

# Novel Constructions of 2-D Golay Complementary Array Sets of Flexible Sizes

Zhaoyu Zhang, Xiaoyu Chen, Yuqiong Du, and Luyi Zheng

**Abstract**—One-dimensional (1-D) Golay complementary sets (GCSs) possess numerous well-known properties and have achieved extensive use in communication engineering. The concept of 1-D GCSs can be extended to two-dimensional (2-D) Golay complementary array sets (GCASs). The letter proposes two constructions of 2-D GCASs based on two-dimensional extended generalized Boolean functions, where the set sizes and matrix parameters are flexible. The set size of GCASs with an array size of  $b^m \times b^n$  in the letter can reach  $N^{m+n+1}$ , which has not been cited in the existing literature. Both structures are assembled directly and are not restricted by the parameters of other existing sequences, thus expanding the theoretical and applicative boundaries of Golay complementary array sets.

**Index Terms**—Golay complementary sets (GCSs), Golay complementary array sets (GCASs), 2-D extended generalized Boolean functions (EGBFs)

## I. INTRODUCTION

COMPLEMENTARY sequence pairs/sets have found many applications in science and engineering, particularly in wireless communication and signal processing. The concept of the one-dimensional Golay complementary sequence was first introduced by M. J. Golay in 1961 [1]. Subsequently, in [2], the concept of Golay complementary pairs was extended to Golay complementary sets with different set sizes. In 1999, Davis and Jedwab used generalized Boolean functions to construct binary Golay complementary pairs (GCPs) directly [3]. In 2000, Paterson extended the results to the case of  $q$ -ary (where  $q$  is even) GCPs [4]. The result, based on the generalized Boolean functions introduced in [3] and [4], is referred to as the Golay-Davis-Jedwab (GDJ) pair, providing a significant contribution. Later, extensive research was conducted on several types of direct construction of Golay complementary sequences (GCSs) in references [5]–[8], etc.

The GCPs and GCSs mentioned above can be extended to two-dimensional (2-D) arrays to broaden their application range, which are referred to as two-dimensional Golay complementary array pairs (GCAPs) [9], [10], and two-dimensional Golay complementary array sets (GCASs) [11], [12], respectively. GCAPs and GCASs have zero aperiodic autocorrelation sums at other positions besides the zero shift. GCASs can

This work of Z. Zhang was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61601399, in part by Hebei Natural Science Foundation under Grant F2021203078, and in part by Science Research Project of Hebei Education Department under Grant ZD2022026.

Zhaoyu Zhang, Xiaoyu Chen, Yuqiong Du and Luyi Zheng are with the School of Information Science and Engineer, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China, and also with the Hebei Key Laboratory of Information Transmission and Signal Processing, Qinhuangdao 066004, China (e-mail: zhangzhaoyu176@163.com; chenxiaoyu@ysu.edu.cn; 17745797003@163.com; nanyoujiayu1999@163.com).

be used as precoding matrices [13] in large-scale multi-input multi-output (MIMO) communication systems with uniform rectangular array (URA) configurations for omnidirectional transmission [10], [14].

To date, the constructions of most 2-D GCAPs or GCASs still rely on indirect construction methods [15], [16], which may be infeasible for quickly generating array sets. Pai and Chen proposed 2-D GCAPs and GCASs constructions based on the 2-D GBFs in [9] and [11]. In [17], direct constructions based on 2D-MVFs are presented. Later, Men proposed new GCASs structures in [18], which use two-dimensional extended generalized Boolean functions (which can be seen as a two-dimensional extension of 1-D GBFs [8]) to construct  $(N^k, b^{m_1}, b^{m_2})$ -GCASs and  $(N_1^{k_1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^m, b_2^n)$ -GCASs. Recently, in [12], by truncating certain arrays generated by the 2-D EGBFs, new two-dimensional GCAS constructions are proposed.

The direct construction offers high flexibility, enabling the generation of more diverse and adaptable sequences. In particular, the proposed constructions based on 2-D EGBFs possess specific algebraic properties and are not constrained by the parameters of other existing sequences, allowing for more efficient design of sequences to meet specific requirements. In the letter, we propose two direct constructions of two-dimensional GCASs with new flexible parameters based on 2-D EGBFs. The resulting GCASs provide a greater number of arrays, which has not been mentioned in the literature.

The remainder of the letter is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the notation used in the letter, briefly discuss EGBFs, and define the concepts of AACFs and GCASs. In Section III, we present two new structures of GCASs based on 2-D EGBFs. Finally, Section IV concludes the letter.

## II. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

The following notations will be employed throughout the letter:

- $(\cdot)^*$  denotes the complex conjugation.
- $(\cdot)^T$  denotes the transpose.
- For an integer  $b \geq 2$ ,  $U(b)$  denotes the set of integers  $b$  and  $r$ , satisfying  $\gcd(b, r) = 1$ , where  $r$  takes values from 1 to  $b - 1$ .
- $\text{lcm}(\cdot)$  refers to the least common multiple.
- $\mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$  is the ring of integers modulo  $q$ .
- $(A)_{g,i}$  denotes the  $(g, i)$ -th element of the matrix  $A$ .
- $\xi_q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q}$ ,  $\psi_q(f_{g,i})$  is denoted by  $\xi_q^{f_{g,i}}$ .

### A. 2-D Golay Complementary Arrays Sets

**Definition 1 (2-D aperiodic autocorrelation function):** The 2-D aperiodic autocorrelation function of  $C$  at shift  $(u_1, u_2)$  is denoted by  $\rho(C; u_1, u_2)$ , whose formula is presented as follows:

$$\rho(C; u_1, u_2) = \sum_{g=0}^{L_1-1} \sum_{i=0}^{L_2-1} C_{g+u_1, i+u_2} C_{g,i}^* \quad (1)$$

for  $-L_1 < u_1 < L_1$  and  $-L_2 < u_2 < L_2$ ,

where  $C_{g+u_1, i+u_2} = 0$  for  $(g+u_1) \notin \{0, 1, \dots, L_1-1\}$  or  $(i+u_2) \notin \{0, 1, \dots, L_2-1\}$ . Note that  $\rho(C; u_1, u_2) = \rho^*(C; -u_1, -u_2)$ .

**Definition 2 (Golay Complementary Array Set):** The set of arrays  $C = \{C_l | l = 0, \dots, N-1\}$ , where each array  $C_l$  is of size  $L_1 \times L_2$ . The set  $C$  is referred to as an  $(N, L_1, L_2)$ -GCAS if

$$\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \rho(C_l; u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} NL_1L_2, & (u_1, u_2) = (0, 0) \\ 0, & (u_1, u_2) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

If  $C_l = (\xi^{c_l})$  where  $c_l$  is  $q$ -ary array over  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , we define the array set  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}\}$  a  $q$ -ary GCAS. Indeed, a GCAS is a  $(2, L_1, L_2)$ -GCAS.

### B. 2-D Extend Generalized Boolean Functions

A 2-D EGBF  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{b_1}^m \times \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  is a function mapping in  $m+n$   $q$ -ary variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ . Let  $2 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq q$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_1}$ ,  $y_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}$ ,  $i \in [1, m]$ ,  $j \in [1, n]$  and  $f((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . For a 2-D EGBF with  $m+n$   $q$ -ary variables, the 2-D  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -valued array is defined as

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} f_{0,0} & f_{0,0} & \cdots & f_{0,b_2^n-1} \\ f_{1,0} & f_{1,1} & \cdots & f_{1,b_2^n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{b_1^m-1,0} & f_{b_1^m-1,1} & \cdots & f_{b_1^m-1,b_2^n-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Through the function, the array we can obtain is of size of  $b_1^m \times b_2^n$ , which is given by setting  $f_{g,i} = f((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m), (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n))$ . Let  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$  and  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$  be  $b_1$ -ary and  $b_2$ -ary vector representations of integers  $g = \sum_{\gamma=1}^m g_\gamma b_1^{\gamma-1}$  ( $g_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_1}$ ) and  $i = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n i_\gamma b_2^{\gamma-1}$  ( $i_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}$ ), respectively. For convenience, we abbreviate the complex-valued array as  $\mathbf{f} = \psi_q(F)$ .

## III. GCASS BASED ON EGBFS

### A. Proposed Constructions

**Theorem 1:** Consider nonnegative integers  $n, m, b$  and  $k$  with  $b \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq m+n$ . Let nonempty sets  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k$  be a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m+n\}$ .  $\pi_\alpha$  is defined as a bijection from  $\{1, 2, \dots, t_\alpha\}$  to  $T_\alpha$  for  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $t_\alpha = |I_\alpha|$ . Define a 2-D EGBF  $f: \mathbb{Z}_b^m \times \mathbb{Z}_b^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  as follows:

$$f = \frac{q}{b} \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \sum_{\beta=1}^{t_\alpha-1} d_{\alpha,\beta} z_{\pi_\alpha(\beta)} z_{\pi_\alpha(\beta+1)} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m+n} \lambda_{\gamma,l} z_\gamma^l + \lambda_0 \quad (4)$$

where  $z_l \in \mathbb{Z}_b$ ,  $z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2, \dots, z_m = x_m, z_{m+1} = y_1, \dots, z_{m+n} = y_n$ ,  $b|q$ ,  $d_{\alpha,\beta} \in U(b)$ , and  $\lambda_{\gamma,l}, \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Consider a positive integer  $N$  satisfying  $N|q$  and  $N \geq b$ . Let  $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , then the array set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f + \frac{q}{N} \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^k n_\alpha z_{\pi_\alpha(1)} + n_{k+1} z_m \right) \right\} \quad (5)$$

forms a  $q$ -ary  $(N^{k+1}, b^m, b^n)$ -GCAS.

*Proof:* The proof can be found in the Appendix A.

**Example 1:** Let  $N = 3$ ,  $q = 6$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $n = 3$  and  $k = 1$  in Theorem 1, taking  $\pi_1 = \{4, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then we can get a 2-D EGBF  $f = 3z_4z_1 + 3z_1z_2 + 3z_2z_3 = 3y_3x_1 + 3y_1x_1 + 3y_1y_2$ . Through the function we can obtain a  $(9, 2, 8)$ -GCAS, denoted as  $\mathcal{A} = \{f + 2n_1y_3 + 2n_2x_1, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}$  is listed in Table I. Following the example, a GCAS with array size  $2^m \times 2^n$  can be generated, and the number of sets is 9.

TABLE I  
A DERIVED FROM EXAMPLE 1

(9, 2, 8)-GCAS $\mathcal{A}$					
$\mathcal{A}^0 =$	00030003 03003033	$\mathcal{A}^1 =$	00030003 25225255	$\mathcal{A}^2 =$	00032225 03005255
$\mathcal{A}^3 =$	00032225 25221411	$\mathcal{A}^4 =$	00032225 41443033	$\mathcal{A}^5 =$	00030003 41441411
$\mathcal{A}^6 =$	00034441 03001411	$\mathcal{A}^7 =$	00034441 25223033	$\mathcal{A}^8 =$	00034441 41445255

**Remark 1:** Note that when  $k$  takes the maximum, i.e.,  $k = m+n$ , then we can get a  $(N^{m+n+1}, b^m, b^n)$ -GCAS, which has not been reported in previous literature. In the following, Theorem 1 is further extended to construct GCASS with various array set parameters.

**Theorem 2:** Consider nonnegative integers  $n, m, b_1, b_2, k_1$  and  $k_2$  with  $b_1, b_2 \geq 2$  and  $m, n \geq 1$ . Let nonempty sets  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{k_1}$  be a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and nonempty sets  $I'_1, I'_2, \dots, I'_{k_2}$  be a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $k_1 \leq m, k_2 \leq n$ . Take  $t_\alpha$  and  $t'_\mu$  as orders of  $I_\alpha$  and  $I'_\mu$ , respectively. Let  $\pi_\alpha$  be a bijection mapping from  $\{1, 2, \dots, t_\alpha\}$  to  $I_\alpha$  and  $\sigma_\mu$  be a bijection from  $\{1, 2, \dots, t'_\mu\}$  to  $I'_\mu$ , where  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k_1$  and  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, k_2$ . The 2-D EGBF  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{b_1}^m \times \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  is defined as:

$$f = \frac{q}{b_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k_1} \sum_{\beta=1}^{t_\alpha-1} d_{\alpha,\beta} x_{\pi_\alpha(\beta)} x_{\pi_\alpha(\beta+1)} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} \lambda_{\gamma,l} x_\gamma^l + \lambda_0$$

$$+ \frac{q}{b_2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{t'_\mu-1} d'_{\mu,\nu} y_{\sigma_\mu(\nu)} y_{\sigma_\mu(\nu+1)} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} \nu_{\gamma,l} y_\gamma^l \quad (6)$$

where  $x_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_1}$ ,  $y_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}$ ,  $b_1|q$ ,  $b_2|q$ ,  $d_{\alpha,\beta} \in U(b_1)$ ,  $d'_{\mu,\nu} \in U(b_2)$  and  $\lambda_{\gamma,l}, \nu_{\gamma,l}, \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . If there exist two positive integers  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  satisfying  $N_1|q, N_2|q$ ,  $N_1 \geq b_1$ ,  $N_2 \geq b_2$  and  $n_\alpha, n_{k_1+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}$ ,  $n'_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_2}$ , the array set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f + \frac{q}{N_1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k_1} n_\alpha x_{\pi_\alpha(1)} + \frac{q}{N_2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_2} n'_\mu y_{\sigma_\mu(1)} + n_{k_1+1} (x_{k_1+1} + y_{k_1+1}) \right\} \quad (7)$$

is a  $q$ -ary  $(N_1^{k_1+1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^m, b_2^n)$ -GCAS

*Proof:* The proof can be found in the Appendix B.

*Remark 2:* In contrast to Theorem 1, GCASs with more flexible array sizes have been obtained from Theorem 2, taking the form  $b_1^m \times b_2^n$ , which provides enhanced flexibility in array design.

*Remark 3:* Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  both be set to their maximum values, i.e.,  $k_1+k_2 = m+n$ , we can get a  $(N_1^{m+1} N_2^n, b_1^m, b_2^n)$ -GCAS, which has larger array number than previous literature under the same conditions.

### B. Comparison With the Previous Works

We have listed the known GCASs for comparison in Table II, and the advantages of our proposed constructions are as follows:

Compared with [11] and [17], more flexible-sized GCASs can be obtained by setting the generalized variables  $N$  and  $b$ . The constructions we propose can provide more array quantities in one set, which is not mentioned before. The maximum number of arrays generated by [12], [18] is  $N^{m+n}$ , while the maximum number of arrays that can be obtained in Theorem 1 is  $N^{m+n+1}$ . Under the same conditions as those in Example 1, which presents the  $(9, 2, 8)$ -GCAS  $\mathcal{A}$ , while the  $(3, 2, 8)$ -GCAS  $\mathcal{B}$  is obtained by using the method in Theorem 1 of [18]. To demonstrate the differences between the two constructions clearly, the algebraic expressions of Example I are listed in Table III. New parameter GCASs with larger set sizes can be obtained by the constructions, such as  $(3^4, 2^1, 2^2)$ -GCASs,  $(4^{12}, 3^5, 3^6)$ -GCASs,  $(5^8, 4^5, 4^2)$ -GCASs and so on, which cannot be obtained by other constructions. Due to limited space, we will not list them one by one.

The corresponding functions of the multi-dimensional GCASs are extracted from the high-dimensional seed PU matrices, and the 2-D GCASs are obtained through projection in [16]. The constructions in the letter can directly obtain GCASs by using two-dimensional EGBFs without the need for various matrix operations, thus ensuring higher efficiency. Consequently, the constructions we propose can quickly generate array sets with a larger number of arrays, enabling the creation of diverse and flexible sequences, and offering more possibilities for applications in the field of communication.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we propose two direct constructions of two-dimensional GCASs with novel parameters based on the two-dimensional EGBFs. The proposed structures can generate

TABLE II  
COMPARISON OF PARAMETERS GCASs WITH OTHERS

Ref.	Parameters	Phase $q$	Method
[11]	$(2^k, 2^{m_1}, 2^{m_2})$ $m_1 + m_2 \geq k \geq 1$	$q \geq 2$ and $q$ is even	2-D GBFs
Th1. [17]	$(p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2}, p_1^{m_1}, p_2^{m_2})$ $m_1 \geq k_1 \geq 1, m_2 \geq k_2 \geq 1$	$lcm(p_1, p_2)   q$	2-D MVFes
Th2. [17]	$(p^k, p^{m_1}, p^{m_2})$ $m_1 + m_2 \geq k \geq 1$	$p   q$	
Th5. [16]	$(N^k, N^m, N^n), m, n \geq 1$	$q = N$	seed PU matrices
Th1. [18]	$(N^k, b^m, b^n)$ $N \geq b \geq 2, m+n \geq k \geq 1$	$lcm(N, b)   q$	2-D EGBFs
Th2. [18]	$(N_1^{k_1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^m, b_2^n)$ $m \geq k_1 \geq 1, n \geq k_2 \geq 1$ $N_1 \geq b_1 \geq 2, N_2 \geq b_2 \geq 2$	$\sigma   q$	
Th1. [12]	$(N^k, b^m, b^{n-1} + \eta_1)$ $N \geq b \geq 2, m+n \geq k \geq 1$	$lcm(N, b)   q$	2-D EGBFs
Th2. [12]	$(N_1^{k_1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^m, b_2^{n-1} + \eta_2)$ $m \geq k_1 \geq 1, n \geq k_2 \geq 1$ $N_1 \geq b_1 \geq 2, N_2 \geq b_2 \geq 2$	$\sigma   q$	
Th.1	$(N^{k+1}, b^m, b^n)$ $N \geq b \geq 2, m+n \geq k \geq 1$	$lcm(N, b)   q$	2-D EGBFs
Th.2	$(N_1^{k_1+1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^m, b_2^n)$ $m \geq k_1 \geq 1, n \geq k_2 \geq 1$ $N_1 \geq b_1 \geq 2, N_2 \geq b_2 \geq 2$	$\sigma   q$	

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= lcm(N_1, N_2, b_1, b_2) \\ \eta_1 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} r_\alpha \cdot b^{n-k+\alpha-1} + r_0 \cdot b^{n-k}, r_\alpha, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_b \\ \eta_2 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k_2-1} r_\alpha \cdot b_2^{\pi_2(n-k_2+\alpha)-1} + r_0 \cdot b_2^\varphi, r_\alpha, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{b_2}, 0 \leq \varphi \leq n - k_2 \end{aligned}$$

TABLE III  
ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS OF DIFFERENT CONSTRUCTIONS

$\mathcal{A}$	$\{f + 2n_1 y_3 + 2n_2 x_1, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ = $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f, f + 2y_3, f + 4y_3, f + x_1, f + 2x_1, f + 2y_3 + x_1, \\ f + 2y_3 + 2x_1, f + 4y_3 + x_1, f + 4y_3 + 2x_1 \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathcal{B}$	$\{f + 2n_1 y_3, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ = $\{f, f + 2y_3, f + 4y_3\}$

$(N^{k+1}, b^m, b^n)$ -GCAS and  $(N_1^{k_1+1} N_2^{k_2}, b_1^{m_1}, b_2^{m_2})$ -GCAS, both of which offer highly flexible array sizes. The proposed GCASs contain more complementary Golay arrays, which have not been reported yet. This provides greater flexibility for sequence design in practical communication systems. Furthermore, the resulting GCASs can be directly derived from the two-dimensional extended generalized Boolean functions without the need for special two-dimensional arrays or specific one-dimensional sequences.

## APPENDIX A

### PROOF OF THEOREM 1

*Proof:* First, we define  $h = g + u_1$ ,  $j = i + u_2$ . Set a  $N$ -ary vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k]$ . Let  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ ,

$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m)$ ,  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$  and  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$  be the  $b$ -ary representation of integers  $g, h, j$  and  $i$ , respectively.

To prove the  $\mathcal{A}$  is GCAS, for any  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we need to demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{g=0}^{b^m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{b^n-1} \xi^{c_{g+u_1, i+u_2} - c_{g,i}} \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^{b^m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{b^n-1} \sum_{\mu} \xi^{\mu_{g+u_1, i+u_2} - \mu_{g,i}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $(u_1, u_2) \neq (0, 0)$ . The  $b$ -ary representations of  $g, h, j$  and  $i$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_l &= \begin{cases} g_l & \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq m; \\ i_{l-n} & \text{for } m < l \leq m+n, \end{cases} \\ b_l &= \begin{cases} h_l & \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq m; \\ j_{l-n} & \text{for } m < l \leq m+n, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In what follows, the proof of Theorem 1 will be taken into account from two cases.

*Case 1:* In the case, we have  $a_{\pi_\alpha(1)} \neq b_{\pi_\alpha(1)}$  for  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$  or  $a_m \neq b_m$ . Set  $\pi'(\alpha) = \pi_\alpha(1)$  for  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $\pi'(k+1) = m$ . Then  $\pi'(\alpha)$  is a bijective mapping from  $\mathbb{N}_{k+1}$  to  $\mathbb{N}_m$ . Due to  $b \leq N$ ,  $\mu \cdot (a_{\pi_\alpha(1)} - b_{\pi_\alpha(1)}) \bmod N$  or  $\mu \cdot (a_m - b_m) \bmod N$  takes the values 0 to  $N-1$  equally always for the condition, implying that  $\sum_{\mu} \xi^{\mu \cdot (a_{\pi'_\alpha} - b_{\pi'_\alpha})} = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} \xi^{c_{h,j} - c_{g,i}} = 0. \quad (10)$$

*Case 2:* In that case, we have  $a_{\pi_\alpha(1)} = b_{\pi_\alpha(1)}$  for all  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We assume  $a_{\pi_\alpha(\hat{\beta})} = b_{\pi_\alpha(\hat{\beta})}$  for  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \hat{\alpha} - 1$  and  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, m_\alpha$ . In addition, we assume that  $\hat{\beta}$  is the smallest number satisfying  $a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} \neq b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})}$ . The integers  $a^s$  and  $b^s$  are only different with  $a$  and  $b$  in the position, respectively, where  $s = 1, 2, \dots, b-1$ . Let  $a^s_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} = 1 - a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)}$  and  $b^s_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} = 1 - b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)}$ . If  $1 \leq \pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta} - 1) \leq m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{g^s, i} - c_{g, i} \\ & \equiv s \frac{q}{b} \left( d_{\hat{\beta}-2} a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-2)} + d_{\hat{\beta}} a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} \right) \left( 1 - 2g_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \right) \\ & + p_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \left( 1 - 2g_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \right) \pmod{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $a^s_{\pi(t-1)} = g^s_{\pi(t-1)}$  and  $a_{\pi(t-1)} = g_{\pi(t-1)}$ . Since  $a_{\pi(t-2)} = b_{\pi(t-2)}$  and  $a_{\pi(t-1)} = b_{\pi(t-1)}$ , from (9), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{h^s, j} - c_{h, i} \equiv s \frac{q}{b} \left( d_{\hat{\beta}-2} b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-2)} + d_{\hat{\beta}} b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} \right) \left( 1 - 2h_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \right) i_{k_1+1} \\ & + p_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \left( 1 - 2h_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-1)} \right) \pmod{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{h, j} - c_{g, i} - c_{h^s, j} + c_{g^s, i} \\ & = s \frac{q}{b} \left( d_{\hat{\beta}-2} a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-2)} + d_{\hat{\beta}} a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} - d_{\hat{\beta}-2} b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta}-2)} - d_{\hat{\beta}} b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} \right) \\ & \equiv s \frac{q}{b} d_{\hat{\beta}} \left( a_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} - b_{\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta})} \right) \equiv s \frac{q}{b} d_{\hat{\beta}} \pmod{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In (13),  $d_{\hat{\beta}}$  and  $b$  are prime each other, implying  $\sum_{s=0}^{b-1} \xi^{c_{h, j} - c_{g, i} - c_{h^s, j} + c_{g^s, i}} = 0$ . Similarly, we can also obtain

$$\sum_{s=0}^{b-1} \xi^{c_{h, j} - c_{g, i} - c_{h^s, j} + c_{g^s, i}} = 0 \quad (14)$$

If  $m < \pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\beta} - 1) \leq n + m$ , note that  $a^s_{\pi(t-1)} = i^s_{\pi(t-1)-n}$  and  $a_{\pi(t-1)} = i_{\pi(t-1)-n}$  according to (9). By following the similar argument as mentioned above, we can get

$$\sum_{s=0}^{b-1} \xi^{c_{h, j} - c_{g, i} - c_{h^s, j} + c_{g^s, i}} = 0 \quad (15)$$

By combining the two cases above, we conclude that the array set is a  $(N^{k+1}, b^m, b^n)$  - GCAS

## APPENDIX B

### PROOF OF THEOREM 2

*Proof:* We set integers  $h = g + u_1$ ,  $j = i + u_2$ . Let  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ ,  $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m)$ ,  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$  and  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$  be the  $b_1$ -ary representation of integers  $g, h$  and the  $b_2$ -ary representation of integers  $j, i$ , respectively. Set a  $N_1$ -ary vector  $\mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k]$  and a  $N_2$ -ary vector  $\mu' = [\mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_k]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{g=0}^{b_1^m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{b_2^n-1} \xi^{c_{g+u_1, i+u_2} - c_{g,i}} \\ & = \sum_{g=0}^{b_1^m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{b_2^n-1} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\mu'} \xi^{c_{g+u_1, i+u_2} - c_{g,i}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

To prove the  $\mathcal{A}$  is GCAS, for any  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we need to demonstrate when  $(u_1, u_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , equation (16) holds.

The proof of Theorem 2 will be discussed in four cases in what follows.

*Case 1:*  $u_1 > 0, u_2 \geq 0$  and  $g_{\pi_\alpha(1)} \neq h_{\pi_\alpha(1)}$  or  $g_{k_1+1} \neq h_{k_1+1}$ .

*Case 2:*  $u_1 > 0, u_2 \geq 0$  and  $g_{\pi_\alpha(1)} = h_{\pi_\alpha(1)}$  and  $g_{k_1+1} = h_{k_1+1}$ .

*Case 3:*  $u_1 = 0, u_2 \geq 0$  and  $j_{\sigma_\mu(1)} \neq i_{\sigma_\mu(1)}$  or  $j_{k_1+1} \neq i_{k_1+1}$ .

*Case 4:*  $u_1 = 0, u_2 > 0$  and  $j_{\sigma_\mu(1)} = i_{\sigma_\mu(1)}$  and  $j_{k_1+1} = i_{k_1+1}$ .

*Case 1* and *Case 3* are similar to *Case 1* in Theorem 1, and *Case 2* and *Case 4* are similar to *Case 2* in Theorem 1. The proof is omitted here to keep it brief.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Golay, "Complementary series," *IRE transactions on information theory*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 82–87, 1961.
- [2] C.-C. Tseng and C. Liu, "Complementary sets of sequences," *IEEE Transactions on Information theory*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 644–652, 1972.
- [3] J. A. Davis and J. Jedwab, "Peak-to-mean power control in ofdm, golay complementary sequences, and reed-muller codes," *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 2397–2417, 1999.
- [4] K. G. Paterson, "Generalized reed-muller codes and power control in ofdm modulation," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 104–120, 2000.
- [5] C.-Y. Chen, "Complementary sets of non-power-of-two length for peak-to-average power ratio reduction in ofdm," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 7538–7545, 2016.
- [6] P. Sarkar, Z. Liu, and S. Majhi, "Multivariable function for new complete complementary codes with arbitrary lengths," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.10517*, 2021.
- [7] Y.-J. Lin, Z.-M. Huang, and C.-Y. Chen, "Golay complementary sets and multiple-shift complementary sets with non-power-of-two length and bounded paprs," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 2805–2809, 2021.
- [8] C.-Y. Pai, Y.-J. Lin, and C.-Y. Chen, "Optimal and almost-optimal golay-zcz sequence sets with bounded paprs," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 728–740, 2022.
- [9] C.-Y. Pai and C.-Y. Chen, "Two-dimensional golay complementary array sets from generalized boolean functions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04043*, 2021.
- [10] X. Men and Y. Li, "Omnidirectional precoding designs based on 2-d golay complementary array pairs for massive mimo systems with fully-connected and partially-connected structures," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2024.
- [11] C.-Y. Pai and C.-Y. Chen, "Two-dimensional golay complementary array pairs/sets with bounded row and column sequence paprs," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 3695–3707, 2022.
- [12] X. Peng, Y. Wang, and Z. Liu, "New constructions of 2-d golay complementary array sets with highly flexible array sizes for massive mimo omni-directional transmission," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2024.
- [13] D. Qiao, H. Qian, and G. Y. Li, "Broadbeam for massive mimo systems," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 2365–2374, 2016.
- [14] F. Li, Y. Jiang, C. Du, and X. Wang, "Construction of golay complementary matrices and its applications to mimo omnidirectional transmission," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 2100–2113, 2021.
- [15] F. Fiedler, J. Jedwab, and M. G. Parker, "A multi-dimensional approach to the construction and enumeration of golay complementary sequences," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 115, no. 5, pp. 753–776, 2008.
- [16] Z. Wang, D. Ma, G. Gong, and E. Xue, "New construction of complementary sequence (or array) sets and complete complementary codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 4902–4928, 2021.
- [17] T. Liu, X. Men, Y. Li, and X. Chen, "Constructions of 2-d golay complementary array sets for mimo omnidirectional transmission," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 1459–1463, 2022.
- [18] X. Men, T. Liu, Y. Li, and M. Liu, "Constructions of 2-d golay complementary array sets with flexible array sizes for omnidirectional precoding in massive mimo," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1302–1306, 2023.