

Note on Von Neumann Entropy and the Ordering of Inverse Temperatures

Rohit Kishan Ray^{1,*}

¹Center for Theoretical Physics of Complex Systems,
Institute for Basic Science (PCS-IBS), Daejeon - 34126, South Korea

I show that for two inverse temperatures β_1 and β_2 , the von Neumann entropy $S(\rho_\beta)$ of the Gibbs state ρ_β for a given Hamiltonian H satisfies $S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \geq S(\rho_{\beta_2}) \iff \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$. That is, von Neumann entropy is a monotonically increasing function of temperature.

The von Neumann entropy is an important aspect of quantum theory [1]. For a thermal state or Gibbs state ρ_β associated with a Hamiltonian H with inverse temperature β , the von Neumann entropy is given by:

$$S(\rho_\beta) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_\beta \log \rho_\beta), \quad (1)$$

where the density matrix is given by:

$$\rho_\beta = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})}. \quad (2)$$

We consider, the Hamiltonian H has eigenvalues E_i ordered in a non-decreasing fashion. Although, it is intuitive from classical thermodynamics that for a given system entropy increases with temperature, a rigorous proof of the same in case of discrete system scarce in literature. Herein, I am giving the following proof to the relation (Eq. (3) below). We claim —

$$S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \geq S(\rho_{\beta_2}) \iff \beta_1 \leq \beta_2, \quad (3)$$

This simple proof is based on majorization theory [2]. We demonstrate that the eigenvalue distribution of a lower-temperature thermal state majorizes that of a higher-temperature state. Given that the von Neumann entropy is Schur concave [3], this immediately implies the desired monotonicity result. This proof is purely algebraic and holds for all finite-dimensional quantum systems.

Let us consider the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If the for all positive reals $p_i, q_i, i = 1(1)N$ we have the following relation:*

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \geq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \geq \frac{p_N}{q_N}, \quad (4)$$

then it implies,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k q_i} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i}, \quad \text{for } k = 2(1)N - 1 \quad (5)$$

Proof: Using the identity, if $\frac{p}{q} \geq \frac{r}{s}$ for $p, q, r, s \geq 0$ and real (the property of arithmetic mean),

$$\frac{p}{q} \geq \frac{p+r}{q+s} \geq \frac{r}{s}, \quad (6)$$

we proceed to use proof by induction.

Step 1: $k = 2$, we have using the above relation in Eq. (6)

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \geq \frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2} \geq \frac{p_2}{q_2}. \quad (7)$$

Step 2: We take $k = 3$, and using the statement of the Lemma 1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p_2}{q_2} \geq \frac{p_3}{q_3}, \quad \text{from Eq. (4)} \\ \implies & \frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2} \geq \frac{p_3}{q_3}, \quad \text{from Eq. (7)} \\ \implies & \frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2} \geq \frac{p_1 + p_2 + p_3}{q_1 + q_2 + q_3} \geq \frac{p_3}{q_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Step 3: Lets assume for some $k = r < N - 1$ the following holds true,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^r q_i} \geq \frac{p_r}{q_r} \quad (9)$$

so we consider $k = r + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^r q_i} \geq \frac{p_{r+1}}{q_{r+1}}, \\ \implies & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^r q_i} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} q_i} \geq \frac{p_{r+1}}{q_{r+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Therefore, we can write the following

$$\frac{p_1 + p_2}{q_1 + q_2} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^r q_i} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i}, \quad (11)$$

which concludes the proof. \square

We will prove the main statement in Eq. (3) by contradiction. We assume the following,

$$\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \quad (12)$$

and try to show $S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \geq S(\rho_{\beta_2})$. Note, we have consider the energy eigenvalues to be in non-decreasing sequence, i.e., $E_1 \leq E_i \leq E_N$. Hence, we write the following (from Eq. (12)):

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\beta_1 E_i} \geq e^{\beta_2 E_i}, \quad \forall E_i, \\ \implies & e^{-\beta_1 E_i} \leq e^{-\beta_2 E_i}, \\ \implies & \sum_i e^{-\beta_1 E_i} \leq \sum_i e^{-\beta_2 E_i}, \\ \implies & Z(\beta_1) \leq Z(\beta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

* rkray@ibs.re.kr

To proceed further, we need to prove our main theorem as under.

Theorem 1. *If $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$, the density matrix ρ_{β_1} majorizes ρ_{β_2} ($\rho_{\beta_1} \succ \rho_{\beta_2}$). That is*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{Z(\beta_1)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{e^{-\beta_2 E_i}}{Z(\beta_2)}, \quad (14)$$

where $k = 1(1)N$ and equality holds for $k = N$.

Proof: We first note that, since $E_1 \leq E_i \leq E_N$, $\frac{e^{-\beta E_1}}{Z(\beta)} \geq \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{Z(\beta)} \geq \frac{e^{-\beta E_N}}{Z(\beta)}$. Let us define the ratio

$$R_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\beta_1 E_i} / Z(\beta_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\beta_2 E_i} / Z(\beta_2)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} \cdot \frac{Z(\beta_2)}{Z(\beta_1)}. \quad (15)$$

Consider the case $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{e^{-\beta_1 E_1} / Z(\beta_1)}{e^{-\beta_2 E_1} / Z(\beta_2)}, \\ \implies &= \frac{\sum_j e^{-\beta_2 (E_j - E_1)}}{\sum_i e^{-\beta_1 (E_i - E_1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Given, $E_1 \leq E_i$, $\forall i$, we can write using Eq (12),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\beta_2 (E_i - E_1)} &\geq e^{-\beta_1 (E_i - E_1)}, \\ \implies \sum_j e^{-\beta_2 (E_j - E_1)} &\geq \sum_i e^{-\beta_1 (E_i - E_1)}, \\ \implies R_1 &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\implies \frac{e^{-\beta_1 E_1}}{Z(\beta_1)} \geq \frac{e^{-\beta_2 E_1}}{Z(\beta_2)} \quad (18)$$

Let us consider the ratio R_2

$$R_2 = \frac{(e^{-\beta_1 E_1} + e^{-\beta_1 E_2}) / Z(\beta_1)}{(e^{-\beta_2 E_1} + e^{-\beta_2 E_2}) / Z(\beta_2)}. \quad (19)$$

Using Lemma 1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(e^{-\beta_1 E_1} + e^{-\beta_1 E_2})}{(e^{-\beta_2 E_1} + e^{-\beta_2 E_2})} &\geq \frac{Z(\beta_1)}{Z(\beta_2)}, \\ \implies \frac{(e^{-\beta_1 E_1} + e^{-\beta_1 E_2})}{(e^{-\beta_2 E_1} + e^{-\beta_2 E_2})} \cdot \frac{Z(\beta_2)}{Z(\beta_1)} &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

thus satisfying the second step of this proof by induction

$$R_2 \geq 1. \quad (21)$$

Similarly, we consider the next step, and assume $R_r \geq 1$ for some $1 \leq r \leq N - 1$, which implies

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} \cdot \frac{Z(\beta_2)}{Z(\beta_1)} \geq 1 \quad (22)$$

Using Lemma 1 once more, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} \geq \frac{Z(\beta_1)}{Z(\beta_2)}, \\ \implies \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} &\geq \frac{Z(\beta_1)}{Z(\beta_2)}, \\ \implies \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_1 E_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\beta_2 E_i}} \cdot \frac{Z(\beta_2)}{Z(\beta_1)} &\geq 1, \\ \implies R_{r+1} &\geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

So, we conclude that the ratio in Eq. (15) is always greater than or equal to one for the desired values of $k = 1(1)N$ while being strictly equal to one at $k = N$ implying $\rho_{\beta_1} \succ \rho_{\beta_2}$. This proves the theorem. \square

Now we can use Schur concavity property [2] to claim since $\rho_{\beta_1} \succ \rho_{\beta_2}$, $S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \leq S(\rho_{\beta_2})$. But this contradicts the claim in Eq. (3). Thus our original assumption, $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$ was wrong. And we have $S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \geq S(\rho_{\beta_2}) \implies \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$. In the same spirit, we note that, given the result of Theorem 1, $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \implies \rho_{\beta_1} \succ \rho_{\beta_2}$, and we have the same relation with ρ_β and $S(\rho_\beta)$ using Schur's concavity property, we see the reverse is also true. That is, $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \implies S(\rho_{\beta_1}) \geq S(\rho_{\beta_2})$. Therefore, we prove our original claim.

I thank Dr. Budhaditya Bhattacharjee for helpful discussions, and I acknowledge the financial support from the Institute for Basic Science (IBS) in the Republic of Korea through Project No. IBS-R024-D1.

-
- [1] J. von Neumann and R. T. Beyer, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics: New Edition*, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications, new edition ed., edited by N. A. Wheeler (Princeton University Press, 2018) j.ctt1wq8zhp.
- [2] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Springer Series in Statistics (Springer, New York, NY, 2011).
- [3] T. O. Kvålseth, *IEEE Access* **10**, 96006 (2022).