

Maximum Likelihood Estimation Based Complex-Valued Robust Chinese Remainder Theorem and Its Fast Algorithm

Xiaoping Li, *Member, IEEE*, Shiyang Sun, Qunying Liao, Xiang-Gen Xia, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract

Recently, a multi-channel self-reset analog-to-digital converter (ADC) system with complex-valued moduli has been proposed. This system enables the recovery of high dynamic range complex-valued bandlimited signals at low sampling rates via the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT). In this paper, we investigate complex-valued CRT (C-CRT) with erroneous remainders, where the errors follow wrapped complex Gaussian distributions. Based on the existing real-valued CRT utilizing maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), we propose a fast MLE-based C-CRT (MLE C-CRT). The proposed algorithm requires only $2L$ searches to obtain the optimal estimate of the common remainder, where L is the number of moduli. Once the common remainder is estimated, the complex number can be determined using the C-CRT. Furthermore, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the fast MLE C-CRT to achieve robust estimation. Finally, we apply the proposed algorithm to ADCs. The results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm outperforms the existing methods.

Index Terms

The work of Xiaoping Li was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 62131005. The work of Qunying Liao was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 12471494. The work of Xiang-Gen Xia was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant CCF-2246917.

Xiaoping Li and Shiyang Sun are with School of Mathematical Science, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China. (e-mail: lixiaoping.math@uestc.edu.cn; 202421110224@std.uestc.edu.cn).

Qunying Liao is with Institute of Mathematics Science, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610068, China. (e-mail: qunyingliao@sicnu.edu.cn).

Xiang-Gen Xia is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. (e-mail: xxia@ee.udel.edu).

Chinese remainder theorem (CRT), real-valued CRT, complex-valued CRT (C-CRT), robust CRT, residue number system, analog-to-digital converter (ADC), self-reset ADC (SR-ADC).

I. INTRODUCTION

UNLIMITED sampling has garnered significant attention in recent years [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], where the traditional sampling is replaced by modulo sampling, which goes through the self-reset analog-to-digital converter (SR-ADC). Unlike the conventional sampling, unlimited sampling needs a sampling rate about 17 times faster than the Nyquist rate for the reconstruction of bandlimited signals. To avoid exceeding the Nyquist rate, multi-channel SR-ADC systems have been proposed in [6], [7], [8], [9], as shown in Fig. 1. In [7], the authors have proposed a complex-valued modulus multi-channel ADC architecture based on Gaussian integers, which offers a higher dynamic range compared to [6]. In [7], to recover complex-valued bandlimited signals, the moduli are classified into two types: Gaussian integers and positive integers. A signal value is then reconstructed using the two-stage robust Chinese remainder theorem (CRT) [10] for a real number. In the first stage, the remainders of the Gaussian integer moduli are used to recover the partial signal value through the closed-form robust CRT [11]. In the second stage, the remainders of the positive integer moduli are utilized to recover the complete signal value based on the first stage. It is demonstrated that the reconstruction is robust if the error conditions for both stages are satisfied. Furthermore, the SR-ADC with a complex-valued modulus has found applications in signal frequency estimation [12] and in massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems [13].




Fig. 1. Multi-channel SR-ADCs [6].

In addition to the above applications in ADC, CRT has many other applications in computer science, coding theory, and digital signal processing [14], [15]. However, the CRT is not robust as even a small error in any remainder may lead to a large error in the reconstruction. To overcome this shortcoming, a

robust CRT has been studied in [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21] by utilizing remainder redundancy. The existing literature considers two types of remainder redundancy: 1) the remaining factors of the moduli after being divided by their greatest common divisor (gcd) are pairwise coprime; and 2) the remaining factors of the moduli after being divided by their gcd are not pairwise coprime. For the first type of remainder redundancy, any two moduli have the same gcd. Furthermore, all the remainders modulo the gcd are identical and are referred to as the common remainder [22]. In [16], a searching-based method is proposed to address this redundancy. In [11], a closed-form CRT is introduced, assuming identical remainder error variances, thereby eliminating the need for search steps through a direct closed-form reconstruction process. In [21], a maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)-based algorithm is proposed, which optimally estimates the common remainder and the noises may have different variances. For the second type of remainder redundancy, there are at least two distinct groups of moduli, each having a different gcd [10], [20]. In [10], a multi-stage robust CRT method is proposed that enhances the robustness, with a potentially improved performance compared to the first type when the moduli are appropriately grouped. The robust CRT has numerous applications, such as in multi-channel SAR and InSAR systems [23], [24]. It has also been generalized for vectors [25], [26], for multiple integers [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], and for polynomials [32], [33].

The core of the robust CRT lies in limiting the reconstruction error level the same as that of the remainder errors. For real numbers, remainder errors (or noises) are typically measured using the circular distance based on the modulo operation [21]. However, the circular distance between two complex numbers involves both scaling and rotation in the complex plane. Hence, an error must be computed by considering both the real and imaginary parts simultaneously. Moreover, when the moduli are complex numbers, the reconstruction process becomes more complicated. When the complex moduli are pairwise conjugate each other, the reconstruction of a complex number can be solved by the two-stage robust CRT for real numbers [7]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing literature that addresses the reconstruction of a complex number from its erroneous remainders using a robust complex-valued CRT (C-CRT). In this paper, we focus on estimating an unknown complex number from its erroneous complex remainders, where the moduli are Gaussian integers, with their product and gcd being real-valued integers (or simply called integers). Additionally, the moduli are pairwise coprime after divided by their gcd [34]. Motivated by the work of [21], we propose a fast MLE-based algorithm for the robust C-CRT for complex numbers, which is more challenging compared to that for real numbers, particularly in the MLE model and the analysis of robust estimation. Our contributions are threefold. First, we propose an efficient algorithm to determine the MLE from erroneous remainders with wrapped complex Gaussian

noises. Second, we provide the optimal estimate of the common remainder from complex erroneous remainders. The total number of the optimal common remainder candidates is $2L$ compared to L in the real-valued case presented in [21], where L is the number of moduli. Third, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the MLE-based C-CRT (MLE C-CRT) to be robust. Additionally, we apply the proposed fast MLE C-CRT algorithm to ADCs.

As we shall see later, although C-CRT can be formulated as a special case of 2D-CRT [25], [35] and robust MD-CRT has been studied in a general setting [26], and both MD-CRT and robust MD-CRT can be generalized to real-valued vectors, the study in this paper is much different in the following sense. The key difference with the studies for robust MD-CRT in [25] and [26] is that in this paper, we take a probabilistic approach and treat remainder errors as random variables, while the studies in [25] and [26] are deterministic only. In this paper we propose the MLE C-CRT and robust MLE C-CRT, when the remainder errors follow wrapped complex Gaussian distributions that are the most common distributions for remainder errors. The results we obtain in this paper also provide a detailed (special and interesting) robust 2D-CRT.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce modulo operations for complex numbers and the C-CRT in the absence of errors. Moreover, we introduce the application background of C-CRT in the modulus sampler. In Section III, we present a fast MLE C-CRT algorithm to estimate a complex number from erroneous complex remainders. In Section IV, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the MLE C-CRT to be robust. In Section V, we present simulation results to verify the performance of the proposed algorithm and demonstrate its application to ADCs.

Notations: The set of integers is denoted as \mathbb{Z} , and the sets of 2 dimensional (2D) real vectors and integer vectors are denoted as \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{Z}^2 , respectively. To clearly distinguish between complex numbers, real numbers, and matrices, this paper uses N, Γ, r , etc., to represent complex numbers; N, Γ, r , etc., for real numbers; and $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{k}$, etc., for matrices. For a complex number $z = z_1 + z_2i$, where i represents the imaginary unit, i.e., $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\text{Re}(z)$ denotes the real part z_1 , and $\text{Im}(z)$ denotes the imaginary part z_2 . $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the flooring operation of real number r , i.e., the greatest integer less than or equal to r , and $\lfloor z \rfloor$ denotes the flooring operation of z , i.e., $\lfloor z \rfloor = \lfloor \text{Re}(z) \rfloor + \lfloor \text{Im}(z) \rfloor i$. The set $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{z_1 + z_2i : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the ring of Gaussian integers.

II. C-CRT AND PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

In this section, we first introduce some concepts for C-CRT, including the Euclidean division, the system of complex congruences, and the Euclidean algorithm. We then discuss the application background and

the challenges of C-CRT in modulo samplers.

A. Basic Concepts for C-CRT

First, we introduce the Euclidean division for complex numbers. Let N be a complex number, and let M be a nonzero Gaussian integer. Then, there exist unique $r \in \mathcal{F}_M$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ satisfying

$$N = Mq + r, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{F}_M is the complex remainder set satisfying

$$\mathcal{F}_M = \{M(a + bi) : 0 \leq a, b < 1\}. \quad (2)$$

For \mathcal{F}_M described above, let $M = \rho e^{i\theta}$, where ρ and θ represent the modulus and angle of M , respectively. Then, we have two properties below, which are proven in Appendix A.

Property 1: If $z \in \mathcal{F}_M$, then $ze^{-i\theta} \in \mathcal{F}_\rho$.

Property 2: \mathcal{F}_M is a square and its area is ρ^2 .

If we consider the real and imaginary parts of both sides of (1) separately, then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_2 & m_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $N = n_1 + n_2i$, $M = m_1 + m_2i$, $q = q_1 + q_2i$, and $r = r_1 + r_2i$. One can see that (3) is the 2D modulo problem studied in MD-CRT in [25], [26], [35] with integer matrix moduli of the form

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_2 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The set \mathcal{F}_M in (2) is equivalent to the following set of vector remainders modulo \mathbf{M} , which is known as the fundamental parallelepiped (FPD) of \mathbf{M} [36]:

$$\text{FPD}(\mathbf{M}) = \{\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1)^2\}.$$

In the following, for notational convenience, for any complex number $N = n_1 + n_2i$, we use its equivalent forms $n_1 + n_2i$, $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 & -n_2 \\ n_2 & n_1 \end{pmatrix}$ interchangeably, whenever and wherever they apply. For example, when complex number $M = m_1 + m_2i$ is used as a modulus number, it is either $m_1 + m_2i$ or $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_2 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}$. In what follows, we consider general complex numbers or vectors in \mathcal{F}_M or $\text{FPD}(\mathbf{M})$, not just Gaussian integers or lattice points, while the moduli are Gaussian integers only.

By (1) and (2), r can be rewritten as

$$r = N - M \left\lfloor \frac{N}{M} \right\rfloor. \quad (5)$$

For convenience, we denote $\langle N \rangle_M = r$.




Fig. 2. Illustration of \mathcal{F}_M .

Fig. 2 gives an illustration of the complex remainder set \mathcal{F}_M when $N = 2 + 5i$. By (5), we have $\langle N \rangle_{3+4i} = -1 + i$ and $\langle N \rangle_4 = 2 + i$. Clearly, if M is a real number, then

$$\langle N \rangle_M = \langle \text{Re}(N) \rangle_M + i \langle \text{Im}(N) \rangle_M.$$

In this case, the modulo operation is performed separately on the real and imaginary parts of N .

The C-CRT replaces moduli and remainders of the real-valued CRT with complex values. Now, we introduce the C-CRT when moduli are Gaussian integers. Unlike the CRT in rings, where the remainders must belong to an integral domain (see Theorem 17, Section 7.6 in [37]), this C-CRT allows the remainders to be any complex numbers, not just limited to Gaussian integers. For two Gaussian integers Γ_i and Γ_j , we say that Γ_i and Γ_j are coprime if their common divisors are all ± 1 and $\pm i$. This coprimality is consistent with that for 2D integer matrices, i.e., if Γ_i and Γ_j are treated as two 2D integer matrices, then two Gaussian integers Γ_i and Γ_j are coprime if and only if their corresponding two 2D integer matrices $\mathbf{\Gamma}_i$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_j$ are coprime [25], [26], [36].

Theorem 1 (C-CRT): Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_L$ be pairwise coprime Gaussian integers with $|\Gamma_i| \geq \sqrt{2}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Then, the system of congruences

$$N \equiv r_i \pmod{\Gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L, \quad (6)$$

has a unique solution

$$\mathbf{N} = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i r_i \right\rangle_{\Gamma} \quad (7)$$

in \mathcal{F}_{Γ} , where $\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^L \Gamma_i$, $\gamma_i = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_i}$, and $\bar{\gamma}_i$ is the modular multiplicative inverse of γ_i modulo Γ_i , i.e., there exists a Gaussian integer $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ such that

$$\gamma_i \bar{\gamma}_i + \Gamma_i \bar{\Gamma}_i = 1. \quad (8)$$

Proof: First, we prove the existence. Let $\mathbf{q} = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i r_i}{\Gamma} \right\rfloor$, by (7), we have

$$\mathbf{N} = \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i r_i - \Gamma \mathbf{q}.$$

By (8), we have

$$\mathbf{N} = \sum_{i \neq k} \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i r_i + (1 - \Gamma_k \bar{\Gamma}_k) r_k - \Gamma \mathbf{q}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Hence, $\mathbf{N} \equiv r_k \pmod{\Gamma_k}$.

Next, we prove the uniqueness of the solution in \mathcal{F}_{Γ} . Let $\mathbf{N}' \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ be another solution. By (6), we have $\mathbf{N}' \equiv \mathbf{N} \pmod{\Gamma_i}$. Hence, Γ_i divides $\mathbf{N}' - \mathbf{N}$. Since $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_L$ are pairwise coprime, we obtain that Γ divides $\mathbf{N}' - \mathbf{N}$. Thus, there exists a Gaussian integer $\mathbf{k} = k_1 + k_2 i$ such that $\mathbf{N}' = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{k}\Gamma$. Since $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$, there exist $n_1, n_2 \in [0, 1)$ such that $\mathbf{N} = \Gamma(n_1 + n_2 i)$. Consequently, $\mathbf{N}' = \Gamma(k_1 + n_1 + (k_2 + n_2)i)$. It follows from $\mathbf{N}' \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ that $k_1 + n_1, k_2 + n_2 \in [0, 1)$. Since $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $k_1 = k_2 = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{N}' = \mathbf{N}$. \blacksquare

Remark 1: Different from the CRT in rings, Theorem 1 gives a reconstruction method for a complex number in \mathcal{F}_{Γ} not just Gaussian integer. In fact, the CRT in the ring of Gaussian integers can be easily generalized from that in the ring of integers. The C-CRT described here is for any complex number, which comes from the applications where the remainders are complex numbers.

Note that the general 2D-CRT for 2D vectors studied in [25] and [26] may not have the concise form in (7) similar to the conventional CRT for real integers. Another advantage of the above C-CRT over the general 2D-CRT is that it may be easier to find a set of pairwise coprime Gaussian integers [38] than that for 2D integer matrices. Furthermore, a necessary and sufficient condition was obtained in [39] for 2D integer matrices of the form (4) called skew-circulant matrices in [39] to be coprime.

We next consider the following system of congruences

$$\mathbf{N} \equiv r_i \pmod{M\Gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L, \quad (9)$$

where $\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^L \Gamma_i$ and M are integers. In other words, both the gcd and the least common multiple (lcm) of the moduli are integers and in this case $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$ is a square of sides on the real and imaginary axes.

By (9), for each i we obtain that there exists a Gaussian integer k_i such that $r_i - N = M\Gamma_i k_i$. Thus, $r_i \equiv N \pmod{M}$. Then, we have $r_i \equiv \langle N \rangle_M \pmod{M}$. That is, all remainders modulo M have the same value called the common remainder r^c , which is in \mathcal{F}_M and can be determined by

$$r^c \equiv r_i \pmod{M}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L. \quad (10)$$

Let

$$q_i = \frac{r_i - r^c}{M} \text{ and } N_0 = \frac{N - r^c}{M}. \quad (11)$$

Then, we have

$$N_0 \equiv q_i \pmod{\Gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L. \quad (12)$$

If $N \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, we have

$$0 \leq \lfloor \operatorname{Re}(N) \rfloor, \lfloor \operatorname{Im}(N) \rfloor < M\Gamma.$$

This leads to

$$0 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\operatorname{Re}(N)}{M} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{\operatorname{Im}(N)}{M} \right\rfloor < \Gamma.$$

Since

$$N - r^c = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{M} \right\rfloor M = \left\lfloor \frac{\operatorname{Re}(N)}{M} \right\rfloor M + i \left\lfloor \frac{\operatorname{Im}(N)}{M} \right\rfloor M,$$

we have $N - r^c \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, and consequently,

$$N_0 = \frac{N - r^c}{M} \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma.$$

By (12) and Theorem 1, we have

$$N_0 = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i q_i \right\rangle_\Gamma. \quad (13)$$

It follows from (11) that

$$N = MN_0 + r^c. \quad (14)$$

Remark 2: As mentioned earlier, the C-CRT can be viewed as a special 2D-CRT. If the moduli in the 2D-CRT can be simultaneously diagonalized by integer matrices into diagonal integer matrices, the 2D-CRT reduces to two individual 1D-CRTs. The following example demonstrates that the C-CRT does not generally reduce to two individual 1D-CRTs. Let $\Gamma_1 = 3 + 4i$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3 - 4i$. Then the matrix forms

of Γ_1 and Γ_2 are $\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. If there exist invertible matrices \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} such that $\mathbf{U}\Gamma_1\mathbf{V} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{U}\Gamma_2\mathbf{V} = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2)$ for some non-zero integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 , then

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1}\Gamma_1^{-1}\mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{U}\Gamma_2\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{-1}\Gamma_1^{-1}\Gamma_2\mathbf{V} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right).$$

Hence, $\Gamma_1^{-1}\Gamma_2$ has two real eigenvalues, $\frac{b_1}{a_1}$ and $\frac{b_2}{a_2}$. This contradicts the fact that $\Gamma_1^{-1}\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{7}{25} & \frac{24}{25} \\ -\frac{24}{25} & -\frac{7}{25} \end{pmatrix}$ has two complex eigenvalues, $-\frac{7}{25} + \frac{24}{25}i$ and $-\frac{7}{25} - \frac{24}{25}i$.

Note that the above process requires solving for the modular multiplicative inverse of the Gaussian integer. Since the ring of Gaussian integers is a Euclidean domain [37], for any $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $M \neq 0$, there exist $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $N = qM + r$, where $|r| < |M|$. It makes sense that the Euclidean algorithm can be used to find modular multiplicative inverses, similar to how it is used for integers. However, using (5) to compute r is insufficient. For example, if we let $N = 5 + 10i$ and $M = 4 + 4i$, then $r = N - M \lfloor \frac{N}{M} \rfloor = 1 + 6i$. It is evident that the condition $|r| < |M|$ is not satisfied. To use the Euclidean algorithm for complex numbers, we introduce the following rounding operation:

$$[z] = [z_1] + [z_2]i,$$

where $[z_i]$ satisfy $-\frac{1}{2} \leq z_i - [z_i] < \frac{1}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to verify that if $r = N - M \lfloor \frac{N}{M} \rfloor$, then $|r| < |M|$. Hence, we can recursively obtain the modular inverse of the Gaussian integer using the Euclidean algorithm. For example, we let $n = 19 + 8i$ and $m = 3 + 4i$. Clearly, $\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor = 4 - 2i$. Hence,

$$n = (4 - 2i)m + (-1 - 2i).$$

Since $\lfloor \frac{m}{-1-2i} \rfloor = -2$, we have

$$m = -2(-1 - 2i) + 1.$$

Then, we have the following Bezout's identity:

$$1 = 2n + (-7 + 4i)m.$$

That is,

$$(-7 + 4i)m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

In other words, the modular multiplicative inverse of m modulo n is $-7 + 4i$.

Example 1: Let us consider the following system of congruence equations

$$\begin{cases} N \equiv -3 + 6i \pmod{2(1 + 4i)}, \\ N \equiv -1 - 6i \pmod{2(-3 - 4i)}, \\ N \equiv -15 + 44i \pmod{2(13 + 16i)}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, we have $\Gamma_1 = 1 + 4i$, $\Gamma_2 = -3 - 4i$, $\Gamma_3 = 13 + 16i$, and $M = 2$. Hence, $\gamma_1 = 25 - 100i$, $\gamma_2 = -51 + 68i$, and $\gamma_3 = 13 - 16i$. By the Euclidean algorithm, we have $\bar{\gamma}_1 = 1$, $\bar{\gamma}_2 = -2 - 2i$, and $\bar{\gamma}_3 = 9 + 6i$. By (10), we have $r^c = \langle r_i \rangle_M = 1$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. By (11), we have $q_1 = -2 + 3i$, $q_2 = -1 - 3i$, and $q_3 = -8 + 22i$. Hence, we obtain by (13) that $N_0 = 8 + 9i$. It follows from (14) that $N = 17 + 18i$.

B. Problem Description and SR-ADCs




Fig. 3. Complex-valued modulus SR-ADCs [7].

Now we consider the problem of recovering complex-valued bandlimited signals using the C-CRT. For a single SR-ADC, one can compute the modulus of real numbers. Two combined SR-ADCs can obtain a modulus sampler with Gaussian integer M_i , as illustrated in Fig. 3. For convenience, we denote $M_i = \rho_i e^{i\theta_i}$, where θ_i represents the angle of M_i , and ρ_i represents the dynamic range of the SR-ADC. Let T be the sampling interval length of each SR-ADC and $f_k = f(kT)$. Then, the output y_{ki} can be expressed as

$$y_{ki} = \left\langle f_k e^{-i\theta_i} \right\rangle_{\rho_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L. \quad (15)$$

By applying a phase shift, one can obtain y_{ki} through separately applying the modulo operation to its real and imaginary parts. To be specific, if we rewrite y_{ki} as

$$y_{ki} = \left\langle \text{Re}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i} + i \left\langle \text{Im}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i},$$

then $\left\langle \text{Re}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i}$ and $\left\langle \text{Im}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i}$ can be obtained by

$$\left\langle \text{Re}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i} = \langle \text{Re}(f_k) \cos \theta_i + \text{Im}(f_k) \sin \theta_i \rangle_{\rho_i}$$

and

$$\left\langle \text{Im}(f_k e^{-i\theta_i}) \right\rangle_{\rho_i} = \langle \text{Im}(f_k) \cos \theta_i - \text{Re}(f_k) \sin \theta_i \rangle_{\rho_i},$$

respectively. By (15), we can obtain the system of congruences

$$f_k \equiv y_{ki} e^{i\theta_i} \pmod{M_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

For convenience, we let $N = f_k$, and let $r_i = y_{ki} e^{i\theta_i}$, then we have

$$N \equiv r_i \pmod{M_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

When there is no error in any remainder, f_k or N can be recovered by the C-CRT. However, in practical applications, the obtained remainders r_i may have errors. Let the erroneous remainders be

$$\tilde{r}_i = r_i + \Delta r_i,$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, and Δr_i represents the error in the i -th remainder. In the following, we consider the MLE C-CRT based on the assumption of wrapped complex Gaussian distributions of the errors, which is to estimate the complex value N from its erroneous complex remainders $\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \dots, \tilde{r}_L$.

III. MLE C-CRT AND ITS FAST ALGORITHM

In this section, we first introduce circular distance and wrapped distributions. Then, we provide the expression of the MLE C-CRT. Finally, we propose a fast algorithm for the MLE C-CRT.

A. Circular Distance and Wrapped Distributions

First, we introduce the definition of circular distance for complex numbers. For two complex numbers x and y , and a nonzero Gaussian integer M , we define the circular distance between x and y for M as

$$d_M(x, y) = x - y - \left\lfloor \frac{x - y}{M} \right\rfloor M. \quad (16)$$

The circular distance has the following properties, which are proven in Appendix A.

Property 3: $d_M(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_M$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_M = \left\{ M(c + di) : -\frac{1}{2} \leq c, d < \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Similar to Property 2, we have that \mathcal{S}_M is a square with side length $|M|$.

Property 4: For any Gaussian integer k , it holds that $d_M(x + kM, y) = d_M(x, y + kM) = d_M(x, y)$.

Furthermore, $d_M(x, y) = d_M(x, \langle y \rangle_M)$.

Property 5: If $x - y \in \mathcal{S}_M$, then $d_M(x, y) = x - y$.

Property 6: If $x - y \in \partial\mathcal{S}_M$, where $\partial\mathcal{S}_M$ denotes the boundary of \mathcal{S}_M , then $|d_M(x, y)| = |x - y|$.

Property 7: Let k be a nonzero integer. If $|M| \geq \sqrt{2}$, then $|d_{kM}(d_k(x, y), 0)| = |d_k(x, y)|$.

Fig. 4 gives an illustration of circular distance of $x = 3 + 3i$ and $y = 1 - 2i$. By the definition of the circular distance, we have $d_{3+4i}(x, y) = -1 - i$ and $d_4(x, y) = -2 + i$.




Fig. 4. Illustration of circular distance based on \mathcal{S}_M .

Proposition 1: If $d_M(r, N)$ is considered as a function of $r = x + yi \in \mathcal{F}_M$, where $M = \rho e^{i\theta}$ is a Gaussian integer, and N is a complex number. Then, the points of discontinuity of $d_M(r, N)$ belong to the following set:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{r : y \sin \theta + x \cos \theta = c_1 \text{ or } y \cos \theta - x \sin \theta = c_2\},$$

where $c_1 = \pm \frac{\rho}{2} + \text{Re}(\langle N e^{-i\theta} \rangle_\rho)$ and $c_2 = \pm \frac{\rho}{2} + \text{Im}(\langle N e^{-i\theta} \rangle_\rho)$. Furthermore, the measure of \mathcal{D} is zero.

Proof: Note that $d_M(r, N) = d_M(r, \langle N \rangle_M)$ by Property 4, we consider $N \in \mathcal{F}_M$ without loss of generality. Since $r, N \in \mathcal{F}_M$, we have $re^{-i\theta}, Ne^{-i\theta} \in \mathcal{F}_\rho$ by Property 1. Hence, $\text{Re}(re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta}), \text{Im}(re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta}) \in (-\rho, \rho)$. Note that

$$d_M(r, N) = r - N - \left[\frac{re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta}}{\rho} \right] M.$$

That is,

$$d_M(r, N) = r - N - \left[\frac{\operatorname{Re}(re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta})}{\rho} \right] M - i \left[\frac{\operatorname{Im}(re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta})}{\rho} \right] M.$$

Thus, $d_M(r, N)$ is discontinuous at r when the real or imaginary part of $re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta}$ equals $\frac{\rho}{2}$ or $-\frac{\rho}{2}$. Note that since the proofs of these four cases are similar, we only consider the case when $\operatorname{Re}(re^{-i\theta} - Ne^{-i\theta}) = \frac{\rho}{2}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Re}(re^{-i\theta}) = \frac{\rho}{2} + \operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta})$. Since

$$re^{-i\theta} = (y \sin \theta + x \cos \theta) + (y \cos \theta - x \sin \theta)i,$$

we have

$$y \sin \theta + x \cos \theta = \frac{\rho}{2} + \operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta}).$$

Hence, $r \in \mathcal{D}$. Since \mathcal{D} contains at most four line segments, its measure is zero. \blacksquare

We now consider that the unknown complex number N to determine is noisy in its observation and the noise follows a complex Gaussian distribution as commonly assumed. This noise results in noises in the remainders. To study the noises in the remainders, we introduce the multivariate wrapped distributions below. Let $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ be the probability density function (pdf) of a 2D random variable \mathbf{X} . As described in [40], the pdf of $\mathbf{Y} \equiv \mathbf{X} \pmod{\mathbf{I}}$ is

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{k}),$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{I})$, and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. Next, we consider the pdf of $\mathbf{Z} \equiv \mathbf{X} \pmod{\mathbf{M}}$, where \mathbf{M} is an invertible matrix. Clearly,

$$\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} \equiv \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{X} \pmod{\mathbf{I}}.$$

If we let $\mathbf{Z}' = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$, then

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}'}(\mathbf{z}') = |\det(\mathbf{M})| \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{z}' + \mathbf{k})),$$

where $\det(\mathbf{M})$ is the determinant of \mathbf{M} . Consequently, the pdf of \mathbf{Z} is

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) = |\det(\mathbf{M}^{-1})| f_{\mathbf{Z}'}(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{k}), \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{M})$. Then, (18) can be used to represent the pdf of the complex random variable $X = N+W$, where W is the noise of 0 mean and N is the true unknown complex number to determine. Specifically, if W follows a complex Gaussian distribution, then the pdf of X is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x - N|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\},$$

where σ^2 is the variance of both the real and imaginary parts of W . Thus, from (18) we have the pdf of $R \equiv X \pmod{M}$:

$$f_R(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|r - N + kM|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where $r \in \mathcal{F}_M$. Let $k' = k + \lceil \frac{r-N}{M} \rceil$, we can obtain from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_R(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|r - N - \lceil \frac{r-N}{M} \rceil M + k'M|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_M(r, N) + k'M|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

To simplify the expression of (20), we introduce a proposition below.

Proposition 2: Let $r = x + yi \in \mathcal{F}_M$. If $|M| \geq 6\sqrt{2}\sigma$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_M} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_M(r, N)|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} dx dy > 0.9973^2. \quad (21)$$

Proof: By Proposition 1, we know that the discontinuity points of $d_M(r, N)$ in \mathcal{F}_M form a set with measure zero. Thus, $g(r) \triangleq \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_M(r, N)|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$ is integrable on \mathcal{F}_M . We divide \mathcal{F}_M into n subrectangles equally for convenience, say $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$. For any $p_j \in \mathcal{P}_j$ such that $d_M(r, N)$ is continuous at p_j , denoting $d_M(p_j, N) = u_j + v_j i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_M} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_M(r, N)|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} dx dy &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M|^2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{u_j^2 + v_j^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\ &= \iint_{S_M} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2 + v^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du dv. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Let $T = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|M|$. According to (17), we know that S_T is a square inscribed in the incircle of S_M , as shown in Fig. 5. Hence,


$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du \right)^2 &= \iint_{S_T} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2 + v^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du dv \\ &< \iint_{S_M} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2 + v^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du dv. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Note that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-3\sigma}^{3\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du = 0.9973$. Since $|M| \geq 6\sqrt{2}\sigma$, i.e., $T \geq 6\sigma$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \left(\int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du \right)^2 \geq 0.9973^2.$$

By (23), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \iint_{S_M} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2 + v^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} du dv > 0.9973^2.$$

Fig. 5. Description of \mathcal{S}_T and \mathcal{S}_M .

This leads to (21) by (22) . ■

By Proposition 2, when $|M| \geq 6\sqrt{2}\sigma$, the pdf (20) can be approximated as

$$f_{\mathbf{R}}(r) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_{\mathbf{M}}(r, \mathbf{N})|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}. \quad (24)$$

B. MLE C-CRT

Now, we calculate the maximum likelihood function for $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, which is the fixed but unknown complex number to determine as mentioned above. Assume that $X_i = \mathbf{N} + W_i$ follow complex Gaussian distributions, and W_i are independent of each other, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. The variances of the real and imaginary parts of W_i are both σ_i^2 , and their means are both 0 for each i . Denote R_i as the random variable with observed value \tilde{r}_i , which satisfies $R_i \equiv X_i \pmod{M\Gamma_i}$ and $\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^L \Gamma_i$ is an integer as assumed earlier. Then, R_i follows a wrapped complex Gaussian distribution as studied above. For each i , from (19), we can obtain the conditional pdf of R_i as follows:

$$f_{R_i}(\tilde{r}_i | \mathbf{N}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_i^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|\tilde{r}_i - \mathbf{N} + kM\Gamma_i|^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right\}.$$

Generally, $|M\Gamma_i|$ is much larger than σ_i . By Proposition 2 and (24), we have

$$f_{R_i}(\tilde{r}_i | \mathbf{N}) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_i^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, \mathbf{N})|^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right\}.$$

Consequently, we can approximate the joint conditional pdf $f_{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_L}(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \dots, \tilde{r}_L | \mathbf{N}) = \prod_{i=1}^L f_{R_i}(\tilde{r}_i | \mathbf{N})$ as

$$(2\pi)^{-L} \prod_{i=1}^L \sigma_i^{-2} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} |d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, \mathbf{N})|^2 \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have the log likelihood function of N

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = -L \ln 2\pi - 2 \sum_{i=1}^L \ln \sigma_i - \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{|d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, z)|^2}{2\sigma_i^2}. \quad (25)$$

The MLE maximizes $\mathcal{L}(z)$ with respect to an unknown complex number $z \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, which yields the following minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_{\text{MLE}} &= \arg \max_{z \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}} \mathcal{L}(z) \\ &= \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}} \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} |d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, z)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In Fig. 6, we show the right-hand side of the log likelihood function in (25), where $N = 500 + 500i$,




Fig. 6. The log likelihood function (25).

$M = 10$, $\Gamma_1 = 3 + 4i$, $\Gamma_2 = 3 - 4i$, $\Gamma_3 = 4$, and σ_1 to σ_3 are 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4, respectively. In this case, $\Gamma = 100$. By (26), we have $\hat{N}_{\text{MLE}} = 501 + 500i$.

Note that z in (26) may take any complex number in $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$. In general, solving the minimization problem (26) may have a high computational complexity by searching the whole 2D region $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$. Next, we will present a fast algorithm with only $2L$ searches.

C. Fast MLE C-CRT Algorithm

From (11), (13), and (14), one can see that the common remainder r^c is crucial for reconstructing N . For noisy remainders \tilde{r}_i of N modulo M_i , their remainders modulo M , i.e., $\tilde{r}_i^c = \langle \tilde{r}_i \rangle_M$ may be different from each other due to the errors for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. To estimate the common remainder from $\tilde{r}_1^c, \tilde{r}_2^c, \dots, \tilde{r}_L^c$, we define a special averaging operation of \tilde{r}_i^c as

$$\hat{r}^c \triangleq \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{F}_M} \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} |d_M(\tilde{r}_i^c, x)|^2. \quad (27)$$

After the common remainder r^c is estimated above, we can estimate q_i as

$$\hat{q}_i = \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{r}_i - \hat{r}^c}{M} \right\rfloor, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L. \quad (28)$$

Consequently, N_0 can be estimated by

$$\hat{N}_0 = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L \tilde{\gamma}_i \gamma_i \hat{q}_i \right\rangle_{\Gamma}. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, N can be estimated by

$$\hat{N} = M\hat{N}_0 + \hat{r}^c. \quad (30)$$

The following result says that the obtained \hat{N} in (30) is indeed the MLE when the estimate of r^c is \hat{r}^c in (27).

Theorem 2: If $N \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, then \hat{N} in (30) is the MLE of N , that is, $\hat{N} = \hat{N}_{\text{MLE}}$.

Proof: By (29) and Theorem 1, we have

$$\hat{N}_0 \equiv \hat{q}_i \pmod{\Gamma_i}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. That is, there exist Gaussian integers k_i such that

$$\hat{N}_0 = k_i \Gamma_i + \hat{q}_i.$$

By (30), we have

$$\hat{N} = M k_i \Gamma_i + M \hat{q}_i + \hat{r}^c.$$

According to Property 4, we have

$$|d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{N})| = |d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, M\hat{q}_i + \hat{r}^c)| = |d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i - M\hat{q}_i - \hat{r}^c, 0)|.$$

It follows from (28) that

$$|d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{N})| = |d_{M\Gamma_i}(d_M(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{r}^c), 0)|.$$

As $d_M(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{r}^c) \in \mathcal{S}_M$, by Property 7, we have

$$|d_{M\Gamma_i}(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{N})| = |d_M(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{r}^c)|.$$

Hence, (26) and (27) are equivalent, that is, z in (26) is optimal if and only if x in (27) is optimal. ■

According to Theorem 2, we can search for \hat{r}^c within the smaller set \mathcal{F}_M to obtain \hat{N} . Although \mathcal{F}_M is much smaller than the original searching region $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$ in (26), it still contains infinitely many elements

to search. Next, we introduce a fast algorithm that requires only a finite number of searches to find \hat{r}^c .

Let

$$w_i = \frac{\sigma_i^{-2}}{\sum_{i=1}^L \sigma_i^{-2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L. \quad (31)$$

Then, we have $0 < w_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^L w_i = 1$. Consequently, the optimal estimate in (27) can be rewritten as

$$\hat{r}^c = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_M} \sum_{i=1}^L w_i |d_M(\tilde{r}_i^c, \mathbf{x})|^2. \quad (32)$$

Theorem 3: The estimate \hat{r}^c in (32) is optimal if and only if $\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c)$ and $\text{Im}(\hat{r}^c)$ are optimal simultaneously, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \text{Re}(\hat{r}^c) = \arg \min_{\text{Re}(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, M]} \sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Re}(\mathbf{x})), \\ \text{Im}(\hat{r}^c) = \arg \min_{\text{Im}(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, M]} \sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Im}(\mathbf{x})). \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Proof: For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^L w_i |d_M(\tilde{r}_i^c, \mathbf{x})|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \left| \tilde{r}_i^c - \mathbf{x} - \left[\frac{\tilde{r}_i^c - \mathbf{x}}{M} \right] \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \left(\left(\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c) - \text{Re}(\mathbf{x}) - \left[\frac{\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c) - \text{Re}(\mathbf{x})}{M} \right] \right)^2 + \left(\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c) - \text{Im}(\mathbf{x}) - \left[\frac{\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c) - \text{Im}(\mathbf{x})}{M} \right] \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Re}(\mathbf{x})) + \sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Im}(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^L w_i |d_M(\tilde{r}_i^c, \mathbf{x})|^2$ attains its minimum value if and only if both $\sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Re}(\mathbf{x}))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2(\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Im}(\mathbf{x}))$ attain their minimum values, since region \mathcal{F}_M of variable \mathbf{x} is a square with sides parallel to the two axes and thus $\text{Re}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\text{Im}(\mathbf{x})$ are independent each other. \blacksquare

For real numbers, the optimal estimate \hat{r}^c is provided in Theorem 2 of [21]. For complex numbers, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4: The optimal estimate \hat{r}^c in (32) belongs to the following set:

$$\Omega = \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \tilde{r}_i^c + M \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} w_{\varsigma(i)} + i \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} w_{\nu(i)} \right) \right\rangle_M : k_1, k_2 = 1, 2, \dots, L \right\}, \quad (34)$$

where ς and ν are permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ satisfying $\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_{\varsigma(1)}^c) \leq \text{Re}(\tilde{r}_{\varsigma(2)}^c) \leq \dots \leq \text{Re}(\tilde{r}_{\varsigma(L)}^c)$ and $\text{Im}(\tilde{r}_{\nu(1)}^c) \leq \text{Im}(\tilde{r}_{\nu(2)}^c) \leq \dots \leq \text{Im}(\tilde{r}_{\nu(L)}^c)$, respectively.

Proof: Based on Theorem 3, it suffices to solve for $\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c)$ and $\text{Im}(\hat{r}^c)$ in (33). By Theorem 2 of [21], we have

$$\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c) + M \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} w_{\varsigma(i)} \right\rangle_M \quad (35)$$

and

$$\text{Im}(\hat{r}^c) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \text{Im}(\tilde{r}_i^c) + M \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} w_{\nu(i)} \right\rangle_M \quad (36)$$

for some integers $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$. Hence,

$$\hat{r}^c = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \tilde{r}_i^c + M \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} w_{\varsigma(i)} + i \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} w_{\nu(i)} \right) \right\rangle_M .$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

In terms of the set Ω in Theorem 4, there are L^2 possible candidates for obtaining the optimal estimate. The following result demonstrates that the number of searches can be reduced from L^2 to $2L$.

Corollary 1: The optimal estimate \hat{r}^c can be determined with a total of $2L$ searches.

Proof: By (35) and (36) in the proof of Theorem 4, both $\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c)$ and $\text{Im}(\hat{r}^c)$ can be obtained through L searches respectively. Thus, \hat{r}^c can be determined with $2L$ searches. ■

Comparison with the Two-Stage CRT in [7]: The two-stage CRT described in [7] consists of two stages, each applying the closed-form CRT [11]. In the first stage, l pairs of equations with complex moduli are converted into l equations with real moduli, where $2l \leq L$. In the second stage, the real and imaginary parts are separated, and two congruence systems are solved using the closed-form CRT. The reconstruction result depends on the choice of the reference remainder, which is influenced by the estimation of the common remainder. Note that the estimation of the common remainder is based on the searching method in [11] used in [7], although the fast searching of only L times in [21] can be applied. This requires searching through all the points within the interval $[0, M)$ and the estimation depends on the searching step sizes. To achieve good estimation accuracy, small searching step sizes are required, resulting in many more searching steps than $2L$. Notably, the complexity of the two-stage CRT increases as M increases. Furthermore, it is based on the assumption that the remainder errors have the same variance. If the variances differ, the reconstruction performance is significantly degraded.

IV. ROBUST ESTIMATION FOR THE FAST MLE C-CRT

In this section, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for the MLE C-CRT to be robust. Then, we calculate the probability of the robust MLE C-CRT.

A. Condition of Robust Estimation

We first consider a necessary condition of robust estimation for the MLE C-CRT. For convenience, we define the remainder error set as

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \dots, \Delta r_L\}$$

and the weighted average of the remainder errors as

$$\overline{\Delta r} = \sum_{i=1}^L w_i \Delta r_i,$$

where the weights w_i are defined in (31). In [21], a necessary condition for a robust estimation of real numbers is

$$-\frac{M}{2} \leq \Delta r_i - (\hat{N} - N) < \frac{M}{2}.$$

For complex numbers, we have the following necessary condition:

$$\Delta r_i - (\hat{N} - N) \in \mathcal{S}_M, \quad (37)$$

where \mathcal{S}_M is defined in (17). In what follows, in order to discuss the robustness of the MLE C-CRT, we suppose that (37) is always satisfied.

Theorem 5: Suppose that $\overline{\Delta r}$ satisfies $|\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$ simultaneously. If

$$\sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{w_i \Delta r_i}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{V}} w_j} - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{w_i \Delta r_i}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}} w_j} \in \mathcal{S}_M \quad (38)$$

holds for any $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}$, then we have

$$\hat{r}^c = \langle r^c + \overline{\Delta r} \rangle_M. \quad (39)$$

Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Delta r^c) = \operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}) + \begin{cases} M, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) < M, \\ -M, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) \geq M \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Delta r^c) = \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r}) + \begin{cases} M, & \text{if } \operatorname{Im}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \operatorname{Im}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) < M, \\ -M, & \text{if } \operatorname{Im}(r^c + \overline{\Delta r}) \geq M. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

The proof of this theorem is in Appendix B.

Theorem 5 gives a condition of the remainder errors and their weights such that the optimal estimate \hat{r}^c of the common remainder r^c is $\langle r^c + \overline{\Delta r} \rangle_M$. Next, we consider the sufficiency of the robust estimation when the errors satisfy (38). For convenience, we introduce a result below.

Proposition 3: For N and N_0 in (14), $N_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $N \in M\mathcal{H} = \{Mh : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$, where

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_1 + h_2i : 1 \leq h_1, h_2 < \Gamma - 1\}.$$

Proof: According to (11), it suffices to prove that $N - r^c \in M\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $N \in M\mathcal{H}$. By $N - r^c = M \lfloor \frac{N}{M} \rfloor$, we can obtain that $N - r^c \in M\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\lfloor \frac{N}{M} \rfloor \in \mathcal{H}$, which is equivalent to $N \in M\mathcal{H}$. ■

According to Theorem 5, we have $\Delta r^c = \overline{\Delta r} + Mk_1 + Mk_2i$, where $k_1, k_2 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. By the definition of \hat{q}_i in (28), we have

$$\hat{q}_i = q_i + \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta r_i - \Delta r^c}{M} \right\rfloor = q_i - k_1 - k_2i + \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta r_i - \overline{\Delta r}}{M} \right\rfloor. \quad (42)$$

Arbitrarily choose a $\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{U}$, and let $\mathcal{V} = \{\Delta r_i\}$. Then, we obtain from (38) that

$$\Delta r_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{j \neq i} w_j} \Delta r_j \in \mathcal{S}_M.$$

Since $\sum_{j \neq i} w_j = 1 - w_i$, we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - w_i} \Delta r_i - \frac{1}{1 - w_i} \overline{\Delta r} \in \mathcal{S}_M.$$

Hence,

$$\Delta r_i - \overline{\Delta r} \in (1 - w_i)\mathcal{S}_M.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta r_i - \overline{\Delta r}}{M} \right\rfloor = 0.$$

It follows from (42) that

$$\hat{q}_i = q_i - k_1 - k_2i.$$

Based on the arbitrariness of Δr_i , we can obtain from (29) that

$$\hat{N}_0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i q_i - \sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i (k_1 + k_2i) \pmod{\Gamma}. \quad (43)$$

Note that $\bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\Gamma_i}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_j \gamma_j \equiv 0 \pmod{\Gamma_i}$ for $j \neq i$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\Gamma_i}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i (k_1 + k_2 i) \equiv k_1 + k_2 i \pmod{\Gamma_i},$$

that is, Γ_i divides $\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i (k_1 + k_2 i) - (k_1 + k_2 i)$. Since $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_L$ are pairwise coprime, $\prod_{i=1}^L \Gamma_i$ divides $\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i (k_1 + k_2 i) - (k_1 + k_2 i)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i (k_1 + k_2 i) \equiv k_1 + k_2 i \pmod{\Gamma}.$$

By (43), we have

$$\hat{N}_0 \equiv N_0 - k_1 - k_2 i \pmod{\Gamma}.$$

Since $\hat{N}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$, we have

$$\hat{N}_0 = \langle N_0 - k_1 - k_2 i \rangle_\Gamma.$$

If $N \in M\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $N_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ by Proposition 3, then $\hat{N}_0 = N_0 - k_1 - k_2 i$. Consequently,

$$\hat{N} = M(N_0 - k_1 - k_2 i) + r^c + \Delta r^c = N + \overline{\Delta r}.$$

Therefore, N can be robustly estimated. The next theorem demonstrates that (39) is both a necessary and sufficient condition for robust estimation.

Theorem 6: Let $N \in M\mathcal{H}$. If $\overline{\Delta r}$ satisfies $|\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$ simultaneously, then

$$\hat{N} - N = \overline{\Delta r} \tag{44}$$

holds if and only if (38) holds for all $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Appendix C.




Fig. 7. Illustration of $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$ and $M\mathcal{H}$.

Note that $M\mathcal{H} = \{M\Gamma(a + bi) : \frac{1}{F} \leq a, b < 1 - \frac{1}{F}\}$. As illustrated in Fig. 7, compared to $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, $M\mathcal{H}$ only differs with (does not include) four trapezoids with a height of M .

Theorem 6 demonstrates that the MLE C-CRT is capable of “preserving errors”, i.e., it preserves the weighted average error $\overline{\Delta r}$ of the original remainder errors $\Delta r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, during the reconstruction. In this case, it is called error preserving MLE C-CRT. Since the output error of the MLE C-CRT is comparable to the input remainder error level, it is robust. By Theorem 6, we can derive the following robustness as well.

Corollary 2: Let $N \in M\mathcal{H}$, $|\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \tau$, where $\tau \leq \frac{M}{2}$. If (38) holds for all $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Re}(N)| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Im}(N)| < \tau$.

Proof: Clearly, $|\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \frac{M}{2}$. By Theorem 6, we have $\hat{N} - N = \overline{\Delta r}$. This leads to $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Re}(N)| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Im}(N)| < \tau$. ■

Corollary 2 presents the robustness of MLE C-CRT in terms of a bound of the weighted average error $\overline{\Delta r}$. The following result presents the conditions under which all remainder errors contribute to a robust estimation, which is analogous to the error bound $\frac{M}{4}$ for real numbers [19], [11].

Corollary 3: Let $N \in M\mathcal{H}$. If $|\operatorname{Re}(\Delta r_i)| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\Delta r_i)| < \tau$ hold for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, where $\tau \leq \frac{M}{4}$, then we have $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Re}(N)| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Im}(N)| < \tau$.

Proof: Since $\operatorname{Re}(\Delta r_i) < \tau$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\Delta r_i) < \tau$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{w_i \operatorname{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{S}} w_j} - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{w_i \operatorname{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} w_j} \right| < \left| \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{w_i \tau}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{S}} w_j} \right| + \left| \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{w_i \tau}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} w_j} \right| = 2\tau.$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{w_i \operatorname{Im}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{S}} w_j} - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{w_i \operatorname{Im}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}} w_j} \right| < 2\tau.$$

By Theorem 6, we have $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Re}(N)| = |\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \tau$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\hat{N}) - \operatorname{Im}(N)| = |\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})| < \tau$. ■

This result gives a concrete robust 2D-CRT compared to the general setting in [25] and [26].

B. Probability of Error Preserving MLE C-CRT

We now calculate the probability of the MLE C-CRT preserving errors, i.e., satisfying the necessary and sufficient conditions in Theorem 6. This is also a probability for achieving robust reconstruction when $\tau = \frac{M}{2}$ by Corollary 2. Assume that the i -th remainder error Δr_i follows a wrapped complex Gaussian distribution with a mean of 0 and a variance of $2\sigma_i^2$, and that the real and imaginary parts of Δr_i have equal variance σ_i^2 . Since $|M\Gamma_i|$ is generally much larger than σ_i^2 , we approximate Δr_i as a complex Gaussian distribution.

According to Theorem 6, the necessary and sufficient condition for the MLE C-CRT to robustly estimate \mathbf{N} is that the errors Δr_i satisfy (38). Denote $x_i = \text{Re}(\Delta r_i)$ and $y_i = \text{Im}(\Delta r_i)$. Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all vectors $\mathbf{r} = (\Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \dots, \Delta r_L)$ that satisfy (38). Similar to the discussion for real numbers in [21], we have

$$\begin{aligned} p((\Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \dots, \Delta r_L) \in \mathcal{R}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \int \cdots \int_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^L \left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma_i^2} - \frac{y_i^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right) \right\} dV_{\mathbf{x}} dV_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left(\int \cdots \int_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right\} dV_{\mathbf{x}} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_L)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_L)$, $dV_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $dV_{\mathbf{y}}$ are the differential volume elements of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , respectively.

Fig. 8 illustrates the theoretical and simulated probabilities of the error preserving MLE C-CRT at different standard deviations of the real and imaginary parts of noises. In the simulation, we set $L = 2$, $M = 10$, $\Gamma_1 = 4 + 19i$, $\Gamma_2 = 4 - 19i$, $\sigma_1 = 2.4 + k$, and $\sigma_2 = 2.5 + k$. For each k , the number of trials is 100000.




Fig. 8. Probabilities of error preserving MLE C-CRT (i.e., robust) at different standard deviations.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we present several simulations to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed fast MLE C-CRT algorithm. Furthermore, we apply the algorithm to modulo ADCs to highlight its practical

applicability.

We first present the relationship between signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and noise variance. Let a complex-valued bandlimited signal be $\tilde{g}(t) = \mathbf{g}(t) + \mathbf{w}(t)$, where $\mathbf{w}(t)$ is the noise with mean 0 and variance $2\sigma^2$. Then, the SNR is defined as

$$g_{\text{SNR}} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum_n |\mathbf{g}(n/f_s)|^2}{\sum_n |\mathbf{w}(n/f_s)|^2},$$

where f_s is the sampling frequency. Assume that the sampled values of $\mathbf{g}(t)$ are uniformly distributed within \mathcal{F}_M , where $M \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. When there are enough sampled values, the mean values of $|\mathbf{g}(n/f_s)|^2$ and $|\mathbf{w}(n/f_s)|^2$ can be approximated as $\frac{2}{3}|M|^2$ and $2\sigma^2$, respectively. Hence,

$$g_{\text{SNR}} \approx 10 \log_{10} \frac{|M|^2}{3\sigma^2}. \quad (45)$$

A. Comparison of Fast MLE C-CRT and Two-Stage CRT Algorithms

In this subsection we compare the proposed fast MLE C-CRT and the two-stage CRT presented in [7] in terms of both performance and computational complexity, where the moduli $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_8$ are set as $1 + 4i, 1 - 4i, 3 + 4i, 3 - 4i, 2 + 7i, 2 - 7i, 3, 7$, respectively. In each trial, the real and imaginary parts of the complex number \mathbf{N} are randomly selected from the interval $[M, M(\Gamma - 1))$, where $M = 10$. The real and imaginary parts of the remainder errors Δr_i follow a wrapped complex Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_i^2 . In the simulation, we set $\sigma_i = u|M\Gamma_i|$, where u is a small positive constant. For convenience, we approximate r_i as a uniform distribution within $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma_i}$. Similar to (45), $-20 \log_{10} \sqrt{3}u$ can be used as the measurement for SNR of the remainders. For each u , the total number n of trials is 10000, i.e., $n = 10000$. We evaluate the performance of the two methods using two metrics: the root mean square error (RMSE), and the trial fail rate (TFR) for robust reconstruction and preserving errors. The RMSE of \mathbf{N} is defined as

$$\Delta \mathbf{N}_{\text{RMSE}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbf{N}_j - \hat{\mathbf{N}}_j|^2}.$$

According to Theorem 6, the theoretical RMSE for the fast MLE C-CRT is

$$\Delta \mathbf{N}_{\text{theory}} = \sqrt{E \left\{ (\text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}))^2 \right\} + E \left\{ (\text{Im}(\overline{\Delta r}))^2 \right\}},$$

where $E\{\cdot\}$ denotes the mean. Since $\text{Re}(\Delta r_i)$ are mutually independent and Gaussian distributed for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, $\text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})$ follows a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sum_{i=1}^L w_i^2 \sigma_i^2$. Similarly, the distribution of $\text{Im}(\overline{\Delta r})$ is the same as that of $\text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r})$. Thus,

$$\Delta \mathbf{N}_{\text{theory}} = \sqrt{2 \sum_{i=1}^L w_i^2 \sigma_i^2}. \quad (46)$$




Fig. 9. Comparison of the RMSE.

Fig. 9 illustrates the curves of the RMSE for the two algorithms in terms of SNR, along with the theoretical RMSE of the fast MLE C-CRT. It can be observed that the RMSE of the fast MLE C-CRT is smaller than that of the two-stage CRT. At the SNR of 32dB, the maximum error in the real and imaginary parts of the remainders is 4.3267, the reconstruction errors for the fast MLE C-CRT are less than 1.2621. At the SNR of 34dB, the maximum error in the remainders is 3.1640, the reconstruction errors for the two-stage CRT are less than 1.1697. From Fig. 9, one can see that the fast MLE C-CRT achieves robust reconstruction more quickly than the two-stage CRT does, since it has the optimal estimation of the common remainder. When the SNR is less than 30dB, due to the errors of some remainders exceeding $\frac{M}{2}$, this does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 6. Hence, the fast MLE C-CRT may not have robust reconstruction and has large errors. Similarly, when the SNR is less than 34dB, the condition for the robust reconstruction of the two-stage CRT algorithm may not be satisfied and thus has large errors. On the other hand, the theoretical curve is from (46) that is based on the assumption of complex Gaussian distributions of the remainder errors and only depends on the error distribution variances, while the true distributions of the remainder errors follow wrapped complex Gaussian distributions. It justifies that the theoretical curve is smooth and does not exhibit a large change. When the SNR is higher, the assumption holds better and the simulated curve and the theoretical curve match better. As one can see from the zoom-in part in Fig. 9, the fast MLE C-CRT, in fact, achieves the theoretical RMSE values when SNR is high, while the two-stage CRT cannot.

For the TFR of the robust reconstruction, we consider the estimation error of N_j . If N_j and \hat{N}_j satisfy $|\text{Re}(N_j - \hat{N}_j)| < \tau$ and $|\text{Im}(N_j - \hat{N}_j)| < \tau$ simultaneously for a pre-given small positive constant τ , the trial is considered successful and otherwise, the trial fails. In the simulations, we set $\tau = \frac{M}{4} = 2.5$, which is the upper bound of the errors in Corollary 3. Fig. 10 illustrates the curves of the TFR for the two algorithms in terms of SNR. It is evident that the fast MLE C-CRT outperforms the two-stage CRT, particularly at higher SNR values.




Fig. 10. Comparison of TFR.

For the TFR in terms of preserving errors, we test whether (44) holds. If it does, the trial is considered successful and otherwise, the trial fails. According to Theorem 6, the TFR of preserving errors for each trial can be expressed as

$$p_{\text{TFR}}^L = 1 - p((\Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \dots, \Delta r_L) \in \mathcal{R}).$$

Fig. 11 illustrates the curves of the TFR and its theoretical value for the fast MLE C-CRT with respect to the number of moduli L , where the noise variances $2\sigma_i^2$ are constant and equal to $2\sigma^2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. It can be observed that the TFR of the fast MLE C-CRT closely matches its theoretical value in both cases.

We now compare the computational complexities of the two methods by counting the numbers of the real multiplications they need, i.e., the real multiplicative complexities. Given a known common remainder, the real multiplicative complexity for the fast MLE C-CRT can be directly calculated as $\mathcal{O}(L)$ by (28), (29), and (30). The two-stage CRT requires twice the number of operations of the closed-form




Fig. 11. TFR for different noise variances.

CRT for reals, with a real multiplicative complexity of $\mathcal{O}(L)$ when the common remainder is known [11]. Therefore, the computational complexities of the two algorithms primarily arise from the estimation of the common remainder \hat{r}^c . For the fast MLE C-CRT, \hat{r}^c is obtained from the objective functions in (33). Each evaluation of the real or imaginary part requires $4L$ real multiplications. According to Theorem 4, obtaining \hat{r}^c necessitates $8L^2$ real multiplications in total. When $L \geq 5$, the common remainder search in the two-stage CRT arises from its second stage, where the objective functions are the special case of (33) with $w_i = 1$ for each i . According to the algorithm presented in [11], each evaluation of the real or imaginary part involves $5(L-l)$ real multiplications, where $2l \leq L$ is the number of complex-valued moduli. Denoting ϵ as the search step size, this algorithm requires at least $\frac{5ML}{\epsilon}$ real multiplications to estimate the common remainder. Since $\frac{M}{\epsilon}$ is generally much larger than L , the complexity of the two-stage CRT is higher in this case. As mentioned earlier, the two-stage CRT proposed in [7] requires a search process to estimate the common remainder in [11] but does not utilize the fast algorithm proposed in [21]. If so, the number of searches would be $2(L-l)$ and only $10(L-l)^2$ real multiplications are required to obtain the common remainder. Consequently, the reference remainder can be properly determined and hence the folding integers (n_i defined in [11]) can be correctly determined. However, the estimation is not the MLE since the estimation of the common remainder can not be utilized in the reconstruction of N . Therefore, although the two-stage CRT provides a robust estimation, it is not optimal even when utilizing the fast algorithm proposed in [21].

Fig. 12 illustrates the numbers of the real multiplications required by the two-stage CRT in [7] and the proposed fast MLE C-CRT, where $\epsilon = 0.001$. Since there is no need to search for the common remainder in the two-stage CRT when $L = 2, 3, 4$, the number of real multiplications is fewer than that of the fast MLE C-CRT. However, the two-stage CRT needs to search for the common remainder when $L \geq 5$, this leads to a significant increase in the number of real multiplications.




Fig. 12. Comparison of numbers of real multiplications.

B. Application in Modulo ADCs

Now, we compare the three methods: the proposed fast MLE C-CRT, the two-stage CRT [7], and two independent closed-form CRTs [11]. For the proposed fast MLE C-CRT and the two-stage CRT, both the real and imaginary parts are sampled simultaneously from pairs of SR-ADCs with complex-valued moduli as illustrated in Fig. 3. For the closed-form CRT for real values, the real and imaginary parts are sampled separately from independent SR-ADCs with real-valued moduli using two sets of SR-ADCs as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The condition for the MLE C-CRT to uniquely reconstruct N from the congruence system (9) is that $N \in \mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$, which is the same as that of the two-stage CRT in the sense of congruence. Specifically, if the moduli in (9) are the real numbers $M\Gamma_1, M\Gamma_2, \dots, M\Gamma_L$, then we have

$$\text{Re}(N) \equiv \text{Re}(r_i) \pmod{M\Gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

and

$$\text{Im}(\mathbf{N}) \equiv \text{Im}(r_i) \pmod{M\Gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

If $\text{Re}(\mathbf{N})$ and $\text{Im}(\mathbf{N})$ are within $[0, M\Gamma)$, then \mathbf{N} can be uniquely reconstructed by the two independent real-valued CRTs.

For an integer $M\Gamma$ while $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, are Gaussian integers, we know that $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$ is a square according to (2) as also mentioned earlier, where its sides are parallel to the real and imaginary axes with length $M\Gamma$. From Theorem 1, any complex number in $\mathcal{F}_{M\Gamma}$ can be uniquely reconstructed by C-CRT, i.e., the multi-channel SR-ADCs in Fig. 3. Thus, both of the uniquely determinable real and imaginary parts of a complex number by using C-CRT or the multi-channel SR-ADCs are within $[0, M\Gamma)$. In this case, we call $M\Gamma$ as the dynamic range of the C-CRT. Thus, within this dynamic range, the sampled values of $g(t)$ can be uniquely recovered by the C-CRT and the two-stage CRT with complex-valued moduli, or the closed-form CRTs with real-valued moduli. Note that to have an integer lcm, the complex-valued moduli can be selected as pairs of conjugate Gaussian integers.

When the maximum dynamic range of all the SR-ADCs is limited by Δ_{\max} , the maximum dynamic range of the multi-channel SR-ADCs for real values is not greater than that for complex values and thus it is also limited by Δ_{\max} . Since the real-valued moduli Γ_i and the complex-valued moduli Γ_i belong to $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 2 \leq x \leq \Delta_{\max}\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \sqrt{2} \leq |x| \leq \Delta_{\max}\}$, respectively, and it is clear that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$, there are more options for the complex-valued moduli, and its dynamic range is at least as large as that of the real-valued moduli. Table I presents some examples for this application, where $M = 1$, $L = 3$, and the last column shows the dynamic ranges for both the real and imaginary parts of a uniquely determinable complex-valued signal using multi-channel SR-ADCs. For a fair comparison, when the maximal dynamic ranges of SR-ADCs are given, the sets of three pairwise coprime positive integers are optimized in Table I in the closed-form CRTs for real values.

TABLE I. Comparison for real-valued and complex-valued moduli.

Δ_{\max}	Method	$M\Gamma_i$	Dynamic range $M\Gamma$
7	Closed-form CRTs	5, 6, 7	210
7	C-CRT, Two-stage CRT	$4 + 5i, 4 - 5i, 7$	287
9	Closed-form CRTs	7, 8, 9	504
9	C-CRT, Two-stage CRT	$7 + 4i, 7 - 4i, 9$	585

In the simulations, we set a complex-valued bandlimited signal

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = \sum_{k=-30}^{30} (a_k + ib_k)A \cdot \text{sinc}(t - k),$$

where A is a constant, coefficients a_k and b_k are uniformly distributed in $[-1, 1]$, $\text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}$. For the closed-form CRTs, we set six SR-ADCs with dynamic ranges of 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, and 7 when $\Delta_{\max} = 7$, and 7, 7, 8, 8, 9, and 9 when $\Delta_{\max} = 9$. For the C-CRT and the two-stage CRT, we set six SR-ADCs with dynamic ranges of $\sqrt{41}$, $\sqrt{41}$, $\sqrt{41}$, $\sqrt{41}$, 7 and 7 when $\Delta_{\max} = 7$, and $\sqrt{65}$, $\sqrt{65}$, $\sqrt{65}$, $\sqrt{65}$, 9 and 9 when $\Delta_{\max} = 9$, where the moduli $M\Gamma_i$ are shown in Table I. The reconstruction error is quantified using the root relative squared error (RRSE), given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{RRSE}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_n |\mathbf{g}(n/f_s) - \hat{\mathbf{g}}(n/f_s)|^2}{\sum_n |\mathbf{g}(n/f_s)|^2}},$$

where the sampling frequency f_s in the time domain for each channel is the Nyquist rate, i.e., 1Hz.

Fig. 13 illustrates the RRSE curves for the three methods in terms of SNR. The fast MLE C-CRT demonstrates the best performance overall. Similar to the previous RMSE simulations, the fast MLE C-CRT achieves robustness at an SNR of 16dB. In contrast, the two-stage CRT achieves robustness at an SNR of 18dB. Additionally, for SNR values of 18dB and higher, the performances of the two-stage CRT and the closed-form CRT are nearly indistinguishable.




Fig. 13. Average RRSE of the three methods.

To show the high dynamic range of complex moduli, while the maximum dynamic range of all the SR-ADCs is constrained by Δ_{\max} , we compute the TFR of the robust reconstruction for the three methods. The curves of the TFR for the three methods in terms of SNR are illustrated in Fig. 14, where $a_k = -0.9$,

$b_k = 0.98$, and $\tau = 0.25$. Since some sampled values exceed the dynamic range of SR-ADCs with real-valued moduli, the closed-form CRTs exhibit an error floor. Additionally, although the TFRs of both the fast MLE C-CRT and the two-stage CRT can approach 0, the fast MLE C-CRT outperforms the two-stage CRT due to its optimal estimation of the common remainder. These results illustrate that the proposed MLE C-CRT for complex numbers performs better than the conventional CRT for real values. In addition, if C-CRT is thought of as a special 2D-CRT, it clearly shows that 2D-CRT (non-separable) performs better than two 1D-CRTs (separable).




Fig. 14. TFR of the three methods.

As a remark, for robust integer recovery from erroneous integer remainders using robust CRT, such as in [11], the moduli are usually required to have a common integer factor $M > 1$ and then the integer remainder errors can be as high as $\frac{M}{4}$ as a sufficient condition. This is for integers. As [21], the robust reconstruction can be extended to real values, where although the integer moduli may not have a gcd $M > 1$, such as those listed in Table I where $M = 1$, there still exists the robustness for real value reconstructions. This is because in the reconstruction of a real value, it has decimals. For example, when we consider one decimal precision in the reconstruction, it is equivalent to multiplying all the numbers including all the moduli by 10, and then they become all integers. In this case the moduli have a gcd 10 that provides the robustness for the robust CRT for integers.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes an efficient C-CRT algorithm based on MLE, enabling robust reconstruction of complex numbers from erroneous remainders. The optimal common remainder can be determined using

L searches in both real and imaginary parts. Additionally, a necessary and sufficient condition for the C-CRT algorithm to achieve robust reconstruction is provided. In simulations, all the theoretical results are verified and it is illustrated that the proposed algorithm outperforms the current two-stage CRT and has been successfully applied to ADCs for complex-valued bandlimited signals.

APPENDIX

A. Proof of Properties 1 to 7

Property 1: Since $z \in \mathcal{F}_M$, we can express z as $\rho e^{i\theta}(a + bi)$ by (2), where $0 \leq a, b < 1$. Thus, $ze^{-i\theta} = \rho(a + bi)$. According to (2), we have $ze^{-i\theta} \in \mathcal{F}_\rho$.

Property 2: For any point z , multiplying it by $e^{-i\theta}$ results in a rotation of z by $-\theta$. By Property 1, we know that the region \mathcal{F}_M is rotated to \mathcal{F}_ρ . Since \mathcal{F}_ρ is a square with side length ρ , we have that \mathcal{F}_M is also a square and the area of \mathcal{F}_M is ρ^2 .

Property 3: Let $x - y = M(a + bi)$. By (16), we have $d_M(x, y) = M(a - [a]) + M(b - [b])i$. Since $-\frac{1}{2} \leq a - [a] < \frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq b - [b] < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $d_M(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_M$.

Property 4: Since $[z + k] = [z] + k$ holds for any complex number z , we obtain by the definition of the circular distance in (16) that $d_M(x + kM, y) = d_M(x, y)$ and $d_M(x, y + kM) = d_M(x, y)$. Furthermore, it follows from (5) that

$$d_M(x, y) = d_M\left(x, y - M \left\lfloor \frac{y}{M} \right\rfloor\right) = d_M(x, \langle y \rangle_M).$$

Property 5: Let $x - y = M(c + di)$, where $-\frac{1}{2} \leq c, d < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $\left\lfloor \frac{M(c+di)}{M} \right\rfloor = 0$. Hence, $d_M(x, y) = x - y$.

Property 6: Let $x - y = M(a + bi)$. Then, we have either $x - y \in \mathcal{S}_M$ or $x - y \notin \mathcal{S}_M$. For the first case, we have three subcases: 1) $-\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{1}{2}, b = -\frac{1}{2}$; 2) $-\frac{1}{2} < b < \frac{1}{2}, a = -\frac{1}{2}$; 3) $a = b = -\frac{1}{2}$. By Property 5, we have $|d_M(x, y)| = |x - y|$ for these three subcases. For the second case, we have three subcases: 1) $-\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}$; 2) $-\frac{1}{2} \leq b < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a = \frac{1}{2}$; 3) $a = b = \frac{1}{2}$. Without loss of generality, we only prove subcase 1). Since $\left\lfloor \frac{M(a+bi)}{M} \right\rfloor = i$, we have $|d_M(x, y)| = |M(a + bi) - Mi| = |M(a - \frac{1}{2}i)| = |M(a + \frac{1}{2}i)| = |x - y|$.

Property 7: It suffices to prove $d_k(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_{kM} \cup \partial\mathcal{S}_{kM}$ by Properties 5 and 6. Since $\mathcal{S}_{kM} \cup \partial\mathcal{S}_{kM}$ is a square with side length $|kM|$, its incircle is $\mathcal{O} = \left\{z : |z| \leq \frac{|kM|}{2}\right\}$. An inscribed square of \mathcal{O} is $\mathcal{Q} = \left\{a + bi : -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|kM| \leq a, b \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|kM|\right\}$. Since $|M| \geq \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{a + bi : -|k| \leq a, b < |k|\} \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{kM} \cup \partial\mathcal{S}_{kM}.$$

Thus, $d_k(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_{kM} \cup \partial\mathcal{S}_{kM}$.

B. Proof of Theorem 5

Proof: By (38), we have

$$-\frac{M}{2} \leq \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{w_i \text{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{V}} w_j} - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{w_i \text{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}} w_j} < \frac{M}{2}.$$

According to Theorem 3 in [21], we have

$$\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c) = \langle \text{Re}(r^c) + \text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M.$$

Hence, (40) holds. Similarly, we have

$$\text{Im}(\hat{r}^c) = \langle \text{Im}(r^c) + \text{Im}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M.$$

This leads to (41). Since $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\hat{r}^c = \langle \text{Re}(r^c) + (\text{Re}\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M + i \langle \text{Im}(r^c) + \text{Im}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M = \langle r^c + \overline{\Delta r} \rangle_M.$$

■

C. Proof of Theorem 6

Proof: The sufficiency has been proven, now we prove the necessity. If not, there must exists a non-empty set $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \{\Delta r_1, \Delta r_2, \dots, \Delta r_L\}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}_1} \frac{w_i \text{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \mathcal{V}_1} w_j} - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_1} \frac{w_i \text{Re}(\Delta r_i)}{\sum_{\Delta r_j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_1} w_j} < -\frac{M}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}_1} w_i (\text{Re}(\Delta r_i - \overline{\Delta r})) < -\frac{M}{2} \left(1 - \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}_1} w_i \right) \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}_1} w_i.$$

Let $\tilde{r}^c = \langle r^c + \overline{\Delta r} + M \sum_{\Delta r_i \in \mathcal{V}_1} w_i \rangle_M$, then we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2 (\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \langle \text{Re}(r^c) + \text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M) > \sum_{i=1}^L w_i d_M^2 (\text{Re}(\tilde{r}_i^c), \text{Re}(\tilde{r}^c)).$$

This leads to $\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c) \neq \langle \text{Re}(r^c) + \text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M$.

On the other hand, we obtain from $\hat{N} - N = \overline{\Delta r}$ that

$$MN_0 + \hat{r}^c - MN_0 - r^c = \overline{\Delta r}.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{r}^c = \langle r^c + \overline{\Delta r} \rangle_M.$$

Consequently,

$$\text{Re}(\hat{r}^c) = \langle \text{Re}(r^c) + \text{Re}(\overline{\Delta r}) \rangle_M,$$

which leads to a contradiction. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and R. Raskar, "On unlimited sampling," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Sampling Theory Appl.*, Tallinn, Estonia, 2017, pp. 31-35.
- [2] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and R. Raskar, "Unlimited sampling of sparse signals," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Sig. Process.*, Calgary, AB, Canada, 2018, pp. 4569-4573.
- [3] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and R. Raskar, "On unlimited sampling and reconstruction," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 69, pp. 3826-3838, Jul. 2021.
- [4] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and T. Poskitt, "Unlimited sampling from theory to practice: Fourier-prony recovery and prototype ADC," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 1131-1141, Mar. 2022.
- [5] G. Shtendel, D. Florescu, and A. Bhandari, "Unlimited sampling of bandpass signals: Computational demodulation via undersampling," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 71, pp. 4134-4145, Sep. 2023.
- [6] L. Gan and H. Q. Liu, "High dynamic range sensing using multi-channel modulo samplers," in *Proc. 11th IEEE Sensor Array Multichannel Signal Process. Workshop*, Hangzhou, China, 2020, pp. 1-5.
- [7] Y. C. Gong, L. Gan, and H. Q. Liu, "Multi-channel modulo samplers constructed from Gaussian integers," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1828-1832, Aug. 2021.
- [8] W. Y. Yan, L. Gan, S. Q. Hu, and H. Q. Liu, "Towards optimized multi-channel modulo-ADCs: Moduli selection strategies and bit depth analysis," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Sig. Proc.*, Seoul, Korea, 2024, pp. 9496-9500.
- [9] W. Yan, L. Gan, and Y. D. Zhang, "Threshold sensitivity in two-channel modulo ADCs: analysis and robust reconstruction," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Sig. Proc.*, Hyderabad, India, 2025, pp. 1-5.
- [10] L. Xiao, X.-G. Xia, and W. J. Wang, "Multi-stage robust Chinese remainder theorem," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 62, no. 18, pp. 4772-4785, Sep. 2014.
- [11] W. J. Wang and X.-G. Xia, "A closed-form robust Chinese remainder theorem and its performance analysis," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 5655-5666, Nov. 2010.
- [12] R. M. Guo, Y. L. Zhu, and A. Bhandari, "Sub-Nyquist USF spectral estimation: K frequencies with $6K + 4$ modulo samples," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 72, pp. 5065-5076, Sep. 2024.
- [13] Z. A. Liu, A. Bhandari, and B. Clerckx, " λ -MIMO: Massive MIMO via modulo sampling," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 6301-6315, Nov. 2023.
- [14] H. Krishna, B. Krishna, K.-Y. Lin, and J.-D. Sun, *Computational Number Theory and Digital Signal Processing: Fast Algorithms and Error Control Techniques*, Boca Raton, FL: CRC, 1994.
- [15] C. Ding, D. Pei, and A. Salomaa, *Chinese Remainder Theorem: Applications in Computing, Coding, Cryptography*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1999.
- [16] X.-G. Xia and G. Y. Wang, "Phase unwrapping and a robust Chinese remainder theorem," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 247-250, Apr. 2007.
- [17] G. Li, J. Xu, Y.-N. Peng, and X.-G. Xia, "An efficient implementation of a robust phase-unwrapping algorithm," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 393-396, Jun. 2007.
- [18] X. W. Li and X.-G. Xia, "A fast robust Chinese remainder theorem based phase unwrapping algorithm," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 665-668, Oct. 2008.
- [19] X. W. Li, H. Liang, and X.-G. Xia, "A robust Chinese remainder theorem with its applications in frequency estimation from undersampled waveforms," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 4314-4322, Nov. 2009.

- [20] B. Yang, W. J. Wang, X.-G. Xia, and Q. Y. Yin, "Phase detection based range estimation with a dual-band robust Chinese remainder theorem," *Sci. China-Inf. Sci.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 1-9, Feb. 2014.
- [21] W. J. Wang, X. P. Li, W. Wang, and X.-G. Xia, "Maximum likelihood estimation based robust chinese remainder theorem for real numbers and its fast algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 13, pp. 3317-3331, Jul. 2015.
- [22] O. Ore, "The general Chinese remainder theorem," *Amer. Math. Month.*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 365-370, Jun. 1952.
- [23] J. Xu, Z.-Z. Huang, Z.-R. Wang, L. Xiao, X.-G. Xia, and T. Long, "Radial velocity retrieval for multichannel SAR moving targets with time-space Doppler deambiguity," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 35-48, Jan. 2018.
- [24] X. R. Huang, Z. H. Yuan, and L. F. Chen, "A robust multi-channel InSAR phase unwrapping method based on grouped Chinese remainder theorem," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electro Inf. Technol.*, Chengdu, China, 2024, pp. 701-705.
- [25] L. Xiao, X.-G. Xia, and Y.-P. Wang, "Exact and robust reconstructions of integer vectors based on multidimensional Chinese remainder theorem (MD-CRT)," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 5349-5364, Sep. 2020.
- [26] L. Xiao, H. Y. Huo, and X.-G. Xia, "Robust multidimensional Chinese remainder theorem for integer vector reconstruction," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 72, pp. 2364-2376, May 2024.
- [27] X.-G. Xia and G. C. Zhou, "Multiple frequency detection in undersampled waveforms," in *Proc. 31st Annu. Asilomar Conf. Signals, Systems, and Computers*, Pacific Grove, California, 1997, pp. 867-871.
- [28] X.-G. Xia, "On estimation of multiple frequencies in undersampled complex valued waveforms," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 3417-3419, Dec. 1999.
- [29] W. Wang, X. P. Li, X.-G. Xia, and W. J. Wang, "The largest dynamic range of a generalized Chinese remainder theorem for two integers," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 254-258, Feb. 2015.
- [30] X. P. Li, X.-G. Xia, W. J. Wang, and W. Wang, "A robust generalized Chinese remainder theorem for two integers," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 7491-7504, Dec. 2016.
- [31] X. P. Li, T.-Z. Huang, Q. Y. Liao, and X. -G. Xia, "Optimal estimates of two common remainders for a robust generalized Chinese remainder theorem," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 1824-1837, Apr. 2019.
- [32] L. Xiao and X.-G. Xia, "Error correction in polynomial remainder codes with non-pairwise coprime moduli and robust Chinese remainder theorem for polynomials," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 605-616, Mar. 2015.
- [33] L. Xiao and X.-G. Xia, "Robust polynomial reconstruction via Chinese remainder theorem in the presence of small degree residue errors," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 1778-1782, Nov. 2018.
- [34] C. H. Li, L. Gan, and C. Ling, "Coprime sensing via Chinese remaindering over quadratic fields-Part I: Array designs," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 67, no. 11, pp. 2898-2910, Jun. 2019.
- [35] Y.-P. Lin, S.-M. Phoong, and P. P. Vaidyanathan, "New results on multidimensional Chinese remainder theorem," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 176-178, Nov. 1994.
- [36] P. P. Vaidyanathan, *Multirate Systems and Filter Banks*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 1993.
- [37] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 3rd Ed., Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2004.
- [38] C. H. Li, L. Gan, and C. Ling, "Coprime sensing via Chinese remaindering over quadratic fields-Part II: Generalizations and applications," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 67, no. 11, pp. 2911-2922, Jun. 2019.
- [39] P. Pal and P. P. Vaidyanathan, "Coprimality of certain families of integer matrices," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1481-1490, Apr. 2011.
- [40] L. Greco, G. Saraceno, and C. Agostinelli, "Robust fitting of a wrapped normal model to multivariate circular data and outlier detection," *Stats*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 454-471, Jun. 2021.