

Uniform Diophantine approximation on the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4

Ayreena Bakhtawar

Dong Han Kim

Seul Bee Lee

March 25, 2025

Abstract

Dirichlet's uniform approximation theorem is a fundamental result in Diophantine approximation that gives an optimal rate of approximation with a given bound. We study uniform Diophantine approximation properties on the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 . For a given real number α , we characterize the sequence of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations of α and show that they are convergents of the Rosen continued fraction and the dual Rosen continued fraction of α . We give analogous theorems of Dirichlet uniform approximation and the Legendre theorem with optimal constants.

1 Introduction

The celebrated Dirichlet's theorem states that for any real number α and for every $N > 1$, there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{N}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq N. \quad (1.1)$$

Dirichlet's theorem is a uniform Diophantine approximation result as it guarantees a non-trivial integer solution for all large enough N . An irrational number α is said to be *Dirichlet's improvable* if there exists a constant $C < 1$ such that the inequalities

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{C}{N}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq N, \quad (1.2)$$

have non trivial integer solutions for all sufficiently large enough N . It has been observed by Davenport and Schmidt [7] that the set of Dirichlet's improvable numbers has Lebesgue measure zero. Further they showed that an irrational number α is Dirichlet's improvable if and only if it is badly approximable, i.e., it has bounded partial quotients in the continued fraction expansion of α . To be more precise, let $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ represents the regular continued fraction expansion of α and define $C(\alpha)$ as the infimum of C satisfying (1.2). Then, it is known in [7, Theorem 1] that

$$C(\alpha) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n |q_{n-1}\alpha - p_{n-1}| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + [0; a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] \cdot [0; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]},$$

where $p_n/q_n = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is the n -th convergent of the regular continued fraction expansion. We remark that $C(\alpha) < 1$ if and only if α is badly approximable.

Motivated by the work of Davenport and Schmidt [7] for the regular continued fractions, the aim of this article is to study the uniform Diophantine approximation on the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 and extends the results of [7] for \mathbf{H}_4 . It is worth mentioning here that, in the settings of asymptotic Diophantine approximation (i.e., when one considers the following statement: for any irrational number, the inequality $|\alpha x - y| < c/x$ has infinitely many solutions in $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \neq 0$ for some constant c), there have




Figure 1: Fundamental domain of the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4

been developments for the Hecke groups, see for example [11, 13, 18, 26], but nothing much is known for the uniform approximation. The results of this paper thus will contribute to enhancing the complete description of \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion in the settings of uniform Diophantine approximation.

The Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 is the subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. See Figure 1 for a fundamental domain of \mathbf{H}_4 . It is well-known (see e.g. [16] and the references therein) that

$$\mathbf{H}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \sqrt{2}b \\ \sqrt{2}c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid ad - 2bc = 1, \quad a, d \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \quad b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}a & b \\ c & \sqrt{2}d \end{pmatrix} \mid 2ad - bc = 1, \quad b, c \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \quad a, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 acts as a linear fractional map on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{x + yi \in \mathbb{C} \mid y > 0\}$ and its boundary $\partial\mathbb{H} = \hat{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We have approximations of a real number by the image of ∞ under the action of \mathbf{H}_4 . Let

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) := \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in \hat{\mathbb{R}} \mid \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ q & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{H}_4 \right\} = \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}.$$

We will write $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ if there exists $\begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ q & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{H}_4$ for some r, s with $q > 0$, i.e.,

$$p = a, \quad q = \sqrt{2}c \quad \text{or} \quad p = \sqrt{2}a, \quad q = c$$

for some coprime integers a and $c > 0$. We obtain the following main results on the uniform Diophantine approximation for the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let α be a real number. For every N , there exists $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that*

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq q \leq N. \quad (1.3)$$

We consider improvement of the Dirichlet type theorem for the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 . Define $K(\alpha)$ as the infimum of K such that the following inequality

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{K}{N}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq N, \quad (1.4)$$

has non trivial solutions $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ for all sufficiently large enough N . Indeed, we showed that

$$K(\alpha) \leq K(1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ (see Example 4.5 below). Therefore the constant $\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}$ in Theorem 1.1 cannot be replaced by any smaller value. We remark that 1 is badly approximable but not Dirichlet's improvable in \mathbf{H}_4 . Indeed, 1 is the number corresponding to the minimum of the Lagrange spectrum and

$$\liminf_{p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)} q |q \cdot 1 - p| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

See [4, 11] for details.

The uniform approximation constant $K(\alpha)$ is obtained by the sequence of best approximations. Let us first recall the definition of best approximation.

Definition 1.2. Let $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Then p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of a real number α if for any $r/s \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ with $r/s \neq p/q$ and $0 < s \leq q$,

$$|s\alpha - r| > |q\alpha - p|.$$

Let $\left\{ \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations with $q_i < q_{i+1}$. Similarly to the classical modular group case, we have

$$K(\alpha) = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} q_i |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}|,$$

(see Lemma 4.4 below). We give a bound of the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation and state Legendre's theorem associated with \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations.

Theorem 1.3. (i) If p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α , then we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

(ii) If

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2},$$

then p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α . Moreover, the constant $1/2$ is the optimal value.

Note that for the case p/q is a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction, Theorem 1.3 (i) was shown in [14, Proposition 12].

Our next result concerns about the approximation properties of the convergents of an irrational number α associated with the Rosen continued fraction expansion. The *Rosen continued fraction* of a real number α associated with the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4 can be defined as follows:

$$\alpha = a_0\sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{a_1\sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{a_2\sqrt{2} + \ddots}},$$

here, we put $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ for $i \geq 1$. Let

$$\frac{r_i}{s_i} = \llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \dots, \epsilon_i/a_i \rrbracket = a_0\sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{a_1\sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\ddots + \frac{\epsilon_i}{a_i\sqrt{2}}}}$$

be the i -th convergent of the Rosen continued fraction. In addition, for the uniqueness of the expansion, we assume that if $a_i = 1$ for $i \geq 1$, then $\epsilon_{i+1} = +1$. One can change the constraint for the uniqueness of the Rosen continued fraction expansion. The *dual Rosen continued fraction* expansion is defined by

$$\alpha = \llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket,$$

where $\tilde{a}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{a}_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ for $i \geq 1$ with the rule that if $\tilde{a}_i = 1$, then $\tilde{\epsilon}_i = +1$ for $i \geq 1$.

We can check easily that the first best approximation $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = a_0\sqrt{2} = \frac{r_0}{s_0}$ is the 0-th convergent of the Rosen continued fraction. The convergents of the Rosen and the dual Rosen continued fraction expansion are \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations of an irrational number in the following sense:

Theorem 1.4. *Let α be a real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Let $\frac{r_i}{s_i} = \llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \dots, \epsilon_i/a_i \rrbracket$ be the i -th convergent of the Rosen continued fraction and $\frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} = \llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_i/\tilde{a}_i \rrbracket$ be the i -th convergent of the dual Rosen continued fraction. We have that $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α if and only if*

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{r_i}{s_i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{p}{q} = \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the Hecke group and give the \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion of real numbers. In Section 3, we characterize the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations. In Section 4, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given. We discuss relation between the best approximation of the Rosen continued fraction and give the detail of the proof of Theorem 1.4 in Section 5. Then, we discuss properties of the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations and prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 6.

2 \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion of a real number

The Hecke (triangle Fuchsian) group \mathbf{H}_k with an integer $k \geq 3$ is the subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ generated by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_k = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$. If $k = 3$, then $\lambda_3 = 1$ and \mathbf{H}_3 is the modular group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_3) = \mathbb{Q}$. When $k = 4$, then we have $\lambda_4 = \sqrt{2}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) = \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$.

In 1954, Rosen [17] introduced a class of continued fractions known as Rosen continued fractions in order to study the Hecke groups. The Rosen continued fraction expansion of a real number α terminates at a finite term if and only if α is a parabolic fixed point of \mathbf{H}_k , see [17]. These points are clearly contained in the extension field $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_k)$ but in general, there are elements of this field that have an infinite Rosen continued fraction expansion, see [9]. Introduced to aid in the study of certain Fuchsian groups, these continued fractions were applied some thirty years later by J. Lehner [13] in the study of asymptotic Diophantine approximation by orbits of these groups. Following that, a rich theory on the Rosen continued fraction has emerged including studies on asymptotic Diophantine approximation, metrical theory associated to Rosen continued fractions and applications to dynamical systems, for details we refer the reader to [15, 18, 25]. Since the aim of this article is to study Diophantine approximation on \mathbf{H}_4 , we will therefore consider $k = 4$ throughout the paper.

Let Ω be another fundamental domain of \mathbf{H}_4 surrounded by geodesics $x = 0$, $x = \sqrt{2}$, $|z| = 1$, and $|z - \sqrt{2}| = 1$ (see Figure 2 (left)). Let

$$R = ST^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 2: The fundamental domain Ω of \mathbb{H}_4 (left) and the ideal quadrilateral Δ (right)

Then $R^4 = I$. Let $\Delta = \Omega \cup R(\Omega) \cup R^2(\Omega) \cup R^3(\Omega)$. Let δ_0 be the geodesic on \mathbb{H} connecting boundary points ∞ and 0 on $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ and put $\delta_i = R^i(\delta_0)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then the ideal quadrilateral Δ is surrounded by δ_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (see Figure 2 (right)). Let $K_i = R^i S R^{-i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and Γ_4 be the group generated by K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3 . Then Δ is the fundamental domain of Γ_4 and Γ_4 is an index 4-subgroup of \mathbf{H}_4 (see [8]). For any element $G \in \mathbf{H}_4$, there exists $H \in \Gamma_4$ and $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $G = HR^d$. Denote

$$A_1 = RS = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = R^2S = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = R^3S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for any element $G \in \mathbf{H}_4$, there exist $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $G = A_{d_1} \cdots A_{d_k} R^d$ or $G = SA_{d_1} \cdots A_{d_k} R^d$.

We follow the symbolic coding of a geodesic on the developed by Haas and Series [8] and Series [22] (see also [11]). Let γ be an oriented geodesic with end points $\gamma^- \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\gamma^+ \in (0, \infty)$ and assume further that γ^- and γ^+ does not belong to $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Note that γ intersects δ_0 while passing from $S(\Delta)$ to Δ . By cutting $\mathcal{T} = \cup_{G \in \Gamma_4} G(\partial\Delta) = \cup_{G \in \mathbf{H}_4} G(\delta_0)$, we have a sequence of geodesic segments $\dots, \gamma_{-1}, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots$ with end points $\gamma_n^-, \gamma_n^+ \in \mathcal{T}$ along the orientation of γ , satisfying that $\gamma_n^+ = \gamma_{n+1}^- \in \mathcal{T}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We choose γ_0 to belong to Δ . Then $\gamma_0^- \in \delta_0$ and there exists $d_1 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $\gamma_0^+ \in \delta_{d_1}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_n \in \mathbf{H}_4$ be such that γ_n belongs to $G_n(\Delta)$ and $\gamma_n^- \in G_n(\delta_0)$. Choose $d_{n+1} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ as $\gamma_n^+ \in G_n(\delta_{d_{n+1}})$. Then by $\gamma_{n+1}^- = \gamma_n^+ \in G_n(\delta_{d_{n+1}}) = G_n R^{d_{n+1}}(\delta_0) = G_n R^{d_{n+1}} S(\delta_0)$, we have

$$G_{n+1} = G_n R^{d_{n+1}} S = G_n A_{d_{n+1}}.$$

We have for all $n \geq 1$

$$G_n = A_{d_1} A_{d_2} \cdots A_{d_n}.$$

Since γ crosses $G_n(S(\Delta))$ and then intersects $G_n(\Delta)$, we have for all $n \geq 1$

$$\gamma^+ \in G_n \cdot (0, \infty) = A_{d_1} A_{d_2} \cdots A_{d_n} \cdot (0, \infty).$$

Let $\alpha = \gamma^+$ be a positive real number. We write

$$\alpha = [d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$$

and call it the \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion of α . Check

$$[0, \infty] = \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2}\right] \cup [\sqrt{2}, \infty] = A_1 \cdot [0, \infty] \cup A_2 \cdot [0, \infty] \cup A_3 \cdot [0, \infty].$$




Figure 3: Cutting sequence along the geodesic from ∞ to $\alpha = [3, 2, 3, 1, \dots]$

Therefore, for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$[0, \infty] = \bigcup_{(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \{1, 2, 3\}^n} A_{d_1} \cdots A_{d_n} \cdot [0, \infty].$$

For any $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, we have a sequence $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that

$$\alpha \in A_{d_1} \cdots A_{d_n} \cdot [0, \infty] \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Then we write

$$\alpha = [d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots].$$

Let

$$\alpha_n = [d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, d_{n+3}, \dots].$$

Then, it's directly deduced that

$$\alpha = A_{d_1} A_{d_2} \cdots A_{d_n} \cdot \alpha_n = G_n \cdot \alpha_n \in G_n \cdot [0, \infty].$$

Note that

$$0 = [1, 1, 1, \dots] = [1^\infty], \quad 1 = [2, 2, 2, \dots] = [2^\infty], \quad \infty = [3, 3, 3, \dots] = [3^\infty].$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \cap (0, \infty)$, then there are exactly two such sequences. For examples, we have

$$[1, 3^\infty] = A_1 \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = A_2 \cdot 0 = [2, 1^\infty], \quad [2, 3^\infty] = A_2 \cdot \infty = \sqrt{2} = A_3 \cdot 0 = [3, 1^\infty].$$

Example 2.1. For $\alpha = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2\sqrt{2}}$ we check that

$$\alpha = [(323121)^\infty] = [3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 3, \dots].$$

Note that $G_1 = A_3$, $G_2 = A_3 A_2$, $G_3 = A_3 A_2 A_3$, $G_4 = A_3 A_2 A_3 A_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in G_1 \cdot (0, \infty) &= \left[\sqrt{2}, \infty \right], & \alpha \in G_2 \cdot (0, \infty) &= \left[\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}, 2\sqrt{2} \right], \\ \alpha \in G_3 \cdot (0, \infty) &= \left[\frac{7}{2\sqrt{2}}, 2\sqrt{2} \right], & \alpha \in G_4 \cdot (0, \infty) &= \left[\frac{7}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{5} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 3. For the numbers of periodic \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion, consult [3].




Figure 4: Ford circles for the Hecke group \mathbf{H}_4

3 \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations

In this section, we characterize the set of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations by using \mathbf{H}_4 -expansions. We assume that q is non-negative for $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$.

The Ford circles are circles on the upper half-plane, which are tangent to the real line at the base point at $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ with Euclidean radius $1/(2q^2)$, see Figure 4. For example, the radius of the Ford circle based at $0/1$ is $1/2$ and the radius of the Ford circle based at $1/\sqrt{2}$ is $1/4$. Two Ford circles are either tangent or disjoint. Indeed, two Ford circles based at p/q and r/s are tangent if and only if

$$|ps - rq| = 1.$$

Note that for distinct $p/q, r/s \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$, we have $ps - rq \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ or $ps - rq \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, we have $|ps - rq| \geq 1$. We note that the Ford circles are invariant under the action of \mathbf{H}_4 .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a/c, b/d \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ be numbers in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that $|ad - bc| = 1$, equivalently the Ford circles based at a/c and b/d are tangent. If $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ is between a/c and b/d , then we have*

$$q \geq c + d.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $a/c > b/d$. We prove it directly from the following calculation:

$$\frac{1}{cd} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{b}{d} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{p}{q} + \frac{p}{q} - \frac{b}{d} \geq \frac{1}{cq} + \frac{1}{dq} = \frac{d+c}{cdq}. \quad \square$$

Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots] \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Denote

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_n & v_n \\ u_n & w_n \end{pmatrix} := G_n = A_{d_1} A_{d_2} \cdots A_{d_n}$$

with the convention that $G_0 = I$. Then we have

$$\alpha = G_n \cdot \alpha_n \in G_n \cdot (0, \infty) = \left(\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Note that

$$\frac{v_n}{w_n} = [d_1, \dots, d_n, 1^\infty], \quad \frac{t_n}{u_n} = [d_1, \dots, d_n, 3^\infty].$$

Lemma 3.2. Let α be a positive real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ and $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. If

$$\frac{p}{q} \notin \left[\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n} \right] = G_n \cdot [0, \infty],$$

then

$$|q\alpha - p| > |u_n\alpha - t_n| \quad \text{and} \quad |q\alpha - p| > |w_n\alpha - v_n|.$$

In particular, if $\frac{p}{q} \notin \left[\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n} \right]$ and $q \geq \min\{u_n, w_n\}$, then p/q is not an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α .

Proof. Suppose that $v_n/w_n < \alpha < t_n/u_n < p/q$. Since $t_n w_n - v_n u_n = 1 \leq u_n p - t_n q$, we deduce that

$$t_n(w_n + q) \leq u_n(p + v_n).$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha < \frac{t_n}{u_n} \leq \frac{p + v_n}{q + w_n}.$$

Thus we have

$$0 < w_n\alpha - v_n < p - q\alpha.$$

Also since $t_n w_n - v_n u_n = 1 \leq p w_n - q v_n$, if $u_n > q$, then we have

$$\frac{t_n - p}{u_n - q} \leq \frac{v_n}{w_n} < \alpha, \quad \text{thus} \quad t_n - u_n\alpha < p - q\alpha.$$

If $u_n < q$, then we have

$$\alpha < \frac{t_n}{u_n} < \frac{p - t_n}{q - u_n}, \quad \text{thus} \quad t_n - u_n\alpha < p - q\alpha.$$

If $u_n = q$, then $t_n < p$, thus

$$t_n - u_n\alpha < p - q\alpha.$$

The proof for the case that $p/q < v_n/w_n < \alpha < t_n/u_n$ is similar. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots]$ be a real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Let $m \geq 0$ be the integer such that $d_1 = \dots = d_m = 3$ and $d_{m+1} \neq 3$. An \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation is t_n/u_n or v_n/w_n for some $n \geq m + 1$.

Proof. For $n \leq m$, we have $u_n = 0$. If $d_{m+1} = 1$, then $u_{m+1} = \sqrt{2}$, $w_{m+1} = 1$. If $d_{m+1} = 2$, then $u_{m+1} = 1$, $w_{m+1} = \sqrt{2}$. Therefore, $\min\{u_{m+1}, w_{m+1}\} = 1$.

For all $i \geq 1$, we have

$$u_{i+1} = \begin{cases} u_i + \sqrt{2}w_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 1, \\ \sqrt{2}u_i + w_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 2, \\ u_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 3, \end{cases} \quad w_{i+1} = \begin{cases} w_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 1, \\ u_i + \sqrt{2}w_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 2, \\ \sqrt{2}u_i + w_i, & \text{if } d_{i+1} = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Let $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ be such that $p/q \neq t_n/u_n$ and $p/q \neq v_n/w_n$ for all $n \geq m + 1$. By (3.2), $\min\{u_i, w_i\}$ is increasing. Then there exists $n \geq m + 1$ such that

$$\min\{u_n, w_n\} \leq q < \min\{u_{n+1}, w_{n+1}\}.$$

By using (3.2), we have

$$q < \min\{u_{n+1}, w_{n+1}\} \leq \min\{\sqrt{2}u_n + w_n, u_n + \sqrt{2}w_n\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\frac{p}{q} \notin \left(\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n + \sqrt{2}v_n}{u_n + \sqrt{2}w_n} \right) \cup \left(\frac{t_n + \sqrt{2}v_n}{u_n + \sqrt{2}w_n}, \frac{\sqrt{2}t_n + v_n}{\sqrt{2}u_n + w_n} \right) \cup \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}t_n + v_n}{\sqrt{2}u_n + w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n} \right).$$

By the assumption that $p/q \neq t_n/u_n, v_n/w_n$ and (3.3), we have

$$\frac{p}{q} \notin \left[\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n} \right].$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2, we conclude that p/q is not an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α . \square

From now on, we denote by $m = m(\alpha)$ the integer m such that $d_i = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $d_{m+1} \neq 3$, where $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots]$, as above.

Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 does not hold for general Hecke group \mathbf{H}_k . For an example, if we consider $k = 5$, then $\lambda_5 = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. One can construct the \mathbf{H}_5 -expansion in the same manner we introduced in Section 2. Note that $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda_5 \end{pmatrix}$, thus we have an expansion with four letters since $R^5 = I$. Let $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\lambda_5}{2\lambda_5+1}, \frac{\lambda_5}{\lambda_5+2} \right)$. Then we have

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_5 & \lambda_5 \\ \lambda_5 + 2 & 2\lambda_5 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, if $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\lambda_5}{2\lambda_5+1}, \frac{2}{3\lambda_5} \right)$, then $\frac{1}{2\lambda_5}$ is an \mathbf{H}_5 -best approximation of α . Indeed, there is no matrix of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 2\lambda_5 & w \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ u & 2\lambda_5 \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathbf{H}_5 satisfying that $\alpha \in \left(\frac{v}{w}, \frac{1}{2\lambda_5} \right)$ or $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_5}, \frac{t}{u} \right)$. One can see it using Ford circles of \mathbf{H}_5 . Thus, $G_n \cdot 0, G_n \cdot \infty$ are not enough to characterize \mathbf{H}_5 -best approximations.

Let

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d^\vee = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ 2, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ 3, & \text{if } d = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Then we have that

$$A_d^{-1} = HA_dH, \quad A_{d^\vee} = JA_dJ. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $G_n = \begin{pmatrix} t_n & v_n \\ u_n & w_n \end{pmatrix}$, therefore, for $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots] \in [0, \infty]$, we have

$$A_{d_n} \cdots A_{d_2} A_{d_1} = HA_{d_n}^{-1} \cdots A_{d_2}^{-1} A_{d_1}^{-1} H = HG_n^{-1}H = \begin{pmatrix} w_n & v_n \\ u_n & t_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\alpha_n^* = \frac{w_n}{u_n} = [d_n, d_{n-1}, \dots, d_1, 3^\infty]$$

for $n \geq 0$. Then

$$G_n^{-1} \cdot \alpha = \alpha_n, \quad G_n^{-1} \cdot \infty = -\alpha_n^*.$$

Therefore G_n^{-1} sends the geodesic connecting ∞ and α to the geodesic connecting $-\alpha_n^*$ and α_n . Note that $\alpha_n^* \neq 1$. See Figure 5.




Figure 5: The action of G_n^{-1} on the upper half plane \mathbb{H} . The dashed circles are Ford circles based at 0 and infinity, and the dotted line is the geodesic with end points -1 and 1 .

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots]$ be a positive real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. For $n \geq m(\alpha) + 1$, we have the following statements.*

- (i) t_n/u_n is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α if and only if $\alpha_n > 1$ or $\alpha_n^* > 1$.
- (ii) v_n/w_n is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α if and only if $\alpha_n < 1$ or $\alpha_n^* < 1$.

Proof. We prove the case (i) only since the proof for the case (ii) is similar.

Suppose that $\alpha_n^* = \frac{w_n}{u_n} > 1$. Let us consider $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that $p/q \neq t_n/u_n$ and $q \leq u_n$. Then $p/q \neq v_n/w_n$. Combining with Lemma 3.1, we deduce that p/q is not in the closed interval $[\frac{t_n}{u_n}, \frac{v_n}{w_n}]$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $|u_n\alpha - t_n| < |q\alpha - p|$. Therefore, t_n/u_n is a best approximation.

Suppose that $\alpha_n \in (1, \infty)$, which is equivalent to that $\alpha \in G_n \cdot (1, \infty) = (\frac{t_n+v_n}{u_n+w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n})$. Let us consider $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that $q \leq u_n$ and $p/q \neq t_n/u_n$. By Lemma 3.1, p/q is not in the open interval $(\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n})$. If $p/q \neq v_n/w_n$, then by Lemma 3.2, we have $|u_n\alpha - t_n| < |q\alpha - p|$. If $p/q = v_n/w_n$, then $\frac{t_n+v_n}{u_n+w_n} < \alpha$ implies that

$$|u_n\alpha - t_n| = t_n - u_n\alpha < w_n\alpha - v_n = |q\alpha - p|.$$

Therefore, t_n/u_n is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation.

Suppose that $u_n \geq w_n$ and $\alpha \in (\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n+v_n}{u_n+w_n}]$. Then

$$0 < w_n\alpha - v_n \leq t_n - u_n\alpha.$$

Therefore, we deduce that t_n/u_n is not an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation. \square

See Figure 6 for the case of $w_n < u_n$. In this case, $\frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation for $\alpha \in (\frac{v_n}{w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n})$. Moreover, $\frac{t_n}{u_n}$ is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation for $\alpha \in (\frac{t_n+v_n}{u_n+w_n}, \frac{t_n}{u_n})$. By Lemma 3.3 and 3.5, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots]$ be a positive real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ and $m = m(\alpha)$, i.e., $\alpha \in (m\sqrt{2}, (m+1)\sqrt{2})$. The set of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations of α is*

$$\left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n > 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n < 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m+1 \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$




Figure 6: The regions for α such that $\frac{v_n}{w_n}$ and $\frac{t_n}{u_n}$ are \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations for the case $\alpha_n^* = w_n/u_n > 1$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

One can rearrange the elements of the set of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations in (3.6) in order of the denominators. We denote by $\left\{\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations of α , arranged in order of the denominators, i.e., $q_i < q_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The following lemma describes expressions of p_i/q_i in terms of t_n/u_n and v_n/w_n .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\frac{p_i}{q_i}$ be an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations of α satisfying that $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{t_n}{u_n} \neq \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$, $n \geq m(\alpha) + 1$. Then we have*

$$\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \begin{cases} \frac{v_n}{w_n}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \alpha_n < 1, \\ \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \alpha_n \in [1, \sqrt{2}), \\ \frac{v_{n+1}}{w_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \alpha_n \in (1, \sqrt{2}). \end{cases}$$

Similarly, if $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{t_n}{u_n} \neq \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$, $n \geq m + 1$, then we have

$$\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \begin{cases} \frac{t_n}{u_n}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \alpha_n > 1, \\ \frac{v_{n+1}}{w_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \alpha_n \in \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1\right], \\ \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \alpha_n \in \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1\right). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{t_n}{u_n}$, $n \geq m + 1$. We may assume that $\frac{t_n}{u_n} > \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$. Then $d_{n+1} \in \{1, 2\}$ and we have $\alpha_n = [d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots] < \sqrt{2}$. Thus Proposition 3.6 implies that there are three cases as follows:

(i) If $\alpha_n^* > 1$ and $\alpha_n < 1$, then $d_{n+1} \in \{2, 3\}$, thus by (3.2), we have

$$u_n < w_n \leq \min\{u_{n+1}, w_{n+1}\}$$

by Proposition 3.6, $\frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation. Therefore, we deduce $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$.

(ii) If $\alpha_n^* > 1$ and $\alpha_n \in [1, \sqrt{2})$, then $d_{n+1} = 2$, thus by (3.2), we have

$$u_n < w_n < u_{n+1} < w_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1}^* = \frac{w_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}} > 1.$$

By Proposition 3.6, $\frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is not an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation and $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$.

(iii) If $\alpha_n^* < 1$ and $\alpha_n \in (1, \sqrt{2})$, then $d_{n+1} = 2$, thus by (3.2), we have

$$w_n < u_n < w_{n+1} < u_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1}^* = \frac{w_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}} < 1.$$

By Proposition 3.6, the next \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation is $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{v_{n+1}}{w_{n+1}}$.

The case of $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is symmetric. □

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$\frac{1}{2} < q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| < \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2}.$$

Proof. Since $\alpha = G_n \cdot \alpha_n$ as in (3.1), we have

$$|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \left| \frac{u_n(t_n\alpha_n + v_n)}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} - t_n \right| = \frac{|u_nv_n - w_nt_n|}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{1}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n}. \quad (4.1)$$

We consider the case $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{t_n}{u_n} \neq \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$. Using Lemma 4.1, we distinguish three cases:

(i) If $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$, then $\alpha_n^* > 1$ and $\alpha_n < 1$. Then we have

$$q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| = w_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{w_n}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{\alpha_n^*}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*},$$

thus

$$\frac{1}{2} < q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| < 1.$$

(ii) If $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$, then $\alpha_n^* > 1$ and $\alpha_n \in [1, \sqrt{2})$. Then we have $d_{n+1} = 2$, which implies

$$q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| = u_{n+1}|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{\sqrt{2}u_n + w_n}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{\sqrt{2} + \alpha_n^*}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*}. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus,

$$1 < q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| < \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2}.$$

(iii) If $\frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{v_{n+1}}{w_{n+1}}$, then $\alpha_n^* < 1$ and $\alpha_n \in (1, \sqrt{2})$. Thus we have $d_{n+1} = 2$, which implies

$$q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| = w_{n+1}|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{u_n + \sqrt{2}w_n}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_n^*}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < q_{i+1}|q_i\alpha - p_i| < \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2}.$$

The proof for the case $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is symmetric. □

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of the classical Diophantine approximation property on \mathbf{H}_3 .

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\min_{\substack{p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \\ 1 \leq q < q_i}} |q\alpha - p| = |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}|.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that $1 \leq q < q_i$ and $|q\alpha - p| < |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}|$. Since p_{i-1}/q_{i-1} is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation, we have $q_{i-1} < q$ and q is minimal with these properties. Then p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation, which contradicts that $\{p_i/q_i\}$ is the set of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let N be a real number. Choose $i \in \mathbb{N}$ as $q_{i-1} \leq N < q_i$. Then, by Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\min_{\substack{p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \\ 1 \leq q \leq N}} |q\alpha - p| = |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}| < \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{q_i} < \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{N}.$$

Therefore, (1.3) is satisfied with $p/q = p_{i-1}/q_{i-1}$. \square

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the classical Diophantine approximation on \mathbf{H}_3 . Recall that $K(\alpha)$ is defined in (1.4).

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$K(\alpha) = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} q_i |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}|.$$

Proof. Let

$$S = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} q_i |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}|.$$

Then, by Lemma 4.3, for any $\epsilon > 0$, for a sufficiently large N with $q_{i-1} \leq N < q_i$, we have

$$\min_{\substack{p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \\ 1 \leq q \leq N}} |q\alpha - p| = |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}| < \frac{S + \epsilon}{q_i} < \frac{S + \epsilon}{N}.$$

Therefore, (1.4) is satisfied for $p/q = p_{i-1}/q_{i-1}$ with $K = S + \epsilon$. Hence, $K(\alpha) \leq S$.

On the other hand, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist arbitrary large i 's such that

$$q_i |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}| > S - \epsilon.$$

Choose $N = q_{i-1}$. Then, by Lemma 4.3,

$$\min_{\substack{p/q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \\ 1 \leq q \leq N}} |q\alpha - p| = |q_{i-1}\alpha - p_{i-1}| > \frac{S - \epsilon}{q_i} = \frac{S - \epsilon}{N}.$$

Therefore, (1.4) has no solution for $K = S - \epsilon$, which implies $K(\alpha) \geq S$. Hence, $K(\alpha) = S$. \square

Example 4.5. (1) Let $\alpha = 1 = [2, 2, 2, \dots]$. Then $G_n = A_n^2$ and $\alpha_n = [2, 2, 2, \dots] = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\alpha_n^* = [2, 2, \dots, 2, 3^\infty] > 1$ and $\alpha_n^* \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (4.2), we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} q_{i+1} |q_i \alpha - p_i| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n+1} |u_n \alpha - t_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}u_n + w_n}{u_n + w_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} + \alpha_n^*}{1 + \alpha_n^*} = \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2}.$$

By Lemma 4.4,

$$K(\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2}.$$

(2) For

$$\alpha = \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2\sqrt{2}} = [(323121)^\infty] = [3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 3, \dots],$$

we have $m = 1$ (which is defined in Lemma 3.3) and $\alpha_2, \alpha_6 > 1$, $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 < 1$, $\alpha_2^*, \alpha_3^* > 1$ and $\alpha_4^*, \alpha_5^*, \alpha_6^* < 1$. We have $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+6}$ and $\alpha_i^* = \alpha_{i+6}^*$. Since

$$G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} & 3 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} & 7 \\ 1 & 2\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 9\sqrt{2} & 7 \\ 5 & 2\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 25 & 16\sqrt{2} \\ 9\sqrt{2} & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 57 & 16\sqrt{2} \\ 16\sqrt{2} & 9 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have the sequence of \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations as

$$\frac{t_2}{u_2} = \frac{t_3}{u_3} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1}, \quad \frac{v_3}{w_3} = \frac{v_4}{w_4} = \frac{7}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{v_5}{w_5} = \frac{v_6}{w_6} = \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{9}, \quad \frac{t_6}{u_6} = \frac{57}{16\sqrt{2}}, \dots$$

5 Rosen and dual Rosen continued fraction expansion

In this section, we discuss the relations between the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations and the convergents of Rosen and dual Rosen continued fractions.

Let us define the Rosen continued fraction map $f : (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \rightarrow (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{|x - a_0\sqrt{2}|} \quad \text{where } a_0(x) \text{ satisfies } a_0\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < x < a_0\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (5.1)$$

and let $\epsilon_1(x) \in \{-1, +1\}$ be the sign of $x - a_0(x)\sqrt{2}$. Define $a_i = a_0(f^i(x))$ and $\epsilon_{i+1} = \epsilon_1(f^i(x))$ for $i \geq 1$. Then the Rosen continued fraction of x is given by

$$x = \llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \epsilon_2/a_2, \dots \rrbracket \quad \text{with } a_i + \epsilon_{i+1} \geq 1 \text{ for } i \geq 0.$$

We note that $f(\llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \epsilon_2/a_2, \dots \rrbracket) = \llbracket a_1; \epsilon_2/a_2, \epsilon_3/a_3, \dots \rrbracket$. See also [2, 6] for the Rosen continued fraction map on the interval which is conjugate of f .

The expansion of the Rosen continued fraction is given by composition of the matrices A_1J , A_2 , A_3 corresponding to the linear fractional maps

$$A_1J \cdot \alpha = JA_3 \cdot \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \alpha}, \quad A_2 \cdot \alpha = \sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \alpha}, \quad A_3 \cdot \alpha = \sqrt{2} + \alpha. \quad (5.2)$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ defined as in (3.4). We modify G_n to express it as a product of A_1J , A_2 , A_3 . Let

$$M_n = \begin{cases} G_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \\ G_nJ, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_n = \begin{cases} \alpha_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \\ J \cdot \alpha_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Thus, $\alpha = M_n \cdot \alpha'_n$. Note that $M_n \cdot \infty$ chooses the one with the smaller denominator between t_n/u_n and v_n/w_n . We have the following recurrence relation.

Lemma 5.1. *For each $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\alpha'_n = \begin{cases} A_1J \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha'_n \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}), \\ A_2 \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha'_n \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2}), \\ A_3 \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha'_n \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. We note that $\alpha_1^* < 1$ if and only if $d_1 = 1$. Therefore, the lemma holds for $n = 0$. Since $\alpha_n = A_{d_{n+1}} \cdot \alpha_{n+1}$, by (3.5), we have for $n \geq 0$

$$\alpha'_n = \begin{cases} A_{d_{n+1}} \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \alpha_{n+1}^* > 1, \\ A_{d_{n+1}}J \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* > 1, \alpha_{n+1}^* < 1, \\ JA_{d_{n+1}} \cdot \alpha'_{n+1} = A_{d_{n+1}}^\vee J \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \alpha_{n+1}^* > 1, \\ JA_{d_{n+1}}J \cdot \alpha'_{n+1} = A_{d_{n+1}}^\vee \cdot \alpha'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \alpha_n^* < 1, \alpha_{n+1}^* < 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha'_n \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, then $d_{n+1} = 1$, $\alpha_n^* > 1$ or $d_{n+1} = 3$, $\alpha_n^* < 1$, thus $\alpha_{n+1}^* < 1$, $\alpha_n^* > 1$ or $\alpha_{n+1}^* > 1$, $\alpha_n^* < 1$. If $\alpha'_n \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2})$, then $d_{n+1} = 2$, thus $\alpha_{n+1}^* < 1$, $\alpha_n^* < 1$ or $\alpha_{n+1}^* > 1$, $\alpha_n^* > 1$. If $\alpha'_n \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty)$, then $d_{n+1} = 3$, $\alpha_n^* > 1$ or $d_{n+1} = 1$, $\alpha_n^* < 1$, thus $\alpha_{n+1}^* > 1$, $\alpha_n^* > 1$ or $\alpha_{n+1}^* < 1$, $\alpha_n^* < 1$. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let α be a positive real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ and $m = m(\alpha)$. The set of the convergents of the Rosen continued fraction expansion of α satisfies that*

$$\left\{ \frac{r_i}{s_i} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid i \geq 0 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m+1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ such that $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Let α have the Rosen continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = \llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \epsilon_2/a_2, \dots \rrbracket.$$

Note that $a_i \geq 2$ if $\epsilon_{i+1} = -1$ for $i \geq 1$. Let

$$\varphi(\llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \epsilon_2/a_2, \dots \rrbracket) = \begin{cases} \llbracket a_1; \epsilon_2/a_2, \epsilon_3/a_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } a_0 = 1, \epsilon_1 = +1, \\ \llbracket a_1; \epsilon_2/a_2, \epsilon_3/a_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } a_0 = 2, \epsilon_1 = -1, \\ \llbracket a_0 - 1; \epsilon_1/a_1, \epsilon_2/a_2, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Then, from (5.2), we have

$$\varphi^n(\alpha + \sqrt{2}) = \alpha'_n + \sqrt{2}. \quad (5.6)$$

If $\epsilon_1 = +1$, then $m = a_0$ and $d_{m+1} = 1$, and if $\epsilon_1 = -1$, then $m = a_0 - 1$ and $d_{m+1} = 2$. Thus $\alpha = A_3^m A_1 J \cdot \alpha'_{m+1}$ if $\epsilon_1 = +1$, and $\alpha = A_3^m A_2 \cdot \alpha'_{m+1}$ if $\epsilon_1 = -1$. From (5.6), we have

$$\alpha'_{m+1} = \llbracket a_1 - 1; \epsilon_2/a_2, \epsilon_3/a_3, \dots \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad f(\alpha + \sqrt{2}) = \alpha'_{m+1} + \sqrt{2} = \varphi^{m+1}(\alpha + \sqrt{2}). \quad (5.7)$$

Let $m_i = m(\llbracket a_i; \epsilon_{i+1}/a_{i+1}, \epsilon_{i+2}/a_{i+2}, \dots \rrbracket)$ for $i \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$m_i = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } \epsilon_{i+1} = +1, \\ a_i - 1, & \text{if } \epsilon_{i+1} = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then $m_0 \geq 0$ and $m_i \geq 1$ for $i \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, there exist $i \geq 0$ and b such that

$$n = m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_{i-1} + b, \quad 1 \leq b \leq m_i.$$

By (5.7), we have

$$\alpha'_n = \llbracket a_i - b; \epsilon_{i+1}/a_{i+1}, \epsilon_{i+2}/a_{i+2}, \dots \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = M_n \cdot \alpha'_n = a_0 \sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{\dots + \frac{\epsilon_i}{a_{i-1} \sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon_i}{b \sqrt{2} + \alpha'_n}}},$$

thus $M_n \cdot \infty = \llbracket a_0; \epsilon_1/a_1, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}/a_{i-1} \rrbracket = \frac{r_{i-1}}{s_{i-1}}$. Therefore, the set of convergent of the Rosen continued fraction $\frac{r_i}{s_i}$ for $i \geq 0$ is

$$\left\{ \frac{r_i}{s_i} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid i \geq 0 \right\} = \{M_n \cdot \infty \mid n \geq m + 1\}.$$

From (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{M_n \cdot \infty \mid n \geq m + 1\} &= \{G_n \cdot \infty \mid \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m + 1\} \cup \{G_n \cdot 0 \mid \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m + 1\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m + 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \mid \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us define the dual Rosen continued fraction map $\tilde{f} : [1, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4) \rightarrow [1, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{|x - \tilde{a}_0 \sqrt{2}|}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{a}_0 = \tilde{a}_0(x) \text{ satisfies } (\tilde{a}_0 - 1)\sqrt{2} + 1 \leq \alpha < \tilde{a}_0 \sqrt{2} + 1. \quad (5.8)$$

Let $\tilde{\epsilon}_1(x) \in \{-1, +1\}$ be the sign of $x - \tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2}$. Define $\tilde{a}_i(x) = \tilde{a}_0 \left(\tilde{f}^i(x) \right)$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_{i+1}(x) = \tilde{\epsilon}_1 \left(\tilde{f}^i(x) \right)$ for $i \geq 1$. Then we have the dual Rosen continued fraction expansion

$$x = \llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket,$$

where $\tilde{a}_i \geq 1$ and $\tilde{a}_i \geq 2$ if $\tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1$. Let

$$N_n = \begin{cases} G_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n > 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* > 1, \\ G_n J, & \text{if } \alpha_n < 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* < 1, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\alpha}'_n = \begin{cases} \alpha_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n > 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* > 1, \\ J \cdot \alpha_n, & \text{if } \alpha_n < 1 \text{ or } \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* < 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Then $\alpha = N_n \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_n$ and we have the following recurrence relation.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $[d_1, d_2, \dots]$ be the \mathbf{H}_4 -expansion of α . We have for $n \geq 0$,*

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_n = \begin{cases} A_2 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}'_n \in [1, \sqrt{2}), \\ JA_1 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}'_n \in (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} + 1), \\ A_3 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}, & \text{if } \tilde{\alpha}'_n \in [\sqrt{2} + 1, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{\alpha}'_n \in [1, \sqrt{2})$. Then $\alpha_n \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2})$ and $d_{n+1} = 2$. If $\alpha_n > 1$, then $\alpha_{n+1} > 1$, if $\alpha_n < 1$, then $\alpha_{n+1} < 1$, if $\alpha_n = 1$, then $\alpha_{n+1} = 1$ and $\alpha_n^* > 1$ is equivalent to $\alpha_{n+1}^* > 1$. Therefore, by (3.5) and (5.9)

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_n = A_2 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}.$$

If $\tilde{\alpha}'_n \in (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} + 1)$, then $\alpha_n \in (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} + 1) = A_3 \cdot (0, 1)$, which implies $\alpha_{n+1} \in (0, 1)$, or $\alpha_n \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = A_1 \cdot (1, \infty)$, which implies $\alpha_{n+1} \in (1, \infty)$. Therefore, by (3.5) and (5.9)

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_n = JA_1 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}.$$

If $\tilde{\alpha}'_n \in [\sqrt{2} + 1, \infty)$, then $\alpha_n \in [\sqrt{2} + 1, \infty) = A_3 \cdot [1, \infty)$, which implies $\alpha_{n+1} \in [1, \infty)$, $\alpha_{n+1}^* > 1$ or $\alpha_n \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1}] = A_1 \cdot (0, 1]$, which implies $\alpha_{n+1} \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha_{n+1}^* < 1$. Therefore, by (3.5) and (5.9)

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_n = A_3 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{n+1}. \quad \square$$

By Lemma 5.3, N_n is a product of the matrices JA_1, A_2, A_3 corresponding to the linear fractional maps

$$JA_1 \cdot \alpha = A_3 J \cdot \alpha = \sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad A_2 \cdot \alpha = \sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 \cdot \alpha = \sqrt{2} + \alpha.$$

Note that

$$\llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket = \begin{cases} A_2 \cdot \llbracket \tilde{a}_1 - 1; \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_3/\tilde{a}_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } \tilde{a}_0 = 1, \tilde{\epsilon}_1 = -1, \\ JA_1 \cdot \llbracket \tilde{a}_1; \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_3/\tilde{a}_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } \tilde{a}_0 = 1, \tilde{\epsilon}_1 = +1, \\ A_3 \cdot \llbracket \tilde{a}_0 - 1; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let α be a positive real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$. Then we have*

$$\left\{ \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \mid i \geq 1 \right\} \subset \left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \mid \alpha_n > 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \mid \alpha_n < 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \subset \left\{ \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \mid i \geq 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{r_0}{s_0} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ be a real number not in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ with the dual Rosen continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = \llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket,$$

where $\tilde{a}_i \geq 1$ and $\tilde{a}_i \geq 2$ if $\tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1$ for $i \geq 1$. We check $\alpha \in [(\tilde{a}_0 - 1)\sqrt{2} + 1, \tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2} + 1)$. By Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} A_3^{\tilde{a}_0-1} A_2 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{\tilde{a}_0}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [(\tilde{a}_0 - 1)\sqrt{2} + 1, \tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2}), \\ A_3^{\tilde{a}_0-1} J A_1 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_{\tilde{a}_0}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2}, \tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2} + 1). \end{cases}$$

Thus, using (5.11), we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_{\tilde{a}_0} = \begin{cases} \llbracket \tilde{a}_1 - 1; \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_3/\tilde{a}_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } \tilde{\epsilon}_1 = -1, \\ \llbracket \tilde{a}_1; \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_3/\tilde{a}_3, \dots \rrbracket, & \text{if } \tilde{\epsilon}_1 = +1. \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

Let

$$\tilde{m}_0 = \tilde{a}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{m}_i = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_i - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{\epsilon}_i = -1, \\ \tilde{a}_i, & \text{if } \tilde{\epsilon}_i = +1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

We note that $\tilde{m}_i \geq 1$ for $i \geq 0$. For each $n \geq 1$, we have $i \geq 0$ and \tilde{b} satisfying that

$$n = \tilde{m}_0 + \tilde{m}_1 + \dots + \tilde{m}_i - \tilde{b} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \tilde{b} \leq \tilde{m}_i.$$

By (5.12), we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}'_n = \llbracket \tilde{b}; \tilde{\epsilon}_{i+1}/\tilde{a}_{i+1}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{i+2}/\tilde{a}_{i+2}, \dots \rrbracket, \quad \alpha = N_n \cdot \tilde{\alpha}'_n = \tilde{a}_0\sqrt{2} + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_1}{\dots + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_i}{\tilde{a}_{i-1}\sqrt{2} + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_i}{(\tilde{a}_i - \tilde{b})\sqrt{2} + \tilde{\alpha}'_n}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$N_n \cdot \infty = \llbracket \tilde{a}_0; \tilde{\epsilon}_1/\tilde{a}_1, \epsilon_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_{i-1}/\tilde{a}_{i-1} \rrbracket = \frac{\tilde{r}_{i-1}}{\tilde{s}_{i-1}}.$$

Recall that $\frac{\tilde{r}_{i-1}}{\tilde{s}_{i-1}}$ is the $(i-1)$ -th convergent of the dual Rosen continued fraction of α .

By (5.1) and (5.8), if $a_0 = \frac{r_0}{s_0} \neq \frac{\tilde{r}_0}{\tilde{s}_0} = \tilde{a}_0$, then $\alpha \in \left(m\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, m\sqrt{2} + 1\right)$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then we have the dual Rosen continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = \llbracket m; +1/\tilde{a}_1, \epsilon_2/\tilde{a}_2, \dots \rrbracket \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\alpha}'_m = \llbracket \tilde{a}_1; \tilde{\epsilon}_2/\tilde{a}_2, \epsilon_3/\tilde{a}_3, \dots \rrbracket \in (1, \sqrt{2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{a}_0 = m = \tilde{m}_0, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_1 = +1, \quad \tilde{a}_1 = 1 = \tilde{m}_1, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_2 = -1.$$

If $n \geq m + 1 = \tilde{m}_0 + \tilde{m}_1$, then we have

$$N_n \cdot \infty = \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \quad \text{for some } i \geq 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$\{N_n \cdot \infty \mid n \geq m + 1\} = \left\{ \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \mid i \geq 1 \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{N_n \cdot \infty \mid n \geq m + 1\} = \left\{ \frac{\tilde{r}_i}{\tilde{s}_i} \mid i \geq 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{r_0}{s_0} \right\}.$$

By (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{N_n \cdot \infty \mid n \geq m+1\} &= \{G_n \cdot \infty \mid \alpha_n > 1, n \geq m+1\} \cup \{G_n \cdot \infty \mid \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m+1\} \\
&\quad \cup \{G_n J \cdot \infty \mid \alpha_n < 1, n \geq m+1\} \cup \{G_n J \cdot \infty \mid \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m+1\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \mid \alpha_n > 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{t_n}{u_n} \mid \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* > 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \\
&\quad \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \mid \alpha_n < 1, n \geq m+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{v_n}{w_n} \mid \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_n^* < 1, n \geq m+1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It is a direct consequence of Propositions 3.6, 5.2 and 5.4. \square

6 Properties of the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations

We first give the bound of asymptotic approximation for the \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations.

Theorem 6.1. *Let p/q be an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α . Then we have*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

Moreover, if p/q is a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction and the dual Rosen continued fraction, then

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2}.$$

If p/q is not a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction or p/q is not a convergent of the dual Rosen continued fraction, then

$$\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2}+1)q^2} < \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. We first consider the case that $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{t_n}{u_n}$. By Proposition 3.6, $\alpha_n^* > 1$ or $\alpha_n > 1$. By (4.1), we have

$$q|q\alpha - p| = u_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{u_n}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*} < 1.$$

By Propositions 5.2 and 5.4, if $\frac{p}{q}$ is a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction and the dual Rosen continued fraction, then $\alpha_n^* > 1$ and $\alpha_n > 1$.

$$q|q\alpha - p| = u_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Suppose that $\frac{p}{q}$ is not a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction. Then choose n as $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{t_n}{u_n} \neq \frac{t_{n+1}}{u_{n+1}}$. Thus $d_{n+1} \in \{1, 2\}$ and Proposition 5.2 implies $\alpha_n^* < 1$ and $\alpha_n \in (1, \sqrt{2})$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1} < u_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*} < 1.$$

When $\frac{p}{q}$ is not a convergent of the dual Rosen continued fraction, choose n as $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{t_n}{u_n} \neq \frac{t_{n-1}}{u_{n-1}}$. Thus $d_n \in \{1, 2\}$ and Proposition 5.4 implies $\alpha_n^* \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ and $\alpha_n < 1$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1} < u_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*} < 1.$$

Suppose that $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$. Then $\alpha_n^* < 1$ or $\alpha_n < 1$. By $\alpha = G_n \cdot \alpha_n$, we have

$$q|q\alpha - p| = w_n|w_n\alpha - v_n| = \frac{w_n\alpha_n}{u_n\alpha_n + w_n} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_n^*)^{-1} + (\alpha_n)^{-1}} < 1. \quad (6.2)$$

If $\frac{p}{q}$ is a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction and the dual Rosen continued fraction, then $\alpha_n^* < 1$ and $\alpha_n < 1$.

$$q|q\alpha - p| = w_n|w_n\alpha - v_n| = \frac{1}{(\alpha_n^*)^{-1} + (\alpha_n)^{-1}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

If $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is not a convergent of Rosen continued fraction or not a convergent of the dual Rosen continued fraction, then, in a similar way to case $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{t_n}{u_n}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} < u_n|u_n\alpha - t_n| = \frac{1}{(\alpha_n^*)^{-1} + (\alpha_n)^{-1}} < 1.$$

□

Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, \dots]$ be a real number given by

$$d_n = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } 4^i \leq n < 2 \cdot 4^i, \\ 2, & \text{if } 2 \cdot 4^i \leq n < 3 \cdot 4^i, \\ 1, & \text{if } 3 \cdot 4^i \leq n < 4^{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$n_i = 3 \cdot 4^i - 2, \quad n'_i = 2 \cdot 4^i - 1.$$

Then $\alpha_{n_i}, \alpha_{n'_i} \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1)$ and $\alpha_{n_i}^*, \alpha_{n'_i}^* > 1$.

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i}^* = 1, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n'_i}^* = \infty, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n'_i} = 1.$$

Therefore, by (6.2), we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_i} |w_{n_i}\alpha - v_{n_i}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{n'_i} |w_{n'_i}\alpha - v_{n'_i}| = 1.$$

It implies that the constants in (6.1) are optimal. Note that for the case p/q is the convergent of the Rosen continued fraction, Theorem 6.1 was shown in [14, Proposition 12].

Next we state and prove Legendre's theorem associated with \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximations.

Theorem 6.2. *If*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2}, \quad (6.3)$$

then p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation of α . Moreover, the constant $1/2$ is the optimal value.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $r/s \neq p/q$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H}_4)$ satisfying

$$|s\alpha - r| \leq |q\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{2q}.$$

Then,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{r}{s} \right| < \frac{1}{2sq}.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{r}{s} - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{r}{s} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2sq} + \frac{1}{2q^2} = \frac{s+q}{2sq^2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \frac{r}{s} - \frac{p}{q} \right| = \frac{|rq - sp|}{sq} \geq \frac{1}{sq}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{sq} < \frac{s+q}{2sq^2}.$$

Thus, $q < s$. Thus, p/q is an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation.

Let $\alpha = [d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ be the real number given by

$$d_n = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } n = 3^i \text{ for some } i \geq 0, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\alpha_n > 1$ and $\alpha_n^* > 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, $\frac{v_n}{w_n}$ is not an \mathbf{H}_4 -best approximation for $n \geq 1$. Moreover, for $n_i = 2 \cdot 3^i$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i} = 1, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i}^* = 1.$$

We have a subsequential limit of (6.2) along $\{n_i\}$ as

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_i} |w_{n_i} \alpha - v_{n_i}| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha_{n_i}^*)^{-1} + (\alpha_{n_i})^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the constant $1/2$ in (6.3) cannot be replaced by any larger number. \square

Remark 6.3. Combined with (6.1), we deduce that if

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2} + 1)q^2},$$

then p/q is a convergent of the Rosen continued fraction. This is stated in [14, Proposition 13].

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Yann Bugeaud for his helpful comments.

A.B. acknowledges the support by Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio de Giorgi, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa and UniCredit Bank R&D division for financial support under the project ‘Dynamics and Information Research Institute-Quantum Information (Teoria dell’Informazione), Quantum Technologies’. A.B. would like to thank Centro de Giorgi for the excellent working conditions and the research travel support. D.K. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2018R1A2B6001624, RS-2023-00245719). S.L. was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R003-D1) and BK21 SNU Mathematical Sciences Division.

References

- [1] Yann Bugeaud, Pascal Hubert, and Thomas Schmidt, *Transcendence with Rosen continued fractions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 1, 39–51, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/355. MR2998829
- [2] Robert Burton, Cornelis Kraaikamp, and Thomas Schmidt, *Natural extensions for the Rosen fractions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **352** (2000), no. 3, 1277–1298.
- [3] Byungchul Cha and Dong Han Kim, *Number theoretical properties of Romik’s dynamical system*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **57** (2020), no. 1, 251–274, DOI 10.4134/BKMS.b190163. MR4060196

- [4] ———, *Intrinsic Diophantine approximation on the unit circle and its Lagrange spectrum*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **73** (2023), no. 1, 101–161, DOI 10.5802/aif.3522 (English, with English and French summaries). MR4588926
- [5] ———, *Intrinsic Diophantine approximation on circles and spheres*, Mathematika **70** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. e12228, 31. MR4687747
- [6] Karma Dajani, Cor Kraaikamp, and Wolfgang Steiner, *Metrical theory for α -Rosen fractions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), no. 6, 1259–1283, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/181. MR2557135
- [7] H. Davenport and Wolfgang M. Schmidt, *Dirichlet's theorem on diophantine approximation*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IV (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69), Academic Press, London, 1970, pp. 113–132. MR0272722
- [8] Andrew Haas and Caroline Series, *The Hurwitz constant and Diophantine approximation on Hecke groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **34** (1986), no. 2, 219–234, DOI 10.1112/jlms/s2-34.2.219. MR856507
- [9] Elise Hanson, Adam Merberg, Christopher Towse, and Elena Yudovina, *Generalized continued fractions and orbits under the action of Hecke triangle groups*, Acta Arith. **134** (2008), no. 4, 337–348, DOI 10.4064/aa134-4-4. MR2449157
- [10] Dong Han Kim, Seul Bee Lee, and Lingmin Liao, *Odd-odd continued fraction algorithm*, Monatsh. Math. **198** (2022), no. 2, 323–344, DOI 10.1007/s00605-022-01704-2. MR4421912
- [11] Dong Han Kim and Deokwon Sim, *The Markoff and Lagrange spectra on the Hecke group H_4* (2022), available at [arXiv:2206.05441](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.05441) [math.NT] .
- [12] Hans Günther Kopetzky, *Über das Approximationsspektrum des Einheitskreises*, Monatsh. Math. **100** (1985), no. 3, 211–213, DOI 10.1007/BF01299268 (German, with English summary). MR0812612
- [13] J. Lehner, *Diophantine approximation on Hecke groups*, Glasgow Math. J. **27** (1985), 117–127, DOI 10.1017/S0017089500006121. MR0819833
- [14] Hitoshi Nakada, *Continued fractions, geodesic flows and Ford circles*, Algorithms, fractals, and dynamics (Okayama/Kyoto, 1992), Plenum, New York, 1995, pp. 179–191. MR1402490
- [15] ———, *On the Lenstra constant associated to the Rosen continued fractions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 1, 55–70, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/189. MR2578603
- [16] L. Alayne Parson, *Normal congruence subgroups of the Hecke groups $G(2^{(1/2)})$ and $G(3^{(1/2)})$* , Pacific J. Math. **70** (1977), no. 2, 481–487. MR0491507
- [17] David Rosen, *A class of continued fractions associated with certain properly discontinuous groups*, Duke Math. J. **21** (1954), 549–563. MR0065632
- [18] David Rosen and Thomas A. Schmidt, *Hecke groups and continued fractions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), no. 3, 459–474, DOI 10.1017/S0004972700012120. MR1190349
- [19] Asmus L. Schmidt, *Minimum of quadratic forms with respect to Fuchsian groups. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 341–368, DOI 10.1515/crll.1976.286-287.341. MR0457358
- [20] F. Schweiger, *Continued fractions with odd and even partial quotients*, Arbeitsber. Math. Inst. Univ. Salzburg **4** (1982), 59–70.
- [21] ———, *On the approximation by continued fractions with odd and even partial quotients*, Arbeitsber. Math. Inst. Univ. Salzburg **1** (1984), no. 2, 105–114.
- [22] Caroline Series, *The Markoff spectrum in the Hecke group G_5* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988), no. 1, 151–181, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-57.1.151. MR0940433
- [23] Ian Short, *Ford circles, continued fractions, and rational approximation*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 2, 130–135, DOI 10.4169/amer.math.monthly.118.02.130. MR2795580
- [24] Ian Short and Mairi Walker, *Even-integer continued fractions and the Farey tree*, Symmetries in graphs, maps, and polytopes, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 159, Springer, [Cham], 2016, pp. 287–300, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-30451-9_15. MR3516227
- [25] ———, *Geodesic Rosen continued fractions*, Q. J. Math. **67** (2016), no. 4, 519–549, DOI 10.1093/qmath/haw025. MR3609844
- [26] L. Ya. Vulakh, *The Markov spectra for triangle groups*, J. Number Theory **67** (1997), no. 1, 11–28, DOI 10.1006/jnth.1997.2181. MR1485425

A. Bakhtawar, CENTRO DI RICERCA MATEMATICA ENNIO DE GIORGI, SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAV-
ALIERI 3, 56126 PISA, ITALY AND
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. SNIADKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
E-mail address: `ayreena.bakhtawar@sns.it, abakhtawar@impan.pl`

D.H. Kim, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY - SEOUL, 30 PILDONG-RO 1-GIL, JUNG-
GU, SEOUL, 04620 KOREA
E-mail address: `kim2010@dgu.ac.kr`

S.B. Lee, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1, GWANAK-RO, GWANAK-GU,
SEOUL 08826, KOREA
E-mail address: `seulbee.lee@snu.ac.kr`