

GROWTH OF MASSES OF CRYSTALLINE MEASURES

PETER BOYVALENKOV, SERGII YU.FAVOROV

Abstract.

Let μ be a measure on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of unbounded total variation that is positive or translation bounded and has a pure point Fourier transform in the sense of distributions $\hat{\mu}$. We prove that the measure ν with the same support as $\hat{\mu}$ and masses equal to the squares of the masses of $\hat{\mu}$ is translation bounded. We also prove that if μ is as above and the restriction of its spectrum, i.e., of the support of $\hat{\mu}$, to each ball of fixed radius is a linearly independent set over \mathbb{Z} , then the measure $\hat{\mu}$ is also translation bounded. These results imply certain conditions for a crystalline measure to be a Fourier quasicrystal.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A05, 42A75, 52C23

Keywords: Fourier transform in the sense of distributions, tempered measure, pure point measure, almost periodic function, crystalline measure, Fourier quasicrystal

1. INTRODUCTION

A complex measure μ on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d with a locally finite support (that is, its intersection with any compact set is finite) is called *crystalline* if μ is a tempered distribution and its Fourier transform in the sense of distributions $\hat{\mu}$ is also a measure with locally finite support. If both measures $|\mu|$ and $|\hat{\mu}|$ are tempered distributions, then μ is called a *Fourier quasicrystal*. Here and below we denote by $|\nu|(E)$ the variation of the complex measure ν on the set E .

Recently, Fourier quasicrystals and crystalline measures are studied very actively. Many works are devoted to the investigations of their properties (see, for example, the survey papers [16, 11, 1]). In fact, Fourier quasicrystals are the form of Poisson formulas (see below), the latter were used in particular by D. Radchenko and M. Viazovska in [19].

Special attention was paid to Fourier quasicrystals of the form

$$(1) \quad \mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \delta_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

(δ_λ , as usual, means the unit mass at the point λ). A. Olevskii and A. Ulanovskii in [17], [18] for the case $d = 1$ established 1-1 connection between such measures and sets of zeros of real-rooted exponential polynomials. W. Lawton and A. Tsikh [11] partially extended this result in an arbitrary dimension d . In [8] the result of Olevskii and Ulanovskii was generalized to Dirichlet series. F. Goncalves [10] investigated arbitrary measures μ on \mathbb{R} with pure point measures $\hat{\mu}$ and obtained an analog of the result of Olevskii and Ulanovskii. Measures of the form (1) with λ in a horizontal strip of finite width were studied in [9].

Returning to the definition of a Fourier quasicrystal, it is natural to ask whether we need additional conditions on the variations of the measures μ and $\hat{\mu}$ in order to prove that a crystalline measure is a Fourier quasicrystal. The answer is positive, as in [7] the second author constructed a crystalline measure which is not a Fourier quasicrystal.

In this paper, we investigate various additional conditions under which a crystalline measure becomes a Fourier quasicrystal. To this end, we investigate the properties of

arbitrary tempered measures in \mathbb{R}^d with a purely point Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$. For $d = 1$, this is exactly the class of measures that Goncalves considered in [10], but our investigation does not have other common points with [10].

To formulate our results, we recall some notions and properties related to the Fourier transform (see, e.g., [21]):

Denote by \mathcal{D} the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support and by \mathcal{S} the Schwartz space of C^∞ -functions $\varphi(t)$ on \mathbb{R}^d with finite norms

$$\mathcal{N}_m(\varphi) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{\max\{1, |t|^m\} \cdot \max_{\|k\| \leq m} |D^k \varphi(t)|\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d, \quad \|k\| = k_1 + \dots + k_d, \quad D^k = \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d}.$$

The Fourier transform

$$\hat{\varphi}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t) e^{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle} dt$$

is a continuous bijection of \mathcal{D} onto \mathcal{S} . The elements of the space \mathcal{S}' of all continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{S} are called *tempered distributions*. For every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ its Fourier transform $\hat{\Phi}$ is defined by the equality

$$(2) \quad \hat{\Phi}(\varphi) = \Phi(\hat{\varphi}) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Since the space \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{S} , we find that $\hat{\Phi}$ belongs to \mathcal{S}' .

A positive measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d is tempered if and only if

$$\log \nu(B(0, r)) = O(\log r), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

where, as usual, $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball with center x and radius r (see, e.g., Lemma 1 from [5]). It is clear that for a pure point measure

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \delta_\gamma$$

with a countable Γ , the measure $|\hat{\mu}|$ is tempered if and only if

$$\log \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma| < r} |b_\gamma| = O(\log r), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

A measure μ in \mathbb{R}^d is *translation bounded* if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(B(x, 1)) < \infty$$

(see [13]). Clearly, every translation bounded measure μ satisfies the condition

$$|\mu|(B(x, r)) \leq Cr^d, \quad r \geq 1,$$

with some $C < \infty$. In particular, it follows that $|\mu|$ is tempered.

When μ is a tempered measure and $\hat{\mu}$ is defined as in (3), the equality (2) has the form

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \varphi(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\varphi}(x) \mu(dx), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

For $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$ the above equality becomes

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \varphi(\gamma) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \hat{\varphi}(\lambda), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D},$$

and is called *generalized Poisson formula*. If $a_\lambda \neq 0 \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $b_\gamma \neq 0 \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, we say that Λ is the support of μ and Γ is the spectrum of μ .

With the above notations and properties, we have the following result from [6]:

Theorem 1. ([6]) *Let μ and $\hat{\mu}$ be tempered measures on \mathbb{R}^d and μ have a polynomially discrete support, that is*

$$|t - t'| \geq c \min\{1, |t|^{-h}\} \quad \forall t, t' \in \text{supp } \mu.$$

Then $|\mu|$ is tempered. If, in addition, $\hat{\mu}$ has a polynomially discrete support, then μ is a Fourier quasicrystal.

In this paper, we obtain the following results:

Theorem 2. *Let μ be a positive or translation bounded measure on \mathbb{R}^d and its Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$ be a pure point measure (3). Then the measure*

$$(4) \quad \nu = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |b_\gamma|^2 \delta_\gamma$$

is translation bounded. If Γ is locally finite and the numbers $\#(\Gamma \cap B(0, r))$ grow polynomially as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then $|\hat{\mu}|$ is tempered. If, in addition, μ is a crystalline measure, then μ is a Fourier quasicrystal.

Theorem 3. *Let μ be a positive or translation bounded measure on \mathbb{R}^d and its Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$ be a pure point measure (3). If there exists $\eta > 0$ such that the sets $\Gamma \cap B(x, \eta)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\hat{\mu}$ is translation bounded. In particular, if μ is a positive crystalline measure with a linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} spectrum, then μ is a Fourier quasicrystal.*

Recall that the set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} if for any finite number of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and arbitrary $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the equality $m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n = 0$ implies $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 0$. Note that crystalline measures with linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} supports were considered by Y. Meyer [14].

Corollary. *Let the measure μ from Theorem 3 have a uniformly discrete¹ support Λ and let $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\mu(\lambda)| > 0$. Then there exist a positive integer N , lattices L_1, \dots, L_N in \mathbb{R}^d of rank d (some of them may coincide), points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \Lambda$, bounded sets $S_1, \dots, S_N \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the support of μ is a finite union of shifts of these lattices and*

$$(5) \quad \mu = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{x \in L_j + \lambda_j} \left[\sum_s \beta_{j,s} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \alpha_{j,s} \rangle} \right] \delta_x$$

with $\alpha_{j,s} \in S_j$, $\beta_{j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\sum_s |\beta_{j,s}| < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect definitions and properties of almost periodic functions. Three necessary preliminary results are given in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

2. ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

The proofs of our theorems are based on some results of the classical theory of almost periodic functions (see [2] for $d = 1$ and [13], [20] for arbitrary d).

¹A set A is uniformly discrete if $\inf_{x, x' \in A, x \neq x'} |x - x'| > 0$.

Definition 1. A continuous function $f(x)$ on the space \mathbb{R}^d is almost periodic if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set of ε -almost periods

$$E_\varepsilon = \{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + \tau) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense, i.e., $E_\varepsilon \cap B(x, L) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and some L depending on ε .

Each almost periodic function in \mathbb{R}^d is bounded, a finite sum or product of almost periodic functions is also almost periodic, and the uniform limit in \mathbb{R}^d of almost periodic functions is almost periodic too. In particular, every absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$(6) \quad D(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} a_\omega e^{2\pi i \langle x, \omega \rangle}, \quad \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} |a_\omega| < \infty,$$

with a countable $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is almost periodic. In this case

$$\hat{D} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} a_\omega \delta_\omega.$$

For every almost periodic $f(x)$ and every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ one considers the Fourier coefficients $c_\omega(f) \in \mathbb{C}$ as

$$c_\omega(f) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_d R^d} \int_{B(x, R)} f(t) e^{-2\pi i \langle t, \omega \rangle} dt,$$

where v_d is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . The limit exists uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The set $\{\omega : c_\omega(f) \neq 0\}$ is at most countable. It is easy to check that uniform convergence of the series (6) implies $c_\omega(D) = a_\omega \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, an analog of the Parseval identity

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d} |c_\omega(f)|^2 = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_d R^d} \int_{B(x, R)} |f(t)|^2 dt$$

holds.

Note that by Y.Meyer (cf. [15, Theorem 3.8]), if the Fourier transform \hat{f} of an almost periodic function f is a measure, then it has the form

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c_\omega(f) \delta_\omega, \quad \sum_{|\omega| < r} |c_\omega(f)| < \infty, \quad \forall r < \infty.$$

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We shall need the following multidimensional version of the Kroneker lemma (cf. [3, Chapter III]). We provide a proof for self-completeness.

Proposition 1. Let x_1, \dots, x_N be linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} vectors from \mathbb{R}^d and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$ be arbitrary real numbers. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$(8) \quad |\langle x_j, t \rangle - \theta_j - p_j| < \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. We set

$$f(t) = 1 + e^{2\pi i [\langle x_1, t \rangle - \theta_1]} + \dots + e^{2\pi i [\langle x_N, t \rangle - \theta_N]}.$$

It is enough to prove that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t)| = N + 1$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(9) \quad f^q(t) = \sum_s \alpha_s e^{2\pi i \langle \beta_s, t \rangle},$$

where

$$(10) \quad \beta_s = m_1 x_1 + \cdots + m_N x_N,$$

with $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq m_j \leq q$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, and $m_1 + \cdots + m_N \leq q$. Therefore, the number of the terms on the right-hand side of (9) is at most $(q+1)^N$.

Further, $f^q(t) = (1 + z_1 + \cdots + z_N)^q$, where $z_j = e^{2\pi i[\langle x_j, t \rangle - \theta_j]}$, and every term can be written as

$$C_{m_1, \dots, m_N} e^{2\pi i[\langle m_1 x_1 + \cdots + m_N x_N, t \rangle - \phi_s]},$$

where

$$\phi_s = m_1 \theta_1 + \cdots + m_N \theta_N,$$

and the (multi-binomial) coefficient C_{m_1, \dots, m_N} coincides with the coefficient of $z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_N^{m_N}$ in the expansion of $(1 + z_1 + \cdots + z_N)^q$ and is, therefore, positive.

It follows from the linear independence of x_1, \dots, x_N that the monomial corresponding to β_s is uniquely determined. Hence the corresponding coefficient α_s has the form

$$(11) \quad \alpha_s = C_{m_1, \dots, m_N} e^{-2\pi i \phi_s},$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sum_s |\alpha_s| = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_N} C_{m_1, \dots, m_N} = (N+1)^q.$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha_s = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_d R^d} \int_{B(x, R)} e^{-2\pi i \langle t, \beta \rangle} f^q(t) dt.$$

Therefore, if $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t)| = r < N+1$, then $|\alpha_s| \leq r^q$ and $\sum_s |\alpha_s| \leq r^q (q+1)^N$. But this is impossible because $r^q (q+1)^N < (N+1)^q$ for large enough q . \square

Remark 1. Without the linear independence assumption we may have two distinct representations for some β_s in (10). Then the above argument is valid if a unique ϕ_s in (11) (up to an integer) corresponds to these two representations (collections of m_j). Therefore, we can replace the linear independence condition of x_j with the following: "if $m_1 x_1 + \cdots + m_N x_N = 0$, then $m_1 \theta_1 + \cdots + m_N \theta_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ". It is easy to prove that the latter condition is also necessary for the existence of $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in (8) for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The one-dimensional version of Kronecker lemma was stated in exactly this way in [12].

We also need two results on the connection between measures and their Fourier transforms.

Proposition 2. (cf. Theorem 1 from [8]). *If μ is a nonnegative tempered measure on \mathbb{R}^d and $\hat{\mu}$ is a complex measure, then μ is translation bounded.*

Proof. For a C^∞ -function $\psi(t) \not\equiv 0$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \in B(0, 1)$ we consider

$$\varphi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y-t) \overline{\hat{\psi}(t)} dt.$$

Then we obtain

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(0, 2), \quad \hat{\varphi}(x) = |\hat{\psi}(x)|^2 \geq 0, \quad \hat{\varphi}(x) \not\equiv 0,$$

and, therefore, there exist $\eta > 0$, $r > 0$, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $\hat{\varphi}(x) > \eta$ for all $x \in B(x_0, r)$. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we consecutively have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B(t, r)) &\leq \eta^{-1} \int_{B(t, r)} \hat{\varphi}(x - t + x_0) \mu(dx) \\ &\leq \eta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\varphi}(x - t + x_0) \mu(dx) \\ &= \eta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) e^{2\pi i(t-x_0)y} \hat{\mu}(dy) \\ &\leq \eta^{-1} \max_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(y)| |\hat{\mu}|(B(0, 2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\hat{\mu}|$ is a measure, we conclude that $|\hat{\mu}|(B(0, 2))$ is finite and the measure μ is translation bounded. \square

Proposition 3. *Let μ be a translation bounded measure on \mathbb{R}^d and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then the function $\mu \star \varphi$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^d by a constant that depends neither on shifts of μ and φ nor on their multiplying by e^{iat} , $a \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Set $\mu_x(E) = \mu(E - x)$ for all $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we get

$$|\mu_x|(B(0, r)) \leq C_1 \max\{1, r\}^d$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $|\varphi(t)| \leq C_2 \max\{1, r\}^{-d-1}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu \star \varphi)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t) \mu_x(dt) \right| \leq C_2 \left[\int_{|t| \leq 1} |\mu_x|(dt) + \int_{|t| < 1} |t|^{-d-1} |\mu_x|(dt) \right] \\ &= C_2(d+1) \int_1^\infty \frac{|\mu_x|(B(0, s)) ds}{s^{d+2}} \leq (d+1)C_1C_2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

In this section, we present proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ be a non-negative even function with compact support such that $\varphi(y) \equiv 1$ for $|y| < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \star \hat{\varphi}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\widehat{\varphi(-y)e^{2\pi i(t,y)}}](x) \mu(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(-y) e^{2\pi i(t,y)} \hat{\mu}(dy) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{supp } \varphi} b_\gamma \varphi(\gamma) e^{2\pi i(t,\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\mu}$ is a measure, we see that $\sum_{\gamma \in \text{supp } \varphi} |b_\gamma|$ is finite and the last sum is absolutely convergent. Therefore, $\mu \star \hat{\varphi}$ is an almost periodic function. We also obtain from the above equality that

$$\widehat{\mu \star \hat{\varphi}} = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{supp } \varphi} \varphi(\gamma) b_\gamma \delta_\gamma.$$

By Meyer's result (see the end of Section 2), the Fourier coefficients of the function $\mu \star \hat{\varphi}$ are

$$c_\gamma(\mu \star \hat{\varphi}) = b_\gamma \varphi(\gamma).$$

Using Parseval's equality (7), we obtain that the variation of the measure ν from (4) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nu|(B(0,1)) &= \sum_{|\gamma|<1} |b_\gamma|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(\gamma)b_\gamma|^2 \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d} |c_\gamma(\mu \star \hat{\varphi})|^2 \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_d R^d} \int_{B(0,R)} |(\mu \star \hat{\varphi})(x)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 2 that under the conditions of the theorem, the measure μ is translation bounded. Hence by Proposition 3, the last integral is bounded by a constant C . If we replace $\varphi(y)$ with $\varphi(y - y_0)$ (here $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ can be arbitrary), then $\hat{\varphi}$ changes by a factor $e^{2\pi i \langle x, y_0 \rangle}$ and by Proposition 3, the constant C remains the same. We conclude that

$$(12) \quad \nu(B(y_0, 1)) \leq C.$$

Consequently, the measure ν is translation bounded, and $\nu(B(0, r)) \leq C' r^d$ with some constant C' .

Finally, if

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| < r\} = O(r^\rho), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

with some $\rho < \infty$, then by the Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\gamma|<r} |b_\gamma| &\leq \left[\sum_{|\gamma|<r} |b_\gamma|^2 \right]^{1/2} \cdot [\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| < r\}]^{1/2} \\
&= O(r^{(d+\rho)/2})
\end{aligned}$$

as r tends to infinity. Therefore, $|\hat{\mu}| \in \mathcal{S}'$. If μ is a crystalline measure, we obtain that it is a Fourier quasicrystal. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. By (12), the masses b_γ are uniformly bounded as well. Hence we can replace the exponent 2 in (4) with any $q > 2$. We do not know whether (4) is valid for exponent $q < 2$ under the conditions of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from Proposition 2 that under the conditions of the theorem, the measure μ is translation bounded. Suppose for a contradiction that $\hat{\mu}$ is not translation bounded. Then there exists $\eta > 0$ such that the masses of the measure $|\hat{\mu}|$ in balls of radius $\eta/2$ are unbounded. This means that there are points $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta/2)} |b_\gamma| > 5n.$$

If the set $\Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta)$ is finite for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we set $A_n = \Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta)$. Otherwise, taking into account that $\hat{\mu}$ is a measure and $|\hat{\mu}|(B(y_n, \eta)) < \infty$, we choose A_n to be a finite subset of $\Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta)$ such that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta) \setminus A_n} |b_\gamma| < n.$$

With this setting, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in A_n \cap B(y_n, \eta/2)} |b_\gamma| > 4n.$$

Applying Proposition 1, we can find $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and numbers $m_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\gamma \in A_n$

$$|\langle x_n, \gamma \rangle + \frac{\arg b_\gamma}{2\pi} - m_\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{3}.$$

Therefore, for all $\gamma \in A_n$ we have

$$\Re e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, \gamma \rangle} b_\gamma > \frac{|b_\gamma|}{2} > 0,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \Re \sum_{\gamma \in A_n \cap B(y_n, \eta/2)} e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, \gamma \rangle} b_\gamma > 2n.$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $0 \leq \varphi(y) \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(0, \eta)$, and $\varphi(y) \equiv 1$ for $|y| < \eta/2$. It follows from (13) and (14) that

$$(15) \quad \left| \int e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, y \rangle} \varphi(y - y_n) \hat{\mu}(dy) \right| \geq \left| \sum_{\gamma \in A_n} \varphi(\gamma - y_n) e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, \gamma \rangle} b_\gamma \right| - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap B(y_n, \eta) \setminus A_n} |b_\gamma| \\ \geq \Re \sum_{\gamma \in A_n \cap B(y_n, \eta/2)} e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, \gamma \rangle} b_\gamma + \Re \sum_{\gamma \in A_n \setminus B(y_n, \eta/2)} \varphi(\gamma - y_n) e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, \gamma \rangle} b_\gamma - n \geq n.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int e^{2\pi i \langle x_n, y \rangle} \varphi(y - y_n) \hat{\mu}(dy) = \int e^{-2\pi i \langle y_n, x \rangle} \hat{\varphi}(x - x_n) \mu(dx) = \int e^{-2\pi i \langle y_n, x \rangle} \hat{\varphi}(x) \mu_{x_n}(dx).$$

By Proposition 3, the modulus of the integral in the right-hand side is bounded with a constant that does not depend on x_n and y_n . This inequality contradicts to what is obtained in (15). Therefore, the measure $\hat{\mu}$ is translation bounded. If in the conditions of the theorem the measures μ and $\hat{\mu}$ have locally finite supports, that is, μ is a crystalline measure, then μ is a Fourier quasicrystal. \square

Proof of Corollary. It follows from Theorem 3 that $|\hat{\mu}|(B(0, r)) = O(r^d)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3 from [4] are satisfied and we obtain the representation (5). \square

Question. Let μ be a crystalline measure, and $|\mu|$ be a tempered measure. Is $|\hat{\mu}|$ a tempered measure? And what if the measure μ is translation bounded?

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science, Scientific Programme "Enhancing the Research Capacity in Mathematical Sciences (PIKOM)", No. DO1-241/15.08.2023 and by Georgi Chilikov Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alon, L., Kummer, M., Kurasov, P., Vinzant, C. Higher dimensional Fourier Quasicrystals from Lee-Yang varieties. *Invent. Math.* **239** (2025), 321–376.
- [2] Bohr, H. *Almost Periodic Functions*, ed. Chelsea, New-York, 1951.
- [3] Cassels, J. *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, Vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1957.
- [4] Favorov, S.Yu. Local Wiener's theorem and coherent sets of frequencies. *Analysis Math.*, **46** (2020), 737–746.

- [5] Favorov, S.Yu. Uniqueness theorems for Fourier quasicrystals and tempered distributions with discrete support. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), 4431–4440.
- [6] Favorov, S.Yu. Fourier quasicrystals and distributions on Euclidean spaces with spectrum of bounded density. Analysis Mathematica **49** (2023), 747–764.
- [7] Favorov, S.Yu. The crystalline measure that is not a Fourier Quasicrystal, Analysis Mathematica **50** (2024), 455–462.
- [8] Favorov, S.Yu. Non-negative crystalline and Poisson measures in the Euclidean space. Studia Mathematica **278** (2024), 81–98.
- [9] Favorov, S.Yu. Analogues of Fourier quasicrystals for a strip. To appear in Analysis Mathematica.
- [10] Goncalves, F. A classification of Fourier summation formulas and crystalline measures. arXiv:2312.11185v2.
- [11] Lawton, W.M., Tsikh, A.K. Multidimensional Fourier quasicrystals I. Sufficient conditions. arXiv:2302.07464v1.
- [12] Levitan, B.M. Almost Periodic Functions. Gostehizdat, Moscow. 396 (1953) (In Russian).
- [13] Meyer, Y. Quasicrystals, Almost periodic patterns, mean-periodic functions, and irregular sampling, Afr. Diaspora J. Math., **13** (2012), 1–45.
- [14] Meyer, Y. Measures with locally finite support and spectrum, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **113** (2016), art. 31523158.
- [15] Meyer, Y. Global and local estimates on trigonometric sums, Trans. R. Norw. Soc. Sci. Lett. 2018(2), 1–25.
- [16] Meyer, Y. Multidimensional crystalline measures, Trans. R. Norw. Soc. Sci. Lett. 2023(1), 1–24.
- [17] Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii A. Fourier quasicrystals with unit masses. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, **358** (2020), 1207–1211.
- [18] Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii A. A simple crystalline measure. arXiv:2006.12037v2, (2020).
- [19] Radchenko, D., Viazovska, M. Fourier interpolation on the real line, Publ. Math. IHES **129** (2019), 51–81.
- [20] Ronkin, L.I. Almost periodic distributions in tube domains. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **101** (2000), 3172–3189.
- [21] Rudin, W. Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, St. Louis, San Francisco, 1973.

PETER BOYVALENKOV,
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
 8 ACAD. G. BONCHEV STR., SOFIA 1113, BULGARIA
Email address: peter@math.bas.bg

SERGI FAVOROV,
 V.N.KARAZIN KHARKIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
 SVOBODY SQ., 4, KHARKIV, UKRAINE 61022
Email address: sfavorov@gmail.com