Fig. 5 - bottom.

In Fig. 5 we also visualize the difference of the varying BISR outputs to the single image keyframe prediction. As observed, the temporal SSM specializes in subpixel information extraction, only refining the high-frequency regions of the super-resolved image, leaving the low-frequency areas unchanged.

Effects of Feature Alignment. In Tab. 2 we show that

the inclusion of optical flow-based serialization (OFS) can help the performance of the model (+0.199dB PSNR). This raises the follow-up question: Is the main benefit of OFS the alignment of the intermediate features, or its preservation of the individual image perspectives within the burst?

We conduct an ablation study in Tab. 4 to understand this component further and make two critical observations. First, we observe that alignment is only beneficial for BISR when applied with bilinear interpolation (rows I-II-IV). Aligning the sequence before being input into the model, or during serialization, both result in performance benefits (row II +0.084dB PSNR, row IV +0.199dB PSNR). Interestingly, integer-based alignment, i.e. rounding the flow compensated coordinates and applying linear serialization results in a significant drop in performance (row III-IV -0.646dB PSNR). This is due to excessive feature smoothing as a byproduct of the non-surjective nature of integer-based alignment. Considering the pixel coordi-



Figure 5. Qualitative results from varying the input burst sequence length (L) for BurstMamba on the RealBSR-RGB dataset. In the top row we illustrate the benefit of increasing the burst length when facing a scene dominated by high frequency details. In the bottom row, we show that single image super-resolution can provide a sufficiently good result when processing a scene with simple structures. Additionally, we isolate the contribution of the temporal module by showing the difference of each prediction to the decoupled single image prediction.

Input	OFS	$\psi$ S6	<b>PSNR</b> ↑	SSIM↑
Single			31.668	0.900
Burst			32.881	0.924
Burst	1		33.080	0.928
Burst	1	1	33.287	0.929

Table 2. Ablation study on proposed components starting from the single image superresolution baseline.

Γ	#	<b>PSNR</b> ↑	SSIM↑
Γ	1	31.289	0.890
	2	32.171	0.907
	5	32.764	0.918
	10	33.156	0.926
	14	33.287	0.929

Table 3. Altering the # images post-training.

	Alignment	Interpolation	PSNR↑	SSIM↑
I.	None	-	32.881	0.924
II.	Pre-Align	Bilinear	32.965	0.926
III.	OFS	Integer	32.434	0.917
IV.	OFS	Bilinear	33.080	0.928

Table 4. Ablation study comparing (i) integer and bilinear optical flow-based serialization (OFS) and (ii) the impact of when to align the input images.

nates of two images, flow-based alignment acts as a nonsurjective function where the source coordinates map onto a co-domain of the target coordinates. In other words, the flow-compensated coordinates may only cover a portion of the target image. Compared to bilinear interpolation where all neighboring pixels are taken into account when aggregating features, integer-based alignment may lead to the loss of critical information if some pixels are missing due to the coordinate rounding. To compensate, we speculate that the convolutional layers spread the information across neighboring pixels such that the relevant information can be present regardless of the rounding error. This results in local feature smoothing, degrading the quality of the subpixel features and causing an observable drop in performance.

Second, we note that the preservation of the individual image perspectives is beneficial for BISR (row II-IV +0.115dB PSNR). Due to bilinear interpolation, prealigning images causes unnecessary smoothing that can destroy vital subpixel information. By only applying alignment during serialization, we preserve the individual image perspectives to improve the effectiveness of the convolutional layers of the temporal unit.

# 5. Conclusion

In this work, we explore a Mamba-based architecture for BISR and introduce BurstMamba. We decouple keyframe SR from burst sequence processing to reduce computational overhead and representation redundancies. As a byproduct of using SSMs, BurstMamba can adapt to varying sequence lengths and even fully detach the keyframe module with minimal performance drops. Furthermore, we introduce two novel contributions: (i) optical flow-based serialization that mitigates information loss due to burst alignment, and (ii) a wavelet-based reparameterization of statespace update rules that enhances burst-to-keyframe information transfer efficiency. We not only show that Burst-Mamba can achieve SOTA performance on public benchmarks, but also extensively ablate our model to demonstrate the effectiveness of each component.

In this work we evaluate our model on BISR. Yet, given the similarities in data structure, BurstMamba can be adapted to general burst image enhancement tasks such as deblurring, denoising, and HDR. We leave the adaptation of BurstMamba for these tasks as future work.

## References

- Benedicte Bascle, Andrew Blake, and Andrew Zisserman. Motion deblurring and super-resolution from an image sequence. In *Computer Vision—ECCV'96: 4th European Conference on Computer Vision Cambridge, UK, April 15–18, 1996 Proceedings Volume II 4*, pages 571–582. Springer, 1996. 3
- [2] Goutam Bhat, Martin Danelljan, Luc Van Gool, and Radu Timofte. Deep burst super-resolution. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 9209–9218, 2021. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13
- [3] Goutam Bhat, Martin Danelljan, Fisher Yu, Luc Van Gool, and Radu Timofte. Deep reparametrization of multi-frame super-resolution and denoising. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 2460–2470, 2021. 6, 7, 13
- [4] Yochai Blau and Tomer Michaeli. The perception-distortion tradeoff. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6228–6237, 2018. 12
- [5] Xiangyu Chen, Xintao Wang, Jiantao Zhou, Yu Qiao, and Chao Dong. Activating more pixels in image superresolution transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 22367–22377, 2023. 3
- [6] Anderson Cotrim, Gerson Barbosa, Cid Santos, and Helio Pedrini. Simple base frame guided residual network for raw burst image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging* and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications - Volume 3: VISAPP, pages 77–87. INSTICC, SciTePress, 2024. 7
- [7] Mauricio Delbracio and Guillermo Sapiro. Burst deblurring: Removing camera shake through fourier burst accumulation. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 2385–2393, 2015. 3
- [8] Xin Di, Long Peng, Peizhe Xia, Wenbo Li, Renjing Pei, Yang Cao, Yang Wang, and Zheng-Jun Zha. Qmambabsr: Burst image super-resolution with query state space model, 2024. 2, 3, 7, 12
- [9] Chao Dong, Chen Change Loy, Kaiming He, and Xiaoou Tang. Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 38(2):295–307, 2016. 2
- [10] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929, 2020. 1
- [11] Akshay Dudhane, Syed Waqas Zamir, Salman Khan, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Burst image restoration and enhancement. In *Proceedings of the ieee/cvf Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5759–5768, 2022. 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 13
- [12] Akshay Dudhane, Syed Waqas Zamir, Salman Khan, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Burstormer: Burst image restoration and enhancement transformer. In

2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 5703–5712. IEEE, 2023. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 12

- [13] Michael Elad and Arie Feuer. Restoration of a single superresolution image from several blurred, noisy, and undersampled measured images. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 6(12):1646–1658, 1997. 3
- [14] Michael Elad and Yacov Hel-Or. A fast super-resolution reconstruction algorithm for pure translational motion and common space-invariant blur. *IEEE Transactions on image Processing*, 10(8):1187–1193, 2001. 3
- [15] Esmaeil Faramarzi, Dinesh Rajan, and Marc P Christensen. Unified blind method for multi-image super-resolution and single/multi-image blur deconvolution. *IEEE Transactions* on Image Processing, 22(6):2101–2114, 2013. 3
- [16] Dario Fuoli, Luc Van Gool, and Radu Timofte. Fourier space losses for efficient perceptual image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2360–2369, 2021. 12
- [17] Ruisheng Gao, Zeyu Xiao, and Zhiwei Xiong. Mamba-based light field super-resolution with efficient subspace scanning. In *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision* (ACCV), pages 531–547, 2024. 13
- [18] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752, 2023. 1, 2, 3, 4, 12
- [19] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396, 2021. 4
- [20] Hang Guo, Jinmin Li, Tao Dai, Zhihao Ouyang, Xudong Ren, and Shu-Tao Xia. Mambair: A simple baseline for image restoration with state-space model. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 222–241. Springer, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 13
- [21] Tiantong Guo, Hojjat Seyed Mousavi, Tiep Huu Vu, and Vishal Monga. Deep wavelet prediction for image superresolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 104– 113, 2017. 12
- [22] Yuchun He and Yuhan He. Mpsi: Mamba enhancement model for pixel-wise sequential interaction image super-resolution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.07222*, 2024. 13
- [23] Yu He, Kim-Hui Yap, Li Chen, and Lap-Pui Chau. A nonlinear least square technique for simultaneous image registration and super-resolution. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 16(11):2830–2841, 2007. 3
- [24] Wei-Yen Hsu and Pei-Wen Jian. Detail-enhanced wavelet residual network for single image super-resolution. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 71:1– 13, 2022. 12
- [25] Huaibo Huang, Ran He, Zhenan Sun, and Tieniu Tan. Wavelet-srnet: A wavelet-based cnn for multi-scale face super resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1689–1697, 2017. 3
- [26] Michal Irani and Shmuel Peleg. Improving resolution by image registration. CVGIP: Graphical models and image processing, 53(3):231–239, 1991. 3

- [27] Xilin Jiang, Cong Han, and Nima Mesgarani. Dual-path mamba: Short and long-term bidirectional selective structured state space models for speech separation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18257, 2024. 5
- [28] EungGu Kang, Byeonghun Lee, Sunghoon Im, and Kyong Hwan Jin. Burstm: Deep burst multi-scale sr using fourier space with optical flow. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 459–477. Springer, 2024. 3
- [29] Jamy Lafenetre, Ngoc Long Nguyen, Gabriele Facciolo, and Thomas Eboli. Handheld burst super-resolution meets multiexposure satellite imagery. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2056–2064, 2023. 1
- [30] Christian Ledig, Lucas Theis, Ferenc Huszár, Jose Caballero, Andrew Cunningham, Alejandro Acosta, Andrew Aitken, Alykhan Tejani, Johannes Totz, Zehan Wang, et al. Photorealistic single image super-resolution using a generative adversarial network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4681–4690, 2017. 2
- [31] Aobo Liang, Xingguo Jiang, Yan Sun, Xiaohou Shi, and Ke Li. Bi-mamba+: Bidirectional mamba for time series forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15772, 2024. 5
- [32] Jingyun Liang, Jiezhang Cao, Guolei Sun, Kai Zhang, Luc Van Gool, and Radu Timofte. Swinir: Image restoration using swin transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1833–1844, 2021. 3
- [33] Bee Lim, Sanghyun Son, Heewon Kim, Seungjun Nah, and Kyoung Mu Lee. EDSR: Enhanced deep residual networks for single image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, 2017. 2
- [34] Hongying Liu, Zhubo Ruan, Peng Zhao, Chao Dong, Fanhua Shang, Yuanyuan Liu, Linlin Yang, and Radu Timofte. Video super-resolution based on deep learning: a comprehensive survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55(8):5981– 6035, 2022. 2
- [35] Yue Liu, Yunjie Tian, Yuzhong Zhao, Hongtian Yu, Lingxi Xie, Yaowei Wang, Qixiang Ye, Jianbin Jiao, and Yunfan Liu. Vmamba: Visual state space model. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 37:103031–103063, 2025. 1, 2, 4, 12
- [36] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pages 10012–10022, 2021. 1, 3
- [37] Ziwei Liu, Qidong Liu, Yejing Wang, Wanyu Wang, Pengyue Jia, Maolin Wang, Zitao Liu, Yi Chang, and Xiangyu Zhao. Bidirectional gated mamba for sequential recommendation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.11451, 2024. 5
- [38] Zhisheng Lu, Juncheng Li, Hong Liu, Chaoyan Huang, Linlin Zhang, and Tieyong Zeng. Transformer for single image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 457–466, 2022. 3

- [39] Ziwei Luo, Lei Yu, Xuan Mo, Youwei Li, Lanpeng Jia, Haoqiang Fan, Jian Sun, and Shuaicheng Liu. Ebsr: Feature enhanced burst super-resolution with deformable alignment. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 471–478, 2021. 1, 3, 12
- [40] Ziwei Luo, Youwei Li, Shen Cheng, Lei Yu, Qi Wu, Zhihong Wen, Haoqiang Fan, Jian Sun, and Shuaicheng Liu. Bsrt: Improving burst super-resolution with swin transformer and flow-guided deformable alignment. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 998–1008, 2022. 1, 3, 6, 7, 12
- [41] Nancy Mehta, Akshay Dudhane, Subrahmanyam Murala, Syed Waqas Zamir, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Adaptive feature consolidation network for burst superresolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1279–1286, 2022. 1, 12
- [42] Nancy Mehta, Akshay Dudhane, Subrahmanyam Murala, Syed Waqas Zamir, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Gated multi-resolution transfer network for burst restoration and enhancement. In 2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 22201– 22210. IEEE, 2023. 3, 5, 12
- [43] Shmuel Peleg, Danny Keren, and Limor Schweitzer. Improving image resolution using subpixel motion. *Pattern recognition letters*, 5(3):223–226, 1987. 3
- [44] Lyndsey C Pickup, David P Capel, Stephen J Roberts, and Andrew Zisserman. Overcoming registration uncertainty in image super-resolution: maximize or marginalize? *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, 2007: 1–14, 2007. 3
- [45] Junbo Qiao, Jincheng Liao, Wei Li, Yulun Zhang, Yong Guo, Yi Wen, Zhangxizi Qiu, Jiao Xie, Jie Hu, and Shaohui Lin. Hi-mamba: Hierarchical mamba for efficient image superresolution. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10140, 2024. 12
- [46] Anurag Ranjan and Michael J Black. Optical flow estimation using a spatial pyramid network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4161–4170, 2017. 12
- [47] Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Raviteja Vemulapalli, and Matthew Brown. Frame-recurrent video super-resolution. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 6626–6634, 2018. 3
- [48] Deqing Sun, Xiaodong Yang, Ming-Yu Liu, and Jan Kautz. Pwc-net: Cnns for optical flow using pyramid, warping, and cost volume. In CVPR, 2018. 3, 12
- [49] Yi Tay, Mostafa Dehghani, Dara Bahri, and Donald Metzler. Efficient transformers: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(6):1–28, 2022. 1
- [50] Zachary Teed and Jia Deng. Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow. In *Computer Vision–ECCV* 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23– 28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16, pages 402–419. Springer, 2020. 13
- [51] Kyotaro Tokoro, Kazutoshi Akita, and Norimichi Ukita. Burst super-resolution with diffusion models for improving

perceptual quality. In 2024 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), pages 1–8. IEEE, 2024. 3

- [52] Roger Y Tsai and Thomas S Huang. Multiframe image restoration and registration. *Multiframe image restoration and registration*, 1:317–339, 1984. 3, 12
- [53] Diego Valsesia and Enrico Magli. Permutation invariance and uncertainty in multitemporal image super-resolution. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 60: 1–12, 2021. 1
- [54] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. 3
- [55] Sinong Wang, Belinda Z Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. Linformer: Self-attention with linear complexity. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04768, 2020. 1
- [56] Xintao Wang, Ke Yu, Shixiang Wu, Jinjin Gu, Yihao Liu, Chao Dong, Yu Qiao, and Chen Change Loy. Esrgan: Enhanced super-resolution generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision* (ECCV) workshops, pages 0–0, 2018. 2
- [57] Xintao Wang, Kelvin CK Chan, Ke Yu, Chao Dong, and Chen Change Loy. Edvr: Video restoration with enhanced deformable convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019. 12
- [58] Zhihao Wang, Jian Chen, and Steven CH Hoi. Deep learning for image super-resolution: A survey. *IEEE transactions* on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 43(10):3365– 3387, 2020. 2
- [59] Pengxu Wei, Yujing Sun, Xingbei Guo, Chang Liu, Guanbin Li, Jie Chen, Xiangyang Ji, and Liang Lin. Towards realworld burst image super-resolution: Benchmark and method. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 13233–13242, 2023. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 13
- [60] Bartlomiej Wronski, Ignacio Garcia-Dorado, Manfred Ernst, Damien Kelly, Michael Krainin, Chia-Kai Liang, Marc Levoy, and Peyman Milanfar. Handheld multi-frame superresolution. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG), 38(4):1– 18, 2019. 1
- [61] Yi Xiao, Qiangqiang Yuan, Kui Jiang, Yuzeng Chen, Qiang Zhang, and Chia-Wen Lin. Frequency-assisted mamba for remote sensing image super-resolution. *IEEE Transactions* on Multimedia, 2024. 13
- [62] Wenming Yang, Xuechen Zhang, Yapeng Tian, Wei Wang, Jing-Hao Xue, and Qingmin Liao. Deep learning for single image super-resolution: A brief review. *IEEE Transactions* on Multimedia, 21(12):3106–3121, 2019. 3
- [63] Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Restormer: Efficient transformer for high-resolution image restoration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 5728–5739, 2022. 3

- [64] Guozhen Zhang, Chuxnu Liu, Yutao Cui, Xiaotong Zhao, Kai Ma, and Limin Wang. Vfimamba: Video frame interpolation with state space models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:107225–107248, 2025. 2
- [65] Xindong Zhang, Hui Zeng, Shi Guo, and Lei Zhang. Efficient long-range attention network for image superresolution. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 649–667. Springer, 2022. 3
- [66] Yulun Zhang, Kunpeng Li, Kai Li, Lichen Wang, Bineng Zhong, and Yun Fu. Image super-resolution using very deep residual channel attention networks. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018. 2
- [67] Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference* on Machine Learning. JMLR.org, 2024. 2, 12

## 6. Supplementary Material

## **6.1. Extensive Related Work**

# 6.1.1. Aligning Burst Frames

DBSR [2] aligns deep features via pixel-wise optical flow estimation, achieving sub-pixel registration at the cost of dependency on flow accuracy [48]. BSRT [40] combines optical flow with deformable convolutions in a Pyramid Flow-Guided Alignment module, using coarse SpyNet [46] flow to guide learned offset refinement at multiple scales. This hybrid approach handles large shifts while reducing noise. In purely learning-based alignment, BIPNet [11] propose an edge-boosting alignment module: features from each frame are enhanced via an attention-based filter and then aligned by deformable convolutions. The aligned features (dubbed pseudo-burst features) are refined with multi-scale context before fusion. Building on this, Burstormer [12] introduces an improved deformable alignment that continually exchanges information with the reference frame to better handle complex motion. Their alignment module enriches each frame's features via the reference, yielding more robust alignment under large object movements. Similarly, Mehta et al. [41] employ implicit alignment by deformable convolutions coupled with a feature back-projection refinement. In a related vein, GMT-Net [42] employs a multi-scale burst alignment (MBFA) that denoises and aligns features across resolutions. It uses an attention-guided deformable alignment (AGDA) together with a gated multi-kernel scheme to achieve fine alignment at each scale. The inclusion of a minor aligned feature enrichment step further corrects residual misalignments post-warping. Even the latest state-space models for bursts acknowledge the need for upfront alignment: QMambaBSR [8] first align all frames to the base view before applying their Mamba-based fusion strategy.

#### 6.1.2. Fusing Information Across Bursts

Early solutions approached fusion in the frequency domain - for example, Tsai et al. [52] formulated SR reconstruction by merging Fourier coefficients from misaligned inputs - or via iterative back-projection to progressively refine a high-resolution estimate. For single image SR, Blau et al. showed that simply optimizing pixel-wise losses in the RGB domain often fails to recover sharp textures [4], leading to works that integrate high frequency reconstruction as an auxiliary target, in Fourier [16] or wavelet domains [21, 24]. Still, modern burst SR methods, operate in the spatial feature domain and leverage learned fusion modules. A straightforward approach is to concatenate or average aligned features and feed them through CNN layers, but recent works show that adaptive fusion yields better preservation of fine details. Attention-based fusion has emerged as a powerful strategy to weigh contributions from

ule to adaptively merge information from all frames after optical-flow alignment. Similarly, non-local attention mechanisms were used in EBSR [39] and EDVR [57], allowing the network to learn affinity weights between feature pixels across frames. By modeling pairwise affinities, these methods can emphasize mutually reinforcing details and deemphasize outliers or misaligned content. Transformer architectures take this further by capturing long-range dependencies across the burst. For instance, BSRT [40] employs a Swin Transformer backbone to globally attend to crossframe cues during fusion, which helps exploit subtle correlations dispersed over the burst. BIPNet [11] propose an early fusion strategy: rather than deferring merging to a final stage, they construct a pseudo-burst feature set in which each feature encodes complementary information from all frames. This enables extensive inter-frame information exchange prior to up-sampling. They then aggregate the fused features over multiple up-sampling stages, gradually building up the SR image. This multi-stage fusion (as opposed to one-shot late fusion) was shown to retain finer details. Burstormer [12] incorporates both local and global fusion steps: after aligning and enriching features, they introduce a cyclic burst sampling technique that forces the network to circulate information among frames, and finally a dedicated burst feature fusion module integrates all frames' contributions. On the other hand, GMT-Net [42] explicitly factor both local and global affinities into their fusion design. They propose a Transposed-Attention Feature Merging (TAFM) module which performs attention in two parallel streams - one capturing channel-wise local interactions among aligned frame features, and another modeling global correlations between the reference and neighboring frames. This attention-based fusion extracts complementary details

each frame. DBSR [2] introduced an attention-based mod-

#### 6.1.3. Mamba for Super-Resolution

by encoding inter-frame affinity at multiple levels.

Mamba is a recently introduced state-space sequence model that offers a compelling alternative to Transformers for capturing long-range dependencies [18]. Unlike self-attention, which scales quadratically with sequence length, Mamba achieves linear complexity and fast inference by replacing attention with a state-space representation, enabling it to handle very long sequences without sacrificing performance. This efficient sequence modeling has quickly gained traction in both high-level and low-level vision tasks [35, 67]. For image super-resolution, Qiao et al. [45] propose a hierarchical Mamba network for SISR that scans the image in single directions but alternates scan directions across layers to capture horizontal and vertical context, significantly improving efficiency and SR accuracy. With BurstMamba, we employ an analogous strategy for burst image super-resolution, where we decouple the temporal and spatial scans.

Several other works have already explored Mamba's potential in super-resolution. MPSI [22] introduces an SISR model built on Channel-Mamba blocks that model long pixel sequences to capture global pixel-wise interactions. They further incorporate a recursive Mamba module to carry forward features across all network layers, ensuring information from early layers influences later ones for rich multi-level detail reconstruction. Xiao et al. [61] tackles remote sensing image super-resolution with Mamba, developing a frequency-assisted Mamba framework that leverages spatial-frequency fusion for higher fidelity reconstruction. In contrast, with BurstMamba, we always stay within the spatial domain but still leverage high-frequency information through wavelet transforms. MLFSR [17] is a Mamba model for light field SR, using a global interaction module to model 4D spatial-angular correlations over many views. Unlike BurstMamba that decouples temporal and keyframe processing, Gao et al. [17] join all burst images in the spatial dimension, forming a large grid that gets processed by MLFSR.

#### **6.2. Further Qualitative Results**

In Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 we show further results comparing our method to existing BISR approaches on RealBSR-RGB. As seen, our method produces sharper images, better preserves high-frequency structures, and improved pattern details compared to existing architectures.

Furthermore, in Fig. 8 we show further samples of the effects of varying the input burst sequence length (L) post-training. The two samples illustrate again that with increased burst length, the temporal module is better able to extract relevant subpixel information around highfrequency regions in the image, improving the fidelity of the final super-resolved prediction. Once again, at regions dominated by low-resolution features (empty area above the house, flat regions in the building's wall) the temporal module does not change the single image keyframe prediction.

### **6.3. Implementation Details**

We use a single convolutional layer to extract shallow features, projecting the input image into 180 channels. Unlike previous methods [2, 3, 11, 59] we do not debayer the raw image, instead retain the 1 channel RGGB structure. Thus we let the model learn to demosaic alongside superresolution. We construct BurstMamba with 6 stacks of spatial and temporal SSM blocks. We then append an upsampling layer following MambaIR for  $\times 4$  SR [20].

For each benchmark, we train our model from scratch. The training is scheduled in two stages: First, we train the keyframe SR model for 150k iterations using training patches of size  $40 \times 40$ . We then include the temporal module and train the complete pipeline for an additional 250k iterations with training patch sizes of  $30 \times 30$ . We use a

fixed batch size of 20, a burst size of 14 with the AdamW optimizer and a learning rate of  $10^{-4}$ . We use RAFT [50] to compute optical flow for OFS unless the flow information is already provided alongside the training data.



Figure 6. Qualitative comparison of different methods on the RealBSR-RGB dataset for  $\times 4$  BISR.



Figure 7. Qualitative comparison of different methods on the RealBSR-RGB dataset for  $\times 4$  BISR.



Figure 8. Further qualitative results from varying the input burst sequence length (L) for BurstMamba on the RealBSR-RGB dataset.