

# PATH DEGENERACY AND APPLICATIONS

YUQUAN LIN AND PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ

**ABSTRACT.** In this work, we relate girth and path-degeneracy in classes with sub-exponential expansion, with explicit bounds for classes with polynomial expansion and proper minor-closed classes that are tight up to a constant factor (and tight up to second order terms if a classical conjecture on existence of  $g$ -cages is verified). As an application, we derive bounds on the generalized acyclic indices, on the generalized arboricities, and on the weak coloring numbers of high-girth graphs in such classes. Along the way, we prove a conjecture proposed in [T. Bartnicki *et al.*, *Generalized arboricity of graphs with large girth*, *Discrete Mathematics* **342** (2019), no. 5, 1343–1350.], which asserts that, for every integer  $k$ , there is an integer  $g(p, k)$  such that every  $K_k$  minor-free graph with girth at least  $g(p, k)$  has  $p$ -arboricity at most  $p + 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The original notion of path-degeneracy was introduced in [20] in a study of the circular chromatic number of graphs with large girth excluding a minor. In this paper, we consider a slightly different definition, which will be suitable in a broader context (bounding the generalized  $p$ -arboricity, the generalized  $p$ -acyclic chromatic number, or the weak coloring number of graphs with large girth in a “nice” monotone class  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

A graph is  $p$ -path degenerate if it can be constructed from the empty graph by successively adding an isolated vertex, a vertex of degree one, or a path of length  $p$  with both endpoints in the previously constructed graph (see Section 3.1 and Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. Construction of a  $p$ -path degenerate graph

In this paper, we denote by  $|G|$  the *order* of a graph  $G$  (that is, its number of vertices), and by  $\|G\|$  its *size* (that is, its number of edges). Recall that

---

The first author is supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and SEU Innovation Capability Enhancement Plan for Doctoral Students (CXJH\_SEU 24119).

This paper is part of a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 810115 – DYNASNET).



a minor of a graph  $G$  at *depth*  $r$  is a minor of  $G$  obtained by contracting disjoint subgraphs with radius at most  $r$  and removing edges, and that  $\nabla_r(G)$  denotes the maximum ratio  $\|H\|/|H|$  over all (non-empty) minors  $H$  of  $G$  at depth  $r$ . The *expansion function*  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}$  of a class  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by

$$\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = \sup\{\nabla_r(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  has

- *bounded expansion*, if  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) < \infty$ ;
- *sub-exponential expansion*, if  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = 2^{o(r)}$ ;
- *polynomial expansion*, if  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = r^{O(1)}$ .

Formal definitions and basic facts about shallow minors will be recalled in Section 2, and we refer the interested reader to [19] for an in-depth study.

**1.1. Our results.** As a warm-up, we consider the general case of classes with sub-exponential expansion and prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential expansion. Then, for every integer  $p$  there exists an integer  $g_p$  such that every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $g_p$  is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

Then, we consider more precisely the case of classes with polynomial expansion, and provide a bound on  $g_p$  in terms of the  $W_{-1}$  branch of Lambert's  $W$  function.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with polynomial expansion, with  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) \leq a(r + \frac{1}{2})^b$  for some positive reals  $a, b$ . We define*

$$g_p = \max\left(7, 2 \left\lfloor -\frac{2b}{\log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b} bp}\right) \right\rfloor + 4\right) (p - 1).$$

*Then, every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > g_p \sim 4bp \log_2 p$$

*is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

Then, we prove that our bound is tight up to a constant factor.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every real  $b > 0$ , there exists a class with expansion  $O(r^b)$  such that for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the class contains a graph  $G$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) \geq -\frac{8b}{3 \log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(p-1)b}\right) (p-1) \sim \frac{8}{3} bp \log_2 p$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

We conjecture that the bound given in Theorem 1.2 is tight up to second order term.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *For every real  $b > 0$ , there exists a class with expansion  $O(r^b)$  such that for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the class contains a graph  $G$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) \sim 4bp \log_2 p$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

As a support to this conjecture, we note that its validity would follow from the following conjecture on the existence of  $g$ -cages (which will be used to support our other conjectures, too).

**Conjecture 1.5** ([4, p. 164]). *For infinitely many integers  $g$  there exists a cubic graph with girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c2^{g/2}$ .*

Then, we consider proper minor-closed classes of graphs and prove the following bound.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper minor-closed class of graphs and let  $d$  be the maximum average degree of the graphs in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)(p - 1)$$

*is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

Again, we prove that our bound is tight up to a constant factor.

**Theorem 1.7.** *There exists a constant  $c$  with the following property: for every real  $d_0 > 0$  there exists a real  $d \geq d_0$  and a proper minor closed class with maximum average degree at most  $d$  that contains, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , a graph  $G_p$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G_p) \geq \left( \frac{8}{3} \log_2(d - 2) + c \right) (p - 1)$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

We conjecture that the bound given in Theorem 1.6 is essentially tight, what would be a consequence of the validity of Conjecture 1.5.

**Conjecture 1.8.** *There exists a constant  $c$  with the following property: for every real  $d_0 > 0$  there exists a real  $d \geq d_0$  and a proper minor closed class with maximum average degree at most  $d$  that contains, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , a graph  $G_p$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G_p) \geq (4 \log_2(d - 2) + c)(p - 1)$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

In the case where the class  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all graphs excluding  $K_k$  as a minor, we derive (see Theorem 3.13) that the maximum girth  $g(K_k, p)$  of a  $K_k$ -minor free graph that is not  $p$ -path degenerate is bounded by

$$\left( \frac{8}{3} \log_2 k + c \right) (p - 1) \leq g(K_k, p) < (4 \log_2 k + 2 \log_2 \log_2 k + O(1))(p - 1).$$

We conjecture that  $g(K_k, p)$  is close to the upper bound:

**Conjecture 1.9.** *We have*

$$g(K_k, p) = (4 \log_2 k + O(1))(p - 1).$$

Note that Conjecture 1.5 would imply that, for some constant  $c$ , we have  $g(K_k, p) \geq (4 \log_2 k + c)(p - 1)$  for all  $p$  and infinitely many  $k$ .

**1.2. Applications.** We review here some of the applications of our study of path-degeneracy.

*Generalized  $r$ -arboricity.* Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ , the *generalized  $r$ -arboricity*  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  of a graph  $G$  [21] is the minimum number of colors in a coloration of the edges of  $G$  such that every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  gets at least  $\min\{|C|, r + 1\}$  colors. Note that if  $r = 1$ , then  $\text{arb}_1(G)$  is the usual arboricity  $\text{arb}(G)$ .

The generalized  $r$ -arboricity  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  is unbounded for planar graphs: for any  $r \geq 2$ , there are planar graphs with arbitrarily large  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  (see [2] for such examples). However, for every class with bounded expansion,  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  is bounded for graphs with sufficiently large girth (depending on  $r$ ) [21]. One can deduce from the definition of  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  that if a graph  $G$  has girth at least  $r + 1$ , then  $\text{arb}_r(G) \geq r + 1$ . We prove that every  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate graph  $r$  satisfies  $\text{arb}_r(G) \leq r + 1$  in Theorem 4.1.

In this context, we focus on the bound for the generalized  $r$ -arboricity of large girth graphs in a given class. We study the invariant  $A_r(\mathcal{C})$  of a class  $\mathcal{C}$  with bounded expansion, which was defined in [2] as

$$A_r(\mathcal{C}) := \min\{k : \exists g \forall G \in \mathcal{C} (\text{girth}(G) \geq g \Rightarrow (\text{arb}_r(G) \leq k))\}.$$

Obviously, the best possible for  $A_r(\mathcal{C})$  is  $r + 1$ . Bartnicki et al. [2] proved that  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$  holds for planar graphs and more generally, for graphs with any fixed genus. They also indicated that this equality does not hold for every class with bounded expansion and conjectured the following.

**Conjecture 1.10** ([2, Conjecture 7]). *Every proper minor-closed class of graphs  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ .*

We confirm this conjecture by proving the following theorem in a strong form.

**Theorem 1.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential expansion and let  $r$  be a positive integer.*

*If  $\mathcal{C}$  contains some graph  $G$  with  $r < \text{girth}(G) < \infty$ , then  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ ; otherwise,  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ .*

*Generalized  $r$ -acyclic chromatic index.* Given an integer  $r \geq 3$ , the *generalized  $r$ -acyclic chromatic index*  $a'_r(G)$  of a graph  $G$  [10] is the minimum number of colors in a proper coloration of the edges of  $G$  such that every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  gets at least  $\min\{|C|, r\}$  colors. (Thus, it is a strengthening of generalized  $(r - 1)$ -arboricity requiring the edge coloration to be proper.) The case  $r = 3$  is the well-known acyclic edge coloring.

Obviously, if a graph  $G$  has maximum degree  $\Delta$  and girth at least  $r$ , then at least  $\max\{\Delta, r\}$  colors are required for its generalized  $r$ -acyclic edge colorings. We prove that every  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate graph  $G$  satisfies  $a'_r(G) \leq \max\{\Delta, r\}$  in Theorem 4.5.

In general, graphs with large girth can have arbitrary large generalized  $r$ -acyclic chromatic indices, for instance, Greenhill and Pikhurko [11] proved that for fixed integer  $r \geq 4$ , there exist graphs  $G$  such that  $a'_r(G) \geq c\Delta^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$  for some positive constants  $c$ . However, if restricted to some nice classes of graphs, large girth graphs may be forced to have small generalized  $r$ -acyclic chromatic indices, in the sense that they are close to the best possible value of  $\max\{\Delta, r\}$ . For example, combining [15, Theorem 3.3] and [26, Theorem 6] together, we obtain for every integer  $r \geq 3$ , every planar graph  $G$  with  $\Delta \geq 3$  and  $\text{girth}(G) \geq 5r + 1$  satisfies  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .

In this context, we extend the existence of a linear bound for the girth ensuring  $a_r(G) \leq \max\{\Delta, r\}$  to all proper minor-closed classes in Corollary 4.7. A more general form, for the class with sub-exponential expansion, is given as follows.

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $r \geq 3$  be an integer, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential class, and let  $g(p)$  be the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Then, every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and girth at least  $g(r+1)$  that is not a forest satisfies  $a_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

*Weak coloring number.* Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ , the *weak  $r$ -coloring number*  $wcol_r(G)$  of a graph  $G$  [17] is a minimum integer  $k$  such that there exists a linear order  $\pi$  on the vertices of  $G$ , so that for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , there are at most  $k$  vertices  $u \leq_\pi v$  (possibly  $u = v$ ) that can be reached from  $v$  by a path  $P$  of length at most  $r$ , for which every internal vertex  $w$  of  $P$  satisfies  $u <_\pi w$ .

The growth rate of weak  $r$ -coloring number for graphs in a fixed proper minor-closed class  $\mathcal{C}$  has been extensively studied, see [14, Table 1] for a summary on the lower and upper bounds on  $\max_{G \in \mathcal{C}} wcol_r(G)$ . In particular, for the class of all  $K_k$ -minor free graphs ( $k \geq 4$ ), the lower and upper bounds on  $\max_{G \in \mathcal{C}} wcol_r(G)$  are  $\Omega(r^{t-2})$  [12] and  $O(r^{t-1})$  [24], respectively. Even for the class of planar graphs  $\mathcal{C}$ , the exact growth rate of maximum weak  $r$ -coloring numbers is still unknown, and the known lower and upper bounds on  $\max_{G \in \mathcal{C}} wcol_r(G)$  are  $\Omega(r^2 \log r)$  [16] and  $O(r^3)$  [24], respectively.

In this context, we first establish the path degeneracy conditions that ensure linear weak  $r$ -coloring numbers for general graphs in Lemma 4.10. Then, based on this theorem, we prove that for large girth graphs in any proper minor-closed class  $\mathcal{C}$  and more generally, in the class with sub-exponential expansion, the weak  $r$ -coloring numbers grow linearly with  $r$ .

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential class, and let  $g(p)$  be the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Then, for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have*

$$wcol_r(G) \leq \begin{cases} r + 2 + \lceil \log_2 \left( \frac{q-1}{q-r} \right) \rceil, & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(2q) \text{ and } r < q < 2r, \\ r + 2, & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(4r). \end{cases}$$

**1.3. Structure of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some needed preliminaries and notions. In Section 3, we study the path degeneracy with graphs. In particular, we relate the path degeneracy and shallow (topological) minor, and further characterize the girth condition to ensure  $p$ -path degeneracy for some nice classes of graphs. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss connections between path degeneracy and three different coloring problems: generalized arboricity (in Section 4.1), general acyclic chromatic indices (in Section 4.2), and generalized coloring numbers (in Section 4.3).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph. The *average degree*  $\bar{d}(G)$  is the average of the degrees of  $G$ , hence  $2\|G\|/|G|$  (if  $G$  is not empty, and 0 by convention

if  $G$  is empty). The *maximum average degree* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{mad}(G)$  is defined as the maximum of the average degrees of the subgraphs of  $G$ :  $\text{mad}(G) = \max\{\bar{d}(H) : H \subseteq G\}$ . The maximum average degree of a class of graphs  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by  $\text{mad}(\mathcal{C}) = \sup\{\text{mad}(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

A graph  $H$  is a *minor* of  $G$  if  $H$  can be obtained from  $G$  by means of a sequence of vertex and edge deletions and edge contractions. A class of graphs  $\mathcal{C}$  is *minor-closed* if for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ , any minor of  $G$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ ; a minor-closed class  $\mathcal{C}$  is *proper* if it does not contain all finite graphs. The class of planar graphs is a traditional example of the proper minor-closed classes.

Let  $r$  be a nonnegative half-integer (that is,  $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ ). A graph  $H$  is a *shallow topological minor of  $G$  at depth  $r$*  if a  $\leq (2r)$ -subdivision of  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . More generally, a graph  $H$  is a *shallow minor of  $G$  at depth  $r$* , if each vertex  $v \in V(H)$  corresponds to a rooted tree  $(T_v, r_v)$  such that

- for every vertex  $v \in V(H)$ ,  $T_v$  has radius at most  $\lceil r \rceil$ , where the radius of  $(T_v, r_v)$  is the maximum length of a path of  $T_v$  with one endpoint  $r_v$ ,
- the graphs  $T_v$  (for  $v \in V(H)$ ) are vertex-disjoint subgraphs of  $G$ ,
- for every edge  $uv \in E(H)$ , there exists an edge  $e$  between  $T_u$  and  $T_v$  such that the length of the path between  $r_u$  and  $r_v$  in  $T_u \cup T_v \cup \{e\}$  is at most  $2r + 1$ .

We denote by  $G \nabla r$  the class of all shallow minors of  $G$  at depth  $r$ , and denote by  $\nabla_r(G)$  the maximum density of a graph in  $G \nabla r$ , that is:

$$\nabla_r(G) = \max\left\{\frac{\|H\|}{|H|} : H \in G \nabla r\right\}.$$

The *maximum density* of a minor of  $G$ , denoted by  $\nabla(G)$ , is defined as

$$\nabla(G) = \max\{\nabla_r(G) : r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.1** ([19, Proposition 4.1]). *Let  $a, b, c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ . If  $(2c + 1) \geq (2a + 1)(2b + 1)$ , then for every graph  $G$ , it holds that*

$$(G \nabla a) \nabla b \subseteq G \nabla c.$$

The *expansion* of a class  $\mathcal{C}$  is the function  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}} : \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = \sup\{\nabla_r(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  has *bounded expansion* (resp. *sub-exponential expansion*, *polynomial expansion*) if  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r)$  is finite for every half-integer  $r$  (resp.  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = 2^{o(r)}$ ,  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = r^{O(1)}$ ). Note that a class  $\mathcal{C}$  is included in a proper minor-closed class if and only if  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = O(1)$ .

### 3. PATH DEGENERACY

In this section, we consider bounds on the girth that ensures  $p$ -path degeneracy for graphs in a fixed class. After two preliminary subsections, we will discuss the considered classes from the more general to the more specific: classes with sub-exponential expansion (Section 3.3), classes with polynomial expansion (Section 3.4), and eventually proper minor-closed classes (section 3.5).

**3.1. Definition and basic facts.** A *strict ear* of a graph  $G$  is a path of  $G$ , whose internal vertices have degree 2 in  $G$  and whose endpoints are distinct. Given an integer  $p \geq 1$ , a  $p$ -*reduction* of a graph  $G$  is the deletion of

- either an isolated vertex,
- or a vertex with degree 1,
- or the internal vertices of a strict ear of  $G$  with length at least  $p$ .

**Remark 1.** In the third  $p$ -reduction, we can require the strict ear to have length exactly  $p$ . Indeed, if a strict ear  $P = (u, w_1, \dots, w_{q-1}, z)$  has length  $q > p$ , we can first delete the strict ear  $(u, w_1, \dots, w_p)$ , then the remaining vertices  $w_{p+1}, \dots, w_{q-1}$  in order (as they will have degree 1).

A graph  $G$  is  $p$ -*path degenerate* if it can be reduced to the empty graph by a sequence of  $p$ -reductions. As every graph is obviously 1-path degenerate, we only consider  $p$ -path degeneracy for  $p \geq 2$ .

A graph  $G$  is  $p$ -*reducible* if there exists a  $p$ -reduction that can be performed on  $G$ ; otherwise,  $G$  is called  $p$ -*irreducible*. It is clear that every  $p$ -irreducible graph has minimum degree at least 2. A *minimal  $p$ -irreducible* graph  $G$  is a  $p$ -irreducible graph such that every proper subgraph of  $G$  is  $p$ -reducible.

The following useful fact is easy to establish.

**Fact 1.** If  $H$  is a subgraph of a  $p$ -path degenerate graph  $G$ , then  $H$  is  $p$ -path degenerate.

Note that, a graph is  $p$ -path degenerate if and only if all its connected components are  $p$ -path degenerate. However, the  $p$ -path degeneracy of a graph cannot be reduced to its 2-connected components. For an instance, see Figure 2, where all blocks of the graph are  $p$ -path degenerate, but the graph itself is not  $p$ -path degenerate. (In the figure,  $\Theta(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t)$  denotes the *generalized theta graph*, which is the graph consisting of  $t$  internally disjoint paths with lengths  $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_t$  connecting two vertices.)




FIGURE 2. A  $p$ -reducible graph and a  $p$ -irreducible graph




FIGURE 3. A subdivision of the complete graph  $K_4$ .

We now relate the path degeneracy for graphs with large girth to shallow topological minors. The next lemma is a useful tool in this section.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $G$  be a graph with girth at least  $2p - 1$ . Then,  $G$  is  $p$ -path degenerate if and only if it does not contain a subgraph  $H$  that is a  $(p - 2)$ -subdivision of a simple graph with minimum degree at least 3 (that is, it contains no topological minors of depth  $\frac{p-2}{2}$  with minimum degree at least 3).



*Proof.* Assume  $G$  is not  $p$ -path degenerate. Let  $H$  be a minimal subgraph of  $G$  that is  $p$ -irreducible. Obviously,  $H$  is connected and has minimum degree at least 2. Therefore,  $H$  is either a cycle with length at most  $p$ , or a subdivision of a multigraph (possibly with loops)  $H'$  with  $\delta(H') \geq 3$ . Because  $\text{girth}(H) \geq \text{girth}(G) \geq 2p - 1$ ,  $H$  must be a subdivision of a multigraph  $H'$  with  $\delta(H') \geq 3$ . Since  $H$  is  $p$ -irreducible,  $H$  is a  $\leq (p - 2)$ -subdivision of a simple graph  $H'$  with minimum degree at least 3.

Conversely, assume that  $G$  contains a subgraph  $H$  that is a  $\leq (p - 2)$ -subdivision of a simple graph  $H'$  with  $\delta(H') \geq 3$ . Then,  $H$  is a  $p$ -irreducible subgraph of  $G$ . Therefore, according to Fact 1,  $G$  is not  $p$ -path degenerate. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Remark that the lower bound  $2p - 1$  on the girth in Lemma 3.1 is tight. For an example, see Figure 3, where the graph has girth  $2p - 2$ , and is neither  $p$ -path degenerate nor contains a subgraph that is a  $\leq (p - 2)$ -subdivision of a simple graph with minimum degree at least 3.

**3.2. Dense shallow minors of graphs of large girth.** The following two lemmas play an essential role in the proofs in this section. They are slightly different from the original results stated in [7, 18], but can be simply derived from their respective proofs.

We first look at [7, Theorem 1] proved by Diestel and Rempel.

**Theorem.** *For any integer  $k$ , every graph  $G$  of girth  $g(G) > 6 \log k + 3$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  has a minor  $H$  with  $\delta(H) > k$ .*

Diestel and Rempel proved this theorem by constructing a partition of the vertex set of  $G$ , where every part induces a subgraph with radius at most  $2\lfloor \log k \rfloor$ , and every part is adjacent to at least  $2^{\lfloor \log k \rfloor + 1}$  other parts. Obviously, contracting each part of this partition gives a shallow minor  $H$  of  $G$  at depth  $2\lfloor \log k \rfloor$ , and  $\delta(H) \geq 2^{\lfloor \log k \rfloor + 1} > k$ . Let  $r = \lfloor \log k \rfloor$ , we have  $\nabla_{2^r}(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{r+1} = 2^r$ . Hence, the following lemma follows immediately.

**Lemma 3.2** ([7, Proof of Theorem 1]). *Let  $r$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a graph. If  $\text{girth}(G) > 6r + 3$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  then  $\nabla_{2^r}(G) \geq 2^r$ .*  $\square$

In [18, Theorem 1], Kühn and Osthus prove the following result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 3$  be integers and put  $g := 4k + 3$ . Then every graph  $G$  of minimum degree  $r$  and girth at least  $g$  contains a minor of minimum degree at least  $(r - 1)^{k+1}/48 = (r - 1)^{(g+1)/4}/48$ .*

A closer look at the proof of this theorem in [18] shows that a minor  $M$  with depth  $k$  is constructed, which has average degree at least  $(r - 1)^{k+1}/24$  and from which a minor with minimum degree  $(r - 1)^{k+1}/48$  is extracted. The minor  $M$  itself witnesses  $\nabla_k(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(r-1)^{k+1}}{24} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2^{k+1}}{24} = \frac{2^k}{24}$ . Hence, we deduce the following.

**Lemma 3.3** ([18, Proof of Theorem 1]). *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a graph. If  $\text{girth}(G) \geq 4r + 3$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  then  $\nabla_r(G) \geq \frac{2^r}{24}$ .*  $\square$



**3.3. Classes with sub-exponential expansion.** We start with the weakest assumption on the expansion of a class  $\mathcal{C}$  allowing to prove that high girth graphs in  $\mathcal{C}$  have path degeneracy. This basic result will serve as a warm-up for the much less trivial cases, where we shall seek (almost tight) explicit bounds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential expansion. Then, for every integer  $p$  there exists an integer  $g_p$  such that every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $g_p$  is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $r \geq p$  ( $\geq 2$ ) be an integer such that  $\nabla_{3pr}(G) < 2^r$  holds for every  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ . (Such an integer exists as the class has sub-exponential expansion.) Let  $g_p = (6pr + 3)(p - 1)$ , and assume for contradiction that there exists a graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $g_p$  that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.

According to Lemma 3.1, there exists  $G' \in G \nabla \frac{p-1}{2}$  with  $\delta(G') \geq 3$  (and  $\text{girth}(G') \geq 6pr + 3 > 6r + 3$ ). According to Proposition 2.1,  $r \geq p$  implies  $G' \nabla 2r \subseteq G \nabla 3pr$ . Hence,  $\nabla_{3pr}(G) \geq \nabla_{2r}(G')$ . However, according to Lemma 3.2,  $\nabla_{2r}(G') \geq 2^r$ , contradicting the assumption  $\nabla_{3pr}(G) < 2^r$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Classes with polynomial expansion.** Classes with polynomial expansion have recently attracted a lot of attention, because they not only include proper minor-closed classes, but also a large diversity of geometrically defined classes of graphs. (In particular, every class of intersection graphs of objects (of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) in a family with low density has polynomial expansion [13].) Moreover, these classes are exactly those have strongly sublinear vertex separators [9], giving them very interesting algorithmic properties.

Before we proceed to our analysis of classes with polynomial expansion, we take time to prove some technical lemma related to the inequality  $A \log x + B < x$ .

Recall the Lambert  $W$  function. Let  $W_0$  (resp.  $W_{-1}$ ) be principal branch (resp. the branch with index  $-1$ ) of Lambert  $W_{-1}$  function, which are the branches of the converse relation of the function  $z \mapsto ze^z$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A, B > 0$  be reals, and let  $\beta \geq 0$  be minimum such that the inequation  $x > A \log x + B$  is satisfied for all  $x > \beta$ .*

*If  $B \leq A(1 - \log A)$ , then  $\beta = 0$ ; otherwise,  $\beta = -A W_{-1}(-e^{-B/A}/A)$ .*

*Proof.* This inequation rewrites as  $y > e^{B/A} A \log y$ , where  $y = e^{B/A} x$ , that is  $\log y/y < e^{-B/A}/A$ . If  $Ae^{B/A} \leq e$ , i.e.  $B \leq A(1 - \log A)$ , this is always satisfied as the maximum of  $\log y/y$  is  $1/e$ .

Hence, we can assume  $B > A(1 - \log A)$ . Let  $\alpha = Ae^{B/A}$ . The equation  $\log y/y = e^{-B/A}/A$  rewrites as  $ze^z = -1/\alpha$ , where  $y = e^{-z}$ . The equation  $ze^z = -1/\alpha$  has two solutions, namely  $W_0(-1/\alpha)$  and  $W_{-1}(-1/\alpha)$ . As (for every complex  $t$ )  $e^{-W_0(t)} = W_0(t)/t$  and  $e^{-W_{-1}(t)} = W_{-1}(t)/t$  we deduce that we have two solutions for  $y$ . Precisely, as  $y$  increases from 0 to  $+\infty$ , the value of  $\log y/y$  first increases, reaches  $1/\alpha$  for  $y = -\alpha W_0(-1/\alpha)$ , then reaches  $1/e$  at  $y = e$ , then decreases, reaches  $1/\alpha$  for  $x = -\alpha W_{-1}(-1/\alpha)$  and then continues to decrease as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, the threshold value for  $y$  is  $-\alpha W_{-1}(-1/\alpha)$ .

Thus, we get  $\beta = -e^{-B/A} \alpha W_{-1}(-1/\alpha) = -A W_{-1}(-e^{-B/A}/A)$ .  $\square$

The following approximation will be useful to have some explicit bounds based on standard functions.

**Lemma 3.5** ([5]). *For  $u > 0$ , we have*

$$-1 - \sqrt{2u} - u < W_{-1}(-e^{-u-1}) < -1 - \sqrt{2u} - \frac{2}{3}u.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with polynomial expansion, with  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r) \leq a(r + \frac{1}{2})^b$  for some positive reals  $a, b$ . We define*

$$g_p = \max\left(7, 2 \left\lfloor -\frac{2b}{\log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b} bp}\right) \right\rfloor + 4\right)(p-1).$$

*Then, every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > g_p \sim 4bp \log_2 p$$

*is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \frac{b}{\log 2}$ ,  $C = (24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b}$ ,  $\gamma(p) = 2 \left\lfloor -2A W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{ACp}\right) \right\rfloor + 4$ , and  $g_p = \max(7, \gamma(p))$ . Assume for contradiction that there exists  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\text{girth}(G) > g_p(p-1)$  that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.

According to Lemma 3.1, there exists  $G' \in G \nabla \frac{p-1}{2}$  with  $\delta(G') \geq 3$  and  $\text{girth}(G') > g_p \geq 7$ .

Let  $r = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{girth}(G')-3}{4} \right\rfloor \geq 1$  (hence  $4r+7 > \text{girth}(G') \geq 4r+3$ ). According to Lemma 3.3, as  $\delta(G') \geq 3$ , we have  $\nabla_r(G') \geq \frac{2r}{24}$ . This, together with Proposition 2.1, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} r &\leq \log_2(24\nabla_r(G')) \leq \log_2(24\nabla_{\frac{2pr+p-1}{2}}(G)) \\ &\leq \log_2\left(24a\left(p\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^b\right) = \log_2(24ap^b) + \frac{b}{\log 2} \log\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $r' = r + 1/2$ , we have

$$r' \leq \frac{b}{\log 2} \log r' + \frac{\log(24\sqrt{2}ap^b)}{\log 2} = A \log r' + B,$$

where  $B = \frac{\log(24\sqrt{2}ap^b)}{\log 2}$ . As  $e^{\frac{B}{A}} = e^{\frac{\log(24\sqrt{2}ap^b)}{b}} = (24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b}p = Cp$ , according to Lemma 3.4 we have

$$r' \leq -A W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{ACp}\right)$$

As  $2r'$  is an integer, we deduce

$$2r' \leq \left\lfloor -2A W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{ACp}\right) \right\rfloor.$$

It follows that

$$\text{girth}(G') < 4r+7 = 4r'+5 \leq 2 \left\lfloor -2A W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{ACp}\right) \right\rfloor + 5.$$

Hence, as  $\text{girth}(G')$  is an integer,

$$\text{girth}(G') \leq 2 \left\lfloor -2A W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{ACp}\right) \right\rfloor + 4 \leq \gamma(p) \leq g_p,$$

which contradicts  $\text{girth}(G') > g_p$ .

Finally, according to Lemma 3.5, we have the uniform bound

$$\gamma(p) < 4b \log_2 p + 4A \sqrt{2 \log(ACp) - 2} + 4b \log_2(AC) + 4.$$

Therefore,  $\gamma(p) \sim 4b \log_2 p$  and the theorem holds.  $\square$

We now prove that the bound on the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy is tight up to a multiplicative factor.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  be such that there is a constant  $c$  such that for infinitely many integers  $g$  there exists a cubic graph of girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c2^{\alpha g}$ .*

*For every real  $b > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{X}_b$  be the class of all graphs  $H$  such that, for all half-integers  $r$  we have*

$$\nabla_r(H) \leq \max(\sqrt{c}/2, 3) \cdot r^b + 3e^b.$$

*Then, for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the class  $\mathcal{X}_b$  contains a graph  $H$  with*

$$\text{girth}(H) \geq -\frac{2b}{\alpha \log 2} W_{-1} \left( -\frac{\log 2}{(p-1)b} \right) (p-1) \sim \frac{2}{\alpha} bp \log_2 p.$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* We first give some bounds on the densities of the shallow minors of cubic graphs with large girths and small orders.

**Claim 1.** *Assume that  $G$  is a cubic graph of girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c2^{\alpha g}$ , for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Then,  $\nabla(G) < \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} 2^{\alpha g/2} + 1$  and, for every integer  $r$ ,  $\nabla_r(G) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{r-1}$ .*

*Proof of the claim.* Let  $H \in G \nabla r$ . Obviously,  $\nabla_r(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} 3 \cdot 2^r$ . Let  $H$  be a minor of  $G$ . If a vertex  $v$  of  $H$  has degree  $k$ , it corresponds to connected subgraph of  $G$  of order least  $k-2$ , as  $G$  is cubic. Hence, if  $H$  has  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, we have  $|G| \geq \sum_{v \in V(H)} (d_H(v) - 2) = 2(m - n) > 2(m - n) \frac{2m}{n^2} > 4(d-1)^2$ , where  $d = m/n$ . It follows that  $d < \sqrt{|G|}/2 + 1$ . Hence,  $\nabla(G) < \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} 2^{\alpha g/2} + 1$ .  $\triangleleft$

Define

$$p = \frac{2}{\alpha g} 2^{\frac{\alpha g}{2b}} + 1,$$

so that

$$2^{\frac{\alpha g}{2}} = \left( \frac{\alpha g}{2} \right)^b (p-1)^b.$$

Consider the  $(p-2)$ -subdivision  $H$  of  $G$ . Note that  $H$  is not  $p$ -path degenerate (as  $G$  is cubic) and  $\text{girth}(H) = g(p-1)$ .

Let  $r$  be a half-integer. Every minor of  $H$  has depth  $r$  can be obtained by first contracting some paths with length at most  $p-1$  into a single edge, then taking a minor at depth  $\lceil \frac{r}{p-1} \rceil$ . Thus,

$$\nabla_r(H) \leq \nabla_{\lceil \frac{r}{p-1} \rceil}(G) \leq \nabla_{\frac{r}{p-1}+1}(G) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{r}{p-1}}.$$

If  $\frac{r}{p-1} \geq \frac{\alpha g}{2}$  we have

$$\nabla_r(H) \leq \nabla(G) \leq \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} 2^{\alpha g/2} + 1 = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha g}{2} \right)^b (p-1)^b + 1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} r^b + 1.$$

If  $\frac{b}{\log 2} \leq \frac{r}{p-1} \leq \frac{\alpha g}{2}$ , as  $2^x/x^b$  is increasing for  $x \geq b/\log 2$ , we have

$$\frac{2^{\frac{r}{p-1}}}{r^b} = \frac{1}{(p-1)^b} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{r}{p-1}}}{\left(\frac{r}{p-1}\right)^b} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)^b} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha g}{2}}}{(\alpha g/2)^b} = 1.$$

Hence,  $\nabla_r(H) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{r}{p-1}} \leq 3r^b$ .

Finally, if  $\frac{r}{p-1} < \frac{b}{\log 2}$  we have

$$\nabla_r(H) \leq \nabla_{\frac{r}{p-1}+1}(G) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{b/\log 2} = 3e^b.$$

Hence, for every half-integer  $r$  we have

$$\nabla_r(H) \leq \max(\sqrt{c}/2, 3) \cdot r^b + 3e^b,$$

that is:  $H \in \mathcal{X}_b$ .

Finally, inverting  $2^{\frac{\alpha g}{2}} = \left(\frac{\alpha g}{2}\right)^b (p-1)^b$ , we get  $g = -\frac{2b}{\alpha \log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(p-1)b}\right)$ , from which we deduce the expression of  $\text{girth}(H)$ .  $\square$

Cubic graphs of minimum order with girth at least  $g$  are called  $g$ -cages. We consider the general upper bound for the order of  $g$ -cages given by Biggs & Hoare [3] and Weiss [25].

**Lemma 3.7** ([3, 25]). *There is a constant  $c$  such that for infinitely many integers  $g$  there exists a cubic graph of girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c2^{3g/4}$ .*

We can now provide a lower bound for the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in classes with polynomial expansion.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every real  $b > 0$ , there exists a class with expansion  $O(r^b)$  such that for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the class contains a graph  $G$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) \geq -\frac{8b}{3 \log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(p-1)b}\right) (p-1) \sim \frac{8}{3}bp \log_2 p$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.  $\square$

We conjecture that the bound given in Theorem 1.2 is tight up to second order term.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *For every real  $b > 0$ , there exists a class with expansion  $O(r^b)$  such that for infinitely many  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the class contains a graph  $G$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) \sim 4bp \log_2 p$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

As a support to this conjecture, we note that its validity would follow from Conjecture 1.5 proposed in [4, p. 164], asserting that there exist cubic graphs with girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c2^{g/2}$ . Indeed, if this later conjecture is true, then according to Lemma 3.6, the lower bound on the girth in Theorem 1.3 will be

$$-\frac{4b}{\log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(p-1)b}\right) (p-2) \sim 4bp \log_2 p.$$

**3.5. Minor-closed classes of graphs.** We now consider proper minor-closed classes of graphs, and provide a fine analysis of the bounds, either based on the maximum average degree of the graphs in the class or on the maximum order of a clique in the class.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper minor-closed class of graphs and let  $d$  be the maximum average degree of the graphs in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)(p - 1)$$

*is  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimum order, that is, a graph  $G$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)(p - 1)$ , not  $p$ -path degenerate, and with  $|V(G)|$  minimum for this property. According to Lemma 3.1 and the minimality of  $G$ ,  $G$  is a  $\leq (p - 2)$ -subdivision of a simple graph  $G'$  with minimum degree at least 3. In other words,  $G' \in \mathcal{C} \nabla \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Since  $\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)(p - 1)$ , we have  $\text{girth}(G') > 4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3$ .

If  $d \leq 576$ , then  $\text{girth}(G') > 6 \log_2 d + 3$ . Let  $r = \left\lceil \frac{\text{girth}(G') - 3}{6} \right\rceil - 1$  (hence,  $\text{girth}(G') > 6r + 3$ ). According to Lemma 3.2, as  $\delta(G') \geq 3$ , we have  $\nabla_{2r}(G') \geq 2^r$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a minor-closed class of graphs, it holds that

$$\left\lceil \frac{\text{girth}(G') - 3}{6} \right\rceil - 1 = r \leq \log_2 \nabla_{2r}(G') \leq \log_2 \nabla(G) \leq \log_2 \frac{d}{2} = \log_2 d - 1.$$

Thus, we have  $\text{girth}(G') \leq 6 \log_2 d + 3$ , contradicting the inequality  $\text{girth}(G') > 6 \log_2 d + 3$ , which we derived from our assumptions.

Otherwise,  $d > 576$ . Then, we have  $\text{girth}(G') > 4 \log_2(24d) + 3$ . Let  $r = \left\lceil \frac{\text{girth}(G') - 3}{4} \right\rceil - 1$  (hence,  $\text{girth}(G') > 4r + 3$ ). According to Lemma 3.3, as  $\delta(G') \geq 3$ , we have  $\nabla_r(G') \geq \frac{2^r}{24}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a minor-closed class of graphs, there is

$$\left\lceil \frac{\text{girth}(G') - 3}{4} \right\rceil - 1 = r \leq \log_2(24 \nabla_r(G')) \leq \log_2(24 \nabla(G)) \leq \log_2 \frac{24d}{2}.$$

Therefore, we have  $\text{girth}(G') \leq 4 \log_2(24d) + 3$ , a contradiction to the inequality  $\text{girth}(G') > 4 \log_2(24d) + 3$ . In both cases, no counterexample exist and the theorem holds.  $\square$

We proceed to provide a lower bound for the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in proper minor-closed classes.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $G$  is a cubic graph of girth at least  $g$  and order at most  $c 2^{\alpha g}$ , for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $d = \sqrt{c} 2^{\alpha g} + 2$  and let  $\mathcal{C}_d = \{H : \nabla(H) < d/2\}$ .*

*Then,  $\mathcal{C}_d$  is a proper minor closed class with maximum average degree at most  $d$ , and for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , there exists a graph  $G_p \in \mathcal{C}_d$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G_p) > \left( \frac{2}{\alpha} \log_2(d - 2) - \frac{\log_2 c}{\alpha} \right) (p - 1)$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* According to Claim 1,  $\nabla(G) < \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} 2^{\alpha g} + 1 = d/2$ . Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer. The  $(p-2)$ -subdivision  $G_p$  of  $G$  has girth at least  $g(p-1)$  and satisfies  $\nabla(G_p) = \nabla(G) < d/2$  (as  $\nabla(G) > 1$ ). Thus,  $G_p \in \mathcal{C}_d$  and,  $G_p$  is not  $p$ -path degenerate. The bound on the girth of  $G_p$  then follows from the equality  $d = \sqrt{c} 2^{\alpha g} + 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.** *There exists a constant  $c$  with the following property: for every real  $d_0 > 0$  there exists a real  $d \geq d_0$  and a proper minor closed class with maximum average degree at most  $d$  that contains, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , a graph  $G_p$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G_p) \geq \left( \frac{8}{3} \log_2(d-2) + c \right) (p-1)$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_0 > 0$  be a real. According to Lemma 3.7 there exist a constant  $c$  and infinitely many integers  $g$  for which there exists a graph  $G$  with minimum degree at least 3, girth at least  $g$ , and order at most  $c 2^{3g/4}$ . We choose  $g$  such that  $d = \sqrt{c} 2^{\alpha g} + 2$  is greater than  $d_0$ . Then, the result follows from Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

We conjecture that the bound given in Theorem 1.6 is essentially tight.

**Conjecture 1.8.** *There exists a constant  $c$  with the following property: for every real  $d_0 > 0$  there exists a real  $d \geq d_0$  and a proper minor closed class with maximum average degree at most  $d$  that contains, for every integer  $p \geq 2$ , a graph  $G_p$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G_p) \geq (4 \log_2(d-2) + c)(p-1)$$

*that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

Note that, according to Lemma 3.8, Conjecture 1.8 would follow from Conjecture 1.5.

We now turn to the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of all graphs excluding a complete minor  $K_k$  ( $k \geq 3$ ). We define

$$g(K_k, p) = \sup\{g : \exists G \in \mathcal{C}, \text{girth}(G) \geq g \text{ and } G \text{ is not } p\text{-path degenerate}\}.$$

The values of  $g(K_k, p)$  for  $k \leq 4$  are kind of special. Let us simply mention without proof that  $g(K_3, p) = \infty$ ,  $g(K_4, 2) = \infty$ , and  $g(K_4, p) = 2(p-1)$  for  $p \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all  $K_k$ -minor free graphs ( $k \geq 5$ ). Then, for any integer  $p \geq 2$ ,*

$$g(K_k, p) = \max\{\text{girth}(H) : H \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \delta(H) \geq 3\}(p-1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $K_4 \in \mathcal{C}$ , then there exist in  $\mathcal{C}$  a graph with minimum degree at least 3. Let  $H$  be such a graph with maximum possible girth  $g$ . Hence,  $g = \max\{\text{girth}(H) : H \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \delta(H) \geq 3\}$ . The  $(p-2)$ -subdivision of  $H$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , has girth  $\text{girth}(H)(p-1)$ , and is not  $p$ -path degenerate. Hence,  $g(K_k, p) \geq g(p-1)$ .

Conversely, let  $G$  be a graph with girth  $g(K_k, p)$  that is not  $p$ -path degenerate. Since  $g(\mathcal{C}, p) \geq g(p-1) > 2p-1$ , according to Lemma 3.1,  $G$  contains,

as an induced subgraph, a  $\leq (p-2)$ -subdivision of a graph  $H'$  with minimum degree at least 3. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is minor-closed,  $H' \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{girth}(H') \leq g$ . Thus, we have  $g(p-1) \geq \text{girth}(H')(p-1) \geq \text{girth}(G) = g(\mathcal{C}, p)$ .  $\square$

As an application, we get a tight bound for planar graphs.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Every planar graph with girth at least  $5p-4$  is  $p$ -path degenerate and there exists a planar graph with girth  $5p-5$  that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.*

*Proof.* It is a easy consequence of Euler's Formula that every planar graph with minimum degree at least 3 has girth at most 5. Note that every planar graph is  $K_5$ -minor free, according to Lemma 3.9, every planar graph with girth at least  $5p-4$  is  $p$ -path degenerate.

For any integer  $p \geq 2$ , let  $G_p$  denote the graph obtained from the dodecahedron by subdividing each edge exactly  $p-2$  times. Since the dodecahedron is a simple 3-connected 3-regular planar graph with girth 5, the graph  $G_p$  is a planar graph with girth  $5(p-1)$  that is not  $p$ -path degenerate.  $\square$

To derive the lower and upper bounds on  $g(K_k, p)$  for the more general case of  $k$ , we need some ingredients. The first one, is about existence of small graphs with minimum degree 3 and large girth.

**Lemma 3.11** ([7, Proposition 2.2]). *There is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for infinitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist cubic graphs with girth at least  $\frac{8}{3} \log_2 k - c$  that have no minor with minimum degree greater than  $k$ .*

The second ingredient we shall use is the classical bound on the maximum number of edges of a  $K_k$ -minor free graph.

**Theorem 3.12** ([22]). *There exists an explicit constant  $\gamma = 0.638\dots$  such that every graph with average degree at least  $(\gamma + o(1))k\sqrt{\log_2 k}$  contains a  $K_k$  minor.*

Our result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer. Then for every integer  $k$  we have*

$$g(K_k, p) < (4 \log_2 k + 2 \log_2 \log_2 k + O(1))(p-1).$$

*Moreover, there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for infinitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$g(K_k, p) \geq \left( \frac{8}{3} \log_2 k + c \right) (p-1).$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.6 and 3.12.

According to Lemma 3.11, for infinitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a cubic graph  $H$  with  $\text{girth}(H) \geq \frac{8}{3} \log_2(k-2) - c'$  (for some constant  $c'$ ) with no minor with minimum degree greater than  $k-2$  (hence, no  $K_k$ -minor). By slightly changing the constant, we have  $\text{girth}(H) \geq \frac{8}{3} \log_2 k + c$ . The  $(p-2)$ -subdivision of  $H$  is  $K_k$ -minor free, has girth at least  $(\frac{8}{3} \log_2 k + c)(p-1)$ , and is not  $p$ -path degenerate.  $\square$

As observed by Diestel and Rempel [7], if Conjecture 1.5 is true, then for infinitely many integers  $k$  there are cubic graphs with girth at least  $4 \log_2 k + c$  (for a suitable constant  $c$ ) that have no minor of minimum degree



$k - 1$  (and thus have no  $K_k$  minor). Then, by Lemma 3.9, we will obtain  $g(K_k, p) \geq (4 \log_2 k + c)(p - 1)$ . Therefore, if Lemma 3.9 proven, the constant 4 in the leading term of the upper bound on  $g(K_k, p)$  in Theorem 3.13 can not be replaced by a smaller one. This motivates the next conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.9.** *We have*

$$g(K_k, p) = (4 \log_2 k + O(1))(p - 1).$$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

**4.1. Generalized  $r$ -arboricity.** In this subsection, we consider the generalized arboricity of graphs with large girth. We begin with a general statement relating generalized arboricity to path-degeneracy.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate graph. If  $G$  is a forest, then  $\text{arb}_r(G) = 1$ ; otherwise,  $\text{arb}_r(G) = r + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a forest, obviously  $\text{arb}_r(G) = 1$ . Suppose that  $G$  contains at least a cycle. Since  $G$  is  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate,  $\text{girth}(G) > r + 1$ . Hence, by the definition of  $\text{arb}_r(G)$ , we have  $\text{arb}_r(G) \geq r + 1$ .

We prove by induction on the number of edges of  $G$  that  $\text{arb}_r(G) \leq r + 1$ . Note that the statement obviously holds if  $G$  is a forest, and that we may assume that  $G$  is connected. Since  $G$  is  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate, there exists a  $r$ -reduction  $R$  of  $G$ . Let  $H$  be the resulting graph after applying the reduction  $R$  on  $G$ . By induction, there exists a coloring of the edges of  $H$  using at most  $r + 1$  colors such that every cycle  $C$  of  $H$  gets at least  $r + 1$  colors. We extend this coloring to  $G$  as follows: if  $R$  deletes a vertex  $v$  with degree 1, we assign the color 1 to the edge  $e$  incident to  $v$ ; otherwise,  $R$  deletes the internal vertices of a strict ear  $P$  with length at least  $r + 1$ , we assign  $r + 1$  distinct colors to the edges of the path  $P$ . For the former, every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  is contained in  $H$ . For the latter, every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  is either contained in  $H$  or includes all the edges of  $P$ . In either cases, it gets at least  $r + 1$  colors.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 1.2 (resp. Theorem 1.6) and Theorem 4.1, we immediately have the following two consequences.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with polynomial expansion, with  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(k) \leq a(k + \frac{1}{2})^b$  for some positive reals  $a, b$ . Let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > \max \left( 7, 2 \left\lfloor -\frac{2b}{\log 2} W_{-1} \left( -\frac{\log 2}{(24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b} br} \right) \right\rfloor + 4 \right) p \sim 4br \log_2 r.$$

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $\text{arb}_r(G) = 1$ ; otherwise,  $\text{arb}_r(G) = p + 1$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper minor-closed class, let  $d = \text{mad}(\mathcal{C})$ , and let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  with girth greater than*

$$\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)r.$$

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $\text{arb}_r(G) = 1$ ; otherwise,  $\text{arb}_r(G) = r + 1$ .*

Remark that the bound  $r + 1$  on  $\text{arb}_r(G)$  was obtained for planar graphs with girth at least  $2^{r+1}$  in [2], further reduced to girth at least  $5r + 1$  in [6, Theorem 2]. In Corollary 4.3, we extended the existence of a linear bound for the girth ensuring  $\text{arb}_r(G) \leq r + 1$  to all proper minor-closed classes. Note that, [6, Theorem 2] is also a direct consequence of Theorems 3.10 and 4.1 and we restate it here.

**Theorem** ([6, Theorem 2]). *Given an integer  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $G$  be a planar graph with  $\text{girth}(G) \geq 5p + 1$  that is not a forest. Then  $\text{arb}_p(G) = p + 1$ .*

With Theorem 4.1 in hand, we can now settle Conjecture 1.10, which we recall for convenience.

**Conjecture 1.10** ([2, Conjecture 7]). *Every proper minor-closed class of graphs  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ .*

**Theorem 1.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential expansion and let  $r$  be a positive integer.*

*If  $\mathcal{C}$  contains some graph  $G$  with  $r < \text{girth}(G) < \infty$ , then  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ ; otherwise,  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  contains some graph  $G$  with  $r < \text{girth}(G) < \infty$ , then by the definition of the generalized  $r$ -arboricity, every such graph  $G$  satisfies  $\text{arb}_r(G) \geq r + 1$  and thus  $A(\mathcal{C}) \geq r + 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  has sub-exponential expansion, according to Theorem 1.1, there exists an integer  $g_{r+1}$  such that every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $g_{r+1}$  is  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate. Then, according to Theorem 4.1, every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $g_{r+1}$  satisfies  $\text{arb}_r(G) \leq r + 1$ . Hence, it holds that  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}$  contains no graphs  $G$  with  $r < \text{girth}(G) < \infty$ , then every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $r + 1$  is a forest and thus  $\text{arb}_r(G) = 1$ . Hence,  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ .  $\square$

Note that if a proper minor-closed class of graphs  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain some cycle (say  $C_q$ ), then every graph  $G$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with girth at least  $q$  is a forest and thus  $\text{arb}_p(G) = 1$ . Hence, one can deduce from Theorem 1.11 that

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper minor-closed class of graphs and let  $r$  be a positive integer.*

*If  $\mathcal{C}$  contains all cycles, then  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = r + 1$ ; otherwise,  $A_r(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ .*

**4.2. Generalized  $r$ -acyclic chromatic index.** In this subsection, we consider the generalized  $p$ -acyclic chromatic indices of large girth graphs. In the following, we assume that graphs have maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 3$  as the case  $\Delta \leq 2$  is trivial. We begin with the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $r \geq 3$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate graph with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 3$ .*

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $a'_r(G) = \Delta$ ; otherwise,  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a forest, obviously  $a'_r(G) = \Delta$ . Suppose that  $G$  contains at least a cycle. Since  $G$  is  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate,  $\text{girth}(G) > r + 1$ . Hence, by the definition of  $a'_r(G)$ , we have  $a'_r(G) \geq \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .

We prove by induction on the number of edges of  $G$  that  $a'_r(G) \leq \max\{\Delta, r\}$ . Note that the statement obviously holds if  $G$  is a forest, and

that we may assume that  $G$  is connected. Since  $G$  is  $(r + 1)$ -path degenerate, there exists a  $r$ -reduction  $R$  of  $G$  which deletes either a vertex  $v$  with degree 1 or the internal vertices of a strict ear  $P$  with length at least  $r + 1$ . Let  $H$  be the resulting graph after applying  $R$  on  $G$ . Clearly, we have  $\max\{\Delta, r\} \leq \max\{\Delta(H) + 1, r\}$ . By induction, there exists a  $r$ -acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  of  $H$  using at most  $\max\{\Delta(H), r\}$  colors. We will extend this coloring to a new coloring  $\psi$  of  $G$  using at most  $\max\{\Delta, r\}$  colors.

If  $R$  deletes a vertex  $v$  with degree 1, assume that  $G = H \cup \{uv\}$ . We extend  $\phi$  to  $\psi$  by coloring  $uv$  properly (only if  $d_H(u) = \Delta(H) \geq r$ , color  $uv$  with a new color). Since every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  is contained in  $H$ ,  $\psi$  is obviously a  $r$ -acyclic edge coloring of  $G$  using  $\max\{\Delta, r\}$  colors.

If  $R$  deletes the internal vertices of a strict ear  $P$  with length at least  $r + 1$ , let  $P = u_1v_1v_2 \dots v_ju_2$  ( $j \geq r$ ). We first color the two edges  $u_1v_1$  and  $u_2v_j$  properly based on  $\phi$  (if necessary, use a new color). Assume that  $u_1v_1$  and  $u_2v_j$  are colored with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , we further color the  $r - 2$  edges  $v_1v_2, \dots, v_{r-2}v_{r-1}$  edges with the  $r - 2$  colors that are different from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and then color the remaining  $j + 1 - r$  ( $> 0$ ) edges of  $P$  greedily; otherwise, we color the remaining  $j - 1$  ( $\geq r$ ) edges of  $P$  with the  $r$  colors that are different from  $\alpha$ . In both cases, we obtain an edge coloring  $\psi$  of  $G$  using  $\max\{\Delta, p\}$  colors, in which the edges of  $P$  are colored with at least  $p$  colors. Note that every cycle  $C$  of  $G$  is either contained in  $H$  or includes all the edges of  $P$ , it gets at least  $\min\{|C|, r\}$  colors under  $\psi$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  is a  $r$ -acyclic edge coloring using  $\max\{\Delta, r\}$  colors.  $\square$

Now, Theorem 4.5, together with Theorem 1.1, implies the main theorem of this section, which we restate here.

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $r \geq 3$  be an integer, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential class, and let  $g(p)$  be the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Then, every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and girth at least  $g(r + 1)$  that is not a forest satisfies  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

Similarly, combining Theorem 1.2 (resp. Theorem 1.6, Theorem 3.10) and Theorem 4.5, we immediately have the following three consequences.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with polynomial expansion, with  $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{C}}(k) \leq a(k + \frac{1}{2})^b$  for some positive reals  $a, b$ . Let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  with*

$$\text{girth}(G) > \max\left(7, 2 \left\lfloor -\frac{2b}{\log 2} W_{-1}\left(-\frac{\log 2}{(24\sqrt{2}a)^{1/b} br}\right) \right\rfloor + 4\right) p \sim 4br \log_2 r.$$

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $a'_r(G) = \Delta$ ; otherwise,  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

**Corollary 4.7.** *Given an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper minor-closed class, let  $d = \text{mad}(\mathcal{C})$ , and let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{C}$  with girth greater than*

$$\text{girth}(G) > (4 \log_2 d + 2 \log_2(\min\{d, 576\}) + 3)r.$$

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $a'_r(G) = \Delta$ ; otherwise,  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $r \geq 3$  be an integer. Let  $G$  be a planar graph with  $\Delta \geq 3$  and  $\text{girth}(G) \geq 5r + 1$ .*

*If  $G$  is a forest, then  $a'_r(G) = \Delta$ ; otherwise,  $a'_r(G) = \max\{\Delta, r\}$ .*

Remark that the case  $p = 3$  and the case  $p \geq 4$  of Corollary 4.8 were independently proved in [15, Theorem 3.3] and [26, Theorem 6], respectively.

**4.3. Weak  $r$ -coloring number.** We begin with some definitions and some useful tools.

Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $\Pi(G)$  be the set of all linear orders of  $V(G)$ . Given  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$  and a nonnegative integer  $r$ . In the following, it will be convenient to identify a linear order of  $V(G)$  with a word, whose letters are  $V(G)$ , using every  $v \in V(G)$  exactly once. To facilitate reading, we shall use the comma to denote concatenation. For instance, if  $\pi$  is the linear order  $b < c < d$  then  $a, \pi, e$  denotes the linear order  $a < b < c < d < e$ .

For any two vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , we say that  $u$  is *weakly  $r$ -reachable* from  $v$  under  $\pi$  if  $u \leq_\pi v$  and there exists a  $u$ - $v$ -path  $P$  of length at most  $r$  with  $u <_\pi w$  for all internal vertices  $w \in V(P)$ . Note that, every vertex of  $G$  is  $r$ -weakly reachable from itself under  $\pi$ . We denote by  $\text{WReach}_r[G, \pi, v]$  the set of vertices that are weakly  $r$ -reachable from  $v$  under  $\pi$ . The *weak  $r$ -coloring number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{wcol}_r(G)$ , is defined as

$$\text{wcol}_r(G) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(G)} \max_{v \in V(G)} |\text{WReach}_r[G, \pi, v]|.$$

It is clear that  $\text{wcol}_0(G) = 1$  and for any integer  $x \geq 1$ ,  $\text{wcol}_x(G) \geq 1$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function, let  $r$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph. A linear order  $\pi_G$  of  $V(G)$  is a *good linear order with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$*  if, for every nonnegative integer  $x \leq r$  we have

$$\max_{v \in V(G)} |\text{WReach}_x[G, \pi_G, v]| \leq f(x).$$

Note that if  $f$  is a good linear order with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ , then  $\text{wcol}_x(G) \leq f(x)$  for every nonnegative integer  $x \leq r$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing function with  $f(x+1) \geq f(x)+1$ , let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph. Let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting a vertex  $v$  with degree at most 1. If  $H$  is not empty and has a good linear order  $\pi_H$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ , then  $G$  also has a good linear order  $\pi_G$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_G = \pi_H, v$ . If  $v$  is an isolated vertex of  $G$ , then  $\pi_G$  is obviously a good linear order of  $V(G)$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ . Assume that  $v$  is a vertex with degree 1 of  $G$  and  $uv \in E(G)$ . For every integer  $x \geq 0$ , it is clear that  $|\text{WReach}_x[G, \pi_G, w]| = |\text{WReach}_x[H, \pi_H, w]| \leq f(x)$  for every  $w \in V(H)$  and  $|\text{WReach}_x[G, \pi_G, v]| = |\text{WReach}_{x-1}[H, \pi_H, u]| + 1 \leq f(x-1) + 1 \leq f(x)$ . Since  $H$  is not empty and  $\pi_H$  is a good linear order of  $H$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ ,  $f(0) \geq 1$  and  $f(1) \geq 2$ . Thus, we have  $|\text{WReach}_0[G, \pi_G, v]| = 1 \leq f(0)$ ,  $|\text{WReach}_1[G, \pi_G, v]| = 2 \leq f(1)$  and  $|\text{WReach}_x[G, \pi_G, v]| \leq f(x-1) + 1 \leq f(x)$  for every integer  $x \geq 2$ . Therefore,  $\pi_G$  is a good linear order of  $G$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $r, q$  be positive integers with  $q \geq r + 1$ , and let  $G$  be a  $2q$ -path degenerate graph. Define  $f(0) = 1$  and, if  $x \geq 1$ ,*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + 2 + \log_2\left(\frac{q-1}{q-x}\right), & \text{if } q < 2r, \\ x + 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 4. The different cases considered in the proof of Lemma 4.10 to bound  $|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v]|$ .

Then,  $G$  has a good linear order  $\pi$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = 2q$ . According to the definition of path degeneracy and Remark 1, there exists a sequence  $G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_t = G$  such that  $G_1$  is an isolated vertex, and each  $G_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) is obtained from  $G_{i+1}$  by performing a  $p$ -reduction  $R_{i+1}$  of  $G_{i+1}$ , where  $R_{i+1}$  deletes either a vertex with degree at most 1 or a strict ear with length  $p$  of  $G_{i+1}$ .

We prove that  $G_i$  has a good linear order with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$  by induction on  $i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ). The base case  $i = 1$  is trivial since  $G_1$  is an isolated vertex. Assume that every graph  $G_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq i$ ) has a good linear order  $\pi_j$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ . We prove that  $G_{i+1}$  has a good linear order  $\pi_{i+1}$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ . We may assume that  $R_{i+1}$  deletes a strict ear  $P$  with length  $p$  of  $G_{i+1}$  as otherwise the statement is true according to Lemma 4.9.

Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be the two endpoints of  $P$ . We denote by

$$u_1, v_1^1, \dots, v_{q-1}^1, w, v_{q-1}^2, \dots, v_1^2, u_2$$

(in order) the vertices of  $P$ , and consider the linear order

$$\pi_{i+1} := w, \pi_i, v_1^1, \dots, v_{q-1}^1, v_1^2, \dots, v_{q-1}^2$$

of  $V(G_{i+1})$ .

We aim to prove that  $|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v]| \leq f(x)$  holds for all vertices  $v$  of  $G_{i+1}$ . We will consider several cases, as indicated on Figure 4.

Since every vertex  $v \in V(G_i)$  is at distance at least  $r + 1$  from  $w$ , we have

$$|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v]| = |\text{WReach}_x[G_i, \pi_i, v]| \leq f(x).$$

Moreover, for every  $0 \leq x \leq r$ , it is clear that

$$|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, w]| = 1 \leq f(x).$$

It remains to consider vertices  $v_z^s$  (for  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $1 \leq z \leq q - 1$ ).

If  $x = 0$ , then  $|\text{WReach}_0[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| = 1 = f(0)$ . Hence, we can assume  $x \geq 1$ . We consider three different cases.

- If  $x < z$ , then

$$\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s] = \begin{cases} \{v_{z-x}^s, \dots, v_z^s\}, & \text{if } x < q - z, \\ \{v_{z-x}^s, \dots, v_z^s, w\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\max_{1 \leq x < z < q} |\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| = x + 2 \leq f(x).$$

- If  $x = z$ , we have  $|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_x^s]| \leq x + 2 \leq f(x)$ .
- Thus, we can assume  $x > z$ .

It is easily checked that  $\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]$  is the disjoint union of  $\text{WReach}_{x-z}[G_i, \pi_i, u_s]$  and a subset  $N_{x,s,z}$  of internal vertices of  $P$  defined by

$$N_{x,s,z} = \begin{cases} \{v_1^s, \dots, v_z^s\}, & \text{if } x < q - z, \\ \{v_1^s, \dots, v_z^s, w\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As  $\pi_i$  is a good linear order with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$  for  $G_i$ , we deduce that for every  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $1 \leq z < q$  we have

$$|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| \leq f(x - z) + z + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x < q - z, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq q - z. \end{cases}$$

- Assume  $q \geq 2r$  (in which case  $f(x) = x + 2$ ).

Then, there is no  $x \leq r$  and  $z < x$  such that  $x \geq q - z$ . Thus, we have

$$|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| \leq f(x - z) + z = f(x).$$

Hence,  $\pi_{i+1}$  is a good linear order of  $G_{i+1}$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ .

- Otherwise,  $r + 1 \leq q < 2r$  (in which case  $f(x) = x + 2 + \log_2(\frac{q-1}{q-x})$ ).

If  $x < q - z$ , we have

$$|\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| \leq f(x - z) + z \leq f(x).$$

Otherwise, we have  $z \geq q - x$  (hence  $q - x + z \geq 2(q - x)$ ), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{WReach}_x[G_{i+1}, \pi_{i+1}, v_z^s]| &\leq f(x - z) + z + 1 \\ &= x - z + 2 + \log_2(q - 1) - \log_2(q - x + z) + z + 1 \\ &\leq x + 2 + \log_2(q - 1) - \log_2(2(q - x)) + 1 \\ &= x + 2 + \log_2(q - 1) - \log_2(q - x) \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\pi_{i+1}$  is a good linear order of  $G_{i+1}$  with respect to  $f$  up to  $r$ .  $\square$

We derive bounds for the weak coloring numbers for graphs of high girth in classes with sub-exponential expansion.

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class with sub-exponential class, and let  $g(p)$  be the girth ensuring  $p$ -path degeneracy in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Then, for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have*

$$\text{wcol}_r(G) \leq \begin{cases} r + 2 + \lceil \log_2(\frac{q-1}{q-r}) \rceil, & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(2q) \text{ and } r < q < 2r, \\ r + 2, & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(4r). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

In particular, Theorem 1.13 gives the following bounds for the two extreme cases of  $q = r + 1$  and  $q = 2r - 1$ :

$$\text{wcol}_r(G) \leq \begin{cases} r + 2 + \lfloor \log_2 r \rfloor & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(2r + 2), \\ r + 3 & \text{if } \text{girth}(G) \geq g(4r - 2). \end{cases}$$

Recall that even for the class of planar graphs  $\mathcal{C}$ , the lower and upper bounds on  $\max_{G \in \mathcal{C}} \text{wcol}_r(G)$  are  $\Omega(r^2 \log r)$  [16] and  $O(r^3)$  [24], respectively. Theorem 1.13 indicates that for any class  $\mathcal{C}$  with sub-exponential expansion, the weak  $r$ -coloring number of large girth graphs in  $\mathcal{C}$  is close to  $r + 2$ , which is the best possible upper bound on  $\text{wcol}_r(G)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. M.O. Albertson, G.G. Chappell, H.A. Kierstead, A. Kündgen, and R. Ramamurthi, *Coloring with no 2-colored  $P_4$ 's*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), no. 1, R26.
2. T. Bartnicki, B. Bosek, S. Czerwiński, M. Farnik, J. Grytczuk, and Z. Miechowicz, *Generalized arboricity of graphs with large girth*, Discrete Mathematics **342** (2019), no. 5, 1343–1350.
3. N.L. Biggs and M.J. Hoare, *The sextet construction for cubic graphs*, Combinatorica **3** (1983), no. 2, 153–165.
4. B. Bollobás, *Extremal graph theory*, Academic Press, 1978.
5. I. Chatzigeorgiou, *Bounds on the lambert function and their application to the outage analysis of user cooperation*, IEEE Communications Letters **17** (2013), no. 8, 1505–1508.
6. J. Czap, S. Jendrol, and J. Valiska, *Edge-coloring of plane multigraphs with many colors on facial cycles*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **282** (2020), 80–85.
7. R. Diestel and C. Rempel, *Dense minors in graphs of large girth*, Combinatorica **25** (2004), 111–116.
8. Z. Dvořák, *On forbidden subdivision characterizations of graph classes*, European Journal of Combinatorics **29** (2008), no. 5, 1321–1332.
9. Z. Dvořák and S. Norine, *Strongly sublinear separators and polynomial expansion*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **30** (2016), no. 2, 1095–1101.
10. S. Gerke, C. Greenhill, and N. Wormald, *The generalized acyclic edge chromatic number of random regular graphs*, Journal of Graph Theory **53** (2006), no. 2, 101–125.
11. C. Greenhill and O. Pikhurko, *Bounds on the generalised acyclic chromatic numbers of bounded degree graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **21** (2005), no. 4, 407–419.
12. M. Grohe, S. Kreutzer, R. Rabinovich, S. Siebertz, and K. Stavropoulos, *Coloring and covering nowhere dense graphs*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **32** (2018), no. 4, 2467–2481.
13. S. Har-Peled and K. Quanrud, *Approximation algorithms for polynomial-expansion and low-density graphs*, SIAM Journal on Computing **46** (2017), no. 6, 1712–1744.
14. J. Hodor, H. La, P. Micek, and C. Rambaud, *Weak coloring numbers of minor-closed graph classes*, Proceedings of the 2025 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), SIAM, 2025, pp. 3325–3334.
15. J. Hou, J. Wu, G. Liu, and B. Liu, *Acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs and seriesparallel graphs*, Science in China Series A: Mathematics **52** (2009), no. 3, 605–616.
16. G. Joret and P. Micek, *Improved bounds for weak coloring numbers*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.10061 (2021).
17. H.A. Kierstead and D. Yang, *Orderings on graphs and game coloring number*, Order **20** (2003), 255–264.
18. D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Minors in graphs of large girth*, Random Structures & Algorithms **22** (2003), no. 2, 213–225.
19. J. Nešetřil and P. Ossona de Mendez, *Sparsity (graphs, structures, and algorithms)*, Algorithms and Combinatorics, vol. 28, Springer, 2012, 465 pages.
20. ———, *A note on circular chromatic number of graphs with large girth and similar problems*, Journal of Graph Theory **80** (2015), no. 4, 268–276.



21. J. Nešetřil, P. Ossona de Mendez, and X. Zhu, *Coloring edges with many colors in cycles*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **109** (2014), 102–119.
22. A. Thomason, *The extremal function for complete minors*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **81** (2001), no. 2, 318–338.
23. C. Thomassen, *Girth in graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **35** (1983), 129–141.
24. J. Van Den Heuvel, P. Ossona de Mendez, D. Quiroz, R. Rabinovich, and S. Siebertz, *On the generalised colouring numbers of graphs that exclude a fixed minor*, European Journal of Combinatorics **66** (2017), 129–144.
25. A. Weiss, *Girths of bipartite sextet graphs*, Combinatorica **4** (1984), 241–245.
26. X. Zhang, G. Wang, Y. Yu, J. Li, and G. Liu, *On  $r$ -acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **160** (2012), no. 13-14, 2048–2053.

SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING, JIANGSU, CHINA AND CENTRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUE SOCIALES CNRS UMR 8557, FRANCE.

*Email address:* `yqlin@seu.edu.cn`

CENTRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUE SOCIALES CNRS UMR 8557, FRANCE AND COMPUTER SCIENCE INSTITUTE OF CHARLES UNIVERSITY (IUUK), PRAHA, CZECH REPUBLIC

*Email address:* `pom@ehess.fr`