

Decorum: A Language-Based Approach For Style-Conditioned Synthesis of Indoor 3D Scenes

Kelly O. Marshall^{1,2,*}, Omid Poursaeed¹, Sergiu Oprea¹, Amit Kumar¹, Anushrut Jignasu³, Chinmay Hegde^{2,†}, Yilei Li^{1,†}, Rakesh Ranjan^{1,†}

¹Meta Reality Labs, ²New York University, ³Iowa State University

*Work done at Meta, †Joint last author

3D indoor scene generation is an important problem for the design of digital and real-world environments. To automate this process, a scene generation model should be able to not only generate plausible scene layouts, but also take into consideration visual features and style preferences. Existing methods for this task exhibit very limited control over these attributes, only allowing text inputs in the form of simple object-level descriptions or pairwise spatial relationships. Our proposed method DECORUM enables users to control the scene generation process with natural language by adopting language-based representations at each stage. This enables us to harness recent advancements in Large Language Models (LLMs) to model language-to-language mappings. In addition, we show that using a text-based representation allows us to select furniture for our scenes using a novel object retrieval method based on multimodal LLMs. Evaluations on the benchmark 3D-FRONT dataset show that our methods achieve improvements over existing work in text-conditioned scene synthesis and object retrieval.

Date: March 26, 2025

Correspondence: Kelly Marshall at km3888@nyu.edu

Code: Code will be released upon acceptance



1 Introduction

The generation of 3D indoor scenes is a problem which has garnered considerable attention, with potential applications in video game development, automated interior design, and metaverse content creation (Merrell et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021b,a; Höllein et al., 2023; Song et al., 2023; Cohen-Bar et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2023; Feng et al., 2023; Patil et al., 2023). In these applications, it is critical that the generation process produces scenes that are practical as well as visually pleasing.

While existing works Liu et al. (2023b); Lin and Mu (2024) have taken into account visual coherence, this does not equate to producing visually pleasing scenes. This is because there does not exist a single objective standard for what constitutes an aesthetically appealing scene. Instead, it is a matter of individual preference. As a result, visual quality cannot be fully addressed by unconditional scene generation methods which do not incorporate user input.

To better integrate user input, the scene generation process can be conditioned on natural language. This is a flexible and powerful interface which can allow users to describe their desired scenes in as much or as little detail as they desire. A description may include both style and spatial details, such as object and scene styles, as well as practical layout information. Existing text-conditioned methods lack the generality to handle both the style and structure of a scene in a text prompt, restricting user specifications to either brief object-level descriptions (e.g. color) Liu et al. (2023b) or explicit pairwise spatial relationships Lin and Mu (2024).

To fill this gap, we propose DECORUM, a generative framework for synthesizing indoor 3D scenes from user prompts. DECORUM decouples the generation of spatial and stylistic elements by first converting the user prompt into a dense annotation with object tags. Using this detailed annotation, DECORUM separately designs a layout for the scene’s objects and assigns each tagged object a textured mesh retrieved from an inventory of



"I'm looking for a master bedroom with a calm and serene atmosphere, featuring a bed with a warm wooden frame and blue-patterned bedding. The room should have ample storage and elegant lighting from a combination of sleek gold and warm brass pendant lamps."




Figure 1 Illustration of the DECORUM pipeline. We finetune LLaMA to obtain both Prompt2Annotation and Annotation2Layout models to convert from user prompts to CSS scene layouts in a two-stage process. Using this intermediate annotation representation decouples the generation of spatial and stylistic elements, allowing us to separately perform furniture selection using the tagged objects. Purple coloring indicates language model modules that we train using LoRA and green coloring shows our DecoRate furniture retrieval method which relies entirely on pretrained LLMs.

existing furniture. We provide an overview of our proposed model pipeline in Figure 1.

Our method uses language-based representations for 3D scenes at each stage, allowing us to fully harness the power of Large Language Models (LLMs) towards scene generation. The scenes we output are in CSS format, following previous work Feng et al. (2023) which shows that this structured representation facilitates spatial understanding in LLMs. Both the mapping from prompt to annotation and annotation to layout are learned using low rank adaptations Hu et al. (2021) of the open-source LLaMA 3.1 Touvron et al. (2023)

Our use of language representations carries the additional benefit of allowing text-based search for furniture retrieval. Existing methods either generate only object category and size Paschalidou et al. (2021); Feng et al. (2023) or search over an inventory of textured meshes by using predicted object embeddings Lin and Mu (2024); Liu et al. (2023b). Using the object tags in our generated scene annotation, we obtain detailed descriptions of each object in the scene, combining any descriptions of it included in the prompt with the information provided about the scene as a whole. This gives an informative object representation for performing text-based object retrieval.

To make full use of this representation, we look at recent developments in Large Multimodal Models (LMMs) which ground LLMs’ reasoning and world knowledge to visual information. Leveraging this link from textual to visual features, we devise a new scoring method to compute an object-text similarity metric which can be used for object-retrieval. We dub this retrieval method DecoRate, and show that its sensitivity to fine-grained image details leads to major improvements over CLIP-based object retrieval. To alleviate the computational burden of rating a potentially expansive inventory of objects with LMM inferences, we show the effectiveness of a coarse-to-fine pipeline which relies on CLIP to generate a small number of search candidates.

Integrating DecoRate into our DECORUM pipeline results in a scene generation method which combines the spatial reasoning, natural language understanding, and multimodal capabilities of LLMs to comprehend and design scenes in line with varied user inputs. We evaluate Decorum on the benchmark 3D-FRONT dataset Fu et al. (2020a), which consists of professionally arranged indoor scenes. Through quantitative and qualitative




Figure 2 Illustration of the DECORATE coarse-to-fine rating system for text-based object retrieval. The top half shows our coarse CLIP-based candidate generation process. Below that is a visualization of our fine-grained rating system using LLaVA-NeXT. The dotted blue box contains our method for assigning probability scores to the text tokens (blue) conditioned on each input object’s visual tokens (green). These token probabilities are then summed together and added to the object prior probabilities (described in 4.3) to rate each object.

comparisons with existing works we show that our method has both the flexibility to represent a diverse range of scenes and the controllability to reflect a wide range of user inputs. We also separately verify the effectiveness of our DecoRate method for furniture retrieval.

Paper Contributions. The contributions of our work are summarized as follows:

- We propose DECORUM, a method for synthesizing indoor 3D scenes from user descriptions by leveraging a semi-structured intermediate representation.
- We introduce DecoRate, a simple yet powerful text-based search method for object ranking and retrieval which achieves a 15x improvement in Top-1 retrieval accuracy compared to existing vector-similarity based methods.
- We demonstrate that our pipeline for text-conditioned scene generation outperforms existing methods on the benchmark 3D-FRONT dataset. In addition, we introduce the Text Fidelity Ranking (TFR) metric to measure a scene generation model’s adherence to text inputs.

2 Background

Unconditional Scene Generation. Interest in the area of indoor scene generation has burgeoned following the release of the 3D-FRONT [Fu et al. \(2020a\)](#) dataset which contains several thousand professionally arranged scenes each consisting of a set of objects along with their associated translation and rotation values. This high-quality and large-scale dataset has enabled the development of more sophisticated generative modeling techniques applied to indoor scenes. While alternative datasets have been created using procedural content generation [Deitke et al. \(2022\)](#); [Kolve et al. \(2017\)](#) data-driven generative models benefit from learning complex spatial relationships directly from human-designed layouts, leading to more realistic and semantically meaningful scene arrangements.

The most popular approach to generate these scenes has been a class of transformer-based methods [Paschalidou et al. \(2021\)](#) which autoregressively predict an object class and position given a partial scene. Separately, LayoutGPT [Feng et al. \(2023\)](#) has shown that by encoding the scene’s spatial information into a CSS layout format, the unconditional generation problem can be solved by existing Large Language Models (LLMs). This approach requires no training, only contextual exemplars.

An enhanced version of the 3D-FRONT dataset, 3D-FUTURE [Fu et al. \(2020b\)](#) was later released with a more extensive object catalog and textured meshes for each object. Subsequent works have thus focused on selecting objects based on their appearance and their contribution to the scene’s aesthetic coherence [Liu et al. \(2023b\)](#); [Lin and Mu \(2024\)](#); [Tang et al. \(2024\)](#).

Text-Conditioned Scene Generation. Another line of work has sought to make indoor scene generation models responsive to text inputs. CLIP-Layout [Liu et al. \(2023b\)](#) builds on ATISS’ [Paschalidou et al. \(2021\)](#) autoregressive structure by predicting a CLIP [Radford et al. \(2021\)](#) embedding at each timestep, in addition to the object class, position, and rotation. This enables style-aware object retrieval using a nearest neighbor search of the object catalog’s image embeddings. They are also able to perform text-based object replacement in which a new object can be selected during postprocessing based on the object description provided by an user. For scene-level text-conditioning, they can incorporate a single phrase (e.g "wooden", "colorful") at inference time by adding this phrase’s vector embedding to each predicted CLIP embedding. This provides some user control over furniture selection but is extremely limited as 1) the text input does not influence the selection of object positions or categories and 2) a single CLIP embedding cannot translate a scene-level request into appropriate object selections.

Another such work is [Lin and Mu \(2024\)](#) which focuses on producing scenes with well-defined spatial relationships between objects. The method works by extracting spatial relationships from 3D-FRONT scenes using hard-coded rules and then conditioning on these relation triplets. Using this dataset, they learn a two-phased diffusion model, using intermediate graph representation to map from natural language instructions to scenes. The resulting model is able to obey specified object relationships and object-level descriptions but does not follow scene-level style cues or general format natural language inputs.

More recently, the 3D-GRAND [Yang et al. \(2024\)](#) dataset has paired 3D-FRONT scenes with detailed text annotations, providing a link between indoor scenes and object-level descriptions. Each annotation in the 3D-Grand dataset contains a tag and description for every object in its associated 3D-FRONT scene. Along with this, they contain descriptions of the scene’s overall appearance, connecting object-level and scene-level attributes.

Large Multimodal Models (LMs). Building on the recent success of Large Language models, an emergent line of work has aimed to integrate data from other modalities into LLM architectures [Li et al. \(2023a,b\)](#); [Liu et al. \(2023a, 2024\)](#). In particular, vision-language models have been established as a method for encoding visual information into the representation space of pre-trained LLMs. By concatenating these visual tokens with a language input, LLMs allow for interaction between the multi-modal inputs within the attention mechanism of the LLM. As a result, the next-token predictions of LLMs can be further conditioned on an image input x to get prediction probabilities $p(t_i|t_{k<i}, x)$. Autoregressively sampling from this distribution allows for image-grounded text generation which can be used for image captioning, image-based question-answering, and other grounded reasoning tasks.

	DecoRate	DecoRate ⁽¹⁰⁰⁾	DecoRate ⁽²⁵⁾	DecoRate ⁽¹⁰⁾	CLIP
Top-10 Accuracy	47.3%	45.8%	28.1%	15.3%	15.3%
Top-5 Accuracy	35.0%	34.5%	24.1%	15.3%	8.4%
Top-1 Accuracy	16.3%	14.8%	11.3%	10.8%	0.5%
Runtime per Sample	69.1s	34.1s	8.6s	3.5s	0.01s

Table 1 Top-K Accuracy for retrieving ground truth furniture using different text-based furniture retrieval methods. DecoRate^(M) denotes our DecoRate search method applied to the top M results returned by CLIP-based retrieval.

3 Decorum

In this section we outline key parts of DECORUM, our approach for text-conditioned scene generation which relies on an intermediate representation in the form of densely grounded scene annotations. First, in 3.2 we introduce the layout generation model which produces a structured representation of a scene’s object layout based on a detailed scene annotation. This relies upon the ability to convert from user prompts to this scene annotation format which is handled by the annotation generation model described in 3.3. This generation pipeline is displayed in Fig. 1.

We leave the details of our furniture selection method DecoRate, which uses scene annotations to furnish the generated layouts with textured meshes, to Sec 4.

3.1 Problem Statement

Following the notation established in Paschalidou et al. (2021), we denote a 3D scene \mathcal{X}_i as a tuple $\mathcal{X}_i = (\mathcal{O}_i, \mathbf{F}^i)$ containing a set of objects $\mathcal{O}_i = \{o_j^i\}_{j=1}^M$ and a floor layout \mathbf{F}^i . Each object within the scene contains attributes for category, size, orientation and location. In our setting, we also associate each object with a textured mesh τ belonging to an inventory \mathcal{I} .

Given a short (2-3 sentences) user description partially or completely describing a room, along with its 2D floorplan \mathbf{F} , our method determines a set of objects \mathcal{O} to drag and drop onto the given floorplan - creating a scene that matches the structure and style specified in the input.

3.2 Layout Generation Model

In order to convert between natural language and 3D scenes, we propose training a model to predict 3D-FRONT Fu et al. (2020a) layouts based on their corresponding 3D-GRAND Yang et al. (2024) captions, which we refer to as Ann2Layout. This conversion is eased by the highly structured format of 3D-GRAND, which allows the model to retrieve the list of objects present in the layout.

Following LayoutGPT Feng et al. (2023), we represent the layout’s spatial information in CSS format and use a language model which has gained extensive familiarity with CSS through its training corpus. However, unlike LayoutGPT which relies only on in-context learning to avoid learning model parameters, we train a low-rank adapter Hu et al. (2021) on top of the open-source LLaMA 3.1 Touvron et al. (2023) model. This enables the model to benefit from the paired data at our disposal ensuring it learns to generate layouts which reflect the annotation input while also modeling spatial relationships between objects. Furthermore, with highly structured CSS code as the output of the model, we are able to generate all the attributes of each object o_j^i except the textured mesh.

3.3 Annotation Generation Model

While a 3D-GRAND style annotation is a significant step in the direction of natural language from the 3D domain, it still leaves much to be desired in terms of friendliness to users. Most design specifications do not come formatted as detailed grounded annotations with each object specified and tagged. Instead, a user may wish to describe the room in more natural terms, perhaps describing stylistic elements which are important to them or specifying some subset of the desired objects in the room.

To make this possible, we create a prompt-to-annotation model, called Prompt2Ann, to act as the user-facing portion of our scene generation pipeline. This model produces a 3D-GRAND-style dense scene annotation to match the specifications of a given user prompt. We once again finetune LLaMA 3.1 for this task using LoRA.

To train our model, we require a paired dataset containing 3D-GRAND annotations along with user prompts which could plausibly be used to generate them. As we already possess the 3D-GRAND annotations, we simply generate matching user prompts by asking the base LLaMA 3.1 model to summarize each 3D-Grand annotation and pose its summary as a user prompt (we include details of our prompting strategy in the Appendix). After creating this paired dataset, we then finetune our adapter to map from prompts to annotations.

With these prompt-conditioned annotations, we now have a suitable input for the Ann2Layout model described in Sec. 3.2. In addition, this representation retains all of the aesthetic information necessary to furnish the scene layout using our furniture retrieval method DecoRate, which we now describe in Sec. 4.

4 DecoRate

This section contains a description of the novel LLM-based object furniture retrieval method DecoRate. DecoRate takes as input a densely grounded scene annotation, which describes the room in detail and includes explicit tags for each room object. By translating this annotation into a sequence of object descriptions, we can use text-based search to retrieve textured meshes from our inventory \mathcal{I} for all of the scene’s furniture. Because our layout generation model is also conditioned on this scene representation, we can then use these meshes to furnish our generated layout, satisfying both spatial and visual specifications.

We first detail our method for converting scene annotations into object-level descriptions in Sec.4.1. Using these descriptions, we derive a novel object rating system to allow high-quality text-based search using LLMs, derived in Sec.4.2. Based on this derivation, we also include a frequency-based object prior, which we discuss in Sec.4.3. Finally, in Sec.4.4 we address the runtime of our proposed method and show how we can use a two-stage coarse-to-fine method to trade off between retrieval quality and runtime. This coarse-to-fine strategy is visualized in Fig. 2.

4.1 Description Generation

In order to convert scene annotation inputs into a format that is compatible with our text-based object retrieval method (described in Sec.4.2) we must first extract short text descriptions for each object in the description. One approach for getting this description for each tagged object would be to simply select all of the relevant text surrounding the object tag. However, this would not properly capture scene-level attributes which influence the object selection process. For instance, a user might not include a description of the type of wardrobe they would like in their room, but instead state that they desire a room with a modern aesthetic.

To ensure that both scene-level and object-level information is propagated into furniture styles, we use a pre-trained LLaMA 3.1 model [Touvron et al. \(2023\)](#) to generate each object description based on the scene annotation. We provide a prompt instructing the model to output a description following formatting guidelines for each tagged object (prompt details are left to the Appendix) followed by both the user prompt and scene annotation. We find that the pre-trained model is capable of consistently generating descriptions for each object in the scene and that these descriptions succinctly capture relevant visual features based on both scene-level and object-level style information.

4.2 LMM Object Rating

In this section we describe our novel method for assigning probability-based scores to objects within an inventory based on their agreement with a given text prompt. To do this, we begin with a straightforward maximum likelihood objective and derive an equivalent formulation which can be well-approximated by a multimodal LLM.

Formally, given a text description t we would like to find the object which best matches our description from an existing set $\mathcal{I} = \{\tau\}_{i=1}^N$. As each object may fulfill the description of the text prompt to varying degrees, we frame this as a likelihood maximization, equivalent to finding:

$$\tau^* = \max_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{I}} p(\tau_i | t)$$

In this form, $p(\tau_i | t)$ is intractable to compute as it requires a likelihood-based generative model over the discrete set \mathcal{I} . However, by applying Bayes’ rule and, we can obtain an expression which instead depends on the conditional probability $p(t | \tau_i)$.

$$\tau^* = \max_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{I}} p(t|\tau_i)p(\tau_i)$$

This is a simpler form as it is straightforward to calculate $p(t|\tau_i)$ with a multimodal LLM as the product of next-token probabilities and the prior $p(\tau_i)$ (we provide more detail about $p(\tau_i)$ in Sec.4.3). By converting t into tokens x_1, \dots, x_T we can determine τ^* as follows:

$$\tau^* = \max_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{I}} p(\tau_i) \prod_{j=1}^N p_{LLM}(x_j|\tau_i, x_{k < j})$$

This yields an object scoring objective which is both principled and tractable, allowing us to define a novel text-based retrieval method which leverages the capacities of LLMs.

$$\tau^* = \max_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{I}} \log(p(\tau_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^N \log(p_{LLM}(x_j|\tau_i, x_{k < j}))$$

In practice, we find it improves performance to introduce a weighting coefficient λ_p to control the trade off between the prior and conditional terms. We provide an ablation of the λ_p hyperparameter in Tab.4.

4.3 Object Prior

To estimate the unconditional prior over $p(\tau)$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{I}$, one option is to assume a uniform prior $p(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{I}|}$, equivalent to setting $\lambda_p = 0$. We demonstrate the effectiveness of this simple data-free approach in Tab.4.

Alternatively, we can use the object frequencies in the training set to estimate a categorical distribution. To avoid overfitting, we begin with a uniform Dirichlet prior and update $p(\tau)$ in a Bayesian manner [Agresti and Hitchcock \(2005\)](#). This results in an estimate of $p(\tau)$ which reflects the training dataset while also ensuring that its support contains all possible objects.

4.4 Coarse-To-Fine

While DecoRate gives a better estimation of the optimal object for retrieval due to its more fine-grained understanding of language structure, it comes with a significant computational cost as it requires N forward passes through whichever LLM we use. This can be somewhat alleviated by implementation optimizations which eliminate redundant data transfers to GPU (see Appendix for details) without sacrificing performance.

However, for more significant speedups at the expense of some retrieval accuracy, we propose using CLIP to pre-filter \mathcal{I} , thereby reducing the number of LLM inference calls for each object retrieval to a fixed number. This coarse-to-fine approach allows us to take advantage of the low runtime of CLIP-based search while retaining the fine-grained image understanding of LLM-based rating. The resulting two-phase search method is shown in Fig. 2

Method	TFR@0.5	TFR@0.8	TFR@0.9	TFR@0.95	TFR@1.0	Mean TFR
Bedrooms						
Ground Truth	0.98	0.82	0.8	0.64	0.42	91.8%
Decorum (ours)	0.72	0.33	0.28	0.10	0.08	66.6%
ClipLayout	0.5	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.0	50.0%
Living Rooms						
Ground Truth	0.89	0.60	0.43	0.20	0.06	78%
Decorum (ours)	0.84	0.50	0.34	0.12	0.02	72.2%
ClipLayout	0.5	0.10	0.06	0.0	0.0	50.0%

Table 2 Comparison of Textual Fidelity Rate (TFR) scores for Bedrooms and Living Rooms.




Figure 3 Examples of prompt-conditioned scene generation for bedrooms (top) and living rooms (bottom) with DECORUM. We condition on prompts taken from the test set generated by LLaMa (left) and out-of-distribution prompts in a different format (right). We show that our method can accurately generate 3D indoor scenes based on user prompts that specify both spatial and stylistic attributes.

Method	Bedrooms			Living Rooms		
	FID ↓	CLIP-FID ↓	KID ↓	FID ↓	CLIP-FID ↓	KID ↓
Decorum (ours)	18.0	1.7	0.005	90.9	7.39	0.053
InstructScene	21.0	2.4	0.007	92.6	6.85	0.025
CLIPLayout	22.5	2.5	0.006	92.6	7.08	0.017
ATISS	38.7	5.0	0.008	100.9	10.4	0.025
LayoutGPT	44.3	7.0	0.025	99.4	10.8	0.045
LayoutGPT w/ prompts	42.7	6.9	0.025	94.3	10.1	0.044

Table 3 Comparison of FID, CLIP-FID, and KID scores for Bedrooms and Living Rooms.

5 Experiments

5.1 Datasets

We use the 3D-FRONT [Fu et al. \(2020a\)](#) dataset for each of our experiments. 3D-FRONT contains 18,000 rooms with furniture arranged by human designers to have plausible and practical layout configurations. The 3D-FUTURE [Fu et al. \(2020b\)](#) dataset provides furniture objects for each 3D-FRONT room in the form of 10,000 textured meshes.

To obtain ground-truth densely-grounded annotations for 3D-FRONT scenes, we look to the 3D-GRAND [Yang et al. \(2024\)](#) dataset which provides several types of scene annotations, including grounded scene description, grounded question-answering, and grounded object reference. For our purpose, we consider only the set of grounded scene descriptions, of which there are 65,000 in total.

Like LayoutGPT, our layout generation module can only process rectangular shaped scenes. As a result, we follow LayoutGPT’s data filtering strategy for 3D-FRONT resulting in a train/val/test split of 3397/453/423 for bedroom scenes and 690/98/53 for living room scenes.

5.2 Quantitative Evaluations

Style Retrieval Metrics. To assess the effectiveness of our DecoRate method for furniture selection compared to CLIP-based retrieval we measure each method’s accuracy in retrieving ground-truth objects from 3D-FRONT scenes based on 3D-GRAND captions. To accomplish this, we use our description generation method to acquire object-level descriptions of each object. We then use these descriptions to rank all object meshes in the 3D-FUTURE catalog and measure accuracy based on whether the Top-K ranked scenes include the ground truth textured mesh.

Table 1 reports Top-K accuracy for CLIP and DecoRate models applied to varying numbers of CLIP-produced candidates and reports their respective runtimes, using a total of 200 ground truth objects. For each DecoRate model we set $\lambda_p = 0.1$. Our results show that DecoRate is far more effective than CLIP based methods for style retrieval. In particular, its improved sensitivity to fine-grained details results in a much higher success rate for producing an exact match based on attributes specified through text.

While CLIP performs poorly at distinguishing between objects which have relatively high similarity to the text prompt, it is effective as a coarse filter for ruling out irrelevant candidates. When reducing the number of LLM ratings to just 10, CLIP is able to retain enough relevant objects that DecoRate achieves a Top-1 accuracy 20x higher than CLIP alone while simultaneously seeing a 20x reduction in runtime compared to DecoRate by itself.

Prompt-Conditioned Scene Generation. We put our full Text-to-Scene pipeline to the test by generating and furnishing 3D scenes for each of the LLaMA-generated user prompts derived from the 3D-GRAND test set. Along with each prompt, the model is conditioned on a room layout specified in length and width dimensions.

Following previous works [Paschalidou et al. \(2021\)](#); [Feng et al. \(2023\)](#); [Liu et al. \(2023b\)](#); [Lin and Mu \(2024\)](#) we compute image-space metrics by rendering eight views of each generated scene. We compare these renderings to those of the ground-truth test set by measuring Fréchet Inception Distance (FID), CLIP-FID, and Kernel Inception Distance (KID) [Parmar et al. \(2022\)](#). We present these results in Table 3.

When computing these metrics for existing works which do not use user input, we condition only on the room layout. This includes CLIPLayout which does not condition on text for their metric computations. For InstructScene, we also condition on the spatial relationship triplets and object-level descriptions provided in the InstructScene dataset. Our LayoutGPT implementation uses the authors’ official repository with GPT API calls replaced by LLaMA 3.1 inference. We additionally report results for LayoutGPT with our scene summaries added to each prompt.

Our quantitative evaluations (shown in Tab. 3) show that DECORUM is competitive with existing methods in capturing the overall scene distribution. In particular, our method achieves the best performance in all metrics for bedrooms and outperforms all other baselines on FID for living rooms.

Text Fidelity Rate. While inception-distance based metrics verify that our model is able to approximate the overall scene distribution of 3D-FRONT, we require a way to measure how well the generated scenes agree with their corresponding text prompts. One such metric is CLIP-Score [Emelin et al. \(2021\)](#).

However, given that we’ve observed CLIP’s limited sensitivity to fine-grained object characteristics when retrieving individual objects, it is unreasonable to expect that it could pick up on these same details when evaluating at a scene level. Instead, to get a more detailed evaluation we introduce an additional metric for text-conditioned scene synthesis based on multimodal LLMs (we include additional CLIP-Score evaluation results in the Appendix).

Textual Fidelity Rate (TFR) measures how clearly a model’s output reflects its input text prompt based on the likelihood that an LLM could autoregressively reconstruct the text prompt from a rendering of the scene. This results in a holistic metric which quantifies how much textual information is retained through the scene generation process.

To compute TFR for a scene-text pair, we first score the likelihood of this positive pair as the probability of generating the prompt given the scene rendering. We then compare this score to the likelihood scores for a randomly sampled batch of negative samples \mathcal{N} generated by the model. Finally, we calculate TFR as the proportion of negative pairs with lower probabilities than the positive pair.

$$\text{TFR}(\mathcal{S}, t) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}|} \sum_{\mathcal{S}^- \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbb{1}_{p(t|\mathcal{S}) < p(t|\mathcal{S}^+)}$$

To ensure diversity in views we again use eight-view scene renders. When computing the score for the positive pairs we take the median score over all eight-views to reduce noise from obstructed views. We further define the TFR@ k metric as the proportion of samples with a TFR score greater than or equal to k .

Table 2 provides TFR metrics on our test set of user prompts derived from 3D-GRAND. For each method, we compute TFR for 50 scenes, with a negative sampling batch size of 50 for each positive pair.

For comparison, we evaluate DECORUM alongside CLIPLayout as well as ground-truth 3D-FRONT scenes corresponding to text prompts. We omit the InstructScene model in this comparison because it was not designed to accept general-form natural language input. When evaluating CLIPLayout we use their method for text-conditioned scene synthesis which conditions on a CLIP embedding of the text prompt. We find that CLIPLayout’s performance is on par with random chance, indicating that it is unable to properly represent the scene’s stylistic contents with just a single CLIP embedding. By contrast, DECORUM demonstrates the ability to accurately represent textual inputs, leading to distinguishable text-based scene generation.

5.3 Qualitative Results

Prompt-Conditioned Scene Generation. In this section we present visual results for prompt-conditioned scene synthesis with our model DECORUM. In this setting, the model is provided with a room layout specified by width and height dimensions as well as a short user prompt detailing desired attributes for the room’s contents.

Figure 3 displays example prompts taken from the test set as well as out-of-distribution text inputs paired with DECORUM’s scene outputs for these prompts. We also show additional visual examples in Appendix Sec B.5. Notably, DECORUM is able to translate the natural language specifications in the input prompts into appropriate furniture choices while maintaining plausible layouts due to its capacity for spatial representation. In addition, our use of pretrained LLMs allows for generalization to unseen prompt formats and use of world knowledge (e.g Frank Lloyd Wright is associated with the Modernist style).

6 Conclusion

In this work, we introduce DECORUM, a fully language-based pipeline for generating indoor 3D scenes based on text input. We show that by using densely-grounded annotations as an intermediate representation we are able to account for both stylistic and spatial specifications from users. To the best of our knowledge, DECORUM is the first scene generation model to condition on language descriptions of both scene-level and object-level style attributes.

As part of our DECORUM pipeline, we introduce DecoRate, a novel method for text-based object retrieval which we derive from a maximum likelihood objective. This method allows us to better capture object details in the retrieval process, leading to orders of magnitude improvement in retrieval accuracy along with greater visual quality.

We hope that our model will enable a new level of user interactivity and visual creativity in the world of 3D environments. In future work, we plan to extend our layout formulation to represent non-regular scenes and apply our innovations in text-based item retrieval to other domains.

References

- Alan Agresti and David B. Hitchcock. Bayesian inference for categorical data analysis. *Statistical Methods and Applications*, 14(3):297–330, Dec 2005. doi: 10.1007/s10260-005-0121-y.
- Dana Cohen-Bar, Elad Richardson, Gal Metzer, Raja Giryes, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Set-the-scene: Global-local training for generating controllable nerf scenes. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ICCVW)*, 2023.
- Matt Deitke, Eli VanderBilt, Alvaro Herrasti, Luca Weihs, Kiana Ehsani, Jordi Salvador, Winson Han, Eric Kolve, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Proctor: Large-scale embodied AI using procedural generation. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, 2022. http://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/hash/27c546ab1e4f1d7d638e6a8dfbad9a07-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Denis Emelin, Ronan Le Bras, Jena D. Hwang, Maxwell Forbes, and Yejin Choi. Moral stories: Situated reasoning about norms, intents, actions, and their consequences. In Marie-Francine Moens, Xuanjing Huang, Lucia Specia, and Scott Wen-tau Yih, editors, *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021*, pages 698–718. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021. doi: 10.18653/V1/2021.EMNLP-MAIN.54. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.emnlp-main.54>.
- Weixi Feng, Wanrong Zhu, Tsu-Jui Fu, Varun Jampani, Arjun R. Akula, Xuehai He, Sugato Basu, Xin Eric Wang, and William Yang Wang. Layoutgpt: Compositional visual planning and generation with large language models. In Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, 2023. http://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/hash/3a7f9e485845dac27423375c934cb4db-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Matthew Fisher, Manolis Savva, Yangyan Li, Pat Hanrahan, and Matthias Nießner. Activity-centric scene synthesis for functional 3d scene modeling. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 34(6):1–13, 2015.
- Huan Fu, Bowen Cai, Lin Gao, Lingxiao Zhang, Cao Li, Zengqi Xun, Chengyue Sun, Yiyun Fei, Yu Zheng, Ying Li, Yi Liu, Peng Liu, Lin Ma, Le Weng, Xiaohang Hu, Xin Ma, Qian Qian, Rongfei Jia, Binqiang Zhao, and Hao Zhang. 3d-front: 3d furnished rooms with layouts and semantics. *CoRR*, abs/2011.09127, 2020a. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.09127>.
- Huan Fu, Rongfei Jia, Lin Gao, Mingming Gong, Binqiang Zhao, Steve J. Maybank, and Dacheng Tao. 3d-future: 3d furniture shape with texture. *CoRR*, abs/2009.09633, 2020b. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.09633>.
- Lukas Höllein, Ang Cao, Andrew Owens, Justin Johnson, and Matthias Nießner. Text2room: Extracting textured 3d meshes from 2d text-to-image models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 7909–7920, 2023.
- Edward J. Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2106.09685, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09685>.
- Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, Abhinav Gupta, and Ali Farhadi. AI2-THOR: an interactive 3d environment for visual AI. *CoRR*, abs/1712.05474, 2017. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05474>.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven C. H. Hoi. BLIP-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2023, 23-29 July 2023, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023a. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/li23q.html>.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven C. H. Hoi. BLIP-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2023, 23-29 July 2023, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023b. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/li23q.html>.
- Chenguo Lin and Yadong Mu. Instructscene: Instruction-driven 3d indoor scene synthesis with semantic graph prior.

- In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=LtuRgLO3pI>.
- Yiqi Lin, Haotian Bai, Sijia Li, Haonan Lu, Xiaodong Lin, Hui Xiong, and Lin Wang. Componerf: Text-guided multi-object compositional nerf with editable 3d scene layout. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13843*, 2023.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning, 2023a.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024. <https://llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/>.
- Jingyu Liu, Wenhan Xiong, Ian Jones, Yixin Nie, Anchit Gupta, and Barlas Oguz. Clip-layout: Style-consistent indoor scene synthesis with semantic furniture embedding. *CoRR*, abs/2303.03565, 2023b. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2303.03565. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.03565>.
- Paul Merrell, Eric Schkufza, Zeyang Li, Maneesh Agrawala, and Vladlen Koltun. Interactive furniture layout using interior design guidelines. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 30(4):1–10, 2011.
- Gaurav Parmar, Richard Zhang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. On aliased resizing and surprising subtleties in gan evaluation. In *CVPR*, 2022.
- Despoina Paschalidou, Amlan Kar, Maria Shugrina, Karsten Kreis, Andreas Geiger, and Sanja Fidler. ATISS: autoregressive transformers for indoor scene synthesis. *CoRR*, abs/2110.03675, 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.03675>.
- Akshay Gadi Patil, Supriya Gadi Patil, Manyi Li, Matthew Fisher, Manolis Savva, and Hao Zhang. Advances in data-driven analysis and synthesis of 3d indoor scenes. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 2023.
- Siyuan Qi, Yixin Zhu, Siyuan Huang, Chenfanfu Jiang, and Song-Chun Zhu. Human-centric indoor scene synthesis using stochastic grammar. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5899–5908, 2018.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v139/radford21a.html>.
- Daniel Ritchie, Kai Wang, and Yu-an Lin. Fast and flexible indoor scene synthesis via deep convolutional generative models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 6182–6190, 2019.
- Liangchen Song, Liangliang Cao, Hongyu Xu, Kai Kang, Feng Tang, Junsong Yuan, and Yang Zhao. Roomdreamer: Text-driven 3d indoor scene synthesis with coherent geometry and texture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11337*, 2023.
- Jiapeng Tang, Yinyu Nie, Lev Markhasin, Angela Dai, Justus Thies, and Matthias Nießner. Diffuscene: Denoising diffusion models for generative indoor scene synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. LLaMA: Open and efficient foundation language models, 2023.
- Kai Wang, Manolis Savva, Angel X Chang, and Daniel Ritchie. Deep convolutional priors for indoor scene synthesis. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 37(4):1–14, 2018.
- Haitao Yang, Zaiwei Zhang, Siming Yan, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Yi Zheng, Chandrajit Bajaj, and Qixing Huang. Scene synthesis via uncertainty-driven attribute synchronization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 5630–5640, 2021a.
- Jianing Yang, Xuweiyi Chen, Nikhil Madaan, Madhavan Iyengar, Shengyi Qian, David F. Fouhey, and Joyce Chai. 3d-grand: A million-scale dataset for 3d-llms with better grounding and less hallucination. *CoRR*, abs/2406.05132, 2024. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2406.05132. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.05132>.
- Ming-Jia Yang, Yu-Xiao Guo, Bin Zhou, and Xin Tong. Indoor scene generation from a collection of semantic-segmented depth images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 15203–15212, 2021b.

Zaiwei Zhang, Zhenpei Yang, Chongyang Ma, Linjie Luo, Alexander Huth, Etienne Vouga, and Qixing Huang. Deep generative modeling for scene synthesis via hybrid representations. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 39(2): 1–21, 2020.

Appendix

A Implementation Details

A.1 LLM Prompting

In this section we give more detail on our usage of pretrained LLMs for various components in our model pipeline. Other than the multimodal LLaVa-Next model that we use for DecoRate, all LLMs used are derived from the pretrained instruction-tuned LLaMa-3.1 8B parameter model. Below, we list the prompts which we prepend to model inputs using LLaMa’s chat template.

Summarization: We use the following prompt with a pretrained model to summarize 3D-GRAND captions into user-style prompts.

Summarize the following grounded annotation into a shorter description that a user would use to describe what type of room they would like for their home:

Description: The following prompt is used for generating descriptions for each tagged object in a densely grounded scene annotation.

I’m going to give you a detailed scene annotation with object tags. For each tagged object give me a detailed object description so that all the objects match the overall theme of the room and any description details in the annotation. Put each object description on its own line (if there are several objects which are the same just repeat the same description) in the format [object tag]: [description]. Don’t spend more than three sentences on a single object. Do not include the explicit object tag (e.g <wardrobe-0>) in your description just use natural language. Output the objects in the same order that they are listed in the scene annotation. Detailed annotation:

Layout Generation: The following prompt is inputted to our Ann2Layout module during both training and inference.

Make a room layout in CSS format that matches the following annotation:

Annotation Generation: The following prompt is inputted to our Prompt2Ann module during both training and inference.

Generate a detailed room annotation with object tags from the following short user prompt:

DecoRate scoring: When using the DecoRate model for scoring object-text agreements, we prompt the model for object description by including the following prompt with each input image before supplying the text description:

What is shown in this image?

	$\lambda_p = 0.0$	$\lambda_p = 0.01$	$\lambda_p = 0.1$	$\lambda_p = 0.5$	$\lambda_p = 1.0$
Top-10 Accuracy	27.6%	30.5%	47.3%	39.9%	33.0%
Top-5 Accuracy	18.2%	22.2%	35.0%	26.1%	21.7%
Top-1 Accuracy	5.4%	6.9%	16.3%	10.3%	9.9%

Table 4 Comparison of different values of λ_p for controlling the strength of the prior distribution term in DecoRate’s scoring function.

A.2 Model Training

In this section we give implementation details for the finetuning of our Prompt2Ann and Ann2Layout models. For all experiments, we use a LORA alpha of 8, a learning rate of 0.0003 and an L2 regularization of 0.001.

All finetuning was performed on a single 40GB NVIDIA A100. In order to achieve a higher effective batch size using limited GPU memory we use 8 gradient accumulation steps per update, each with a batch size of 1.

For our Prompt2Ann model, we use a LORA rank of 16 for all training runs. Training our model to convergence under this setting takes around 48 hours.

For our Ann2Layout model we use a LORA rank of 16 when training on bedroom scenes and for living room scenes we use a LORA rank of 64. Both types of scenes require around 4 hours of training to converge.

A.3 DecoRate Runtime Optimization

In this section we give more detail on how we optimize DecoRate’s runtime to avoid redundant computations and GPU transfers. Given a sequence of text prompts t_1, \dots, t_n where each t_i is associated with k candidate objects $\tau_1^{(i)}, \dots, \tau_k^{(i)}$, we wish to compute $p(t_i|\tau_j), \forall i, j$ while minimizing file I/O.

To do this, we process a single object category at a time, precomputing all relevant features and storing them on GPU. For each category, we first find the set of all objects which are a candidate for at least one text description within that category. We then load the image files for these objects, transfer the images to GPU memory, and use LLaVa-Next’s image encoder to compute their visual features. We then iterate over all of the relevant text descriptions, gathering any relevant precomputed features to compute the necessary likelihood scores. Once we are finished with this, we delete all of the visual features for the category from GPU memory.

This method improves DecoRate runtime by $\sim 20\%$ by ensuring that each object only has to be loaded, transferred to GPU, and encoded just once. Furthermore, these efficiency gains become more pronounced when using a larger set of inputs, improving the scalability of our method.

B Additional Results

B.1 λ_p Ablation

In Table 4 we include an ablation of the λ_p hyperparameter introduced in Sec. 4.2. We find that setting $\lambda_p = 0.01$ gives the best results and as a result we use this choice of hyperparameter for our other experiments.

B.2 Qualitative Comparison of Object Retrieval using DecoRate vs. CLIP

In Sec. 5 we showed quantitative results to support the effectiveness of DecoRate for object retrieval compared to CLIP-based search. As further evidence, we include qualitative examples in Table 6 showing DecoRate and CLIP’s performance on five example text descriptions. The results demonstrate how DecoRate’s use of a multimodal LLM provides enhanced language understanding, resulting in better sensitivity to details in the provided descriptions. In the final example text prompt, we omit the word "chair" to demonstrate that without an explicit indicator of the object type, CLIP is unable to use the provided details to infer object appearance, while DecoRate still performs effectively.

B.3 Qualitative Comparison with LayoutGPT

As mentioned previously, LayoutGPT does not incorporate text description information into its furniture retrieval process, only selecting based on category and size. As a result, it is not designed to satisfy visual specifications. Here, we demonstrate the effect of this by visualizing renderings of scenes generated by DECORUM and LayoutGPT based on the same text prompt.

B.4 CLIP-Score Evaluations

In this section we include CLIP-Score evaluations for our model compared with several baselines, displayed in Table 5. For comparison, we include the CLIP-Score for ground-truth 3D-FRONT scenes paired with their 3D-GRAND annotations as well as with randomly selected 3D-GRAND captions. We again find that our model shows greater responsiveness to text inputs compared to CLIP-Layout, which is unable to model

Input prompt: "I'm looking for a bedroom with a luxurious and modern feel, featuring a king-size bed with a black and gold canopy frame, gray wardrobe, and sleek side tables with golden frames. I'd also like a minimalist TV stand with a white finish to complete the space."




Figure 4 Example of LayoutGPT text-conditioned output compared to DECORUM for a sample text prompt. Because LayoutGPT does not incorporate information from the text prompt into its choice of objects, it cannot satisfy visual descriptions

Method	CLIP-Score
Ground-Truth	0.258
Decorum(ours)	0.257
CLIP-Layout	0.255
Random	0.255

Table 5 CLIP-Score comparison for text-to-scene methods

scene-level style. However, we note that CLIP-Score is a far less informative metric compared to our introduced Text Fidelity Rating, showing little difference overall between ground-truth pairs and random pairs.

B.5 Decorum Output Examples

We present additional visual examples of Decorum’s generative abilities conditioned on a diverse array of user-specified styles in Fig 6,7,8. To further display the intermediate steps of our generation pipeline displayed in 1, we include a detailed visualization of each step of the generation process for an example input in Fig 5.

Input:

"I'm looking for a serene bedroom with a king-size bed as the focal point, surrounded by simple Japanese-inspired nightstands and a large, honey-toned wardrobe for ample storage. I'd also like a minimalist shelf and a pendant light above the bed to create a restful and modern atmosphere."



The `<p>king-size bed</p>` anchors the room with its Southeast Asian flair and dark brown color, suggesting a tranquil sleeping area. Flanking the bed are two matching `<p>nightstand</p>`, both in a warm oak finish that complements the natural wood tones found throughout the space. The spacious `<p>wardrobe</p>` stands near the foot of the bed, offering generous storage while maintaining the room's clean lines. Above the center of the bed, the `<p>pendant lamp</p>` adds a touch of European elegance with its white hue and crystal adornments, casting a soft glow across the room. Lastly, the `<p>shelf</p>` introduces a Minimalist element with its sleek black metal construction, providing additional display or storage options without cluttering the visual space. Together, these elements create a harmonious blend of style and function, ideal for relaxation and repose.



A large, dark brown bed with a Southeast Asian flair, perfect for a serene and peaceful sleeping area.

A small, warm oak nightstand that complements the natural wood tones found throughout the space

A small, warm oak nightstand that complements the natural wood tones found throughout the space

A large, honey-toned wardrobe that offers ample storage while maintaining the room's clean lines.

A delicate, white pendant light with crystal adornments, adding a touch of European elegance and casting a soft glow across the room.


A minimalist shelf with a sleek black metal construction, providing additional display or storage options without cluttering the visual space.



Output:




Figure 5 Example of DECORUM pipeline applied to a sample input. For this sample prompt we show the model's predicted annotation which is used for layout generation and object selection. We then show the description generated for each object and the corresponding 3D object retrieved for this description. Finally, we include renderings of the final scene created from arranging the selected furniture.



a) User inputs

b) Decorum scene outputs


Figure 6 Visualization of scenes synthesized by Decorum to match provided user prompts



a) User inputs

b) Decorum scene outputs

Figure 7 Visualization of scenes synthesized by Decorum to match provided user prompts



a) User inputs

b) Decorum scene outputs

Figure 8 Visualization of scenes synthesized by Decorum to match provided user prompts

Example Prompt	Method	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	Rank 4	Rank 5
<p>1. The bed has a rounded blue headboard with fluffy white bedding on top. The pillows are a tasteful complimentary color to provide a cohesive and inviting feel</p>	DecoRate					
	CLIP					
<p>2. The grey couch is stacked with plenty of pillows and features two sections</p>	DecoRate					
	CLIP					
<p>3. The object is a small potted plants with bushy green leaves shooting out at the top</p>	DecoRate					
	CLIP					
<p>4. The chandelier is a truly dazzling piece with a gold frame holding lights evenly</p>	DecoRate					
	CLIP					
<p>5. The object is a dark blue office with wheels on the bottom that allow it to move around</p>	DecoRate					
	CLIP					

Table 6 Comparison of DecoRate with CLIP for object retrieval on five example text descriptions. For each object description, we show the top five objects which each model rates as having the highest similarity to the description.