

SCALES, PRODUCTS AND THE SECOND ROW OF THE SCHEEPERS DIAGRAM

MICHAŁ PAWLIKOWSKI, PIOTR SZEWCZAK, AND LYUBOMYR ZDOMSKYY


ABSTRACT. We consider products of sets of reals with a combinatorial structure based on scales parameterized by filters. This kind of sets were intensively investigated in products of spaces with combinatorial covering properties as Hurewicz, Scheepers, Menger and Rothberger. We will complete this picture with focusing on properties from the second row of the Scheepers diagram. In particular we show that in the Miller model a product space of two \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets has a strong covering property $S_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. We provide also counterexamples in products to demonstrate limitations of used methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

By *space* we mean an infinite Tychonoff topological space. For a class \mathcal{A} of covers of spaces and a space X , by $\mathcal{A}(X)$ we denote the family of all covers of X from the class \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be classes of covers of spaces. We define the following combinatorial covering properties which a space X can possess.

- $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each sequence $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, there are sets $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0, U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ such that $\{U_n : n \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,
- $S_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each sequence $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, there are finite sets $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,
- $U_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each sequence $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, there are finite sets $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ such that $\{\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n : n \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a cover of a space X . The family \mathcal{U} is an ω -cover of X if $X \notin \mathcal{U}$ and for each finite set $F \subseteq X$ there is a set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \subseteq U$. The family \mathcal{U} is a γ -cover of X if it is infinite and for each element $x \in X$ the set $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \notin U\}$ is finite. By $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}, \Omega$, and Γ we denote the classes of all *open covers*, all *open ω -covers* and all *open γ -covers* of spaces, respectively. For $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}, \Omega, \Gamma\}$, the following diagram, called the Scheepers diagram [14], shows the relations between combinatorial covering properties in the realm of Lindelöf spaces.



The main stream of investigations of the properties from the Scheepers diagram is devoted to *sets of reals*, i.e., spaces which are homeomorphic with subspaces of the Cantor space $P(\omega)$. By a *set* with a topological property we mean a set of reals with this property. By $[\omega]^\omega$ and Fin we denote the family of all infinite subsets and all finite subsets of ω , respectively. We identify each element $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ with the increasing enumeration of its elements, an element of the Baire space ω^ω . The topologies inherited from $P(\omega)$ and ω^ω coincide on $[\omega]^\omega$. For functions $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$, we write $a \leq^* b$ if the set $\{n : a(n) \leq b(n)\}$ is cofinite. A set $A \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *bounded* if there is a function $b \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $a \leq^* b$ for all $a \in A$. A subset of $[\omega]^\omega$ is *unbounded* if it is not bounded. Let \mathfrak{b} be the minimal cardinality of an unbounded subset of $[\omega]^\omega$. The value of \mathfrak{b} does not change if we consider its counterpart in ω^ω instead of $[\omega]^\omega$.

A set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a \mathfrak{b} -*scale*, if it is unbounded and $x_\alpha \leq^* x_\beta$ for all $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{b}$. A set is a \mathfrak{b} -*scale set* if it is equal to $X \cup \text{Fin}$ for some \mathfrak{b} -scale X . Bartoszyński–Shelah [1] proved that any \mathfrak{b} -scale set (which exists in ZFC) satisfies the Hurewicz property $\text{U}_{\text{fin}}(\text{O}, \Gamma)$. It was the first uniform ZFC counterexample to the Hurewicz conjecture [6] which asserts that a set of reals has the Hurewicz property $\text{U}_{\text{fin}}(\text{O}, \Gamma)$ if and only if it is a countable union of its compact subsets. This kind of sets play an important role when considering products with combinatorial covering properties. For a topological property \mathbf{P} a space X is *productively \mathbf{P} in a given class of spaces* if for any space Y from this class with the property \mathbf{P} , the product space $X \times Y$ has the property \mathbf{P} . By the result of Miller–Tsaban–Zdomskyy, each \mathfrak{b} -scale set is productively Hurewicz in the class of sets of reals [11, Theorem 6.5]. In particular all finite powers of a \mathfrak{b} -scale set are Hurewicz. A subset D of $[\omega]^\omega$ is *dominating* if for any function $a \in [\omega]^\omega$, there is a function $b \in D$ such that $a \leq^* b$. Let \mathfrak{d} be the minimal cardinality of a dominating subset of $[\omega]^\omega$. If $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a \mathfrak{b} -scale which is a dominating set (such a set exists if and only if $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}$ [23]), then the \mathfrak{b} -scale set $X \cup \text{Fin}$ is productively Scheepers $\text{U}_{\text{fin}}(\text{O}, \Omega)$ and productively Menger $\text{S}_{\text{fin}}(\text{O}, \text{O})$ in the class of sets of reals [11, Theorem 6.2]. Let $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ be the minimal cardinality of a family of meager subsets of $[\omega]^\omega$ whose union is equal to $[\omega]^\omega$. Assuming that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{b}$, all finite powers of a \mathfrak{b} -scale set satisfy the Rothberger property $\text{S}_1(\text{O}, \text{O})$ ([11, Corollary 6.9 (2)], [8, Theorem 19]). It turns out that this kind of sets and their products have not been considered with respect to the property $\text{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. Our main goal is to discuss this problem in the scope of \mathfrak{b} -scale sets and their following generalizations.

A *semifilter* is a nonempty set $F \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that for all $a \in F$ and $b \in [\omega]^\omega$ with $|a \setminus b| < \omega$ we have $b \in F$. An example of a semifilter is the Frechet filter cF of all cofinite subsets of ω , the full semifilter $[\omega]^\omega$ and any nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω . For a semifilter F and functions $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$, we write $a \leq_F b$ if the set $\{n : a(n) \leq b(n)\}$ is in F . The relation \leq^* is the same as \leq_{cF} . A set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is \leq_F -*bounded* if there is $b \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $a \leq_F b$ for all $a \in X$. A subset of $[\omega]^\omega$ is \leq_F -*unbounded* if it is not \leq_F -bounded. Let $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ be the smallest cardinality of a \leq_F -unbounded subset of $[\omega]^\omega$. Using this terminology, we have $\mathfrak{b}(\text{cF}) = \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}([\omega]^\omega) = \mathfrak{d}$. Let F be a semifilter. A set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}(F)\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -*scale* [24, Definition 2.8] if it is \leq_F -unbounded and $x_\alpha \leq_F x_\beta$ for all $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{b}(F)$. Then a $\mathfrak{b}(\text{cF})$ -scale is just a \mathfrak{b} -scale. If $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scale for some semifilter F , then the set $X \cup \text{Fin}$ we call a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -*scale set*.

The notion of $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scale sets has been used in products of sets with the Menger or Rothberger properties. For each filter F , all finite powers of a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scale set are Menger [24, Theorem 4.1]. Moreover if U is an ultrafilter with $\mathfrak{b}(U) \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, then all finite powers of a $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ -scale set are Rothberger [18, Lemma 2.21]. By the result of Szewczak–Tsaban–Zdomskyy [18, Theorem 2.5, Lemma 2.10] assuming that $\mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{r}$ and the cardinal number

\mathfrak{d} is regular, there are a $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ -scale set and $\mathfrak{b}(\tilde{U})$ -scale set for some ultrafilters U and \tilde{U} , whose product space is not Menger. In particular it shows that using the above assumptions there are two Menger sets which are Menger in all finite powers (equivalently they satisfy $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega, \Omega)$), but their product space is not Menger. The above results show that $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ -scale sets, where U is an ultrafilter, serve as an important source of counterexamples regarding the productivity of combinatorial covering properties, in particular for the Menger property and for local properties in function spaces [18, Proposition 3.1].

Let Γ_{Bor} and Ω_{Bor} be the classes of all *countable Borel γ -covers* and *countable Borel ω -covers* of spaces, respectively. In Section 3, we prove that for every filter F , $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scale $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$, natural number k and set Y satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$, the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. An example of a set satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$ is a *Sierpiński set* [23, Theorem 2.4], i.e., an uncountable subset of the real line whose intersection with any Lebesgue null set is at most countable. In order to prove this result, we introduce a uniform notion which generalizes $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scales without referring to a specific filter F . In Section 5, we show that in the above result we cannot replace the property $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$ by $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$. To this end, we strengthen a result of Bartoszyński–Shelah–Tsaban [2, Theorem 7], and show that assuming $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ and regularity of $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ there are a $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ -scale set and a $\mathfrak{b}(\tilde{U})$ -scale set for some ultrafilters U and \tilde{U} , which satisfy $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ and whose product space is not even Menger. Provided proofs are purely combinatorial and use advanced methods.

A set of reals X is \mathfrak{d} -concentrated on a countable set D if $|X| \geq \mathfrak{d}$ and $|X \setminus U| < \mathfrak{d}$ for any open set U containing D . We say that X is \mathfrak{d} -concentrated if there exists a countable set $D \subseteq X$ such that X is \mathfrak{d} -concentrated on D . A \mathfrak{d} -concentrated set exists in ZFC and each such a set satisfies the property $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \text{O})$ [23, Corollary 1.14]. It follows from the result of Zdomskyy [25], that in the Miller model, the product space of two \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets is Menger. In Section 6 we show that a \mathfrak{d} -concentrated set in the Miller has a specific combinatorial structure related to some ultrafilter. Consequently, using results from Section 3, we conclude that in the Miller model, the product space of two \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.

2. FINITE POWERS OF κ -FIN-UNBOUNDED SCALE SETS

For natural numbers k, l with $k < l$ let $[k, l] := \{i : k \leq i < l\}$. We say that a set x omits an interval of $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ if $x \cap [a(n), a(n+1)) = \emptyset$ for some natural number n .

Definition 2.1. Let κ be an infinite cardinal number. A set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a κ -fin-unbounded set if $|X| \geq \kappa$ and for each $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there is a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for every finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ the set $\bigcup F$ omits infinitely many intervals of d . A set $X \cup \text{Fin} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\omega)$ is an κ -fin-unbounded scale set, if X is a κ -fin-unbounded set.

The *Michael topology* [9] on the set $\mathfrak{P}(\omega)$ is the one, where open neighborhoods for points from Fin are the same as in $\mathfrak{P}(\omega)$ and all points from $[\omega]^\omega$ are isolated. If X is a subset of $\mathfrak{P}(\omega)$, then by X_{M} we mean the set X considered with the Michael subspace topology.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let κ be an infinite regular cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{d}$, X be a κ -fin-unbounded set, k be a natural number and Y be a set satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$.*

- (1) *The space $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\text{M}}^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*
- (2) *The space $(X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

In Section 3 we give equivalent conditions for being a κ -fin-unbounded set and also show that this notion captures many subsets of $[\omega]^\omega$ with a combinatorial structure, e.g., finite unions of \mathfrak{b} -scales or finite unions of U -scales for some ultrafilter U . In Section 3 we also show applications of Theorem 2.2. In particular, there are many results for products of subspaces of $P(\omega)$ with the standard topology.

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following notion and auxiliary results. For a nonempty finite set $F \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$, let $\min F \in [\omega]^\omega$ be a function such that $(\min F)(n) := \min \{x(n) : x \in F\}$ for all n . For functions $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$ we write $a \leq^\infty b$ if the set $\{n : a(n) \leq b(n)\}$ is infinite.

Fact 2.3. *Let k be a natural number, $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and Z be a space. For a set $U \subseteq P(\omega)^k \times Y$, let $\text{Int}_c(U)$ be the interior of the set U with respect to the canonical topology on $P(\omega)^k \times Z$, i.e., the product space topology, where in $P(\omega)$ we consider the standard topology. Then for each open set $U \subseteq (X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$ we have $U \cap (\text{Fin}^k \times Y) \subseteq \text{Int}_c(U)$ and for each family $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma((X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$, we have $\{\text{Int}_c(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \Gamma(\text{Fin}^k \times Y)$.*

If $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma((X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y)$, then there is a family \mathcal{U}' of open subsets of $P(\omega)^k \times Y$ such that $\mathcal{U} = \{U \cap ((X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$. In our considerations we will not distinguish between the above families \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' and usually we treat \mathcal{U} as a family of open sets in the ambient superspace. We follow this convention also for another kinds of covers. Let $\bar{\omega} := \omega \cup \{\infty\}$, where $\infty \notin \omega$. We extend the standard order \leq on ω to $\bar{\omega}$ in a natural way, treating that $n \leq \infty$ for all natural numbers n . In $\bar{\omega}$ we consider the discrete topology. In the space $\bar{\omega}^\omega$, define a relation \leq^* in an analogous way as in ω^ω .

Lemma 2.4 ([20, Lemma 3.2]). *Let m be a natural number, Y be a set and $\{U_n : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma(\text{Fin}^m \times Y)$ be a family of open sets in $P(\omega)^m \times Y$. There are Borel functions $f, g : Y \rightarrow \bar{\omega}^\omega$ and Borel function $d : Y \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that*

- (1) $f(y)^{-1}(\infty) = g(y)^{-1}(\infty)$, and this preimage is finite;
- (2) $f(y) \upharpoonright (\omega \setminus f(y)^{-1}(\infty))$ and $g(y) \upharpoonright ((\omega \setminus f(y)^{-1}(\infty)))$ are non-decreasing and finite-to-one, and $f(y)(n) \leq g(y)(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$;
- (3) For all points $x \in ([\omega]^\omega)^m$ and $y \in Y$, and all natural numbers n we have

$$\text{if } x_i \cap [f(y)(n), g(y)(n)) = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \leq m, \text{ then } (x, y) \in U_n;$$

- (4) We have

$$f(y)(d(y)(i)) = i$$

for all but finitely many i . Consequently, $d(y)$ is non-decreasing when restricted to the cofinite subset of ω consisting of those i for which the equality above holds.

Lemma 2.5. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number, $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a κ -fin-unbounded set and Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Let $A \subseteq X$ be a set with $|A| < \mathfrak{b}$, k be a natural number and $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^k \times Y)$ be a family of open sets in $(X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$. Then there is a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that*

$$\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((A \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S)_M^k \times Y).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$. Fix $I \subseteq k$. Let

$$B_I := \text{Fin}^{k \setminus I} \times (\text{Fin} \cup A)^I \times Y.$$

For a set $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\omega)^{k \setminus I} \times (\text{Fin} \cup A)^I \times Y$, let $\text{Int}_c^I(V)$ be the interior of V considered in the canonical topology of $\mathbb{P}(\omega)^{k \setminus I} \times (\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y$, where we treat $(\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y$ as Z_I . By Fact 2.3 we have $\{\text{Int}_c^I(U_n) : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma(B_I)$ for all $I \subseteq k$. Let

$$d_I, f_I, g_I : (\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y \rightarrow \bar{\omega}^\omega$$

be Borel functions from Lemma 2.4 applied to the family $\{\text{Int}_c^I(U_n) : n \in \omega\}$ and the set $Z_I = (\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y$ instead of Y . Since $(\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$ for all $i < k$, there is a function $b \in [\omega]^\omega$ with $b(0) \neq 0$ such that

$$\{d_I(\vec{a}, y), g_I(\vec{a}, y) : (\vec{a}, y) \in (\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y, I \subseteq k\} \leq^* b.$$

Let $c \in [\omega]^\omega$ be a function such that $c(0) := b(0)$ and $c(n+1) := b(c(n))$ for all natural numbers n . Then for all $I \subseteq k$ and $(\vec{a}, y) \in (\text{Fin} \cup A)_M^I \times Y$, we have

$$(2.5.1) \quad c(m) \leq f_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(m+1)) \leq g_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(m+1)) < c(m+2)$$

for all but finitely many natural numbers m . Indeed, the middle inequality follows from Lemma 2.4(2), the third one from $c(m+2) = b(c(m+1))$ and the fact that $g_I(\vec{a}, y) \leq^* b$, while the first one can be established as follows:

$$c(m) = f_I(\vec{a}, y)(d_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(m))) \leq f_I(\vec{a}, y)(b(c(m))) = f_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(m+1)),$$

where we used the monotonicity of $f_I(\vec{a}, y)$ on a cofinite set as well as $d_I(\vec{a}, y) \leq^* b$.

Let $\tilde{c} \in [\omega]^\omega$ be a function such that $\tilde{c}(n) := c(2n)$ for all n . Since X is κ -fin-unbounded, there exists a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for each finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ the set $\bigcup F$ omits infinitely many intervals of \tilde{c} . Fix

$$H \in [(A \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S)_M^k \times Y]^{<\omega}$$

and find $F_X \in [X \setminus S]^{<\omega}$, $F_A \in [A \cup \text{Fin}]^{<\omega}$ and $F_Y \in [Y]^{<\omega}$ such that

$$H \subseteq \bigcup \{F_X^{k \setminus I} \times F_A^I \times F_Y : I \subseteq k\}.$$

Then there is a natural number m_0 such that the inequality (2.5.1) holds for all $I \subseteq k$, $(\vec{a}, y) \in F_A^I \times F_Y$ and $m \geq m_0$. Now take any $m_1 \geq m_0$ such that $\bigcup F_X$ omits the interval

$$[\tilde{c}(m_1), \tilde{c}(m_1+1)) = [c(2m_1), c(2m_1+2)).$$

We have $H \subseteq U_{c(2m_1+1)}$: Take any $h \in H$. Then there are

$$I \subseteq k, \quad \vec{x} = (x_j)_{j \in k \setminus I} \in (X \setminus S)^{k \setminus I} \quad \text{and} \quad (\vec{a}, y) \in F_A^I \times F_Y$$

such that $h \upharpoonright (k \setminus I) = \vec{x}$, $h \upharpoonright I = \vec{a}$ and y is the “ Y -coordinate” of h . We have

$$\bigcup_{j \in k \setminus I} x_j \cap [f_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(2m_1+1)), g_I(\vec{a}, y)(c(2m_1+1))] \subset \bigcup_{j \in k \setminus I} x_j \cap [c(2m_1), c(2m_1+2)) = \emptyset,$$

and thus $h = (\vec{x}, \vec{a}, y) \in \text{Int}_c^I(U_{c(2m_1+1)})$. It follows that $h \in U_{c(2m_1+1)}$, which completes our proof. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let k be a natural number and Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Let κ be an infinite cardinal number, X be a κ -fin-unbounded set, $H \subseteq X$ be a finite set and $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M^k \times Y)$. Then there is a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that*

$$\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((H \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S)_M^k \times Y).$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let X, Y be spaces, κ be an infinite regular cardinal number, k be a natural number and $\mathcal{U}_{n,m} \in \mathcal{O}((X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$ for all natural numbers n, m . Assume that for every set $A \subseteq X$ with $|A| < \kappa$ and natural number n , there is $U_{n,m}(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ for all $m \in \omega$ such that for each finite set $H \subseteq A$, there is a set $S_H \subseteq A$ with $|S_H| < \kappa$ such that*

$$\{U_{n,m}(A) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((H \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S_H)_M^k \times Y).$$

Then there exist $U_{n,m} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ for all $n, m \in \omega$ such that

$$\{U_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y).$$

Proof. Let $A_{-1} := \emptyset$. Using our assumption for $n = 0$ and $A = A_{-1}$ we can find $U_{0,m}(A_{-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{0,m}$ for all natural numbers m and a set $S_0 \subseteq X$ with $|S_0| < \kappa$ such that

$$\{U_{0,m}(A_{-1}) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S_0)_M^k \times Y).$$

Set $A_0 = S_0$ and fix a natural number n . Assume that sets $U_{n,m}(A_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ for all natural numbers m and a set $A_n \subseteq X$ with $|A_n| < \kappa$ such that

$$A_{n-1} \subseteq A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \{U_{n,m}(A_{n-1}) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((A_{n-1} \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus A_n)_M^k \times Y)$$

have been defined. Then by our assumption for $n + 1$ and A_n there are sets $U_{n+1,m}(A_n) \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1,m}$ for all natural numbers m such that for each finite set $H \subseteq A_n$ there is a set $S_H \subseteq X$ with $|S_H| < \kappa$ such that

$$\{U_{n+1,m}(A_n) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((H \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus S_H)_M^k \times Y).$$

Define

$$A_{n+1} := A_n \cup \bigcup \{S_H : H \subseteq A_n \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Since κ is regular, we conclude that $|A_{n+1}| < \kappa$. Therefore

$$\{U_{n+1,m}(A_n) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((A_n \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus A_{n+1})_M^k \times Y).$$

Fix finite sets $H_X \subseteq X \cup \text{Fin}$ and $H_Y \subseteq Y$ and let $A := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$. Since the sequence of A_n 's is increasing, there is a natural number n such that $H_X \cap A = H_X \cap A_n$, i.e.,

$$H_X \subseteq A_n \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus A \subseteq A_n \cup \text{Fin} \cup X \setminus A_{n+1}.$$

It follows that the set $H_X^k \times H_Y$ is covered by one of the sets $U_{n+1,m}(A_n)$. It remains to set $U_{n,m} := U_{n,m}(A_{n-1})$ for all $n, m \in \omega$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let A be a space with $|A| < \mathfrak{d}$, k be a natural number, Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$ and $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\} \in \Gamma((A \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$ for all n . Then there are sets $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all n such that for each finite set $F \subseteq A$ there is a family $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_F \in \Gamma((F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$.*

Proof. Fix a natural number n . Let $V_{n,m} := \bigcap_{i \geq m} U_{n,i}$ for all m . Then the family $\mathcal{V}_n := \{V_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$ is an increasing Borel γ -cover of $(A \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$. Let $F \subseteq A$ be a finite set. Then $(F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. A function $\varphi_F : (F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ given by

$$\varphi_F(x, y)(n) := \min\{m : (x, y) \in V_{n,m}\}.$$

for all n is Borel. By the result of Scheepers–Tsaban [15, Theorem 1], the image of φ_F is bounded in ω^ω . Thus, there is a function $b_F \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\varphi_F[(F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y] \leq^* b_F$. Since $|A| < \mathfrak{d}$, there is a function $c \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $\{b_F : F \subseteq A \text{ is finite}\} \leq^\infty c$. Fix a finite set $F \subseteq A$. We have

$$\{V_{n,b_F(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma_{\text{Bor}}((F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y).$$

It follows that

$$\{U_{n,c(n)} : b_F(n) < c(n), n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma((F \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.9. See that the above proof does not invoke the properties of topology on $(A \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y$ aside from Y being $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. It follows that Lemma 2.8 holds when $A \cup \text{Fin}$ is endowed with any topology.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (1) Let $\mathcal{U}_{n,m} \in \Gamma((X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$. Fix a natural number n and a set $A \subseteq X$ with $|A| < \kappa$. By Lemma 2.8, there are sets $U_{n,m}(A) \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ for all m such that for each finite set $H \subseteq A$ there is a family $\mathcal{U}_H \subseteq \{U_{n,m}(A) : m \in \omega\}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_H \in \Gamma((H \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$. Fix a finite set $H \subseteq A$. By Corollary 2.6, there is a set $S_H \subseteq X$ with $|S_H| < \kappa$ such that $\mathcal{U}_H \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S_H)_M^k \times Y)$. Thus,

$$\{U_{n,m}(A) : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S_H)^k \times Y)$$

for all finite sets $H \subseteq A$. Since $A \in [X]^{<\kappa}$ was chosen arbitrarily, by Lemma 2.7 there are $U_{n,m} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ for all $n, m \in \omega$ such that $\{U_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y)$.

(2) The product space $(X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y$ is a continuous image of $(X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$. Apply (1) and the fact that $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ is preserved by continuous mappings. \square

3. APPLICATIONS TO FINITE POWERS OF SCALE SETS

In the combinatorial covering properties theory we mainly focus on sets of reals. One may ask why in the previous section we consider the Michael topology instead of standard one? The reason lie in the following simple but not obvious corollary from Theorem 2.2 which allow us in many cases consider products of spaces with some combinatorial structure instead of only powers of these spaces. It can also simplify proofs for sets of reals, if we consider the Michael topology on $\mathbf{P}(\omega)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let κ be a regular cardinal with $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{d}$, k be a positive natural number, $X_0, \dots, X_k \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be sets such that the set $X = \bigcup_{i < k} X_i$ is a κ -fin-unbounded set and Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *The product space*

$$\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_M \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.

(2) *The product space*

$$\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 2.2, the product space

$$\prod_{i < k} (X \cup \text{Fin})_M \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. Then the product space $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_M \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ being a closed subspace of the above product.

(2) The product space $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ is a continuous image of $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_M \times Y$. Apply (1) and the fact that $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ is preserved by continuous mappings. \square

As we already seen, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is highly nontrivial and very technical. Even if the same technique can be used to prove Proposition 3.1 directly it would have been more complicated, because of possible different factors in $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_M$. In the remaining part of this Section we analyze some applications of Proposition 3.1. To this end we need to see examples of sets whose unions are κ -fin-unbounded sets for some regular cardinal number κ .

Proposition 3.2. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number and $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a set with $|X| \geq \kappa$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The set X is κ -fin-unbounded.*
- (2) *For each $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there exists $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for every finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have $d \leq^\infty \bigcup F$.*
- (3) *For each $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there exists $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for each finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have $d \leq^\infty \min F$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Fix $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. Let $\tilde{d} \in [\omega]^\omega$ be a function such that

$$\tilde{d}(k) := \begin{cases} d(0), & \text{if } k = 0, \\ d(\tilde{d}(k) + 1) + 1, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for every finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ the set $\bigcup F$ omits infinitely many intervals of \tilde{d} . Let $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ be a finite set. Then the set

$$I := \{k \in \omega : [\tilde{d}(k), \tilde{d}(k+1)) \cap \bigcup F = \emptyset\}.$$

is infinite and for any $k \in I$ we have that

$$\bigcup F(\tilde{d}(k) + 1) \geq \tilde{d}(k+1) > d(\tilde{d}(k) + 1).$$

Since $\{\tilde{d}(k) + 1 : k \in I\}$ is infinite, we have $d <^\infty \bigcup F$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It follows from the fact that $\bigcup F \leq^* \min F$ for any finite nonempty set $F \subseteq X$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. There exists $t \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $k \in \omega$ the interval $[t(k-1), t(k))$ contains $k^2 + 1$ many consecutive intervals of d . Then there exists a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for each finite set $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have $t \leq^\infty \min F$. Thus, the set

$$J := \{k \in \omega : t(k) \leq x(k) \text{ for all } x \in F\}$$

is infinite. Take $k \in J$ such that $k > |F|$. Then $|x \cap [t(k-1), t(k))| \leq k$ and thus $|\bigcup F \cap [t(k-1), t(k))| < k^2$. Since $[t(k-1), t(k))$ contains more than k^2 consecutive intervals of d , the set $\bigcup F$ omits some interval of d in $[t(k-1), t(k))$. Since J is infinite, the set $\bigcup F$ omits infinitely many intervals of d . \square

For $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$, define $a^c := \omega \setminus a$. Let F be a semifilter. By F^+ we denote the set $\{a \in [\omega]^\omega : a^c \notin F\}$. There is in literature a notion which generalizes mentioned in the introduction $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -scale. A set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is an F -scale [17, Definition 4.1] if $|X| \geq \mathfrak{b}(F)$ and for any function $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there are a function $c \in [\omega]^\omega$ and a set $S \subseteq X$ of cardinality smaller than $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ such that

$$d \leq_{F^+} c \leq_F x$$

for all functions $x \in X \setminus S$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let F be a filter. Each F -scale is $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -fin-unbounded set.*

Proof. Take any $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. There exists $c \in [\omega]^\omega$ and a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \mathfrak{b}(F)$ such that

$$d \leq_{F^+} c \leq_F x$$

for all functions $x \in X \setminus S$. Now take any finite set $F_X \subseteq X \setminus S$. Then $c \leq_F \min F_X$. Since $d \leq_{F^+} c$, it follows that $d \leq^\infty \min F_X$. Since $|X| \geq \mathfrak{b}(F)$, the set X is a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -fin-unbounded set. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let F be a filter, X be an F -scale, k be a natural number and Y be set satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin})_M^k \times Y$ is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

In general we cannot prove Proposition 3.3 for semifilters. To explain that we need to introduce the notion of *bi- \mathfrak{d} -unbounded* set. For $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$ we say that $a \leq b$ if $a(n) \leq b(n)$ for each $n \in \omega$. We say that $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *\mathfrak{d} -unbounded* if $|X| \geq \mathfrak{d}$ and for each $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ the cardinality of the set $\{x \in X : x \leq d\}$ is smaller than \mathfrak{d} . We say that $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *bi- \mathfrak{d} -unbounded* if the sets X and $\{x^c : x \in X\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ are \mathfrak{d} -unbounded. Szewczak and Tsaban proved [17, Theorem 3.3] that bi- \mathfrak{d} -unbounded subsets of $[\omega]^\omega$ exist if and only if $\mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{r}$.

Example 3.5. Let $F = [\omega]^\omega$ be the full semifilter and $X' \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a bi- \mathfrak{d} -unbounded set. Then $X := X' \cup \{x^c : x \in X'\}$ is an F -scale which is not $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -fin unbounded set.

Proof. First we show that X is an F -scale. Note that $\mathfrak{b}(F) = \mathfrak{d}$, thus $|X| \geq \mathfrak{b}(F)$. Take any $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. Let

$$S := \{x \in X' : x \leq d\} \cup \{x^c : x \in X', x^c \leq d\}.$$

Then $|S| < \mathfrak{d}$ and for all $x \in X \setminus S$ we have $d \leq^\infty x$ (equivalently $d \leq_F x$). Thus X is an F -scale.

Now suppose that X is a $\mathfrak{b}(F)$ -fin unbounded set and let $d \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \{\omega\}$. Then there exists $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \mathfrak{d}$ such that for all finite sets $H \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have that $d \leq^\infty \bigcup H$. Since $|S| < \mathfrak{d}$, it follows that there exists $x \in X \setminus S$ such that $x^c \in X \setminus S$. Then $\{x, x^c\} \subseteq X \setminus S$ and thus $d \leq^\infty x \cup x^c = \omega$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let U be an ultrafilter. A union of less than $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ many U -scales is a U -scale, too. In particular it is a $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ -fin-unbounded set.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$ where X_α 's are U -scales and $\kappa < \mathfrak{b}(U)$. Take any function $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. Then for each $\alpha < \kappa$ there is $c_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ and a set $S_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$ of cardinality smaller than $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ such that

$$d \leq_{U^+} c_\alpha \leq_U x$$

for all functions $x \in X_\alpha \setminus S_\alpha$. Let

$$S := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} S_\alpha.$$

Since $U^+ = U$, it follows that $d \leq_U c_\alpha \leq_U x$ for all $x \in X_\alpha \setminus S$ and $\alpha < \mathfrak{b}(U)$. Then $d \leq_U x$ for all $x \in X \setminus S$. Since $\mathfrak{b}(U)$ is regular, we have $|S| < \mathfrak{b}(U)$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let U be an ultrafilter, k be a natural number, X_0, \dots, X_k be U -scales and Y be a set satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the product space $\prod_{i \leq k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_M \times Y$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

Corollary 3.8. *Let U be an ultrafilter, k be a natural number, X_0, \dots, X_k be U -scales and Y be a set satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the product space $\prod_{i \leq k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

Theorem 3.9 (Talagrand [21]). *Let F be a semifilter. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The semifilter F has the Baire property;*
- (2) *The semifilter F is meager;*
- (3) *There is a function $h \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for every $x \in F$ and for all but finitely many natural numbers n we have $x \cap [h(n), h(n+1)) \neq \emptyset$.*

For $x, y \in [\omega]^\omega$ and a relation R on ω we standardly denote by $[x R y]$ the set $\{n \in \omega : x(n)Ry(n)\}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $F \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a semifilter such that for every $a \in F^+$ the family*

$$\{a \cap b : b \in F\}$$

is bounded with respect to \leq^ . Let X be an F -scale. Then for every $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there exists a set $L \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ that is \leq^* -bounded and a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for every finite set $H \subseteq X \setminus S$ there exists $l_H \in L$ such that $d(l_H(i)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(i))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. In particular X is \mathfrak{b} -fin unbounded set.*

Proof. Let $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. By induction we construct a sequence of sets $L_n \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and $S_n \subseteq X$ with $|S_n| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for all $k \leq n$ and all k -element sets $H \subseteq X \setminus S_n$ we have $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for some $l_H = l_1 \circ \dots \circ l_k$, where $l_1, \dots, l_k \in L_n$ and the set L_n is \leq^* bounded. Note that since the family $\{a \cap b : b \in F\}$ is bounded for all $a \in F^+$, it follows that $F = \{\omega \cap b : b \in F\}$ is a bounded subset of $[\omega]^\omega$. By Theorem 3.9 it follows that F is a meager semifilter and $\mathfrak{b}(F) = \mathfrak{b}$.

We begin our construction for $n = 1$. Since X is an F -scale, there exists $c_1 \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $S_1 \subseteq X$ such that $|S_1| < \mathfrak{b}$ and $d \leq_{F^+} c_1 \leq_F x$ for all $x \in X \setminus S_1$. Set $a_1 = [d \leq c_1] \in F^+$ and define $L_1 := \{a_1 \cap b : b \in F\}$. Then L_1 is \leq^* -bounded by our assumption. Given an 1-element set $H = \{x\} \subseteq X \setminus S_1$, set $l_H = a_1 \cap [c_1 \leq x]$. Then

$$d(l_H(i)) \leq c_1(l_H(i)) \leq x(l_H(i)) = (\min H)(l_H(i))$$

for all i .

Now take any n such that there exists $L_n \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ which is \leq^* -bounded and $S_n \subseteq X$ with $|S_n| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for all $k \leq n$ and all k -element sets $H \subseteq X \setminus S_n$ there exists $l_H = l_1 \circ \dots \circ l_k$, where $l_1, \dots, l_k \in L_n$, such that $d(l_H(i)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(i))$ for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. Note that the family $\{l_H : H \text{ is an } n\text{-element subset of } X \setminus S_n\}$ is \leq^* -bounded and let h_n be the witness of that. Since X is an F -scale, there exists $c_n \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $S'_{n+1} \subseteq X$ with $|S'_{n+1}| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that $d \circ h_n \leq_{F^+} c_n \leq_F x$ for all $x \in X \setminus S'_{n+1}$. Then $a_n = [d \circ h_n \leq c_n] \in F^+$ satisfies the condition $(d \circ h_n)(a_n(i)) \leq c_n(a_n(i))$ for all $i \in \omega$. Define $L_{n+1} := L_n \cup \{a_n \cap b : b \in F\}$ and $S_{n+1} := S_n \cup S'_{n+1}$. Then L_{n+1} is \leq^* -bounded and $|S_{n+1}| < \mathfrak{b}$. Now we show that for all $k \leq n+1$ and for all k -element sets $H \subseteq X \setminus S_{n+1}$ there exists $l_H = l_1 \circ \dots \circ l_k$, where $l_1, \dots, l_k \in L_{n+1}$, such that $d(l_H(i)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(i))$ for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. Clearly for $k < n+1$ this follows from the induction hypothesis. Now take any $(n+1)$ -element subset $H \subseteq X \setminus S_{n+1}$. Then $H = H' \cup \{x\}$ for some n -element set $H' \subseteq X \setminus S_{n+1}$ and some $x \in X \setminus S_{n+1}$. Letting

$$l_{n+1} = a_n \cap [c_n \leq x] = [d \circ h_n \leq c_n] \cap [c_n \leq x],$$

we have

$$d(l_{H'}(l_{n+1}(i))) \leq d(h_n(l_{n+1}(i))) \leq c_n(l_{n+1}(i)) \leq x(l_{n+1}(i)) \leq x(l_{H'}(l_{n+1}(i)))$$

for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. On the other hand, $d(l_{H'}(j)) \leq (\min H')(l_{H'}(j))$ for all but finitely many $j \in \omega$, in particular j of the form $l_{n+1}(i)$, which yields

$$d(l_{H'}(l_{n+1}(i))) \leq (\min H')(l_{H'}(l_{n+1}(i)))$$

for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. Thus for $l_H = l_{H'} \circ l_{n+1}$ we have

$$d(l_H(i)) \leq \min\{(\min H')(l_H(i)), x((l_H(i)))\} = (\min H)(l_H(i))$$

for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. This finishes the construction.

Let $S := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, $L' := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$ and

$$L := \{l_1 \circ \dots \circ l_n : n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } l_1, \dots, l_n \in L'\}.$$

Then $|S| < \mathfrak{b}$, the set L is \leq^* -bounded and by the construction for all finite sets $H \subseteq X \setminus S$ there exists $l_H \in L$ such that $d(l_H(i)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(i))$ for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $F \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a semifilter such that for every $a \in F^+$ the family*

$$\{a \cap b : b \in F\}$$

is bounded with respect to \leq^ . Then a union of less than \mathfrak{b} many F -scales is a \mathfrak{b} -fin-unbounded set. In particular a finite union of \mathfrak{b} -scales is a \mathfrak{b} -fin-unbounded set.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$, where $\kappa < \mathfrak{b}$ and X_α is an F -scale for each $\alpha < \kappa$. Let $d \in [\omega]^\omega$. By induction we prove that for each $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists a \leq^* -bounded set $L_\alpha \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and $S_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$ with $|S_\alpha| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for every finite set $H \subseteq (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta) \setminus S_\alpha$ there exists $l_H \in L_\alpha$ such that $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$.

For $\alpha = 0$ by Lemma 3.10 we obtain $L_0 \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and $S_0 \subseteq X_0$ with $|S_0| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for all finite sets $H \subseteq X_0 \setminus S_0$ there exists $l_H \in L_0$ such that $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$.

Now suppose we have already defined $S_\beta \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} X_\gamma$ and $L_\beta \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. Note that $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} L_\beta$ is \leq^* -bounded and let h be the witness of that. By Lemma 3.10 there exists a set $L'_\alpha \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ that is \leq^* -bounded and $S'_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$ with $|S'_\alpha| < \mathfrak{b}$ such that for all finite sets $H \subseteq X_\alpha \setminus S'_\alpha$ there exists $l_H \in L'_\alpha$ such that $(d \circ h)(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. Define

$$L_\alpha := \{l \circ l' : l \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} L_\beta, l' \in L'_\alpha \cup \{\text{Id}\}\}, \quad S_\alpha := S'_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta.$$

Then L_α is \leq^* -bounded, $S_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$ and $|S_\alpha| < \mathfrak{b}$. Now we show that for each finite set $H \subseteq (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta) \setminus S_\alpha$ there exists $l_H \in L_\alpha$ such that $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. Take any finite set $H \subseteq (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta) \setminus S_\alpha$. Then $H = H' \cup H''$ where $H' \subseteq (\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} X_\gamma) \setminus S_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$ and $H'' \subseteq X_\alpha \setminus S'_\alpha$. Then there are $l_{H'} \in L_\beta, l_{H''} \in L'_\alpha$ such that $d(l_{H'}(n)) \leq (\min H')(l_{H'}(n))$ and $d(h(l_{H''}(n))) \leq (\min H'')(l_{H''}(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. Observe that

$$d(l_{H'}(l_{H''}(n))) \leq d(h(l_{H''}(n))) \leq (\min H'')(l_{H''}(n)) \leq (\min H'')(l_{H'}(l_{H''}(n)))$$

and $d(l_{H'}(l_{H''}(n))) \leq (\min H')(l_{H'}(l_{H''}(n)))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. It follows that for $l_H = l_{H'} \circ l_{H''} \in L_\alpha$ we have that $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. This finishes the construction.

Now let $S := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} S_\alpha$ and $L := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} L_\alpha$. Then $|S| < \mathfrak{b}$ and by the construction for each finite set $H \subseteq X \setminus S$ there exists $l_H \in L$ such that $d(l_H(n)) \leq (\min H)(l_H(n))$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$. This proves that X is \mathfrak{b} -fin unbounded set. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let F be a semifilter such that every $a \in F^+$ the family $\{a \cap b : b \in F\}$ is bounded with respect to \leq^* , k be a natural number, X_0, \dots, X_k be F -scales and Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the product space $\prod_{i \leq k} (X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. In particular, it holds if X_0, \dots, X_k are \mathbf{b} -scales.*

4. γ -SETS

In this Section, we consider properties from the third row of the Scheepers diagram. Our main goal is to show the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, X be a κ -fin unbounded set and $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}(\omega)$ be a set with the γ -property. The product space $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.*

The above Theorem generalizes a result of Szewczak–Włudecka [20, Theorem 5.6]. To obtain this result we require the following theorems and auxiliary results, some of which are derived from the results of Szewczak–Włudecka [20].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $|A| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, Y be a set with the γ -property and $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup A)_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y)$. Then there exists a family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ where $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that for each finite set $H \subseteq A$ there is a family $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_F \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y)$.*

Proof. Take any finite set $H \subseteq A$ and let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$. Since $(\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y$ has the γ -property, there are sets $U_{n,f_H(n)} \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for each n such that $\{U_{n,f_H(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y)$. Note that $|\{f_H \in [\omega]^\omega : H \in [A]^{<\omega}\}| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, thus there exists $f \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for each finite set $H \subseteq A$ the set $N_H := \{n \in \omega : f_H(n) = f(n)\}$ is infinite. Note that $\mathcal{U}_H := \{U_{n,f_H(n)} : n \in N_H\} \subseteq \{U_{n,f(n)} : n \in \omega\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_H \in \Gamma((H \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbf{M}} \times Y)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. [4, Lemma 1.2] *Let \mathcal{U} be a family of open sets in $\mathbf{P}(\omega)$ such that $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega(\text{Fin})$. There are a function $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ and sets $U_0, U_1, \dots \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for each set $x \in [\omega]^\omega$ and all natural numbers n :*

$$\text{If } x \cap [a(n), a(n+1)) = \emptyset, \text{ then } x \in U_n.$$

Theorem 4.4. [5, Theorem 2] *Let X be a space. Then X has the γ property if and only if for each $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega(X)$ there is a family $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{V} \in \Gamma(X)$.*

Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be covers of the space X . We say that \mathcal{V} refines \mathcal{U} if for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \subseteq U$.

Lemma 4.5. [7, Lemma 3.2] *Let X be a space and k be a natural number. If $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega(X)$, then $\{U^k : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \Omega(X^k)$.*

Lemma 4.6. [7, Lemma 3.3] *Let X be a space and k be a natural number. If $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega(X^k)$, then there exists $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega(X)$ such that $\{V^k : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ refines \mathcal{U} .*

Lemma 4.7. [20, Lemma 5.2] *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}(\omega)$, $H \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a finite set and k be a natural number. Let $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}}^k \times Y)$. There is a family $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}} \sqcup Y)$ such that the family*

$$\{V^{k+1} \cap (\mathbf{P}(\omega)^k \times Y) : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_{\mathbf{M}}^k \times Y)$$

refines the family \mathcal{U} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M^k \times Y)$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}' := \{ U \cup (((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)^{k+1} \setminus ((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M^k \times Y)) : U \in \mathcal{U} \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}' \in \Omega(((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)^{k+1})$. By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 there exists $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$ such that $\{ V^{k+1} : V \in \mathcal{V} \} \in \Omega(((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)^{k+1})$ refines \mathcal{U}' . Then the family

$$\{ V^{k+1} \cap (P(\omega)^k \times Y) : V \in \mathcal{V} \}$$

is an ω -cover of $(\text{Fin} \cup H)_M^k \times Y$ and refines \mathcal{U} . \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number and $H \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a finite set. Let $Y \subseteq P(\omega)$ be a set satisfying γ property and $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be κ -fin unbounded set. For each family $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$ of open sets in $P(\omega) \sqcup P(\omega)$ there are a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((X \setminus S) \cup H)_M \sqcup Y$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$ be a family of open sets in $P(\omega) \sqcup P(\omega)$. Note that $(\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y$ has the γ property. By Theorem 4.4, there exists $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{U}' \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$. Define

$$\mathcal{U}'' := \{ \text{Int}_c(U \cap (\text{Fin} \cup H)) \cup (U \cap Y) : U \in \mathcal{U}' \}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{U}'' \in \Gamma(\text{Fin} \sqcup Y)$ and \mathcal{U}'' refines \mathcal{U}' . Apply Lemma 4.3 to the family \mathcal{U}'' . Then there are a function $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ and sets $U_0, U_1, \dots \in \mathcal{U}''$ such that $x \in [\omega]^\omega$ and all natural numbers n :

$$\text{If } x \cap [a(n)a(n+1)) = \emptyset, \text{ then } x \in U_n.$$

Since X is a κ -fin unbounded set, there exists the set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that for all finite sets $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have that $\bigcup F$ omits infinitely many intervals of a . Thus for all finite sets $F \subseteq X \setminus S$ we have that $F \subseteq U_n$ for infinitely many $n \in \omega$. Since $\mathcal{U}' \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$, it follows that $\mathcal{U}' \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S)_M \sqcup Y)$. But then $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S)_M \sqcup Y)$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let κ be a cardinal number and let $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a κ -fin unbounded set. Let $Y \subseteq P(\omega)$ be a set satisfying the γ property, $H \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a finite set and k be a natural number. For each sequence $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M^k \times Y)$ of families of open sets in $P(\omega)^{k+1}$, there are a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ and sets $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0, U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ such that $\{ U_n : n \in \omega \} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S)_M^k \times Y)$.*

Proof. Fix a natural number k . By Lemma 4.7, there is a sequence $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$ such that the family

$$\{ V^{k+1} \cap (P(\omega)^k \times Y) : V \in \mathcal{V}_n \}$$

refines the family \mathcal{U}_n for all natural numbers n . Since the set $(\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y$ has the γ property, there are sets $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0, V_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1, \dots$ such that $\{ V_n : n \in \omega \} \in \Gamma((\text{Fin} \cup H)_M \sqcup Y)$. By Lemma 4.8 there exists a set $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| < \kappa$ such that $\{ V_n : n \in \omega \} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S)_M \sqcup Y)$. By Lemma 4.7 for each natural number n , there is a set $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that

$$V_n^{k+1} \cap (P(\omega)^k \times Y) \subseteq U_n.$$

Now take any finite set $F_X \subseteq \text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S$ and any finite set $F_Y \subseteq Y$. Since

$$F_X^k \times F_Y \subseteq V_n^{k+1} \cap (P(\omega)^k \times Y) \subseteq U_n$$

for some natural number n , it follows that $\{ U_n : n \in \omega \} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S)_M^k \times Y)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. (1) Let $\mathcal{U}_{n,m} \in \Omega((X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)$. Take any $n \in \omega$ and any set $A \subseteq X$ with $|A| < \kappa$. Since $|A| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, from Lemma 4.2 there are $U_{n,m} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ such that for all finite sets $H \subseteq A$ there are $\mathcal{U}_H \subseteq \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_H \in \Gamma((H \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)$. By Corollary 2.6 there exists $S_H \subseteq X$ with $|S_H| < \kappa$ such that $\mathcal{U}_H \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S_H)_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)$. Then $\{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((\text{Fin} \cup H \cup X \setminus S_H)_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)$ for all finite sets $H \subseteq A$. By Lemma 2.7, there are $W_{n,m} \in \mathcal{U}_{n,m}$ such that $\{W_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega\} \in \Omega((X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and X be a κ -fin unbounded set. Let k be a natural number and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ be a set satisfying the γ property. The space $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}}^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$, it follows that $((X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)^k$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$. Now since $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}}^k \times Y$ is a closed subspace of $((X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y)^k$, it satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ as well. \square

Proposition 4.11. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, k be a positive natural number, $X_0, \dots, X_k \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be sets such that the set $X = \bigcup_{i < k} X_i$ is a κ -fin-unbounded set and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ be a set satisfying the γ property.*

(1) *The product space*

$$\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.

(2) *The product space*

$$\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 4.10, the product space

$$\prod_{i < k} (X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y$$

satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$. Then the product space $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ being a closed subspace of the above product.

(2) The product space $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ is a continuous image of $\prod_{i < k} (X_i \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathbb{M}} \times Y$. Apply (1) and the fact that $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ is preserved by continuous mappings. \square

Corollary 4.12. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and X be a κ -fin unbounded set. Let k be a natural number and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ be a set satisfying the γ property. Then $(X \cup \text{Fin})^k \times Y$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.*

The above Corollary generalizes the result of Szewczak–Tsaban–Zdomskyy [18, Lemma 2.21] that was mentioned in the introduction to this paper, since the 1-element space has the γ property. It is noteworthy that their approach uses the definition of U -Menger space and its topological properties, while our approach is mostly combinatorial which is much more flexible. It is also a generalization of results by Miller, Tsaban and Zdomskyy [11, Corollary 6.9 (2)] combined with a result of Kočinac and Scheepers [8, Theorem 19]. Their results also use advanced topological tools instead of combinatorial ones.

Corollary 4.13. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and X be a κ -fin unbounded set. Then $X \cup \text{Fin}$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$.*

Corollary 4.14. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and X be a κ -fin unbounded set and k be a natural number. Then $(X \cup \text{Fin})^k$ is Rothberger.*

5. COUNTEREXAMPLES

In this section we present two counterexamples. Our aim is to show that in Theorem 2.2 the property $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$ cannot be replaced by $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$, even if X is a \mathbf{b} -scale. The first example is constructed using ideas from the papers of Szewczak–Wiśniewski [19] and Bartoszyński–Shelah–Tsaban [2]. The second example is constructed using the Cohen forcing.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ and \mathfrak{c} is regular. Then there are a U -scale X and a \tilde{U} -scale Y , for some ultrafilters U and \tilde{U} such that $X \cup \text{Fin}$ and $Y \cup \text{Fin}$ are $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ but the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times (Y \cup \text{Fin})$ is not Menger. In fact even X and Y are $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ with $X \times Y$ not being Menger*

By $[\omega]^{\omega, \omega}$ we denote the infinite subsets of ω that have infinite complement.

Proposition 5.2. [19, Lemma 2.4] *Let \mathcal{M}' be a family of meager subsets of $[\omega]^\omega$ with $|\mathcal{M}'| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. Then for each family $Z \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ with $|Z| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and each $d \in [\omega]^\omega$ there exists $x, y \in [\omega]^{\omega, \omega} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{M}'$ such that*

$$z <^\infty x, z <^\infty y \text{ for each } z \in Z \text{ and } d \leq^* \max\{x^c, y^c\}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let \mathcal{M}', Z and d be as in Proposition 5.2. Then there are $x, y \in [\omega]^{\omega, \omega} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{M}'$ such that*

$$z <^\infty x', z <^\infty y' \text{ for each } z \in Z \text{ and } d \leq^* \max\{(x')^c, (y')^c\}$$

for each finite modification x', y' of x and y , respectively.

Proof. Let $T = \{h(z) : z \in Z\}$, where $h(z)(n) = z(2n)$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since $|T| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ by Proposition 5.2 there exists $x, y \in [\omega]^{\omega, \omega} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{M}'$ such that

$$t <^\infty x, t <^\infty y \text{ for each } t \in T \text{ and } h \circ d \leq^* \max\{x^c, y^c\}.$$

We claim that x, y are as required. Indeed, suppose that x', y' are finite modifications of x, y , respectively. Then there are $k_0, l_0, k_1, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x'(i) = x(i + k_0)$, $y'(i) = y(i + l_0)$, $(x')^c(i) = x^c(i + k_1)$ and $(y')^c(i) = y^c(i + l_1)$ for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$. In particular,

$$\max\{(x')^c(i), (y')^c(i)\} \geq \max\{x^c(i + p), y^c(i + p)\}$$

where $p = \min\{k_1, l_1\}$, for all but finitely many $i \in \omega$.

Now, if $x(i) > h(z)(i) = z(2i)$ and $i > |k_0|$, then

$$x'(2i) > x'(i - k_0) = x(i + k_0 - k_0) = x(i) > z(2i),$$

and hence $x'(2i) > z(2i)$ for infinitely many i . Similarly we can show the same for y .

Finally, if $\max\{x^c(i), y^c(i)\} > h(d)(i) = d(2i)$ and $i > |p|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{(x')^c(i + |p|), (y')^c(i + |p|)\} &\geq \max\{x^c(i + p + |p|), y^c(i + p + |p|)\} \geq \\ &\max\{x^c(i), y^c(i)\} \geq d(2i) \geq d(i + |p|), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\max\{(x')^c, (y')^c\} \geq^* d$. □

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega_{\text{Borel}}(X)$. We say that \mathcal{U} is ω -fat if for every $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ and finitely many nonempty open sets O_1, \dots, O_k , there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \subseteq U$ and none of the sets $U \cap O_1, \dots, U \cap O_k$ are meager. Let $\Omega_{\text{Borel}}^{\text{fat}}$ be the collection of all countable ω -fat Borel covers of X . We will use some simple properties of these covers, for proofs we refer to the paper of Tsaban [22].

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that \mathcal{U} is a countable collection of Borel sets. Then $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ is comeager if, and only if, for each nonempty basic open set O there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cap O$ is not meager.*

Corollary 5.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{U} \in \Omega_{\text{Borel}}^{\text{fat}}(X)$. Then:*

- (1) *For each finite set $F \subseteq X$ and each finite family \mathcal{F} of nonempty basic open sets, the set*

$$\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : F \subseteq U \text{ and for each } O \in \mathcal{F}, U \cap O \notin \mathcal{M}\}$$

is comeager.

- (2) *For each element x in the intersection of all sets of this form, \mathcal{U} is an ω -fat cover of $X \cup \{x\}$.*

Lemma 5.6. [2, Lemma 10] *If $Y \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ has cardinality less than $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, then Y satisfies $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ is a sequence of ω -fat-covers of Y , where $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,k} : k \in \omega\}$. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable base of $[\omega]^\omega$. For each finite set $F \subseteq Y$, and each finite $B \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, let $f_{F,B}(n) = \min \{k : F \subseteq U_{n,k} \text{ and } V \cap U_{n,k} \text{ is not meager for each } V \in B\}$. The set

$$H_{F,B} = \{x \in \omega^\omega : \forall^\infty n \ x(n) \neq f_{F,B}(n)\}$$

is meager in ω^ω . It suffices to show that sets $G_k = \{x \in \omega^\omega : \forall n > k \ x(n) \neq f_{F,B}(n)\}$ are nowhere dense for each $k \in \omega$. Take any $k \in \omega$ and $x \in G_k$. Take any basic neighborhood O_x of x . We may assume that $O_x = \{y \in \omega^\omega : y(m) = x(m) \text{ for all } m = 0, 1, \dots, n_0\}$. Then there exists $k_0 > n_0$ such that $x(k_0) \neq f_{F,B}(k_0)$. Then the set

$$\{y \in \omega^\omega : y(m) = x(m) \text{ for } m = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 \text{ and } y(k_0) = f_{F,B}(k_0)\}$$

is contained in O_x but its intersection with G_k is empty, thus G_k is nowhere dense. Since $H_F = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} G_k$, it is a meager subset of ω^ω .

Let

$$H = \bigcup_{F \in [Y]^{<\omega}, B \in [\mathcal{B}]^{<\omega}} H_{F,B}.$$

Since $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $|Y| < \mathfrak{c}$, it follows that the set $\omega^\omega \setminus H$ is not empty. See that any $z \in \omega^\omega \setminus H$ gives the desired selector. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that X is a set of reals such that for each nonempty basic open set O , the set $X \cap O$ is not meager. Then every countable Borel ω -cover \mathcal{U} of X is an ω -fat cover of X .*

Let $Y \subseteq \omega^\omega$. We define $\text{maxfin}(Y)$ to be the set consisting of $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $a(k) = \max_{x \in F} x(k)$ for some finite set $F \subseteq Y$ and all $k \in \omega$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\{O_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_m : m \in \omega\}$ be all nonempty basic open sets in $[\omega]^\omega$ and all finite families of nonempty basic open sets, respectively. Let $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a family of all dense G_δ -sets in $[\omega]^\omega$. Let $\{d_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a dominating set in $[\omega]^\omega$ and

$\{\langle \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,n} : n \in \omega \rangle : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be all sequences of countable families of Borel sets. We will define sets $F_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and distinct elements $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ for $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ such that

- (1) the sets $F_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha$ are closed under finite intersections,
- (2) $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \subseteq F_\alpha, \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tilde{F}_\beta \subseteq \tilde{F}_\alpha$,
- (3) $|F_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}, |\tilde{F}_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}$,
- (4) $\{d_\beta, x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \leq_{F_\alpha} x_\alpha$ and $\{d_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \leq_{\tilde{F}_\alpha} y_\alpha$,
- (5) $d_\alpha \leq^* \max\{x_\alpha^c, y_\alpha^c\}$.

Let $X_\alpha := \{x_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}, Y_\alpha := \{y_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$. We say that α is X -good if $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,n}$ is an ω -fat cover of X_α for each $n \in \omega$. We define the notion of Y -good ordinal in the same way. By Lemma 5.6 for each X -good α there exists a sequence $U_{\alpha,n}^X \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,n}$ such that $\{U_{\alpha,n}^X : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of X_α . The same is true for Y -good ordinals. We want our sequence of x_α 's and y_α 's to satisfy the following conditions

- (6) for each X -good $\beta < \alpha$ the set $\{U_{\beta,n}^X : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of X_α ,
- (7) for each Y -good $\beta < \alpha$ the set $\{U_{\beta,n}^Y : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of Y_α .

We begin by setting $F_0 = \tilde{F}_0 = \{\omega\}$ and $X_0 = Y_0 = \emptyset$. By Lemma 5.3 there exists $x_0, y_0 \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $d_0 \leq^* \max\{x_0^c, y_0^c\}$.

Now let $\alpha \geq 0$ and suppose we have $x_\beta, y_\beta, F_\beta, \tilde{F}_\beta$ such that the conditions (1)-(7) are satisfied for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let

$$F = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta, \tilde{F} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tilde{F}_\beta.$$

and

$$S := \text{maxfin} \{d_\beta, x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}, \tilde{S} := \text{maxfin} \{d_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}.$$

Let $Z_\alpha := \{s \circ f : s \in S \cup \tilde{S}, f \in F \cup \tilde{F}\}$. Since $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is regular, it follows that $|S|, |\tilde{S}|, |F|, |\tilde{F}| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. Thus, $|Z_\alpha| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$. Now for $A = X, Y$, any finite set $F \subseteq A_\alpha$, A -good $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $m \in \omega$ define

$$G_{\beta,m,F}^A := \bigcup \{U_{\beta,m}^A : F \subseteq U_{\beta,m} \text{ and for each } O \in \mathcal{F}_m, U_{\beta,m}^A \cap O \notin \mathcal{M}\}.$$

By Corollary 5.5(1) and the inductive hypothesis, $G_{\beta,m,F}^A$ is comeager for each $m \in \omega$, each finite set $F \subseteq A_\alpha$, each A -good $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $A = X, Y$. Then let

$$L = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta \cap \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} [\omega]^\omega \setminus \{x_\beta, y_\beta\} \cap \bigcap \{G_{\beta,m,F}^A : A = X, Y, A\text{-good } \beta \leq \alpha, m \in \omega, \text{ finite set } F \subseteq A_\alpha\}.$$

Observe that $L = [\omega]^\omega \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ for some family of meager sets \mathcal{M}_α with $|\mathcal{M}_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $k = \alpha \bmod \omega$. Then by Lemma 5.3 there exists $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in L \cap O_k$ such that $d_\alpha \leq^* \max\{x_\alpha^c, y_\alpha^c\}$ and $Z_\alpha \leq^\infty x_\alpha, y_\alpha$. By Corollary 5.5(2) conditions (6) and (7) are preserved. Now we need to show that other conditions are preserved as well.

The intersection of finitely many elements of the set $F \cup \{[s \leq x_\alpha] : s \in S\}$ is infinite: Since the set F is closed under finite intersections, it is enough to show that for an element $f \in F$ and a finite subset $S' \subseteq S$ the intersection $f \cap \bigcap_{s \in S'} [s \leq x_\alpha]$ is infinite. Let $f \in F$ and $S' \subseteq S$ be a finite set. Then

$$\bigcap_{s \in S'} [s \leq x_\alpha] = \bigcap_{s \in S'} \{n : s(n) \leq x_\alpha(n)\} \supseteq \{n : \max_{s \in S'} s(n) \leq x_\alpha(n)\} = [\max[S'] \leq x_\alpha].$$

From the definition of S we have $\max[S'] \in S$. Thus $\max[S'] \circ f \leq^\infty x_\alpha$ and thus

$$\max[S'](f(n)) \leq x_\alpha(n) \leq x_\alpha(f(n)),$$

for infinitely many natural n . It follows that the intersection $f \cap [\max[S'] \leq x_\alpha]$ is infinite and $f \cap \bigcap_{s \in S'} [s \leq x_\alpha]$ is infinite as well. Let F_α be the closure of the set $F \cup \{[s \leq x_\alpha] : s \in S\}$ under finite intersections. By the definition of S we have that $d_\beta, x_\beta \in S$ for all ordinal numbers $\beta < \alpha$. It follows that $\{d_\beta, x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \leq_{F_\alpha} x_\alpha$. Analogously we define the set \tilde{F}_α and show that $\{d_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \leq_{\tilde{F}_\alpha} y_\alpha$. By the construction we have $|F_\alpha|, |\tilde{F}_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. Thus all conditions are satisfied.

Let U and \tilde{U} be free ultrafilters containing the sets $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} F_\alpha$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} \tilde{F}_\alpha$ respectively. Define $X := \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and $Y := \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. Then the set X is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}})$. Take any sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,n} : n \in \omega \rangle$ of ω -fat Borel covers of X . Then $\{U_{\alpha,n}^X : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of X . Indeed take any finite family of basic nonempty open sets \mathcal{F} and any finite set $F \subseteq X$. Then there exists $\alpha < \alpha' < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $F \subseteq X_{\alpha'}$ and α is X -good. Then the set $\{U_{\alpha,n}^X : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of $X_{\alpha'}$. Thus there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $F \subseteq U_{\alpha,n}^X$ and $U_{\alpha,n}^X \cap O$ is not meager for each $O \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $\{U_{\alpha,n}^X : n \in \omega\}$ is an ω -fat cover of X . It follows that X is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}})$. In the same way we show that Y is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}}^{\text{fat}})$. See that $X \cap O \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for each nonempty basic open set O and for each dense G_δ set in $[\omega]^\omega$. Indeed take any nonempty basic open set O and dense G_δ set G . Then $O = O_k$ and $G = G_\alpha$ for some $k \in \omega$ and $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. See that there exists $\alpha' \in (\alpha, \mathfrak{c})$ such that $k = \alpha' \bmod \omega$. Then $x_{\alpha'} \in G_\alpha \cap O_k$. Similarly it can be shown that $Y \cap O \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for each nonempty basic open set O and for each dense G_δ set G . It follows that $X \cap O, Y \cap O$ are nonmeager for each nonempty basic open set O . By Lemma 5.7 X and Y are $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ and so are $X \cup \text{Fin}$ and $Y \cup \text{Fin}$.

The set X is a U -scale. By the construction we have that $|X| = \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{b}(U)$. Fix an element $b \in [\omega]^\omega$. There is an ordinal number $\beta < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $b \leq^* d_\beta$. For all ordinals $\alpha \in (\beta, \mathfrak{c})$ we have $d_\beta \leq_U x_\alpha$. Thus $|\{x \in X : x \leq_U b\}| < \mathfrak{c}$. Analogously Y is a \tilde{U} -scale.

Now it remains to show that $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ is not Menger. Observe that the function $\varphi : (X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ given by $\varphi(x, y) = \max\{x^c, y^c\}$ is a continuous function. However, by our construction the set $\{\max\{x^c, y^c\} : x \in X \cup \text{Fin}, y \in Y\}$ is dominating. Thus $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ cannot be Menger. \square

Now we present an example showing that even for \mathfrak{b} -scale we cannot change assumption of Theorem 2.2. The example uses the ideas found in the paper of Repovš–Zdomskyy [13].

Theorem 5.8. *It is consistent with CH, that there are a \mathfrak{b} -scale set a set Y satisfying $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ whose product space is not Menger.*

To obtain this result, we require the following two straightforward Lemmata.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$ be elements such that $|a \setminus b| < \omega$ and $|b \setminus a| = \omega$. Then $b \leq^* a$.*

Let $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$. We say that $a \subseteq^* b$ if $|a \setminus b| < \omega$. We say that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a \mathfrak{b} -unbounded tower if X is unbounded with respect to \leq^* and $x_\alpha \subseteq^* x_\beta$ as well as $x_\beta \not\subseteq^* x_\alpha$ for all $\beta < \alpha < \mathfrak{b}$.

Lemma 5.10. *Every \mathfrak{b} -unbounded tower is a \mathfrak{b} -scale.*

Proof of Theorem 5.8. Suppose that CH holds in the ground model V and fix

$$Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$$

such that

- (1) $y_\beta \subseteq^* y_\alpha$ for all $\beta > \alpha$;
- (2) $y_{\alpha+1} \subseteq y_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$;
- (3) $y_\alpha \setminus y_{\alpha+1}$ is infinite for all $\alpha < \omega_1$;
- (4) For every $y \in [\omega]^\omega$, there exists α with $y_\alpha \not\subseteq^* y$.

Then Y is a \mathfrak{b} -unbounded tower. In what follows we shall work in $V[G]$ where G is $\text{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$ -generic over V . Here $\text{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$ is the standard poset adding ω_1 Cohen reals over V . The set Y is unbounded in $V[G]$ since Cohen reals preserve the unboundedness of ground model unbounded sets. Fix an enumeration $[\omega]^\omega = \{z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and for every α pick $x_\alpha \in [y_\alpha]^\omega$ such that $x_\alpha \supset y_{\alpha+1}$, $|x_\alpha \setminus y_{\alpha+1}| = |y_\alpha \setminus x_\alpha| = \omega$ and $z_\alpha \leq^* (y_\alpha \setminus x_\alpha)$. Then $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is \mathfrak{b} -unbounded tower. By Lemma 5.10 X is a \mathfrak{b} -scale. By [13, Observation 3.1] $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ is not Menger. So it suffices to show that Y is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\Omega_{\text{Bor}}, \Omega_{\text{Bor}})$ in $V[G]$. By [15, Theorem 18] it suffices to show that Y^n is $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ for each $n \in \omega$. By [15, Theorem 14] it suffices to show that each Borel image of Y^n is Rothberger for each $n \in \omega$.

Now take any $n \in \omega$ and let W be any Borel image of Y^n . Now take any sequence of covers $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots$ in $V[G]$, where $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$. Then it is the sequence of open covers in model $V[G_\alpha]$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ that arises by adding α many Cohen reals to the ground model. Now for each $w \in W$ define $f_w(n) = \min\{m \in \omega : w \in U_{n,m}\}$. If $c \in V[G]$ is any Cohen real over $V[G_\alpha]$ then for each $w \in W$ the set $\{n \in \omega : f_w(n) = c(n)\}$ is infinite. Thus the set $\{U_{n,c(n)} : n \in \omega\}$ witnesses the Rothberger property of W in $V[G]$. \square

6. PRODUCTS OF \mathfrak{d} -CONCENTRATED SETS IN THE MILLER MODEL

As mentioned in the introduction of the paper, Zdomskyy showed that in the Miller model, the product space of two \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets is Menger [25, Theorem 1.1]. However it is consistent with ZFC, that there are \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets whose product is not Menger [17, Theorem 2.7]. Let U and \tilde{U} be ultrafilters. We say that U is *near coherent* to \tilde{U} if there is a function $h \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for each set $u \in U$, we have

$$\bigcup \{ [h(n), h(n+1)) : u \cap [h(n), h(n+1)) \neq \emptyset \} \in \tilde{U}.$$

The near coherence of filters (NCF) is a statement introduced by Blass that any two ultrafilters are near coherent [3]. This statement is independent from ZFC [3]. Let $U \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be an ultrafilter. We say that $B \subseteq U$ is a base of U if for each $u \in U$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \subseteq u$. By \mathfrak{u} we denote the minimal cardinality of an ultrafilter base. It is know fact that if NCF hold than $\mathfrak{u} < \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{b}(U)$ for every ultrafilter U , see [3, Corollary 15, Theorem 16]. In particular, \mathfrak{d} is regular under NCF. In fact, NCF is equivalent to the statement “ $\mathfrak{u} < \mathfrak{b}(U)$ for every ultrafilter U ” by [10, Proposition 2.1(c), Proposition 3.2(e)]. We say that $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is \mathfrak{d} -unbounded if $|X| \geq \mathfrak{d}$ and $|\{a \in X : a \leq c\}| < \mathfrak{d}$ for each $c \in [\omega]^\omega$. Note that the set X is \mathfrak{d} -unbounded if and only if the set $X \cup \text{Fin}$ is \mathfrak{d} -concentrated on Fin [17, Lemma 2.3].

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that NCF holds. Let $U \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be an ultrafilter. Each \mathfrak{d} -unbounded set in $[\omega]^\omega$ is a U -scale.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a \mathfrak{d} -unbounded set which is not a U -scale. Then there is a function $g \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$|\{x \in X : x <_U g\}| \geq \mathfrak{d}.$$

Since every subset of X of cardinality at least \mathfrak{d} is \mathfrak{d} -unbounded and \mathfrak{d} is regular, we may assume that X is \leq_U -bounded by a function g . Let $B \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a basis of size \mathfrak{u} for the

ultrafilter U . Let $g_b := \{g(n) : n \in b\}$ for all $b \in B$. Since X is \mathfrak{d} -unbounded, there is a function $x \in X$ with $\{g_b : b \in B\} \leq^\infty x$. Since $x \leq_U g$, there is a set $b \in B$ with $b \subseteq [x \leq g]$. Take a natural number k with $g_b(k) < x(k)$ and let $n = b(k)$. Then $n \geq k$ and we have

$$g(n) = g(b(k)) = g_b(k) < x(k) \leq x(n) \leq g(n),$$

a contradiction. \square

Corollary 6.2. *In the Miller model any product of two \mathfrak{d} -concentrated sets satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

Proof. Let X and Y be \mathfrak{d} -concentrated on Fin . Then $X \setminus \text{Fin}$ and $Y \setminus \text{Fin}$ are \mathfrak{d} -unbounded. Then by Theorem 6 the sets $X \setminus \text{Fin}$ and $Y \setminus \text{Fin}$ are U -scales for some ultrafilter U . By Corollary 3.8 the space $X \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. \square

7. COMMENTS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

7.1. Characterization of $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. In this section we present the characterizations of $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. The characterization of $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ appears implicitly in the paper of Peng [12]. Here we state his result more explicitly and devise characterizations of $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ using his ideas.

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be a zero-dimensional, separable metric space and $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \Gamma(X)$, where $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$ for all n . Then for every natural numbers n, m there is a continuous function $f_{n,m} : X \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ such that $X \setminus U_{n,m} = f_{n,m}^{-1}[\{\infty\}]$.*

Proof. Fix natural numbers n, m . Then $U_{n,m} = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_{n,m,i}$, where $A_{n,m,i}$ are clopen sets for each $i \in \omega$ and $A_{n,m,i} \subseteq A_{n,m,i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$. Let $f_{n,m} : X \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ be a function such that

$$f_{n,m}(x) := \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } x \in A_{n,m,i} \setminus \bigcup_{j < i} A_{n,m,i}, \\ \infty, & \text{if } x \notin U_{n,m}. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

By cF we denote the filter consisting of all cofinite subsets of ω .

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a zero-dimensional metric space.*

- (1) *The space X is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ if and only if for each continuous $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\omega\} : x \in X\} \in \text{cF}$ for all $x \in X$.*
- (2) *The space X is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ if and only if for each continuous $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus \bigcup_{x \in F} \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\omega\} : F \in [X]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$ for all $x \in X$.*
- (3) *The space X is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if for each continuous $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\omega\} : x \in X\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $\mathcal{A} \in \{\Gamma, \Omega, \mathcal{O}\}$ and assume that X is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$. Fix a continuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Let

$$U_{n,m} := \{g \in \mathcal{X} : g(n, m) < \omega\}$$

for all natural numbers n, m . Since $\varphi[X] \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, we have $\{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\} \in \Gamma(\varphi[X])$ for all n . Since the set $\varphi[X]$ is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$ there is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that

$$\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{A}(\varphi[X]).$$

- (1) Since $\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma(\varphi[X])$ we have $\{a \setminus \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\infty\} : x \in X\} \in \text{cF}$.
- (2) Since $\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Omega(\varphi[X])$ we have $\{a \setminus \bigcup_{x \in F} \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\infty\} : F \in [X]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$.
- (3) Since $\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Lambda(\varphi[X])$ we have $\{a \setminus \varphi(x)^{-1}\{\infty\} : x \in X\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \Gamma(X)$, where $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$ for all n . By Lemma 7.1 for every natural numbers n, m there is a continuous function $f_{n,m} : X \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ such that $X \setminus U_{n,m} = f_{n,m}^{-1}[\{\infty\}]$. Then the function $\varphi(x)(n, m) := f_{n,m}(x)$ is continuous and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

(1) There is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus f(x)^{-1}\{\omega\} : x \in X\} \in \text{cF}$ for all $x \in X$. Fix $x \in X$. We have $x \in U_{n,a(n)}$ for all but finitely many n . Thus,

$$\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Gamma(X).$$

(2) There is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus \bigcup_{x \in F} f(x)^{-1}\{\infty\} : F \in [X]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$. Let F be a finite subset of X . Then $a \setminus \bigcup_{x \in F} f(x)^{-1}\{\omega\}$ is an infinite subset of a . There is n such that $f(x)(n, a(n)) < \omega$ for all $x \in F$, and thus $F \subseteq U_{n,a(n)}$. It follows that

$$\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Omega(X).$$

(3) There is $a \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{a \setminus f(x)^{-1}\{\omega\} : x \in X\} \subseteq [a]^\omega$. Fix $x \in X$. Then $a \setminus f(x)^{-1}\{\omega\}$ is an infinite subset of a , and thus there are infinitely many n such that $f(x)(n, a(n)) < \omega$. We have $x \in U_{n,a(n)}$ for infinitely many n . It follows that

$$\{U_{n,a(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in \Lambda(X). \quad \square$$

7.2. Properties $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$. The following fact is an easy and useful observation about spaces whose all finite powers satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. Surprisingly it is not mentioned in the literature on combinatorial covering properties.

Proposition 7.3. *Let X be a space. The space X^k satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$ for each natural number k if and only if X^k satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ for each natural number k .*

Proof. It is enough to prove that if a space X^k is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$ for each natural number k , then it is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots \in \Gamma(X)$. Let us renumerate this sequence as $\mathcal{U}_{k,m}$ where m and k are natural numbers. Let $\mathcal{U}'_{k,m} := \{U^k : U \in \mathcal{U}_{k,m}\}$. Then for any natural k and m $\mathcal{U}'_{k,m} \in \mathbf{O}(X^k)$. Since X^k is $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$ for each natural k , it follows that for each natural k , there exist $U_{k,m} \in \mathcal{U}_{k,m}$ such that $\{U_{k,m}^k : m \in \omega\}$ is a cover of X^k .

Let $\mathcal{U}' := \{U_{k,m} : n, m \in \omega\}$. Then \mathcal{U} is an ω -cover of X . Indeed take any finite $F = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq X$. Then there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in U_{k+1,m}^{k+1}$. Thus $F \subseteq U_{k,m} \in \mathcal{U}$. \square

This fact allows us to easily prove a more basic version of Theorem 2.2 (2) where we take Y to be a 1-element space.

7.3. Michael topology. Note that all results where the space is endowed with the Michael topology hold when we consider any topology where the neighborhoods of Fin are the same as in the standard topology on $\mathbf{P}(\omega)$.

Now let $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}(\omega)$. We endow X with the Sorgenfrey topology, i.e., a topology on the Cantor space inherited from the Sorgenfrey line [16] and denote it by $X_{\mathfrak{S}}$. See that since Fin are exactly the left ends of the intervals that appear in the construction of the Cantor set, it follows that basic open neighborhoods of these points are exactly the same as on the real line. That proves that all results that can be obtained for the Michael topology are also true when we replace it by the Sorgenfrey topology. For example one can prove the following:

Theorem 7.4. *Let κ be a regular cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{d}$, X be a κ -fin-unbounded set, k be a natural number and Y be a set satisfying $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma_{\text{Bor}}, \Gamma_{\text{Bor}})$. Then the space $(X \cup \text{Fin})_{\mathfrak{S}}^k \times Y$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$.*

7.4. Open problems.

Problem 7.5. *Is it consistent with ZFC that there is a filter F and F -scales X and Y such that the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times (Y \cup \text{Fin})$ is not $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$?*

By Proposition 3.1 the affirmative answer to Problem 7.5 would follow if $X \cup Y$ were $\mathbf{b}(F)$ -fin-unbounded.

Problem 7.6. *Let $A \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a set with $|A| < \mathbf{b}$ (or $|A| < \mathfrak{d}$) and Y be a set with the γ -property. Does the product space $A \times Y$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$?*

Problem 7.7. *Let X be a \mathbf{b} -unbounded tower and Y be a set with the γ -property. Does the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \mathbf{O})$?*

Problem 7.8. *Let X be a \mathbf{b} unbounded tower and Y be a set with the γ -property. Does the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$?*

Problem 7.9. *Let κ be a regular cardinal number and X be a κ -fin unbounded scale set. Let Y be a set with the γ -property. Does the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$?*

Let $\text{prod}(\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega))$ be the minimal cardinality of a space that is not productively $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$ in the class of metric spaces.

Problem 7.10. *Let κ be a regular cardinal number with $\kappa \leq \text{prod}(\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega))$ and X be κ -fin unbounded set. Let Y be a space satisfying the γ -property. Does the product space $(X \cup \text{Fin}) \times Y$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Omega)$?*

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research of the first and of the second authors was funded by the National Science Center, Poland Weave-UNISONO call in the Weave programme Project: Set-theoretic aspects of topological selections 2021/03/Y/ST1/00122 . The research of the third authors was funded in whole by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [10.55776/I5930 and 10.55776/PAT5730424]. This research has been completed while the first author was the Doctoral Candidate in the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School at the Łódź University of Technology, Poland.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bartoszyński and S. Shelah. Continuous images of sets of reals. *Topology Appl.*, 116(2):243–253, 2001.
- [2] T. Bartoszyński, S. Shelah, and B. Tsaban. Additivity properties of topological diagonalizations. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 68(4):1254–1260, 2003.
- [3] A. Blass. Near coherence of filters. I. Cofinal equivalence of models of arithmetic. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, 27(4):579–591, 1986.
- [4] F. Galvin and A. W. Miller. γ -sets and other singular sets of real numbers. *Topology Appl.*, 17(2):145–155, 1984.
- [5] J. Gerlits and Z. Nagy. Some properties of $C(X)$. I. *Topology Appl.*, 14(2):151–161, 1982.
- [6] W. Hurewicz. Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Borelschen Theorems. *Math. Z.*, 24(1):401–421, 1926.
- [7] W. Just, A. W. Miller, M. Scheepers, and P. J. Szeptycki. The combinatorics of open covers. II. *Topology Appl.*, 73(3):241–266, 1996.
- [8] L. D. R. Kočinac and M. Scheepers. Combinatorics of open covers. VII. Groupability. *Fund. Math.*, 179(2):131–155, 2003.
- [9] E. Michael. The product of a normal space and a metric space need not be normal. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69:375–376, 1963.
- [10] H. Mildenberger. Groupwise dense families. *Arch. Math. Logic*, 40(2):93–112, 2001.

- [11] A. W. Miller, B. Tsaban, and L. Zdomskyy. Selective covering properties of product spaces. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 165(5):1034–1057, 2014.
- [12] Y. Peng. Scheepers’ conjecture and the Scheepers diagram. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 376(2):1199–1229, 2023.
- [13] D. Repovš and L. Zdomskyy. Interplay between productively Menger and productively Hurewicz spaces in models of $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}$, *Topology Appl.*, to appear
- [14] M. Scheepers. Combinatorics of open covers. I. Ramsey theory. *Topology Appl.*, 69(1):31–62, 1996.
- [15] M. Scheepers and B. Tsaban. The combinatorics of Borel covers. *Topology Appl.*, 121(3):357–382, 2002.
- [16] R. H. Sorgenfrey. On the topological product of paracompact spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 53:631–632, 1947.
- [17] P. Szewczak and B. Tsaban. Products of Menger spaces: a combinatorial approach. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 168(1):1–18, 2017.
- [18] P. Szewczak, B. Tsaban, and L. Zdomskyy. Finite powers and products of Menger sets. *Fund. Math.*, 253(3):257–275, 2021.
- [19] P. Szewczak and G. Wiśniewski. Products of Luzin-type sets with combinatorial properties. *Topology Appl.*, 264:420–433, 2019.
- [20] P. Szewczak and M. Włudecka. Unbounded towers and products. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 172(3):Paper No. 102900, 20, 2021.
- [21] M. Talagrand. Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables. *Studia Math.*, 67(1):13–43, 1980.
- [22] B. Tsaban. Additivity numbers of covering properties. In *Selection principles and covering properties in topology*, volume 18 of *Quad. Mat.*, pages 245–282. Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2006.
- [23] B. Tsaban. Menger’s and Hurewicz’s problems: solutions from “the book” and refinements. In *Set theory and its applications*, volume 533 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 211–226. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [24] B. Tsaban and L. Zdomskyy. Scales, fields, and a problem of Hurewicz. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 10(3):837–866, 2008.
- [25] L. Zdomskyy. Products of Menger spaces in the Miller model. *Adv. Math.*, 335:170–179, 2018.

MICHAŁ PAWLIKOWSKI, FACULTY OF TECHNICAL PHYSICS, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LODZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, ALEJE POLITECHNIKI 8, 93-590 ŁÓDŹ

Email address: michal-pawlikowski4@wp.pl

PIOTR SZEWCZAK, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, CARDINAL STEFAN WYSZYŃSKI UNIVERSITY IN WARSAW, WÓYCICKIEGO 1/3, 01-938 WARSAW, POLAND

Email address: p.szewczak@wp.pl

URL: <http://piotrszewczak.pl>

LYUBUMOYR ZDOMSKYY, INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8-10/104, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA.

Email address: lzdomsky@gmail.com

URL: <https://dmg.tuwien.ac.at/zdomskyy/>