

On Exponents of Thickness in Geometry Rigidity Inequality for Shells

Liang-Biao Chen and Peng-Fei Yao

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Key Laboratory of Complex Systems and Data Science of Ministry of Education

Shanxi University, Taiyuan, 030006, China

e-mail: pfyao@iss.ac.cn

Abstract We study exponents of thickness in Frieseck-James-Müller’s inequalities for shells. We derive the following results: (a) the exponent of thickness $\mu(S) \leq 15/8$ if the middle surface S is parabolic; (b) the exponent of thickness $\mu(S) \leq 11/6$ if the middle surface S is a minimal surface with negative curvature; (c) the exponent of thickness $\mu(S) \leq 11/6$ if the middle surface S is a ruled surface with negative curvature. The exponents of thickness in Frieseck-James-Müller’s inequalities for thin shells represent the relationship between rigidity and thickness h of a shell when the large deformations take place, i. e., the rigidity of the shell related to the thickness h is

$$Ch^{\mu(S)}.$$

Thus the above results of $\mu(S) < 2$ show that those shells are strictly more rigid than plates since $\mu(S) = 2$ for plates. Moreover, we present another result which shows that when $\mu(S) < 2$, any $W^{2,2}$ isometry of the middle surface is rigid.

Keywords shell, Frieseck-James-Müller’s inequality, rigidity of surface

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) 74K20(primary), 74B20(secondary).

1 Introduction and Main Results

The geometry rigidity inequality was established in [7] in 2002 by G. Friesecke, R. James and S. Muller. This inequality plays the pivotal role in solid mechanics, nonlinear elasticity and differential geometry, and it is based on the intuition of quantifying the well-established

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China, grants no. 12071463, and by the special fund for Science and Technology Innovation Teams of Shanxi Province, grants no. 202204051002015.

fact that a deformation that is locally a rigid motion, must be a global rigid motion on each connected component of its domain. In fact, Friesecke-James-Müller's inequalities are the cornerstone of rigorous derivations of two dimensional plate and shell theories from three-dimensional models in the framework of nonlinear elasticity theory, see [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 15, 18, 19, 21] and many others.

In their celebrated work, Friesecke et al. [7, 8] derived that the thickness exponent in the geometric rigidity inequality is 2 for plates, which gave rise to derivation of a hierarchy of plate theories for different scaling regimes of the elastic energy depending on the thickness h of the plate [8]. This type of theory has been derived by Gamma-convergence and relies on L^p -compactness arguments and of course the geometry rigidity inequality, which plays the main role. Similarly, the exponents of thickness in the geometry rigidity inequality for shells will improve the Gamma-convergence models of shells if the exponents are strictly smaller than 2.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an oriented surface with a normal field n . A shell with middle surface S and thickness $2h > 0$ is defined by

$$S_h = \{ x + tn(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x \in S, |t| < h \}.$$

Let $\mu > 0$ be a constant such that there exists $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$, such that for every $u \in W^{1,2}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ there is a constant rotation $R \in \text{SO}(3)$ satisfying

$$\|\nabla u - R\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{h^\mu} \|\text{dist}(\nabla u, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \quad (1.1)$$

If a displacement u satisfies the zero boundary conditions, the inequality above takes a form of (1.2) below. Set

$$\mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3) = \{ u \in W^{1,2}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3) \mid u|_{(\partial S)_h} = 0 \}$$

with the norm of $W^{1,2}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$, where

$$(\partial S)_h = \{ x + tn(x) \mid x \in \partial S, |t| < h \}.$$

There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{h^\mu} \|\text{dist}(\nabla u + I, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \quad (1.2)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and all $h > 0$, where I is the unit matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$.

Set

$$\mu(S) = \inf \{ \mu \mid \mu > 0 \text{ is such that (1.1) or (1.2) holds} \}.$$

$\mu(S)$ is said to be the exponent of thickness in the geometry rigidity inequality of shells. Friesecke, R. James, and S. Muller established in [8] that, if S_h is a plate, then

$$\mu(S) = 2.$$

M. Lewicka, M. G. Mora, and M. R. Pakzad proved in [18] for a general shell

$$\mu(S) \leq 2.$$

We here present a proof that (1.2) holds true for $\mu = 2$, see Theorem 4.1 later. Moreover, some lower bounds were given by [24]: $\mu(S) \geq 1$ if S is elliptic, $\mu(S) \geq 4/3$ if S is hyperbolic, and $\mu(S) \geq 3/2$ if S is parabolic.

It is known that the exponents of thickness in Frieseck-James-Müller's inequalities for thin shells represent the relationship between rigidity and thickness h of a shell when the large deformations take place. Thus the rigidity of the shell about the thickness is

$$Ch^{\mu(S)}$$

in large vibrations. In general $\mu(S)$ is subject to the curvature of the middle surface. Then different values of $\mu(S)$ reflect the different geometrical rigidities of the shells: the smaller $\mu(S)$ is, more rigid the shell. The similar problem has been well understood in small vibrations, see [11, 12, 13] and [4, 22, 25], where instead of the geometry rigidity inequality the Korn inequality is concerned.

In contrast, the case $\mu(S) < 2$ is rather critical. For one thing, the case $\mu(S) < 2$ shows that the shell is really more rigid than a plate where $\mu(S) = 2$. For another thing, we shall show that the case $\mu(S) < 2$ implies that the middle surface S is at least $W^{2,2}$ rigid: Any $W^{2,2}$ deformation of S with the boundary fixed is an identical map. The problem of rigidity of surfaces is a classic one in differential geometry and we refer to [5, 6] for a short concise review.

We state our main results as follows.

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a C^2 surface with negative Gaussian curvature. A Lipschitz region $S \subset M$ is said to be *regular* if there exists a non-characteristic region \tilde{S} in M such that

$$S \subset\subset \tilde{S}.$$

The non-characteristic-ness of a region is a technical assumption on the boundary of the region for the regularity of solutions to the linear strain tensor. There are several types of non-characteristic regions in [21, 23].

Surface S is said to be parabolic if the following is satisfied:

$$\kappa = 0, \quad \nabla n \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \bar{S},$$

where κ is the Gaussian curvature.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be bounded C^2 parabolic with a Lipschitz boundary. Then, for any $1/8 > \theta > 0$ small there exist constants $C_\theta > 0$ and $h_\theta > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}^2 \leq C_\theta h^{-15/8-\theta} \|\text{dist}(\nabla u + I, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2,$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and all $0 < h < h_\theta$.

It is known that if surface S is parabolic, then it can be parameterized as a ruled surface. Next we consider a case where the middle surface is given by a ruled C^2 surface but has negative curvature. Let

$$S = \{ \omega(s) \mid s = (s_1, s_2) \in (a_1, a_2) \times (b_1, b_2) \}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\omega(s) = c(s_1) + s_2\delta(s_1)$ and c, δ are two C^2 curves in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\partial_1 = c'(s_1) + s_2\delta'(s_1), \quad \partial_2 = \delta(s_1).$$

Moreover, suppose that ∂_1 and ∂_2 are linearly independent on \bar{S} .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded C^2 surface with negative Gaussian curvature. Suppose there is a non-characteristic region \tilde{S} such that*

$$S \subset\subset \tilde{S}.$$

Further assume that one of the following assumptions i) or ii) holds:

- i) S is a minimal surface, or
- ii) S is a ruled surface, given in (1.3).

Then, for any $1/6 > \theta > 0$ small there exist constants $C_\theta > 0$ and $h_\theta > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}^2 \leq C_\theta h^{-11/6-\theta} \|\text{dist}(\nabla u + I, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2,$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and all $0 < h < h_\theta$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let an oriented surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded C^2 Lipschitz domain. Then*

(i) *If there is $0 < \mu < 2$ such that (1.1) holds true, then any isometry $w \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is rigid, i.e., there exist $R \in \text{SO}(3)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying*

$$w(x) = Rx + a \quad \text{for } x \in S.$$

(ii) *If there is $0 < \mu < 2$ such that (1.2) holds true, then any isometry $w \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying*

$$w(x) = x, \quad \nabla_\tau w(x) = \tau \quad \text{for } x \in \partial S \quad (1.4)$$

is the identical map, where τ is the outside normal along ∂S .

From Theorems 1.1 – 1.3, we have the following immediately.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that one group of the assumptions in Theorems 1.1 or 1.2 holds true. Then any isometry $w \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying*

$$w(x) = x, \quad \nabla_\tau w(x) = \tau \quad \text{for } x \in \partial S$$

is the identical map.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. We make some preparations in Sections 2 and 3. The proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 are given in Section 4.

2 Truncation Theorems

Moving towards proofs of the results in Section 1, we present here a useful Lusin-type estimate, which allows us to replace a given Sobolev function by its Lipschitz "truncation". The two functions agree on a large set, whose complement has its measure controlled (inversely proportionally) in terms of the requested Lipschitz constant. Such results were used in [7] for the proof of the geometry rigidity inequality. The novel point here is that the constants C in (i)-(iii) below can be chosen to be independent of $h > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *For every $u \in H^1(S_h)$ and every $\lambda > 0$ there exists $v \in W^{1,\infty}(S_h)$ satisfying:*

- (i) $\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(S_h)} \leq C\lambda$,
- (ii) $|\{x \in S_h \mid u(x) \neq v(x)\}| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{|\nabla u| > \lambda} |\nabla u(x)| dx$,
- (iii) $\int_{S_h} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 dx \leq C \int_{|\nabla u| > \lambda} |\nabla u|^2 dx$.

The constants C above depend only on the mid-surface S that are independent of $h > 0$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$, it is said $a < b$ if $a_i < b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Denote by

$$R(a, b) = (a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times (a_3, b_3) \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{with } a < b,$$

the rectangle with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Lemma 2.1. *(Poincaré's inequality) There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{R(a,b)} |f(y) - f_{R(a,b)}| dy \leq C|b - a| \int_{R(a,b)} |\nabla f(y)| dy \quad (2.1)$$

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $a < b$, where

$$f_{R(a,b)} = \frac{1}{|R(a,b)|} \int_{R(a,b)} f(y) dy = \int_{R(a,b)} f(x) dx.$$

Proof. 1). Consider the Poincaré inequality for the cube $(0, 1)^3$: There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{(0,1)^3} |f(y) - f_{(0,1)^3}| dy \leq C \int_{(0,1)^3} |\nabla f(y)| dy \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{1,1}((0,1)^3). \quad (2.2)$$

2). Consider a change of variable by

$$\varphi(x) = \left((b_1 - a_1)x_1 + a_1, (b_2 - a_2)x_2 + a_2, (b_3 - a_3)x_3 + a_3 \right) \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (0, 1)^3.$$

For $f \in W^{1,1}(R(a, b))$, set

$$\hat{f}(x) = f \circ \varphi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1)^3.$$

Then $\hat{f} \in W^{1,1}((0, 1)^3)$. Applying \hat{f} to (2.2) yields (2.1) via the change of variable. \square

For $h > 0$ denote $R_h = (0, 1)^2 \times (-h, h)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r > 0$, let

$$Q(x, r) = (x_1 - r, x_1 + r) \times (x_2 - r, x_2 + r) \times (x_3 - r, x_3 + r)$$

be the cube with center at x and side length $2r$. Then

$$R_h \cap Q(x, r) = R(a, b),$$

where

$$a = \left(\max\{0, x_1 - r\}, \max\{0, x_2 - r\}, \max\{-h, x_3 - r\} \right),$$

$$b = \left(\min\{1, x_1 + r\}, \min\{1, x_2 + r\}, \min\{h, x_3 + r\} \right).$$

It is easy to check that the following two estimates are true: For $0 < h < 1/2$, $x \in R_h$, and $r > 0$,

$$|R_h \cap Q(x, r)| \leq \begin{cases} 2h & \text{for } r > 1/2, \\ 8r^2h & \text{for } h < r \leq 1/2, \\ 8r^3 & \text{for } r \leq h, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$|R_h \cap Q(x, r)| \geq \begin{cases} h/4 & \text{for } r > 1/2, \\ r^2h & \text{for } h < r \leq 1/2, \\ r^3 & \text{for } r \leq h. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Furthermore, for $x, y \in R_h$ with $r = |x - y|$, we have

$$|R_h \cap Q(x, r) \cap Q(y, r)| \geq \begin{cases} h/4 & \text{for } r > 1/2, \\ r^2h & \text{for } h < r \leq 1/2, \\ r^3 & \text{for } r \leq h, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $0 < h < 1/2$.

Using Lemma 2.1, estimates (2.3)-(2.5), and following the proof of [16, Lemma 4.5], we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $u \in W^{1,2}(R_h)$ and every $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\bar{u} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1, with a constant C that is independent of $h \in (0, 1/2)$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1

As in [7] (iii) directly follows from (i) and (ii). Then it will suffice to prove (i) and (ii). First, we construct a covering on S_h as follows.

Let $p_0 \in \bar{S}$ be given. Consider a coordinate system $\varphi(p) = x : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\varphi(p_0) = (1/2, 1/2)$, where $U \subset M$ is a neighborhood of p_0 . We define a neighborhood of p_0 by

$$\Omega(p_0) = \varphi^{-1}((0, 1)^2).$$

Consider the map $\Phi : R_h \rightarrow [\Omega(p_0)]_h$ by

$$\Phi(x, t) = \varphi^{-1}(x) + tn \circ \varphi^{-1}(x) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in R_h.$$

It is easy to check that $\Phi : R_h \rightarrow [\Omega(p_0)]_h$ is a bilipschitz map with the Lipschitz constants of both Φ and Φ^{-1} being bounded by some $L > 0$ that is independent of h small.

Given $u \in W^{1,2}([\Omega(p_0)]_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$, apply (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.2 to $v = u \circ \Phi \in H^1(R_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L\lambda$ to obtain a truncated function $\bar{v} \in W^{1,\infty}(R_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. We define $\bar{u} = \bar{v} \circ \Phi^{-1} \in W^{1,\infty}([\Omega(p_0)]_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and observe that

$$\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^\infty([\Omega(p_0)]_h, \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq L \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(R_h, \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CL^2\lambda, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\{z \in [\Omega(p_0)]_h \mid u(z) \neq \bar{u}(z)\}| &= |\Phi(\{(x, t) \in R_h \mid u \circ \Phi(x, t) \neq \bar{u} \circ \Phi(x, t)\})| \\ &\leq L^3 |\{(x, t) \in R_h \mid v(x, t) \neq \bar{v}(x, t)\}| \leq \frac{CL^3}{L\lambda} \int_{|\nabla v| > L\lambda} |\nabla v| dz \\ &\leq \frac{CL^6}{\lambda} \int_{|\nabla u| > \lambda} |\nabla u| dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The constants C in (2.6) and (2.7) are independent of $h \in (0, 1/2)$.

By the finite covering theorem there are $p_1, \dots, p_m \in S \cup \partial S$ such that

$$\bar{S} \subset S', \quad (2.8)$$

where $S' = \cup_{i=1}^m \Omega(p_i)$. Then

$$\bar{S}_h \subset \cup_{i=1}^m [\Omega(p_i)]_h.$$

Let $\{\phi_i \in C^2(S', [0, 1])\}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\{\Omega(p_i)\}$, so that: $\text{supp } \phi_i \subset \bar{\Omega}(p_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(p) = 1$ for $p \in S'$.

Finally we follow the proof of [16, Theorem 4.3] to complete our proof here. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(S_h) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } (\partial S)_h\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\tilde{S} \subset M$ be a non-characteristic region such that $S \subset\subset \tilde{S}$. Then there exists a region $S' \subset M$ with $S \subset\subset S' \subset\subset \tilde{S}$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h)$ and every $\lambda > 0$ there exists a $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S'_h)$ satisfying (i) – (iii) in Theorem 2.1 with a constant C independent of $h > 0$ small.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can choose a covering $S' = \cup_{i=1}^m \Omega(p_i)$ on S as in (2.8) such that $\bar{S}' \subset \tilde{S}$. We extend $u \in W_0^{1,2}(S)$ to $u \in W_0^{1,2}(S')$ by

$$u = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in S' \setminus S.$$

Then the proposition follows. \square

3 Pointwise Rigidity Estimates of Harmonic Displacements

Let $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with radius $r > 0$. Suppose that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2/3))$ is given such that

$$\varphi(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B(x, 2/3); \quad \varphi(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } x \in B(0, \frac{1}{2}); \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(x) dx = 1.$$

Denote

$$\varphi_r(x) = \frac{1}{r^3} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

If $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $f \geq 0$, then

$$(\varphi_r * f)(x) = \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi\left(\frac{x-z}{r}\right) f(z) dz \geq \frac{1}{2r^3} \int_{B(x, r/2)} f(z) dz. \quad (3.1)$$

For $y \in W^{1,2}(S'_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$, let

$$\varepsilon(\nabla y) = \text{dist}(\nabla y(z) + I, \text{SO}(3)) \quad \text{for } z \in S_h. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $r > 0$, any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and any y being harmonic on $B(x, r)$,*

$$\varepsilon^2(y)(x) + r^2 |\nabla^2 y(x)|^2 + r^4 |\nabla^3 y(x)|^2 + r^6 |\nabla^4 y(x)|^2 \leq C [\varphi_r * \varepsilon^2(y)](x). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. 1. Let $x = 0$ and $r = 1$. Applying Friesecke-James-Müller's inequality on $B(0, 1)$ to the function $y + \text{id}$, there is $R \in \text{SO}(3)$ such that

$$\|\nabla y + I - R\|_{L^2(B(0,1))}^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(B(0,1))}^2,$$

where constant $C > 0$ depends only on $B(0, 1)$. Since y is harmonic, ∇y , $\nabla^2 y$, $\nabla^3 y$, and $\nabla^4 y$ are harmonic. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 y\|_{L^2(B(0,1/16))}^2 &\leq C \|\nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(B(0,1/8))}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(B(0,1/4))}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla y + I - R\|_{L^2(B(0,1/2))}^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(B(0,1))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the mean value theorem for harmonic functions that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2(y)(0) &\leq |\nabla y(0) + I - R|^2 \leq \frac{1}{|B(0, 1/2)|} \int_{B(0,1/2)} |\nabla y + I - R|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(B(0,1))}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Similar argument yields

$$|\nabla^2 y(0)|^2 + |\nabla^3 y(0)|^2 + |\nabla^4 y(0)|^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(B(0,1))}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

2. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r > 0$. Let y be harmonic on $B(x, r)$. Set

$$\hat{y}(z) = \frac{2}{r} y\left(x + \frac{r}{2} z\right) \quad \text{for } z \in B(0, 1).$$

Then (3.3) follows by applying \hat{y} to (3.4), (3.5), and (3.1). \square

Lemma 3.2. For given $\sigma > 0$ small, let $r(x) = \sigma \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial(S_h))$. Then there exists $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ small, such that

$$\int_{S_h} \varphi_{r(x)} * f^2 dx \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(S_h), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\varphi_{r(x)} * f^2 = \int_{S_h} \varphi_{r(x)}(x-z) f^2(z) dz.$$

Proof. It will suffice to prove that

$$\int_{S_h} \varphi_{r(x)}(x-z) dx \leq C \quad \text{for all } z \in S_h.$$

Let $r_0(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial(S_h))$. Since r_0 is Lipschitz on S_h with the Lipschitz constant being 1, we have

$$\|\nabla r_0\|_{L^\infty(S_h)}^2 \leq 3.$$

If $x \in S_h$ is such that $|x-z| \geq r(x)$, then

$$\varphi_{r(x)}(x-z) = 0.$$

Let $z \in S_h$. Set

$$\Omega(z) = \{x \in S_h \mid |x-z| < r(x)\},$$

where $r(x) = \sigma \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial(S_h))$. We define a mapping $\psi : \Omega(z) \rightarrow B(0, 1)$ by

$$\psi(x) = \frac{x-z}{r(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in S_h. \quad (3.7)$$

We claim that $\psi : \Omega(z) \rightarrow B(0, 1)$ is injective. In fact, for $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - x_2| &= |\psi(x_1)r(x_1) - \psi(x_2)r(x_2)| = |\psi(x_1)[r(x_1) - r(x_2)] - [\psi(x_2) - \psi(x_1)]r(x_2)| \\ &\leq \sigma|x_1 - x_2| + C|\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2)|, \end{aligned}$$

that is, when $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\psi : \Omega(z) \rightarrow B(0, 1)$ is injective.

From (3.7) we have

$$\nabla \psi = \frac{1}{r}(I - \psi \otimes \nabla r).$$

Then

$$\det(r\nabla\psi) = 1 - \sigma\langle\nabla r_0, \psi\rangle \geq 1 - \sqrt{3}\sigma > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < \sigma < 1/\sqrt{3}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus for $0 < \sigma < 1/\sqrt{3}$ the mapping

$$\psi : \Omega(z) \rightarrow \psi(\Omega(z)) \subset B(0, 1)$$

is a diffeomorphism. A change of variable $y = \psi(x)$ yields

$$\int_{S_h} \varphi_{r(x)}(x-z) dx = \int_{\Omega(z)} \frac{1}{r^3(x)} \varphi(\psi(x)) dx = \int_{\psi(\Omega(z))} \frac{\varphi(y)}{\det r\nabla\psi} dy \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{3}\sigma}$$

for all $0 < \sigma < 1/\sqrt{3}$. Thus (3.6) follows. \square

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$, such that for any y being harmonic on S_h ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial(S_h))\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + \|\text{dist}^2(\cdot, \partial(S_h))\nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \\ & + \|\text{dist}^3(\cdot, \partial(S_h))\nabla^4 y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq C\|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Take

$$r = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \text{dist}(x, \partial(S_h)) \quad \text{for } x \in S_h$$

in (3.3). We then integrate it over S_h to have (3.9) by Lemma 3.2. \square

4 Proofs of Main Results

We will need the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a > 0$ be given. We have*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(-a,a)}^2 \leq 5\|f\|_{L^2(-a/2,a/2)}^2 + 4\|rf'\|_{L^2(-a,a)}^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{1,2}(-a,a),$$

where

$$r(t) = \begin{cases} t+a & \text{for } t \in (-a, 0), \\ a-t & \text{for } t \in [0, a). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^x f^2(t)dt &= (t+a)f^2(t)\Big|_{-a}^x - 2 \int_{-a}^x (t+a)ff'dt \\ &\leq (x+a)f^2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^x f^2(t)dt + 2 \int_{-a}^x (t+a)^2 f'^2(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\int_{-a}^x f^2(t)dt \leq 2(x+a)f^2(x) + 4 \int_{-a}^x (t+a)^2 f'^2(t)dt.$$

Let $x \in (-a/2, 0)$ be such that

$$f^2(x) = \frac{2}{a} \int_{-a/2}^0 f^2(t)dt.$$

Then

$$\int_{-a}^{-a/2} f^2(t)dt \leq 4 \int_{-a/2}^0 f^2(t)dt + 4 \int_{-a}^0 r^2(t)f'^2(t)dt.$$

We apply function $f(\cdot)$ to the inequality above to have

$$\int_{a/2}^a f^2(t)dt \leq 4 \int_0^{a/2} f^2(t)dt + 4 \int_0^a r^2(t)f'^2(t)dt.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-a}^a f^2(t)dt &= \int_{-a}^{-a/2} f^2(t)dt + \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} f^2(t)dt + \int_{a/2}^a f^2(t)dt \\ &\leq 5 \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} f^2(t)dt + 4 \int_{-a}^a r^2(t)f'^2(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a > 0$ be given. Then for any $s \in (-a, a)$*

$$f^2(s) \leq a^{-1} \int_{-a}^a f^2(t)dt + 4a \int_{-a}^a f'^2(t)dt \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{1,2}(-a, a). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in (-a, a)$ be such that

$$2af^2(\sigma) = \int_{-a}^a f^2(t)dt.$$

Then

$$f^2(s) = [f(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^s f'(t)dt]^2 \leq 2f^2(\sigma) + 2\left(\int_{-a}^a |f'(t)|dt\right)^2.$$

Thus (4.1) follows. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Xi = (0, a) \times (0, b)$, $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$, and $f_0 \in L^2(\Xi)$ with $c_1 \leq f_0 \leq c_2$. Then there exists $C = C(c_1, c_2) > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\Xi)} \leq C(\|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Xi)$.

Proof. Fix $\sigma = c_1/4$. For given $f \in C_0^1(\Xi)$, let

$$A_0 = \{x \in \Xi \mid |f(x)| \leq \sigma\}, \quad A_1 = \{x \in \Xi \mid |f(x) + f_0(x)| \leq \sigma\},$$

and

$$A_2 = \{x \in \Xi \mid |f(x)| > \sigma, |f(x) + f_0(x)| > \sigma\}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\Xi = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2; \quad A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Moreover, it follows from the definitions of A_0 and A_2 that

$$\int_{A_0 \cup A_2} |f(x)|dx \leq \sigma^{-1} \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$|A_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{A_2} |f(f + f_0)|dx \leq \sigma^{-2} \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $|A_2|$ denotes the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure of set A_2 .

Let

$$\pi_1(A_1) = \{x_1 \in (0, a) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in A_1\}, \quad \pi_2(A_1) = \{x_2 \in (0, b) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in A_1\}.$$

Define

$$t_+(x_1) = \inf\{t \in (0, b) \mid (x_1, t) \in A_1\} \quad \text{for } x_1 \in \pi_1(A_1).$$

Then $t_+(x_1) > 0$ for $x_1 \in \pi_1(A_1)$ since $f|_{\partial\Xi} = 0$ and $\inf_{x \in \Xi} f_0(x) \geq c_1 = 4\sigma$. Furthermore, let

$$t_-(x_1) = \sup\{t \in [0, t_+(x_1)] \mid (x_1, t) \in A_0\} \quad \text{for } x_1 \in \pi_1(A_1).$$

Then

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \Xi \mid x_1 \in \pi_1(A_1), x_2 \in (t_-(x_1), t_+(x_1))\} \subset A_2. \quad (4.5)$$

For each $x_1 \in \pi_1(A_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, t_-(x_1)) - f(x_1, t_+(x_1)) &= f(x_1, t_-(x_1)) + f_0(x_1, t_+(x_1)) \\ &\quad - [f(x_1, t_+(x_1)) + f_0(x_1, t_+(x_1))] \\ &\geq c_1 - 2\sigma = 2\sigma > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sigma|\pi_1(A_1)| &\leq \int_{\pi_1(A_1)} [f(x_1, t_-(x_1)) - f(x_1, t_+(x_1))] dx_1 = \int_{\pi_1(A_1)} \int_{t_+(x_1)}^{t_-(x_1)} f_{x_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_{A_2} |\nabla f| dx \leq |A_2|^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $|\pi_1(A_1)|$ is the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure of set $\pi_1(A_1)$. A similar argument for $\pi_2(A_1)$ as in (4.6) yields

$$2\sigma|\pi_2(A_1)| \leq \int_{A_2} |\nabla f| dx \leq |A_2|^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.6) and (4.7) we then obtain

$$|A_1| \leq |\pi_1(A_1)| |\pi_2(A_1)| \leq 4c_1^{-2} |A_2| \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}^2.$$

It follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} f^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{A_1} (|f + f_0|^2 + f_0^2) dx \leq 2(\sigma^2 + c_2^2) |A_1| \leq C |A_2| \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}^2 \\ &\leq C \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Finally, from (4.3) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1(\Xi)} &= \int_{A_0 \cup A_2} |f| dx + \int_{A_1} |f| dx \leq \sigma^{-1} \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + |A_1|^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(A_1)} \\ &\leq C \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)} + C \|f(f + f_0)\|_{L^1(\Xi)}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, let

$$B = A + I, \quad \Phi(A) = \text{sym } A + \frac{1}{2}A^T A.$$

It is easy to check that

Lemma 4.4.

$$|\Phi(A)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3} + |B|}{2} \text{dist}(B, \text{SO}(3)). \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, if $\det B > 0$, then

$$\text{dist}(B, \text{SO}(3)) \leq \sqrt{3}|B^T B - I| = 2\sqrt{3}|\Phi(A)|. \quad (4.10)$$

We extend the domain S_h of $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ to be M_h , still denoted as u , by

$$u = 0 \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in M_h/S_h.$$

Let $S' \subset M$ be the region given by Proposition 2.1 with $S \subset\subset S' \subset\subset \tilde{S}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S'_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S'_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be given in Proposition 2.1 satisfying (i)-(iii) in Theorem 2.1 with respect to $\lambda = 3\sqrt{4}$. Then there exists $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^\infty(S'_h)} &\leq C, \\ \|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2(S'_h)}^2 &\leq C \int_{|\nabla u| > 3\sqrt{3}} |\nabla u|^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$y_1 = \bar{u} + \text{id}, \quad \varepsilon(\nabla u) = \text{dist}(\nabla u + I, \text{SO}(3)).$$

It follows from the inequalities above that

$$\|\nabla y_1\|_{L^\infty(S'_h)} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \bar{u} - \nabla u\|_{L^2(S'_h)}^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2, \quad (4.11)$$

where constant C is independent of $h > 0$.

We solve problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta y_2 = \Delta y_1 & \text{for } z \in \tilde{S}_h, \\ y_2|_{\partial(\tilde{S}_h)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Define

$$y = y_1 - y_2 - \text{id}. \quad (4.13)$$

Then y is harmonic on \tilde{S}_h . Since $y_1|_{(\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h} = \text{id}$, we have

$$y|_{(\partial \tilde{S})_h} = 0,$$

that is, $y \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\tilde{S}_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let y be given in (4.13) from $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)} - \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \right| + \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)} + \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \\ \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\varepsilon(\nabla y) = \text{dist}(\nabla y + I, \text{SO}(3))$ and constant C is independent of $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $h > 0$.

Proof. From (4.12) and (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla y_2\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 &= - \int_{\tilde{S}_h} \langle y_2, \Delta y_2 \rangle dz = - \int_{\tilde{S}_h} \langle y_2, \text{div}(\nabla y_1 - \text{cof} \nabla y_1) \rangle dz \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla y_2\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 + C \|\text{dist}(\nabla y_1, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

that gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla y_2\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} &\leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla y_1, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \\ &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} + \|\nabla \bar{u} - \nabla u\|_{L^2(S'_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

It follows from $y_1|_{(\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h} = \text{id}$ and (4.15) that

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)} = \|\nabla y_2\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}. \quad (4.16)$$

Since

$$\nabla y = \nabla u + \nabla \bar{u} - \nabla u - \nabla y_2,$$

from (4.11) and (4.15) we have

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} + \|\nabla \bar{u} - \nabla u\|_{L^2(S'_h)} + \|\nabla y_2\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}. \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)} - \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \right| &\leq \|\nabla y - \nabla u\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \\ &= \|\nabla \bar{u} - \nabla u - \nabla y_2\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Finally, (4.14) follows from (4.18), (4.17), and (4.16). \square

A Cutoff Function. We fix a region $S'' \subset M$ such that

$$S' \subset\subset S'' \subset\subset \tilde{S}. \quad (4.19)$$

Suppose that $\psi \in C^2(S'')$ is a cutoff function such that

$$\psi|_{S'} = 1, \quad \text{supp } \psi \subset S''. \quad (4.20)$$

Let $f \in L^1(S_h)$. Since

$$\int_{S_h} |f(z)| dz = \int_{-h}^h \int_S |f(x + tn(x))| (1 + t \operatorname{tr} \nabla n + t^2 \kappa) dg dt,$$

where κ is the Gaussian curvature, we have

$$(1 - Ch) \int_{-h}^h \int_S |f| dg dt \leq \int_{S_h} |f(z)| dz \leq (1 + Ch) \int_{-h}^h \int_S |f| dg dt.$$

Thus, if $h > 0$ is small, we use

$$\int_{-h}^h \int_S |f| dg dt$$

to replace

$$\int_{S_h} |f(z)| dz.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let region S'' and function ψ be given in (4.19) and (4.20), respectively. Suppose that y is the harmonic function given in (4.13) from $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exist $C > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla(\psi y))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}, \quad \|\nabla^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 \leq Ch^{-2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2, \quad (4.21)$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $0 < h < h_0$.

Proof. By (4.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon(\nabla(\psi y))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} &= \|\operatorname{dist}(\nabla y + (\psi - 1)\nabla y + y \otimes \nabla \psi + I, \operatorname{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} \\ &\leq \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)} + C \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)} + C \|y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)} \\ &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} + C \|y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Next, we estimate the term $\|y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)}$. By Poincaré's inequality on $\tilde{S} \setminus S'$, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{S} \setminus S'} |y|^2 dg \leq C \int_{\tilde{S} \setminus S'} |Dy|^2 dg \leq C \int_{\tilde{S} \setminus S'} |\nabla y|^2 dg,$$

where D denotes the covariant differential of the induced metric g of surface M . We integrate the inequality above over $(-h, h)$ with respect to t to have

$$\|y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S')_h)}^2. \quad (4.23)$$

Then the first estimate in (4.21) follows from (4.22) and (4.14).

Let $h_0 = \operatorname{dist}(S'', \partial \tilde{S})$. Since y is harmonic on \tilde{S} , using (4.14), (4.23), and (3.9), we have, for $0 < h < h_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 &\leq C \|\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S)_{h/2})}^2 + C \|y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S)_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{-2} \|\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial(\tilde{S}_h)) \nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 + C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{-2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 + C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{-2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a bounded C^2 Lipschitz region. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{h^2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \quad (4.24)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and all $0 < h < h_0$.

Proof. Let region S'' and function ψ be given in (4.19) and (4.20), respectively. Suppose that y is the harmonic function given in (4.13) from $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the second inequality in (4.21), we have

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})} \leq Ch^{-1} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}.$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 &\leq 5\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})}^2 + C\|\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\tilde{S}_{h/2})\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{-2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + C\|r\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 \leq Ch^{-2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.5 that

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 = \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S}\setminus S)_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

Thus (4.24) follows from Lemma 4.5 again. □

For $y \in W^{1,2}(\tilde{S}_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$, We define

$$Dy(z) = \sum_{ij=1}^2 \nabla_{e_i} y(z) \otimes e_j \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in \tilde{S}_h, \quad (4.25)$$

where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_x\tilde{S}$. Then

$$|\nabla y|^2 = |Dy|^2 + |y_t|^2 \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in \tilde{S}_h.$$

Assumption (H). Let $\tau > 0$ be such that the following estimates hold for the Korn inequality:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{h^\tau} \|\text{sym} \nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \quad (4.26)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose assumption (H) holds true. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ be given. There exist $C > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that, if $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies*

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq \delta h^{\tau+3+\alpha} \quad \text{for } 0 < h < h_0, \quad (4.27)$$

then

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-\tau} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \quad (4.28)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $0 < h < h_0$.

Proof. Let y be the harmonic function given in (4.13) from u . Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be given. By the interpolation inequality, (4.1), (3.9), and (4.14), there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Dy\|_{W^{1+\theta,2}(S,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C \|Dy\|_{W^{1,2}(S,\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2(1-\theta)} \|Dy\|_{W^{2,2}(S,\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2\theta} \\
& \leq C (h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{W^{1,2}(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + h \|\nabla^2 y\|_{W^{1,2}(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2)^{1-\theta} \\
& \quad (h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{W^{2,2}(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + h \|\nabla^2 y\|_{W^{2,2}(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2)^\theta \\
& \leq C [h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + (h^{-1} + h) \|\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + h \|\nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2]^{1-\theta} \\
& \quad \{h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + (h^{-1} + h) (\|\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) \\
& \quad + h \|\nabla^4 y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2},\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\}^\theta \\
& \leq C [h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + (h^{-1} + h) h^{-2} \|r \nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + h^{-3} \|r^2 \nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2]^{1-\theta} \\
& \quad \{h^{-1} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + (h^{-1} + h) (h^{-2} \|r \nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + h^{-4} \|r^2 \nabla^3 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) \\
& \quad + h^{-5} \|r^3 \nabla^4 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\}^\theta \\
& \leq C h^{-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < h < \text{dist}(S, \partial\tilde{S})$, $t \in (-h/2, h/2)$, and $1 \leq i \leq 3$, where $r = \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial(\tilde{S}_h))$. A similar argument yields

$$\|y_t\|_{W^{1+\theta,2}(S,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C h^{-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|\nabla y\|_{W^{1+\theta,2}(S,\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C h^{-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

The Sobolev embedding theorem yields

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^\infty(S)} \leq C_\theta h^{-3/2-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h,\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad \text{for } t \in (-h/2, h/2).$$

Thus

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^\infty(S_{h/2})} \leq C_\theta h^{-3/2-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Since $y \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\tilde{S}_{h/2}, \mathbb{R}^3)$, from (4.26), (4.9), (4.14), and (4.29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 & \leq C h^{-\tau} \|\text{sym } \nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 \\
& \leq C h^{-\tau} \|\text{sym } \nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})}^2 + C h^{-\tau} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S}/S)_{h/2})}^2 \\
& \leq C h^{-\tau} \|\Phi(\nabla y)\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})}^2 + C_\theta h^{-\tau-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_{h/2})}^2 \\
& \quad + C h^{-\tau} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \\
& \leq C C_\theta h^{-\tau-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 (\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 + \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2) \\
& \quad + C h^{-\tau} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2
\end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Let

$$\theta = \frac{\alpha}{3}, \quad h_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2CC_\theta\delta}\right)^{3/\alpha}.$$

By the assumption (4.27), when $0 < h < h_0$ we have

$$CC_\theta h^{-\tau-3-2\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq CC_\theta \delta h^{\alpha/3} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows from (4.30) that

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_{h/2})}^2 \leq Ch^{-\tau} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \quad (4.31)$$

Let region S'' be given in (4.19). Using Lemma 4.1, (4.31), and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 &\leq 5\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + 4 \int_{-h}^h r_0^2(t) \|\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(S'')}^2 dt \\ &\leq 5\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + 4\|r\nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-\tau} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

for $0 < h < \text{dist}(S'', \partial\tilde{S})$, where $r = \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial(\tilde{S}_h))$ and

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} t+h & \text{for } t \in (-h, 0), \\ h-t & \text{for } t \in [0, h). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we replace \tilde{S} with S'' in Lemma 4.5 to have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 + C\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \quad (4.33)$$

Combining (4.32) and (4.33), we obtain (4.28). \square

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. ([22]) *Let $y = F + fn \in W^{1,2}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be given with $f = \langle F, n \rangle$ and let $A = \nabla y(I + t\nabla n)$. Then*

$$|A|^2 = |DF + f\vec{n}|^2 + |Df - \nabla n F|^2 + |F_t|^2 + f_t^2, \quad (4.34)$$

$$|\text{sym } A|^2 = |\Upsilon(y)|^2 + |\mathcal{X}(y)|^2 + f_t^2 \quad (4.35)$$

for $z = x + tn(x) \in S_h$, where

$$\Upsilon(y) = \text{sym } DF + f\nabla n, \quad \mathcal{X}(y) = Df - \nabla n F + F_t.$$

Let region S'' and function ψ be given in (4.19) and (4.20), respectively. Suppose y is the harmonic function given in (4.13) from u . Set

$$\psi y = W + wn, \quad A = \nabla(\psi y)(I + t\nabla n), \quad (4.36)$$

where $w = \langle \psi y, n \rangle$. Then

$$(1 - Ch)|A| \leq |\nabla(\psi y)| \leq (1 + Ch)|A| \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in \tilde{S}_h. \quad (4.37)$$

It is easy to check that the following identities hold true:

$$AX = D_X W + w \nabla n X + [X(w) - \langle \nabla n W, X \rangle] n \quad \text{for } X \in T_x \tilde{S}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$A^T X = (D^T W + w \nabla n) X + \langle X, W_t \rangle n \quad \text{for } X \in T_x \tilde{S}, \quad (4.39)$$

and

$$An = W_t + w_t n, \quad A^T n = Dw - \nabla n W + w_t n \quad \text{for } z = x + tn \in \tilde{S}_h. \quad (4.40)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(A) = \sqrt{(A^T + I)(A + I)} - I, \quad \mathcal{E}'(A) = \sqrt{(A + I)(A^T + I)} - I.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}^2 + 2\mathcal{E} = 2\Phi(A), \quad \mathcal{E}'^2 + 2\mathcal{E}' = 2\Phi(A^T), \quad |\mathcal{E}(A)| \leq \varepsilon(A), \quad |\mathcal{E}'(A)| \leq \varepsilon(A), \quad (4.41)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(A) = \text{dist}(A + I, \text{SO}(3)), \quad \Phi(A) = \text{sym} A + \frac{1}{2} A^T A.$$

Let $\{E_1, E_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_x \tilde{S}$. Then $\{E_1, E_2, n\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}_z^3 . By (4.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\mathcal{E}^2 + 2\mathcal{E})E_i, E_i \rangle &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle AE_i, E_i \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^2 |AE_i|^2 \\ &= 2 \text{div}_g W + 2w \text{tr}_g \nabla n + |DW + w \nabla n|^2 + |Dw - \nabla n W|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

and, by (4.39),

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\mathcal{E}'^2 + 2\mathcal{E}')E_i, E_i \rangle = 2 \text{div}_g W + 2w \text{tr}_g \nabla n + |DW + w \nabla n|^2 + |W_t|^2, \quad (4.43)$$

for $z = x + tn(x) \in \tilde{S}_h$.

Lemma 4.8. *We have*

$$\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \quad (4.44)$$

Proof. Since

$$|A + I - Q| \leq |\nabla(\psi y) + I - Q| + Ch |\nabla(\psi y)| \quad \text{for all } Q \in \text{SO}(3),$$

it follows from Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 &\leq 2 \|\varepsilon(\nabla(\psi y))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 + Ch^2 \|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla(\psi y))\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.9. *Let S be the ruled surface, given by (1.3). Then there exists $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$ small, such that*

$$\|DW + w\nabla n\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + \|Dw - \nabla nW\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \leq CP(u, h), \quad (4.45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(u, h) &= \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} \\ &\quad + (\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)})^{1/2}h^{-1}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Proof. 1. Since

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial\omega(s)}{\partial s_1} = c'(s_1) + s_2\delta'(s_1), \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial\omega(s)}{\partial s_2} = \delta(s_1),$$

we have $\nabla_{\partial_2}\partial_2 = 0$. Then

$$D_{\partial_2}\partial_2 = 0, \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial_2}n, \partial_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{on } \tilde{S}. \quad (4.47)$$

From (4.38) and (4.47) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \Phi(A)\partial_2, \partial_2 \rangle &= 2\langle A\partial_2, \partial_2 \rangle + |A\partial_2|^2 = 2\langle (DW + w\nabla n)\partial_2, \partial_2 \rangle + |A\partial_2|^2 \\ &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial s_2}\langle W, \partial_2 \rangle + |A\partial_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $z(s) = \omega(s) + tn(\omega(s))$. It follows from $W \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\tilde{S}_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and (4.41) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{b_1}^{b_2} |\psi y(z(s))|^2 ds_2 &\leq C \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2}(\psi y)(z(s)) \right|^2 ds_2 = C \int_{b_1}^{b_2} |A\partial_2|^2 ds_2 \\ &\leq C \int_{b_1}^{b-2} |\Phi(A)| ds_2 \leq C \int_0^b (|\mathcal{E}(A)|^2 + |\mathcal{E}(A)|) ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

We then integrate the above inequality with respect to s_1 over (a_1, a_2) to have

$$\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')}^2 \leq C \int_{S''} (|\mathcal{E}(A)|^2 + |\mathcal{E}(A)|) dg.$$

Finally, integrating the above inequality with respect to t over $(-h/2, h/2)$ yields, by (4.44),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}) \\ &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

2. Using the interpolation theorem and the Poincaré inequality over region S'' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')}^2 &\leq C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')}\|\psi y\|_{W^{2,2}(S'')} \\ &\leq C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')}(\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')} + \|D(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')} + \|D^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')}) \\ &\leq C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')}(\|D(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')} + \|D^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')}), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|D(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')}^2 \leq C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')}^2 + C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S'')} \|D^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S'')}.$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to t over $(-h/2, h/2)$ gives, by (4.48) and (4.21),

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 &\leq C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C\|\psi y\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})} \|\nabla^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})} \\ &\leq CP(u, h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

3. Fix $x \in S''$. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_x S''$ such that

$$\nabla_{e_i} n = \lambda_i e_i \quad \text{at } x \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are the principal curvatures. By (4.25), (4.36) and (4.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(\psi y)|^2 &= \sum_{ij=1}^2 \langle e_i, \nabla(\psi y)e_j \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle n, \nabla_{e_i}(\psi y) \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{ij=1}^2 \frac{1}{(1+t\lambda_j)^2} \langle e_i, Ae_j \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{(1+t\lambda_i)^2} \langle n, Ae_i \rangle^2 \\ &\geq \sigma \sum_{i=1}^2 |Ae_i|^2 = \sigma(|DW + w\nabla n|^2 + |Dw - \nabla nW|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

for all $z = x + tn(x) \in S''_{h/2}$ and $0 < h < h_0$ where $\sigma > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ are small constants. Thus (4.45) follows from (4.49) and (4.50). \square

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose one of the following assumptions (a) or (b) holds:*

- (a) S is a minimal surface, and
- (b) S is a ruled surface, given in (1.3).

Then there is $C > 0$, independent of $h > 0$ small, such that

$$\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \leq CP(u, h), \quad (4.51)$$

where $P(u, h)$ is given in (4.46).

Proof. (a) Let S be a minimal surface with $\text{tr}_g \nabla n = 0$. Since $W \in W_0^{1,2}(S'', \mathbb{R}^3)$, using (4.42) and (4.43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|DW + w\nabla n\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 + \|Dw - \nabla nW\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 + \|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{S''_h} (|\mathcal{E}|^2 + |\mathcal{E}'|^2 + |\mathcal{E}| + |\mathcal{E}'|) dz \\ &\leq C\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(S''_h)}^2 + Ch^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(S''_h)} \quad \text{for } t \in (-h, h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

Moreover, by (4.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\mathcal{E}^2 + 2\mathcal{E})n, n \rangle &= \langle (A + A^T + A^T A)n, n \rangle = 2\langle n, An \rangle + |An|^2 \\ &= 2w_t + w_t^2 + |W_t|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (4.52),

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} &\leq \int_{S''_{h/2}} (|\mathcal{E}^2 + 2\mathcal{E}| + |W_t|^2) dg dt \\ &\leq C\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + Ch^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(A)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}.\end{aligned}\quad (4.53)$$

Since \tilde{S} is regular with negative curvature, we may assume that \tilde{S} is given by

$$\tilde{S} = \{ \alpha(s) \mid s = (s_1, s_2) \in (0, a) \times (0, b) \},$$

where $\alpha(\cdot) : (0, a) \times (0, b) \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is an immersion [21, 23]. We take

$$f_0(s) = 2, \quad f(s) = w_t(\alpha(s) + tn(\alpha(s))) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Xi),$$

in Lemma 4.3. Applying (4.2) to the above f yields

$$\|w_t\|_{L^1(S'')} \leq C(\|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S'')} + \|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S'')}^{1/2} \|Dw_t\|_{L^2(S'')}).$$

We integrate the above inequality with respect to t over $(-h/2, h/2)$ to have

$$\|w_t\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} \leq C(\|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} + \|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})}^{1/2} \|Dw_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}). \quad (4.54)$$

It thus follows from (4.54), (4.53), and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 &\leq 2\|w_t\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} + \|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} \\ &\leq C\|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})} + C\|w_t(2 + w_t)\|_{L^1(S''_{h/2})}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})} \\ &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}) \\ &\quad + C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)})^{1/2} h^{-1} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}.\end{aligned}\quad (4.55)$$

Thus, by (4.37), (4.34), (4.52), (4.44), and (4.55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 &\leq C\|A\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 = C\|Dw + w\nabla\vec{n}\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C\|Dw - \nabla nW\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C\|w_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}) + CP(u, h) \\ &\leq CP(u, h).\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let S be the ruled surface (1.3). We estimate $\|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2$ and $\|w_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2$, respectively. Using (4.42) and (4.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W_t|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 [\langle (\mathcal{E}'^2 + 2\mathcal{E}')E_i, E_i \rangle - \langle (\mathcal{E}'^2 + 2\mathcal{E}')E_i, E_i \rangle] + |Dw - \nabla n W|^2 \\ &\leq C(|\mathcal{E}'|^2 + |\mathcal{E}|^2 + |\mathcal{E}'| + |\mathcal{E}|) + |Dw - \nabla n W|^2 \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in S''_{h/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to (x, t) over $S'' \times (-h/2, h/2)$ yields

$$\|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2) + \|Dw - \nabla n W\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2.$$

We then obtain, by (4.45),

$$\|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \leq CP(u, h), \quad (4.56)$$

where $P(u, h)$ is given in (4.46).

By (1.3), $w_t(\omega(\cdot) + tn(\omega(\cdot))) \in W_0^{1,2}((a_1, a_2) \times (b_1, b_2))$, where $\omega(s) = c(s_1) + s_2\delta(s_1)$. A similar argument as in (4.55) yields

$$\|w_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \leq CP(u, h). \quad (4.57)$$

From (4.37), (4.34), (4.45), (4.56), and (4.57), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 &\leq C\|DW + w\nabla n\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C\|Dw - \nabla n W\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|W_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 + C\|w_t\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \\ &\leq CP(u, h). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let S be a parabolic. Then S can be parameterized as a ruled surface. We assume that \tilde{S} is a ruled surface, given by

$$\tilde{S} = \{ c(s_1) + s_2\delta(s_1) \mid (s_1, s_2) \in (a_1, a_2) \times (b_1, b_2) \}$$

such that

$$S \subset\subset S'' \subset\subset \tilde{S}.$$

By [12, 24], inequality (4.26) holds true with $\tau = 3/2$. Thus Theorem 4.2 holds for $\tau = 3/2$.

Next, we divide the remaining proof into the following two cases:

Case 1. Let $\beta = 15/8 + \theta$ where $0 < \theta < 1/8$ is given small. We assume that $0 < h < h_0$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfy

$$\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S''_{h/2})}^2 \geq h^{-\beta}\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

By (4.51) in Lemma 4.10 and (4.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}) \\ &\quad + C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)})^{1/2} h^{-1} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} \leq Ch^{1/2+\beta} + Ch^{-3/4+\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^{1/2}. \quad (4.58)$$

We claim that inequality (4.58) implies that

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{3+\tau+\theta} \quad (4.59)$$

with $\tau = 3/2$. Indeed, if

$$h^{-3/4+\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^{1/2} \leq h^{1/2+\beta},$$

then it follows from (4.58) that

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{1+2\beta} = Ch^{3+\tau+1/4+2\theta} \leq Ch^{3+\tau+\theta}.$$

Next, we assume

$$h^{-3/4+\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^{1/2} > h^{1/2+\beta}.$$

From (4.58), we have

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} \leq Ch^{-3/4+\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^{1/2},$$

which yields

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-3+4\beta} = Ch^{3+\tau+4\theta} \leq Ch^{3+\tau+\theta}.$$

From (4.59) and by Theorem 4.2, we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-3/2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-15/8-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

Case 2. Suppose

$$\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S_{h/2}''')} \leq h^{-\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2$$

with $\beta = 15/8 + \theta$. By Lemma 4.1 and (3.9), we have

$$\|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S_{h/2}''')}^2 + C \|\text{dist}^2(\cdot, \partial(\tilde{S}_h)) \nabla^2 y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

It thus follows from Lemma 4.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(\tilde{S}_h)}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + \|\nabla y\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + \|\nabla y\|_{L^2((\tilde{S} \setminus S_h)_h)}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{-15/8-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By [13, 23] inequality (4.26) holds true with $\tau = 4/3$, that is, Theorem 4.2 holds for $\tau = 4/3$.

Case 1. Suppose $0 < h < h_0$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$ are such that

$$\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S_{h/2}'')}^2 \geq h^{-\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2$$

where $\beta = 11/6 + \theta$. It follows from (4.51) that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-\beta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 &\leq C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}) \\ &\quad + C(\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 + h^{1/2} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)})^{1/2} h^{-1} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)} \leq Ch^{14/6+\theta} + Ch^{13/12+\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^{1/2}. \quad (4.61)$$

From (4.61) we have

$$\|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{13/3+\theta}.$$

By Theorem 4.2 with $\tau = 4/3$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-4/3} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

Case 2. Let

$$\|\nabla(\psi y)\|_{L^2(S_{h/2}'')}^2 < h^{-11/6-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

A similar argument as in (4.60) gives

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2 \leq Ch^{-11/6-\theta} \|\varepsilon(\nabla u)\|_{L^2(S_h)}^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) Let $0 < \mu < 2$ be such that (1.1) holds true. Suppose that $w \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is an isometry from S to \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $\bar{n}(x)$ be the normal field on surface $w(S)$ at $w(x)$ with positive orientation induced from the normal n of S .

We define

$$u(z) = w(x) + t\bar{n}(x) \quad \text{for } z = x + tn(x) \in S_h.$$

Then $u \in W^{1,2}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Fix $x \in S$. Let $\{E_1, E_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of S_x with positive orientation. Then

$$\operatorname{div}(E_1, E_2, n(x)) = 1, \quad \bar{n}(x) = \nabla_{E_1} w \times \nabla_{E_2} w.$$

Denote

$$Q = (E_1, E_2, n), \quad R(w) = (\nabla_{E_1} w, \nabla_{E_2} w, \bar{n}).$$

Then $Q, R(w) \in \text{SO}(3)$. At point x we have

$$\nabla u(z)n = \bar{n}, \quad \nabla u(z)(E_i + t\nabla n E_i) = \nabla_{E_i} w + t\nabla_{w_* E_i} \bar{n} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

It follows from $\nabla n n = 0$ and the above formulas that

$$\nabla u Q = (\nabla_{E_1} u, \nabla_{E_2} u, \nabla_n u) = R(w) + t(B - \nabla u \nabla n Q), \quad (4.62)$$

where

$$B = (\nabla_{w_* E_1} \bar{n}, \nabla_{w_* E_2} \bar{n}, 0).$$

From (4.62) we obtain

$$Q^T [\nabla u - R(w) Q^T] Q = t Q^T (B - \nabla u \nabla n Q).$$

Since $R(w) Q^T \in \text{SO}(3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}^2(\nabla u, \text{SO}(3)) &\leq |\nabla u - R(w) Q^T|^2 = t^2 |B - \nabla u \nabla n Q|^2 \\ &\leq Ch^2 (|\nabla^2 w|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) \quad \text{at } x. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Let $\{h_k\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with

$$h_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By inequalities (1.1) and (4.63) there are $R_k \in \text{SO}(3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u - R_k\|_{L^2(S_{h_k}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{h_k^\mu} \|\text{dist}(\nabla u, \text{SO}(3))\|_{L^2(S_{h_k})}^2 \\ &\leq Ch_k^{2-\mu} (h_k \|D^2 w\|_{L^2(S, \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})}^2 + \|\nabla u - R_k\|_{L^2(S_{h_k}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}^2 + 3h_k |S|), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|\nabla u - R_k\|_{L^2(S_{h_k}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}^2 \leq Ch_k^{3-\mu} (\|D^2 w\|_{L^2(S, \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})}^2 + |S|) \quad (4.64)$$

for k large enough since $0 < \mu < 2$. We may assume that for some $R_0 \in \text{SO}(3)$

$$R_k \rightarrow R_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, from (4.62) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u - R_k|^2 &= |(\nabla u - R_k) Q|^2 = |R(w) - R_k Q|^2 + 2t \langle R(w) - R_k Q, B - \nabla u \nabla n Q \rangle \\ &\quad + t^2 |B - \nabla u \nabla n Q|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} |R(w) - R_k Q|^2 - 6t^2 (|B|^2 + |(\nabla u - R_k) \nabla n Q|^2 + |R_k \nabla n Q|^2), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|R(w) - R_k Q|^2 \leq C |\nabla u - R_k|^2 + Ch_k^2 (|B|^2 + 1) \quad (4.65)$$

for k large enough.

Finally, using (4.64) and (4.65) we obtain

$$R(w) = R_0 Q \quad \text{at } x.$$

Thus there is some $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $w(x) = R_0 x + a$ for $x \in S$.

(ii) Let $0 < \mu < 2$ be such that (1.2) holds true. A similar argument as in (i) completes the proof. \square

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by the authors.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. xviii+268 pp.
- [2] L. B. Chen, P. F. Yao, Strain tensors and matching property on degenerated hyperbolic surfaces. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 54 (2022), no. 4, 4930-4953.
- [3] —, Strain tensors and matching property on surfaces with the Gauss curvature changing sign.
- [4] —, The best exponents of thickness in Korn's inequality of the shell with a middle surface of the curvature changing sign.
- [5] S. Conti, C. De Lellis, L. Szekelyhidi Jr., h-principle and rigidity for $C^{1,X}$ isometric embeddings. *Nonlinear partial differential equations*, 83-116, Abel Symp., 7, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [6] De Lellis, Camillo; Inauen, Dominik $C^{1,X}$ isometric embeddings of polar caps. *Adv. Math.* 363 (2020), 106996, 39 pp.
- [7] G. Friesecke, R. James, and S. Müller, A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three dimensional elasticity. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 55, 1461-1506 (2002).
- [8] G. Friesecke, R. James, S. Müller, A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by gamma-convergence, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 2006, 180(2): 183-236.

- [9] G. Friesecke, R. James, M. G. Mora, S. Müller Derivation of nonlinear bending theory for shells from three-dimensional nonlinear elasticity by Gamma-convergence. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 336 (2003), no. 8, 697-702.
- [10] D. Gilbarg, Neil S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Reprint of the 1998 edition. *Classics in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [11] Y. Grabovsky, D. Harutyunyan, Korn inequalities for shells with zero Gaussian curvature. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 35(1), 267-282 (2018).
- [12] — Exact scaling exponents in Korn and Korn-type inequalities for cylindrical shells. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 46(5), 3277-3295 (2014)
- [13] D. Harutyunyan, Gaussian curvature as an identifier of shell rigidity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 226 (2) (2017) 743-766.
- [14] G. Herglotz, Über die Starrheit der Eiflachen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ.* 15 (1943), 127-129.
- [15] P. Hornung, M. Lewicka, M. R. Pakzad, Infinitesimal isometries on developable surfaces and asymptotic theories for thin developable shells. *J. Elasticity* 111 (2013), no. 1, 1-19.
- [16] M. Lewicka, *Calculus of variations on thin prestressed films asymptotic methods in elasticity*, *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 101 Birkhauser/Springer, Cham, 2023, viii+448 pp. ISBN: 978-3-031-17494-0; 978-3-031-17495-7
- [17] M. Lewicka, M. R. Pakzad, The infinite hierarchy of elastic shell models: some recent results and a conjecture. *Infinite dimensional dynamical systems*, 407-420, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, 64, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [18] M. Lewicka, M. G. Mora, M. R. Pakzad, Shell theories arising as low energy Γ -limit of 3d nonlinear elasticity. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 9 (2010), no. 2, 253-295.
- [19] —, The matching property of infinitesimal isometries on elliptic surfaces and elasticity of thin shells. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 200 (2011), no. 3, 1023-1050.
- [20] P. F. Yao, *Modeling and control in vibrational and structural dynamics. A differential geometric approach*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Science Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [21] —, Linear Strain Tensors on Hyperbolic Surfaces and Asymptotic Theories for Thin Shells, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, Vol. 51(2019), No. 2, pp. 1387-1435.

- [22] —, Optimal exponents of thickness in Korn's inequalities for parabolic and elliptic shells, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 200 (2021), no. 2, 379-401.
- [23] —, Strain tensors on hyperbolic surfaces and their applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 281 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 108986, 36 pp.
- [24] —, Lower bounds of optimal exponents of thickness in geometry rigidity inequality for shells. *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.* 34 (2021), no. 6, 2092-2108.
- [25] —, Optimal exponents of thickness in Korn's inequalities for parabolic and elliptic shells.(English summary) *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 200 (2021), no. 2, 379-401.