

# Weak Mixing Property for Linear Involutions

Erick Gordillo

Universität Heidelberg  
egordillo@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

## Abstract

In this work, building on the ideas of Avila–Forni [1] and recent developments on the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle [3, 8, 15], we prove that linear involutions are generically weakly mixing. As a consequence, we deduce that for generic half-translation surfaces, the vertical foliation is also weakly mixing.

*Key Words:* Linear involution, non-orientable foliation, flat surface, weak mixing.

## Introduction

A fundamental result in the study of translation surfaces is the celebrated theorem of Avila–Forni, which states that

**Theorem 1.** [1] *For an irreducible permutation  $\pi$  on an alphabet of  $d$  letters which is not a rotation, and for almost any parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , the interval exchange transformation  $T(\lambda, \pi)$  is weakly mixing.*

This result comes as a consequence of the fact that the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle is non-uniformly hyperbolic almost everywhere, proved by G. Forni in 2002 and taken to a major generalization in 2007 by Avila–Viana proving that almost everywhere, that cocycle has simple Lyapunov spectrum. Moreover in that paper, Avila–Forni develop a technical and strong machinery for the theory of measurable cocycles.

Weak mixing for a transformation  $T$  on a probability space can be understood through two equivalent perspectives: Cesàro averages and the spectral properties of the associated unitary operator. In terms of Cesàro averages,  $T$  is weakly mixing if, for all square-integrable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the time-averaged correlation between  $f \circ T^n$  and  $g$  converges to the product of their means:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left| \int (f \circ T^n)g \, d\mu - \int f \, d\mu \int g \, d\mu \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that the long-term behavior of  $T$  "mixes" functions in the space, erasing dependencies over time.

From a spectral perspective, weak mixing corresponds to the absence of non-trivial eigenfunctions for the unitary operator  $U_T$  induced by  $T$  on  $L^2(\mu)$ . The spectrum of  $U_T$  is continuous (without eigenvalues of modulus 1), and the system is said to have a **simple spectrum**.

In Appendix A [14], P. Hubert and C. Matheus describe some conditions on  $S$ -adic systems under which one can use the same philosophy as in Avila–Forni to prove generic weak mixing. This description can be applied to linear involutions. The purpose of this work is to make a precise connection between the ideas of Avila–Forni and properties of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle for quadratic differentials; namely, we will prove that

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\pi$  be a dynamically irreducible generalized permutation, and let  $\lambda$  be an admissible parameter such that the half-translation surface  $S$  generated by  $(\lambda, \pi)$  has genus  $g(S) > 1$ . Then, for almost every such  $\lambda$ , the linear involution  $T(\lambda, \pi)$  is weakly mixing.*

**Remark:** It is worth mentioning, that as noted in [4] if the set of admissible parameters is non-empty then it is an open set.

The ideas of Avila–Forni can be summarized as follows: For an interval exchange transformation  $T(\lambda, \pi)$  with  $d$  subintervals, if there exists a measurable function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(T(x)) = e^{2\pi i v_j} f(x) \tag{1}$$

for  $x \in I_j$ , then the vector  $v$  must be in the weak stable space of the Rauzy–Veech renormalization scheme. This is called the Veech criterion. Avila–Forni prove, by an elimination process, that this *undesirable* dynamics can almost surely be avoided; in the sense that for a solution  $(f, v)$ , the vector  $v$  will almost surely be an element of the integer lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Being in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  implies that all eigenfunctions have a continuous spectrum, which implies weak mixing. To eliminate this undesirable dynamics, the authors use the fact that the monoid generated by the Rauzy–Veech matrices is Zariski dense, and they prove a general result applicable to integral, locally constant, uniform cocycles, which includes the Rauzy–Veech cocycle.

In Lemma 2, we give a precise statement of a Veech criterion for weak mixing for linear involutions, following the ideas of the proof by Veech [16]. The philosophy of the Veech criterion for weak mixing is a very general statement that can be applied to a large number of dynamical systems, as shown in [13]. The proof of our statement relies on the fact that linear involutions capture the dynamics of vertical foliations of half-translation surfaces. As we will briefly describe, these surfaces can be decomposed into rectangles [4], indicating that the vertical foliations on a half-translation surface  $S$  can be viewed as the suspension flow of a linear involution. The entries of the vector  $v$  in Equation 1 then represent the first return times of this suspension.

In order to properly follow the Avila–Forni philosophy, we need to focus on the ergodic properties of the Rauzy–Veech cocycle for linear involutions. For this, we consider the half-translation surface  $(S, q, \Sigma)$  arising from a suspension of a linear involution and take the double orientation cover  $\pi : R \rightarrow S$  such that  $(R, \pi_*q, \hat{\Sigma})$  is a translation surface. The homology  $H_1(R, \mathbb{R})$  admits a decomposition into *plus* and *minus* parts,  $H^+(R)$  and  $H^-(R)$ , respectively, which are invariant under the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle on the translation surface  $R$ . The restriction of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle to the plus part corresponds to the action of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle on the homology of the half-translation surface  $S$ , while the minus part describes the change of parameters in the rectangle decomposition of  $S$  under the Rauzy–Veech renormal-

ization process (see [8]). Consequently, it can be interpreted as the renormalization scheme described by Boissy–Lanneau [4].

In [15], R. Treviño proved that for all connected components of strata of quadratic differentials  $C$ , the second Lyapunov exponent of both the plus and minus parts of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is positive for almost every  $q \in C$ . In [3], the authors show that for every component of strata of quadratic differentials  $C$ , the monoids generated by the plus and minus parts of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle are Zariski dense. This implies that the cocycle is twisting and pinching, and by using the Avila-Viana criteria [2], they establish that the Lyapunov spectrum is simple. As explained in Section 7 of [3], the same can be said for the Lyapunov spectrum of the discrete version of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle. Using these results, we can eliminate the *undesirable* dynamics in the linear involution case.

As a consequence of all this, we also derive:

**Theorem 3.** *For every component of a stratum of quadratic differentials  $C$  over a surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ , the vertical foliation of almost any quadratic differential is weakly mixing.*

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to thank Carlos Matheus for explaining the ideas of Avila–Forni and how to use Zariski density, also I would like to thank Rodolfo Gutierrez-Romo for explaining in detail the ideas of the decomposition of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle.

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Half-translation surfaces

Let  $S$  be a connected Riemann surface of genus  $g$ , and let  $\Sigma = z_1, \dots, z_s$  be a finite subset associated with a pattern  $\kappa = (n_1, \dots, n_s)$ , where each  $n_i \in \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying  $\sum_i n_i = 4g - 4$ . A half-translation structure on  $S$  is defined as an atlas on  $S \setminus \Sigma$  such that for any two overlapping charts  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$ , the transition maps  $\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}$  takes the form  $\phi_i(z) = \pm \phi_j(z) + c$  for some constant  $c$ . Additionally, around each point  $z_i \in \Sigma$ , there exists a neighborhood that is isometric to a Euclidean cone, for  $S \setminus \Sigma$  there exists a flat metric, for which the points in  $\Sigma$  are singularities of order  $n_i$ . Observe that with this construction, the holonomy group (derived from considering parallel transport along loops in the surface) is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Another way to present this structure is through quadratic differentials. Formally, a meromorphic quadratic differential is defined as a non-zero section of the symmetric square of the cotangent bundle of the surface  $S$ , specifically  $q \in \mathcal{S}^2 T^* S$ . In local coordinates  $z$ , it can be expressed as  $q = f(z) dz \otimes dz$  (or more commonly as  $q = f(z) dz^2$ ), where  $f$  is a meromorphic function. If we can define a quadratic differential  $q = f(z) dz^2$  on a surface  $S$  with singularities located in a set  $\Sigma$ , characterized by orders described by  $\kappa$ , then we say that  $(S, q, \Sigma)$  is a **half-translation surface**.

When the quadratic differential can be expressed as the *square* of an abelian differential  $\omega$ , the resulting structure is referred to as a **translation surface**. In this case, the atlas described above has the property that its transition maps are given by  $\phi_i(z) = \phi_j(z) + c$ , which implies that the holonomy group is trivial.

In a half-translation surface  $(S, q, \Sigma)$ , we can define two transversal foliations on  $S \setminus \Sigma$  called the vertical  $\mathcal{F}_q^v$  and horizontal  $\mathcal{F}_q^h$  foliations. These foliations are given by the integral curves of  $\ker(\operatorname{Re}(q^{1/2}))$  and  $\ker(\operatorname{Im}(q^{1/2}))$ , respectively. Both foliations are measurable, and the points in  $\Sigma$  represent  $n_i$ -pronged singularities for the foliation. One can verify that the foliation is globally orientable if and only if every  $n_i$  is even. This is the case when the quadratic differential is the global square of an abelian differential. (See [6] for a careful treatment).

There is a natural distinction between quadratic differentials that arise as the global square of an abelian differential and those that do not. The former are called **orientable**, while the latter are termed **non-orientable**. In these notes, we focus on the non-orientable class.

Consider a Riemann surface  $S$  of genus  $g \geq 1$ . The Teichmüller space of its meromorphic quadratic differentials, denoted  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}_g$ , is defined as the quotient of the set of meromorphic quadratic differentials by the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms on  $S$  that are isotopic to the identity. Specifically,  $q \sim q'$  if there exists  $f \in \operatorname{Diff}_0^+(S)$  such that  $f^*(q) = q'$ . We can consider  $\mathcal{Q}_g$  as the subspace that arise from considering non-orientable quadratic differentials in  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}_g$ .

Furthermore, we define the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  as the quotient of  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}_g$  by the mapping class group  $\Gamma_g$  of the surface. We can partition  $\mathcal{M}_g$  into subsets corresponding to quadratic differentials that arise as the square of an abelian differential and those that do not. The latter are central to our discussion, and we refer to the moduli space of non-orientable quadratic differentials as  $\mathcal{Q}_g$ .

These moduli spaces are naturally stratified by the orders of the singularities. If  $\kappa$  denotes a singularity pattern for elements in  $(S, q, \Sigma)$ , given by  $\kappa = (n_1, \dots, n_s)$  with  $\sum_i n_i = 4g - 4$ , we can define

$$\mathcal{Q}_\kappa = \mathcal{Q}_g \cap \{q \text{ with pattern of singularities } \kappa\}.$$

That definition of course works for considering the strata of non-orientable quadratic differentials with that pattern of singularities before quotienting by  $\Gamma_g$ , defined as  $\mathcal{Q}_\kappa$ . These stratified spaces are complex orbifolds of dimension  $2g + s - 2$ , and they are not necessarily connected. E. Laneeau provided a complete classification of the connected components of these spaces [11]. It is worth mentioning that if  $q$  is an orientable quadratic differential defined on a surface of genus  $g$ , then its pattern of singularities  $\kappa = (n_1, \dots, n_s)$  fulfills that  $\sum_i n_i = 2g - 2$ .

Consider a natural action on  $\mathcal{Q}_\kappa$  by  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  described as follows: if  $(S, q, \Sigma)$  is a half-translation surface, we start with the maximal atlas on  $S \setminus \Sigma$ . For any  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $A \cdot (S, q, \Sigma)$  as the half-translation structure obtained by post-composing the original atlas of  $(S, q, \Sigma)$  with  $A$ . This action preserves the orders of the singularities in  $\Sigma$ , and therefore it preserves the stratification of the moduli spaces.

There exists a *natural* invariant measure on  $\mathcal{Q}_\kappa$  in the Lebesgue class, denoted by  $\lambda_\kappa$ , which, however, has infinite mass. To address this, we proceed as follows: a quadratic differential  $q$  on a Riemann surface  $S$  defines an area form given by  $A(q) = \int_S |q|$ .

We restrict our attention to the set of quadratic differentials that yield area 1. By considering the appropriate quotient, we define the hypersurface  $Q_\kappa^{(1)}$ . By disintegrating the measure  $\lambda_\kappa$  along the level sets of the area function  $q \mapsto A(q)$ , we obtain a Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_\kappa^{(1)}$  on  $Q_\kappa^{(1)}$ .

A key action on  $Q_\kappa^{(1)}$  is the one induced by the diagonal group, represented by the matrices:

$$\left\{ g_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

The action of this group, known as the **diagonal flow**, plays a crucial role in the renormalization process, as we will see shortly. Furthermore, H. Masur proved for the principal stratum ( $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1)$ ) [12] and then W. Veech for any stratum [17] that the diagonal flow acts ergodically on each  $Q_\kappa^{(1)}$  with respect to the finite measure  $\lambda_\kappa^{(1)}$ . As a consequence, it can be shown that for almost every quadratic differential  $q$  on  $S$ , its vertical foliation is uniquely ergodic. This result was later strengthened by Kerckhoff, Masur, and Smillie, who demonstrated that for every quadratic differential  $q$  on  $S$ , the foliation in any direction  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  is uniquely ergodic [9].

Consider a construction called the **double orientation cover**, which takes a non-orientable quadratic differential  $q$  on  $S$  and produces an orientable quadratic differential. This construction can be thought of as the unique (up to isomorphism) double-sheeted cover of  $S$ , branched over the singularities of odd order, such that it *unwinds* the non-orientable parts of  $S$ .

Let  $(S, q, \Sigma)$  be a non-orientable quadratic differential, where  $\{(z_j, U_j)\}$  is an atlas on  $S \setminus \Sigma$ . We assume that  $q$  is locally defined as  $f_j(z_j) dz_j^2$  on each  $U_j$ . For each set  $U_j$ , consider two copies,  $U_j^+$  and  $U_j^-$ . On each  $U_j$ , let  $g_j^+$  and  $g_j^-$  be the two square roots of  $f_j(z_j)$ .

The first step of the construction is to consider the natural maps  $\pi_j^\pm : U_j^\pm \rightarrow U_j$ . For the gluings, we first consider the case of overlapping charts  $U_j \cap U_i$ . If  $g_j^+(z_j(p)) \frac{dz_j}{dz_i}(p) = g_i^+(z_i(p))$  (the orientable case), we identify  $(\pi_j^\pm)^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$  with  $(\pi_i^\pm)^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ . In the case when  $g_j^+(z_j(p)) \frac{dz_j}{dz_i}(p) = g_i^-(z_i(p))$  (the non-orientable part), we identify  $(\pi_j^\pm)^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$  with  $(\pi_i^\mp)^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ . These identifications define a non-branched cover over  $S \setminus \Sigma$ .

Moreover, the expressions  $g_j^\pm \circ z_j \circ \pi_j^\pm d(z_j \circ \pi_j^\pm)$  locally define an abelian differential  $\omega$ . Consequently, if  $R$  is the underlying translation surface with the branched cover  $\pi : R \rightarrow S$ , we have that  $\pi_* q = \omega^2$ .

If  $z_i$  is a pole on  $S$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(z_i)$  becomes a marked regular point. If  $z_i$  is a singularity with odd order  $n_i$ , then in the translation surface, it will have order  $n_i + 1$ . Finally, if  $z_i$  is a singularity of even order,  $\pi^{-1}(z_i)$  will split into two singularities of order  $\frac{n_i}{2}$ .

Thus, if  $\kappa = (n_1, \dots, n_s, n_{s+1}, \dots, n_{s+r}, p_1, \dots, p_t)$  is the pattern of singularities of a quadratic differential  $(S, q, \Sigma)$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_s$  have odd order and  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  are poles, then the translation surface  $(R, \omega, \hat{\Sigma})$  satisfies  $\hat{\Sigma} = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\{p_1, \dots, p_t\})$  and has a pattern of singularities given by  $\hat{\kappa} = (n_1 + 1, \dots, n_s + 1, \frac{n_{s+1}}{2}, \frac{n_{s+1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{n_{s+r}}{2}, \frac{n_{s+r}}{2})$ . This construction yields an embedding

$$Q_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_k.$$

In the double orientation cover  $R$ , there exists a canonical involution  $\iota$  that interchanges  $U_j^\pm$  with  $U_j^\mp$ . It is important to note that, in this context, the double orientation cover  $\pi : R \rightarrow S$  can be viewed as the quotient map  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\iota$ . The involution  $\iota$  induces an action on the homology  $H_1(R, \mathbb{R})$ , which gives rise to a decomposition of homology into invariant and anti-invariant parts:

$$H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R}) = \{c \in H_1(R, \mathbb{R}) \mid \iota_*(c) = c\},$$

$$H_1^-(R, \mathbb{R}) = \{c \in H_1(R, \mathbb{R}) \mid \iota_*(c) = -c\}.$$

This decomposition separates the invariant part  $H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R})$  and the anti-invariant part  $H_1^-(R, \mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,  $H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(S, \mathbb{R})$ , as shown by the following argument:

The induced transformations on homology satisfy the relation  $\pi_* \circ \iota_* = \pi_*$ . Therefore, if  $c \in H_1^-(R, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $\pi_*(c) = -\pi_*(c) = 0$ , which implies that  $H_1^-(R, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \ker \pi_*$ .

On the other hand, any curve  $\gamma$  in  $S$  can be lifted to two curves  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in  $R$ . Note that  $[\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2] \in H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\pi_*([\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2]) = 2[\gamma]$ . By linearity, we conclude that the restriction  $\pi_*|_{H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R})} : H_1^+(R, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(S, \mathbb{R})$  is an isomorphism. This isomorphism plays a crucial role in the understanding of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle on non-orientable quadratic differentials.

## 1.2 Linear Involutions

In this section we will describe basic theory of linear involutions, explaining how they code vertical foliations of non-orientable quadratic differentials.

In contrast to interval exchange transformations on translation surfaces, the dynamics of vertical foliations on non-orientable quadratic differentials require a more nuanced approach than simply considering an induced transformation on an interval. This distinction arises because, for a compact surface  $S$  equipped with a vertical foliation defined by a non-orientable quadratic differential, the first return map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  on a Poincaré section  $X$  of the vertical flow in the positive direction is well-defined only outside a finite subset of  $X$ . This finite subset corresponds to points where the flow intersects a singularity of the quadratic differential. For points outside this exceptional set, the transformation  $T$  is locally a translation with possible flips, expressed as

$$T(x) = \pm x + c.$$

However, relying solely on this map fails to fully encode the dynamics of the vertical foliation. Specifically, for points where

$$T(x) = -x + c,$$

the second iterate satisfies

$$T^2(x) = x,$$

illustrating that the map alone does not capture the complete structure of the flow.

Following Danthony—Nogueira [5], we introduce the first return map  $T' : X \rightarrow X$  given by the vertical foliation in the negative direction. By considering the orbits

$$x, \quad T(x), \quad T'(T(x)), \quad \dots$$

we obtain an effective way to code the dynamics of the vertical foliation. This description leads to a dynamical system on the extended space

$$\overline{X} = X \times \{0, 1\}.$$

Consider an open finite interval  $X$  and define  $\overline{X} = X \times \{0, 1\}$ . A **linear involution** is a measurable transformation  $T : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  such that  $T = f \circ g$ , where:

1.  $g$  is a smooth involution without fixed points, defined on  $\overline{X} \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{X} \setminus \Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a finite subset of  $\overline{X}$ . Furthermore, if  $g(x)$  and  $x$  lie in the same connected component of  $\overline{X}$ , then the derivative of  $g$  is  $-1$ . If they are in different connected components, the derivative of  $S$  is  $1$ .
2.  $f$  is an involution satisfying  $f(x, \epsilon) = (x, 1 - \epsilon)$ .

Now, suppose  $S$  is a compact surface. We can construct two parallel copies of a Poincaré section as  $\overline{X} = X \times \{0, 1\}$ . If we define the first return map  $T$  on  $\overline{X}$ , where points on the top interval follow the positive direction and points on the bottom interval follow the negative direction, then  $T$  is a linear involution. The orbits of  $T$  encode the intersections of vertical geodesics with  $\overline{X}$ .

An interval exchange transformation is represented by a permutation  $\pi$  and a metric information describing the length of the subintervals that are exchanged under the transformation. We can do the same thing for a linear involution in the following way: consider an alphabet of  $d$  letters  $\mathcal{A}$  and a two-to-one map  $\pi : \{1, \dots, 2d\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which has a representation of the following form

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(1) & \dots & \pi(l) \\ \pi(l+1) & \dots & \pi(l+m) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $m + l = 2d$ , observe that not necessarily  $l = m = d$ . Moreover if this map comes with a fixed point free involution  $\pi^{-1}(i) = \{i, \sigma(i)\}$  then we say that  $\pi$  is a **generalized permutation**. Now consider a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with positive entries,  $\lambda$  such that

$$\sum_{\pi(i) \leq l} \lambda_{\pi(i)} = \sum_{i > \pi(l)} \lambda_{\pi(i)}.$$

Then considering two copies of an interval of length  $\sum_{\pi(i) \leq l} \lambda_{\pi(i)}$ , the first copy partitioned in  $l$  subintervals and the second in  $m$  subintervals with lengths  $\lambda_{\pi(i)}$ , then  $(\pi, \lambda)$  defines a linear involution.

Since we are interested in linear involutions that arise from considering intersections with vertical foliations of half-translation surfaces, we will assume that for a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$ , the generalized permutation  $\pi$  satisfies the following condition: there exist at least two numbers  $i \leq l$  and  $l + 1 \leq j \leq l + m$  such that  $\sigma(i) \leq l$  and  $l + 1 \leq \sigma(j) \leq l + m$ .




Figure 1: Note that the interval corresponding to  $A_1$  has the same length as the interval corresponding to  $A_2$  (same applies for the other letters). This enumeration is simply to clarify how the transformation  $T$  operates. Additionally, recall that if the intervals corresponding to  $\pi(i)$  and  $\sigma(\pi(i))$  lie in the same connected component, the transformation acts as a translation followed by a flip.



**Notation:** We will denote the quantity:

$$L = \sum_{\pi(i) \leq l} \lambda_{\pi(i)} = \sum_{i > \pi(l)} \lambda_{\pi(i)}.$$

A key tool for studying dynamics in interval exchange transformations is a process known as **Rauzy–Veech induction**. We can extend this process to linear involutions  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  by defining it as the first return map on the space

$$X^{(1)} = \max\{L - \lambda_{\pi(l)}, L - \lambda_{\pi(l+m)}\} \times \{0, 1\}.$$

When this transformation is well-defined, the first return map is again a linear involution on the same number of subintervals. This process can be interpreted as a transformation  $\mathcal{R}$  acting on the space of linear involutions on an alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ . Specifically, it induces a new generalized permutation and a new partition of subintervals for the space  $X^{(1)}$ . The transformed involution is denoted as  $\mathcal{R}(\pi, \lambda) = (\pi^{(1)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ .

If the Rauzy–Veech induction is well-defined after  $n$  iterations, we denote the result as  $\mathcal{R}^n(\pi, \lambda) = (\pi^{(n)}, \lambda^{(n)})$ , where the involution satisfies  $\pi^{-1}(i) = \{i, \sigma^{(n)}(i)\}$ . The space in which the transformation is defined is  $X^{(n)}$ , and the subintervals defining the linear involution are denoted as  $X_{\pi^{(n)}(i)}^{(n)}$ .

A detailed description of the generalized permutations obtained after applying Rauzy–Veech induction can be found in [4], while the transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda^{(1)}$  is described by a  $d \times d$  matrix.

$$\mathcal{B}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = l, j = l + m \text{ and } \lambda_l > \lambda_{l+m} \text{ or } \lambda_{l+m} > \lambda_l, \\ 0 & \text{In any other case.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\lambda_{\pi(l)} \neq \lambda_{\pi(l+m)}$  is a necessary condition for this process to be defined, but it is not necessarily sufficient.

The nomenclature of the renormalization will be regarded as **top** or **bottom** depending on which quantity,  $\lambda_{\pi(l)}$  or  $\lambda_{\pi(l+m)}$ , is larger. There is an acceleration process for this renormalization, usually referred to as Zorich induction, such that it only takes into consideration when it changes from top to bottom or bottom to top. The matrix is referred as  $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ .

We are interested in considering linear involutions that arise from Poincaré sections of the vertical flow of half-translation surfaces and, moreover, for which Rauzy–Veech induction can be applied infinitely many times. We will present the conditions found by Boissy-Lanneau [4] to ensure this.

Consider a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  with  $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  denoting the lengths of the subintervals that define the transformation. We say that a collection of complex numbers  $\{\zeta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a **suspension data** for the linear involution if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , it holds that  $Re(\zeta_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha$ .

2. For every  $1 \leq j < l$ ,

$$\sum_{\pi(i) \leq j} Im(\zeta_{\pi(i)}) > 0.$$

3. For every  $l + 1 \leq j < l + m$ ,

$$\sum_{l < \pi(i) \leq j} Im(\zeta_{\pi(i)}) < 0.$$

4. The following equality is satisfied:

$$\sum_{\pi(i) \leq l} Im(\zeta_{\pi(i)}) = \sum_{l < \pi(i) \leq l+m} Im(\zeta_{\pi(i)}).$$

Given a suspension data  $\{\zeta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  for a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$ , we can define two piecewise linear segments,  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ , as follows:

-The vertices of  $L_0$  are determined by the complex numbers

$$\{0, \zeta_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \sum_{\pi(i) \leq l} \zeta_{\pi(i)}\}.$$

-The vertices of  $L_1$  are determined by the complex numbers

$$\{0, \zeta_{\pi(l+1)}, \dots, \sum_{l+1 \leq \pi(i) \leq l+m} \zeta_{\pi(i)}\}.$$

One can verify that it is possible to identify parallel segments via translation. This identification yields a half-translation surface where the first return map of the vertical foliation coincides with the linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$ .

Given a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  and a half-translation surface  $S$  constructed from suspension data  $\{\zeta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ , observe that for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , the first return time of any point  $x \in X_i$  under the vertical flow into  $X_{\sigma(i)}$  is constant. This return time, denoted as  $h_{\pi(i)}$ , depends only on the generalized permutation  $\pi$  and the suspension data  $\{\zeta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ .

In particular, this implies that the rectangle  $(0, \lambda_{\pi(i)}) \times (0, h_{\pi(i)})$  can be embedded into  $S$ . Thus, as in the case of translation surfaces, one can perform the so-called **Veech zippered rectangle** construction. This construction provides an isometric representation of  $S$  as a quotient of rectangles  $\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} R_\alpha$ , where each rectangle is given by  $R_\alpha = (0, \lambda_\alpha) \times (0, h_\alpha)$ . The identifications of the edges depend only on the linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  and the generalized permutation  $\pi$ .

For further details, see [4] and Section 4 [3] for the case of linear involutions or [18] for a more detailed exposition in the case of interval exchange transformations. This representation of the surface  $S$  provides a valuable framework for understanding the relationship between the Rauzy–Veech renormalization process and the *lack* of weak mixing, as discussed in Lemma 2.

In this setting we can perform a renormalization process described by Rauzy–Veech matrices.

Now we will state a notion of *reducibility* for generalized permutations. Consider an alphabet of  $d$  letters  $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  and a generalized permutation represented as

$$\pi = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} A \cup B & *** & B \cup D \\ \hline A \cup C & *** & D \cup C \end{array} \right)$$

where the sets  $A, B, C, D$  are possible empty unordered sets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that  $\pi$  is **reducible** if some of the following holds:

1. There are no empty corner.
2. There is exactly one empty corner and it is on the left.
3. There are exactly two empty corners and both of them are on the right or on the left.

We say that the generalized permutation  $\pi$  is irreducible if it is not reducible. Theorem 3.2 in [4] asserts that if  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  is a linear involution, then there exists a suspension data if and only if the generalized permutation  $\pi$  is irreducible.

This *geometric irreducibility* is not enough, since this does not imply that we can apply Rauzy–Veech induction infinitely many times, therefore we will state what Boissy-Lanneau refer as **dy-namically irreducible**.

**Notation:** For a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  on an alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ , we consider a partition on it such that

- $\mathcal{A}_{01}$  is the set such that for every  $\pi(i) \in \mathcal{A}_{01}$ , the intervals  $X_{\pi(i)}$  and  $X_{\sigma(i)}$  belong to different connected components of  $X$ .
- The set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  satisfies that for every  $\pi(i) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , the intervals  $X_{\pi(i)}$  and  $X_{\sigma(i)}$  are contained in  $X \times \{0\}$ .
- The set  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfies that for every  $\pi(i) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ , the intervals  $X_{\pi(i)}$  and  $X_{\sigma(i)}$  are contained in  $X \times \{1\}$ .

If  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  is a linear involution on  $X \times \{0, 1\}$  we say that  $(x, \epsilon) \in X \times \{0, 1\}$  is a *connection* of length  $n$  if  $(x, \epsilon)$  is a singularity for  $T^{-1}$  and  $T^n(x, \epsilon)$  is a singularity for  $T$ . We say that  $T$  satisfies *the Keane* condition when it does not have connections of any length. This is equivalent to say that the Rauzy–Veech induction  $\mathcal{R}^n(\pi, \lambda)$  is always defined (Proposition 4.2 [4]). We define the length parameter  $\lambda$  of a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  as **admissible** if the following conditions are not satisfied:

1. The generalized permutation  $\pi$  can be decomposed as any of the following:

$$\pi = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A & *** \\ \hline A & *** \end{array} \right), \quad \pi = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D & *** \\ \hline D & *** \end{array} \right), \quad \pi = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A \cup B & B \cup D \\ \hline A \cup C & C \cup D \end{array} \right).$$

Here:

- $A, D \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ , where  $A$  and  $D$  are non-empty in the first two cases.
- $B = \mathcal{A}_0, C = \mathcal{A}_1$ .

2. The generalized permutation  $\pi$  can be decomposed as:

$$\pi = \left( \frac{A \cup B \mid \text{***} \mid B \cup D}{A \cup C \mid \beta, \text{***}, \beta \mid D \cup C} \right),$$

where:

- $A, D \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ ,
- $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ ,
- $C \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$ .

Furthermore, the length parameters  $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  must satisfy the inequality:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_\alpha \leq \sum_{\alpha \in B} \lambda_\alpha + \lambda_\beta + \sum_{\alpha \in C} \lambda_\alpha.$$

We say that a linear involution is **dynamically irreducible** if the set of admissible parameters is non-empty. Note that this set is always open. Theorem B in [4] states that for dynamically irreducible linear involutions, Rauzy–Veech induction is always well defined. Moreover, Theorem 12.1 in [7] states that for a full-measure subset of admissible parameters, the linear involution is uniquely ergodic. This almost certain ergodicity for admissible parameters will play a crucial role in Lemma 2.

### 1.3 Measurable Cocycles

We adopt the terminology and framework introduced in Avila–Forni in [1] for this discussion.

Let  $T$  be a measurable transformation on a probability space  $(\Delta, \mu)$ . The transformation  $T$  is said to be **weakly expanding** if there exists a partition of  $\Delta$  (modulo 0) into a collection  $\{\Delta^{(l)} : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , such that for every  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $\Delta^{(l)}$ , denoted by  $T^{(l)} = T|_{\Delta^{(l)}} : \Delta^{(l)} \rightarrow \Delta$ , is invertible, and the measure  $T_*^{(l)} \mu$  is equivalent to  $\mu$ .

For any finite word of integers  $w = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ , define the set

$$\Delta^{(w)} = \{x \in \Delta : T^{k-1}(x) \in \Delta^{(l_k)}, 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

and the corresponding transformation  $T^w = T|_{\Delta^{(w)}}$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of all finite words. The transformation  $T$  is said to be **strongly expanding** if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that for every  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ , where

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \nu = \frac{T_*^{(w)} \mu}{\mu(\Delta^{(w)})} : w \in \Omega \right\},$$

it holds that

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{dv}{d\mu} \leq K.$$

We define the **standard simplex**, denoted by  $\mathbb{P}_+^{d-1}$ , as the projectivization of the positive cone  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ . A transformation is said to be a **projective contraction** if it corresponds to the projectivization of a matrix in  $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$  with non-negative entries. This ensures that the transformation maps the standard simplex into itself.

The following technical lemma, derived in [1], provides a sufficient condition for a transformation to be strongly expanding:

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 2.1, [1]). *Let  $\Delta$  be a simplex compactly contained in the standard simplex, and let  $\{\Delta^{(l)} : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}(\text{mod } 0)$  be a partition of  $\Delta$  where each  $\Delta^{(l)}$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Suppose  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is a measurable transformation such that  $T(\Delta^{(l)}) = \Delta$ , and  $T^{(l)} = T|_{\Delta^{(l)}}$  is invertible, with  $(T^{(l)})^{-1}$  being the restriction of a projective contraction. Then  $T$  preserves a measure  $\mu$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, with a density that is a positive continuous function on  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Moreover,  $T$  is strongly expanding with respect to  $\mu$ .*

### 1.3.1 Cocycles

Cocycles arise naturally in dynamical systems to describe how additional structure evolves along trajectories of a transformation. Let  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be a measurable transformation. A **cocycle** is a pair  $(T, A)$ , where  $A : \Delta \rightarrow SL(d, \mathbb{R})$  associates a matrix in the special linear group to each point of  $\Delta$ . This pair governs the evolution of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$(T, A)(x, v) = (T(x), A(x)v), \quad \text{for } (x, v) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Iterating the cocycle  $n$ -times yields:

$$(T, A)^n(x, v) = (T^n(x), A^n(x)v),$$

where  $A^n(x)$  is the product of matrices along the trajectory of  $x$ :

$$A^n(x) = A(T^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(x).$$

If  $(\Delta, \mu)$  is a probability space and  $T$  is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ , we say the cocycle is **measurable** if:

$$\int_{\Delta} \log \|A(x)\| d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

A stronger condition defines a **uniform cocycle**, requiring:

$$\int_{\Delta} \log \max\{\|A(x)\|, \|A^{-1}(x)\|\} d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

These integrability assumptions allow us to define stable structures associated with the cocycle, which play a central role in its dynamical analysis. For measurable cocycles, the long-term behavior of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  under iteration is captured by the growth of  $\|A^n(x)v\|$ . This leads to

the definition of key invariant subspaces:

- The **stable space**,

$$E^s(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n(x)v\| = 0\}.$$

- The **central stable space**,

$$E^{cs}(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n(x)v\|^{1/n} \leq 1\}.$$

- The **weak stable space**  $W^s(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n(x)v\|_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d} = 0\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d}$  represents the metric in the integer lattice.

These spaces satisfy the following relations with respect to the cocycle:

$$A(x)(E^s(x)) = E^s(T(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad A(x)(E^{cs}(x)) = E^{cs}(T(x)), \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \Delta.$$

Cocycles can be further classified based on their structure. A cocycle  $(T, A)$  is **locally constant** if  $T$  is strongly expanding and, for every  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the map  $A|_{\Delta^l}$  is constant. If, in addition,  $A(x) \in SL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  for almost every  $x \in \Delta$ , we say the cocycle is **integral**.

Lastly, consider the role of compact subsets of the projective space,  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ . We say that  $\Theta$  is **adapted** to a cocycle  $(T, A)$  if, for every nonzero vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  whose projectivization lies in  $\Theta$ , the following conditions hold:

- 

$$\|A^n(x)w\| \geq \|w\|, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

- 

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n(x)w\| = \infty.$$

The set of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  not parallel to elements of  $\Theta$  is denoted as  $\mathcal{J}(\Theta)$ . Adapted sets are valuable for studying the growth behavior of cocycles and their geometric implications.

## 1.4 Kontsevich-Zorich Cocycle

Consider the unit area strata of orientable quadratic differentials  $\mathcal{M}_k^{(1)}$  and the diagonal flow  $g_t$ . The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle  $G_t$  is defined as the quotient cocycle

$$g_t \times \text{id} : \mathcal{M}_k^{(1)} \times H^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k^{(1)} \times H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$$

quotiented by the mapping class group  $\Gamma_g$ . We can define the same cocycle for the non-orientable quadratic differentials restricting to  $\mathcal{Q}_k^{(1)}$ .

Since this cocycle is log-integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure (see [10]), the Oseledets multiplicative ergodic theorem implies that for  $\mu$ -almost every point  $q \in \mathcal{M}_k^{(1)}$  and

every vector  $v \in H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ , if  $\mathcal{K}$  is the action on the fibers the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\|\mathcal{K}^n(q)v\|}{\|v\|}.$$

There are  $2g$  possible values for this limit, counted with multiplicity, which are known as the **Lyapunov exponents**. These exponents describe the exponential rate of expansion (positive values) or contraction (negative values). The Lyapunov exponents satisfy the following inequalities:

$$1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_g \geq 0 \geq \lambda_{g+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{2g} = -1.$$

Moreover, there exists a decomposition of  $H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$H^1(S, \mathbb{R}) = E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_{2g} \supseteq \{0\},$$

such that for every  $v \in E_i \setminus E_{i+1}$ , the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\|\mathcal{K}^n(q)v\|}{\|v\|} = \lambda_i.$$

The dimension of  $E_i$  corresponds to the multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . Additionally, since the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is symplectic, it follows that  $\lambda_{-i} = -\lambda_i$ .

Consider a quadratic differential  $\omega$  obtained from a non-orientable quadratic differential  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\kappa^{(1)}$  using the double cover construction. The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle can be defined on  $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\kappa}}^{(1)}$  and applied to  $\omega$ , with the action restricted to the splitting

$$H^1(R, \mathbb{R}) = H^+(R) \oplus H^-(R).$$

It can be verified that the cocycle preserves this splitting. Consequently, on each subspace, one defines a measurable symplectic cocycle, leading to the existence of Lyapunov exponents for the two components.

For the  $H^+(R, \mathbb{R})$  subspace, the Lyapunov exponents are

$$\lambda_1^+ \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_g^+ \geq 0 \geq \lambda_{g+1}^+ \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{2g}^+,$$

and for the  $H^-(R, \mathbb{R})$  subspace, they are

$$\lambda_1^- \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{g+s-1}^- \geq 0 \geq \lambda_{g+s}^- \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{2g+2s-2}^-.$$

By the work of R. Treviño (see [15]), for every connected component of a stratum, it holds that  $\lambda_g^+ > 0$  and  $\lambda_{g+s-1}^- > 0$ . We are interested in the restriction of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle to the minus part of the splitting.

In Section 4 of [3], there is a description of the zippered rectangle construction and introduce what they call **singularity parameters** along with curves  $c_\alpha$  designed for each rectangle

$R_\alpha$ . These curves are chosen such that their homology classes span the minus part of the splitting. Moreover, with respect to this basis, the matrix representing the change induced by the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is precisely the Rauzy-Veech matrix (see Section 7.11 of [3]).

The central result of [3] is summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.** ([3]) *The plus and minus symplectic Rauzy-Veech groups associated with every connected component of a stratum of quadratic differentials are Zariski dense in their respective ambient symplectic spaces.*

This result has significant implications for the study of the weak mixing property of linear involutions arising from half-translation surfaces. By applying the Avila-Viana criterion for Lyapunov simplicity [2], it follows that the Rauzy-Veech cocycle for half-translation surfaces is simple, meaning that all Lyapunov exponents are pairwise distinct. This simplicity allows for a precise determination of the dimensions of the stable and central stable spaces of the cocycle. Furthermore, it ensures the existence of both **pinching and twisting** matrices within the associated symplectic Rauzy-Veech groups.

The cocycle of interest in this context is the Rauzy-Veech cocycle for linear involutions. The relationship between this cocycle and the Rauzy-Veech cocycle for half-translation surfaces is explained in Section 7.16 of [3]. If  $S$  is a half-translation surface and  $X$  is a cross-section for the vertical flow, one can define the return time map  $\rho$  on  $X$  and the average return time

$$\rho_{av} = \int_X \rho(x) d\mu.$$

The authors show that the Rauzy-Veech cocycle for linear involutions is log-integrable, which ensures the existence of Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_i^{LI}$ . Furthermore, they prove the following relationship between the Lyapunov exponents of the linear involutions and the minus part of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle:

$$\lambda_i^{LI} = \rho_{av} \lambda_i^-.$$

As a consequence, the Rauzy-Veech cocycle for linear involutions is also simple, meaning that all its Lyapunov exponents are pairwise distinct.

## 2 Proof of Main Result

The following result is the generalization of Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 in Veech [16]. We will call this the **Veech criterion for weak mixing for linear involutions**. The statement is quite general, and using Luzin's theorem arguments, it should be clear it is true, nevertheless, we will provide a proof following the ideas of Veech[16] [Lemma 7.2] for interval exchange transformations for expository reasons.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$  be a dynamically irreducible generalized permutation on an alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $d$  elements. For  $\mu$ -a.e admissible parameter, let  $T(\pi, \lambda)$  be a linear involution. There exists an open set  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq P_\pi \times \mathcal{R}$  and an infinite set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \in E$  it is true that  $R^n(\pi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{R}}$  and if there exists a non trivial measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists a  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that*

$$f(T(x)) = e^{2\pi i v_j} f(x),$$



for every  $x \in X_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \pi^{-1}(j)$  and for every  $1 \leq j \leq d$  then

$$\lim_{n \in E \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{B}_n^R(\pi, \lambda) \cdot v\|_{\mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $T$  is an ergodic linear involution [5] [7]. Suppose  $T$  satisfies the statement in Lemma 2. Let  $f$  be a measurable solution to

$$f(T(x)) = e^{2\pi i v_j} f(x)$$

for every  $x \in X_j$  or  $X_{\sigma(j)}$ .

Using the fact that the renormalization process for linear involutions is ergodic, is it possible to prove (see for example [16] Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.8 to see the ideas in the IET case that are easily extendable to linear involutions) that there exists an infinite set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $n \in E$  it is true that

- There exists  $k_n \geq \frac{\epsilon|\lambda|}{|\lambda^{(n)}|}$ , such that for every  $k \leq k_n$ , follows that  $T^k(X^{(n)})$  are at most two intervals, sharing at most one extremal point.
- For every  $j$  it is true that  $|X_j^{(n)}| \geq \epsilon|\lambda^{(n)}|$ .

Observe that  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and also that

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_n-1} T^j(X^n) \right| \geq \epsilon|\lambda|.$$

By ergodicity of  $\mathcal{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $E$  it follows that  $|\lambda^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0$ . Also by ergodicity of  $T$ , since  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{k_n} T^k(X^{(n)})$$

distributes across  $X$ , by Luzin's theorem we can approximate  $f$  in compact sets by continuous functions, thus there exists an  $n$  sufficiently large such that for some  $k \leq k_n$  there exists  $z_k^1, z_k^2$  with the property that for every  $x \in X^{(n)} \times \{i\}$  it is true that  $|f(x) - z_k^i| < \delta$ . Thus

$$\int_{T^k(X^{(n)} \times \{i\})} |f(x) - z_k^i| d\mu < \delta|\lambda^{(n)}|.$$

Recall that  $T^k(X_i^{(n)})$  are both intervals, and due to the properties of  $f$  with respect to  $T$

$$f(T^k(x)) = \prod_{m=0}^k e^{2\pi i(j(m))} f(x),$$

where  $j(m)$  is the non-zero entry of the vector  $w(m) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  defined as  $w_l(m) = v_l \chi_{X_l}(T^m(x))$ . It

follows that

$$\prod_{m=0}^k e^{2\pi i(j(m))}$$

is constant, therefore there exist two complex numbers  $z_1, z_2$  such that

$$\int_{X^{(n)} \times \{i\}} |f(x) - z_i| d\mu < \delta |\lambda^{(n)}|.$$

By Tchebychev inequality it follows that

$$\mu(\{x \in X^{(n)} \times \{i\}, |f(x) - z_i| \geq \sqrt{\delta}\}) \leq \sqrt{\delta} |\lambda^{(n)}|.$$

Using the interpretation of the Veech zippered rectangle construction for first return times, consider the renormalization matrix  $B_n^R$  and its interpretation as visiting matrix. For every  $j \leq d$ , define the numbers

$$B_j^n = \sum_{i=1}^d B_{ij}^R,$$

and let  $r_{j,n} \geq B_j^n$  such that for every  $x \in X_j^{(n)} \subseteq X^{(n)} \times \{i\}$ , it holds that

$$T^{r_{j,n}}(x), T^{r_{j,n}-B_j^n}(x) \in X^{(n)} \times \{i\}, \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Taking  $\delta < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ , we can check that for every  $1 \leq j \leq d$  there exists  $x \in X_j^{(n)} \subseteq X^{(n)} \times \{i\}$  such that  $|f(T^{r_{j,n}-B_j^n}(x)) - z_i|, |f(T^{r_{j,n}}(x)) - z_i| < \sqrt{\delta}$ .

Such  $x$  should exist because otherwise we would have that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon |\lambda^{(n)}| &\leq |X_j^{(n)}| \leq \mu(\{x \in X_j^{(n)} \mid |f(T^{r_{j,n}-B_j^n}(x)) - z_i| \geq \sqrt{\delta}\}) + \mu(\{x \in X_j^{(n)} \mid |f(T^{r_{j,n}}(x)) - z_i| \geq \sqrt{\delta}\}) \\ &< 2\sqrt{\delta} |\lambda^{(n)}| < \epsilon |\lambda^{(n)}|. \end{aligned}$$

By ergodicity of  $T$  we may assume that almost everywhere  $|f| = 1$ . Therefore by triangle inequality

$$|e^{2\pi i(vB_n^R)_j} - 1| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 in Avila–Forni [1]. It is a consequence of the fact that the monoid generated by the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle (and also the Rauzy–Veech cocycle) is Zariski dense, and therefore it is *pinching* and *twisting*.

**Theorem 5.** [1] *Let  $\pi$  be a generalized permutation, such that it codes the vertical foliation on a half-translation surface of  $g > 1$ . Let  $L \subseteq H(\pi)$  be a line not passing through zero. If the central stable space of the Rauzy–Veech cocycle  $E^{cs}$  is such that  $\dim(E^{cs}) < 2g - 1$  then for almost any  $[\lambda] \in \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ , it is true that  $L \cap E^{cs}([\lambda], \pi) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* In [1] the authors consider Rauzy–Veech matrices  $B_{(i)}$  that send the direction vector of  $L$  to independent directions deriving a contradiction with the dimension assumption of  $E^{cs}$ . In

fact these matrices are *twisting* matrices arising from the fact that the Rauzy–Veech monoid is Zariski dense. More precisely, if a monoid of matrices is Zariski dense then there exists a finite set of (twisting) matrices  $\mathcal{F}$  such that for every  $k < d$  and every subspaces  $V_1, V_2$  of dimensions  $k, d - k$  respectively, there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F(V_1) \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ . Since the minus part of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is Zariski dense [3] there exists twisting matrices that send the direction of an affine line  $L$  to independent directions, this would imply that the codimension of the central stable space in  $H(\pi)$  is at most 1, which contradicts the fact that  $\dim(E^{cs}([\lambda], \pi)) = g > 1$  for almost any  $[\lambda]$ .  $\square$

The fact that  $\dim(E^{cs}([\lambda], \pi)) = g$  follows from simplicity and non uniform hyperbolicity of the Rauzy–Veech cocycle.

This result can be used to exclude the dynamics in the weakly space of the Rauzy–Veech cocycle using the following lemma proved in Avila–Forni.

**Lemma 3.** [1] *Let  $(T, A)$  be a locally constant integral uniform cocycle, and  $\Theta$  a set adapted to  $(T, A)$ . If for every  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\Theta)$  it is true that  $J \cap E^{cs}(x) = \emptyset$  for a.e  $x \in \Delta$  then for every line  $L$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  parallel to some element of  $\Theta$  we have that  $L \cap W^s(x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^p$  for a.e  $x \in \Delta$ .*

**Claim 1.** *The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is a locally constant uniform cocycle.*

*Proof.* As mentioned earlier, the Kontsevich-Zorich (KZ) cocycle  $C_{KZ}$  on half-translation surfaces can be pull-backed to its orientation double cover. This cocycle decomposes into two parts: the *plus* part  $C_{KZ}^+$  and the *minus* part  $C_{KZ}^-$ . The first component,  $C_{KZ}^+$ , is equivalent to the original  $C_{KZ}$ . We can consider the discrete versions of these cocycles to define  $B_+^Z$  and  $B_-^Z$ , where the second is equivalent to the Rauzy–Veech cocycle for linear involutions. In [3, Corollary 7.15], the authors prove that both cocycles are log-integrable.

The log-integrability of the inverse cocycle follows from the fact that the function  $([\lambda], \pi) \mapsto \log \|(B^Z)^{-1}([\lambda], \pi)\|$  is bounded and from the finiteness of the ergodic measure for the cocycle. To check that the Zorich cocycle is locally constant, we first show it is strongly expanding using Lemma 2.1 in [1], whose requirements follow immediately from the definitions of the Rauzy–Veech cocycle.  $\square$

*Proof. [Theorem 2]*

We are going to consider a space  $H(\pi)$  associated to  $H_1(S, \mathbb{R})$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  where  $S$  comes from a suspension of a linear involution  $T(\pi, \lambda)$ . One can check that  $H_1(S, \mathbb{R})$  only depends on the generalized permutation.

Consider a dynamically irreducible permutation  $\pi$  on  $d$  intervals and an admissible parameter  $[\lambda]$  such that  $g > 1$ . We claim that for any open set  $U$  in  $\mathbb{P}_+^{d-1}$  and for any  $h \in H(\pi) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that either  $th \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , or

$$\limsup \|B_n^Z([\lambda], \pi)th\|_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d} > 0.$$

The properties of the Lyapunov spectrum of the Zorich cocycle allow us to assume that for large  $n$ , the open set  $U$  intersects a connected component compactly contained in a cone around

the *unstable* direction. Call this connected component  $\Delta$ . Consider the cocycle defined by the first return map of the Zorich cocycle to this connected component, and call this transformation  $T_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ . By the ergodicity of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $T_\Delta$  is also ergodic. For a full measure of parameters  $\lambda \in \Delta$ , it is possible to define the cocycle

$$B_\Delta^{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda) = B_{r-1}^{\mathcal{Z}} \dots B^{\mathcal{Z}}([\lambda], \pi),$$

where  $r$  is the first return time of  $\lambda$  to  $\Delta$ .

This cocycle is log-integrable. Considering a partition that shows the Zorich transformation is weakly expanding, we can restrict it to the domain  $\Delta$ . Clearly, the induced Zorich transformation remains weakly expanding on this restriction; constraining it to the partition on  $\Delta$ , the induced Zorich transformation is invertible, a projective contraction, and by applying Lemma 2.1 from [1], we check it is locally constant. Therefore,  $(\mathcal{Z}_\Delta, B_\Delta^{\mathcal{Z}})$  is a uniform locally constant integral cocycle. Moreover, the central stable space of this cocycle coincides almost everywhere with the central stable space of the original Zorich cocycle  $(T_\Delta, B^{\mathcal{Z}})$ , and by the choice of  $\Delta$ , the closure of the standard simplex  $\overline{\mathbb{P}_+^{d-1}}$  is adapted to it.

By Theorem 5, parallel lines to elements of the standard simplex do not intersect the central stable space of the cocycle  $(T, B_\Delta^{\mathcal{Z}})$  for a full measure of parameters  $[\lambda]$ . Thus, by Lemma 3, the line generated by  $th$  intersects the weak stable space only in the subset  $H(\pi) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . This implies that the measure of parameters  $[\lambda]$  such that there exists  $th \notin \mathbb{Z}^d$  and

$$\limsup \|B_n^{\mathcal{Z}}([\lambda], \pi)th\|_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d} = 0$$

must be zero.

Now recall that almost any linear involution is uniquely ergodic. So, we may assume that  $T([\lambda], \pi)$  is not weakly mixing but ergodic. Then there exists a non-integer vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that there is a solution  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$f(T(x)) = e^{2\pi i v_j} f(x)$$

for  $x \in X_j$ . However, by the Veech criterion, if such a solution exists, then this vector  $v$  lies in the weak stable space of the Zorich cocycle. The last claim shows that this is not possible unless the vector is not in the integer lattice. Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

A direct corollary of this result is that for almost any half-translation surface not coming from the *square* of a translation surface, with respect to the Masur-Smillie-Veech measure, the vertical foliation is weakly mixing which proves Theorem 3.

## References

- [1] A. Avila and G. Forni. Weak mixing for interval exchange transformations and translation flows. *Annals of mathematics*, vol. 165, pages 637–664, 2007.

- [2] A. Avila and M. Viana. Simplicity of Lyapunov spectra: proof of the Zorich-Kontsevich conjecture. *Acta Math.* 198 (1), pages 1–56, 2007.
- [3] M. Bell, V. Delecroix, V. Gadre, R. Gutiérrez-Romo, and S. Schleimer. Diagonal flow detects the topology of strata. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.12197*, 2021.
- [4] C. Boissy and E. Lanneau. Dynamics and geometry of the Rauzy–Veech induction for quadratic differentials. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 29(3):767–816, 2009.
- [5] C. Danthony and A. Nogueira. Measured foliations on nonorientable surfaces. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, pages 469–494, 1990.
- [6] B. Farb and D. Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49)*, volume 41. Princeton university press, 2011.
- [7] V. S. Gadre. Dynamics of non-classical interval exchanges. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 32(6):1930–1971, 2012.
- [8] R. Gutiérrez-Romo. Classification of Rauzy–Veech groups: proof of the Zorich conjecture. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 215:741–778, 2019.
- [9] S. Kerckhoff, H. Masur, and J. Smillie. Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differentials. *Annals of Mathematics*, 124(2):293–311, 1986.
- [10] M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich. Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory. *arXiv preprint hep-th/9701164*, 1997.
- [11] E. Lanneau. Connected components of the strata of the moduli spaces of quadratic differentials. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, pages 1–56, 2008.
- [12] H. Masur. Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 115(1):169–200, 1982.
- [13] P. Mercat. Coboundaries and eigenvalues of morphic subshifts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13656*, 2024.
- [14] B. Solomyak. A note on spectral properties of random  $s$ -adic systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08884*, 2024.
- [15] R. Treviño. On the non-uniform hyperbolicity of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle for quadratic differentials. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 163(1):311–338, 2013.
- [16] W. A. Veech. The metric theory of interval exchange transformations I. generic spectral properties. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 106(6):1331–1359, 1984.
- [17] W. A. Veech. The Teichmüller geodesic flow. *Annals of Mathematics*, 124(3):441–530, 1986.
- [18] M. Viana. Ergodic theory of interval exchange maps. *Revista Matemática Complutense*, 19 (1):7–100, 2006.