

VECTOR FIELDS AND FLOWS ON C^∞ -SCHEMES.

EUGENE LERMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that a vector field on an affine C^∞ -scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ has a flow provided the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is finitely generated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. C^∞ -derivations and Spec	10
4. Integral curves of vector fields on schemes	14
5. Flows	17
References	19
Appendix A. Review of C^∞ -rings, modules and C^∞ -derivations	19

1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this paper is to prove that vector fields on C^∞ -schemes have (unique maximal) integral curves and that these integral curves can be put together to form flows.

Singular spaces arise naturally in differential geometry and its applications in physics and engineering. The singularities may come from constraints or from taking quotients by symmetries or from the combination of the two (as in singular Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer reduction). Over the last seventy plus years many approaches to singular spaces in differential geometry have been developed ranging from the diffeological spaces of Souriau and Chen (see [IZ]), the differential spaces in the sense of Sikorski [Si], differential spaces in the sense of Spallek [Spa] and C^∞ -schemes of Dubuc [D] to derived differential geometry in its various variants (which started with [Spi]).

Recently I started developing tools of differential geometry for C^∞ -ringed spaces: differential forms [L1], Cartan calculus [L2] and, jointly with Karshon, flows of vector fields on differential spaces in the sense of Sikorski [KL]. Sikorski differential spaces are fairly elementary: for one thing their structure sheaves are sheaves of *functions*. On the other hand differential spaces are somewhat limited, and more general local C^∞ -ringed spaces appear naturally in differential geometry [J].

One motivation for constructing flows of vector fields on C^∞ -schemes comes from Poisson geometry. In finite dimensional (differential) Poisson geometry there are Poisson algebras that are not algebras of functions on any space. Such algebras, for instance, arise in the Sniatycki-Weinstein algebraic reduction [SW] (see [AGJ] a detailed comparison of algebraic and geometric reductions in symplectic geometry). It is straightforward to define a C^∞ -ring analogue of a Poisson algebra; all algebra of smooth functions on Poisson manifolds carry this structure. Many other Poisson algebras of interest in differential geometry also carry this structure. One can show that Dubuc's spectrum functor Spec [D] sends a Poisson C^∞ -ring/algebra to a Poisson C^∞ -scheme. Sections of the structure sheaf of a Poisson C^∞ -scheme then give rise to Hamiltonian vector fields [L3]. It is

natural to want to ensure that these Hamiltonian vector fields have flows. This is what the main result of the paper, Theorem 1.11 does. C^∞ -Poisson schemes will be discussed elsewhere.¹

The present paper is meant to be accessible to differential geometers who are not fluent in C^∞ -rings. For this reason we review the definition of C^∞ -rings and some related notions in the Appendix A. A reader unfamiliar with C^∞ -rings may wish to consult the appendix while reading the rest of the introduction.

To state the main result of the paper more precisely we need to define integral curves of vector fields on ringed spaces and to define flows of vector fields. We do this presently using the terms defined elsewhere in the paper. Then, after stating the main result, we describe the organization of the paper.

Notation 1.1. We denote the collection of all open subsets of a space X by $\text{Open}(X)$. As usual a map $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ of presheaves over a space X is a collection of maps $\{f_U : \mathcal{S}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(U)\}_{U \in \text{Open}(X)}$, so that for all pairs $W \subset U$, $f_U|_W = f_W$.

Definition 1.2. A vector field on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a map of sheaves of real vector spaces $v = \{v_U\}_{U \subset X_A} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ so that for any open subset $U \subseteq X$ the corresponding map $v_U : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a C^∞ -derivation.

Notation 1.3. We denote the set of all vector fields on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) by $\mathcal{X}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

A standard way of defining an integral curve of a vector field v on a manifold M with initial condition p is to define it as a smooth map $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval containing 0, subject to two conditions:

$$(1.3.i) \quad \gamma(0) = p \text{ and}$$

$$(1.3.ii) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_t (f \circ \gamma) = X(f)(\gamma(t)) \text{ for all } t \in I \text{ and all smooth functions } f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Therefore an integral curve of v is a smooth map $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ that relates the vector fields $\frac{d}{dt}$ on I and v on M . This definition generalizes to vector fields on local C^∞ -ringed spaces. We first define related (semi-conjugate) vector fields on local C^∞ -ringed spaces.

Definition 1.4. Let $\underline{f} = (f, f_\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ be a map of local C^∞ -ringed spaces. The vector fields $v \in \mathcal{X}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ are \underline{f} -related if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y & \xrightarrow{f_\#} & f_\# \mathcal{O}_X \\ w \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* v \\ \mathcal{O}_Y & \xrightarrow{f_\#} & f_* \mathcal{O}_X \end{array}$$

commutes.

The domains of definition of integral curves of vector fields on manifolds (without boundary) are usually defined as open intervals. This does not work for manifolds with boundary as the following simple example demonstrates. Consider the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on the closed disk $M := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$. Its maximal integral curves are closed intervals with the exception of the curves through $(0, 1)$ and $(0, -1)$, which are only defined for time $t = 0$. See [KL] for a related discussion of vector fields and flows on arbitrary subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and, more generally, on subcartesian spaces.

¹We note parenthetically that in the setting of algebraic geometry the construction of Poisson schemes from Poisson algebras is well-known. This is mentioned, for example, by Polishchuk [Po]. There is a construction in [Ka]. However, given a Poisson manifold (M, π) the spectrum of the Poisson algebra $C^\infty(M)$ in the usual algebrogeometric sense is not (M, C_M^∞) .

Definition 1.5. An interval (containing 0) is a connected subset I of the real line \mathbb{R} (containing 0).

Remark 1.6. Thus an interval can be open, closed, half-open, bounded, bounded below or above, unbounded or a single point. If an interval I is a singleton we view it as a 0-dimensional manifold. Otherwise it's a 1-dimensional manifold (which may or may not have a boundary).

Remark 1.7. Unless the interval I is a point, $\frac{d}{dt} : C_I^\infty \rightarrow C_I^\infty$ is a vector field on a local C^∞ -ringed space (I, C_I^∞) .

Definition 1.8. An integral curve $\underline{\sigma}_p$ of a vector field v on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) with initial condition $p \in X$ is

- (1.8.i) a map $\underline{\sigma}_p = (\sigma_p, (\sigma_p)_\#) : (\{0\}, C_{\{0\}}^\infty) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $\sigma_p(0) = p$ or
- (1.8.ii) a map $\underline{\sigma}_p = (\sigma_p, (\sigma_p)_\#) : (I, C_I^\infty) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of local C^∞ -ringed spaces from a 1-dimensional interval I containing 0 to (X, \mathcal{O}_X) so that
 - (a) $\frac{d}{dt}$ and v are $\underline{\sigma}$ -related and
 - (b) $\sigma_p(0) = p$.

Notation 1.9. Let v be a vector field on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and $p \in X$ a point. We denote the maximal integral curve of v with initial condition p (if it exists) by $\underline{\mu}_p = (\mu_p, (\mu_p)_\#)$ and its interval of definition by K_p .

Definition 1.10. We use Notation 1.9 above. A flow of a vector field v on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a map $\underline{\Phi} : (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of local C^∞ -ringed spaces so that

- (1.10.i) $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{p \in X} \{p\} \times K_p \quad (\subseteq X \times \mathbb{R})$ (as sets) and
- (1.10.ii) for any point $p \in X$ there is a map $\underline{\zeta}_p = (\zeta_p, (\zeta_p)_\#) : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{W})$ with $\zeta_p(t) = (p, t)$ for all $t \in K_p$ and
- (1.10.iii) for all points $p \in X$ the composite $\underline{\Phi} \circ \underline{\zeta}_p$ is the maximal integral curve $\underline{\mu}_p$ of v .

The main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.11. Let \mathcal{A} be a finitely generated and germ determined C^∞ -ring, $(X_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ the corresponding affine C^∞ -scheme. Then any vector field v on $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ has flow.

In particular for any point $p \in X_\mathcal{A}$ there exists a unique maximal integral curve $\underline{\mu}_p = (\mu_p, (\mu_p)_\#)$ of the vector field v with initial condition $\mu_p(0) = p$.

Organization of the paper. In the next section, Section 2, we recall the notion of localization of C^∞ -rings, local C^∞ -ringed spaces and their morphisms and, following Joyce [J], review a construction of Dubuc's spectrum functor Spec from C^∞ -rings to local C^∞ -ringed spaces. In Section 3 we show that Spec sends derivations of C^∞ -rings to vector fields on the corresponding affine scheme. Moreover C^∞ -derivations related by morphisms of C^∞ -rings get sent by Spec to vector fields related by maps of local C^∞ -ringed spaces. In Section 4 we show that vector fields on finitely generated affine schemes have unique maximal integral curves. In Section 5 we put maximal integral curves together to obtain flows.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Yael Karshon for many fruitful discussions about differential spaces, C^∞ -rings and related mathematics. I would also like to thank Rui Loja Fernandes for many conversations. In particular the proof of Lemma 5.1 is essentially due to him.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Remark 2.1. Unless noted otherwise all manifolds in the paper are smooth, second countable and Hausdorff.

We start by recalling the notion of a C^∞ -ringed space and of a local C^∞ -ringed space.

Definition 2.2. A C^∞ -ringed space is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of C^∞ -rings on X .

A local C^∞ -ringed space is a C^∞ -ringed space so that all the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X are local C^∞ -rings in the sense of Definition A.19.

Definition 2.3. A morphism (or a map) of local C^∞ -ringed spaces from (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) is a pair $(f, f_\#)$ where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $f_\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a map of sheaves of C^∞ -rings on the space Y .

Remark 2.4. Note that the map $(f, f_\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of local C^∞ -ringed spaces induces, for every point $x \in X$, a map of stalks $f_x : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$

In algebraic geometry one defines a morphism of locally ringed spaces to be a pair $(f, f_\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ so that the induced maps on stalks f_x are all local: they are required to take the unique maximal ideal to the unique maximal ideal. For local C^∞ -ringed spaces this is unnecessary because any map of local C^∞ -rings automatically preserves maximal ideals (Lemma A.22).

Definition 2.5 (The category $\text{LC}^\infty\text{RS}$). Local C^∞ -ringed spaces and their morphisms form a category which we denote by $\text{LC}^\infty\text{RS}$.

2.i. **The C^∞ -ring spectrum functor** Spec . There is a (contravariant) functor Γ , the global sections functor, from the category of local C^∞ -ringed spaces $\text{LC}^\infty\text{RS}$ to the (opposite) category $C^\infty\text{Ring}$ of C^∞ -rings. Namely, given a C^∞ -ringed space (M, \mathcal{O}_M) , the functor Γ sends it to the ring $\mathcal{O}_M(M)$ of global sections. Given a map $(f, f_\#) : (N, \mathcal{O}_N) \rightarrow (M, \mathcal{O}_M)$ of local C^∞ -ringed spaces we have a map of C^∞ -rings $f_\# : \mathcal{O}_M(M) = f_*(\mathcal{O}_N)(M) = \mathcal{O}_N(f^{-1}(M)) = \mathcal{O}_N(N)$. In other words

$$\Gamma : \text{LC}^\infty\text{RS} \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Ring}^{\text{op}}$$

is given by

$$\Gamma((N, \mathcal{O}_N)) \xrightarrow{(f, f_\#)} (M, \mathcal{O}_M) := \mathcal{O}_M(M) \xrightarrow{f_\#} \mathcal{O}_N(N).$$

It is easy to see that Γ is in fact a functor. Thanks to a theorem of Dubuc [D], the global section functor Γ has a right adjoint $\text{Spec} : C^\infty\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{LC}^\infty\text{RS}$ (which is unique up to a unique isomorphism).

Definition 2.6. An affine C^∞ -scheme is a local C^∞ -ringed space isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ for some C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} .

The category of affine schemes $C^\infty\text{Aff}$ is the essential image of the functor Spec .

Notation 2.7. Given an affine scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the underlying topological space by $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. To avoid the clutter we denote its structure sheaf by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (and not by $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathcal{A}}}$ as we have done for general local C^∞ -ringed space). Thus

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) = (X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Notation 2.8. We denote the unit and the counit of the adjunction $\Gamma \vdash \text{Spec}$ by η and ε , respectively.

Complete C^∞ -rings. Unlike the spectrum functor in algebraic geometry $\text{Spec} : C^\infty\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Aff}$ is not an equivalence of categories and $\Gamma \vdash \text{Spec}$ is not an adjoint equivalence. For instance, there are nonzero C^∞ -rings with *no* \mathbb{R} -points (Definition A.17 and Remark A.21). The spectrum of such a ring is the empty set with the zero C^∞ -ring; which is the same as $\text{Spec}(0)$. In other words, there are C^∞ -rings \mathcal{A} for which the components $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ of the counit of adjunction are not isomorphisms.

However, there is a full subcategory of $C^\infty\text{Ring}^{\text{op}}$ consisting of the so called complete rings (Definition 2.9 below) so that the restriction of Spec to this subcategory is part of an adjoint equivalence.

Definition 2.9. (cf. [J, Definition 4.35]) A C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is complete if the component $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ of the counit of adjunction $\Gamma \vdash \text{Spec}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.10. Dubuc [D] showed ([D, 13. Theorem]) that if a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is finitely generated (see Definition A.35) and germ determined (Definition A.23) then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an isomorphism, i.e., that the ring \mathcal{A} is complete.

The fact that any complete ring has to be germ determined is not hard to see: Joyce's construction of Spec (Construction 2.25 below), Lemma A.24 and Corollary A.25 imply that the component of the counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ is injective if and only if the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is germ determined.

Notation 2.11. We denote the full subcategory of the category $C^\infty\text{Ring}$ consisting of complete C^∞ -rings by $C^\infty\text{Ring}_{\text{comp}}$.

It follows from the property of adjunctions (see, for example [Lei, Exercise 2.2.11]) that

$$\text{Spec}|_{C^\infty\text{Ring}_{\text{comp}}} : (C^\infty\text{Ring}_{\text{comp}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{LC}^\infty\text{RS}$$

is fully faithful and that the essential image of this restriction consists of all locally ringed spaces such that the components $\eta_{(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ of the unit η of adjunction are isomorphisms. Joyce ([J, Theorem 3.36(a)]) shows that this essential image is exactly the category $C^\infty\text{Aff}$ of affine C^∞ -schemes (Definition 2.6). We thus get an adjoint equivalence

$$\Gamma|_{C^\infty\text{Aff}} : C^\infty\text{Aff} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} (C^\infty\text{Ring}_{\text{comp}})^{\text{op}} : \text{Spec}|_{(C^\infty\text{Ring}_{\text{comp}})^{\text{op}}}$$

given by restrictions of Spec and Γ , respectively.

In order to construct integral curves and flows of vector fields on schemes we need to recall some details of the construction of the functor Spec given in [J]. We start by reviewing a construction of a functor from C^∞ -rings to topological spaces. Recall that an \mathbb{R} -point of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is a map of C^∞ -rings $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (Definition A.17).

Notation 2.12. We denote the set of all \mathbb{R} -points of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} by $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \{p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid p \text{ is a map of } C^\infty\text{-rings}\} \equiv \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R}).$$

We will see shortly Notations 2.7 and 2.12 are consistent.

Remark 2.13. It is well-known that if M is a (second-countable Hausdorff) manifold then the map

$$(2.1) \quad M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{R}), \quad p \mapsto \text{ev}_p$$

is a bijection (here and below $\text{ev}_p(f) = f(p)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$). This is a theorem of Pursell [Pu, Chapter 8]. It is often referred to as Milnor's exercise.

Construction 2.14 (The Zariski topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on the set $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathbb{R} -points of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A}). The set $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathbb{R} -points of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} comes equipped with a natural topology, the Zariski topology. It is defined as follows: for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ let

$$U_a := \{p \in X_{\mathcal{A}} \mid p(a) \neq 0\}.$$

Since for a point p of \mathcal{A} and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$

$$p(ab) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow p(a) \neq 0 \text{ and } p(b) \neq 0,$$

we have $U_{ab} = U_a \cap U_b$. Hence the collection $\{U_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ of sets is a basis for a topology on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Remark 2.15. By a theorem of Whitney any closed subset C of a smooth manifold M is the set of zeros of some smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. It follows that the bijection (2.1) is a homeomorphism between the manifold M with its given topology and the set $X_{C^\infty(M)}$ of \mathbb{R} -points of $C^\infty(M)$ with the Zariski topology $\mathcal{T}_{C^\infty(M)}$.

Definition 2.16. Let M be a manifold and $J \subset C^\infty(M)$ an ideal. The zero set of the ideal J is the subset $Z_J \subset M$ given by

$$Z_J := \{p \in M \mid j(p) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J\}.$$

Remark 2.17. Since by assumption the manifold M is Hausdorff, the set Z_J of Definition 2.16 is closed in M .

The following lemma is a generalization Remark 2.15.

Lemma 2.18. Let M be a manifold, $J \subset C^\infty(M)$ the ideal and $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)/J$ the quotient C^∞ -ring (cf. Lemma A.14). Then any point p in the zero set Z_J of J gives rise to an \mathbb{R} -point

$$\bar{e}v_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{e}v_p(f + J) := f(p).$$

The map

$$\nu : Z_J \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \nu(p) := \bar{e}v_p$$

is a homeomorphism (where Z_J is given the subspace topology and $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ the Zariski topology).

Proof. Since the quotient map $\Pi : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)/J$ is onto, the map

$$\Pi^* : X_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X_{C^\infty(M)}, \quad \Pi^*(q) = q \circ \Pi$$

is injective. By definition of the map ν ,

$$\nu(p) \circ \Pi = \text{ev}_p.$$

Therefore if $\nu(p) = \nu(q)$, then $\text{ev}_p = \text{ev}_q$. Since the set of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$ separates points of the manifold M , the map ν is injective.

Given $\psi \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\psi \circ \Pi \in \text{Hom}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{R})$. By Milnor's exercise, $\psi \circ \Pi = \text{ev}_p$ for some point $p \in M$. If $p \notin Z_J$ there is $j \in J$ so that $\text{ev}_p(j) \neq 0$. Contradiction, since

$$\text{ev}_p(j) = \psi(\Pi(j)) = \psi(0) = 0.$$

Therefore ν is onto.

It remains to check that ν is a homeomorphism. Recall that the topology on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by the sets of the form $U_a := \{\psi \in X_{\mathcal{A}} \mid \psi(a) \neq 0\}$, where $a \in \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)/J$. By a theorem of Whitney any open subset of the manifold M is of the form $\{f \neq 0\}$ for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$ (cf. Remark 2.15). Now given $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\nu(\{f \neq 0\} \cap Z_J) = \{\bar{e}v_p \in X_{\mathcal{A}} \mid f(p) \neq 0\} = U_{f+J}.$$

And

$$\nu^{-1}(U_{f+J}) = \{p \in Z_J \mid f(p) \neq 0\} = \{f \neq 0\} \cap Z_J.$$

It follows that ν is a homeomorphism. □

To construct the functor Spec on objects, given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} we need to equip the topological space $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of its points with a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of C^∞ -rings. To construct this structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we need to recall a few things about localizations of C^∞ -rings.

Lemma 2.19. Given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} and a set Σ of nonzero elements of \mathcal{A} there exists a C^∞ -ring $\mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\}$ and a map $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\}$ of C^∞ -rings with the following universal property:

(2.19.i) $\gamma(a)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\}$ for all $a \in \Sigma$ and

(2.19.ii) for any map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of C^∞ -rings so that $\varphi(a)$ is invertible in \mathcal{B} for all $a \in \Sigma$ there exists a unique map $\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{B} \\ \gamma \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\varphi} & \\ \mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\} & & \end{array}$$

commute.

Proof. See [MR, p. 23]. □

Definition 2.20. We refer to the map $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\{\Sigma^{-1}\}$ of Lemma 2.19 as a *localization* of the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} at the set Σ .

Remark 2.21. A localization of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} at a set Σ is unique up to a unique isomorphism, so we can speak about *the* localization of \mathcal{A} at Σ .

Notation 2.22. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^∞ -ring. By Lemma 2.19, for an \mathbb{R} -point $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} there exists a localization of \mathcal{A} at the set

$$\{x \neq 0\} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid x(a) \neq 0\}$$

We set

$$\mathcal{A}_x := \mathcal{A}\{\{x \neq 0\}^{-1}\}.$$

and denote the corresponding localization map by

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$$

Joyce proves [J, Proposition 2.14] that $\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ is surjective with $I_x := \ker \pi_x$ given by

$$(2.3) \quad I_x := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{there is } d \in \mathcal{A} \text{ so that } x(d) \neq 0 \text{ and } ad = 0\}.$$

We think of \mathcal{A}_x as the ring of germs of elements of \mathcal{A} at the point x .

Remark 2.23. In case of $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (which is the evaluation at a some point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by Remark 2.13) the localization $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))_x$ is isomorphic to the usual ring of germs of functions at p . This is because both rings are localizations of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at the same set; see [J, Example 2.15].

Remark 2.24. The localizations \mathcal{A}_x are local rings. This is easy to see. Note first that for any $a \in \{x \neq 0\}$, $x(a)$ is invertible in \mathbb{R} , hence $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ gives rise to $\bar{x} : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $x = \bar{x} \circ \pi_x$. Moreover, for any $c \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi_x(c) \notin \ker \bar{x}$ if and only if $x(c) \neq 0$ if and only if $\pi_x(c)$ is invertible in \mathcal{A}_x . Hence $\mathcal{A}_x \setminus \ker \bar{x}$ consists of units of \mathcal{A}_x and therefore $\ker \bar{x}$ is a unique maximal ideal in \mathcal{A}_x .

Construction 2.25. Given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} we construct the corresponding affine C^∞ -scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. In Construction 2.14 we defined a topology on the set $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathbb{R} -points of \mathcal{A} . We now construct the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We start by defining a candidate etale space $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$S_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigsqcup_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}_x \equiv \bigsqcup_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}/I_x.$$

The set $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ comes with the evident surjective map $\varpi : S_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by $\varpi(s) = x$ for all $s \in \mathcal{A}_x \hookrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we get a section $\mathfrak{s}_a : X_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ of ϖ :

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{s}_a(x) = \pi_x(a) \equiv a_x,$$

where, as before, $\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ is the localization map (2.2). The collection of sets

$$\{\mathfrak{s}_a(U) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, U \in \text{Open}(X_{\mathcal{A}})\}$$

forms a basis for a topology on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$. In this topology the projection $\varpi : S_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a local homeomorphism and all sections $\mathfrak{s}_a : X_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ are continuous.

We define the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the sheaf of continuous sections of $\varpi : S_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Equivalently

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) = & \{s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in U} \mathcal{A}_x \mid \text{there is an open cover } \{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A} \text{ of } U \\ & \text{and } \{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ so that } s|_{U_{\alpha}} = \mathfrak{s}_{a_{\alpha}}|_{U_{\alpha}} \text{ for all } \alpha \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

for every open subset U of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. The C^{∞} -ring structure on the sets $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is defined pointwise.

It follows from (2.5) that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheafification of a presheaf $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) := \{\mathfrak{s}_a|_U \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

It turns out that the stalk of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ at a point $x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is (isomorphic to) \mathcal{A}_x ([J, Lemma 4.18]). Consequently the pair $(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a *local* C^{∞} -ringed space.

Remark 2.26. Note that the canonical map $\eta : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ from a presheaf to its sheafification is simply the inclusion: $\eta(\mathfrak{s}_a) = \mathfrak{s}_a$.

Note also that for any open subset U of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ the map

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(U), \quad a \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_a|_U$$

is a surjective map of C^{∞} -rings with the kernel $\bigcap_{x \in U} I_x$. Thus

$$\mathcal{P}(U) \simeq \mathcal{A} / \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x.$$

Remark 2.27. In the case where the C^{∞} -ring \mathcal{A} in Construction 2.25 is the ring $C^{\infty}(M)$ of smooth functions on a manifold M , the space $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of points of \mathcal{A} is canonically homeomorphic to the topological space M underlying the manifold M — cf. Lemma 2.18. More generally, as a local C^{∞} -ringed space $\text{Spec}(C^{\infty}(M))$ is isomorphic to (M, C_M^{∞}) where C_M^{∞} denotes the sheaf of smooth functions on the manifold M . Moreover there is a unique isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}_M : (M, C_M^{\infty}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(C^{\infty}(M))$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\infty}(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \Gamma(C^{\infty}(M)) \\ & \searrow \varepsilon_{C^{\infty}(M)} & \downarrow \Gamma(\underline{\varphi}) \\ & & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\infty}(M)}) \end{array}$$

commute. From now on we suppress the isomorphism $\underline{\varphi}_M : (M, C_M^{\infty}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(C^{\infty}(M))$ for all manifolds M . That is, in effect, we set

$$\text{Spec}(C^{\infty}(M)) = (M, C_M^{\infty})$$

for all manifolds M .

Remark 2.28. It follows from Construction 2.25 that for any C^{∞} -ring \mathcal{A} we have a map

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})), \quad a \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_a.$$

Joyce proves [J, p. 28] that the maps $\{\Psi_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \in C^{\infty}\text{Ring}}$ assemble into a natural transformation $\Psi : \Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{C^{\infty}\text{Ring}^{\text{op}}}$ and that this natural transformation is the counit ε of the adjunction

$\Gamma \vdash \text{Spec}$. Thus for a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} the component of the adjunction $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \mathfrak{s}_a$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathfrak{s}_a : X_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined by (2.4).

Next we define the functor Spec on morphisms. To do this we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.29. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a map of C^∞ -rings and $x : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a point. Then φ induces a unique map $\varphi_x : \mathcal{A}_{x \circ \varphi} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ of C^∞ -rings on their respective localizations making the diagram

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{B} \\ \pi_{x \circ \varphi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_x \\ \mathcal{A}_{x \circ \varphi} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} & \mathcal{B}_x \end{array}$$

commute.

Proof. Since $\pi_y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_y$ is a localization of the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} at the set $\{y \neq 0\} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid y(a) \neq 0\}$ and $\pi_x : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ is the localization of \mathcal{B} at $\{x \neq 0\}$, it is enough to show that

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi(\{x \circ \varphi \neq 0\}) \subseteq \{x \neq 0\}.$$

Since

$$(x \circ \varphi)(a) \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x(\varphi(a)) \neq 0,$$

(2.9) holds and we are done. \square

Construction 2.30 (Construction of Spec on morphisms). Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a map of C^∞ -rings. Define a map of sets $f(\varphi) : X_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ (where as before $X_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R})$ and $X_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{R})$) by

$$f(\varphi)(x) := x \circ \varphi.$$

Recall that $\{V_a = \{y \in X_{\mathcal{A}} \mid y(a) \neq 0\}\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a basis for the topology on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ and similarly $\{U_b = \{x \in X_{\mathcal{B}} \mid x(b) \neq 0\}\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$ is a basis for the topology on $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. Since

$$(f(\varphi))^{-1}(V_a) = \{x \in X_{\mathcal{B}} \mid f(\varphi)x \in V_a\} = \{x \in X_{\mathcal{B}} \mid x(\varphi(a)) \neq 0\} = U_{\varphi(a)},$$

the map $f(\varphi)$ is continuous with respect to the Zariski topologies on $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. It remains to construct a map of sheaves

$$f(\varphi)_{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow f(\varphi)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Fix an open set $U \subseteq X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We construct a map

$$f(\varphi)_{\#,U} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(f(\varphi)^{-1}U).$$

of C^∞ -rings as follows. Recall that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) = \{\mathfrak{s}_a : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in U} \mathcal{A}_x \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

where, as in (2.4), $\mathfrak{s}_a(y) = a_y$ for all $y \in U$. Here and below to reduce the clutter we write \mathfrak{s}_a when we mean $\mathfrak{s}_a|_U$. Given $\mathfrak{s}_a \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ consider

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_a : f(\varphi)^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in f(\varphi)^{-1}(U)} \mathcal{B}_x, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_a(x) := \varphi_x(\mathfrak{s}_a(f(\varphi)x)),$$

where $\varphi_x : \mathcal{A}_{f(\varphi)x} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ is the map from Lemma 2.29. Note that

$$\varphi_x(\mathfrak{s}_a(f(\varphi)x)) = \varphi_x(\pi_{f(\varphi)x} a) = \pi_x(\varphi(a)),$$

where the last equality is commutativity of (2.8). Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_a = \mathfrak{s}_{\varphi(a)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(f(\varphi)^{-1}(U)) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(f(\varphi)^{-1}(U))$. We therefore define

$$f(\varphi)_{\#,U} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(f(\varphi)^{-1}U)$$

by

$$f(\varphi)_{\#,U}(\mathfrak{s}_a) := \mathfrak{s}_{\varphi(a)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that the family of maps $\{f(\varphi)_{\#,U}\}_{U \subset X_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is a map of presheaves $f(\varphi)_{\#} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow f(\varphi)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}$. By the universal property of sheafification we get a map of sheaves $f(\varphi)_{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow f(\varphi)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

We define

$$\text{Spec}(\varphi) \equiv \underline{f(\varphi)} := (f(\varphi), f(\varphi)_{\#}).$$

3. C^∞ -DERIVATIONS AND Spec

Recall that the set $C^\infty \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ of C^∞ -derivations of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} (Definition A.30 and Notation A.31) is an \mathcal{A} -module: the action of \mathcal{A} on $C^\infty \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$(av)(b) := a(v(b))$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $v \in C^\infty \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similarly the set $\mathcal{X}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of vector fields on a local C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) (Notation 1.1, Definition 1.2, Notation 1.3) is a $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -module: given $v \in \mathcal{X}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X)$ we define $av : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ by

$$(av)_U(s) := a|_U v_U(s)$$

for all open sets $U \subset X$ and all sections $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$.

If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is the affine scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ then the counit of adjunction $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ makes the set $\mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ into an \mathcal{A} -module. We need to understand the relation between C^∞ -derivations on C^∞ -rings and vector fields on affine C^∞ -schemes.

Lemma 3.1. Let $v : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a C^∞ -ring derivation of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} and $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ an ideal with $v(I) \subset I$. Then the induced map

$$\bar{v} : \mathcal{A}/I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I, \quad \bar{v}(a + I) := v(a) + I$$

is a C^∞ -ring derivation.

Proof. Given n , $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(f_{\mathcal{A}/I}(a_1 + I, \dots, a_n + I)) &= \bar{v}(f_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + I) \\ &= v(f_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) + I \\ &= \left(\sum (\partial_i f)_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) v(a_i) \right) + I \\ &= \sum ((\partial_i f)_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + I)(v(a_i) + I) \\ &= \sum (\partial_i f)_{\mathcal{A}/I}(a_1 + I, \dots, a_n + I) \bar{v}(a_i + I). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. Let $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an \mathbb{R} -point of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}_x the localization of \mathcal{A} at the set $\{x \neq 0\}$ (see Lemma 2.19 and subsequent discussion). A C^∞ -ring derivation $v : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ preserves the ideal $I_x := \ker(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \mathcal{A}_x)$ hence induces a derivation $v_x : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$.

Proof. Recall that the localization map $\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ is surjective with the kernel

$$I_x := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{there is } d \in \mathcal{A} \text{ so that } x(d) \neq 0 \text{ and } ad = 0\}.$$

We want to show: if $a \in I_x$ then $v(a) \in I_x$ as well (for then we can define $v_x : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ by $v_x(b + I_x) := v(b) + I_x$). Since $a \in I_x$ there is $d \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $x(d) \neq 0$ and $ad = 0$. Since v is a derivation

$$0 = v(ad) = av(d) + dv(a).$$

Since I_x is an ideal, $av(d) \in I_x$. Hence $dv(a) = -av(d) \in I_x$. Therefore there is $d' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x(d') \neq 0$ and $0 = d'(dv(a)) = (d'd)v(a)$. Since x is a homomorphism, $0 \neq x(d')x(d) = x(d'd)$. Therefore $v(a) \in I_x$. Now apply Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^∞ -ring and $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) = (X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ the corresponding affine scheme. The map

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))) \equiv C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{\mathcal{A}})), \quad \Gamma(v) := v_{X_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

is injective (Notation 1.1).

Proof. It is clear that the map Γ in (3.1) is linear. If $\Gamma(v) = 0$, then $v_{X_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{s}_a) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since v is a map of presheaves of vector spaces, for any $U \in \text{Open}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$,

$$0 = v_{X_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{s}_a)|_U = v_U(\mathfrak{s}_a|_U).$$

Therefore the restriction of v to the presheaf $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is zero. Since v is a map of presheaves and the sheafification of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (both as a sheaf of C^∞ -rings and as a sheaf of vector spaces), v itself has to be 0. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a complete C^∞ -ring (so that the map $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an isomorphism). Let $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) = (X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the corresponding affine scheme. Then the map

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{F} : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \mathbb{F}(v) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(v) \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$$

(where $\Gamma(v)$ is given by (3.1)) is an isomorphism of real vector spaces, of real Lie algebras and of \mathcal{A} -modules.

Proof. We prove that \mathbb{F} is a linear isomorphism. The two other claims follow easily from this fact. It is also clear that \mathbb{F} is \mathbb{R} -linear. Since $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism of C^∞ -rings and since the Γ is injective, the map \mathbb{F} is injective.

We prove that \mathbb{F} is surjective by constructing a linear map

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Spec} : C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$$

with the property that $\mathbb{F}(\text{Spec}(w)) = w$ for all $w \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. The assumption that $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an isomorphism implies, in particular, that every global section of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of the form \mathfrak{s}_a for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (cf. Remark 2.28).

Now fix a derivation $w \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. By Lemma 3.2 $w(I_x) = 0$ for any point $x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence for any $U \in \text{Open}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$ we have a well-defined C^∞ -ring derivation

$$w_U : \mathcal{A} / \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x \rightarrow \mathcal{A} / \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x, \quad w_U(a + \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x) = w(a) + \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x.$$

By Remark 2.26 the map

$$\mathcal{A} / \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U), \quad a + \bigcap_{x \in U} I_x \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_a|_U$$

is an isomorphism of C^∞ -rings. It follows that

$$v_U : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(U), \quad v_U(\mathfrak{s}_a|_U) := \mathfrak{s}_{w(a)}|_U$$

is a well-defined C^∞ -ring derivation. If $W, U \in \text{Open}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$ are two open sets with $W \subset U$ then

$$(v_U(\mathfrak{s}_a|_U))|_W = (\mathfrak{s}_{w(a)}|_U)|_W = \mathfrak{s}_{w(a)}|_W = v_W(\mathfrak{s}_a|_W) = v_W((\mathfrak{s}_a|_U)|_W).$$

Hence the collection of derivations $\{v_U\}_{U \in \text{Open}(X_{\mathcal{A}})}$ is a map of presheaves of real vector spaces. Since the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheafification of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$, there is a unique map of sheaves

$$\text{Spec}(w) : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

so that for any open set $U \subset X_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\text{Spec}(w)_U(\mathfrak{s}_a|_U) = v_U(\mathfrak{s}_a|_U) = s_{w(a)}|_U.$$

In particular if $U = X_{\mathcal{A}}$ then $\text{Spec}(w)_{X_{\mathcal{A}}} = \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w))$ satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w))\mathfrak{s}_a = \mathfrak{s}_{w(a)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \mathfrak{s}_a$ (3.4) is equivalent to

$$((\Gamma \circ \text{Spec})(w)) \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} \circ w.$$

Equivalently

$$(\Gamma(\text{Spec}(w))) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} \circ w \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$w = (\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}})(\text{Spec}(w)) = \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w)).$$

Consequently Γ is surjective and we are done. \square

Remark 3.5. It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.4 above that the map $\text{Spec} : C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ is the inverse of the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 3.6. Recall that given a C^∞ -manifold M (with or without boundary) we may view the local C^∞ -ringed space (M, C_M^∞) as $\text{Spec}(M)$ (Remark 2.27). In differential geometry one usually views a vector field v on a manifold M as either a (C^∞ -ring) derivation of $C^\infty(M)$ or as a section of the tangent bundle $TM \rightarrow M$. The latter is equivalent to viewing v as a map of sheaves of vector spaces:

$$v : C_M^\infty \rightarrow C_M^\infty$$

so that for each $U \in \text{Open}(M)$ the map $v_U : C^\infty(U) = C_M^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$ is a derivation. In other words the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(C^\infty(M))) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(C^\infty(M))$ and its inverse Spec are suppressed. We will adhere to this tradition as far as manifolds are concerned.

Lemma 3.7. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two complete C^∞ -rings (so that $\text{Spec} : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}), \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}))$ is a bijection). Then for any map $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of C^∞ -rings and for any two derivations $w \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{B})$, $v \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, the derivations w and v are φ -related if and only if the vector fields $\text{Spec}(v)$ and $\text{Spec}(w)$ are $\underline{f} := \text{Spec}(\varphi)$ -related.

Proof. By definition, the vector fields $\text{Spec}(v)$ and $\text{Spec}(w)$ are $\underline{f} = (f, f_\#)$ -related if and only if the diagram

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{f_\#} & f_\# \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \text{Spec}(w) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \text{Spec}(v) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{f_\#} & f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that since the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is complete, the map

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})))$$

is an isomorphism. Similarly the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\Gamma(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})))$ is an isomorphism. Therefore (3.5) commutes if and only if

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(f\#)} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(v)) \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(f\#)} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Consider the diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}) & & \\ \downarrow \varphi & \searrow w & \downarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w)) & \searrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(w)) & \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}) & & \\ \downarrow \varphi & \downarrow \Gamma(f\#) & \downarrow \Gamma(f\#) & & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) & & \\ \downarrow v & \downarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(v)) & \downarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec}(v)) & & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) & & \end{array}$$

The back and front faces of the cube commute because ε is a natural transformation. The top and bottom faces of the cube commute by construction of $\text{Spec}(w)$ and $\text{Spec}(v)$, respectively (see proof of Lemma 3.4 above). Therefore the left face of the cube commutes if and only if the right face of the cube commutes. \square

Essentially the same proof also gives us the following result.

Lemma 3.8. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two complete C^∞ -rings, $\underline{f} : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ a map of local C^∞ -ringed spaces. Two vector fields $v \in \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}))$ are \underline{f} -related if and only if $\Gamma(w)$ and $\Gamma(v)$ are φ -related, where $\varphi = \text{Spec}^{-1}(\underline{f})$ (here as before $\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, $\Gamma : \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{B})$ are the isomorphism of Lemma 3.4).

Since an integral curve of a vector field on an affine scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ is a map from an interval to a scheme (Definition 1.8), we need to understand maps from manifolds to schemes.

Theorem 3.9. Let \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated and germ determined C^∞ -ring and L a manifold (possibly with boundary). Let $\Pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a choice of generators of \mathcal{A} , $J = \ker \Pi$ and Z_J the set of zeros of J (Definition 2.16). The map

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi : \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(C^\infty(L)), \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M} := \{f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid f \text{ is } C^\infty \text{ and } f(L) \subseteq Z_J\} \\ \Psi((\varphi, \varphi\#)) &\mapsto \varphi \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Here we identify L with the set of \mathbb{R} -points $\text{Hom}(C^\infty(L), \mathbb{R})$ of $C^\infty(L)$ and Z_J with the set of \mathbb{R} -points of \mathcal{A} (Lemma 2.18).

Remark 3.10. We may define the set of ‘‘smooth’’ functions on Z_J to be $C^\infty(Z_J) := C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)|_{Z_J}$. The pair $(Z_J, C^\infty(Z_J))$ is then a differential space in the sense of Sikorski [Si], and a function f is in the set of \mathfrak{M} if and only if $f : M \rightarrow Z_J$ is smooth as a map of differential spaces.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Recall that by Milnor's exercise the map

$$H : C^\infty(L, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(L)), \quad H(f) = f^*$$

is a bijection. The image of \mathfrak{M} is the set

$$H(\mathfrak{M}) = \{\varphi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(L) \mid \varphi(J) = 0\}.$$

Since $\Pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is surjective, the map

$$\Pi^* : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, C^\infty(L)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(L)), \quad \Pi^*(\mu) = \mu \circ \Pi$$

is injective. Its image is again $\{\varphi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(L) \mid \varphi(J) = 0\} = H(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, C^\infty(L)), \quad \Phi(L \xrightarrow{f} Z_J) = (\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} C^\infty(L)),$$

where \bar{f} is defined by $\bar{f}(\Pi(h)) = h \circ f$ for all $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is a bijection. Since \mathcal{A} and $C^\infty(L)$ are both finitely generated and germ determined

$$\text{Spec} : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, C^\infty(L)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(C^\infty(L)), \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$$

is a bijection. Consequently for any smooth function $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f(L) \subseteq Z_J$ we get a unique map

$$\underline{\varphi} = (\varphi, \varphi_\#) := \text{Spec}(\bar{f}) : \text{Spec}(C^\infty(L)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Moreover all maps from $\text{Spec}(C^\infty(L))$ to $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ are of the form $\text{Spec}(\bar{f})$ for some smooth function $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f(L) \subseteq Z_J$.

We now argue that the map $\varphi : X_{C^\infty(L)} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is f once we identify $L \simeq X_{C^\infty(L)}$ and $Z_J \simeq X_{\mathcal{A}}$. In other words

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{ev}_q & X_{C^\infty(L)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_{\mathcal{A}} & \bar{\text{ev}}_p \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ q & L & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_J & p \end{array}$$

commutes. Here and below the right vertical map is the homeomorphism of Lemma 2.18. Recall that by construction of $\text{Spec}(\bar{f})$ the map φ sends an \mathbb{R} -point $x : C^\infty(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the \mathbb{R} -point $x \circ \bar{f} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\varphi(x) = x \circ \bar{f}.$$

Since $x = \text{ev}_q$ for some $q \in L$, and since $\bar{f}(\Pi(h)) = h \circ f$ and $\bar{\text{ev}}_p(\Pi(h)) = h(p)$ for all $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\varphi(\text{ev}_q)(\Pi(h)) = (\text{ev}_q \circ \bar{f})(\Pi(h)) = \text{ev}_q(h \circ f) = h(f(q)) = \bar{\text{ev}}_{f(q)} h.$$

In other words

$$\varphi(\text{ev}_q) = \bar{\text{ev}}_{f(q)}$$

and we are done. □

4. INTEGRAL CURVES OF VECTOR FIELDS ON SCHEMES

The goal of the section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let v be a vector field on an affine scheme $(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated and germ determined (hence complete) C^∞ -ring. Then for any point $p \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists a (necessarily unique) maximal integral curve $\underline{\mu}_p = (\mu_p, (\mu_p)_\#) : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ of the vector field v subject to the initial condition $\mu_p(0) = p$ (Definition 1.8).

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is finitely generated there is $n > 0$ and a surjective map

$$\Pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

of C^∞ -rings. Let $J = \ker \Pi$,

$$Z_J := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid j(p) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J\}.$$

(cf. Definition 2.16). Let $\hat{v} = \mathbb{F}(v) \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. We need a lemma:

Lemma 4.2. We use the above notation. There exists a derivation $V \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is Π -related to \hat{v} .

Proof. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the standard coordinate functions. Since Π is onto, for any i , $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{v}(\Pi(x_i)) = \Pi(a_i)$$

for some $a_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let

$$(4.2) \quad V := \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Then V is a C^∞ -ring derivation of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the coordinate functions $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ generate $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a C^∞ -ring (cf. Remark A.34) and since Π is onto, $\Pi(x_1), \dots, \Pi(x_n)$ generate the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} (cf. Definition A.35). Observe that

$$\Pi(V(x_i)) = \Pi\left(\sum_j a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} x_i\right) = \Pi(a_i) = \hat{v}(\Pi(x_i))$$

for all i . Since V and \hat{v} are C^∞ -ring derivations (Definition A.30) and since $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ freely generated $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that

$$\Pi(V(f)) = \hat{v}(\Pi(f))$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we are done. \square

We continue with the proof of Theorem 4.1. Under the identification $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ with Z_J (cf. Lemma 2.18), a point $p \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$ corresponds to a point in Z_J , which we again denote by p . Let $\gamma_p : I_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unique maximal integral curve of the vector field V constructed in Lemma 4.2 with the initial condition $\gamma_p(0) = p$. Let K_p denote the connected component of 0 in $\gamma_p^{-1}(Z_J)$ and let $f = \gamma_p|_{K_p}$. Then $f(K_p) \subseteq Z_J$ by construction. By Theorem 3.9 we get $\bar{f} := \overline{(\gamma_p|_{K_p})^*} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^\infty(K_p)$ and

$$\underline{\mu}_p = (\mu_p, (\mu_p)_\#) = \text{Spec}(\bar{f}) : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$$

with $\mu_p = f = \gamma_p|_{K_p}$. Consequently $\mu_p(0) = \gamma_p(0) = p$. We now need another lemma:

Lemma 4.3. We use the notation above. Assume $K_p \neq \{0\}$. The derivation $\hat{v} \in C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is \bar{f} -related to $\frac{d}{dt} \in C^\infty\text{Der}(C^\infty(K_p))$.

Proof. Since γ_p is an integral curve of V

$$\frac{d}{dt}(h \circ \gamma_p) = V(h) \circ \gamma_p$$

for all $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(h \circ \gamma_p|_{K_p}) = V(h) \circ \gamma_p|_{K_p}$$

Since $f = \gamma_p|_{K_p}$ it follows that V and $\frac{d}{dt} \in C^\infty\text{Der}(C^\infty(K_p))$ are f^* -related:

$$f^* \circ V = \frac{d}{dt} \circ f^*.$$

By definition of \bar{f} , $f^* = \bar{f} \circ \Pi$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \circ \bar{f} \circ \Pi = \bar{f} \circ \Pi \circ V.$$

Since V and \hat{v} are Π related, $\bar{f} \circ \Pi \circ V = \bar{f} \circ \hat{v} \circ \Pi$. Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \circ \bar{f} \circ \Pi = \bar{f} \circ \hat{v} \circ \Pi.$$

Since Π is surjective it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \circ \bar{f} = \bar{f} \circ \hat{v},$$

which is what we wanted to prove. \square

It follows from Lemmas 3.7 and 4.3 that $\frac{d}{dt}$ is $\underline{\mu}_p = \text{Spec}(\bar{f})$ -related to $\text{Spec}(\hat{v})$. Since $\hat{v} = \Gamma(v)$ and since $\Gamma^{-1} = \text{Spec}$, $\text{Spec}(\hat{v}) = v$. We conclude that $\underline{\mu}_p : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ is an integral curve of v with $\underline{\mu}_p(0) = p$.

It remains to prove that given any integral curve $\underline{\nu} : (L, C_L^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ of v with $\underline{\nu}(0) = p$, the interval L is a subinterval of K_p , and that

$$(4.3) \quad \underline{\nu} = \underline{\mu}_p \circ \text{Spec}(\iota^*)$$

where $\iota : L \hookrightarrow K_p$ is the inclusion and $\iota^* : C^\infty(K_p) \rightarrow C^\infty(L)$ is the pullback map. This would prove that $\underline{\mu}_p$ is a maximal integral curve of v with the initial condition $\underline{\mu}_p(0) = p$.

So let $\underline{\nu} = (\nu, \nu_\#) : (L, C_L^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ be an integral curve of v with $\nu(0) = p$. Again we assume that $L \neq \{0\}$ (for otherwise there is nothing to prove). Then by Lemma 3.8 $\hat{v} = \Gamma(v)$ is $\text{Spec}^{-1}(\underline{\nu})$ -related to $\frac{d}{dt}$. By Theorem 3.9, $\text{Spec}^{-1}(\underline{\nu}) = \bar{\nu}$ where $\bar{\nu} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^\infty(L)$ is the unique map of C^∞ -rings with

$$\bar{\nu} \circ \Pi = \nu^*.$$

Since V is Π -related to \hat{v} and since \hat{v} is $\bar{\nu}$ -related to $\frac{d}{dt}$, V is $\bar{\nu} \circ \Pi = \nu^*$ -related to $\frac{d}{dt}$. That is, $\nu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an integral curve of V with $\nu(0) = p$, and furthermore $\nu(L) \subseteq Z_J$. It follows that $\nu = \gamma_p|_L$. Additionally, since K_p is the connected component of $\gamma_p^{-1}(Z_J)$ containing 0 and since L is connected, contains 0 and is sent to Z_J by $\nu = \gamma_p|_L$, L has to be a subset of K_p . Therefore

$$\nu = \gamma_p|_L = (\gamma_p|_{K_p})|_L = \underline{\mu}_p|_L.$$

Thus

$$\nu = \underline{\mu}_p \circ \iota$$

where $\iota : L \hookrightarrow K_p$ is the inclusion. Consequently

$$\bar{\nu} \circ \Pi = \nu^* = \iota^* \circ \underline{\mu}_p^* = \iota^* \circ \bar{\mu}_p \circ \Pi.$$

Since Π is surjective

$$\bar{\nu} = \iota^* \circ \bar{\mu}_p.$$

Hence

$$\underline{\nu} = \text{Spec}(\bar{\nu}) = \text{Spec}(\iota^* \circ \bar{\mu}_p) = \underline{\mu}_p \circ \text{Spec}(\iota^*).$$

We conclude that $\underline{\nu} : (L, C_L^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ factors through $\text{Spec}(\iota^*) : (L, C_L^\infty) \rightarrow (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty)$, i.e., through $\iota : L \hookrightarrow K_p$. Therefore $\underline{\mu}_p$ is maximal among all integral curves of $v \in \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ with the initial condition of passing through $p \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$ at time 0. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

5. FLOWS

In this section we finish our proof of Theorem 1.11.

Lemma 5.1. Let V is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n , $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ its flow and $\gamma_p(t) = \Psi(p, t)$ the maximal integral curve of V with initial condition p . Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed subset. For $p \in Z$ let K_p denote the component of 0 of the set $\gamma_p^{-1}(Z)$. Then

$$\mathcal{W} := \{(p, t) \in U \mid p \in Z, t \in K_p\}$$

is a closed subset of U .

Proof. Suppose we have a sequence $\{(x_n, t_n)\} \subset \mathcal{W}$ converging to $(x, t) \in U$. Since Z is closed, $x \in Z$. Since K_{x_n} are intervals containing 0, they are convex. It follows that for any $a \in [0, 1]$, $(x_n, at_n) \in \mathcal{W}$. Moreover $(x_n, at_n) \rightarrow (x, at)$. Since $(x_n, at_n) \in \mathcal{W}$, $\Psi(x_n, at_n) \in Z$. Since Ψ is continuous, $\Psi(x_n, at_n) \rightarrow \Psi(x, at)$. Since Z is closed, $\Psi(x, at) \in Z$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$. Hence $(x, t) \in \mathcal{W}$. \square

Notation 5.2. For any manifold M and any ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq C^\infty(M)$ we denote the zero set of the ideal by $Z_{\mathcal{I}}$:

$$Z_{\mathcal{I}} := \{m \in M \mid f(m) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

We will use a number of observations about ideals and their zero sets.

Lemma 5.3. Let $f : N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map between manifolds, $\mathcal{I} \subset C^\infty(M)$ an ideal and $\langle f^*\mathcal{I} \rangle \subset C^\infty(N)$ the ideal generated by the pullback $f^*\mathcal{I}$ of \mathcal{I} . Then

$$Z_{\langle f^*\mathcal{I} \rangle} = f^{-1}(Z_{\mathcal{I}}).$$

Proof. This is a computation:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in Z_{\langle f^*\mathcal{I} \rangle} &\Leftrightarrow (f^*j)(x) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow j(f(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{I} \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(x) \in Z_{\mathcal{I}} \Leftrightarrow x \in f^{-1}(Z_{\mathcal{I}}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 5.4. For a manifold M and any finite collection $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ of ideals in $C^\infty(M)$

$$Z_{\mathcal{I}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{I}_n} = Z_{\mathcal{I}_1} \cap \dots \cap Z_{\mathcal{I}_n}$$

Proof. Omitted. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.11. Recall that \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated and germ determined C^∞ -ring and $v \in \mathcal{X}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}))$ a vector field on the corresponding affine C^∞ -scheme $(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1 chose $n > 0$ and a surjective map $\Pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then we can identify the space $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of points of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ with the zero set Z_J of the ideal $J = \ker \Pi$. We have seen that there is a vector field V on \mathbb{R}^n so that v is $\text{Spec}(\Pi^*)$ -related to V . As before we denote the flow of V by Ψ . The domain of Ψ is an open subset U of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$U = \{(p, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid t \in I_p\},$$

where, as in Section 4, I_p is the domain of the definition of the maximal integral curve γ_p of V with the initial condition $\gamma_p(0) = p$. For every point $p \in Z_J$ we have a maximal integral curve

$$\underline{\mu}_p : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$$

of v with $\underline{\mu}_p(0) = p$. Recall that $\underline{\mu}_p = \text{Spec}(\overline{(\gamma_p|_{K_p})^*})$. By Lemma 5.1 the set

$$\mathcal{W} := \{(p, t) \in U \mid p \in Z_J, t \in K_p\}$$

is closed in U . Denote the ideal of functions in $C^\infty(U)$ that vanish on \mathcal{W} by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}}$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}} = \{f \in C^\infty(U) \mid f|_{\mathcal{W}} = 0\}.$$

Note that the zero set $Z_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}}}$ is \mathcal{W} . We have an evident projection $\text{pr} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{pr}(p, t) = p$. Now consider the ideal

$$\mathcal{J} := \langle \Psi^* J \rangle + \langle \text{pr}^* J \rangle + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

Then its zero set is

$$Z_{\mathcal{J}} = Z_{\langle \Psi^* J \rangle} \cap Z_{\langle \text{pr}^* J \rangle} \cap Z_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}}}$$

By Lemma 5.3 $Z_{\langle \Psi^* J \rangle} = \Psi^{-1}(Z_J)$ and $Z_{\langle \text{pr}^* J \rangle} = \text{pr}^{-1}(Z_J)$. Since $\mathcal{W} \subset \Psi^{-1}(Z_J) \cap \text{pr}^{-1}(Z_J)$,

$$Z_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{W}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B} := C^\infty(U)/\mathcal{J}$. By Lemma 2.18 the set of points $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ “is” $Z_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{W}$. Since $\Psi^* J \subset \mathcal{J}$ by construction of \mathcal{J} , $\Psi^* : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$ induces

$$\overline{\Psi^*} : \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/J \rightarrow \mathcal{B} = C^\infty(U)/\mathcal{J}$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^*} & C^\infty(U) \\ \Pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi' \\ C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/J & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi^*}} & C^\infty(U)/\mathcal{J} \end{array}$$

commutes. Here Π' denotes the quotient map.

We now argue that

$$\underline{\Phi} := \text{Spec}(\overline{\Psi^*}) : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$$

is the flow of v (cf. Definition 1.10).

As we already noted we may identify the space of points $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{B} with

$$Z_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{p \in Z_J = X_{\mathcal{A}}} \{p\} \times K_p.$$

For every point $p \in Z_J$ we have a smooth map

$$\zeta_p : K_p \rightarrow U, \quad \zeta_p(t) = (p, t).$$

By definitions of K_p and \mathcal{W} , $\zeta_p(K_p) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. By Theorem 3.9 ζ_p corresponds to

$$\underline{\zeta}_p : (K_p, C_{K_p}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$$

with $\underline{\zeta}_p = \text{Spec}(\overline{\zeta_p^*})$ (where $\overline{\zeta_p^*} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^\infty(K_p)$ is induced by ζ_p^*). It remain to check that $\underline{\Phi} \circ \underline{\zeta}_p$ is the maximal integral curve $\underline{\mu}_p$ of v with the appropriate initial condition. Since Ψ is the flow of V ,

$$\Psi \circ \zeta_p = \gamma_p|_{K_p}.$$

Then

$$\overline{\zeta_p^*} \circ \overline{\Psi^*} \circ \Pi = \overline{\zeta_p^*} \circ \Pi' \circ \Psi^* = \zeta_p^* \circ \Psi^* = (\gamma_p|_{K_p})^* = \overline{(\gamma_p|_{K_p})^*} \circ \Pi.$$

Since Π is surjective

$$\overline{\zeta_p^*} \circ \overline{\Psi^*} = \overline{(\gamma_p|_{K_p})^*}.$$

Hence

$$\underline{\mu}_p = \text{Spec}(\overline{(\gamma_p|_{K_p})^*}) = \text{Spec}(\overline{\Psi^*}) \circ \text{Spec}(\overline{\zeta_p^*}) = \underline{\Phi} \circ \underline{\zeta}_p.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.11.

REFERENCES

- [AGJ] J.M. Arms, M. Gotay and G. Jennings, Geometric and algebraic reduction for singular momentum maps, *Advances in Mathematics* **79** (1990), pp. 43–103.
- [Ba] M. Barr, *Acyclic models*, AMS, Providence, 2002.
- [Be] J. M. Beck, *Triples, algebras and cohomology*, PhD dissertation, Columbia University, 1967, <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/2/tr2abs.html>.
- [Bor] F. Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra 2*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [D] E.J. Dubuc, C^∞ -schemes, *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), no. 4, 683–690.
- [IZ] P. Iglesias-Zemmour, *Diffeology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **185**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013, pp. xxiv+439.
- [J] D. Joyce, Algebraic geometry over C^∞ -rings, *Mem. AMS* **260** (2019), no. 1256.
- [Ka] D.B. Kaledin, Normalization of a Poisson algebra is Poisson, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 2009, Vol. 264, pp. 70–73.
- [KL] Y. Karshon and E. Lerman, Vector fields and flows on subcartesian spaces, *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **19** (2023): 093.
- [Lei] T. Leinster, *Basic category theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [L1] E. Lerman, Differential forms on C^∞ -ringed spaces, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, **196** (2024): 105062
- [L2] E. Lerman, Cartan calculus for C^∞ -ringed spaces, *Journal of Topology and Analysis* (2024), pp. 1–50.
- [L3] E. Lerman, Poisson C^∞ -rings and schemes, in preparation.
- [MR] I. Moerdijk and G.E. Reyes, *Models for Smooth Infinitesimal Analysis*, Springer, 1991.
- [N] J. Nestruev, *Smooth manifolds and observables*, Springer, 2003.
- [Po] A. Polishchuk, Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **84** no. 5 (1997), pp. 1413–1444.
- [Pu] L.E. Pursell, *Algebraic structures associated with smooth manifolds*, PhD thesis, Purdue University, 1952.
- [Si] R. Sikorski, Differential modules, *Colloq. Math.* **24** (1971), 45–79.
- [SW] J. Sniatycki and A. Weinstein, Reduction and quantization for singular momentum mappings, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **7** (1983), 155–161.
- [Spa] K. Spallek, Differenzierbare Räume, *Math. Ann.* **180** (1969), 269–296.
- [Spi] D.I. Spivak, *Quasi-smooth derived manifolds*, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley 2007. 131 pp.

APPENDIX A. REVIEW OF C^∞ -RINGS, MODULES AND C^∞ -DERIVATIONS

In this section we rapidly review the facts we need about C^∞ -rings, modules over C^∞ -rings, C^∞ -derivations, local C^∞ -rings and local C^∞ -ringed spaces. Our main references are [MR] and [J].

Definition A.1 (The category **Euc** of Euclidean (a.k.a. Cartesian) spaces). The objects of the category **Euc** are the coordinate vector spaces \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 0$, thought of as C^∞ -manifolds. The morphisms are C^∞ maps.

Remark A.2. Note that all objects of **Euc** are finite powers of one object:

$$\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^1)^n.$$

Definition A.3. A C^∞ -ring \mathcal{C} is a functor

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

from the category **Euc** of Euclidean spaces to the category **Set** of sets that preserves finite products.

Remark A.4. Definition A.3 says that given a C^∞ ring \mathcal{C} we have:

(A.4.i) For any $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an n -fold product of the set $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^1)$. This means, in particular, that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}^n \xrightarrow{pr_i} \mathcal{C},$$

where x_i is the i th coordinate function and pr_i is the projection on the i th factor.

(A.4.ii) For any smooth function $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have a map of sets

$$\mathcal{C}(g) : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^m = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

with

$$pr_j \circ \mathcal{C}(g) = \mathcal{C}(g_j).$$

(A.4.iii) For any triple of smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ we have three maps of sets $\mathcal{C}(g)$, $\mathcal{C}(f)$, $\mathcal{C}(f \circ g)$ with

$$\mathcal{C}(f) \circ \mathcal{C}(g) = \mathcal{C}(f \circ g).$$

(A.4.iv) $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^0)$ is a single point set $\{*\}$. This is because by definition product-preserving functors take terminal objects to terminal objects.

It follows from Remark A.4 that we could have equivalently defined a C^∞ -ring as a set \mathcal{C} together with an infinite collection n -ary operations, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, one operation $f_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for each $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. Thus we have an equivalent definition:

Definition A.5. A C^∞ -ring is a (nonempty) set \mathcal{C} together with operations

$$g_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

for all m and all $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that for all $n, m \geq 0$, all $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and all $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(A.1) \quad (g \circ (f_1, \dots, f_m))_\mathcal{C}(c_1, \dots, c_n) = g_\mathcal{C}((f_1)_\mathcal{C}(c_1, \dots, c_n), \dots, (f_m)_\mathcal{C}(c_1, \dots, c_n))$$

for all $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}^n$. Additionally we require that for every $m > 0$ and for every coordinate function $x_j : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$(A.2) \quad (x_j)_\mathcal{C}(c_1, \dots, c_m) = c_j.$$

It is customary not to distinguish between C^∞ -rings viewed as product preserving Set-valued functors and C^∞ -rings viewed as sets with operations; cf. [MR, J].

Remark A.6. A nullary operation on a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{C} is a map $c_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C}^0 \equiv * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $c : \mathbb{R}^0 = \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is a function, i.e., an element $c(0)$ of \mathbb{R}^1 . Equivalently for every real number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have an element $c_\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular we have $0_\mathcal{C}$ and $1_\mathcal{C}$ in \mathcal{C} .

Definition A.7. A map (morphism) from a C^∞ -ring $\mathcal{C} : \text{Euc} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ to a C^∞ -ring $\mathcal{B} : \text{Euc} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is a natural transformation $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Equivalently if we think of C^∞ -rings as sets with operations, a map of C^∞ -rings is a map of sets that preserves all the operations.

Remark A.8. Any nonzero C^∞ -ring \mathcal{C} has an underlying unital \mathbb{R} -algebra. This is because to define an \mathbb{R} -algebra structure we need scalars, addition and multiplication (plus various compatibility and associativity conditions). We have already explained that scalars are nullary operations.

Since $g(x, y) = xy \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have a multiplication map $g_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We write $a_1 a_2$ for $g_\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$. With this notation it is not hard to show that

$$0_\mathcal{C} a = 0_\mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad 1_\mathcal{C} a = a$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$. Consequently if $0_\mathcal{C} = 1_\mathcal{C}$ then $\mathcal{C} = \{0_\mathcal{C}\}$. Otherwise the C^∞ ring \mathcal{C} contains a copy of the reals \mathbb{R} , which we think of as the set of scalars. The addition is the operation defined by the function $f(x, y) = x + y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which we simply denote by $+$: $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

We will not notationally distinguish between C^∞ -rings and their underlying \mathbb{R} -algebras.

Notation A.9 (The category $C^\infty\text{Ring}$ of C^∞ -rings). The composite of two morphisms of C^∞ -rings is again a morphism of C^∞ -rings. Consequently C^∞ -rings form a category that we denote by $C^\infty\text{Ring}$.

Remark A.10. The category of C^∞ -rings is complete and co-complete for category theoretic reasons [Bor, Theorem 3.4.5]. Here is, roughly, the argument. Product preserving functors commute with limits and the category **Set** of sets is complete. Consequently $C^\infty\text{Ring}$ is complete and the limits are computed “object-wise.” The co-completeness follows from the fact that $C^\infty\text{Ring}$ is a reflective subcategory of the functor category $[\text{Euc}, \text{Set}]$ and that the functor category $[\text{Euc}, \text{Set}]$ is co-complete.

Remark A.11. There is an evident notion of a C^∞ -subring of a C^∞ -ring. Such an object can be defined as a subfunctor (if we think of C^∞ -rings as product preserving functors). This translates into a subset closed under all the operations when we think of C^∞ -rings as sets with operations.

The following well-known lemma is very useful for dealing with C^∞ -rings.

Lemma A.12 (Hadamard’s lemma). For any smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exist smooth functions $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ so that

$$(A.3) \quad f(x) - f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i) g_i(x, y)$$

for any pair of points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. See [MR] or [N]. □

Definition A.13. An *ideal* in a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{C} is an ideal in an underlying \mathbb{R} -algebra \mathcal{C} .

An important consequence of Hadamard’s lemma is

Lemma A.14. Let I be an ideal in a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{C} . Then the quotient \mathcal{C}/I \mathbb{R} -algebra is naturally a C^∞ -ring: for any $n \geq 0$, $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$f_{\mathcal{C}/I} : (\mathcal{C}/I)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/I, \quad f_{\mathcal{C}/I}(a_1 + I, \dots, a_n + I) = f_{\mathcal{C}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + I \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{C}$$

is a well-defined operation. Moreover the quotient map $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/I$ is a map of C^∞ -rings.

Proof. See [MR]. □

Remark A.15. For any manifold M the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions is naturally a C^∞ -ring: given $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the corresponding n -ary operation

$$f_{C^\infty(M)} : C^\infty(M)^n \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is given by

$$f_{C^\infty(M)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := f \circ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad \text{for all } a_1, \dots, a_n \in C^\infty(M).$$

In particular $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a C^∞ -ring for any $n \geq 0$. In fact $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a free C^∞ -ring on the generators $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^0)$ is a free C^∞ -ring on the empty set of generators.

Example A.16. Let M be a manifold and $Z \subset M$ a closed subset. Recall that a Whitney smooth function on Z is the restriction of a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ to Z . We write $C^\infty(Z)$ for the set of all Whitney smooth functions on Z . It is a standard fact that $C^\infty(Z)$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra which is the quotient of $C^\infty(M)$ by the ideal of smooth functions that vanish on Z . By Lemma A.14 the \mathbb{R} -algebra $C^\infty(Z)$ is a C^∞ -ring. The fact that $C^\infty(Z)$ is a C^∞ -ring can also be shown directly.

Definition A.17. An \mathbb{R} -point of a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is a map of C^∞ -rings $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Remark A.18. An \mathbb{R} -point of a C^∞ -ring is also a map of \mathbb{R} -algebras. The converse is true as well: a map of \mathbb{R} -algebras $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a map of C^∞ -rings. See [MR, Proposition 3.6 (b), p. 33]. The proof relies on the so called Milnor's exercise (which appears to be a result of Pursell — see [Pu, Chapter 8]): for any (second countable Hausdorff) manifold M and any \mathbb{R} -algebra map $\varphi : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is a point $p \in M$ so that $\varphi(f) = f(p)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

In particular for any (second countable Hausdorff) manifold M , the set of \mathbb{R} -points of $C^\infty(M)$ is in bijection with the elements of the set M .

Definition A.19. A C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is *local* if it has exactly one \mathbb{R} -point. Equivalently \mathcal{A} is local if it has a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and the quotient \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m} is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} (as C^∞ -rings).

Remark A.20. Moerdijk and Reyes call our local C^∞ -rings *pointed local C^∞ -rings*.

Remark A.21. The zero C^∞ -ring has no \mathbb{R} -points.

There are also nonzero C^∞ -rings with no \mathbb{R} -points. A simple example is the quotient $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the ideal of the compactly supported functions.

Let M be a maximal ideal of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ containing $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/M$ is a field, hence 0 is a unique maximal ideal in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/M$ but $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/M$ has no \mathbb{R} -points, hence is not local in the sense of Definition A.19.

Lemma A.22. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B} be two local C^∞ -rings and $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a map of C^∞ rings. Then φ maps the unique maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} to the maximal ideal of \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Let $p_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $p_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the unique \mathbb{R} -points. Then $p_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -point. Since $p_{\mathcal{C}}$ is unique

$$p_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \varphi = p_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

□

Definition A.23. ([MR, p. 44]) A C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is *germ determined* if there is an injective map from \mathcal{A} into a product of local C^∞ -rings.

It is convenient to have a condition on C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} being germ determined which is expressed in terms of its localizations $\{\mathcal{A}_x\}_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}}$, see Notation 2.22.

Lemma A.24. Given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} consider the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}_x, \quad \pi(x) := (\pi_x)_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

induced by the set $\{\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x\}_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of all possible localization maps (here as before $X_{\mathcal{A}} := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{R})$, the set of all \mathbb{R} -points of \mathcal{A}). The ring \mathcal{A} is germ determined if and only if the map π above is injective. Equivalently \mathcal{A} is germ determined if and only if $\bigcap_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} I_x = 0$. Here as before $I_x = \ker(\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Clear since each \mathcal{A}_x is a local ring.

(\Leftarrow) Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{S}_j$ be an injective map into a product of local C^∞ -rings. We want to show that $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}_x$ is injective. Note that

$$\ker(\pi) = \bigcap_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \ker(\pi_x)$$

and that similarly

$$\ker(f) = \bigcap_{j \in J} \ker(f_j).$$

To prove injectivity of π it is enough to show that $\ker(\pi) \subseteq \ker(f) = 0$.

If \mathcal{S} is a local C^∞ -ring and $p : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique \mathbb{R} -point, then $\mathcal{S} \setminus \ker(p)$ is a set of units of \mathcal{S} . This is because if $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is not a unit, then it belongs to a maximal ideal, and there is only one maximal ideal, namely $\ker(p)$.

For every $j \in J$ let $p_j : \mathcal{S}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the unique \mathbb{R} -point. Then $p_j \circ f_j : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -point of \mathcal{A} . If $0 \neq (p_j \circ f_j)(a)$ then $p_j(f_j(a)) \neq 0$, hence $f_j(a)$ is a unit in \mathcal{S}_j . By the universal property of the localization $\pi_{p_j \circ f_j} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p_j \circ f_j}$ the map f_j factors through $\pi_{p_j \circ f_j}$: there exists a unique $\bar{f}_j : \mathcal{A}_{p_j \circ f_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_j$ so that

$$f_j = \bar{f}_j \circ \pi_{p_j \circ f_j}.$$

Hence $\ker(\pi_{p_j \circ f_j}) \subset \ker(f_j)$ for all j . Therefore

$$\ker(\pi) = \bigcap_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \ker(\pi_x) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} \ker(\pi_{p_j \circ f_j}) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} \ker(f_j) = \ker(f)$$

and we are done. \square

Corollary A.25. For any C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} the ring $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A} / \bigcap_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} I_x$ is germ determined. (As before $I_x = \ker(\pi_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x)$.)

Proof. By the first isomorphism theorem the map $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A} / I_x$ factors through the projection $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} / \ker(\pi)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \prod_{x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A} / I_x \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\pi} & \\ \mathcal{B} & & \end{array}$$

with $\bar{\pi}$ injective. Hence by Lemma A.24 the C^∞ -ring \mathcal{B} is germ-determined. \square

A.i. Modules and derivations.

Definition A.26. A module over a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is a module over the \mathbb{R} -algebra underlying \mathcal{A} .

Example A.27. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a (smooth) vector bundle over a manifold M . Then the set of sections $\Gamma(E)$ is a module over the C^∞ -ring $C^\infty(M)$. In particular the module $\Omega^1(M)$ of the ordinary de Rham 1-forms is a module over the C^∞ -ring $C^\infty(M)$.

Example A.28. Given a map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of C^∞ -rings, \mathcal{B} is a module over \mathcal{A} .

Remark A.29. A module \mathcal{M} over a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is a Beck module [Be, Ba]: just as in the case of commutative rings — given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} and an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , the product $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ together with the projection $p : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ on the first factor is an abelian group object in the slice category $C^\infty\text{Ring}/\mathcal{A}$ of C^∞ -rings over \mathcal{A} .

Definition A.30. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^∞ -ring and \mathcal{M} an \mathcal{A} -module. A C^∞ derivation of \mathcal{A} with values in the module \mathcal{M} is a map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ so that for any $n > 0$, any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$(A.4) \quad X(f_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_i f)_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot X(a_i).$$

Notation A.31. Given a C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} and an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} we denote the set of all C^∞ -derivations with values in \mathcal{M} by $C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. If the module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$ we write $C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ for $C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Remark A.32. The set of derivations $C^\infty\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is an \mathcal{A} -module.

Example A.33. Let M be a smooth manifold. A C^∞ derivation $X : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ of the C^∞ -ring of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$ with values in $C^\infty(M)$ is an ordinary vector field.

The exterior derivative $d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ is a C^∞ derivation of $C^\infty(M)$ with values in the module $\Omega^1(M)$ of the ordinary 1-forms.

Remark A.34. The C^∞ -ring $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a free C^∞ -ring generated by the standard coordinate functions $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ [MR, p. 17, Proposition 1.1]. This is because the C^∞ -ring operations on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are given by composition, that is,

$$f_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = f \circ (a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

for all k , all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and all a_1, \dots, a_k . Consequently

$$f_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) = f$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In view of Remark A.34 the following definition makes sense.

Definition A.35. . A C^∞ -ring \mathcal{A} is finitely generated if for some $n \geq 0$ there is a surjective map of C^∞ -rings $\Pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.