New constructions of unbalanced $\{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}$ -free bipartite graphs

Baran Düzgün *

Ago-Erik Riet[†]

Vladislav Taranchuk[‡]

March 25, 2025

Abstract

In 1979, Erdős conjectured that if $m = O(n^{2/3})$, then $ex(n, m, \{C_4, C_6\}) = O(n)$. This conjecture was disproven by several papers and the current best-known bounds for this problem are

 $c_1 n^{1+\frac{1}{15}} \le ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, C_6\}) \le c_2 n^{1+1/9}$

for some constants c_1, c_2 . A consequence of our work here proves that

 $ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,4}\}) = \Theta(n^{1+1/9}).$

More generally, for each integer $t \geq 2$, we establish that

 $ex(n, n^{\frac{t+2}{2t+1}}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}) = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{2t+1}})$

by demonstrating that subsets of points $S \subseteq PG(n,q)$ for which no t+1 points lie on a line give rise to $\{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}$ -free graphs, where PG(n,q) is the projective space of dimension n over the finite field of q elements.

1 Introduction

Let m, n be positive integers and \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. The bipartite Turán number $ex(m, n, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of edges in a bipartite graph whose part sizes are m and n and such that it contains no graph in \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. The function $ex(m, n, \mathcal{F})$

^{*}Hacettepe University, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Beytepe 06800 Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: baranduzgun@hacettepe.edu.tr.

[†]Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tartu, 51009 Tartu, Estonia. E-mail: ago-erik.riet@ut.ee. This work was supported by the Estonian Research Council grant PRG2531.

[‡]Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium. E-mail: vlad.taranchuk@ugent.be.

has been studied extensively for many different sets \mathcal{F} , but many questions remain. See the well-known survey by Füredi and Simonovits [4] for a history of the work done on these types of problems.

One of the most notorious cases of determining $ex(n, m, \mathcal{F})$ is when $\mathcal{F} = C_{2k}$ for some positive integer k. When m = n, the order of magnitude of $ex(n, n, \{C_{2k}\})$ is only known for k = 2, 3, 5 [4], which coincides with the existence of special finite geometries called generalized polygons. When $m = n^a$ for any a < 1, and we allow $n \to \infty$, even less is known. The best constructions in this aforementioned case which yield C_4 -free graphs come from the point-block incidence graphs of 2-designs.

In 1979, Erdős conjectured that when $m = O(n^{2/3})$, then $ex(m, n, \{C_4, C_6\}) = O(n)$ [3]. This was disproven first by de Caen and Székely [1] who constructed an infinite family of graphs which yielded

$$cn^{1+\frac{1}{57}+o(1)} \le ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, C_6\})$$

for a constant c. Later this lower bound was improved by Lazebnik, Ustimenko and Woldar [6] who constructed an infinite family of graphs which yielded

$$cn^{1+\frac{1}{15}} \le ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, C_6\})$$

for some constant c. It is worth mentioning that the class of graphs which is constructed in [6] is very much related to the (q, q^2) -generalized quadrangle. In fact, it seems to have escaped the graph theory community that the existence of such quadrangles also yields the bound obtained in [6] by taking an induced subgraph. The bounds on $ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, C_6\})$ have not budged in 30 years. In this paper, we establish results which suggest that the upper bound for this problem may be closer to the truth.

A common generalization of the cycle C_{2k} , is the theta graph $\theta_{t,k}$ which is the graph consisting of two vertices joined by t internally vertex-disjoint k-edge paths. While the lower and upper bounds for $ex(n, n, \{C_{2k}\})$ do not have matching orders of magnitude for all $k \neq 2, 3, 5$ [4], it is known that for each k, there exists a (relatively large) constant t = t(k) such that

$$ex(n, n, \theta_{k,t}) = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$$

which is the same order of magnitude as the upper bound for $ex(n, n, C_{2k})$ [2]. In [2], Conlon uses a random algebraic method to construct infinite families of graphs not containing $\theta_{k,t}$, where t is fixed, but large relative to k. Therefore, explicit constructions yielding the same bounds and with a smaller t are of great interest.

There has also been some recent progress on determining $ex(n, m, \theta_{t,k})$. Jiang, Ma, and Yepremyan [5] proved that there exists a constant c = c(k, t) such that

$$ex(m, n, \{\theta_{k,t}\}) \le \begin{cases} c[(mn)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}+m+n}] & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ c[(mn)^{\frac{k+2}{2k}n^{\frac{1}{2}}+m+n}] & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and when k = 3, they obtained that

$$ex(m, n, \{\theta_{3,t}\}) \le 144t^3((mn)^{\frac{2}{3}} + m + n).$$

Theodorakopoulos [8] extended the random algebraic methods used in [2] to prove that for each odd positive integer k and rational number a satisfying $\frac{k-1}{k+1} < a < 1$, there exists a constant c = c(k) such that

$$ex(n, n^a, \{\theta_{k, c_k}\}) = \Theta(\left(n^{1+a}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}})$$

Here we prove that subsets of points S of the projective space PG(n,q) satisfying the condition that no t + 1 points of S lie on a line, produce $\{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}$ -free graphs via their linear representations. In particular, this implies the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let q be a prime power and t, n be positive integers. Suppose that S is a subset of points of PG(n,q) satisfying the condition that no t + 1 points of S lie on a common line. Then

$$|S|q^{n+1} \le ex(q^{n+1}, |S|q^n, \{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}).$$

We remark that such sets with many elements are known to exist as shown in Lin and Wolf [7]. Consequently, we obtain our result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $t \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Then

$$ex(n, n^{\frac{t+2}{2t+1}}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}) = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{2t+1}}).$$

Finally, our theorem implies the following corollary which suggests that the true value of $ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, C_6\})$ may be closer to the best-known upper bound.

Corollary 1.3. We have

$$ex(n, n^{2/3}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,4}\}) = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{9}}).$$

2 Linear Representations of Point Sets

Let q be a prime power, let \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} denote the vector space of dimension n+1 over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , and let $\mathrm{PG}(n,q)$ be the corresponding projective space.

Definition 2.1. Let S be a set of points of PG(n,q) and embed PG(n,q) as a hyperplane into PG(n + 1,q). A linear representation of S is the geometry whose points are all the points in $PG(n + 1,q) \setminus PG(n,q)$ and the lines are all the lines of PG(n + 1,q) which intersect PG(n,q) in precisely one point, namely a point of S. **Remark**: Observe that if two lines in PG(n + 1, q) not contained in PG(n, q) intersect in a point of S, then they are parallel in the linear representation of S.

From this geometry we may build its point-line incidence graph. This graph is bipartite with bipartition classes given by the points and the lines of the geometry. A point will be adjacent to a line in the graph if they are incident in the geometry, i.e. the point is on the line. Denote this graph by $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$. It can easily be verified that $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$ will have the following properties:

- 1. There are q^{n+1} point vertices, each of degree |S|.
- 2. There are $|S|q^n$ line vertices, each of degree q.

Theorem 2.2. Let S be a subset of points of PG(n,q) such that any line in PG(n,q) intersects S in at most t points. Then $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$ is $\{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}$ -free.

Proof. Note that by construction, the linear representation of S is a geometry in which any two lines intersect in at most one point and any two points lie on at most one line. Thus, the graph $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$ is necessarily C_4 -free.

Suppose that $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$ contains a $\theta_{3,t}$. This implies there exists a point vertex r and line vertex ℓ between which there are t vertex-disjoint paths of length 3. Geometrically, this implies that the linear representation of S contains a configuration consisting of a point r, t lines which contain r, call them m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t , all of which intersect the line ℓ in our geometry, and such that r is not on ℓ . Note that the set of lines $\ell, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$ all pairwise intersect, and so no two can be parallel. Thus, in PG(n + 1, q), each of the lines ℓ, m_1, \ldots, m_t contains a distinct point in S.

Note that all of the lines of this configuration lie in a common plane, Π , the plane in $\operatorname{PG}(n+1,q)$ spanned by r and ℓ . Since Π is not contained in the hyperplane $\operatorname{PG}(n,q)$, it intersects $\operatorname{PG}(n,q)$ in a line, call it ℓ_{∞} . But this implies that there is a set of t+1 points in S (one for each line ℓ, m_1, \ldots, m_t) which lie on ℓ_{∞} . Since we assumed any line in $\operatorname{PG}(n,q)$ intersects S in at most t points, this is a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma_{S,n,q}$ is also $\theta_{3,t}$ -free.

In [7], the authors obtained the following result. For completeness, we give an explicit construction of such a set for all integers $t \ge 2$ and prime powers q > t.

Theorem 2.3. Let q be a prime power and t > q be a positive integer. Then there exists a subset S of points of PG(t + 1, q) of size q^t such that no t + 1 points of S lie on a line.

It is well-known that the field \mathbb{F}_{q^t} can be viewed as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q . Fix any basis, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$ for \mathbb{F}_{q^t} over \mathbb{F}_q . For each x in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} , denote by $x|_q$ the vector of the field reduced elements of x. That is, $x|_q = (x_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + x_t\alpha_t)|_q = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. Denote by N the norm function from \mathbb{F}_{q^t} to \mathbb{F}_q , i.e. $N(x) = x^{(q^t-1)/(q-1)} = x \cdot x^q \cdot x^{q^2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x^{q^{t-1}}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $t \ge 2$ be a positive integer and q > t be a prime power. Then the set

$$S = \{ (1, x|_q, N(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \}$$

as a subset of points of PG(t+1,q) contains no t+1 points on a line.

Proof. We will omit the notation of field reduction to avoid getting bogged down in notation. Take any two vectors in S, call them (1, x, N(x)) and (1, y, N(y)). These two points lie on some line in PG(t+1, q). We will count how many other points (1, z, N(z)) in S can lie on this same line. If (1, z, N(z)) lies on the same line, it implies that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & y & z \\ N(x) & N(y) & N(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

has an element in its null space v = [a, b, c] with each $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Without loss of generality, we assume c = -1. Thus we obtain a linear system

$$a + b = 1,$$

$$ax + by = z,$$

$$aN(x) + bN(y) = N(z).$$

This system implies that

$$aN(x) + (1-a)N(y) = N(ax + (1-a)y)$$
(1)

Since x and y are fixed, that leaves a as the only variable. Since $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then $a^q = a$ and so expanding (1), we obtain a polynomial equation in a of degree t. In particular, the largest degree term a^t has coefficient $N(x - y) \neq 0$. Observe that a = 0 and a = 1 are both solutions to (1), which are not valid choices of a for us (since $a, b \neq 0$). Consequently, there are at most t - 2 valid choices of a which solve (1). Each a determines a unique z = ax + (1 - a)y, so any line contains at most t points of S.

Consequently, the linear representation of this set produces a biregular, unbalanced bipartite graph with bipartition class sizes $m = q^{t+2}$, $n = q^{2t+1}$, and q^{2t+2} edges which is $\{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}$ -free. By an application of Bertrand's postulate, we obtain that for each t, there exists a constant c_t such that

$$c_t n^{1+\frac{1}{2t+1}} \le ex(n, n^{\frac{t+2}{2t+1}}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}).$$

For each such t, the order of magnitude matches the upper bounds given in [5]. Thus we prove Theorem 1.2

$$ex(n, n^{\frac{t+2}{2t+1}}, \{C_4, \theta_{3,t}\}) = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{2t+1}}).$$

References

- D. de Caen and L. A. Székely, "The maximum size of 4- and 6-cycle free bipartite graphs on m, n vertices", in *Graphs, Sets and Numbers*, Proc. of the Conference Dedicated to the 60th Birthdays of A. Hajnal and Vera T. Sós, Budapest, (1991).
- [2] D. Conlon, "Graphs with few paths of prescribed length between any two vertices", *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 51(6), (2019), 1015–1021.
- [3] P. Erdős, "Some old and new problems in various branches of combinatorics", Proceedings of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Boca Raton, Vol. 1, Congressus Numerantium 23, (1979), 19–38.
- [4] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits, "The History of Degenerate (Bipartite) Extremal Graph Problems", *Erdős Centennial Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, 25, (2013).
- [5] T. Jiang, J. Ma, and L. Yepremyan, "On Turán exponents of bipartite graphs", Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 31(2), (2022), 333–344.
- [6] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, and A. J. Woldar, "New constructions of bipartite graphs on m, n vertices with many edges and without small cycles", Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B, 61(1), (1991), 111–117.
- [7] Y. Lin and J. Wolf, "On subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^n containing no k-term progressions", European Journal of Combinatorics, 31(5), (2010), 1391–1403.
- [8] S. Theodorakopoulos, "Some sharp lower bounds for the bipartite Turán number of theta graphs", , arXiv: 2405.02864, (2024).