

A LINEAR, UNCONDITIONALLY STABLE, SECOND ORDER DECOUPLED METHOD FOR THE NEMATIC LIQUID CRYSTAL FLOWS WITH SAV APPROACH

RUONAN CAO[†] AND NIANYU YI^{‡,§}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a second order, linear, fully decoupled, and unconditionally energy stable scheme for solving the Ericksen-Leslie model. This approach integrates the pressure correction method with a scalar auxiliary variable technique. We rigorously demonstrate the unconditional energy stability of the proposed scheme. Furthermore, we present several numerical experiments to validate its convergence order, stability, and computational efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Liquid crystals represent the fourth state of matter, distinct from gases, liquids, and solids. They are four types of liquid crystals: nematic, smectic, cholesteric, and disotic, which are differentiated by the alignments of the molecular. Furthermore, they also can be categorized into thermotropic or lyotropic depending on their production conditions. Nematic liquid crystals are a common type of the thermotropic class.

Ericksen [3, 4] and Leslie [10, 9] developed the hydrodynamic theory of the nematic liquid crystals. They proposed the Ericksen-Leslie model, which consists of an convective harmonic map heat flow equation for the evolution of the director field coupled with an incompressible Navier-Stokes equation for the velocity and the pressure with a certain additional stress tensor. Due to the complexity of the original Ericksen-Leslie model with some reaction-coupling terms, Lin [11] proposed the following simplified Ericksen-Leslie model:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} - \gamma \Delta \mathbf{d} - \gamma |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d} = 0, & (1.1) \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p + \lambda \nabla \cdot ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d}) = 0, & (1.2) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, & (1.3) \\ |\mathbf{d}| = 1, & (1.4) \end{cases}$$

in $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T]$, where $\Omega \subset R^d$ ($d = 2, 3$) is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz continuous boundary $\partial\Omega$. The unknowns are the velocity field \mathbf{u} , pressure p , and director field \mathbf{d} that characterizes the orientation of liquid crystal molecules. The positive physical constant parameters are the fluid viscosity ν , elasticity constant λ and relaxation time constant γ .

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^2 norm of scalars, vectors or tensors, and (\cdot, \cdot) means the L^2 inner product. Taking the inner products of (1.1) with $\lambda(-\Delta \mathbf{d} - |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})$, (1.2) with \mathbf{u} , and summing up the two

Key words and phrases. Nematic liquid crystal flows, Ericksen-Leslie model, pressure-correction, scalar auxiliary variable, energy stability.

equations with some algebraic manipulation, we obtain the following energy dissipation law

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) = -\nu \|\nabla u\|^2 - \lambda \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{d} + |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}\|^2 \leq 0.$$

where

$$W_s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|^2.$$

Lin and Liu [12] established its well-posedness of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.1)-(1.4). Indeed, they prove global existence of weak solutions as well as local existence of strong solutions to system (1.1)-(1.4). For numerical approximation we refer to [1, 2, 14]. Du, Guo and Shen [2] proposed a Fourier-spectral method and derived an error estimation, which demonstrated the spectral accuracy of the proposed method. In [14], Lin and Liu presented the C^0 finite element method for 2D hydrodynamic liquid crystal model.

The Ginzburg-Landau penalty function [12] is commonly used to relax the constraint $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$, then obtain a modified Ericksen-Leslie model:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \gamma (-\Delta \mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})) = 0, & |\mathbf{d}| \leq 1, & (1.5) \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p + \lambda \nabla \cdot ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d}) = 0, & & (1.6) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, & & (1.7) \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is the penalty parameter. Here, the Ginzburg-Landau penalty function $f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$ is defined as $f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}|^2 - 1) \mathbf{d}$, which is the gradient of the Ginzburg-Landau potential function $F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}|^2 - 1)^2$, i.e. $f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{d}} F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$.

Multiplying (1.5) by $\lambda(-\Delta \mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}))$ and (1.6) by \mathbf{u} , after the integration in Ω , we obtain the following energy law:

$$\frac{d}{dt} W(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) = -\nu \|\nabla u\|^2 - \lambda \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})\|^2, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

represents the total energy of the system (1.5)-(1.7), consisting of the kinetic energy $W_{kin} = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2$, the elastic energy $W_{ela} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|^2$, and the penalty energy $W_{pen} = \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) \, d\mathbf{x}$.

Liu and Walkington [13] developed a finite element scheme for (1.5)-(1.7) and simulated the dynamical behaviors of defects in liquid crystals. Becker and Feng et al.[1] proposed two fully discretized schemes: one for the system (1.5)-(1.7), which is unconditionally stable and satisfies the discrete energy law, and the other for direct discretization of system (1.1)-(1.4). Girault and Guillén-González [7] investigated a fully discrete scheme based on C^0 finite elements in space and a semi-implicit Euler scheme in time. They established its unconditional stability and convergence theories. In [5], Guillén-González and Gutiérrez-Santacreu introduced a linear, unconditionally stable, semi-implicit scheme that satisfies the energy law for the modified system (1.5)-(1.7). Some further contributions are found in [15, 17, 18, 19].

In view of the energy decay property of the modified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.5)-(1.7), it is of advantage to design numerical schemes that preserve the discrete version of energy dissipation

law (1.8) This paper aims to develop a linear, unconditionally energy stable, and fully decoupled strategy for the modified Ericksen-Leslie model. The main difficulty in designing such efficient numerical scheme comes from the strongly nonlinear and coupled terms. We use the Lagrange multipliers [5] to linearize the nonlinear term and the rotational pressure correction (PC) method [6] to decouple the velocity and pressure. Furthermore, we implement the scalar auxiliary variable (SAV) [8] decoupling strategy to handle the convective terms in the system, enhancing the overall efficiency and stability of the numerical scheme. We then apply the second-order backward differentiation formula (BDF2) to the modified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.5)-(1.7) and establish the unconditional energy stability of proposed schemes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we develop a semi-discrete scheme based on the pressure correction method and the scalar auxiliary variable approach (PCSAV), prove it unconditional energy stability, and describe an efficient implementation. Section 3 introduces a PCSAV method with explicit time-stepping scheme for convection term (PCSAV-ECT). In Section 4, we present several numerical experiments to verify the accuracy, stability and computational efficiency of two schemes.

2. PCSAV METHOD FOR THE MODIFIED ERICKSEN-LESLIE MODEL

In this section, we propose a linear and decoupled semi-discrete scheme for the modified Ericksen-Leslie model. A scalar auxiliary variable is introduced to reformulate the equations (1.5)-(1.7) into an equivalent system, then we apply BDF2 scheme with the pressure correction method to the equivalent system to obtain the semi-discrete scheme. We prove that the proposed scheme satisfies the energy dissipation law at the discrete level. In the end, we present a detailed procedure to efficiently implement the scheme.

To simplify the analysis, we assume the following boundary conditions:

$$\mathbf{d}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_n \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

With only minor modifications the analysis can be extended to other boundary conditions. The initial conditions are prescribed as follows:

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{d}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathbf{u}^0 : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{d}^0 : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are given functions.

Notice that the elastic tensor $\lambda \nabla \cdot ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d})$ of (1.6) can be written as

$$\lambda \nabla \cdot ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \nabla \mathbf{d}) = \lambda \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 + F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) \right) - \lambda (\nabla \mathbf{d})^t (-\Delta \mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2 + F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$ can be incorporated as part of the pressure. Let $\mathbf{w} = -\Delta\mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$, the modified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.5)-(1.7) can be rewritten as [5]

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\mathbf{d}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d} + \gamma\mathbf{w} = 0, & (2.4) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} = -\Delta\mathbf{d} + f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}), & (2.5) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u} - \nu\Delta\mathbf{u} + \nabla p - \lambda(\nabla\mathbf{d})^t\mathbf{w} = 0, & (2.6) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. & (2.7) \end{cases}$$

Let $q = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(|\mathbf{d}|^2 - 1)$, then $f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) = q\mathbf{d}$ and the total energy is

$$W = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}q^2 dx. \quad (2.8)$$

Define a scalar auxiliary variable $s(t)$ by

$$s(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right).$$

The system (2.4)-(2.7) is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\mathbf{d}}{\partial t} + \frac{s(t)}{\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right)}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d} + \gamma\mathbf{w} = 0, & (2.9) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} = -\Delta\mathbf{d} + q\mathbf{d}, & (2.10) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}(\mathbf{d})^t \frac{\partial\mathbf{d}}{\partial t}, & (2.11) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} - \nu\Delta\mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \lambda \frac{s(t)}{\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right)}(\nabla\mathbf{d})^t\mathbf{w}, & (2.12) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, & (2.13) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = -\frac{s}{T} + \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right)} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d})\mathbf{w} - ((\nabla\mathbf{d})^t\mathbf{w})\mathbf{u} dx. & (2.14) \end{cases}$$

Here, the additional term $\frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right)} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d})\mathbf{w} - ((\nabla\mathbf{d})^t\mathbf{w})\mathbf{u} dx$ in (2.14) which is identical to zero due to $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w}) = ((\nabla\mathbf{d})^t\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$.

Taking the inner products of (2.9)-(2.12) with $\lambda\mathbf{w}$, $-\lambda\frac{\partial\mathbf{d}}{\partial t}$, $\lambda\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}q$, \mathbf{u} respectively, and multiplying (2.14) with s , subject to some algebraic manipulation, we obtain the modified energy law:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{W}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) = -\nu\|\nabla u\|^2 - \lambda\gamma\|\mathbf{w}\|^2,$$

where

$$\tilde{W}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|^2 + \frac{\lambda\varepsilon^2}{4}\|q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^2$$

represents the corresponding total energy of the system (2.9)-(2.14).

2.1. PCSAV semi-discrete scheme. Let $\{t^n | t^n = n\Delta t, n = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ be a uniform partition in interval $[0, T]$ with the time step $\Delta t = T/N$, where N is a positive integer. The semi-discrete scheme to (2.9)-(2.14) based on the BDF2 method for temporal discretization is stated as follows.

Scheme 2.1. Given $\mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{d}^{n-1}, \mathbf{d}^n, p^{n-1}, p^n, q^{n-1}, q^n, s^{n-1}, s^n$, find $\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{d}^{n+1}, p^{n+1}, q^{n+1}$, and s^{n+1} satisfying

$$\frac{3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} + \gamma \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1} = -\Delta \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + q^{n+1} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\frac{3q^{n+1} - 4q^n + q^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\right)^t \frac{3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}) \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} + \nabla p^n \\ = \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\right)^t \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \quad \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\frac{3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 3\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} + \nabla (p^{n+1} - p^n + \nu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3s^{n+1} - 4s^n + s^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = -\frac{s^{n+1}}{T} + \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \int_{\Omega} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\right) \mathbf{w}^{n+1} d\mathbf{x} \\ - \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{d}^n - \mathbf{d}^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{w}^n - \mathbf{w}^{n-1}$.

Remark 2.1. Note that the BDF2 time-stepping method requires initial conditions for the first two time steps. From initial condition (2.2), we take

$$\mathbf{d}^0 = \mathbf{d}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}^0 = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad p^0 = 0, \quad q^0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}^0|^2 - 1), \quad s^0 = \exp\left(-\frac{t^0}{T}\right). \quad (2.22)$$

And we obtain $\mathbf{u}^1, p^1, \mathbf{d}^1$ by the following scheme:

$$\frac{\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}^0 - \gamma (\Delta \mathbf{d}^1 - f_\varepsilon^0(\mathbf{d}^1, \mathbf{d}^0)) = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^0}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 - \nabla p^1 + \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} (\mathbf{d}^0)^t \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}^0\right) = 0, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^1 = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$f_\varepsilon^0(\mathbf{d}^1, \mathbf{d}^0) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |\mathbf{d}^1|^2 \mathbf{d}^1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{d}^0.$$

Furthermore, we take

$$q^1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1), \quad s^1 = \exp\left(-\frac{t^1}{T}\right).$$

We now show that the scheme (2.23)-(2.25) satisfies the following energy dissipation law

$$\begin{aligned} & W(\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{d}^1) - W(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{d}^0) \\ & \leq -\Delta t \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^0\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^1 - \nabla \mathbf{d}^0\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4\varepsilon^2} \left(|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - |\mathbf{d}^0|^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Let

$$\xi = \frac{\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}^0, \quad (2.27)$$

taking the inner product of (2.23) with $\frac{\lambda}{\gamma}(\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0)$, (2.27) with $\frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\Delta t\xi$, (2.24) with $\Delta t\mathbf{u}^1$, (2.25) with $-\Delta t p^1$, respectively, and using the identity

$$(a - b, a) = \frac{1}{2} (|a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a - b|^2), \quad (2.28)$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}^1\|^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^0\|^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^1 - \nabla \mathbf{d}^0\|^2) + \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} \Delta t \|\xi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}^0\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^0\|^2) \\ & \quad + \Delta t \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|^2 + \lambda (f_\varepsilon^0, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Next, we decompose the last term of (2.29) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda (f_\varepsilon^0, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} ((|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) \mathbf{d}^1, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} (\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting I_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - |\mathbf{d}^0|^2 + |\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0|^2) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) ((|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) - (|\mathbf{d}^0|^2 - 1)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) |\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1)^2 - (|\mathbf{d}^0|^2 - 1)^2 + (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - |\mathbf{d}^0|^2)^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 - 1) |\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

and $I_2 = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0\|^2$, we arrive at the equality

$$\lambda (f_\varepsilon^0, \mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}^1) \, d\mathbf{x} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}^0) \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{d}^1|^2 + 1) |\mathbf{d}^1 - \mathbf{d}^0|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \quad (2.30)$$

Substituting (2.30) into (2.29), we obtain (2.26) immediately.

2.2. Discrete energy dissipation property. We now show that the semi-discrete scheme (2.15)-(2.21) satisfies the energy dissipation law at the discrete level.

Theorem 2.1. *The scheme (2.15)-(2.21) is unconditionally energy stable in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} & W^*(\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{d}^{n+1}, q^{n+1}, p^{n+1}, s^{n+1}) - W^*(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{d}^n, q^n, p^n, s^n) \\ & \leq -2\Delta t \lambda \gamma \|\mathbf{w}^{n+1}\|^2 - \nu \Delta t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 - \nu \Delta t \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 - \frac{2\Delta t}{T} \lambda |s^{n+1}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W^*(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{d}^n, q^n, p^n, s^n) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^n\|^2 + \nu^{-1} \Delta t \|g^n\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla (2\mathbf{d}^n - \mathbf{d}^{n-1})\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} \|q^n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} \|2q^n - q^{n-1}\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} |s^n|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |2s^n - s^{n-1}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\{g^n, H^n\}$ are defined by

$$g^0 = 0, \quad g^{n+1} = \nu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} + g^n, \quad H^{n+1} = p^{n+1} + g^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Proof. Taking the inner product of (2.15) with $2\Delta t \lambda \mathbf{w}^{n+1}$, (2.16) with $-\lambda (3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1})$, (2.17) with $\Delta t \lambda \varepsilon^2 q^{n+1}$, respectively, and using the identity

$$(3a - 4b + c, a) = \frac{1}{2} (|a|^2 + |2a - b|^2 - |b|^2 - |2b - c|^2 + |a - 2b + c|^2), \quad (2.33)$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\Delta t \lambda \gamma \|\mathbf{w}^{n+1}\|^2 + 2\Delta t \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \mathbf{w}^{n+1} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}^{n+1}\|^2 + \|\nabla (2\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n)\|^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^n\|^2 - \|\nabla (2\mathbf{d}^n - \mathbf{d}^{n-1})\|^2) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} (\|q^{n+1}\|^2 + \|2q^{n+1} - q^n\|^2 - \|q^n\|^2 - \|2q^n - q^{n-1}\|^2) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1})\|^2 + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} \|q^{n+1} - 2q^n + q^{n-1}\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Taking the inner product of (2.18) with $2\Delta t \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & (3\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) + 2\Delta t \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + 2\Delta t (\nabla p^n, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) \\ &= 2\Delta t \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Recalling (2.33) and (2.19), applying the identity (2.28) to the first term on the left-hand side of (2.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) &= (3(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + 3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) \\ &= 3(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) + (3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \\ &+ (3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 3(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) + (3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \left(3\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}, \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \nabla (p^{n+1} - p^n + \nu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}) \right) \\
&= \frac{3}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2. \tag{2.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.32), we can recast (2.19) as

$$\sqrt{3}\mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\Delta t \nabla H^{n+1} = \sqrt{3}\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\Delta t \nabla H^n. \tag{2.37}$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of (2.37) with itself, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&3\|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{4}{3}\Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^{n+1}\|^2 + 4\Delta t (\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \nabla H^{n+1}) \\
&= 3\|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{4}{3}\Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^n\|^2 + 4\Delta t (\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}, \nabla p^n) + 4\Delta t (\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}, \nabla g^n). \tag{2.38}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of g^{n+1} in (2.32) and the equation (2.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4\Delta t (\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}, \nabla g^n) &= -4\Delta t \nu^{-1} (g^{n+1} - g^n, g^n) \\
&= 2\Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^n\|^2 - 2\Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^{n+1}\|^2 + 2\Delta t \nu \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
4\Delta t (\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}, \nabla g^n) &= 2\Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^n\|^2 - 2\Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\Delta t \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 - 2\Delta t \nu \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2. \tag{2.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (2.39) into (2.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^{n+1}\|^2 &= \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^n\|^2 + 2\Delta t (\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}, \nabla p^n) \\
&\quad + \Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^n\|^2 - \Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \Delta t \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 - \Delta t \nu \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2. \tag{2.40}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.35) with (2.36) and (2.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^{n+1}\|^2 + \Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \Delta t \nu \|\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + \Delta t \nu \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^n\|^2 + \Delta t \nu^{-1} \|g^n\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2\Delta t \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right). \quad (2.41)$$

Multiplying (2.21) with $2\Delta t \lambda s^{n+1}$ and using (2.33), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{2} |s^{n+1}|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |2s^{n+1} - s^n|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} |s^n|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} |2s^n - s^{n-1}|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |s^{n+1} - 2s^n + s^{n-1}|^2 \\ &= -\frac{2\lambda\Delta t}{T} |s^{n+1}|^2 + 2\Delta t \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \mathbf{w}^{n+1} \right) \\ & \quad - 2\Delta t \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \left(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Adding the equations (2.34), (2.41) and (2.42) together after some algebraic manipulation, we obtain (2.31) immediately. This proof is completed. \square

2.3. Implementation of the PCSAV scheme. Scheme 2.1 is linear but \mathbf{u}^{n+1} and \mathbf{d}^{n+1} are coupled with s^{n+1} . An effective splitting technique [16] can be applied to decoupled the system (2.15)-(2.21) that allows to solve each variable separately.

Let

$$K^{n+1} = \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)}, \quad (2.43)$$

and set

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} + K^{n+1} \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \\ \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + K^{n+1} \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}, \\ \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} + K^{n+1} \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \\ p^{n+1} = \hat{p}^{n+1} + K^{n+1} \check{p}^{n+1}. \end{cases} \quad (2.44)$$

From (2.17), we get

$$q^{n+1} = \frac{4}{3}q^n - \frac{1}{3}q^{n-1} + \frac{2}{3\varepsilon^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t (3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}), \quad (2.45)$$

and (2.16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^{n+1} &= -\Delta \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + \left(\frac{4}{3}q^n - \frac{1}{3}q^{n-1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{3\varepsilon^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t (3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

Substitute it into (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right)} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} - \gamma \Delta \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + \gamma \left(\frac{4}{3}q^n - \frac{1}{3}q^{n-1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \\ & \quad + \frac{2\gamma}{3\varepsilon^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t (3\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

Plugging (2.44) in (2.47), (2.18)-(2.19), and collecting terms without K^{n+1} , we can obtain $(\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1})$, $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1})$, $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1})$, $(\hat{p}^{n+1}, \check{p}^{n+1})$ and $(\hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})$ separately and individually.

Step 1: Find $(\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} - \gamma \left(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{3} (4q^n - q^{n-1}) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \\ + \frac{2\gamma}{3\varepsilon^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \left(3\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

$$\frac{3\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} - \gamma \left(\Delta \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right) + \frac{2\gamma}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\left(\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} = 0. \quad (2.49)$$

Step 2: Find $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^n}{2\Delta t} + (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - \nu \Delta \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \\ + \nabla p^n = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} + (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla) \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}) \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \\ - \nu \Delta \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} = \lambda \left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \quad \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

Step 3: Find $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1})$ and $(\hat{p}^{n+1}, \check{p}^{n+1})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 3\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - 3\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + 2\Delta t \nabla (\hat{p}^{n+1} - p^n + \nu \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.52)$$

$$\begin{cases} 3\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - 3\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + 2\Delta t \nabla (\check{p}^{n+1} + \nu \nabla \cdot \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.53)$$

By taking the divergence operator on each of the above system (2.52) and (2.53), we obtain:

$$\Delta (\hat{p}^{n+1} - p^n) = \frac{3}{2\Delta t} \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - \nabla \cdot \nabla (\nu \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}), \quad (2.54)$$

$$\Delta \check{p}^{n+1} = \frac{3}{2\Delta t} \nabla \cdot \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - \nabla \cdot \nabla (\nu \nabla \cdot \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}). \quad (2.55)$$

We find that \hat{p}^{n+1} and \check{p}^{n+1} can be determined by solving a Poisson equation with homogeneous boundary conditions, and then $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$ and $\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$ can be updated by

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \nabla (\hat{p}^{n+1} - p^n) - \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \nabla (\nu \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}), \quad (2.56)$$

$$\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \nabla \check{p}^{n+1} - \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \nabla (\nu \nabla \cdot \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}). \quad (2.57)$$

With the decomposition of \mathbf{d}^{n+1} and (2.15), \mathbf{w}^{n+1} can be divided into

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} + K^{n+1} \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \quad (2.58)$$

Step 4: Find $(\hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{3\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{d}^n + \mathbf{d}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right), \quad (2.59)$$

$$\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{3\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right). \quad (2.60)$$

As $\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$, \hat{p}^{n+1} and \check{p}^{n+1} are known, we now derive the expression for K^{n+1} . From (2.43), we have

$$s^{n+1} = \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right) K^{n+1}. \quad (2.61)$$

Step 5: Plugging (2.61) into (2.21), and replacing $\mathbf{w}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} + K^{n+1}\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + K^{n+1}\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}$, then K^{n+1} can be explicitly determined by the following equation:

$$A^{n+1}K^{n+1} = B^{n+1}, \quad (2.62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n+1} = & \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta t} + \frac{1}{T} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{n+1}}{T}\right) - \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) \\ & + \left(\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B^{n+1} = & \left(\frac{2s^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{s^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T}\right) + \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) \\ & - \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

In the following, we show that the linear equation (2.62) is uniquely solvable. Taking the inner product of (2.60) with $\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$, we get

$$-\left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) = \gamma \|\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{3}{2\Delta t} (\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}).$$

Substituting (2.60) into (2.49), and taking the inner product with $\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, yields

$$(\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}) = \|\nabla \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \|\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\|^2.$$

Combining the above two equations, we obtain

$$-\left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) = \gamma \|\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{3}{2\Delta t} \|\nabla \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Delta t \varepsilon^2} \|\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Taking the inner product of (2.51) with $\frac{\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}}{\lambda}$, we have

$$\left(\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) = \frac{3}{2\lambda\Delta t} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \|\nabla \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}\|^2 \geq 0.$$

The non-negativity of the above two terms in the right hand side of (2.63) shows $A^{n+1} > 0$. Hence, $A^{n+1}K^{n+1} + B^{n+1} = 0$ contains a unique solution K^{n+1} .

3. PCSAV METHOD WITH EXPLICIT SCHEME FOR CONVECTION TERM

The nonlinear convection term $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ in (2.6) is discretized using a semi-implicit scheme, resulting in time-dependent coefficient matrices for linear equations of the fully discrete system. To make the numerical scheme more efficient, we can treat the convection term explicitly, which leads to a constant coefficient matrix for solving (2.6).

We first reformulate the system (2.9)-(2.14) to a equivalent system with the scalar auxiliary variable $s(t)$. Notice that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

system (2.9)-(2.14) is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Equation(2.9) - (2.11), Equation(2.13),} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{s(t)}{\exp(-\frac{t}{T})} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \lambda \frac{s(t)}{\exp(-\frac{t}{T})} (\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w}, \\ \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = -\frac{s}{T} + \frac{1}{\exp(-\frac{t}{T})} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{w} - ((\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\exp(-\frac{t}{T})} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

The PCSAV method with explicit time-stepping scheme for convection term (PCSAV-ECT) can be stated as follows.

Scheme 3.1. Given \mathbf{u}^{n-1} , \mathbf{u}^n , \mathbf{d}^{n-1} , \mathbf{d}^n , p^{n-1} , p^n , q^{n-1} , q^n , s^{n-1} , s^n , find \mathbf{u}^{n+1} , \mathbf{d}^{n+1} , p^{n+1} , q^{n+1} , and s^{n+1} satisfying equation (2.15)-(2.17), equation (2.19), equation (2.20), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T})} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} + \nabla p^n \\ & = \lambda \frac{s^{n+1}}{\exp(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T})} \left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \quad \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3s^{n+1} - 4s^n + s^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = -\frac{s^{n+1}}{T} + \frac{1}{\exp(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T})} \int_{\Omega} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{w}^{n+1} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\exp(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T})} \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\exp(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T})} \int_{\Omega} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} \, d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{d}^n - \mathbf{d}^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} = 2\mathbf{w}^n - \mathbf{w}^{n-1}$.

Following the proof procedure of the stability of Scheme 2.1 in Theorem 2.1, we can derive the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The Scheme 3.1 is unconditionally energy stable in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} E^* (\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{d}^{n+1}, q^{n+1}, p^{n+1}, r^{n+1}) + 2\Delta t \lambda M \|\mathbf{w}^{n+1}\|^2 + \nu \Delta t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 \\ + \nu \Delta t \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{2\Delta t}{T} |r^{n+1}|^2 \leq E^* (\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{d}^n, q^n, p^n, r^n), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E^* (\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{d}^n, q^n, p^n, r^n) = & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|2\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Delta t^2 \|\nabla H^n\|^2 + \nu^{-1} \Delta t \|g^n\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}^n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla (2\mathbf{d}^n - \mathbf{d}^{n-1})\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} \|q^n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{4} \|2q^n - q^{n-1}\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{2} |r^n|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |2r^n - r^{n-1}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the implementation of PCSAV algorithm, we apply the same techniques to Scheme 3.1 to obtain a fully decoupled system.

Plugging (2.44) in (2.15), (3.3) and (2.19), and collecting terms without K^{n+1} , we can obtain $\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$, \hat{p}^{n+1} and \check{p}^{n+1} as follows:

Step 1: Solve (2.48) and (2.49) to obtain $\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$, $\check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}$.

Step 2: Find $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1})$ such that

$$\frac{3\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} - 4\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^n}{2\Delta t} - \nu \Delta \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} + \nabla p^n = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{3\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} + (\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla) \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - \nu \Delta \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} = \lambda \left(\nabla \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1} \right)^t \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}, \quad \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Step 3: Solve (2.54) and (2.55) to get \hat{p}^{n+1} and \check{p}^{n+1} . Solve (2.56) and (2.57) to obtain $\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$ and $\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}$.

Step 4: Solve (2.59) and (2.60) to get $\hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$ and $\check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$.

Step 5: Taking (2.61) into (3.4), and replacing \mathbf{w}^{n+1} , \mathbf{u}_*^{n+1} with (2.44), one can get K^{n+1} by the following equation:

$$A^{n+1} K^{n+1} = B^{n+1}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n+1} = & \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta t} + \frac{1}{T} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{2t^{n+1}}{T} \right) - \left(\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) \\ & + \left(\check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \check{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \check{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \check{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B^{n+1} = & \left(\frac{2s^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{s^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{t^{n+1}}{T} \right) + \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) \\ & - \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{n+1}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_*^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

TABLE 1. Example 4.1, Cauchy difference of numerical solutions calculated by the PCSAV Scheme 2.1.

l	$\ \nabla \mathbf{d}_{l+1}^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{d}_l^{n+1}\ $	rate	$\ \nabla \mathbf{u}_{l+1}^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{u}_l^{n+1}\ $	rate	$\ p_{l+1}^{n+1} - p_l^{n+1}\ $	rate
1	0.4101742873	—	2.605968227	—	0.7493919279	—
2	0.09741569476	2.07	0.7753515196	1.75	0.1956413002	1.94
3	0.0252728176	1.95	0.1558463765	2.31	0.04916387037	1.99
4	0.007113443873	1.83	0.03622871863	2.10	0.01250658592	1.97

TABLE 2. Example 4.1, Cauchy difference of numerical solutions calculated by the PCSAV-ECT Scheme 3.1.

l	$\ \nabla \mathbf{d}_{l+1}^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{d}_l^{n+1}\ $	rate	$\ \nabla \mathbf{u}_{l+1}^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{u}_l^{n+1}\ $	rate	$\ p_{l+1}^{n+1} - p_l^{n+1}\ $	rate
1	0.4100242856	—	2.604799826	—	0.7503541341	—
2	0.09741748976	2.07	0.7773817597	1.74	0.1938130059	1.95
3	0.02527214305	1.95	0.1559092248	2.32	0.04793739548	2.02
4	0.007112586554	1.83	0.03625536154	2.10	0.01238469067	1.95

4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we carry out several numerical tests to show the accuracy and stability of the proposed PCSAV Scheme 2.1 and PCSAV-ECT Scheme 3.1. For the spatial discretization, we use the Taylor-Hood element pair $P^2 - P^1$ to solve the system, i.e., the director field \mathbf{d} and velocity \mathbf{u} are approximated with continuous P^2 finite element and the pressure p is approximated with continuous P^1 finite element. Furthermore, we compare the CPU time between PCSAV and PCSAV-ECT scheme to verify the superior computational efficiency of PCSAV-ECT scheme, as illustrated in Example 4.1.

Example 4.1. *In this example, we apply the PCSAV Scheme 2.1 and PCSAV-ECT Scheme 3.1 for numerically solving the modified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.5)-(1.7) with $\Omega = [-1, 1]^2$, $\nu = 0.1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.2$, and the initial condition:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}_0 = (\sin(a), \cos(a))^t, & \text{where } a := 2.0\pi(\cos(x) - \sin(y)) \\ \mathbf{u}_0 = (0, 0)^t, \\ p_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We first verify the convergence rate of the numerical schemes by approximating the smooth solutions of (2.10)-(2.14) under the same initial conditions in [1]. We run the numerical tests on four successively refined meshes with mesh size $h = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{5 \times 2^{l-1}}$, $l = 1, \dots, 4$. We also take a constant ratio of the time step and mesh size so that $\Delta t = \frac{0.005}{2\sqrt{2}}h$. Then we calculate the Cauchy difference of the solutions at two successive levels, namely $v_{l+1}^{n+1} - v_l^{n+1}$ at $t^{n+1} = (n+1)\Delta t = 0.1$, where $v = \mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$, $l = 1, 2, 3$. The Cauchy error results computed by the PCSAV scheme are listed in Table 1. The results demonstrate that the PCSAV scheme exhibits second-order convergence in time. For the PCSAV-ECT scheme, the Cauchy error result listed in Table 2, also showing second order in time convergent.




FIGURE 1. Example 4.1, images of the director field \mathbf{d} (first row) and $|\mathbf{d}|$ (second row) at $t = 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$ computed by PCSAV scheme.

In order to verify that the computational efficiency of PCSAV-ECT scheme over PCSAV, we report the CPU time for simulating Example 4.1 in Table 3. We can see that the PCSAV-ECT scheme has an improvement in computational efficiency compared to the PCSAV scheme, as the CPU time can be reduced to 68%.

TABLE 3. The CPU time spent on simulation Example 4.1. The CPU time is also quantified as a percentage relative to the PCSAV scheme.

Scheme	CPU time for sec. 4.1	PCT
PCSAV	6056.58s	100.0%
PCSAV-ECT	4150.19s	68%

We perform the numerical simulations by PCSAV and PCSAV-ECT schemes with a time step size of $\Delta t = 0.00025$. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show the evolution of the director field \mathbf{d} and velocity \mathbf{u} by PCSAV scheme, respectively. Similarly, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 depict the profile state of the director field \mathbf{d} and velocity field \mathbf{u} at different times obtained by PCSAV-ECT scheme. Notably, the results of the PCSAV-ECT scheme are in perfect agreement with those of the PCSAV scheme, confirming their equivalence. Therefore, in the following numerical experiments, we only present the results of the PCSAV-ECT scheme.

To verify that the PCSAV-ECT and PCSAV schemes maintain energy stability, we plot the evolution chart of kinetic energy W_{kin} , elastic energy W_{ela} , penalty energy W_{pen} , and the modified total energy W^* with various $\varepsilon = 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.025$, as shown in Fig. 5. We observe that an increase in parameter ε leads to a more significant penalty energy W_{pen} , but a corresponding decrease in kinetic energy W_{kin} . In particular, Fig. 5(d) shows the monotonically decreasing evolution of the modified total energy, illustrating the stability of the PCSAV-ECT scheme.

Example 4.2. *In this example, we apply the PCSAV Scheme 2.1 and PCSAV-ECT Scheme 3.1 for numerically solving the modified Ericksen-Leslie model (1.5)-(1.7) with $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$,*




FIGURE 2. Example 4.1, images of the velocity \mathbf{u} (first row) and $|\mathbf{u}|$ (second row) at $t = 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$ computed by PCSAV scheme.




FIGURE 3. Example 4.1, images of the director field \mathbf{d} (first row) and $|\mathbf{d}|$ (second row) at $t = 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$ computed by PCSAV-ECT scheme.

$\nu = 1, \lambda = 0.01, \gamma = 1, \varepsilon = 0.05$, and the initial condition [5]:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}^0 = \tilde{\mathbf{d}} / \sqrt{|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}|^2 + 0.05^2}, & \text{where } \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = (x^2 + y^2 - 0.5^2, y), \\ \mathbf{u}^0 = (0, 0)^t, \\ p^0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This numerical example simulates the evolution of the liquid crystal flows with singularities, which is an interesting physical phenomenon in liquid crystal modeling. We use the PCSAV-ECT scheme to solve the modified Ericksen-Leslie model with a time step size of $\Delta t = 0.0005$. In Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, we show the evolution of two defects at times $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.4$ and 0.5 . The snapshots of the velocity field \mathbf{u} and its magnitudes at times $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.4$ and 0.5 are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. At time $t = 0.01$, there are two defects at $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, which cause the




FIGURE 4. Example 4.1, images of the velocity \mathbf{u} (first row) and $|\mathbf{u}|$ (second row) at $t = 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$ computed by PCSAV-ECT scheme.




FIGURE 5. Example 4.1, kinetic energy, elastic energy, penalty energy and modified energy computed by the PCSAV-ECT scheme.

velocity field to form four symmetric vortices. As time passes, the two singularities are gradually carried back to the origin and finally annihilate each other simultaneously.




FIGURE 6. Example 4.2, snapshots of the director field \mathbf{d} by the PCSAV-ECT scheme at $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.33, 0.4, 0.5$.




FIGURE 7. Example 4.2, snapshots of $|\mathbf{d}|$ by the PCSAV-ECT scheme at $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.33, 0.4, 0.5$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Yi's research was partially supported by China's National Key R&D Programs (2020YFA0713500), NSFC Project (12431014), Project of Scientific Research Fund of the Hunan Provincial Science




FIGURE 8. Example 4.2, snapshots of the velocity \mathbf{u} by the PCSAV-ECT scheme at $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.33, 0.4, 0.5$.




FIGURE 9. Example 4.2, snapshots of $|\mathbf{u}|$ by the PCSAV-ECT scheme at $t = 0.01, 0.2, 0.32, 0.33, 0.4, 0.5$.

and Technology Department (2024ZL5017), Postgraduate Scientific Research Innovation Project of Hunan Province (CX20240056), and Program for Science and Technology Innovative Research Team in Higher Educational Institutions of Hunan Province of China.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BECKER, X. FENG, A. PROHL, *Finite element approximations of the Ericksen-Leslie model for nematic liquid crystal flow*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 46(2008), 1704-1731.
- [2] Q. DU, B. GUO AND J. SHEN, *Fourier spectral approximation to a dissipative system modeling the flow of liquid crystals*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 39(2001), 735-762.
- [3] J. L. ERICKSEN, *Conservation laws for liquid crystals*, Trans. Soc. Rheol., 5(1961), 23-34.
- [4] J. L. ERICKSEN, *Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystals*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 9(1962), 371-378.
- [5] F. GUILLÉN-GONZÁLEZ AND J. V. GUTIÉRREZ-SANTACREU, *A linear mixed finite element scheme for a nematic Ericksen-Leslie liquid crystal model*, ESIAM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 47(2013), 1433-1464.
- [6] J. L. GUERMOND AND J. SHEN, *On the error estimates for the rotational pressure correction projection methods*, Math. Comp., 73(2004), 1719-1737.
- [7] V. GIRAULT, F. GUILLÉN-GONZÁLEZ, *Mixed formulation, approximation and decoupling algorithm for a penalized nematic liquid crystals model*, Math. Comput., 80(2011), 781-819.
- [8] N. JIANG AND H. YANG, *SAV decoupled ensemble algorithms for fast computation of Stokes-Darcy flow ensembles*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 387 (2021), 114150.
- [9] F. M. LESLIE, *Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 28(1968), 265-283.
- [10] F. M. LESLIE, *Theory of flow phenomena in liquid crystals*, Adv. Liq. Cryst., 4(1979), 1-81.
- [11] F. LIN, *Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystals phase transition and flow phenomena*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 42(1989), 789-814.
- [12] F. LIN AND C. LIU, *Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 48(1995), 501-537.
- [13] C. LIU AND N. J. WALKINGTON, *Approximation of liquid crystal flows*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 37(2000), 725-741.
- [14] P. LIN AND C. LIU, *Simulations of singularity dynamics in liquid crystal flows: A C^0 finite element approach*, J. Comput. Phys., 215(2006), 348-362.
- [15] C. LIU, J. SHEN, X. YANG, *Dynamics of defect motion in nematic liquid crystal flow: Modeling and numerical simulation*, Commun. Comput. Phys., 2(2007), 1184-1198.
- [16] J. SHEN, AND X. LI, *On fully decoupled MSAV schemes for the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model of two-phase incompressible flows*, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. S., 32(2022), 457-495.
- [17] J. ZHAO, Q. WANG, *Semi-discrete energy-stable schemes for a tensor-based hydrodynamic model of nematic liquid crystal flows*, J. Sci. Comput., 68(2016), 1241-1266.
- [18] J. ZHAO, X. YANG, J. SHEN, Q. WANG, *A decoupled energy stable scheme for a hydrodynamic phase-field model of mixtures of nematic liquid crystals and viscous fluids*, J. Comput. Phys., 305(2016), 539-556.
- [19] J. ZHAO, X. YANG, J. LI, Q. WANG, *Energy stable numerical schemes for a hydrodynamic model of nematic liquid crystals*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38(2016), A3264-A3290.

† SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, XIANGTAN UNIVERSITY, XIANGTAN 411105, P.R.CHINA

Email address: ruonan9cao@163.com

‡ HUNAN KEY LABORATORY FOR COMPUTATION AND SIMULATION IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING; SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, XIANGTAN UNIVERSITY, XIANGTAN 411105, P.R.CHINA

Email address: yinianyu@xtu.edu.cn