

MINIMAL ENERGY LOCAL SYSTEMS ON CURVES

CHARLIE WU

ABSTRACT. Let $\Sigma_{g,d}$ an orientable topological surface of genus g with d punctures. When $g = 0$, Deroin and Tholozan studied the class of *supra-maximal* representations $\pi_1(\Sigma_{0,d}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and they showed that the supra-maximal representations form a compact component of a real relative character variety. We study a collection of rank n local systems on $\Sigma_{g,d}$ which we call *of minimal energy*. These are generalizations of supra-maximal representations, and underly polarizable complex variations of Hodge structure for any choice of complex structure on $\Sigma_{g,d}$. Like the supra-maximal representations, the minimal energy local systems form a compact connected component of a real relative character variety.

We show that when the local monodromy data around the punctures is chosen to be unitary and generic, and the relative character variety is nonempty, these minimal energy local systems always exist. When $g > 0$ we show that the minimal energy local systems come from unitary representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d})$. If $g = 0$ we show that they do not always come from unitary representation, and we study their structure in general.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Parabolic Bundles	4
3. Variations of Hodge Structure	7
4. Minimal Energy	10
5. Genus $g = 0$	19
6. Consequences of Theorem 1.3	27
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Sigma_{g,d}$ be an orientable topological surface of genus g and d punctures. Let $C = (C_1, \dots, C_d)$ be a d -tuple of conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We let $\chi(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ be the relative character variety of $\Sigma_{g,d}$. This is the space of semi-simple rank n representations of the fundamental group of $\Sigma_{g,d}$ such that the homotopy class of a

Date: March 26, 2025.

simple closed loop γ_i around some puncture x_i lies in C_i up to conjugation of the representation by $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

More precisely, we let the (relative) representation variety $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ be given by

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{2g} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^d C_i$$

where $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ is cut out by the relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2g} A_i = \prod_{i=1}^d B_i$$

for $A_i \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $B_i \in C_i$. Since the C_i are algebraic subvarieties of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ inherits the structure of an algebraic variety. Then, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ by simultaneous conjugation of the A_i 's and B_i 's, and we define $\chi(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C)$ to be $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,d}), C) // \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where the quotient is a GIT quotient.

1.1. Main results. We study a collection of local systems in $\chi(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}), C)$ which we call of *minimal energy*. These local systems are defined in Definition 4.2, and they come from polarizable complex variations of Hodge structure (\mathbb{C} -VHS) satisfying a Hodge-theoretic vanishing condition. This definition a priori depends on a choice of complex structure of $\Sigma_{g,n}$, but we show in Proposition 4.17 that this ends up being an auxiliary choice which does not matter.

Therefore, we give $\Sigma_{g,n}$ a complex structure and work with smooth algebraic curves. Let X be a smooth proper curve of genus g over the complex numbers and $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_d$ a reduced effective divisor on X so that topologically, $\Sigma_{g,n}$ is homeomorphic to $X \setminus D$. Suppose that the conjugacy classes $C_1, \dots, C_d \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ contain a unitary matrix, and as before we let $C = (C_1, \dots, C_d)$.

The following results will be proven in Section 4, Section 5, and Section 6.

When $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$ is nonempty and smooth, we show that there is always a minimal energy local system in $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$. Smoothness of $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$ is guaranteed provided that C satisfies some genericity conditions (Definition 3.6).

Theorem 1.2. *If $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$ is nonempty and smooth, then there is a minimal energy local system in $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$.*

The methods of proving Theorem 1.2 will allow us conclude in Proposition 4.17 that the minimal energy local systems form almost all of the \mathbb{C} -VHS lying in $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$.

If X has positive genus and our local monodromy data is generic, a minimal energy local system must come from a \mathbb{C} -VHS with a one-step Hodge filtration. That is, these minimal energy local systems come from unitary representations. This is proven

in Proposition 4.18. If the genus of X is zero, then the minimal energy local systems do not have to come from a \mathbb{C} -VHS with a one-step Hodge filtration. In Example 6.2, for any rank r we find an example of a minimal energy local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$ whose associated \mathbb{C} -VHS has a two-step Hodge filtration. However, we show that when the number of punctures d is very large compared to r , this is the largest number of pieces that can appear in the Hodge filtration of a \mathbb{C} -VHS associated to a minimal energy local system.

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathbb{V} be an irreducible minimal energy local system of rank n on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$ with generic unitary local monodromy. If*

$$\deg D \geq 4n^2 - 28$$

then \mathbb{V} comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS with at most two steps in its Hodge filtration.

1.4. History and motivation. In [BG99], Robert Benedetto and William Goldman studied $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations on $X \setminus D = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{4 \text{ points}\}$. They observed that there is a compact component of a real relative character variety $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), \mathbb{C})$. Benedetto and Goldman first found this component by plotting the character variety on a computer.

Bertrand Deroin and Nicolas Tholozan, in [DT19], then studied $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus D)$ when the genus of X is zero and that the number of punctures d is at least three. They generalized the work of Benedetto-Goldman by showing that a class of representations, which they call *supra-maximal*, form a compact component of a real relative character variety. The minimal energy local systems are generalizations of the supra-maximal representations (see [LLL23, Remark 4.3.4]), and they exist in any rank. One key feature of these minimal energy local systems is that, like the supra-maximal representations, they also form a compact component of a real relative character variety (see Proposition 4.19).

1.5. Structure of the paper. Our methods heavily rely on Non-Abelian Hodge Theory, which gives us a correspondence between local systems on $X \setminus D$ and parabolic Higgs bundles on X (with parabolic divisor D). In Section 2 and Section 3 we review the notions of parabolic bundles and \mathbb{C} -VHS. In particular, we discuss how to take a \mathbb{C} -VHS on $X \setminus D$ and produce a parabolic Higgs bundle.

Experts can likely skip to Section 4, where we introduce the notion of minimal energy and prove Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 4.18. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.3, and in Section 6 we give Example 6.2 and give some applications of minimal energy local systems to Gromov-Witten theory.

1.6. Acknowledgements. I thank my advisor Daniel Litt. He suggested that I study these minimal energy local systems, and this project would not be possible without his encouragement and the many extremely helpful conversations I have

had with him. I would also like to thank Simon Xu for the discussions I have had with him and for entertaining my many questions about Hodge theory.

2. PARABOLIC BUNDLES

We review the notions of parabolic bundles and parabolic sheaves. We use the notation in [LL24, Section 2]. Parabolic bundles are, roughly speaking, bundles carrying extra structure that keeps track of local unitary monodromy data.

Definition 2.1. Let E be a vector bundle on a smooth curve C , and let $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_d$ be a reduced effective divisor on C . A parabolic bundle $(E, \{E_j^i\}, \{\alpha_j^i\})$ on (C, D) is the data

- (1) a strictly decreasing filtration $E_{x_j} = E_j^1 \supset E_j^2 \supset \cdots \supset E_j^{n_j+1} = 0$,
- (2) a sequence of real numbers $0 \leq \alpha_j^1 < \alpha_j^2 < \cdots < \alpha_j^{n_j} < 1$

for all x_j appearing in D . We write E_* to mean $(E, \{E_j^i\}, \{\alpha_j^i\})$ and we call D the parabolic divisor of E_* . For a fixed x_j , we call $\{E_j^i\}$ the flag over x_j and $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ the weights over x_j . We call α_j^i the weight associated to E_j^i .

Given a parabolic bundle E_* , we get induced parabolic structures on subquotients of E_* .

Definition 2.2. Let E_* be a parabolic bundle on C with parabolic divisor D , and let $F \subseteq E$ be a subbundle of E . The vector bundles F and E/F carry an induced parabolic structure with parabolic divisor D follows:

- (1) the flag of F_{x_j} over x_j is given by

$$F_{x_j} = E_j^1 \cap F_{x_j} \supseteq E_j^2 \cap F_{x_j} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_j^{n_j+1} \cap F_{x_j} = 0$$

after removing redundancies, and the weight associated to F_j^i is given by $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_j} \{\alpha_j^k : F_j^i = E_j^k \cap F_{x_j}\}$.

- (2) the flag of $(E/F)_{x_j}$ is given by

$$(E/F)_{x_j} = (E_j^1 + F_{x_j})/F_{x_j} \supseteq (E_j^2 + F_{x_j})/F_{x_j} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq (E_j^{n_j} + F_{x_j})/F_{x_j} = 0$$

after removing redundancies, and the weight associated to $(E/F)_{x_j}^i$ is given by $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_j} \{\alpha_j^k : (E/F)_{x_j}^i = (E_j^k + F_{x_j})/F_{x_j}\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on C with parabolic divisor $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_d$. The parabolic degree is the quantity

$$\text{par-deg } E_* := \deg(E) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \alpha_j^i \cdot \dim(E_j^i/E_j^{i+1})$$

and we call $\mu_*(E_*) := \text{par-deg } E_*/\text{rank } E$ to be the parabolic slope of E_* .

Parabolic degree satisfies properties similar to those satisfied by the usual notion of degree, and there is a corresponding notion of parabolic stability.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 \rightarrow F_* \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow Q_* \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of parabolic bundles. Then $\text{par-deg}(E_*) = \text{par-deg}(F_*) + \text{par-deg}(Q_*)$. A parabolic bundle E_* is semi-stable (resp. stable) if and only if for every quotient bundle Q_* of E_* , $\mu_*(E_*) \leq \mu_*(Q_*)$ (resp. $\mu_*(E_*) < \mu_*(Q_*)$).*

Proof. See [LL24, Lemma 2.4.5]. □

To define the notion of parabolic tensor product and homomorphisms between parabolic bundles, we will need the notion of a parabolic sheaf. To that end, let \mathbb{R} be the category consisting of real numbers with a single morphism $i^{a,b} : a \rightarrow b$ if $a \leq b$.

Definition 2.5. An \mathbb{R} -filtered \mathcal{O}_X -module is a functor $E : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ where $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is the category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. We write E_α for $E(\alpha)$ and we write E_* for the functor E . Write $i_E^{a,b} = E(i^{a,b})$.

Set $E[\alpha]_*$ to be the functor given by $E[\alpha]_\beta = E_{\alpha+\beta}$, and $i_{E[\alpha]}^{a,b} = i_E^{a+\alpha, b+\alpha}$. We write $i_E^{[\alpha, \beta]} : E[\alpha]_* \rightarrow E[\beta]_*$ to be the natural transformation so that $i_E^{[\alpha, \beta]}(\gamma) = i_E^{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}$. If $f : E_* \rightarrow F_*$ is a natural transformation of \mathbb{R} -filtered \mathcal{O}_X -modules, we write $f[\alpha] : E[\alpha]_* \rightarrow F[\alpha]_*$ to be the induced map.

Definition 2.6. A parabolic sheaf E_* with divisor D is an \mathbb{R} -filtered \mathcal{O}_X -module with an isomorphism $j_E : E_*(-D) \rightarrow E_*[1]$ such that $i_E^{[0,1]} \circ j_E = \text{id}_{E_*} \otimes i_D$ where $i_D : \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is the inclusion.

A natural transformation $f : E_* \rightarrow F_*$ is a parabolic morphism if $f[1] \circ j_E = (f \otimes \text{id}) \circ j_F$. Let $\text{Hom}(E_*, F_*)$ be the set of parabolic morphisms and $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)$ to be the sheaf of parabolic morphisms defined by $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)(U) = \text{Hom}(E_*|_U, F_*|_U)$. The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)$ has a parabolic structure given by $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_\alpha = \mathcal{H}om(E_*, F[\alpha]_*)$ with morphism $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_\beta$ induced by $F[\alpha]_* \rightarrow F[\beta]_*$.

The notion of parabolic vector bundle and parabolic sheaf are related.

Example 2.7. Let $(E, \{E_j^i\}, \{\alpha_j^i\})$ be a parabolic vector bundle with parabolic divisor D . For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, write $\beta(\alpha, j) = \min\{j : \alpha_j^i \geq \alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \leq \max\{\alpha_j^i\}$ and $\beta(\alpha, j) = n_j + 1$ for $\max\{\alpha_j^i\} < \alpha < 1$. Then set

$$E_\alpha = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \ker(E \rightarrow E_{x_j} / E_j^{\beta(\alpha, j)}).$$

We extend outside $[0, 1)$ by $E_{x+n} = E_x(-nD)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with morphisms $i^{\alpha, \beta} : E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta$ to be their natural inclusions.

Definition 2.8. Let E_* and F_* be two parabolic sheaves with the same parabolic divisor D , and let $j : C \setminus D \hookrightarrow C$ the natural inclusion. Suppose that E_α and F_α are both locally free for all α . Then, we define the parabolic tensor product $E_* \otimes F_*$ to be the parabolic sheaf such that $(E_* \otimes F_*)_\alpha$ is generated by all $E_a \otimes E_b$ where $a + b = \alpha$ when viewed as a subbundle of $j_* j^*(E \otimes F)$, and morphisms $i_{E_* \otimes F_*}^{\alpha, \beta}$ to be the natural inclusion map.

A parabolic sheaf E_* is constant along intervals $[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$. To each parabolic sheaf, we can associate a parabolic sheaf \widehat{E}_* which is constant along $(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$. (See [BY96, Figure 1]).

Definition 2.9. Let E_* be a parabolic sheaf. We define \widehat{E}_* by the rule

$$\widehat{E}_x = \begin{cases} E_x & x \neq \alpha_i \\ E_{\alpha_{i+1}} & x = \alpha_i, \end{cases}$$

and we call \widehat{E}_* the coparabolic sheaf associated to E_* . If E_* is a parabolic bundle, then we call \widehat{E}_* the coparabolic bundle associated to E_* .

Proposition 2.10. *If we write F_*^\vee to mean $\mathcal{H}om(F_*, \mathcal{O}_X)_*$ (where \mathcal{O}_X has the trivial parabolic structure), then $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_* \cong (E_*^\vee \otimes F_*)_*$.*

Proof. See [Yok95, Lemma 3.6]. □

If E_* and F_* are rank 1 parabolic bundles, then $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*) \cong E^\vee \otimes F(-D')$ where $D' \subseteq D$ is the divisor consisting of points x_j where the weight of E_* is greater than the weight of F_* . Similarly, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}om}(E_*, F_*) \cong E^\vee \otimes F(-D'')$ where D'' is the divisor of points where the weight of E_* is greater than or equal to the weight of F_* .

Definition 2.11. A parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) on (C, D) is a parabolic bundle E_* on (C, D) along with an $\text{End}(E_*)$ -valued logarithmic 1-form $\theta \in H^0(X, \widehat{\text{End}(E_*)} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D))$. The map θ is called a Higgs field.

There are notions of stability and semi-stability for parabolic Higgs bundles.

Definition 2.12. If (E_*, θ) is a Higgs bundle with a parabolic structure, then we say that (E_*, θ) is a parabolic Higgs bundle is stable (resp. semi-stable) if all sub-Higgs bundles $(F_*, \theta|_F)$ satisfy $\mu_*(F_*) < \mu_*(E_*)$ (resp. $\mu_*(F_*) \leq \mu_*(E_*)$).

Lemma 2.13. *If F_* and G_* two parabolic bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 , then*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{par-deg } \mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_* = \text{rank } E \cdot \text{par-deg } F_* - \text{rank } F \cdot \text{par-deg } E_*.$$

Proof. See [Bis03, Section 2] for a discussion on computing $\text{par-deg}(E_* \otimes F_*)_*$ for E_* and F_* parabolic bundles. Then to get the statement for $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_*$ we note that $\mathcal{H}om(E_*, F_*)_* = ((E_*)^\vee \otimes F_*)_*$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle with parabolic divisor D . Then*

$$\deg E \leq \text{par-deg } E_* < \deg E + \text{rank } E \cdot \deg D.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.3

$$\text{par-deg } E_* = \deg E + \sum_{x_j \in D} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \alpha_i^j \cdot \dim(E_j^i/E_j^{i+1}).$$

Since our parabolic weights α_i^j lie in $[0, 1)$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{x_j \in D} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \alpha_i^j \cdot \dim(E_j^i/E_j^{i+1}) < \sum_{x_j \in D} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \dim(E_j^i/E_j^{i+1}) = \sum_{x_j \in D} \dim(E_{x_j}).$$

But E is a vector bundle so the fibers have dimension equal to $\text{rank } E$. Therefore

$$\deg V \leq \text{par-deg } V_* < \deg V + \sum_{x_j \in D} \dim(E_{x_j}) = \deg V + \text{rank } E \cdot \deg D.$$

□

We seek to study parabolic Higgs bundles on (\overline{X}, D) because they correspond to local systems on $\overline{X} \setminus D$. This correspondence is work of Simpson in [Sim90], and we include it as Proposition 2.15 for convenience. We will refer to Proposition 2.15 below as the Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$ where \overline{X} is a smooth proper curve and D is a reduced effective divisor. There is a one-to-one correspondence between parabolic semi-stable Higgs bundles of parabolic degree 0 on \overline{X} with parabolic divisor D and the local systems on X .*

Proof. See [Sim90, Theorem on page 718].

□

We will describe which Higgs bundles correspond to local systems underlying a complex variation of Hodge structure in Section 3.

3. VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURE

We give background on variations of Hodge structure and their associated Higgs bundles in this section. We primarily use the notation of [LL24, Section 4].

Definition 3.1. Let X be a smooth irreducible variety over \mathbb{C} . A complex variation of Hodge struture (\mathbb{C} -VHS) is a triple $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$ where V is a complex C^∞ -bundle on X , $\oplus V^{p,q} = V$ is a direct sum decomposition, and D is a flat connection satisfying

$$D(V^{p,q}) \subseteq A^{1,0}(V^{p,q}) \oplus A^{0,1}(V^{p,q}) \oplus A^{1,0}(V^{p-1,q+1}) \oplus A^{0,1}(V^{p+1,q-1}).$$

A polarization on $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$ is a flat Hermitian form ψ such that the $V^{p,q}$ are orthogonal to each other under ψ , and that on each $V^{p,q}$ the form $(-1)^p \psi$ is positive-definite. A polarizable \mathbb{C} -VHS is a \mathbb{C} -VHS which admits a polarization ψ .

Given a \mathbb{C} -VHS $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$ we call the holomorphic flat bundle $(E, \nabla) := (\ker(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}, \text{id} \otimes d)$ the holomorphic flat bundle associated to the \mathbb{C} -VHS. We get a filtration $F^p E$ on E induced by the filtration $F^p V = \bigoplus_{j \geq p} V^{j,q}$ on V , known as the Hodge filtration. The filtration satisfies Griffiths transversality:

$$\nabla(F^p) \subseteq F^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D).$$

If \mathbb{V} is a local system isomorphic to $\ker(D)$ for some polarizable \mathbb{C} -VHS $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$, then we say that \mathbb{V} underlies a polarizable \mathbb{C} -VHS.

Definition 3.2. Let $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$ with D a simple normal crossings divisor, and (E, ∇) a flat holomorphic vector bundle on X . The Deligne canonical extension of (E, ∇) is the unique logarithmic flat bundle $(\overline{E}, \overline{\nabla})$ on \overline{X} with regular singularities along D , along with an isomorphism $(\overline{E}, \overline{\nabla})|_X \cong (E, \nabla)$, and such that the eigenvalues of the residues of $\overline{\nabla}$ along D have real part in $[0, 1)$.

The existence of the Deligne canonical extension is a theorem of Deligne [Del70, Remarques 5.5(i)].

Definition 3.3. Let $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$ be a curve where \overline{X} is some smooth proper curve and D is a reduced effective divisor. Let (E, ∇) be a flat holomorphic vector bundle of rank n on X with regular singularities along D . Suppose at some x_j , the eigenvalues of the residue matrix $\text{Res}(\nabla)(x_j)$ are given by $\eta_j^1, \dots, \eta_j^n$. Then, the real parts $\text{Re}(\eta_j^i)$ lie in $[0, 1)$. We write $\alpha_j^i = \text{Re}(\eta_j^i)$ and order the α_j^i in increasing order and remove repetitions so

$$0 \leq \alpha_j^1 < \dots < \alpha_j^{n_j} < 1.$$

Let $E_j^i \subseteq E_{x_j}$ be the sum of all of the generalized eigenspaces of $\text{Res}(\nabla)(x_j)$ such that the real part of the associated eigenvalues are at least α_j^i . Then, $E = (E, \{E_j^i\}, \{\alpha_j^i\})$ is the parabolic bundle associated to the connection ∇ .

Definition 3.4. Let \overline{X} be a smooth projective curve, Z a reduced effective divisor, and $X = \overline{X} \setminus Z$. Let $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$ be a \mathbb{C} -VHS on X and (E, ∇) its associated holomorphic flat bundle with Hodge filtration F^\bullet . Let $(\overline{E}_*, \overline{\nabla})$ be its associated parabolic bundle on X in the sense of Definition 3.2 and Definition 3.3. Then by [Bru17, Section 7], there is a canonical extension of the Hodge filtration F^\bullet to $(\overline{E}, \overline{\nabla})$. Let \overline{F}^\bullet be this extension.

We call $(\bigoplus_p \text{Gr}_{\overline{F}^\bullet}^p \overline{E}_*, \bigoplus \text{Gr}_{\overline{F}^\bullet}^p \overline{\nabla})$ the parabolic Higgs bundle associated to the variation of Hodge structure. The \mathcal{O}_X -linearity of the map $\bigoplus \text{Gr}_{\overline{F}^\bullet}^p \overline{\nabla}$ is due to Griffiths transversality of $\overline{\nabla}$ and \overline{F}^\bullet .

Proposition 3.5. *Let $X = \overline{X} \setminus Z$ where \overline{X} is a smooth proper curve and Z is a reduced effective divisor. Let $(V, V^{p,q}, D)$ be a complex variation of Hodge structure on X , (E, F^\bullet, ∇) its associated holomorphic flat bundle with Hodge filtration F^\bullet , and $(\oplus E_*^p, \oplus \theta_p) := (\oplus_p \text{Gr}_{F^\bullet}^p \overline{E}_*, \oplus \text{Gr}_{F^\bullet}^p \overline{\nabla})$ be its associated parabolic Higgs bundle defined in Definition 3.4. Then,*

- (1) $\oplus E_*^p$ is of parabolic degree zero,
- (2) $(\oplus E_*^p, \oplus \theta_p)$ is parabolic semi-stable as a Higgs bundle,
- (3) if the local system $\ker(\nabla)$ is irreducible, then $(\oplus E_*^p, \oplus \theta_p)$ is parabolic stable as a Higgs bundle.

Proof. This is due to Simpson [Sim90, Theorem 5]. □

Proposition 3.5 produces a parabolic Higgs bundle from a \mathbb{C} -VHS. The parabolic Higgs bundle is the same as the one given by Simpson's Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem (Proposition 2.15).

Definition 3.6. Let X be a smooth projective curve and $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_d$ be a reduced effective divisor on X . We fix a positive integer n . Let $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ be a collection of parabolic weights.

- (1) We say that $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ is smooth with respect to (X, D, n) if every semi-stable parabolic Higgs bundle of rank n on (X, D) of parabolic degree 0 with the weights $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ is actually stable.
- (2) We say that the collection of weights $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ is distinct with respect to (X, D, n) if for all j , $n_j = n$ where n_j is the number of weights over x_j .
- (3) We say that the weights $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ are generic with respect to (X, D, n) if (1) and (2) are both satisfied.

Let \mathbb{V} be a local system on $X \setminus D$ with unitary local monodromy around the punctures. We say the local monodromy of \mathbb{V} is generic if the collection of associated weights of the associated parabolic Higgs bundle is generic (via the Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem).

Example 3.7. Let (X, D, n) be fixed. Let $\{\alpha_j^i\} \subseteq [0, 1)$ be a set of size $\deg D \cdot n$ so that no proper subset of the $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ has elements which sum to an integer. Then, $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ is generic with respect to (X, D, n) in the sense of Definition 3.4 (3). To check this, we take any parabolic semi-stable Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) of parabolic degree 0 and observe that any sub-Higgs bundle $(F_*, \theta|_F)$ of (E_*, θ) satisfies

$$\frac{\text{par-deg } F_*}{\text{rank } F} = \mu_*(F_*) \leq \mu_*(E_*) = \frac{\text{par-deg } E_*}{\text{rank } E} = 0.$$

Then since

$$(3.1) \quad \text{par-deg } F_* = \text{deg } F + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{deg } D} \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank } F} \alpha_j^{i_k}$$

where $\{\alpha_j^{i_k}\}$ is the collection of weights of F_* . We note that

$$\text{deg } F + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{deg } D} \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank } F} \alpha_j^{i_k} < 0$$

because by assumption, the expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\text{deg } D} \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank } F} \alpha_j^{i_k}$$

is not an integer and $\text{deg } F$ is always an integer.

Let X be a curve. Given a parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) on (X, D) , the i -th Higgs cohomology group of (E, θ) is defined to be

$$\mathbb{H}^i \left(E \xrightarrow{\theta} E \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \right).$$

If (E_*, θ) underlies a \mathbb{C} -VHS of weight w , $E = \bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p,q}$ then the Higgs cohomology has a Hodge structure of weight $w + i$ with (p, q) part given by

$$\mathbb{H}^{p,q} \left(E \xrightarrow{\theta} E \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \right) := \mathbb{H}^i \left(E^{p,q-i} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^{p-1,q+i-1} \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \right).$$

This means that

$$\mathbb{H}^i \left(E \xrightarrow{\theta} E \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \right) = \bigoplus_{p+q=w+i} \mathbb{H}^i \left(E^{p,q-i} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^{p-1,q+i-1} \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \right).$$

Definition 3.8. Let (E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle underlying a \mathbb{C} -VHS on a curve X with parabolic divisor D . We say $\mathbb{H}^1(E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)} \rightarrow \dots)$ is of Hodge length ℓ if $\mathbb{H}^{k,1-k}(E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)}) = 0$ for all $k < -\ell + 1$ and $k > \ell$.

4. MINIMAL ENERGY

Let X be a smooth proper curve. As before, we let $M = M(X, D, n, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ be the coarse moduli space of semi-stable parabolic Higgs bundles of rank n of parabolic degree 0 on X with parabolic divisor D and parabolic weights $\{\alpha_i^j\}$. This space is constructed in [Yok93, Section 2]. As (X, D, n) are fixed, any smoothness, distinctness, and genericity conditions on a collection of weights $\{\alpha_i^j\}$ are assumed to be with respect to (X, D, n) in the sense of Definition 3.6.

As before, for a semi-stable parabolic Higgs field (E_*, θ) to be in M , we require that $\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}(E)_* \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1}(\log D))$. This ensures that when we deform a Higgs bundle corresponding to a local system with unitary local monodromy, the resulting Higgs bundle gives us a local system with unitary local monodromy.

Remark 4.1. If $(E_*, \theta) = (\oplus E^p, \oplus \theta_p)$ is a stable parabolic Higgs bundle underlying an (irreducible) \mathbb{C} -VHS, then the adjoint Higgs bundle $\text{Ad}(E_*)$ also underlies a \mathbb{C} -VHS. This has a canonical grading, where the k -th graded piece $\text{Ad}(E_*)^{k,-k}$ is given by $\oplus \mathcal{H}om(E_*^p, E_*^{p+k})_*$. Then,

$$\mathbb{H}^1 = \mathbb{H}^1(\text{Ad}(E_*) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1}(\log D))$$

has a Hodge structure of weight 1. In this case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^{1-p,p}$ because $\text{Ad}(E_*)$ is self dual so \mathbb{H}^1 has a real Hodge structure. This is because $\text{Ad}(E_*)$ is polarized, so it is dual to its conjugate. But it is self-dual, so its conjugate is itself.

We now define the notion of minimal energy.

Definition 4.2. Let (E_*, θ) be a stable parabolic Higgs bundle on a smooth proper curve X with parabolic divisor D and parabolic weights $\{\alpha_i^j\}$ corresponding to a complex variation of Hodge structure. We say that (E_*, θ) is an irreducible minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle (or is of minimal energy) if the Higgs cohomology group

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\text{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1}(\log D))$$

of M at (E_*, θ) is of Hodge length 1.

If $(V, V^{p,q}, D')$ is the \mathbb{C} -VHS corresponding to the minimal energy Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) , then we say that $(V, V^{p,q}, D')$ is a minimal energy variation of Hodge structure.

Similarly, if \mathbb{V} is a local system on $X \setminus D$ with unitary local monodromy such that the corresponding parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) (under the Non-Abelian Hodge correspondence) is of minimal energy, then we say \mathbb{V} is a minimal energy local system (or is of minimal energy).

The notion of minimal energy is actually a condition on the tangent space of M at (E_*, θ) .

Remark 4.3. If (E_*, θ) is a smooth point of M , then the tangent space at (E_*, θ) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^1(\text{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1}(\log D))$. If (E_*, θ) is stable, then it is a smooth point in M .

Proof. See [Tha02, Section 3] for the smoothness claim. A detailed proof that the tangent space at (E_*, θ) is isomorphic $\mathbb{H}^1(\text{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1}(\log D))$ to can be found in [Bot95, Proposition 5.2.1].

□

By Remark 4.3, if our parabolic weights are chosen smoothly (in the sense of Definition 3.6), then every semi-stable parabolic Higgs bundle with those weights is actually stable. In this case, our moduli space M is smooth.

Remark 4.4. In the non-parabolic setting, there is an energy functional on the moduli space of Higgs bundles given by $\|(E, \theta)\| = i \int_X \text{tr}(\theta \wedge \theta^*)$ where θ^* is the adjoint to θ with respect to a harmonic metric. If (E, θ) is stable, then this metric is unique. Collier and Wentworth [CW19, Section 4.1] showed that stable Higgs bundles which minimize $\|(E, \theta)\|$ are those whose tangent space is of Hodge length 1 by exploiting the fact that the energy functional is a Morse-Bott function on the moduli space of Higgs bundles [Hit87, Section 8]. The tangent space to the moduli space of Higgs bundles splits into a direct sum $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j$ where $T_j = \mathbb{H}^{j, 1-j}$ [Hit92, Section 8]. On each piece T_j , the Hessian of $\|\cdot\|$ has eigenvalue j and hence a Higgs bundle cannot minimize the energy functional unless $T_j = 0$ for $j < 0$. Symmetry of the decomposition of the tangent space implies that the only nonzero pieces are T_0 and T_1 which is precisely the Hodge length 1 condition described in Definition 4.2.

There are some classes of local systems which are always of minimal energy.

Example 4.5. A unitary local system \mathbb{V} corresponds to a parabolic Higgs bundle underlying a \mathbb{C} -VHS coming in one piece $E_* = E_*^1$ and Higgs field $\theta = 0$. This is a consequence of the Mehta-Seshadri correspondence for irreducible unitary local systems and stable parabolic bundles [MS80, Theorem 4.1]. Then, \mathbb{V} is a minimal energy local system.

Example 4.6. A rigid local system is always of minimal energy.

We give an example of a rigid local system. A result of Katz [Kat96, Theorem 1.1.2] says that for a rank n irreducible local system \mathbb{V} on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ with local monodromy data (conjugate to) (A_1, \dots, A_k) , \mathbb{V} is rigid if and only if

$$(2 - k)n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \dim Z(A_i) = 2$$

where $Z(A_i)$ is the centralizer of A_i .

In the case where $k = 3$ and $n = 2$, Katz's condition requires that

$$\dim Z(A_1) + \dim Z(A_2) + \dim Z(A_3) = 6.$$

For a 2×2 non-scalar matrix B , $\dim Z(B) = 2$. Hence, any irreducible rank 2 local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with non-scalar local monodromy around the punctures must be rigid and therefore is of minimal energy.

There is a \mathbb{G}_m -action on M . If $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and $(E_*, \theta) \in M$ then we act on (E_*, θ) by t by $t \cdot (E_*, \theta) = (E_*, t\theta)$. Simpson [Sim90, Theorem 8] shows that the fixed points of this action on M is precisely the locus of parabolic Higgs bundles coming from a \mathbb{C} -VHS under the correspondence in Proposition 2.15.

The \mathbb{G}_m -action on M induces a \mathbb{G}_m -action on $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$, and this \mathbb{G}_m -action interacts with the Hodge structure in a natural way.

Proposition 4.7. *The \mathbb{G}_m -action on M induces a \mathbb{G}_m -action on the tangent space of M at a fixed point (E_*, θ) . This induces a \mathbb{G}_m -action (through the isomorphism in Remark 4.3) on $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$. The action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ on each piece of the Hodge structure $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$ is given by t^p .*

Proof. Let (E_*, θ) be a fixed point. By [Sim90, Theorem 8], (E_*, θ) comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS and hence has a grading $(E_*, \theta) = (\oplus E_*^p, \oplus \theta^p)$. The Higgs bundle is unique up to shifting. Once we pick a grading, we get a map $f : (\oplus E_*^p, \oplus t\theta^p) \rightarrow (\oplus E_*^p, \oplus \theta^p)$ given by $f|_{E^p} = t^p$. This is an isomorphism of parabolic Higgs bundles.

The map f naturally gives us a morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ad}(E_*) & \xrightarrow{t^\psi} & \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \otimes \mathrm{id} \\ \mathrm{Ad}(E_*) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)} \end{array}$$

where ψ is the induced Higgs field on $\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)$. Here, $\tilde{f}|_{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p}}$ is given by multiplication by t^p . Hence, we get a map on hypercohomology

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$$

induced by \tilde{f} .

In order to compute the \mathbb{G}_m -action on $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$ we consider the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p} & \xrightarrow{t^\psi} & \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1,1-p} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)} \\ \cdot t^p \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot t^{p-1}) \otimes \mathrm{id} \\ \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1,1-p} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)} \end{array} .$$

Let I^\bullet be a resolution of $\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p}$ and J^\bullet be a resolution of $\widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1,1-p} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} = H^1(\Gamma(I^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(I^1) \oplus \Gamma(J^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(I^2) \oplus \Gamma(J^1) \rightarrow \dots)$$

and we can compute the \mathbb{G}_m -action on $H^1(\Gamma(I^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(I^1) \oplus \Gamma(J^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(I^2) \oplus \Gamma(J^1))$. Here, we are implicitly using the isomorphism in Remark 4.3.

The complex

$$\mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p} \xrightarrow{t\psi} \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1, \widehat{1-p}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$$

is resolved by $I^\bullet \rightarrow J^{\bullet'}$ where $J^{\bullet'}$ and J^\bullet are related by multiplication by t . This is because we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1, \widehat{1-p}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\ \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot t \\ \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p,-p} & \xrightarrow[t\psi]{} & \mathrm{Ad}(E_*)^{p-1, \widehat{1-p}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \end{array} .$$

To obtain the chain map, we can compose the vertical maps to get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Gamma(I^0) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^1) \oplus \Gamma(J^0) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^2) \oplus \Gamma(J^1) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ t^p \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot t^p) \oplus (\cdot t^{p-1}) & & \downarrow (\cdot t^p) \oplus (\cdot t^{p-1}) & & \\ \Gamma(I^0) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^1) \oplus \Gamma(J^{0'}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^2) \oplus \Gamma(J^{1'}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \oplus (\cdot t) & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \oplus (\cdot t) & & \\ \Gamma(I^0) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^1) \oplus \Gamma(J^0) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(I^2) \oplus \Gamma(J^1) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

The chain map is given by multiplication by t^p , so \mathbb{G}_m acts on $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$ by multiplication by t^p . \square

Theorem 1.2 says that the energy Higgs bundles always exist when the moduli space M is smooth, and a generic parabolic Higgs bundle in M can be deformed to one of minimal energy. We prove this by using the parabolic Hitchin map defined in [Yok93, Page 495] as well as Bialynicki-Birula theory. We briefly review the definitions and properties here.

4.8. The Hitchin map.

Definition 4.9. The parabolic Hitchin map is the map $h : M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes j})$ defined by

$$h((E_*, \theta)) = (\mathrm{tr}(\wedge^1 \theta), \mathrm{tr}(\wedge^2 \theta), \dots, \mathrm{tr}(\wedge^n \theta)).$$

The map h sends a parabolic Higgs bundle to the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of its Higgs field.

Proposition 4.10. *The parabolic Hitchin map is proper.*

Proof. See [Yok93, Theorem 5.10] where they prove that the valuative criterion for properness holds. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let (E_*, θ) be a stable parabolic Higgs bundle on X . Then, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(E_*, t\theta)$ exists and underlies a \mathbb{C} -VHS. In particular, (E_*, θ) can be deformed to a parabolic Higgs bundle underlying a \mathbb{C} -VHS.*

Proof. This is proven in [Moc06, Proposition 1.9(3)]. \square

4.12. The Bialynicki-Birula stratification. Let $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ and Z be some smooth variety over \mathbb{C} with an action of G on Z . Suppose Z can be covered by G -invariant quasi-affine opens. Then, Bialynicki-Birula [BB73, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3] proves the following:

Theorem 4.13. *Let $Z^G = \cup_{i=1}^r (Z^G)_i$ be the decomposition of Z^G into connected components. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exists are unique locally closed non-singular G -invariant subschemes Z_i^+ and Z_i^- and unique morphisms $\gamma_i^+ : Z_i^+ \rightarrow (Z^G)_i$ and $\gamma_i^- : Z_i^- \rightarrow (Z^G)_i$*

- (a) $(Z^G)_i$ is a closed subscheme of Z_i^+ (resp. Z_i^-) and $\gamma_i^+|_{(Z^G)_i}$ (resp. $\gamma_i^-|_{(Z^G)_i}$) is the identity.
- (b) Z_i^+ (resp. Z_i^-) with its induced action of G and with the map γ_i^+ (resp. γ_i^-) is a G -fibration over $(Z^G)_i$.
- (c) For any closed $a \in (Z^G)_i$, we have the following equality of tangent spaces $T_a(Z_i^+) = T_a(Z)^0 \oplus T_a(Z)^+$ and $T_a(Z_i^-) = T_a(Z)^0 \oplus T_a(Z)^-$ where for a G -module V , V^0 is the G -invariant submodule of V , V^+ is spanned by the elements $v \in V$ such that $t \cdot v = t^k v$ for $k > 0$, and V^- is spanned by the elements $v \in V$ such that $t \cdot v = t^k v$ for $k < 0$.

If furthermore $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z \cdot t$ exists for all $z \in Z$, then

- (d) $(Z_i^+)^G = (Z^G)_i$ (resp. $(Z_i^-)^G = (Z^G)_i$) for $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- (e) Z_i^+ (resp. Z_i^-) with the map γ_i^+ (resp. γ_i^-) is a G -fibration over $(Z^G)_i$.
- (f) For any closed $a \in (Z^G)_i$, $T_a(Z_i^+) = T_a((Z^G)_i) \oplus T_a(Z_i^+)$ (resp. $T_a(Z_i^-) = T_a((Z^G)_i) \oplus T_a(Z_i^-)$)

Remark 4.14. The statements of Theorem 4.13 (d), (e), and (f) in [BB73, Theorem 4.3] require that our variety Z is complete. This is to ensure that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z \cdot t$ exists, so requiring the weaker assumption that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z \cdot t$ exists is sufficient.

Theorem 4.13 gives us a stratification of M (when it is smooth) compatible with the \mathbb{G}_m -action on M . This is because smoothness of M over \mathbb{C} ensures that M is a normal variety with a \mathbb{G}_m -action, so by [Sum74, Corollary 2] we can cover M with \mathbb{G}_m -invariant affine open subsets of M . By Lemma 4.11, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(E_*, t\theta)$ exists for all (E_*, θ) so parts (d), (e), and (f) of Theorem 4.13 also apply. We can realize M as a

fibration over the locus of \mathbb{G}_m -fixed points, which by [Sim90, Theorem 8] is precisely the \mathbb{C} -VHS locus.

4.15. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are now ready to prove that if M is smooth, then minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundles exist. By Simpson's Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem (Proposition 2.15), this is the same as showing the minimal energy local systems in $\chi(\pi_1(X \setminus D), C)$ exist.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use the Bialynicki-Birula stratification (Theorem 4.13). By assumption M is smooth, and Lemma 4.11 implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(E_*, t\theta)$ exists so all parts of Theorem 4.13 hold in our setting. The \mathbb{G}_m -fixed locus inside M is precisely the \mathbb{C} -VHS locus by [Sim90, Theorem 8]. Let Y be this locus, and $Y = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_r$ its decomposition into irreducible components. By Theorem 4.13, there are locally closed non-singular \mathbb{G}_m -invariant subschemes M_i^+ and morphisms $\gamma_i^+ : M_i^+ \rightarrow Y_i$ that Y_i is inside M_i^+ and γ_i^+ restricts to the identity on Y_i . Assume without loss of generality that M_1^+ has the greatest dimension among the M_i^+ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Note that $\dim M_1^+ = \dim M$.

Let $y \in Y_1$. We claim that y is of minimal energy. We define the following subspaces of $T_{M,y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} W^- &= \text{span}\{v \in T_{M,y} : t \cdot v = t^k v \text{ for some } k < 0\} \\ W^0 &= \text{span}\{v \in T_{M,y} : t \cdot v = v \text{ for some } k < 0\} \\ W^+ &= \text{span}\{v \in T_{M,y} : t \cdot v = t^k v \text{ for some } k > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.7, $W^- \cong \bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$, $W^0 \cong \mathbb{H}^{0,1}$, and $W^+ \cong \bigoplus_{p > 0} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^{1-p,p}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Remark 4.1), to show that $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} = \mathbb{H}^{1-p,p} = 0$ for $p > 1$ it is enough to show that $W^- = 0$ since

$$\dim W^- = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} \right) = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{p > 1} \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} \right).$$

By parts (c) and (f) of Theorem 4.13, we know that $T_{M_1^+,y} = W^0 \oplus W^+$. But since $\dim M_1^+ = \dim M$, this implies that

$$W^0 \oplus W^+ = T_{M_1^+,y} = T_{M,y} = W^- \oplus W^0 \oplus W^+.$$

But then, $W^- = 0$ and we are done. \square

4.16. Some properties of minimal energy local systems. The minimal energy local systems make up “most” of the \mathbb{C} -VHS in M . We make this precise below.

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose M is smooth, and let Y be the locus of \mathbb{C} -VHS inside M . A \mathbb{C} -VHS $p \in Y$ is of minimal energy if and only if it lies in the irreducible*

component of Y of largest dimension. The (complex) dimension of this irreducible component is half of the (complex) dimension of M .

Proof. By Proposition 4.7 and Remark 4.3, the tangent space of M at a \mathbb{C} -VHS $p \in M$ is of the form $T_{M,p} = \mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)}) = \bigoplus_p \mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$ and the action of \mathbb{G}_m on $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p}$ is given by multiplication by t^p . Hence, parts (c) and (f) of Theorem 4.13 imply that $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}$ is isomorphic to $T_{Y,p}$ (which is the tangent space of Y at p).

Since $\mathbb{H}^{p,1-p} \cong \mathbb{H}^{1-p,p}$ as vector spaces for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, this implies that

$$\dim Y = \dim T_{Y,p} = \dim \mathbb{H}^{0,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim T_{M,p} = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$$

with equality if and only if p is of minimal energy. Since we know by Theorem 1.2 that minimal energy \mathbb{C} -VHS always exist, we know that the top dimensional component of Y is always half of the dimension of M .

If $q = (F_*, \omega) \in Y$ lies in component of smaller dimension $Y' \subseteq Y$, then

$$\dim Y' = \dim T_{Y,q} = \dim \mathbb{H}^{0,1}(\mathrm{Ad}(F_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(F_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)}) < \frac{1}{2} \dim T_{M,q} = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$$

which implies that there is some $k > 1$ such that $\mathbb{H}^{k,1-k}(\mathrm{Ad}(F_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(F_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$ is nonzero which violates the definition of minimal energy. \square

If X is a positive genus curve, then the minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundles must correspond to unitary representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus D)$. We make this precise below in Proposition 4.18.

Proposition 4.18. *Let X be a smooth proper curve of genus $g > 0$, and D a reduced effective divisor. If (E_*, θ) is a stable parabolic Higgs bundle of minimal energy (with parabolic divisor D) on X of parabolic degree 0 with distinct parabolic weights $\{\alpha_i^j\}$, then the Higgs field is zero (and so (E_*, θ) comes from a unitary representation).*

Proof. We write $E_* = E_*^r \oplus \cdots \oplus E_*^1$ where the graded pieces of our Higgs fields $\theta^p : E^p \rightarrow E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ are all nonzero. Since (E_*, θ) is stable, it is a smooth point of the moduli space of semi-stable parabolic Higgs bundles of parabolic degree 0 on X with parabolic divisor D and parabolic weights $\{\alpha_i^j\}$. Therefore by Remark 4.3, the tangent space to M at (E_*, θ) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$.

If $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$ is of Hodge length 1, then we require that

$$\mathbb{H}^1(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(E_*^1, E_*^r)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)) = \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{H}om(E^r, E^1)_* \rightarrow 0) = 0.$$

Then, Riemann-Roch for curves yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq h^0(X, \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*) - h^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*) \\ &= \deg \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* + \text{rank } \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*(1 - g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$1 - g \geq -\frac{\deg \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*}{\text{rank } \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*} = -\mu_*(\mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*).$$

Since $\mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*$ has parabolic degree at most 0 and

$$\deg \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* \leq \text{par-deg } \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* \leq 0$$

by Lemma 2.14, this implies that $1 - g = 0$ if and only if $\deg \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* = \text{par-deg } \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* = 0$. Otherwise, $-\mu_*(\mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_*) > 0$ and so $g = 0$.

If $\deg \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* = \text{par-deg } \mathcal{H}om(E_*^r, E_*^1)_* = 0$ then that implies that $E^r = E^1$ since we have assumed that the parabolic weights on (E_*, θ) are all distinct at each point in our parabolic divisor. But then, we know that $E_* = E_*^r = E_*^1$ and so the Higgs field on E_* is zero. The Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem (Proposition 2.15) tells us that (E_*, θ) must underly a unitary representation. \square

The author thanks Daniel Litt for pointing out the following argument learned from a conversation with Bertrand Deroin and Nicolas Tholozan.

Proposition 4.19. *Let X be a smooth proper curve and D a reduced effective divisor on X . Let $(E_*, \theta) = (\oplus E_*^k, \oplus \theta_k)$ be a minimal parabolic energy Higgs bundle of rank n underlying on X with parabolic divisor D and generic parabolic weights. If $p = \sum \text{rank}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{2k}$ and $q = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rank } E^{2k+1}$, then $p+q = n$ and the locus of minimal energy Higgs bundles form a compact connected component of the $\text{SU}(p, q)$ -relative character variety.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{V} be a local system corresponding to a polarizable \mathbb{C} -VHS. Then, the representation ρ corresponding to \mathbb{V} preserves the polarization, and the polarization is a type (p, q) -Hermitian form. Hence, ρ is an $\text{SU}(p, q)$ -representation of $\pi_1(X \setminus D)$. The \mathbb{C} -VHS correspond to parabolic Higgs bundles, and the parabolic Higgs bundles they correspond to have nilpotent Higgs field. Hence, the parabolic Higgs bundles coming from \mathbb{C} -VHS lie in $h^{-1}(0)$ where h is the parabolic Hitchin map (Definition 4.9).

We now consider the minimal energy local systems. As minimal energy local systems underly a \mathbb{C} -VHS, they necessarily correspond to $\text{SU}(p, q)$ -representations and also lie in $h^{-1}(0)$. Proposition 4.10 tells us that h is proper, so $h^{-1}(0)$ is compact. Let Y be the $\text{SU}(p, q)$ -relative character variety. Then, Y is a real form of the $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -relative character variety because $\text{SU}(p, q)$ is a real form of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, Y is closed inside the $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -relative character variety. Let $Y' \subseteq M$ be the locus

of parabolic Higgs bundles in M corresponding to Y . We know that Y' is closed in M , so $Y' \cap h^{-1}(0)$ is compact as it is a closed subset of $h^{-1}(0)$ which is compact.

Let $Z \subseteq Y' \cap h^{-1}(0)$ be the locus of minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundles. It suffices to show that Z is a component of Y , as then Z would be closed in Y and hence a compact component of Y . We know by Proposition 4.17 that $\frac{1}{2} \dim M = \dim Z \leq \dim Y' \cap h^{-1}(0) \leq \dim Y = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$. Therefore, $\dim Z = \dim Y$ which implies that Z is a component of Y . \square

This mirrors the lower-rank case in [DT19, Proposition 2.6] where the Deroin-Tholozan representations (which correspond to the minimal energy local systems in rank 2), form a compact component of the real character variety.

5. GENUS $g = 0$

We restrict our attention to minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 . In contrast to the higher genus case (see Proposition 4.18) where the minimal energy local systems correspond to unitary representations, the minimal energy Higgs bundles do not necessarily underly a \mathbb{C} -VHS with only one graded piece.

Let (E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 with distinct parabolic weights and parabolic divisor D underlying an irreducible representation. Since (E_*, θ) underlies a \mathbb{C} -VHS it is of the form $(\oplus_{p=1}^r E_*^p, \oplus \theta^p)$ where our Higgs field $\theta^p : E^p \rightarrow E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)$ is nonzero. Suppose there are r pieces, where $r > 2$. We define $V^k = \oplus_p \mathcal{H}om(E_*^p, E_*^{p+k})_* = \text{Ad}(E_*)^{k, -k}$, and $\theta_k : V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)$ to be the induced Higgs field on the k -th graded piece of $\text{Ad}(E_*)$.

Our ultimate goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. We work with minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundles (E_*, θ) , and we bound the number of graded pieces in (E_*, θ) . This bounds the number of steps in the Hodge filtration of the \mathbb{C} -VHS associated to (E_*, θ) .

We first prove the following intermediate bound.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (E_*, θ) be a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 of with at least 3 graded pieces and with generic unitary local monodromy data. If (E_*, θ) underlies an irreducible representation, then*

$$\deg D \leq \frac{2 \text{rank } V^{r-1} - \text{rank } V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \text{rank } V^k}{\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (\text{rank } V^{k-1} - \text{rank coker } \theta_k) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \text{rank } V^k}.$$

This bound will be used to prove Theorem 1.3. The bound in Theorem 1.3, which is independent of the ranks of the individual graded pieces, is obtained by bounding the rank V^k in terms of rank E_* and applying Proposition 5.1.

To that end, we prove a series of lemmas which place restrictions the behavior of the Higgs field on $(\text{Ad}(E_*), \oplus \theta_k)$ whenever (E_*, θ) is of minimal energy.

Lemma 5.2. *If (E_*, θ) is a parabolic Higgs bundle of minimal energy with distinct parabolic weights, then for all $1 < k < r$*

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k) = 0$$

Proof. By Definition 4.2 and Remark 4.3, $\mathbb{H}^1(\operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D))$ is of Hodge length 1. Note that since our parabolic weights are distinct, $V^k \otimes \widehat{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)} = V^k \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq r$. Therefore, the complex $\operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)}$ has associated graded pieces

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow V^{r-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) \\ V^{r-1} &\rightarrow V^{r-2} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) \\ &\vdots \\ V^{2-r} &\rightarrow V^{1-r} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) \\ V^{1-r} &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The graded pieces of $\mathbb{H}^1(\operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D))$ are given by

$$\mathbb{H}^{k,1-k}(\operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \widehat{\Omega_X^1(\log D)}) = \mathbb{H}^1(V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D))$$

whenever $k \neq 1$. We have an exact sequence of complexes of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \theta_k[0] \rightarrow [V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)] \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \theta_k[-1] \rightarrow 0$$

so we get a long exact sequence on hypercohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k[0]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^0(V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k[-1]) & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k[0]) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k[-1]) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k[-1]) = 0$, $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k[-1]) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k)$, and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k[0]) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k)$ we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \operatorname{coker} \theta_k) \rightarrow 0$$

and so $\mathbb{H}^{k,1-k}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)}) = \mathbb{H}^1(V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D))$ vanishes if and only if $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{coker} \theta_k) = 0$. Since (E_*, θ) is of minimal energy, $\mathbb{H}^{k,1-k}(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)}) = 0$ for $1 < k < r$. \square

This lemma gives us strong conditions on the Higgs fields of minimal energy Higgs bundles.

Corollary 5.3. *Let (E_*, θ) be a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle on X . Then for $1 < k < r$, $\mathrm{coker} \theta_k$ is a locally free sheaf.*

Proof. As \mathbb{P}^1 is a smooth curve and $\mathrm{coker} \theta_k$ is a coherent sheaf, we obtain a splitting $\mathrm{coker} \theta_k = (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{lf}} \oplus (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ where $(\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{lf}}$ is locally free and $(\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is a torsion sheaf. Since (E_*, θ) is a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle, $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(E_*) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)})$ is of Hodge length 1. Lemma 5.2 implies that for $1 < k < r$,

$$0 = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{coker} \theta_k) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{lf}}) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}).$$

But $(\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is a torsion sheaf on a curve and is hence supported at finitely many points. Therefore $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}) = 0$ if and only if $(\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ has empty support if and only if $(\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{tors}} = 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{coker} \theta_k = (\mathrm{coker} \theta_k)_{\mathrm{lf}}$ as desired. \square

Corollary 5.4. *If (E_*, θ) is a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle on X , then for $1 < k < r$, $\deg \mathrm{coker} \theta_k \leq -\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{coker} \theta_k$ and $\deg \ker \theta_k \geq -\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k$.*

Proof. As (E_*, θ) is a minimal energy Higgs bundle on X , we have $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{coker} \theta_k) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k) = 0$ by Lemma 5.2 whenever $1 < k < r$.

As $\ker \theta_k$ is a vector bundle on X , $\ker \theta_k \cong \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_{\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k})$ where a_j are integers and $a_1 + \cdots + a_{\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k} = \deg \ker \theta_k$. Therefore

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \ker \theta_k) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(a_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(a_{\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k})) = 0$$

if and only if all of the integers a_j are at least -1 . So, $\deg \ker \theta_k = a_1 + \cdots + a_{\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k} \geq -\mathrm{rank} \ker \theta_k$.

By Corollary 5.3, $\mathrm{coker} \theta_k$ is a vector bundle and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(b_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(b_{\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{coker} \theta_k})$. Since

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{coker} \theta_k) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(b_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(b_{\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{coker} \theta_k})) = 0$$

we know that all of the integers b_j are at most -1 . Therefore

$$\deg \mathrm{coker} \theta_k = b_1 + \cdots + b_{\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{coker} \theta_k} \leq -\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{coker} \theta_k.$$

\square

We now prove a series of technical lemmas used in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.5. *If (E, θ) is of minimal energy on X , then*

$$\text{par-deg } V^1 \geq (\text{deg } D - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \text{rank } V^k - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-1) \text{rank } V^k$$

and $\text{deg } V^{r-1} = \text{rank } V^{r-1} \cdot (1 - \text{deg } D)$.

Proof. Since (E, θ) is of minimal energy, $\mathbb{H}^1(V^{1-r} \rightarrow 0) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, V^{1-r}) = 0$. But observe that V^{1-r} is a sub-parabolic Higgs bundle of $\text{Ad}(E_*)$ with zero Higgs field, so V^{1-r} must be a semi-stable vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and by Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.14

$$\text{deg } V^{1-r} \leq \text{par-deg } V^{1-r} = \text{par-deg } E^1 \cdot \text{rank } E^r - \text{par-deg } E^r \cdot \text{rank } E^1 < 0.$$

Therefore $V^{1-r} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus \text{rank } V^{1-r}}$. Equivalently, $V^{r-1} \cong (\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus \text{rank } V^{r-1}})(-D)$ and so $\text{deg } V^{r-1} = \text{rank } V^{r-1} \cdot (1 - \text{deg } D)$.

We have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \theta_k \rightarrow V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) \rightarrow \text{coker } \theta_k \rightarrow 0$$

and for $r < k < 2$ we have by Corollary 5.4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg } V^{k-1} &= \text{deg } V^k + \text{rank } V^{k-1} \cdot (2 - \text{deg } D) + \text{deg coker } \theta_k - \text{deg ker } \theta_k \\ &\leq \text{deg } V^k + \text{rank } V^{k-1} \cdot (2 - \text{deg } D) + \text{rank ker } \theta_k - \text{rank coker } \theta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{rank ker } \theta_k - \text{rank coker } \theta_k = \text{rank } V^k - \text{rank } V^{k-1}$, we then have that

$$\text{deg } V^{k-1} \leq \text{deg } V^k + \text{rank } V^{k-1} \cdot (1 - \text{deg } D) + \text{rank } V^k$$

By induction, for $\ell > 0$ we obtain the bound

$$\text{deg } V^{k-\ell} \leq \text{deg } V^k + (1 - \text{deg } D) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \text{rank } V^{k-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \text{rank } V^{k-j+1}.$$

In the special case where $k = r - 1$, we have by substituting for $\text{deg } V^{r-1}$

$$\text{deg } V^{r-1-\ell} \leq (1 - \text{deg } D) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \text{rank } V^{r-1-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \text{rank } V^{r-j}.$$

We now observe that $V^0 \oplus V^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{1-r}$ is a sub-Higgs bundle, so $\text{par-deg}(V^0 \oplus V^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{1-r}) \leq 0$ by semi-stability of $\text{Ad}(E_*)$. Equivalently, $\text{par-deg}(V^{r-1} \oplus \dots \oplus V^1) \geq 0$. We first bound $\text{par-deg } V^k$. By Lemma 2.14 and our above bounds on $\text{deg } V^k$, we have

$$\text{par-deg } V^k \leq \sum_{j=k}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^j + (1 - \text{deg } D) \cdot \sum_{j=k+1}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^j.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_j^{j+k-1}(f_j^{j+k}) &= (\theta^{j+k})_s \circ f_j^{j+k} \\ B_{j+1}^{j+k}(f_j^{j+k}) &= -(f_j^{j+k} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\theta^{j+1})_s. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\dim \text{rank}(\theta_k)_s \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r-k} \dim \text{rank}(A_j^{j+k-1})$$

and since s is a general point, we know that our Higgs fields $\theta^p : E^p \rightarrow E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ are nonzero and so our maps A_j^{j+k-1} are also nonzero. Therefore

$$\text{rank } V^{k-1} - \text{rank coker } \theta_k = \text{rank } V^k - \text{rank ker } \theta_k = \dim(V^k)_s - \dim \text{ker}(\theta_k)_s \geq r - k.$$

□

We can now prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ker } \theta_k \rightarrow V^k \rightarrow V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) \rightarrow \text{coker } \theta_k \rightarrow 0$$

and by Corollary 5.3 for $1 < k < r$ this is an exact sequence of vector bundles on X . Therefore we obtain the equalities

$$(4.4.1) \quad \text{par-deg ker } \theta_k + \text{par-deg } V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) = \text{par-deg } V^k + \text{par-deg coker } \theta_k$$

$$(4.4.2) \quad \text{rank ker } \theta_k + \text{rank } V^{k-1} = \text{rank } V^k + \text{rank coker } \theta_k.$$

Since $\text{par-deg } V^{k-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\log D) = \text{par-deg } V^{k-1} + \text{rank } V^{k-1} \cdot (\deg D - 2)$, by summing the equalities (4.4.1) over $1 < k < r$ we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg ker } \theta_k + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg } V^{k-1} + (\deg D - 2) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg } V^k + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg coker } \theta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, rearranging, and applying Lemma 5.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg coker } \theta_k - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{par-deg ker } \theta_k \\ & \geq (\deg D - 2) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + (\deg D - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k - 2) \text{rank } V^k - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k - 1) \text{rank } V^k - \text{rank } V^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 2.14 to the parabolic bundles $\ker \theta_k$ and $\text{coker } \theta_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\deg D - 2) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + \text{par-deg } V^1 - \text{par-deg } V^{r-1} \\ & < \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{deg coker } \theta_k + \deg D \cdot \left(\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank coker } \theta_k \right) - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{deg ker } \theta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then Corollary 5.4 and Equation (4.4.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{deg coker } \theta_k + \deg D \cdot \left(\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank coker } \theta_k \right) - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{deg ker } \theta_k \\ & \leq \text{rank } V^{r-1} - \text{rank } V^1 + \deg D \cdot \left(\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank coker } \theta_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can now combine inequalities to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rank } V^{r-1} - \text{rank } V^1 + \deg D \cdot \left(\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank coker } \theta_k \right) \\ & \geq (\deg D - 2) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + (\deg D - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \text{rank } V^k - \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-1) \text{rank } V^k - \text{rank } V^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \text{rank } V^{r-1} - \text{rank } V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \text{rank } V^k \\ & \geq \deg D \cdot \left(\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (\text{rank } V^{k-1} - \text{rank coker } \theta_k) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \text{rank } V^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\text{rank } V^{k-1} > \text{rank coker } \theta_k$ for all $1 < k < r$, so the expression on the right-hand-side is positive by Lemma 5.6. Therefore,

$$\deg D \leq \frac{2 \text{rank } V^{r-1} - \text{rank } V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \text{rank } V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \text{rank } V^k}{\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (\text{rank } V^{k-1} - \text{rank coker } \theta_k) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \text{rank } V^k}.$$

□

We can now extract a uniform bound on $\deg D$ in terms of $\text{rank } E_*$ from Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. If (E_*, θ) has more than 2 nonzero pieces in its grading, (E_*, θ) is given by a Higgs bundle (E_*, θ_*) with nonzero Higgs field as it underlies a non-unitary local system. As our local monodromy data is generic, our parabolic weights are all distinct. And the θ^p are never identically zero as \mathbb{V} underlies an irreducible representation. Let r be the Hodge length of (E_*, θ) .

We can apply Proposition 5.1. We have that

$$\deg D \leq \frac{2 \operatorname{rank} V^{r-1} - \operatorname{rank} V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \operatorname{rank} V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \operatorname{rank} V^k}{\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (\operatorname{rank} V^{k-1} - \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{coker} \theta_k) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \operatorname{rank} V^k}.$$

By Lemma 5.6, we have that $\operatorname{rank} V^{k-1} - \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{coker} \theta_k \geq r - k$ and so

$$\deg D \leq \frac{2 \operatorname{rank} V^{r-1} - \operatorname{rank} V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \operatorname{rank} V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \operatorname{rank} V^k}{\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (r-k) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (k-2) \operatorname{rank} V^k}.$$

The denominator is bounded below by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (r-k) = \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$$

and this is nonzero whenever $r > 2$.

Then we coarsely bound the numerator from above by observing that $\operatorname{rank} V^k = \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Ad} E_* - \sum_{j \neq k} \operatorname{rank} V^j \leq n^2 - 1 - 2r$ and $\operatorname{rank} V^k \geq 0$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \operatorname{rank} V^{r-1} - \operatorname{rank} V^1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \operatorname{rank} V^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3) \operatorname{rank} V^k \\ & \leq 2(n^2 - 1 - 2r) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (n^2 - 1 - 2r) + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} (2k-3)(n^2 - 1 - 2r) \\ & \leq 2(n^2 - 1 - 2r) - 2(n^2 - 1 - 2r)(r-2) + 2(n^2 - 1 - 2r)(r-2)^2 \\ & = 2(r^2 - 5r + 7)(n^2 - 2r - 1) \end{aligned}$$

For $r > 2$, we have that $r^2 - 5r + 7 < (r-1)(r-2)$ and so

$$\deg D \leq \frac{2(r^2 - 5r + 7)(n^2 - 2r - 1)}{\frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}} < 4(n^2 - 2r - 1) \leq 4n^2 - 28.$$

Therefore $\deg D < 4n^2 - 28$, and this contradicts our assumption that $\deg D \geq 4n^2 - 28$. Therefore, $r \leq 2$ as desired. \square

6. CONSEQUENCES OF THEOREM 1.3

Theorem 1.3 states that when $\deg D \geq 4n^2 - 28$, then a stable minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle of rank n has at most two graded pieces. We show that this is the best we can do. We construct an example of a stable minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 in every rank with parabolic divisor of arbitrarily large degree which has two graded pieces. We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let a be a nonnegative integer, n an integer larger than 1, and $\epsilon > 0$ a very small real number. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon \right) < \frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$a+1 > a + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}(na+1)$$

and so

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{1+na} \right) > \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

We can choose $\epsilon > 0$ to be small enough so that

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{1+na} \right) > \frac{1}{n-1} + \epsilon \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} \right).$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{1+na} \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{1+a}{1+na} \right) + \frac{1+a}{1+na} > \frac{1}{n-1} + \epsilon - \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \right).$$

Rearranging yields

$$\frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon > \frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon \right).$$

□

Example 6.2. Let a be some nonnegative integer and $\epsilon > 0$ very small. Let $E = S \oplus Q$ where $S = \mathcal{O}(-a)^{\oplus(n-1)}$ and $Q = \mathcal{O}(-a-1)$, so $\text{rank } E = n$. We let D be a reduced effective divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 so that $\deg D = 1 + na$. We choose our Higgs field $\theta : S \rightarrow Q \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ to be generic, and since Q is a line bundle we know that θ is surjective. At each x_j , we give E the weights

$$0 < \frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} + \epsilon \right) + \epsilon_1 < \dots < \frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} + \epsilon \right) + \epsilon_{n-1} < \frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon < 1$$

where $\epsilon_1 < \dots < \epsilon_{n-1}$ are chosen to be very close to zero, and so that $\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{n-1} = 0$. By Lemma 6.1, we can pick $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}$ satisfying these conditions.

We give E_{x_j} the parabolic flag $E_{x_j} = S_j^1 \oplus Q_{x_j} \supset \dots \supset S_j^{n-1} \oplus Q_{x_j} \supset Q_{x_j} \supseteq 0$ where $\{S_j^i\}$ is a generically chosen flag of S_{x_j} . Our parabolic weights are distinct and our parabolic structure can be chosen to be generic in the sense of Definition 3.6 by modifying the real numbers ϵ and $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}$.

We actually have a nonzero Higgs field because $\mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \cong \mathcal{O}(na)^{\oplus(n-1)}$ which has global sections since $n \geq 0$ and $a \geq 0$.

Since (E_*, θ) only has two graded pieces, the complex $\text{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_*) \widehat{\otimes} \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ has graded pieces

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(Q_*, S_*)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\ \mathcal{H}om(Q_*, S_*)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_*, S_*)_* \widehat{\otimes} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \oplus \mathcal{H}om(Q_*, Q_*)_* \widehat{\otimes} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\ \mathcal{H}om(S_*, S_*)_* \oplus \mathcal{H}om(Q_*, Q_*)_* &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\ \mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_* &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry of the Hodge structure on $\mathbb{H}^1(\text{Ad}(E_*) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_*) \widehat{\otimes} \Omega_X^1(\log D))$, to show that E_* is of minimal energy we need to show that $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_* \rightarrow 0) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_*) = 0$. But this is true, since $\mathcal{H}om(S_*, Q_*)_* \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$.

Therefore it remains to show that (E_*, θ) is a parabolic stable Higgs bundle. By construction, the parabolic degree of E_* is zero. The sub-Higgs bundles of (E_*, θ) are the bundles Q_* , $\ker(\theta)_*$, and $V_* \oplus Q_*$ where $V \subseteq S$ is any subbundle.

We first note that $\mu_*(Q_*) = \deg Q + \sum_{x_j \in D} (\frac{1+a}{1+na} - \epsilon) = -1 - a + 1 + a - (1+na)\epsilon < 0$ since $\deg D = 1 + na$ so Q_* is not destabilizing.

To check that $\ker(\theta)_*$ is not destabilizing, we note that it fits in a exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\theta)_* \rightarrow S_* \rightarrow Q_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.4, we have the equality

$$\text{par-deg } \ker(\theta)_* = \text{par-deg } S_* - \text{par-deg } Q_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D).$$

Observe that $\text{par-deg } S_* = \epsilon \deg D$ and $\text{par-deg } Q_* = -\epsilon \deg D$, so

$$\text{par-deg } \ker(\theta)_* = \text{par-deg } S_* - \text{par-deg } Q_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) = 2\epsilon \deg D - \deg D + 2.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen to be very small, as long as $\deg D > 3$ (which holds, for example, as long as $a > 0$ and $n > 1$) we know that $\text{par-deg } \ker(\theta)_* < 0$ and so $\ker(\theta)_*$ cannot be destabilizing.

It remains to check that $V_* \oplus Q_*$ is not destabilizing. We know that $\text{par-deg}(V_* \oplus Q_*) = \text{par-deg } V_* + \text{par-deg } Q_* = \text{par-deg } V_* - \epsilon \deg D$. Let $r = \text{rank } V$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{par-deg } V &\leq -ra + \deg D \sum_{j=n-1-r}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} + \epsilon \right) + \epsilon_j \right) \\ &= -ra + \frac{r \deg D}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1+a}{1+na} + \epsilon \right) + \deg D(\epsilon_{n-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{n-1-r}). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying and substituting $\deg D = 1 + na$ into the bound yields

$$\text{par-deg } V \leq \frac{r \deg D \epsilon}{n-1} + \deg D(\epsilon_{n-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{n-1-r}).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{par-deg}(V_* \oplus Q_*) \leq \frac{r \deg D \epsilon}{n-1} + \deg D(\epsilon_{n-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{n-1-r}) - \epsilon \deg D.$$

Since $0 \leq r < n-1$ and $\epsilon_{n-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{n-1-r}$ is chosen to be very small, we have $\text{par-deg}(V_* \oplus Q_*) < 0$.

Therefore every sub-Higgs bundle of (E_*, θ) fails to be destabilizing, and so (E_*, θ) is indeed a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle of rank n with parabolic divisor D that has two graded pieces.

By choosing $a \gg 0$, we can force $\deg D = na + 1$ to be larger than $4n^2 - 28$. Therefore, we cannot do better than two graded pieces in Theorem 1.3. In this example, we picked our parabolic weights so that at each point in D , the weights sum to an integer. Therefore, the local monodromy data is not only unitary but actually lies in $\text{SU}(n)$.

6.3. An application to Gromov-Witten theory. We give an application of minimal energy local systems to Gromov-Witten invariants.

To a parabolic bundle V_* of rank n on \mathbb{P}^1 with parabolic divisor D , we can obtain a modified complete parabolic bundle \tilde{V}_* with the following properties. This process is described in [AW98, below Theorem 5.1] and in [Bel01, Appendix]. We describe their properties below.

Proposition 6.4. *Let V_* be a parabolic bundle and \tilde{V}_* its modified parabolic bundle.*

- (1) *The underlying bundle of \tilde{V}_* is a trivial bundle so that $\text{rank } \tilde{V} = \text{rank } V$.*
- (2) *If V_* has $0 \leq \alpha_j^1 < \cdots < \alpha_j^{n_j} < 1$ at $x_j \in D$, then the weights $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j^i\}$ of \tilde{V}_* at $x_j \in D$ no longer necessarily lie within $[0, 1)$ but satisfy $\tilde{\alpha}_j^1 \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{\alpha}_j^{n_j} < \tilde{\alpha}_j^1 + 1$. The weights can be repeated.*

- (3) The parabolic degree of a modified parabolic bundle is defined to be the sum of the degree of the underlying bundle and the weights above every point. Then, $\text{par-deg}(V_*) = \text{par-deg}(\widetilde{V}_*)$.
- (4) There is a bijection between subbundles W_* of V_* and modified parabolic subbundles \widetilde{W}_* of \widetilde{V}_* . This bijection preserves parabolic degree. If W_* and \widetilde{W}_* are sent to each other under this bijection, then $\text{par-deg } W_* = \text{par-deg } \widetilde{W}_*$.
- (5) As a consequence of (1), (3) and (4), V_* is parabolic stable if and only if \widetilde{V}_* is parabolic stable.

A conjugacy class $C \subseteq \text{SU}(n)$ is determined by the eigenvalues of a diagonal representative. If the eigenvalues are given by $(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n})$, then we obtain real numbers $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ where each θ_i is determined up to an integer. Note that the $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ must sum to an integer because matrices in $\text{SU}(n)$ have determinant one. We choose the $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ so that $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n = 0$ and that (after ordering from largest to smallest) $\theta_1 \geq \dots \geq \theta_n \geq \theta_1 - 1$. This uniquely determines the conjugacy class C . Let $\lambda(C) = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ and for some subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k we let $\lambda_I(C) = \sum_{j \in I} \theta_j$.

A subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k determines a Schubert variety Ω_I in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, and we let σ_I be the cohomology class associated to Ω_I . When I_1, \dots, I_d are all of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of same size, we let $\langle \sigma_{I_1}, \dots, \sigma_{I_d} \rangle_\beta$ be the number of degree β rational curves in $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ passing through $\Omega_{I_1}, \dots, \Omega_{I_d}$. For details on Schubert varieties and Gromov-Witten invariants, see [Bel01, Definition 4].

Then, Belkale [Bel01, Theorem 7] and Agnihotri-Woodward [AW98, Theorem 3.1] independently prove the following:

Theorem 6.5. *There is a $\text{SU}(n)$ -local system on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ with prescribed $\text{SU}(n)$ -local monodromy C_1, \dots, C_d if and only if for any $s \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and any choice of subsets $I_1, \dots, I_d \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality s , the inequality*

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{I_k}(C_k) \leq d$$

whenever $\langle \sigma_{I_1}, \dots, \sigma_{I_d} \rangle_d = 1$.

The above statement is taken from [Bel01, Theorem 7].

Corollary 6.6. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D = x_1 + \dots + x_d$ be a reduced effective divisor. Let $C_1, \dots, C_d \subseteq \text{SU}(n)$ be a collection generic local monodromy data. Let (E_*, θ) be a minimal energy stable parabolic Higgs bundle which only has two graded pieces and has parabolic weights corresponding to the data C_1, \dots, C_d . Then, $E_* = H_* \oplus (V/H)_*$ with Higgs field $\theta : H \rightarrow (V/H) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$.*

Let \tilde{E}_* be the modified parabolic bundle of E_* and \tilde{H}_* the modified parabolic bundle of H_* . For all $j = 1, \dots, d$ we let $I_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a subset of size $\text{rank } H$ so that for all $i \in I_j$, θ_j^i is a parabolic weight of \tilde{H}_* . Then, the Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \sigma_{I_1}, \dots, \sigma_{I_d} \rangle_{-\text{deg } \tilde{H}}$ is nonzero.

Proof. If there is a minimal energy local system \mathbb{V} on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$ with the local monodromy data C_1, \dots, C_d which is not $\text{SU}(n)$ -local systems, then there are no $\text{SU}(n)$ -local systems satisfying this local monodromy data. In particular, H_* is a subbundle of E_* which is destabilizing as a parabolic subbundle. Let $m = \text{rank } k$.

We know that \tilde{H}_* is a destabilizing subbundle of \tilde{V}_* . Hence, the associated Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \sigma_{I_1}, \dots, \sigma_{I_d} \rangle_{-\text{deg } \tilde{H}}$ is nonzero. This is because \tilde{H} is a subbundle of a trivial bundle and hence determines a rational degree $-\text{deg } \tilde{H}$ curve in $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ intersecting the Schubert varieties $\Omega_{I_1}, \dots, \Omega_{I_d}$. \square

Remark 6.7. When $\text{deg } D \geq 4n^2 - 28$ where $n = \text{rank } E$, Theorem 1.3 applies and the minimal energy local systems have the desired number of graded pieces.

We give an example of Corollary 6.6.

Example 6.8. Let (E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 with parabolic divisor $D = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. We let the underlying bundle be $E = \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. We set $S = \mathcal{O}(-2)$ and $Q = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. We let $Q_{x_j} \supseteq Q_j \supseteq 0$ be a generic flag of the fiber Q_{x_j} at each point x_j . For $j = 1, 2$, we let our parabolic flag at x_j be given by

$$E_{x_j} = Q_{x_j} \oplus S_{x_j} \supseteq Q_j \oplus S_{x_j} \supseteq S_{x_j} \supseteq 0.$$

At x_3 , we let our parabolic flag be given by

$$E_{x_3} = Q_{x_3} \oplus S_{x_3} \supseteq Q_{x_3} \supseteq Q_3 \supseteq 0.$$

Let $\frac{1}{24} > \epsilon > \frac{1}{48}$ be a real number. We choose our weights at x_1 to be $\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon\}$. The weights at x_2 are $\{\frac{1}{8} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon\}$. The weights at x_3 are given by $\{\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} + \epsilon\}$. That is, at x_1 and x_2 we give S the largest parabolic weights. At x_3 we give S the smallest parabolic weights.

We note that $\mu(S_*) = \frac{1}{12} - \epsilon$. Every subbundle of Q_* has parabolic degree at most $-\frac{1}{24} - \epsilon$. Therefore, (E_*, θ) is parabolic stable. Then, $\text{Hom}(S_*, Q_*)_* \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ and $\text{Hom}_*(S_*, Q_*)_* \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$. Therefore, (E_*, θ) is a minimal energy parabolic Higgs bundle.

Let I_1, I_2 , and I_3 be the subsets of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ of size 1 so that $\lambda_{I_j}(C_j)$ gives the weight of S_* over x_j . So, our Schubert varieties are subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^2 . Here, $I_1 = I_2 = \{1\}$ and $I_3 = \{3\}$. The classes σ_{I_1} and σ_{I_2} are the class of a point. The class σ_{I_3} is the class of \mathbb{P}^2 and $\text{deg } \tilde{S} = -1$. Therefore, we get that $\langle \sigma_{I_1}, \sigma_{I_2}, \sigma_{I_3} \rangle_1 \neq 0$. Geometrically,

this says that there is at least one degree 1 rational curve in \mathbb{P}^2 passing through all of \mathbb{P}^2 and two generic points in \mathbb{P}^2 . Since a degree 1 rational curve is just a line, this says that there is at least one line passing through two points in \mathbb{P}^2 in generic position. Corollary 6.6 does not, however, tell us that there is a *unique* line passing through two points in \mathbb{P}^2 in generic position.

REFERENCES

- [AW98] S. Agnihotri and C. Woodward. Eigenvalues of products of unitary matrices and quantum schubert calculus. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 5(6):817–836, 1998.
- [BB73] A. Białynicki-Birula. Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 98(3):480–497, 1973.
- [Bel01] Prakash Belkale. Local systems on $\mathbb{P}^1 - S$ for S a finite set. *Compositio Mathematica*, 129(1):67–86, 2001.
- [BG99] Robert L. Benedetto and William M. Goldman. The topology of the relative character varieties of a quadruply-punctured sphere. *Experimental Mathematics*, 8(1):85–103, January 1999.
- [Bis03] Indranil Biswas. On the principal bundles with parabolic structure. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 43(2), January 2003.
- [Bot95] Francesco Bottacin. Symplectic geometry on moduli spaces of stable pairs. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 28(4):391–433, 1995.
- [Bru17] Yohan Brunebarbe. Semi-positivity from higgs bundles. 07 2017.
- [BY96] Hans U. Boden and Kōji Yokogawa. Moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic $K(D)$ pairs over smooth curves: I. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 07(05):573–598, October 1996.
- [CW19] Brian Collier and Richard Wentworth. Conformal limits and the Białynicki-Birula stratification of the space of λ -connections. *Advances in Mathematics*, 350:1193–1225, 2019.
- [Del70] Pierre Deligne. *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [DT19] Bertrand Deroin and Nicolas Tholozan. Supra-maximal representations from fundamental groups of punctured spheres to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 52(5):1305–1329, 2019.
- [Hit87] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, S3-55(1):59–126, July 1987.
- [Hit92] N.J. Hitchin. Lie groups and Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 31(3):449–473, July 1992.
- [Kat96] Nicholas M. Katz. *Rigid local systems*, volume 139 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [LL24] Aaron Landesman and Daniel Litt. Geometric local systems on very general curves and isomonodromy. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37(3):683–729, 2024.
- [LLL23] Yeuk Hay Joshua Lam, Aaron Landesman, and Daniel Litt. Finite braid group orbits on SL_2 -character varieties. *arXiv preprint*, 08 2023.
- [Moc06] Takuro Mochizuki. Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application. *Astérisque*, (309):viii+117, 2006.
- [MS80] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri. Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures. *Mathematische Annalen*, 248(3):205–239, October 1980.

- [Sim90] Carlos T. Simpson. Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(3):713–770, 1990.
- [Sum74] Hideyasu Sumihiro. Equivariant completion. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1):1–28, January 1974.
- [Tha02] Michael Thaddeus. Variation of moduli of parabolic Higgs bundles. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2002(547):1–14, January 2002.
- [Yok93] Kôji Yokogawa. Compactification of moduli of parabolic sheaves and moduli of parabolic Higgs sheaves. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 33(2):451–504, 1993.
- [Yok95] Kôji Yokogawa. Infinitesimal deformation of parabolic higgs sheaves. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 06(01):125–148, February 1995.