

# Analyzing Islamophobic Discourse Using Semi-Coded Terms and LLMs

Raza Ul Mustafa  
Loyola University New Orleans, USA  
rulmust@loyno.edu

Roi Dupart  
Loyola University New Orleans, USA  
radupart@my.loyno.edu

Gabrielle Smith  
Tulane University, USA  
gsmith33@tulane.edu

Noman Ashraf  
Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico  
nomanashraf@sagitario.cic.ipn

Nathalie Japkowicz  
American University, USA  
japkowic@american.edu

**Abstract**—Islamophobia<sup>1</sup> started evolving into a global phenomenon by attracting followers across the globe, particularly in Western societies. Thus, understanding Islamophobia’s global spread and online dissemination is crucial. This paper performs a large-scale analysis of specialized, semi-coded Islamophobic terms such as (muzrat, pislam, mudslime, mohammedan, muzzies) floated on extremist social platforms, i.e., 4Chan, Gab, Telegram, etc. First, we use large language models (LLMs) to show their ability to understand these terms. Second, using Google Perspective API, we also find that Islamophobic text is more toxic compared to other kinds of hate speech. Finally, we use BERT topic modeling approach to extract different topics and Islamophobic discourse on these social platforms. Our findings indicate that LLMs understand these Out-Of-Vocabulary (OOV) slurs; however, measures are still required to control such discourse. Our topic modeling also indicates that Islamophobic text is found across various political, conspiratorial, and far-right movements and is particularly directed against Muslim immigrants. Taken altogether, we performed the first study on Islamophobic semi-coded terms and shed a global light on Islamophobia.

**Index Terms**—hate speech, Islamophobia, antisemitism

## I. INTRODUCTION

There has been a significant increase in hate speech on social media platforms, e.g., X, 4Chan, Gab, etc. In the past, offensive language was used on these platforms to incite Islamophobia [1]; however, the use of coded, semi-coded and out-of-vocabulary (OOV) language is currently experiencing a significant surge. Coded language is ambiguous, presenting itself as neutral while embedding hateful meanings. To better explain coded and OOV words, the following post was posted on the *Bitchute* social media platform on August 10, 2024.

- “muzrats are gonna be getting it any day now. pack your bags abdul” – Bitchute (2024-08-10)

In the above post, it is clear that an Islamophobic connotation is implied. *Muzz* is a British marriage and dating app for Muslims. However, (muzrats) are two words, *muz* – *muzz* and *rat* are various medium-sized, long-tailed rodents. Similarly, *abdul* is also used in Islamophobic connotation, a neutral but

hateful slur against Muslims. To add more context to neutral text floating on social media platforms, consider this example posted on 4Chan, dated November 5, 2024, on the US election day, 2024.

- “Your idiotism won us elections, tank you *Abdul*. Now get lost.”

In the above text, the Islamophobic connotation is quite clear; however, the words *tank* and *thank* both have different meanings. A *tank* is an armored fighting vehicle intended as a primary offensive weapon in front-line ground combat, whereas *thank* is an expression of gratitude. Moreover, by adding context to the message, *abdul* is an Islamic name; however, it is a neutral word used in both ways.

Similarly, on extremist platforms, we find other terms like *mohammedan*, *pislam*, *shitskin* and *Muzzies*. These term, while not offensive in its literal meaning (except *Shitskin*) is used derogatorily to imply something negative about the Muslim identity, differentiating and alienating Muslims in a subtle but damaging way. Thus, awareness of these terminologies, similar to *abdul* and OOV words such as *pislam*, *muzrats*, *mohammedan* are necessary for accurate hate speech detection against Muslims.

The recent advancements in Natural Language Processing (NLP), including Large Language Models (LLMs), (e.g., GPT, LLama, etc.), provide a contextual understanding of the text [2]. We also argue that LLMs like GPT-4 and recent versions understand these OOV terminologies (slur) used against Muslims. Therefore, we recommend that social platforms integrate these OOV and coded terms and related expressions into their content moderation strategies to create a more inclusive and safer space for all users. We believe that whenever these slurs are used against Muslims, their purpose is not to discuss a specific type of trope but to blame Muslims for various issues worldwide. Finally, we argue that the toxicity of words expressed against Muslims is more severe than hate speech against other religions.

Therefore, in this research, we try to verify the following hypotheses:

- **H1:** We hypothesize that advanced LLMs understand the

<sup>1</sup>Warning: This paper contains examples of racist and Islamophobic/Antisemitic statements that may be disturbing to the reader.

OOV words used against Muslims. Moreover, in recent years, the most floated trope on extremist social media platforms is *Muslim immigration to white countries*.

- **H2:** Hate speech against Muslims is more violent with toxic content, such as the use of abusive and racial remarks.
- **H3:** The social users who share Islamophobic content discuss various topics and tropes, and the content changes over time and is linked to global tensions.

To verify our hypothesis **H1**, we use GPT-4 to classify OOV and neutral text. We selected a random sample from our labeled dataset, which is benign without toxic language but contains these terms. For hypothesis **H2**, we compare the toxicity of Antisemitism with Islamophobia. We select Antisemitism because it targets Jewish people based on their religious, ethnic, or cultural identity, in this respect, is similar to Islamophobia. Finally, for hypothesis **H3**, we used BERT topic modeling, a state-of-the-art transformer architecture with contextual understanding to extract topics. The choice of BERT is due to its ability to understand the contextual representation of text rather than giving a fixed meaning to words.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following way: In section II, we provide background and state-of-the-art work, followed by dataset collection for our study in section III. Next, Section IV discusses the results of all the hypotheses. We conclude our paper in Section V and discuss future work directions.

## II. BACKGROUND AND STATE-OF-THE-ART

Hate speech often employs slurs and stereotypes to demean race, religion, or cultural practices [3]. Attacks on race, for instance, may generalize all Muslims as belonging to specific racial groups, such as Arabs, South Asians, or Africans, using derogatory terms rooted in colonial or racial hierarchies. Similarly, religious hate speech shifts focus to Islam as a belief system, often mocking its practices or associating it with violence or extremism. Likewise, anti-Muslim rhetoric has recently surged, especially directed at Muslim immigrants in Western nations, where racial tensions are already high. This rhetoric often includes xenophobic language, dehumanizing Muslims as *creatures* or accusing them of *stealing* a country.

Another recurring theme in Islamophobia is to target the Prophet Muhammad, which frequently involves defamatory claims designed to discredit him as a religious figure. Common tropes include references to his multiple wives, participation in wars, or accusations about the ages of his wives. For instance, accusing Prophet of being a *pedophile* or *rapist* attempting to delegitimize him through inflammatory language. A phrase like *piss be upon him* mocks the Islamic phrase “*peace be upon him*”, aiming to insult the Prophet and, by extension, the faith he represents. Finally, there are stereotypes about Muslim women, particularly surrounding modesty practices, polygamy, and cultural traditions.

These religious themes often contain OOV and neutral terms such as *muzrat*, *pislam*, *mudslime*, *mohammedan* and *muzzies*,

to discuss various political tensions and target Muslims. These terms are introduced in a way to convey a disrespectful and toxic message to Muslims. We show an example of each OOV term in Table I.

### A. Islamophobia Derogatory Terms

**Mudslime and Muzzies:** The term *mudslime* is a deliberate distortion of the word muslim, using negative prefixes and connotations to vilify followers of Islam. The prefix *mud-* suggests filth or something undesirable, while *slime* further degrades the term by evoking imagery of something repulsive or unclean. Despite its altered spelling, *mudslime* is phonetically similar to Muslim, indicating an intentional effort to avoid saying the proper name of the religion while still making it recognizable enough to serve as an insult. The same is used for *muzzies*. This type of linguistic manipulation strips Muslims of their religious identity by replacing it with a derogatory imitation.

**Pislam:** Similar to *mudslime* and *muzzies* the term *pislam* distorts the word *Islam* by inserting a negative prefix. The *pis-* prefix, derived from *piss* connotes waste or impurity. This deliberate modification strips Islam of its dignity and respect, replacing it with a term meant to provoke disgust. Like other slurs that alter the pronunciation of *Islam* or Muslim, this one reflects a broader pattern of linguistic dehumanization, where opponents refuse to acknowledge the proper names of Islamic faith and identity, instead opting for insults that reduce them to mockery.

**Mohammedan and Muzrat** *Mohammed* is simply a name, while the *dan* suffix comes from Arabic, representing a vowel sound known as *tanween*, often used to indicate a grammatical form or relationship in Arabic. It’s unclear whether this derogatory term originated from the Arabic version with *tanween* or if the *dan* part was explicitly created by hate speech users with an additional meaning. Regardless, *mohammedan* has a derogatory intent. The use of this term reflects hate speech that targets the Prophet Muhammad, a central figure in Islam. To call someone a *mohammedan* implies they are a follower of Prophet Muhammad, and because the term is used by those who view the Prophet negatively, it becomes an insult to Muslims, as it attacks a respected religious figure.

The term *muzrat* is similar to other slurs like *mudslime* and *muzzies* but it differs in that it doesn’t even attempt to sound like the word *Muslim*. While terms like *mudslime* distort Muslim with negative prefixes, *muzrat* takes a more direct approach to mocking. By adding the word *rat* at the end, the term reduces Muslims to a vermin-like status, implying that they are unwanted or subhuman. This form of mockery is more straightforward in its intent: it strips Muslims of their identity and uses degrading language to associate them with something repulsive.

### B. State of the Art

Traditional studies for hate speech detection rely on context to assess if a term or phrase is offensive [4]. The authors in [5], explore the use of deep learning models to detect Islamophobia on social media by applying two distinct definitions and

measuring toxicity levels. Although the article demonstrates promising results in identifying overtly Islamophobic language, this success is largely due to the reliance on easily flaggable keywords like *terrorist* or *hate*. The authors in [6] argue that simple binary classifications of Islamophobia are inadequate for capturing the complexity of hate speech on social media. Their study uses a dataset of 109,488 tweets from far-right Twitter accounts collected in 2017, classifying content as non-Islamophobic, weak Islamophobia, and strong Islamophobia. Strong Islamophobia includes direct derogatory statements or calls for discrimination, while weak Islamophobia comprises subtler, culturally coded remarks, such as critiques of specific events or cultural practices. However, the definitions of Islamophobia don't delve into distinct types of hate that Muslims experience. There are many layers to Islamophobia beyond simple negativity or hostility, such as religious hostility, political discrimination, and cultural stereotyping. [7] focuses on the challenges and nuances of detecting offensive language on social media platforms like Twitter, particularly hate speech. The authors explore the complexities involved in training machine learning models to identify offensive content, considering factors such as linguistic ambiguity, noisy data, and the limitations of current detection methods. Through a series of experiments using a controlled dataset, they evaluate the performance of various preprocessing techniques and machine learning models in detecting explicit and implicit hate speech. [8] presents a study that leverages a BERT-based model, exploring hate speech detection while attempting to mitigate bias, particularly concerning racial and dialectal language. This study does not fully cover implicit hate speech and there's no focus on training the model to be adaptable in detecting hate speech across other culturally significant dialects or contexts without further refinement.

Compared to all the studies, we rely mostly on the capabilities of LLMs, such as the state-of-the-art model GPT-4, to classify OOV terms. Once we labeled OOV as being offensive and disrespectful to the Muslim community, we used BERT topic modeling to understand the Islamophobic discourse on extremist social platforms. These OOV terms, while not offensive in their literal meaning, is used derogatorily to imply something negative about the Muslim identity, differentiating and alienating Muslims in a subtle but damaging way.

### III. DATASET

We collected the data set using third-party software Pyrra<sup>2</sup>. We use the terms *muzrat*, *mudslime*, *muzzies*, *mohammedan* and *pislam* for Islamophobia. The dataset was collected between 2024 and 2025, and the total number of instances was nearly 70k. To compare the toxicity of Islamophobic text, we use the baseline dataset of project *unmasking antisemitism* [9], which are 350 samples and contains all the known tropes floated on these platforms such as (Jews are disloyal, Jews are greedy, Jews have too much power, Jews killed Jesus, etc.). Similarly, we took 350 random samples from Islamophobia

<sup>2</sup><https://www.pyrratech.com/>

and labeled them manually with three annotators (researchers) with the tropes they present. The use of OOV words in posts categorizes the posts as Islamophobic; however, converting them into tropes is done to analyze LLMs' capabilities of contextual understanding. We also selected rows where less toxic words were used during the labeling process. We use the basic pre-processing steps to normalize the text documents by converting uppercase to lowercase and replacing references. Next, we remove links from the text. However, we replace the links with the titles of the posts they lead to. Finally, we remove all special characters, white spaces, and line breaks using regular expressions.

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. H1: LLMs and OOV and Neutral Words

Islamophobic discourse shifted toward OOV (semi-coded) terms like *mohammedan* and *mudslime*, among others, that imply threats without explicitly violating platform guidelines. Such language often appears in memes, jokes, or seemingly neutral phrases that subtly convey harmful stereotypes. We also argue that recent advancements in LLMs made extracting such slurs easier [10] and that LLMs such as GPT-4 understand the toxicity of such messages. Therefore, we selected social posts where terms *mudslime*, *mohammedan*, *pislam*, *muzzies* and *muzrats* have been used and posts contain less toxicity. Most data include such terms with abusive language, which we believe LLMs can easily flag. The prompt we use is as follows:

**Prompt:** *Analyze the following text and assign a score between 0 and 1, where 0 represents no hate or negativity, and 1 represents extreme hate speech toward Muslims. Provide a score along with a brief explanation.* We show the GPT-4 negativity score along with a brief explanation in Table II. It is clear from these responses and negativity scores that advanced LLMs understand these terms. However, the term *mohammedan* provides reasonable accuracy, where GPT-4 relies more on context to categorize the negativity. For instance, in one sentence, the response is *The term mohammedan is outdated and some might consider it disrespectful*, whereas in another sentence where a word *wretches*, changes the negativity score of the sentence and consider *mohammedan* as negative term used against Muslims. We will make all the responses of GPT-4 public upon acceptance.<sup>3</sup> However, from these responses, it is clear that LLMs understand the terms and measures required from social platforms to stop spreading such terms.

### B. H2: Hate against Muslim are more Toxic

In this section, we compare the toxicity of Islamophobia and Antisemitism. We use Google Perspective API [11] to annotate each message in our dataset with a score that reflects how rude or disrespectful a comment is. We use baseline data on Antisemitism to compare it to Islamophobia. We chose to analyze Antisemitism because Jewish people are

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/razaulmustafa852/islamophobia>

TABLE I  
SEMI-CODED (OOV) TERMS AND THEIR USE ON EXTREMIST SOCIAL PLATFORMS.

Text	Terms
Mohammedans are incompatible with Western Society!!! Mohammedans need to be sent back to their Mohammedan shitholes!!!	Mohammedan
The mudslimes of the Indian subcontinent are the most subhuman. Arab/MENA Muslims are very civilized in comparison.	Mudslime
i'd rather take a ride on the challenger than fly with a muzrat as pilot, lmao.	Muzrat
Can't wait till Europeans go full Reconquista and force every pislam worshipper to convert or get deported.	Pislam
muzzies outbreed whites it doesn't matter they'll just make more mudslimes	Muzzies

TABLE II  
GPT-4 RESPONSE ON CLASSIFYING OOV TERMS USED ON EXTREMIST SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS.

Text	GPT-4 Score	Brief Explanation by GPT-4
He was born in a Muslim country. He grew up in a Muslim country. He's a muzrat	0.9	The text contains a high level of hate speech and negative sentiment towards Muslims. The term "muzrat" are derogatory term used against Muslims, showing a clear intention to offend and spread hate.
Having contributed more to humanity than modern Italy The Bernoullis alone did more for humanity than every mudslime since the golden age ended	0.85	The text contains derogatory slang terms "mudslime", which are used as hate speech towards Muslims. The score is high because of the extreme hateful language used.
Sure abdul, but I read her name so I know she's your mudslime kind	1	This text contains explicit hate speech towards Muslims. The term "mudslime" is a derogatory term used to insult Muslims and is indicative of extreme negativity and hate.
Is he wrong though? Sorry Abdul, there's no place for muzzies in Trump's world	1	This text contains extreme hate speech towards Muslims. The term "muzzies" is used as a derogatory term for Muslims, and the statements imply that Muslims are not welcome and are a threat.
I do not own anything pislam in my home, and I would burn it if I did.	1	The text shows extreme hate speech towards Muslims. The author uses the derogatory term "pislam" to insult Islam and suggests burning any related objects in their home.
Their Mohammedan friends will give them a quick boost at the start.	0.1	This text does not contain hate speech towards Muslims or any form of negativity. The term "Mohammedan" is outdated and some might consider it disrespectful because it incorrectly implies that Muslims worship Muhammad, which they do not, they worship God (Allah). However, it doesn't seem to be used with harmful intent in this context. The overall message of the text seems to be neutral or even slightly positive, as it talks about these friends giving "a quick boost."
France will probably be the first country to fall to the mohammedan wretches.	0.9	This text is a clear example of hate speech. It uses derogatory language ("wretches") to dehumanize and demean Muslims ("Mohammedan"), and it assumes and promotes a negative outcome (France "falling") due to the presence of Muslims.

TABLE III  
TOXICITY SAMPLES FROM THE HIGHEST FREQUENCY WORDS OF ANTISEMITISM AND ISLAMOPHOBIA.

Text	Toxicity Score
Antisemitism	
the liberal world order also known a globalists the cabal and the zionist ha declared full war on america and on any christian conservative nation hungary poland russia brazil or we fight and defeat them once and for all or we become their slave	0.37
why would our zionist occupied government arrest one of their own	0.30
Islamophobia	
Every time a church burns... Burn every mosque in the country and deport every raghead in the country	0.65
All the shitskins playing shitskin olympics, I hate all of you equally and want you all out of my country	0.90

also being targeted for hate crimes due to their religious, ethnic, and cultural identity. We randomly selected samples from both kinds of hate speech and considered the most recent tropes floated on social media, such as Islamization and Jews controlling the world economy. We showed the toxicity score in Figure 1, where the y-axis shows the toxicity score and the x-axis represents our samples. From figure 1, the mean value toxicity of all the Islamophobic samples is 0.64, whereas the mean value of Antisemitism is 0.40. We also show a tag cloud of the top 100 words in both Islamophobia and Antisemitism in Figure 2 and 3 and a few samples in Table III. The most floated term in Islamophobia is *raghead*, *shitskins* and *muzrats*, whereas in Antisemitism the terms are *jewish*, *zionist*, *world*. The term *raghead* is a derogatory slur used to mock Muslims for their traditional head coverings. While Muslim women frequently wear the hijab, Muslim men across different cultures wear a variety of head coverings

such as keffiyehs, kufis, and taqiyahs—many of which are rooted in cultural rather than strictly religious traditions. This slur falsely implies that covering one's head is inherently tied to extremism, reinforcing the stereotype that Muslims, particularly those who visibly adhere to Islamic customs, are terrorists. Whereas the term *sh\*tskin* is a deeply xenophobic and racist slur historically used against Muslims. This term attacks the perceived racial identity of Muslims, reflecting the stereotype that Muslims are predominantly Arab, South Asian, or African. By emphasizing skin color as a marker of inferiority, this slur exposes the racial dimension of Islamophobia, illustrating how anti-Muslim hate often intersects with broader racist ideologies. We show the use of the term *Muzrat* in section II-A.

Similarly, in Antisemitism, the term *world* is associated with *New World Order*. It is an Antisemitic term when it is followed by a reference to a Jewish business leader or political official




Fig. 1. Toxicity comparison of Islamophobia and Antisemitism.

with a secret agenda to take control of the world. Finally, Zionism is a movement and ideology to reestablish and support the existence of a Jewish state in the Biblical Land of Israel [10].



Fig. 2. 100 most occurring words in Islamophobia.



Fig. 3. 100 most occurring words in Antisemitism.

### C. H3: Various Topics and they change Over Time.

We also used BERT Topic modeling to understand the discourse of Islamophobia on social media. We provide the results of two embedding models, i.e., *all-mpnet-base-v2* and *paraphrase-MiniLM-L12-v2*, due to their different architecture and parameter size. *all-mpnet-base-v2* uses 110m parameters and provides better sentence representations. The dimension

of the vector is 768-dimensional embeddings (richer representation) and considered good for document similarities and clustering. In contrast, *paraphrase-MiniLM-L12-v2* uses 22m parameters and provides 384-dimensional embeddings, thus being considered a lightweight model for semantic search. However, we also experimented with alternative embedding models, and the models that performed the best were presented in this work.

Islamophobic discourse is more violent and toxic, as discussed in section IV-B; however, we argue that the topics evolve, being influenced by current media narratives and political climates. As we can see from Table IV, global issues contain unjustified anti-Muslim slurs that have swept across social media. Hate speech directed towards Muslims becomes more violent when it includes other forms of discrimination, making Islamophobia seem more toxic. The presence of these topics shows that Islamophobia is not a fixed prejudice always about religion alone, but about broader political and cultural tensions. We showed the most interesting topics in Table IV; the remaining will be made public upon acceptance. <sup>4</sup>

It is also important to note that Islamophobic discourse most often floated online with antisemitic words. For instance, during topic modeling and manually labeling the text for GPT-4, we find words such as kikes and goyim, etc.. Topic 1-2 is about slurs used against Muslims and Jews, followed by the immigration issue in Western societies. The words *import*, *shitskin*, *millions* are frequently used by extremists to highlight Muslim immigration policies in the EU and North America. The word *import* is frequently used in nationalist discourse to dehumanize immigrants by using a word that relates them to objects rather than individuals. In the context of the hate comments, this aligns with the slurs suggesting Muslims and Jews are unwanted *imports*. Next, on topic 3-4, the words suggest reference to the Russia- Ukraine war and discuss US democratic ideologies: *Democrats* and *Liberals*. Note: both topics include the term *shitskins* to show toxicity against Muslim. Here, these political terms have nothing to do with Islam itself and may not seem like the main hate topic against Muslims. Still, in mentioning the Russia- Ukraine war and Western politics, Muslims are being linked to having some type of influence on the politics and stability of whatever the speaker favors as their ideological bias. In topic 5-6, the discourse is about Jews and racial slurs. There is also hate speech on extremist social platforms for the South Indian continent, which includes *Pakistan*, *India*, *Bangladesh*. We can see the topic 7-8 has a SouthEast Asian theme and is about immigration [12]. The mixture of ethnicities, races, religions, and countries (e.g, Pakistani, Indian, Hinduism) is just a racist way of linking all of these different groups together as if they all are the same and don't have their own identity. The hate for these groups is seen in the mentioning of the terms(border, illegal, and deport, calling for harsher immigration enforcement. Islam is not mentioned, but it is implied through the context of unwanted migration. Next in

<sup>4</sup><https://github.com/razaulmustafa852/islamophobia>

TABLE IV  
BERT TOPIC MODELING ON ISLAMOPHOBIC TEXT – ALL-MPNET-BASE-V2

Topic	Words	Words
1-2	muzzies, kikes, muzzie, fuck, hate, like, get, kill, fucking	import, importing, imported, shitskins, behaviors, rocket, science, millions, million, imports
3-4	russia, ukraine, russian, putin, russians, nato, war, ukrainian, ukrainians, shitskins	vote, voting, leftists, votes, shitskins, democracy, democrats, wing, right, liberals
5-6	jews, jewish, jew, shitskins, white, whites, niggers, people, hate, countries	shitskins, malding, bark, mindbroken, fat, raus, crack, simple, braindead, burzum
7-8	indians, hindu, india, indian, rape, pakis, hindus, paki, caste, pakistan	deport, deported, shitskinsdeport, border, deporting, shitskins, illegal, solved, borders
9-10	uk, british, brits, police, english, muzzies, london, britain, riots, government	canada, canadian, canadians, Trudeau, quebec, toronto, jeets, government, brampton uninvolved, weaving, wviii
11-12	white, shitskins, brown, women, whites, men, skin, woman, girls,	boomers, boomer, generation, work, shitskins, die, live, get, old, fuck
13-14	christianity, god, religion, christians, christian, church, jesus, bible, christ	hamas, gaza, israel, israeli, palestine, palestinians, palestinian, hostages, idf, civilians
15-16	kikes, kike, shitskins, kill, fuck, wished, hardmode, killing, existance. pissrehell, golum,	iq, low, average, 80, shitskins, 70, math, inbred
17-18	kill, dead, shitskins, killing, killed, die, death, kills, bugsdeath	niggers, nigger, shitskins, better, jeet, redundant, friezacel
19-20	rates, birth, population, fertility, replacement, million, births, rate, sustained, birthrates	pajeet, lmao, pajeets, bread, shitskins, kekaroo, capeshit, buckbroke, shitskin, cope
21-22	subhuman, subhumans, animals, shitskins, inbred, human, breeding, dna, breed, overbred	cockroaches, roaches, bugs, third, shitskins, parasite, parasites, bug, world, insects
23-24	women, men, feminism, woman, rights, feminist, society, white, right, vote	rape, raped, raping, shitskins, masterbating, imagine, gang, slavesyou, women, verily

TABLE V  
BERT TOPIC MODELING ON ISLAMOPHOBIC TEXT – PARAPHRASE-MINILM-L12-V2

Topic	Words	Words
1-2	muzzies, kikes, muzzie, kill, muzrats, hate, bongs, based, like, sand	russia, russian, putin, russians, ukraine, war, moscow, shitskins, support, west
3-4	shitskins, seething, fuck, fucking, lmao, bro, always, lol, shitskin, hilarious	brits, british, uk, england, britain, muzzies, brit, london, government, english
5-6	german, germany, germans, sweden, shitskins, even, berlin, get, also, country	rape, raped, raping, women, shitskins, girls, sexually, muzzies, rapes, murder
7-8	iq, low, average, shitskins, 80, iqs, inbred, 70, sub, high	canada, canadian, canadians, Trudeau, quebec, toronto, country, immigration, shitskins, us
9-10	dogs, animals, dog, cats, animal, cat, shitskins, hate, pigs, eat	gay, porn, gays, lgbt, muzzies, homosexuals, transies, sex, homosexual, homosexuality
11-12	vote, voting, democrats, elections, election, votes, party, voted, republican, voter	boomers, boomer, generation, rent, pay, work, pension, money, parents, die
13-14	import, importing, imported, million, shitskins, millions, year, imports, mass, export	goat, goats, fucker, mudslime, muzzies, sheep, fucking, fuck, goatfuckers, fuckers
15-16	women, men, woman, sex, feminism, whore, marriage, virgin, want, get	brown, smelly, dirty, smell, people, brownoids, shitskins, browns, walked, smelled
17-18	rome, romans, roman, empire, germanic, italians, germanics, admixture, vikings, ancient	terrorist, terrorists, terrorism, mudslime, attacks, terror, muzzies, fbi, 11, movies
19-20	parasites, plague, parasite, infestation, bug, parasitic, shitskins, disgusting, infesting, world	refugees, immigrants, refugee, immigration, immigrant, migrants, cus, invaders, mudslime, flooding
21-22	abortion, abortions, mandatory, babies, legal, aborted, states, shitskins, born, support	pedophile, pedophiles, pedophilia, prison, rapist, mudslime, shived, pedo, molesters, epstein
23-24	serbs, turks, croats, turkish, albanians, turkey, slavs, serbia, croatian, greeks	women, white, men, woman, girls, shitskins, attractive, black, man, niggers

topics 9-10, the textual representation is again the immigration issue in the UK and Canada. The terms are linked to government policies and the critiques against them, as these Western governments are referenced in far-right discourse, where polices support immigration. In this context, Muslim immigration is again targeted. The hate speech may suggest that there are systematic issues arising for allowing Muslim immigration. Please note: During this discourse, we also find slurs used against Indians and Chinese, such as *Jeet*, *Chink* and *Poos*. Slurs against Indians and Chinese are the future work of this paper. Topics 11-12 are about white supremacy and racial slurs used against Muslims. Including these terms further divides races and creates a cultural conflict rather than a religious or political one. We see examples of religious and political clashes in topics 13-14. In the Islamophobic hate speech, there are more mentions of Christianity rather than Islam, and this likely has to do with Christianity being the marker of Western identity and morale, implying Islam as the opposite. Combining Christianity with the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, where people associate Hamas with Islam, shows how religious identity is being weaponized in political debates, framing faith communities as enemies. Next, in topics 15-16, we again observe dual hate speech against Muslims and Jews, followed by racial slurs about Muslims' IQ and inbreeding. These terms promote violence, as words like *kill* incite violence, and *Inbred/low IQ* are used as justifications for antisemitism, reinforcing the inferiority narrative. From topics 17-22 outline more topics and slurs of dehumanization used against Muslim such as *bugsdeath*, *subhuman*, *kekaroo*, *shitskins*, *inbred* and *parasites*, etc., . The last two topics

23-24 in Table IV shows feminism issues and the rise in rape in Western countries where Muslims are being targeted for hate crimes. This stems from the stereotype that Muslim women are victims to the religion, and bringing up women in Islamophobic hate speech negates the connotation of women and their rights.

We also use a lightweight embedding model *paraphrase-MiniLM-L12-v2*. We show the results in Table V. We observe similar patterns as discussed above using *all-mpnet-base-v2* model. The themes we found are more related to immigration; see topics 2,3,8,9,10,11,16. Similarly, we observe international stresses such as Russia-Ukraine, the US election, Feminism, Hamas-Gaza, British riots, etc.

## V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, we performed the first analysis of Out of Vocabulary (OOV) terms such as (muzrat, pislam, mudslime, mohammedan, muzzies), which are used to spread hate against Muslims using disrespectful and unreasonable posts. Using LLMs like GPT-4, we showed their capabilities to understand these terms, and our findings indicate that LLMs recognize these words as being disrespectful to Muslims. We also find that the toxicity of Islamophobic hate speech is much higher than that of other kinds of hate speech. We consider anti-semitism as a baseline to compare the toxicity of Islamophobic posts. The choice of Antisemitism is based on the fact that often, both appear to show rude discourse on these extremist social platforms by far-right activists. Finally, using BERT topic modeling, our results indicate that Islamophobia is no longer a religious debate; Islamophobic slurs and speech are found across various political and conspiratorial discussions.

Our results have several implications for the research community working in the hate speech domain: i) First, such results can assist social media platforms, law enforcement agencies, and policymakers in better understanding and mitigating online toxicity of Islamophobia ii) Real-world hate speech variations across different extremist social platforms, iii) Balancing freedom of speech vs. hate speech regulation. Future work includes looking at other kinds of hate slurs such as *pajeet*, *chink*, *poos* found during this work and training AI model to detect such terminologies for a safer community online.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Mohideen and S. Mohideen, "The language of islamophobia in internet articles," *Intellectual Discourse*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2008.
- [2] R. Mihalcea, L. Biester, R. L. Boyd, Z. Jin, V. Perez-Rosas, S. Wilson, and J. W. Pennebaker, "How developments in natural language processing help us in understanding human behaviour," *Nature Human Behaviour*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1877–1889, 2024.
- [3] E. Howard, *Freedom of expression and religious hate speech in Europe*. Routledge, 2017.
- [4] A. Jaleel, M. Anwar, F. Ali, R. Mukhtar, and M. Farooq, "Islamophobia content detection using natural language processing," *Journal of Computing & Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 02, pp. 88–97, 2023.
- [5] E. Aldreabi and J. Blackburn, "Enhancing automated hate speech detection: Addressing islamophobia and freedom of speech in online discussions," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining*, pp. 644–651, 2023.
- [6] B. Farrand, "'is this a hate speech?'the difficulty in combating radicalisation in coded communications on social media platforms," *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 477–493, 2023.
- [7] G. Kovács, P. Alonso, and R. Saini, "Challenges of hate speech detection in social media: Data scarcity, and leveraging external resources," *SN Computer Science*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 95, 2021.
- [8] M. Mozafari, R. Farahbakhsh, and N. Crespi, "Hate speech detection and racial bias mitigation in social media based on bert model," *PLoS one*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. e0237861, 2020.
- [9] R. U. Mustafa and N. Japkowicz, "Monitoring the evolution of antisemitic hate speech on extremist social media," in *2024 IEEE Digital Platforms and Societal Harms (DPSH)*, pp. 1–8, IEEE, 2024.
- [10] D. Kikkiseti, R. Mustafa, W. Melillo, R. Corizzo, Z. Boukouvalas, J. Gill, and N. Japkowicz, "Coded term discovery for online hate speech detection," in *2024 IEEE 11th International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA)*, pp. 1–10, IEEE, 2024.
- [11] G. Jigsaw, "Perspective api," 2025. Accessed: March 16, 2025.
- [12] D. Millet, "Undocumented aapi: Profiles of those ineligible for immigration relief," 2022. Accessed: March 6, 2025.