

SMALL-SCALE TURBULENCE LIMIT OF FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION FOR POLYMERS IN TURBULENT FLOW

YASSINE TAHRAOUI

Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

ABSTRACT. We study the singular limit of Fokker-Planck equation of polymers density as the dominant time-scale of small scale component of turbulent flow goes to zero. Here, we complete the study of Flandoli-Tahraoui [arXiv:2410.00520] about scaling limit as the space-scale of small scale component of turbulent flow goes to zero by using stochastic modeling of turbulence. Depending on certain parameters related to turbulence modeling, the limit density has generalized Cauchy distribution for the end-to-end vector. We discuss also the limit when we don't have a probability density limit. Our approach is based on the derivation of an appropriate estimates on L^2 with appropriate weight and investigate the convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polymers are complex molecular systems, that can be vaguely thought as a chain of springs. Understanding polymer dynamics is important, both theoretically and in practice. One application is that the presence of low concentrations of polymers can lead to a significant change in hydrodynamics, and one of the most important effects is the drag reduction in turbulence. In a turbulent fluid, polymers are usually found in two states called coil and stretched. The coil state is like a spherical or ellipsoidal rolled chain, which may be more or less elongated, but still in roll position. The stretched state is when the chain is elongated, more similar to a stright line than a sphere. Strongly stretching turbulence may lead to the stretched state; when the polymer pass from one state to the other we speak of coil-stretch transition.

The aim of this contribution is to rigorously justify the power law distribution of the end-to-end vector of polymers. Consider in dimension 2 or 3 the following *Hookean* model:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} dR_t &= \nabla u(X_t, t)R_t dt - \frac{1}{\beta}R_t dt + \sqrt{2}\sigma dW_t, \\ dX_t &= u(X_t, t)dt, \end{cases}$$

where X_t is the polymer position (the center of mass) and R_t is the end-to-end vector, representing the orientation and elongation of the chain, see e.g. [4, Section 4.2]. The polymer is embedded into a fluid having velocity $u(t, x)$, which stretches R_t by $\nabla u(x, t)$. The equation for R_t contains also a damping (restoring) term with relaxation time β and Brownian fluctuations $\sqrt{2}\sigma dW_t$ where, to simplify the notations we have denoted by σ^2 the product $\frac{kT}{\beta}$, k being Boltzmann constant and T being the temperature.

E-mail address: yassine.tahraoui@sns.it.

Date: March 25, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q84, 35B25, 76F99.

Key words and phrases. Fokker-Planck equation, Turbulence, singular limit, Polymer flow, Cauchy distribution.

We consider a dilute (non-interacting) family of polymers subject to equations (1), thus described by the kinetic equation for the density $f^{N,\tau} := f^{N,\tau}(x, r, t)$ of polymers with position x and length r at time t

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f^{N,\tau} + \operatorname{div}_x(u^{N,\tau} f^{N,\tau}) + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u^{N,\tau} r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) f^{N,\tau}) = \sigma^2 \Delta_r f^{N,\tau}, \\ u^{N,\tau} := u^{N,\tau}(x, t) = u_L(x, t) + u_s(x, t) = u_L(x, t) + \circ \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x) \partial_t W_t^k, \\ f^{N,\tau}|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau. \end{cases}$$

Here $u^{N,\tau}(x, t)$ is the fluid velocity and assumed that $u^{N,\tau}(x, t)$ is made of two components, a deterministic large-scale one $u_L(x, t)$ and a stochastic one, modeling small-scale turbulence, of the form $\sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x) \partial_t W_t^k$, acting in Stratonovich form. We stress the fact that the coefficients $\sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x)$ of the turbulent part depend on a parameter N so that, when N increases, they represent smaller and smaller space scales, precisely Fourier frequencies $N \leq |k| \leq 2N$, providing a separation of scale regime. The noise acts on $f^{N,\tau}(x, r, t)$ in transport form, but incorporating also the stretching action by the term $\nabla u^{N,\tau}(x, t) r$. The coefficients $(\sigma_k^{N,\tau})_k$ depends as well on the dominant time-scale τ of u_s , see [Subsection 2.3](#). In [12], under suitable intensity assumption, such that the stretching term has a finite limit covariance, we proved that $f^{N,\tau}$ weakly converges to the solution $f_\tau := f_\tau(x, r, t)$ of a deterministic equation, with a new diffusion term in the r -variable, of the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L r f_\tau) = \operatorname{div}_r(\frac{1}{\beta} r f_\tau) + \sigma^2 \Delta_r f_\tau + \frac{k_T}{2} \operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau \end{cases}$$

where $A_b(r) = ((b+1)|r|^2 I - br \otimes r)$ ($b = 2$ in 2D and $b = 1$ in 3D), $k_T = C_d a_\tau^2$ ($C_d = \frac{\pi \log(2)}{8}$ in 2D and $C_d = \frac{8\pi \log(2)}{15}$ in 3D) and a_τ is an intensity parameter of the noise depending on τ . In the regime where the relaxation time of polymers β and the dominant time-scale τ satisfy $\beta = \zeta \tau$, $\zeta > 0$, (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L r f_\tau) = \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \operatorname{div}_r(\alpha r f_\tau + \nabla_r f_\tau + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau, \quad (x, r) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and related to the intensity of turbulence, see [Subsection 2.3](#) how to get (4) from (3) and [Remark 2](#) for the interpretation of α . The aim of this contribution is to consider the singular limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ of (4). This completes the study done in [12], and rigorously justify the physical predictions about the power tail of the probability density function of polymer end-to-end vector R (see *e.g.* [1]). In [12], we proved a first scaling limit (in space) by using a stochastic modeling of small-scale turbulent flow. In other words, we proved $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} f^{N,\tau} = f^\tau$ exists and f^τ satisfies (3). Here, we prove that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} f^\tau = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} f^{N,\tau}$ exists in appropriate sense and we identify the limit. To the best of author's knowledge, this is the first time that two scaling limits in space and time have been considered using stochastic modeling of small-scales of turbulent flow in the presence of stretching and transport terms.

Sketch of the main results. For the convenience of the reader, let us explain heuristically the main result of this work then precise results (see [Theorem 4](#) and [Theorem 6](#)) and rigorous proofs are provided in the main body of the paper. We distinguish three cases:

- The case $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$: there exists $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx)$ such that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau - f_0|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx = 0,$$

then f_τ converges to $\rho \otimes \frac{1}{Z} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx))$, where $Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr$ and ρ is the unique L^2 -valued solution of

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + u_L \cdot \nabla_x \rho = 0 \\ \rho(x)|_{t=0} = \rho_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0(x, r) dr. \end{cases}$$

- The case $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx)_\tau$ is bounded: there exists a subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_{\tau_k}$ such that

$$f_{\tau_k} \text{ converges weakly-}^* \text{ to 0 in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx)).$$

- The case $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx)_\tau$ is bounded: there exists a subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_{\tau_k}$ such that f_{τ_k} converges weakly- * to \tilde{f} in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, where \mathcal{M} denotes the space of Radon measures and \tilde{f} satisfies in weak sense the following

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{div}_r (\alpha r \tilde{f} + \nabla_r \tilde{f} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \tilde{f}) = 0.$$

A particular solution to (6) is $\tilde{f} = g \otimes \mu_\alpha$, where g is an element of $L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d))$ and $\mu_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined as follows

$$\langle \mu_\alpha, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{M}, C_c} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} \varphi(r) dr, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We refer to [Section 3](#) for a discussion about the physical interpretation of the above results.

The origin of stochastic diffusion limits, based on the Itô-Stratonovich corrector, is the paper [13] that threw a new light on passive scalars subject to turbulent models. The result of [13] has been generalized in several directions, showing in particular its strength for nonlinear scalar problems (see [11] for a review). More difficult has been adapting the ideas to advected vector fields, because of lack of control on the stochastic stretching, when the diffusive scaling limit is performed. Nevertheless, positive results for particular models have been obtained, adapting the classical scaling of [13], see *e.g.* [5, 9, 10, 20]. Recently, after considering a new scaling such as the noise covariance goes to zero but a suitable covariance built on derivatives of the noise converges to a non zero limit, new results have been obtained in [6, 12] in the case of stochastic transport-stretching. In [6], we introduced a background stochastic Vlasov equation behind stochastic transport and advection equations which gives additional information on the fluctuations and oscillations of solutions based on Young measures. We first developed the theory for the stochastic transport of a passive scalar. Then we developed the theory for a passive vector field, where stretching also acts in addition to transport. In the case of a passive vector field the background Vlasov equation adds completely new statistical information to the stochastic advection equation and the theory developed may help to recognize the existence of large values of the length of the vectors, where the physical phenomenon of magnetic dynamo is an example. In [12], we considered the new scaling by considering the Fokker-Planck equation (2) of polymers density and obtained the result described in the beginning of this introduction. Namely, (3) as

a limit of (2).

On the other hand, kinetic equations in general, which correspond to the mesoscopic scale, appear in the study of many problems in physics, biology, and other fields. Different types of these equations have been studied extensively, both in terms of the existence of solutions and their properties, as well as the asymptotic behavior with respect to the parameters appearing in the equations. In general, the main interest when studying asymptotic is to derive equations of macroscopic quantities such as density and probability distribution with respect to mesoscopic variable such as particle velocity and the polymers end-to-end vector in our case. Without trying to be exhaustive, the author studied in [22] the asymptotic of electron distribution function in semiconductor kinetic theory where the equation is given by Boltzmann transport equation for high electric fields. He proved that the limit distribution function is given by the tensor product of the density (satisfying a linear transport equation) and a probability distribution function of the velocity variable satisfying an appropriate PDE. In plasma, people are interested in studying the asymptotic of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck System for example. There are two important scalings, the low field limit and the high field limit. This leads to different scalings of the kinetic equations and requires a separate analysis, we refer *e.g.* to [7, 19]. In [7, 23], the limit distribution function is given by the tensor product of the density (satisfying a drift-diffusion equation) and a normalized Maxwellian with zero mean for the microscopic velocity. In [8], the authors investigate a zero inertia limit of some kinetic equation where the limit is given by the tensor product of the density (satisfying a non linear transport equation) and monokinetic distribution of the velocity variable. We refer *e.g.* to [15, 16, 17, 18, 19] for more results about the asymptotics of the kinetic equations.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that due to the stretching term in (1) and the appropriate stochastic scaling in [12], the matrix A_b is generated after the first scaling limit. This matrix A_b is a fundamental key giving rise to the Cauchy distribution for the end-to-end vector.

Structure of the paper. The manuscript is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some preliminaries and formulate the problem. Then, we collect the main results and the physical interpretation of our work in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of a uniform estimate with respect to τ and some results about the continuity equation associated with (4). In Section 5, we prove the convergence results. We conclude the paper with Appendix A containing a proof of Lemma 10.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a dilute family of polymers described by the kinetic equation (2) for the density $f^{N,\tau}$. In order to formulate the problem of interest and for the convenience of the reader, let us recall the structure of $(\sigma_k^{N,\tau})_k$. For more details we refer to [12].

2.1. Structure of the small scales. In order to clarify the stochastic modeling of small scales, τ denotes the dominant time-scale of u_s and $\ell \sim N^{-1}$ its space scale.

The 2D case. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 := \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ divided into its four quadrants (write $k = (k_1, k_2)$)

$$\begin{aligned} K_{++} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 \geq 0, k_2 > 0\}; & K_{-+} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 < 0, k_2 \geq 0\} \\ K_{--} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 \leq 0, k_2 < 0\}; & K_{+-} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 > 0, k_2 \leq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$K_+ = K_{++} \cup K_{+-}; \quad K_- = K_{-+} \cup K_{--} \quad \text{and} \quad K = K_+ \cup K_-.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t, P)$ be a complete filtered probability space. Define ¹

$$\sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x) = \theta_k^{N,\tau} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_+, \quad \sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x) = \theta_k^{N,\tau} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_-$$

where

$$\theta_k^{N,\tau} = \frac{a_\tau}{|k|^2}, \quad N \leq |k| \leq 2N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*; \quad \theta_k^{N,\tau} = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

where a_τ is a positive constant measuring the intensity, see [Subsection 2.3](#). Let us also consider a family $(W_t^k)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ of independent Brownian motions on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Then, set $u_s(x, t) = \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^{N,\tau}(x) \partial_t W_t^k$.

The 3D case. We introduce the partition $\mathbb{Z}_0^3 = \Gamma_{3,+} \cup \Gamma_{3,-}$ ² such that $\Gamma_{3,+} = -\Gamma_{3,-}$ and we consider a family of real valued independent Brownian motions $(B_t^{k,j})_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^3}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^3, j \in \{1,2\}}$ defined on the complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t, P)$, that is $\mathbb{E}(B_t^{k,j} B_s^{l,m}) = \min(t, s) \delta_{k,l} \delta_{j,m}$. Then, we introduce a sequence of complex-valued Brownian motions adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ defined as follows

$$W_t^{k,j} = \begin{cases} B_t^{k,j} + iB_t^{-k,j} & \text{if } k \in \Gamma_{3,+} \\ B_t^{-k,j} - iB_t^{k,j} & \text{if } k \in \Gamma_{3,-}. \end{cases}$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, define $\theta_{k,j}^{N,\tau} = \frac{a_\tau}{|k|^{5/2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{N \leq |k| \leq 2N\}}$, for a positive constant a . Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^3$, $j \in \{1,2\}$ we denote by $\sigma_{k,j}^{N,\tau}(x) = \theta_{k,j}^{N,\tau} a_{k,j} e^{ik \cdot x}$, where $\{\frac{k}{|k|}, a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}\}$ is an orthonormal system of \mathbb{R}^3 for $k \in \Gamma_{3,+}$ and $a_{k,j} = a_{-k,j}$ if $k \in \Gamma_{3,-}$. Set

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{W}^{N,\tau}(t, x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^3, j \in \{1,2\}} \theta_{k,j}^{N,\tau} a_{k,j} e^{ik \cdot x} W^{k,j}(t),$$

and consider $u_s = \partial_t \mathbf{W}^{N,\tau}$ in the 3D case.

2.2. Scaling limit results. Let us present the following scaling limit result based on [\[12\]](#).

2.2.1. Notations and functional setting. Let $d \in \{2,3\}$, we consider the periodic boundary conditions with respect to the spacial variable x , namely x belongs to the d -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^d = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$. On the other hand, the end-to-end vector variable r belongs to \mathbb{R}^d . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and introduce the following Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with polynomial weight, namely

$$L_{r,m}^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) := \{f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x, r)|^2 (1 + |r|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} dx dr := \|f\|_{L_{r,m}^2}^2 < \infty\}.$$

¹For $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, y^\perp stands for $(-y_2, y_1)$.

² $\mathbb{Z}_0^3 = \mathbb{Z}^3 - \{(0,0,0)\}$

We will use the following notations $L_{r,2}^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) := H$. We recall the definition of inner products defined on the spaces H and $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(h, g)_H := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} h(x, r)g(x, r)(1 + |r|^2)dxdr, \quad \forall g, h \in H;$$

$$(h, g) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} h(x, r)g(x, r)dxdr, \quad \forall g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

2.2.2. *Scaling limit as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.* Let $T > 0$ and $u_L = u_L(x, t)$ be a given large scale component such that $u_L \in C([0, T], C^2(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\operatorname{div}_x(u_L) = 0$.

Assume that $f_0^\tau \in H$ and $f^{N, \tau}$ be the unique quasi-regular weak solution of (2) (see [12, Def. 5, Thm. 7 and Thm. 8]). By using the results from [12, Thm. 9 and Subsection 7.4.], we get

Theorem 1. *There exists a new probability space, denoted by the same way (for simplicity) (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , $f_\tau \in L_{w-*}^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$, $\nabla_r f_\tau \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$ such that the following convergence holds (up to a sub-sequence)*

$$f^{N, \tau} \rightharpoonup f_\tau \text{ in } L_{w-*}^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)), \quad \nabla_r f^{N, \tau} \rightharpoonup \nabla_r f_\tau \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)).$$

Moreover f_τ is the unique solution of the following problem: P -a.s. for any $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(x, r, t) \phi(x) \psi(r) dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0^\tau(x, r) \phi(x) \psi(r) dr dx \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(x, r, s) \left(u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi(x) \psi(r) + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi(r) \phi(x) \right) dr dx ds \\ & - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma^2 \nabla_r f_\tau(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi(r) \phi(x) + \frac{k_T}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi(r) \phi(x) dr dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $A_b(r) = ((b+1)|r|^2 I - br \otimes r)$ ($b = 2$ in 2D and $b = 1$ in 3D), $k_T = C_d a_\tau^2$ ($C_d = \frac{\pi \log(2)}{8}$ in 2D and $C_d = \frac{8\pi \log(2)}{15}$ in 3D) and a_τ is an intensity parameter of the noise.

2.3. **The derivation of (4).** We recall that σ^2 is the product $\frac{kT}{\beta}$, k being Boltzmann constant and T being the temperature. Hence $\sigma^2 = \frac{C_2}{\beta}$, where $C_2 = kT > 0$. In order to formulate the main equation, let us present the following.

2.3.1. *Noise specification and the parameter a_τ .* We focus on the 2D case and 3D case follows in the same way. Denote by u_s the small scale of the turbulent velocity, its vortex structures have a dominant time-scale τ and space scale ℓ , and the associated turbulent kinetic energy k_T (of order $\frac{\ell^2}{\tau^2}$, in other words, $k_T = \kappa \frac{\ell^2}{\tau^2}$ for a positive constant κ). In a Gaussian approximation of the model, a reasonable choice is

$$u_s(x, t) := \frac{\sqrt{k_T}}{\ell} \sum_{N \leq |k| \leq 2N} \frac{1}{|k|^2 |k|} k^\perp e_k(x) Z_t^k,$$

where the functions $e_k(x)$, sine and cosine, $N \sim \ell^{-1}$, Z_t^k are stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes solution of

$$dZ_t^k = -\frac{1}{\tau} Z_t^k dt + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} dW_t^k,$$

where $(W_t^k)_k$ are independent Brownian motions. The average kinetic energy is given by

$$\mathbb{E} [|u_s(x, t)|^2] = \frac{k_T}{\ell^2} \sum_{N \leq |k| \leq 2N} \frac{1}{|k|^4} e_k^2(x),$$

and $\frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{N \leq |k| \leq 2N} \frac{1}{|k|^4} e_k^2(x)$ has a unitary variance size. The intensity of the turbulent flow is given by $\sqrt{k_T}$. Therefore, after using the approximation

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \int_0^t Z_s^k ds - W_t^k \right)^2 \right] = 0,$$

we write

$$u_s(x, t) dt := \sqrt{\tau k_T} \sum_{N \leq |k| \leq 2N} \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} e_k(x) dW_t^k.$$

Thus, a_τ is of the order $\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{\tau}} C(\ell)$ with $C(\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell}$. More precisely, $a_\tau = \sqrt{k} \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{\tau}} C(\ell)$. Thus we infer that $a_\tau = \frac{C_3}{\sqrt{\tau}}$ with $C_3 = \sqrt{k} > 0$. Let us formulate the main equation. It is clear that we can write the limit equation given by [Theorem 1](#) as follows

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_{LR} f_\tau) = \operatorname{div}_r\left(\frac{1}{\beta} r f_\tau\right) + \sigma^2 \Delta_r f_\tau + \frac{C_d a_\tau^2}{2} \operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau. \end{cases}$$

In the regime where the relaxation time of polymers and the dominant time-scale of the small scale turbulent flow satisfies $\beta = \zeta \tau, \zeta > 0$, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_{LR}) f_\tau) = \operatorname{div}_r\left(\frac{1}{\zeta \tau} r f_\tau + \frac{C_2}{\zeta \tau} \nabla_r f_\tau + \frac{C_d C_3^2}{2\tau} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau\right) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by setting

$$(10) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta C_d C_3^2} > 0 \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{C_2}{\zeta C_d C_3^2} > 0,$$

we obtain the following equation for $f_\tau = f_\tau(x, r, t)$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_{LR} f_\tau) = \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \operatorname{div}_r\left(\alpha r f_\tau + \gamma \nabla_r f_\tau + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau\right) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality set $\gamma = 1$. Our aim is investigate the limit behavior of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in [\(11\)](#).

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\tau + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_\tau) + \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_{LR} f_\tau) = \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \operatorname{div}_r\left(\alpha r f_\tau + \nabla_r f_\tau + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau\right) \\ f_\tau|_{t=0} = f_0^\tau. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. *It is worth making some comments on the parameter α . Notice that from [\(10\)](#), α become smaller either when ζ become larger (i.e. the relaxation time of polymer β become larger than the dominant time-scale τ) or C_3 become larger (i.e. the intensity of the turbulent flow become larger). In both cases, this corresponds to a stronger turbulent flow for the polymers.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let us introduce the following space

$$H_\alpha = \left\{ g : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2(x, r) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx < \infty \right\}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Remark 3. Notice that $H_\alpha \hookrightarrow H \cap L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$.

In the following, we always consider $f_0^\tau \in H$ for any $\tau > 0$.

3.1. Statement of the main result. Consider the following assumptions.

(H₁) There exists $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ independent of τ such that $\sup_{\tau > 0} \|f_0^\tau\|_{H_\alpha}^2 \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}$.

(H₂) There exists $f_0 \in H_\alpha$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \|f_0^\tau - f_0\|_{H_\alpha} = 0$.

The first result concerns the case $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$.

Theorem 4. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, assume that assumption H₁ is satisfied. Let f_τ be the unique quasi-regular weak solution to (26), then

$$(12) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_\tau(t)\|_{H_\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha+1} dr dx ds \leq e^{\mathbf{K}T} \mathbf{\Lambda},$$

where $\mathbf{K} = 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$. If moreover H₂ is satisfied, the following convergence holds as $\tau \rightarrow 0$

$$(13) \quad f_\tau \rightarrow_\tau \rho \otimes \frac{1}{Z} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H_\alpha),$$

where ρ is the unique solution to (35) and $Z := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr = C(d, \alpha)$ is a normalizing factor. In addition, the following rate of convergence holds

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha)^2(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \leq \mathbf{C}_\alpha (\tau + \frac{T}{Z} \|f_0^\tau - f_0\|_{H_\alpha}^2),$$

where \mathbf{C}_α is a positive constant.

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition 11, Proposition 15 and Lemma 13. \square

Remark 5. It is worth mentioning that the same conclusion of Theorem 4 holds if H₂ is replaced by there exists $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \|f_0^\tau - f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_r^1} = 0$.

In the following, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of Radon measures. Concerning the case $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$, we have

Theorem 6. Let $\tau > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$. We distinguish two cases:

i) Assume that H₁ is satisfied and let f_τ be the unique quasi-regular weak solution to (26). Then

$$(14) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_\tau(t)\|_{H_\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha+1} dr dx ds \leq e^{\mathbf{K}T} \mathbf{\Lambda}.$$

Moreover, there exists a subsequence $(f_{\eta_k})_k$ of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ such that the following convergence holds

$$(15) \quad f_{\eta_k} \xrightarrow{*} 0 \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_\alpha) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

ii) Let $f_0^\tau \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap H$ and f_τ be the unique quasi-regular weak solution to (26). Assume the existence of $\Lambda_2 > 0$ independent of τ such that $\sup_{\tau > 0} \|f_0^\tau\|_{L^1} \leq \Lambda_2$. Then

$$(16) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t)| dr dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx \leq \Lambda_2.$$

Moreover, there exists a subsequence $(f_{\tau_k})_k$ of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ and $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$(17) \quad f_{\tau_k} \xrightarrow{*} \tilde{f} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and \tilde{f} solves, in the sense of distributions, the following

$$(18) \quad \operatorname{div}_r(\alpha r \tilde{f} + \nabla_r \tilde{f} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \tilde{f}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'.$$

Proof. It is a consequence of [Proposition 11](#), [Proposition 17](#) and [Proposition 18](#). \square

Remark 7. Since the differential operator acts only with respect to r -variable, a particular solution are of the form $\tilde{f} = g(t, x) \otimes \mu_r$, where g is an element of $L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d))$ and $\mu_r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ solving

$$(19) \quad \operatorname{div}_r(\alpha r \mu_r + \nabla_r \mu_r + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \mu_r) = 0 \text{ in } (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))'.$$

On the other hand, $P_\alpha(r) = (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\alpha r P_\alpha(r) + \nabla_r P_\alpha(r) + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) P_\alpha(r) = 0$. Define the following Radon measure μ_α

$$(20) \quad \langle \mu_\alpha, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{M}, C_c} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_\alpha(r) \varphi(r) dr, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Indeed, let $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one gets

$$|\langle P_\alpha, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{M}, C_c}| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_\alpha(r) \varphi(r) dr \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{C_c} \int_{\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)} P_\alpha(r) dr \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C_c}$$

for some positive constant C . Consequently, $g(t, x) \otimes \mu_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ solves (18).

3.2. Physical interpretation. From a physical point of view, the statistics of the polymer length R have been investigated by several authors in the physical literature, see for instance [1, 14, 21]. In the coil state, the distribution of polymer end-to-end vector R is found to be power law

$$(21) \quad f(R) \sim R^{-1-\theta} \quad \text{for relatively large } R$$

with the exponent θ positive (so that f is normalizable). The exponent θ depends on the stretching properties of the turbulent flow: the highest is the stretching intensity, the lowest is θ . At $\theta = 0$ one has the coil-stretch transition.

Let us discuss the results of [Theorem 4](#) and [Theorem 6](#). We distinguish two main cases.

i. If $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, then we have two sub-cases:

- The case $\alpha > \frac{d+1}{2}$: the average (mean) associated with the probability density in [Theorem 4](#) is finite and the most of polymers have an equilibrium size. One can consider that polymers are in coil state in this regime.

- The case $\frac{d}{2} < \alpha \leq \frac{d+1}{2}$: The mean is not finite and larger values of polymer end-to-end vector are more probable, which means that the most of polymers have large size and the polymers are in stretched state. Moreover, at $\alpha = \frac{d+1}{2}$ the average (mean) associated with the probability density in [Theorem 4](#) is not finite anymore, this can be interpreted as the criterion for the coil-stretch transition.
- ii. The case $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$: In this case, we no longer have a probability density in [Theorem 6](#). Moreover, we don't have *a priori* the uniqueness of the limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Depending on the regularity of the initial data, we obtain different limits, the first one is the trivial limit. More importantly, the second limit \tilde{f} , Radon measure valued one in [Theorem 6](#). The latter seems to be more natural because it corresponds to the L^1 -framework and we are dealing with Fokker-Planck type equation (see [[12](#), Rmq. 11]). As noticed in [Remark 7](#), we can define a family of explicit solutions given by

$$g(t, x) \otimes \mu_\alpha, \quad g \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d)) \text{ and } \mu_\alpha \text{ given by (20)}.$$

Notice that μ_α show a power law decay with the exponent α in the generalized sense of Radon measure. As we mention in [Remark 2](#), as α decreases, the turbulent flow become stronger. Thus, we can say that the polymers are strongly stretched in comparison with the second sub-case above, where the pdf must be interpreted as a less regular object, namely as a Radon measure showing the power law decay behavior. In addition, in the stretched state, the precise mathematics depends on the idealizations of the model. If we had introduced a superlinear damping instead of the linear damping $-\frac{1}{\beta}R_t$, this would produce a sort of cut-off at very high lengths (e.g. *FENE* model, see [[12](#), Remark 12]), so that the behavior ([21](#)) would be true only in a range

$$R_{min} \ll R \ll R_{max}$$

and globally the function f would still be a pdf. In our idealization of linear damping, the stretching may overcome the damping and lead to infinite length in the asymptotic regime, which is the idealized signature of stretch state.

In all cases, our main results justified rigorously match the physical prediction (see *e.g.* [[1](#)]) concerning the power-law distribution of polymers embedded in turbulent flow.

3.3. The coil-stretch operator. A first step in the study of the limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in ([11](#)) requires understanding the singular term $\frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \operatorname{div}_r \left(\alpha r f_\tau + \nabla_r f_\tau + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau \right)$. This will be the objective of this subsection. Notice that $P_\alpha(r) = (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}$ satisfies

$$(22) \quad \alpha r P_\alpha(r) + \nabla_r P_\alpha(r) + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r P_\alpha(r) = 0,$$

where we denoted $A_b(r) = (b+1)|r|^2 I - br \otimes r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha r g + \nabla_r g + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r g &= (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} [\nabla_r \{g(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha\} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \{g(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha\}] \\ &= (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} [\nabla_r g_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r g_\alpha], \end{aligned}$$

where (to simplify the notation) we used $g_\alpha = g(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha$. Thus, we can guess formally that the penalization $\tau \rightarrow 0$ leads to

$$f_\tau(t, x, r) \simeq \rho(t, x) p_\alpha(r),$$

where $p_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{Z}(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}$ (Z is a normalizing factor) is generalized Cauchy density with parameter $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$. The aim of this subsection is to study some spectral properties of the following operator

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{L}(f) = \operatorname{div}_r \left(\alpha r f + \nabla_r f + \frac{1}{2} \left((b+1)|r|^2 I - br \otimes r \right) \nabla_r f \right), \quad b \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Let $d \in \{2, 3\}$ and consider the following space

$$\mathbf{X}_\alpha = \left\{ g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2(r) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr < \infty \right\} \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Notice that \mathbf{X}_α is a Hilbert space endowed with its natural inner product, namely

$$(f, g)_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(r)g(r) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr.$$

We introduce the following unbounded operator \mathcal{L} acting on \mathbf{X}_α as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : \mathbf{X}_\alpha &\rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\alpha \\ g &\mapsto \mathcal{L}(g) = \operatorname{div}_r \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[\nabla_r g_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r g_\alpha \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha, \quad \nabla_r \cdot \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[I + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \right] \nabla_r g_\alpha \right) \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha \right\}.$$

It is clear that $A_b(r)^* = A_b(r)$. Let us introduce the following space \mathbf{Z}_α , which serves to prove the closedness of $-\mathcal{L}$.

$$\mathbf{Z}_\alpha = \left\{ g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha+1} |\nabla_r f| \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha |^2 dr < +\infty \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to check that \mathbf{Z}_α is a Hilbert space equipped by its natural inner product. Let us present the main spectral properties of the operator \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 8. *Let $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, the operator $-\mathcal{L}$ is self adjoint on \mathbf{X}_α and satisfies:*

- (1) $-\mathcal{L}$ is positive and $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = \{cP_\alpha, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}\}$,
- (2) $R(\mathcal{L}) = \{g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(r) dr = 0\}$,
- (3) For all $g \in R(\mathcal{L})$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mathcal{L}(f) = g$. The solution is unique under the solvability condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(r) dr = 0$.

Proof. $-\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric on \mathbf{X}_α . Indeed, let $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$. Since $A_b(r)^t = A_b(r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}(f), g)_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[\nabla_r f_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\alpha \right] \right) \cdot \nabla_r g_\alpha dr \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_r f_\alpha \cdot \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[\nabla_r g_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r g_\alpha \right] \right) dr = (f, \mathcal{L}(g))_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since $A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\alpha \cdot \nabla_r f_\alpha \geq |r|^2 |\nabla_r f_\alpha|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\mathcal{L}(f), f)_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} [\nabla_r f_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\alpha] \right) \cdot \nabla_r f_\alpha dr \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} [|\nabla_r f_\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\alpha \cdot \nabla_r f_\alpha] dr \\
(24) \quad &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right) |\nabla_r f_\alpha|^2 \right] dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha+1} |\nabla_r f_\alpha|^2 dr \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $-\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric and positive. On the other hand, notice that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ is dense in \mathbf{X}_α since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$. By using Lax-Milgram theorem, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of $h \in \mathbf{Z}_\alpha$ for any $g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} [\nabla_r h_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r h_\alpha] \cdot \nabla_r \phi_\alpha dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \phi \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \phi \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{Z}_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $(I - \mathcal{L})h = g$. Let us show that $I - \mathcal{L}$ is closed, let $(h_n, (I - \mathcal{L})h_n)$ converges to (h, g) in $\mathbf{X}_\alpha \times \mathbf{X}_\alpha$. We need to prove that $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ and $(I - \mathcal{L})h = g$. By using Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists a unique $\psi \in \mathbf{Z}_\alpha$ such that $(I - \mathcal{L})\psi = g$ hence $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $(I - \mathcal{L})(\psi - h_n)$ converges to 0 in \mathbf{X}_α which ensures that $\psi - h_n$ goes to 0 in \mathbf{X}_α and $\psi = h \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha$. We deduce that $-\mathcal{L}$ is also closed and -1 belongs to the resolvent of $-\mathcal{L}$.

- (1) From (24), we get $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = \{cP_\alpha, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ since $f_\alpha = f(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha$.
- (2) Since $-\mathcal{L}$ is closed symmetric and -1 belongs to the resolvent of $-\mathcal{L}$ then it is self-adjoint thanks to [24, Cor. p. 137]. By using [3, Thm. 2.19] we have

$$R(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{L})^\perp := \{g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha : (g, P_\alpha)_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha} = 0\} = \{g \in \mathbf{X}_\alpha : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(r) dr = 0\}.$$

The point (3) holds abviously. □

Remark 9. Notice that in the case $0 < \alpha \leq d/2$, we still have $-\mathcal{L}$ is positive. On the other hand, $P_\alpha(r) = (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} \notin \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ which implies that $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = \{0\}$.

4. UNIFORM ESTIMATES AND CONTINUITY EQUATION

4.1. Uniform estimates with respect to τ . Let $\tau > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, the aim of this section is to prove some uniform estimates with respect to τ . First, we improve the regularity with respect to the r -variable comparing to the one proved in [12] with a bound depending on τ (see Lemma 10). Then, we combine Lemma 10 and (22) to obtain uniform estimates with respect to τ .

To simplify the notation, let's introduce the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y} &= \{\varphi \in H : \nabla_r \varphi \in H, \nabla_x \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}. \\
H_\alpha &= \{g : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2(x, r) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx < \infty\} \quad \alpha > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By approximation arguments and Theorem 1 we can deduce that (11) is satisfied in \mathcal{Y}' -sense, namely there exists a unique quasi-regular weak solution f_τ such that

$$(25) \quad f_\tau \in L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)), \quad \nabla_r f_\tau \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$$

and $f_\tau(t) := f_\tau(t, x, r)$ satisfies P-a.s. for any $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t) \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0^\tau \phi dr dx \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) (u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx ds \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha f_\tau(s) r \cdot \nabla_r \phi + \nabla_r f_\beta(s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\beta(s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. In order to derive some necessary estimates, we introduce a regularization kernel. More precisely, let $\delta > 0$ and Θ be a smooth radially symmetric density of a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d , compactly supported in $B(0, 1)$ and define the approximation of identity for the convolution on \mathbb{R}^d as $\Theta_\delta(y) = \frac{1}{\delta^d} \Theta(\frac{y}{\delta})$. Since we are working on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we recall that for any integrable function h on \mathbb{T}^d , h can be extended periodically to a locally integrable function on the whole \mathbb{R}^d and convolution $\Theta_\delta * h$ is meaningful and $[h]_\delta := \Theta_\delta * h$ is still a C^∞ -periodic function.

Now, we present the following lemma which serves for the proof of [Proposition 11](#).

Lemma 10. *There exists $\mathbf{C} = \frac{d/2 + 10\alpha}{\zeta \tau} + 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{2\zeta \tau \alpha} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{\alpha+1} dr dx ds \\
& \leq e^{\mathbf{C}T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx \leq \mathbf{\Lambda} e^{\mathbf{C}T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Although the proof of [Lemma 10](#) follows by classical arguments, we give a proof in [Appendix A](#) for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 11. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and assume that (H_1) holds. There exists $\mathbf{K} = 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$ such that*

$$(28) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_\tau(t)\|_{H_\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) P_{-\alpha})|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \leq e^{\mathbf{K}T} \mathbf{\Lambda} := \overline{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, T]$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, if we denote $X = (x, r) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Theta_\delta(X) = \Theta_\delta(x)$, then $\phi_\delta := \Theta_\delta * \phi$ is an appropriate test function in (26), a classical computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(t)]_\delta \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_0^\tau]_\delta \phi dr dx \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta \phi + [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta \phi dr dx ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r (f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta \phi dr dx ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\beta(s)]_\delta, \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle [\operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, \phi \rangle ds, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{Y},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between \mathcal{Y}' and \mathcal{Y} . Let us introduce a sequence of cut-off function $\mathcal{T}_M(s) = \max(-M, \min(s, M))$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and define

$$T_M(r) := \mathcal{T}_M((1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d = 2, 3.$$

Recall that $|T_M(r)| \leq (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha$ and $T_M(r) \uparrow (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha$ as $M \uparrow +\infty$. Let $\delta > 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, notice that $\phi_{\delta, M}(x, r) = T_M(r)[f_\tau(\cdot)]_\delta(x, r)$ is an appropriate test function in (29), therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(30) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(t)]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_0^\tau]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r (f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use (27) to prove some uniform estimates independent of τ . For the analysis of the term independent of τ , see the first part of the proof of Lemma 10 in Appendix A. We will discuss only the τ dependent terms.

4.1.1. *Uniform estimates with respect to τ .* Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r (f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
&= - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\alpha r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \cdot \nabla_r (T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \\
&= \nabla_r \left([f_\tau(s)]_\delta \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha \right) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \left([f_\tau(s)]_\delta \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha \right) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\delta^M &:= - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\alpha r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \cdot \nabla_r (T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&= - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_r \left([f_\tau(s)]_\delta \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha \right) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \cdot \nabla_r (T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \left([f_\tau(s)]_\delta \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha \right) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \cdot \nabla_r (T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (25), it is possible to let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in the last equality. Moreover, notice that

$$\nabla_r (T_M f_\tau) = \nabla_r \left(\left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha f_\tau \right) T_M \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} + \nabla_r (T_M \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha}) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha f_\tau$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} I_\delta^M &= -\frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} T_M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr dx ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (I + \frac{1}{2}A_b(r)) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) \cdot \nabla_r(T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}) f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2}A_b(r) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) \cdot \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-2\alpha} dr dx ds \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the first and the third terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^M &:= \frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr dx ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A_b(r) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) \cdot \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-2\alpha} dr dx ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence, by using Fatou's lemma we get

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} J^M \geq \frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds.$$

Finally, we use (27) to show that $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} |R^M| = 0$ where

$$R^M = -\frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (I + \frac{1}{2}A_b(r)) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) \cdot \nabla_r(T_M(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}) f_\tau(s) dr dx ds.$$

Notice that $T_M(r)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} = \tilde{T}_M(r) \circ (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha$, where $\tilde{T}_M(s) = \frac{T_M(s)}{s}$, $s > 0$ thus

$$(31) \quad \nabla_r(T_M(r)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}) = -\alpha r M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r)$$

in a weak sense. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |-\alpha r M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r)| &\leq 2\alpha M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r) \\ &\leq 2\alpha (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$|R^M| \leq \frac{2}{\zeta\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(I + \frac{1}{2}A_b(r)) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)| f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r) dr dx ds.$$

By using (27), we obtain

$$|(I + \frac{1}{2}A_b(r)) \nabla_r(f_\tau(s)(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)| f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d \times (0, T))$$

and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem ensures that $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} |R^M| = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx + 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathbf{K} = 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$ and apply Gronwall inequality to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx \\ & + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \leq e^{\mathbf{K}T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. The continuity equation. Let $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$ and $\tau > 0$, after integration "formally" with respect to the variable r in (11), set

$$\rho_\tau = \rho_\tau(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t, x, r) dr$$

and consider the following continuity equation

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_\tau + u_L \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\tau = 0 \\ \rho_\tau(x)|_{t=0} = \rho_0^\tau = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0^\tau(x, r) dr. \end{cases}$$

Under the assumption H_1 , we have $(\rho_0^\tau)_\tau$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Proposition 12. *Assume that H_1 holds, there exists a unique solution $\rho_\tau \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$ to (32) in the following sense*

$$(33) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_\tau(t) \varphi dx - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_\tau(s) u_L \cdot \nabla \varphi dx ds = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_0^\tau \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Moreover, $(\rho_\tau)_\tau$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$.

Proof. The existence follows by a classical arguments *e.g.* use the Galerkin approximation and prove that the approximation sequence is a Cauchy sequence in $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$. The uniqueness and the boundedness are consequence of Lemma 13 □

Lemma 13. *Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two solution to (32) in the sense (33), with initial conditions ρ_0^1 and ρ_0^2 respectively. Then*

$$(34) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(t)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_0^1 - \rho_0^2|^2 dx.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Note that $\rho_1 - \rho_2$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(t) \varphi dx - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(s) u_L \cdot \nabla \varphi dx ds = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_0^1 - \rho_0^2) \varphi dx.$$

Recall that $\varphi_\delta := \Theta_\delta * \varphi^3$ is an appropriate test function in last equation, hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} [(\rho_1 - \rho_2)]_\delta(t) \varphi dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} [u_L \cdot \nabla(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(s)]_\delta \varphi dx ds = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} [(\rho_0^1 - \rho_0^2)]_\delta \varphi dx.$$

Now, set $\varphi := \Theta_\delta * (\rho_\tau - \rho)$, which is an appropriate test function in the last equality. Now, we are in position to use commutator estimates (see *e.g.* to [12, Proof of (55)]) to deduce (34). \square

Lemma 14. *Assume that H_1 and H_2 hold. Then, there exists a unique solution ρ in the sense of (33) to*

$$(35) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + u_L \cdot \nabla_x \rho = 0 \\ \rho(x)|_{t=0} = \rho_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0(x, r) dr, \end{cases}$$

and the sequence $(\rho_\tau)_\tau$ converges to ρ in $C([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness follow like Proposition 12. On the other hand, thanks to H_2 , we get $\|\rho_0^\tau - \rho_0\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ and the convergence is a consequence of Lemma 13. \square

5. CONVERGENCE RESULT AS $\tau \rightarrow 0$

5.1. **The case $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$.** Let $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, we prove the following result.

Proposition 15. *Let $(f_\tau)_\tau$ be the unique quasi-regular weak solution to (26) for any $\tau > 0$. Assume that assumptions H_1 and H_2 are satisfied then f_τ converges to $\rho \otimes p_\alpha$ in $L^2(0, T; H_\alpha)$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. More precisely, there exists $\mathbf{C}_\alpha > 0$ (independent of τ) such that the following inequality holds*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha)^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds \leq \mathbf{C}_\alpha \tau + \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_\tau - \rho|^2 dx ds,$$

where ρ is the unique solution to (35) and $p_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{-\alpha}$ is the Cauchy probability density on \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof of Proposition 15. Let $t \in [0, T]$ and set $f := f(t, x, r) = \rho(t, x) \otimes p_\alpha(r)$, define $g_\tau = f_\tau - f$ and $g_0^\tau = f_0^\tau - \rho_0 \otimes p_\alpha$. By using (22) and (35) we get

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t) \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_0^\tau \phi dr dx \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(s) u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi dr dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) (\nabla u_L(s) r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha g_\tau(s) r \cdot \nabla_r \phi + \nabla_r g_\tau(s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r g_\tau(s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

³Recall that Θ_δ is a regularization kernel.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\delta > 0$, arguments already detailed ensure

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_\tau(t)]_\delta \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_0^\tau]_\delta \phi dr dx \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x g_\tau(s)]_\delta \phi + [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta \phi dr dx ds \\
(37) \quad &+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r(g_\tau(s)r)]_\delta \phi dr dx ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r g_\tau(s)]_\delta, \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r g_\tau(s))]_\delta, \phi \rangle ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between \mathcal{Y}' and \mathcal{Y} .

Consider $\phi_{\delta, M}(x, r) = T_M(r)[g_\tau(\cdot)]_\delta(x, r) \in \mathcal{Y}$, which is an appropriate test function in (37). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_\tau(t)]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_0^\tau]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x g_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta + [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r(g_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r g_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r g_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us pass to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then $M \rightarrow +\infty$ in the last equality.

- A standard argument (see *e.g.* the proof of [Lemma 10](#)) ensures

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 T_M dr dx \leq \liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_\tau(t)]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx,$$

and by monotone convergence theorem, we deduce

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 T_M dr dx = \lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 T_M dr dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx.$$

- Since $g_0^\tau \in H_\alpha$, we get $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [g_0^\tau]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_0^\tau)^2 T_M dr dx$, again monotone convergence theorem ensures

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_0^\tau)^2 T_M dr dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_0^\tau)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx.$$

- $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x g_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds = 0$. Indeed, it holds by using commutators, we refer *e.g.* to [[12](#), Proof of (55)] for a similar detailed argument.
- The term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds$. This term requires special attention, by using ([25](#)) and the properties of convolution one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M g_\tau(s) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M g_\tau(s) dr dx ds &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M \rho(s) \otimes p_\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the second term, we have

$$(38) \quad |(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M \rho(s) \otimes p_\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{Z} \|\nabla u_L\|_\infty |r| |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)| |\rho(s)|.$$

Thanks to (27), we get $\|\nabla u_L\|_\infty |r| |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)| |\rho(s)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d \times (0, T))$ and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem ensures

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M \rho(s) \otimes p_\alpha dr dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_r (\nabla u_L(s)r f_\tau(s)) dr dx ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, concerning the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r (T_M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha}) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) T_M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) dr dx ds \\ &= A_1^M + A_2^M. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} |A_1^M| = 0$. Indeed, by using (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1^M| &\leq \alpha \|\nabla u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) |r|^2 M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha-1} 1_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \\ &\leq \alpha \|\nabla u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha 1_{\{(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha > M\}}(r) dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\beta^2(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds < +\infty$, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem ensures $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} |A_1^M| = 0$. Concerning A_2^M , by using (28) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) T_M (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) dr dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

by using (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(s) (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha) dr dx ds \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \|\nabla u_L\|_\infty^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r|^2 |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr dx ds \\
(39) \quad & \leq \bar{\mathbf{K}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + \|\nabla u_L\|_\infty^2 \zeta \alpha \right) \sqrt{\tau} := \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \sqrt{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

• Concerning the other terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\delta^M & := \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r (g_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r g_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \nabla_r g_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
& = -\frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\alpha r [g_\tau(s)]_\delta + \nabla_r [g_\tau(s)]_\delta + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r [g_\tau(s)]_\delta) \cdot \nabla_r (T_M [g_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By repeating the arguments presented in [Subsubsection 4.1.1](#), we infer

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} J_\delta^M \geq \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (g_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds.$$

Summarizing, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (g_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_0^\tau)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \sqrt{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\tau(t)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (g_\tau(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha)|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \\
\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_0^\tau)^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \sqrt{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(40) \quad & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t) - \rho(t) \otimes p_\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha)(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha+1} dr dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau - \rho_0 \otimes p_\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \sqrt{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us use (40) to deduce the convergence results. Indeed, (40) implies

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha)(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha+1} dr dx ds \\ & \leq \zeta \alpha \tau \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\beta - \rho_0 \otimes p_\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx + \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \sqrt{\tau} \right) \leq \bar{\mathbf{C}} \tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, $\nu_\alpha(dr) = \frac{1}{Z} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr$ is a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d , set

$$h_\alpha = (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha,$$

by using the weighted Poincaré inequality (see *e.g.* [2, Thm. 3.1.]), there exists $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_\alpha^2 d\nu_\alpha - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_\alpha d\nu_\alpha \right)^2 \leq C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla h_\alpha|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}) d\nu_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_\alpha d\nu_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha d\nu_\alpha = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau dr - \rho \right) = \frac{1}{Z} (\rho_\tau - \rho).$$

Gathering the last inequalities to get

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_\tau - \rho \otimes p_\alpha)^2(s) (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^\alpha dr dx ds \leq C_\alpha \bar{\mathbf{C}} \tau + \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_\tau - \rho|^2 dx ds.$$

By using Lemma 14, we have $\rho_\tau \rightarrow \rho$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times (0, T))$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and the result holds. \square

Remark 16. Since $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, the last inequality implies the convergence in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$.

5.2. The limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ if $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$. We will discuss the following two cases.

- i. $f_0^\tau \in H_\alpha \cap H$ and there exists $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ independent of τ such that $\sup_{\tau > 0} \|f_0^\tau\|_{H_\alpha}^2 \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}$.
- ii. $f_0^\tau \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap H$ and there exists $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ independent of τ such that $\sup_{\tau > 0} \|f_0^\tau\|_{L^1_{x,r}} \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}$.

5.2.1. The case $(f_0^\tau)_\tau$ is bounded in H_α . Following Proposition 11, there exists $\mathbf{K} = 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$ such that

$$(42) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_\tau(t)\|_{H_\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r (f_\tau(s) P_{-\alpha})|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} dr dx ds \leq e^{\mathbf{K}T} \mathbf{\Lambda} := \bar{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Proposition 17. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and assume that $(f_0^\tau)_\tau$ is bounded in H_α . Then there exists a subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_{\tau_k}$ such that

$$(43) \quad f_{\tau_k} \xrightarrow{*} 0 \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_\alpha) \text{ as } \tau_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By using (42), one gets the existence of subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ (denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_{\tau_k}$) and $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_\alpha)$ such that

$$(44) \quad f_{\tau_k} \xrightarrow{*} \bar{f} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_\alpha) \text{ as } \tau_k \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(45) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r(f_{\tau_k}(s)P_{-\alpha})|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha+1} dr dx ds \leq \overline{\mathbf{K}} \zeta \alpha \tau_k.$$

From (45), we obtain

$$|\nabla_r(f_{\tau_k}(s)P_{-\alpha})|^2 (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ as } \tau_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, up to subsequence if necessary, we get

$$(46) \quad |\nabla_r(f_{\tau_k}(s)P_{-\alpha})|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \text{ as } \tau_k \rightarrow 0,$$

since $(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{1-\alpha} > 0$. On the other hand, thanks to (44) and (46) we get the following convergence in the sense of distributions

$$\langle \nabla_r(f_{\tau_k}(s)P_{-\alpha}), \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \langle \nabla_r(\bar{f}(s)P_{-\alpha}), \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}} = 0, \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{D} = C_c^\infty([0, T]) \otimes C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d) \otimes C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, we deduce the existence of distribution $h_{t,x}$ such that

$$\bar{f}P_{-\alpha} = h_{t,x} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' \Leftrightarrow \bar{f} = h_{t,x} \otimes P_\alpha(r) = h_{t,x} \otimes (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'.$$

We recall that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2})^{-\alpha} dr$ diverges if $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{d}{2}$. Since $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_\alpha)$ from (44), we deduce that $h_{t,x} \equiv 0$. \square

5.2.2. *The L^1 -setting.* We prove the following result.

Proposition 18. *Assume that $f_0^\tau \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap H$ and there exists $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ (independent of τ) such that $\sup_{\tau > 0} \|f_0^\tau\|_{L^1} \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}$. Then*

$$(47) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t)| dr dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}.$$

Moreover, there exists a subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ (denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_k$) and $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$(48) \quad f_{\tau_k} \xrightarrow{*} \tilde{f} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and \tilde{f} solves, in the sense of distributions, the following equation

$$(49) \quad \operatorname{div}_r(\alpha r \tilde{f} + \nabla_r \tilde{f} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \tilde{f}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, we define η_ϵ the odd continuous function on \mathbb{R} as follows

$$\eta_\epsilon(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon < s, \\ \frac{1}{2} [1 + \sin(\frac{\pi}{2\epsilon}(2s - \epsilon))], & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\eta_\epsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta_\epsilon'' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies:

- $|\eta_\epsilon(s)| \leq 1 \wedge \frac{\pi s}{\epsilon}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R};$
- $\eta_\epsilon' \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\eta_\epsilon') \subset [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \text{ and } |\eta_\epsilon'(s)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$
- $\eta_\epsilon(s)$ converges pointwise to $\operatorname{sign}(s)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, note that $\eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(\cdot)]_\delta) \in \mathcal{Y}$. By using (29), we get for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(t)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(t)]_\delta) dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_0^\tau]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_0^\tau]_\delta) dr dx \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) + [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ([u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta - u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds = A_\delta + B_\delta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the term A_δ , recall that $|\eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta)| \leq \frac{\pi}{\epsilon} |[f_\tau(s)]_\delta|$. Therefore, we can use the commutator techniques (see *e.g.* [12, step 2 of proof Lemma 21]) to get $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} A_\delta = 0$. On the other hand, denote by N_ϵ the primitive function of η_ϵ , then by using "divergence theorem" we get

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_x(u_L(s) N_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta)) dr dx ds = 0.$$

Secondly, we have $\lim_{\delta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds = 0$. Indeed, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ([\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) f_\tau(s)]_\delta - \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds = C_\delta + D_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) = 0$, by using "divergence theorem" we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_\delta &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) N_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning C_δ , note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ([\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r f_\tau(s))]_\delta - \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta)) \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div}_r(\Theta_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r f_\tau(s))) - \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r \Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s))) \eta_\epsilon(\Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s)) dr dx ds \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Theta_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r f_\tau(s)) - (\nabla u_L(s)r \Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s))) \Theta_\delta * \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \eta'_\epsilon(\Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s)) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $(s, x, r) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Theta_\delta * (\nabla u_L(\cdot)r f_\tau))(s, x, r) - \nabla u_L(s, x)r(\Theta_\delta * f_\tau)(s, x, r) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\nabla u_L(x - y, s) - \nabla u_L(x, s)]r \Theta_\delta(y) f_\tau(s, x - y, r) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By mean-value theorem we get $|\nabla u_L(x - y, s) - \nabla u_L(x, s)| \leq |y| \|u_L\|_{C^2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\Theta_\delta * (\nabla u_L(\cdot)r f_\tau))(s, x, r) - \nabla u_L(s, x)r(\Theta_\delta * f_\tau)(s, x, r)| \\
&\leq \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y| |r| \Theta_\delta(y) |f_\tau(s, x - y, r)| dy \leq \delta \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Theta_\delta(y) |r| |f_\tau(s, x - y, r)| dy,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{supp} \Theta \subset B(0, 1)$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\Theta_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r f_\tau(s)) - (\nabla u_L(s)r \Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s))) \Theta_\delta * \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \eta'_\epsilon(\Theta_\delta * f_\tau(s))| dr dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} \delta \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Theta_\delta(y) |r| |f_\tau(s, x - y, r)| dy \| \Theta_\delta * \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \|_{L^2_{x,r}} ds \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} \delta \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \| \Theta_\delta * |r| |f_\tau(s)| \|_{L^2_{x,r}} \| \Theta_\delta * \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \|_{L^2_{x,r}} ds \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} \delta \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \|f_\tau(s)\|_H \| \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \|_{L^2_{x,r}} ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the properties of the matrix A_b and $\eta'_\epsilon \geq 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad & \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r) \nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \rangle ds \\
&= - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|_\delta^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \cdot \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \eta'_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&\leq - \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}) |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|_\delta^2 \eta'_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta \eta_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&= - \frac{1}{\zeta \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(s)]_\delta r \cdot \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta \eta'_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|r|^2}{2} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|_\delta^2 \eta'_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds + \frac{\alpha}{2\zeta \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(s)]_\delta^2 \eta'_\epsilon([f_\tau(s)]_\delta) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (50), then letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t) \eta_\epsilon(f_\tau(t)) dr dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0^\tau \eta_\epsilon(f_0^\tau) dr dx + \frac{\alpha}{2\zeta\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \eta'_\epsilon(f_\tau(s)) dr dx ds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx + \frac{\alpha}{2\zeta\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} f_\tau^2(s) 1_{\{|f_\tau| \leq \epsilon\}} dr dx ds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx + \frac{\alpha\pi}{4\zeta\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(s)| 1_{\{|f_\tau| \leq \epsilon\}} dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t)| dr dx \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t) \eta_\epsilon(f_\tau(t)) dr dx.$$

In conclusion, we get

$$(51) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t)| dr dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx + \frac{\alpha\pi}{4\zeta\tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(s)| 1_{\{|f_\tau| \leq \epsilon\}} dr dx ds.$$

By using Gronwall inequality, we deduce

$$(52) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(t)| dr dx \leq \exp\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{4\zeta\tau} T\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0^\tau| dr dx \leq \exp\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{4\zeta\tau} T\right) \mathbf{\Lambda}.$$

Since $|f_\tau| 1_{\{|f_\tau| \leq \epsilon\}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $f_\tau \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ thanks to (52), dominated convergence theorem ensures

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\tau(s)| 1_{\{|f_\tau| \leq \epsilon\}} dr dx ds = 0.$$

Therefore, after passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (51), we obtain (47).

The limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. We recall that Riesz–Markov–Kakutani representation theorem ensures $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \simeq (C_c(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))'$, see [25, Theorem 2.14]. Since $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and by using (47), we can extract a subsequence of $(f_\tau)_\tau$ (denoted by $(f_{\tau_k})_{\tau_k}$) and $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$(53) \quad f_{\tau_k} \xrightarrow{*} \tilde{f} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using (26) with $\phi = \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & -\zeta\alpha\tau_k \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{\tau_k}(s) \partial_t \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \varphi + f_{\tau_k}(s) (u_L(s) \cdot \xi \otimes \nabla_x \vartheta \otimes \varphi + (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\alpha f_{\tau_k}(s) r \cdot \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi + f_{\tau_k}(s) \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \Delta_r \varphi + \frac{1}{2} f_{\tau_k}(s) \operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^T \langle f_{\tau_k}(s), -\alpha r \cdot \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi + \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \Delta_r \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}, C_c} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using (53), we deduce

$$(54) \quad \int_0^T \langle \tilde{f}(s), -\alpha r \cdot \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi + \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \Delta_r \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r (A_b(r) \xi \otimes \vartheta \otimes \nabla_r \varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}, C_c} ds = 0.$$

In particular, \tilde{f} is weak solution to the following equation

$$(55) \quad \operatorname{div}_r (\alpha r \tilde{f} + \nabla_r \tilde{f} + \frac{1}{2} A_b(r) \nabla_r \tilde{f}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'.$$

□

Remark 19. *In the class of Radon measures valued solution to (55), the uniqueness of solution does not hold (see Remark 7).*

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 10

Proof of Lemma 10. Let us pass to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then $M \rightarrow +\infty$ in (30).

- Recall that $f \in C_w([0, T], H)$, by using the properties of convolution and the lower semi-continuity of weak convergence, we obtain

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 T_M dr dx \leq \liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_\tau(t)]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx,$$

by Fatou's lemma, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx \leq \liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 T_M dr dx.$$

- Since $f_0^\tau \in H_\alpha$, we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_0^\tau]_\delta^2 T_M dr dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 T_M dr dx$ and monotone convergence theorem ensures

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 T_M dr dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx \leq \Lambda.$$

- $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds = 0$. Indeed, it holds by using commutators, we refer e.g. to [12, Proof of (55)] for a similar and detailed arguments.
- The term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds$. By using (25) and the properties of convolution one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using that $|T'_M| \leq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \right| \\ & \leq \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla u_L(s)r) \cdot r f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M dr dx ds \right| \\ & \leq 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|r|^2}{2} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} dr dx ds \\ & \leq 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

- Concerning the term $\frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha [\operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds$, we have

$$[\operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M [f_\tau(s)]_\delta = d[f_\tau(s)]_\delta^2 T_M + r \cdot \nabla_r [f_\tau(s)]_\delta [f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M,$$

by using (25) and the properties of convolution, it is possible to let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r)]_\delta T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta dr dx ds \\
&= d \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) T_M + r \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) f_\tau(s) T_M dr dx ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_r(f_\tau(s)r) T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\
&= \frac{d}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) T_M dr dx ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) r \cdot \nabla_r T_M dr dx ds \\
&= \frac{d}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) T_M dr dx ds - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) |r|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M dr dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{d}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

- The term $\frac{1}{\zeta \alpha \tau} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds$. Note that

$$\int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[|\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M + [\nabla_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta \cdot \nabla_r T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \right] dr dx ds,$$

by using (25) we can pass to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M + \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \cdot \nabla_r T_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M + \alpha \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Young inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha \nabla_r f_\tau(s) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \right| \leq \alpha^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \langle [\Delta_r f_\tau(s)]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \leq - \liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M dr dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds \\
& \quad + \alpha^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

- The term $\frac{1}{\zeta\alpha\tau} \int_0^t \langle \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left((A_b(r)\nabla_r[f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \cdot \nabla_r[f_\tau(s)]_\delta T_M \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha(A_b(r)\nabla_r[f_\tau(s)]_\delta) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \right) dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using (25), we can let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in the last equality and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s) T_M + \alpha(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b+1)|r|^2 |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M - b|r \cdot \nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M dr dx ds \\ & \quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r|^2 |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M dr dx ds \\ & \quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s) dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot r \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} T'_M f_\tau(s)| \\ & \leq 3|r|^2 |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)) \cdot r T'_M f_\tau(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}| \leq 6|\nabla_r f_\tau(s)||r|f(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 |r|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha + 18\alpha f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \geq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r|^2 |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 T_M dr dx ds \\ & \quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 |r|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha + 18\alpha^2 f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by using monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(A_b(r)\nabla_r f_\tau(s))]_\delta, T_M[f_\tau(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \geq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|r|^2}{2} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds - 18\alpha^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

By gathering the previous estimates we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{2\zeta\tau\alpha} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} dr dx ds \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx + \left(\frac{d/2 + 10\alpha}{\zeta\tau} + 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty\right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau^2(s) \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall's inequality ensures the existence of $\mathbf{C} = \frac{d/2 + 10\alpha}{\zeta\tau} + 2\alpha \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\tau(t)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx + \frac{1}{2\zeta\tau\alpha} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_r f_\tau(s)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} dr dx ds \\ (56) \quad & \leq e^{\mathbf{C}T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0^\tau)^2 \left(1 + \frac{|r|^2}{2}\right)^\alpha dr dx \leq \mathbf{\Lambda} e^{\mathbf{C}T}. \end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The research of the author is funded by the European Union (ERC, NoisyFluid, No. 101053472). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. The author would like to thank Franco Flandoli for many valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E Balkovsky, A Fouxon, and V Lebedev. Turbulent dynamics of polymer solutions. *Physical review letters*, 84(20):4765–4768, 2000.
- [2] S. G. Bobkov and M. Ledoux. Weighted Poincaré-type inequalities for Cauchy and other convex measures. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(2):403–427, 2009.
- [3] H. Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, volume 2. Springer, 2011.
- [4] C. L. Bris and T. Lelievre. Multiscale modelling of complex fluids: a mathematical initiation. *Multiscale modeling and simulation in science*, pages 49–137, 2009.
- [5] F. Butori, F. Flandoli, and E. Luongo. On the Itô-Stratonovich Diffusion Limit for the Magnetic Field in a 3D Thin Domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15701*, 2024.
- [6] F. Butori, F. Flandoli, E. Luongo, and Y. Tahraoui. Background Vlasov equations and Young measures for passive scalar and vector advection equations under special stochastic scaling limits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10594*, 2024.
- [7] N. El Ghani and N. Masmoudi. Diffusion limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 8(2):463–479, 2010.
- [8] RC Fetecau and W. Sun. First-order aggregation models and zero inertia limits. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 259(11):6774–6802, 2015.
- [9] F. Flandoli and D. Luo. High mode transport noise improves vorticity blow-up control in 3D Navier–Stokes equations. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 180:309–363, 2021.
- [10] F. Flandoli and D. Luo. On the Boussinesq hypothesis for a stochastic Proudman-Taylor model. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 56(3):3886–3923, 2024.
- [11] F. Flandoli and E. Luongo. *Stochastic partial differential equations in fluid mechanics*, volume 2330. Springer Nature, 2023.
- [12] F. Flandoli and Y. Tahraoui. Stretching of polymers and turbulence: Fokker planck equation, special stochastic scaling limit and stationary law. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00520*, 2024.
- [13] L. Galeati. On the convergence of stochastic transport equations to a deterministic parabolic one. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 8(4):833–868, 2020.
- [14] S. Gerashchenko, C. Chevillard, and V. Steinberg. Single-polymer dynamics: Coil-stretch transition in a random flow. *Europhysics Letters*, 71(2):221–227, 2005.

- [15] M. Ghattassi, X. Huo, and N. Masmoudi. On the diffusive limits of radiative heat transfer system i: Well-prepared initial and boundary conditions. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 54(5):5335–5387, 2022.
- [16] T. Goudon. Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system: Analysis of the two-dimensional case. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 15(05):737–752, 2005.
- [17] M. Herda and L. M. Rodrigues. Large-time behavior of solutions to Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck equations: from evanescent collisions to diffusive limit. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 170(5):895–931, 2018.
- [18] P-E. Jabin. Macroscopic limit of Vlasov type equations with friction. In *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, volume 17, pages 651–672. Elsevier, 2000.
- [19] J. Nieto, F. Poupaud, and J. Soler. High-field limit for the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 158:29–59, 2001.
- [20] A. Papini, F. Flandoli, and R. Huang. Turbulence enhancement of coagulation: The role of eddy diffusion in velocity. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 448:133726, 2023.
- [21] J. R. Picardo, L.C. VI M. Plan Emmanuel, and D. Vincenzi. Polymers in turbulence: stretching statistics and the role of extreme strain rate fluctuations. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 969:A24, 2023.
- [22] F. Poupaud. Runaway phenomena and fluid approximation under high fields in semiconductor kinetic theory. *ZAMM-Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 72(8):359–372, 1992.
- [23] F. Poupaud and J. Soler. Parabolic limit and stability of the Vlasov–Fokker–Planck system. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 10(07):1027–1045, 2000.
- [24] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics, II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. 1975.
- [25] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. 1974.