

THE UNION PROBLEM FOR DOMAINS WITH PARTIAL PSEUDOCONVEX BOUNDARIES

JINJIN HU AND XUJUN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We show that a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n admitting partial pseudoconvex exhaustion remains partial pseudoconvex. Furthermore, we get several convex analogies.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we study the union problem for the domain with partial convex boundary. The problem goes back to the classical Levi Problem in several complex variables. The first main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with a smooth boundary. Let $\{D_j\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of D with $D_j \subset D_{j+1}$ and $\bigcup_j D_j = D$. If each D_j is hyper- q -convex, then D is hyper- q -convex.

The smooth domain D is said to be hyper- q -convex if the sum of any q eigenvalues of the Levi form on the complex tangent space of ∂D is non-negative. Grauert and Riemenschneider introduced the related concept in [6]. The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on hyper- q -convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n was studied in [9] and [12]. We prove Theorem 1.1 by standard functional technique and the following characterization of the hyper- q -convex domain.

Theorem 1.2. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary, $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. Suppose that for any strictly plurisubharmonic function φ of the form:

$$\varphi = a\|z - z_0\|^2 - b, \forall a, b > 0, \forall z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form $f \in \wedge^{0,q}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)$, the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ is solvable on D with the estimate

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \frac{1}{aq} \int_D |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

then D is hyper- q -convex.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F17, 32C55.

Key words and phrases. hyper- q -convex, q -convex.

We prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that the solvability of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation indicates a special version of Bochner-type inequality with boundary term. We employ a choice of weight functions to derive a contradiction, following the localization technique used in the work of Deng, Ning, Wang, and Zhou ([3],[4],[5]).

Remark 1.1. When $q = 1$, the boundary regularity of Theorem 1.2 can be weakened to the thin complement ($\bar{D} = D$) due to the division theorem on domain D by the recent work by the second named author with collaborator ([14]). However, the geometric interpretation of the division theorem for holomorphic $(0, q)$ -forms remains unclear to the authors. Therefore it's unknown whether the boundary regularity of Theorem 1.2 can be weakened for $q \geq 2$.

Similar to [5], we get the convex analogy for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary, $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. Suppose that for any strictly convex function φ of the form:

$$\varphi = a\|x - x_0\|^2 - b, \forall a, b > 0, \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and any d -closed form $f \in \wedge^q(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d_\varphi^*)$, the equation $du = f$ is solvable on D with the estimate

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Hess}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2aq} \int_D |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

then D is q -convex.

Theorem 1.4. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary. Let $\{D_j\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of D with $D_j \subset D_{j+1}$ and $\bigcup_j D_j = D$. If each D_j is q -convex, then D is q -convex.

A domain is called q -convex (in the sense of Harvey-Lawson) if the sum of any q eigenvalues of the second fundamental form on the tangent space of ∂D is non-negative ([7],[8]). J.P.Sha and H.H.Wu proved that such domains are homotopy equivalent to a CW complex of dimension no greater than $q - 1$ ([15], [16]). When $q = 1$, q -convex domain is just the convex domain in the usual sense. L^2 existence results for d operator on q -convex domain was studied in [11]. Theorem 1.4 can be seen a compact version of Theorem 4.4 in [7] with different approach, the proof of Theorem 1.4 in our argument is essentially similar to Theorem 1.2, thus we omit the details of the proof of Theorem 1.4 in this note.

The rest of this note is organized as follows. In §2, we clarify some notations. We prove Theorem 1.2 in §3, then we prove Theorem 1.1 in §4. The Theorem 1.3 is proved in §5.

Acknowledgement: The authors are very grateful to Professor Fusheng Deng, their Ph.D. advisor, for his invaluable instruction and discussions.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Notations for the $\bar{\partial}$ complex. The coordinate on \mathbb{C}^n will be denoted by $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, with $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, ($j = 1, \dots, n$). We assume that D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary. Then there exists a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; \rho(z) < 0\}$$

and $\nabla\rho|_{\partial D} \neq 0$, where

$$\nabla\rho = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$$

is the gradient of ρ . We take a normalization such that $|\nabla\rho| \equiv 1$ on ∂D . Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . We denote by $\Lambda^{0,q}(D)$ the space of smooth $(0, q)$ -forms on D , for any $0 \leq q \leq n$ ($(0,0)$ -forms are just smooth functions), and $\Lambda_c^{0,q}(D)$ the elements in $\Lambda^{0,q}(D)$ with compact support. Let φ be a real-valued continuous function on D . Given $\alpha = \sum_I \alpha_I d\bar{z}_I, \beta = \sum_I \beta_I d\bar{z}_I \in \Lambda^{0,q}(D)$, we define the products of α and β and the corresponding norm with respect to φ as follows:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_\varphi = \sum_I \alpha_I \cdot \bar{\beta}_I e^{-\varphi}, \|\alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_\varphi.$$

$$\langle\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\rangle_\varphi = \int_D \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda, \|\alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \langle\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\rangle_\varphi,$$

for simplicity, we write $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_0$.

Let $L_{(0,q)}^2(D, \varphi)$ be the completion of $\Lambda^{0,q}(D)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_\varphi$, then $L_{(0,q)}^2(D, \varphi)$ is a Hilbert space and $\bar{\partial} : L_{(0,q)}^2(D, \varphi) \rightarrow L_{(0,q+1)}^2(D, \varphi)$ is a closed and densely defined operator. Let $\bar{\partial}_\varphi^*$ be the Hilbert adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$. For convenience, we denote $L^2(D, \varphi) := L_{(0,0)}^2(D, \varphi)$.

Since

$$\bar{\partial} \circ \bar{\partial} = 0,$$

we can define the weighted $\bar{\partial}$ -complex as follows

$$0 \rightarrow L_{p,0}^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L_{p,1}^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L_{p,2}^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L_{p,n}^2(D, \varphi) \rightarrow 0.$$

One can show that for $\alpha \in \Lambda^{0,q}(\bar{D})$ that

$$(1) \quad \alpha \in \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_\varphi^*) \iff \alpha \in \text{Ker}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \lrcorner\right) \text{ on } \partial D.$$

Note that the condition on the right-hand side of the above formula is independent of the weight φ .

We recall the following Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander identity for $\bar{\partial}$ -operator with boundary term.

Lemma 2.1. For $\alpha \in \wedge^{0,q}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)$, we have

$$(2) \quad \int_D (|\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \alpha|^2 + |\bar{\partial} \alpha|^2) e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \|\bar{\nabla} \alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \langle \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV$$

where $d\lambda$ and dV denote the Lebsgue measures on \mathbb{C}^n and ∂D , respectively,

$$\|\bar{\nabla} \alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \sum \int_D \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_I}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right|_\varphi^2,$$

$$\langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|K|=q-1} \rho_{i\bar{j}} \alpha_{iK} \alpha_{\bar{j}K}.$$

2.2. Notations for variants of partial convexity. Next, we recall the concept of hyper- q -convex domains, which was introduced by Grauert and Riemenschneider ([6]) as a natural generalization of pseudoconvex domains.

Definition 2.1 ([6],[17]). Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary defining functions ρ . The boundary ∂D of D is said to be *hyper- q -convex* (respectively *strongly hyper- q -convex*) at $z_0 \in \partial D$ if the sum of any q eigenvalues of the Levi form Levi_ρ at $z_0 \in \partial D$ is nonnegative (respectively positive). When ∂D is hyper- q -convex at every point of ∂D , we simply say that ∂D is hyper- q -convex.

The following theorem provides equivalent characterizations of hyper- q -convex domains.

Theorem 2.2 ([9]). Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n with C^2 -smooth boundary. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Ω is hyper- q -convex domain.
- (2) The sum of any q eigenvalues of the Levi form on $\partial\Omega$ is non-negative.
- (3) Let ρ be a defining function for Ω . For any smooth $(0, q)$ -form $\alpha = \sum \alpha_I d\bar{z}_I \in \wedge^{0,q}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{iK} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_i} = 0 \text{ for all } |K| = q - 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

the following inequality holds:

$$(4) \quad \langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

The following lemma is also required in the proof.

Lemma 2.3 ([9][13]). The condition (4) is invariant under a unitary change of coordinates.

3. CHARACTERIZE THE DOMAIN WITH SMOOTH PARTIAL PSEUDOCONVEX BOUNDARY

We prove Theorem 1.2 in this section.

Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary. For any boundary point p_0 of D and any $0 \leq i \leq n$, given any $(0, i)$ -form $\xi \in \wedge_{p_0}^{0,i}(\bar{D})$ satisfying (3) at p_0 , does there exist an $\alpha \in \wedge^{0,i}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ such that $\alpha(p_0) = \xi$ and $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$?

It is clear that if $\alpha_1 \in \wedge^{0,i}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ and $\alpha_1(p_0) = \xi_1$, and $\alpha_2 \in \wedge^{0,j}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ with $\alpha_2(p_0) = \xi_2$, then $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \in \wedge^{0,i+j}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$, and $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2(p_0) = \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2$.

The following lemma present the solution to the above problem in the case when $i = 1$.

Lemma 3.1 ([5]). For any boundary point p_0 of D , given any $(0, 1)$ -form $\xi = \sum \xi_i d\bar{z}_i \in \wedge_{p_0}^{0,1}(\bar{D})$ satisfying (3) at p_0 , there exists a function h on \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}_i} = 0$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}h(p_0) = \sum \xi_i d\bar{z}_i.$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. (= Theorem 1.2) Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$. Suppose that for any strictly plurisubharmonic function φ of the form:

$$\varphi = a\|z - z_0\|^2 - b, \forall a, b > 0, \forall z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form $f \in \wedge^{0,q}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)$, the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ is solvable on D with the estimate

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \frac{1}{aq} \int_D |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

then D is hyper- q -convex.

PROOF. For any $\alpha \in \wedge^{0,q}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \alpha, f \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 &= \langle \langle \alpha, \bar{\partial}u \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 = \langle \langle \bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \alpha, u \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 \\ &\leq \langle \langle \bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \alpha, \bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi \cdot \langle \langle u, u \rangle \rangle_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate of $\|u\|_\varphi^2$ and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \alpha, f \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 &\leq \frac{1}{aq} \|f\|_\varphi^2 \\ &\left(\|\bar{\nabla} \alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \langle \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now relate α and f by setting

$$\alpha = \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f$$

then we get

$$(5) \quad \|\bar{\nabla}\alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \geq 0.$$

Next, we argue by contradiction.

If D has at least one non hyper- q -convex boundary point $p_0 \in \partial D$, by definition, there exists a $(0, q)$ -form $\beta \in \Lambda_{p_0}^{0,q}(\bar{D})$ satisfying (3) at p_0 and a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\langle \text{Levi}_\rho \beta, \beta \rangle = -2c < 0.$$

Through a unitary coordinate transformation, we take the coordinates $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ such that:

- (1) p_0 is the origin $O = (0, \dots, 0)$.
- (2) $-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$ is the inward-pointing normal vector at p_0 .
- (3) $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} \right\}$ are the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_{n-1}$$

of the Levi form of ∂D restricted to the holomorphic tangent bundle $T_{p_0}^{1,0}(\partial D)$ at p_0 .

In this coordinate, the $(0, q)$ -form $\beta = d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_q \in \Lambda_{p_0}^{0,q}(\bar{D})$ satisfying (3) at p_0 and

$$\langle \text{Levi}_\rho \beta, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^q (k_i) \|\xi\|^2 = -2c < 0 \text{ for some } \xi.$$

According to Lemma 3.1, for any $1 \leq i \leq q$, take $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^{0,1}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ such that $\alpha_i(p_0) = d\bar{z}_i$. Since

$$T_\rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q (\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_\rho \alpha_i \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q) = 0,$$

where

$$T_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \lrcorner : \Lambda^{0,i}(\bar{D}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,i-1}(\bar{D}), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

there exists a closed $(0, q)$ -form $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q \in \Lambda^{0,q}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ and a constant $0 < r_0 \ll 1$ such that

$$\langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle(z) < -c$$

for any $z \in B_{r_0} \cap \partial D$, where $B_{r_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|z - p_0\| < r_0\}$.

For any $s > 0$, let $\varphi_s(x) = s(\|z\|^2 - r_0^2)$, replacing φ_s in (5), we have

$$(6) \quad \int_D \|\bar{\nabla}\alpha\|^2 e^{-\varphi_s} d\lambda + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Levi}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi_s} d\lambda \geq 0,$$

which holds for any $s > 0$.

Notice that when $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}}$ and $s \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $e^{-\varphi_s(z)} \rightarrow 0$. At the same time, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{\nabla}\alpha(z)\|^2 \leq M$$

for any $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ since D is bounded. Therefore, we obtain:

$$(7) \quad \liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left(M \int_{D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\varphi_s} d\lambda - c \int_{\partial D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\varphi_s} dV \right) \geq 0.$$

Noticing that the real dimension of $D \cap B_{r_0}$ is $2n$ and that the real dimension of $\partial D \cap B_{r_0}$ is $2n - 1$.

Next, we estimate each term in (7). For the first term, it is easy to see that there exists a constant $M' > 0$ such that:

$$M \int_{B_r^{2n} \cap D} e^{-s\|x\|^2} d\lambda = M' \int_0^{r_0} r^{2n-1} e^{-s(r^2-r_0^2)} dr.$$

For the second term, we need a more detailed discussion.

By assumption, there exists a smooth function $g(x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ defined on \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} such that, in a neighborhood of ∂D , the boundary is represented by

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}) - x_n = 0,$$

with $g(0, \dots, 0) = 0$.

Since $\nabla \rho(O) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}$, we have $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$.

In a neighborhood of p_0 , we choose local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}) on ∂D , then near x_0 , the function

$$\|x\|^2 \Big|_{\partial D} = x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2n-1}^2 + g(x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})^2$$

has a non-degenerate critical point of index 0. By Morse's lemma, in a neighborhood of x_0 , there exist local coordinates (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}) such that

$$\|x\|^2 \Big|_{\partial D} = y_1^2 + \dots + y_{2n-1}^2.$$

Therefore, locally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : B_{r_0}^{2n-1} &\rightarrow B_{r_0}^{2n} \cap \partial D \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}) &\mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism. Hence, there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_0}^{2n} \cap \partial D} e^{-s\|x\|^2} d\lambda &= A \int_{B_{r_0}^{2n-1}} e^{-s(x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2n-1}^2 - r_0^2)} d\mu \\ &= A' \int_0^{r_0} r^{2n-2} e^{-s(r^2 - r_0^2)} dr \\ &\geq A' r_0^{-1} \int_0^{r_0} r^{2n-1} e^{-s(r^2 - r_0^2)} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing r_0 small enough such that $cA'r_0^{-1} > M' + 1$, we then have

$$M \int_{D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\varphi s} d\lambda - c \int_{\partial D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\varphi s} dV \leq - \int_0^{r_0} r^{2n-1} e^{-s(r^2 - r_0^2)} dr.$$

As $s \rightarrow +\infty$, the right-hand side of the above tends to $-\infty$, which contradicts (7), completing the proof. \square

4. THE UNION PROBLEM FOR THE HYPER- q -CONVEX DOMAIN

We prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. Let us recall the notable Hörmander L^2 existence theorem for the hyper- q -convex domain.

Theorem 4.1 ([10],[12]). Let D be a hyper- q -convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that ϕ is a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Set $1 \leq q \leq n$, then for any $f \in L^2_{(0,q)}(D, \phi) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ satisfying with

$$\int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\phi^{-1} f, f \rangle_\phi d\lambda < +\infty,$$

there is a $u \in L^2_{(0,q-1)}(D, \varphi)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ and

$$\int_D |u|_\phi^2 d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\phi^{-1} f, f \rangle_\phi d\lambda.$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.2 (= Theorem 1.1). Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary. Let $\{D_j\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of D with $D_j \subset D_{j+1}$ and $\bigcup_j D_j = D$. Assume that all D_j are hyper- q -convex, then D is hyper- q -convex.

PROOF. Let ϕ be a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^n and $f \in L^2_{(0,q)}(D, \varphi) \cap \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ satisfies

$$\int_{D_j} \langle \text{Levi}_\phi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda < +\infty$$

Then by Theorem 4.1, there exists $u_j \in L^2_{(0,q-1)}(D_j, \varphi)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u_j = f$ on D_j with the estimate

$$\int_{D_j} |u_j|^2 e^{-\phi} d\lambda \leq \int_{D_j} \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\phi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\phi} d\lambda.$$

This means $\{u_j\}$ is a bounded subset in the Hilbert space $L^2(D, \phi)$. Hence there is a subsequence $\{u_j\}$, assume to be $\{u_j\}$ itself without loss of generality, that converges weakly in $L^2(D, \phi)$ to some u . Note that we also have $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the sense of distribution. And we have

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_D |u_j|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda.$$

Thus,

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Levi}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda.$$

Then by Theorem 1.2, D is hyper- q -convex. \square

5. CONVEX ANALOGY

5.1. The weighted d -complex. The coordinate on \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, ($j = 1, \dots, n$). We assume that D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary. Then there exists a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \rho(x) < 0\}$$

and $\nabla \rho|_{\partial D} \neq 0$, where

$$\nabla \rho = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

is the gradient of ρ . We take a normalization such that $|\nabla \rho| \equiv 1$ on ∂D .

Let D be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by $\wedge^q(D)$ the space of smooth q -forms on D , for any $0 \leq q \leq n$ (0-forms are just smooth functions), and $\wedge_c^q(D)$ the elements in $\wedge^q(D)$ with compact support. Let φ be a real-valued continuous function on D . Given $\alpha = \sum_I \alpha_I dx_I, \beta = \sum_I \beta_I dx_I \in \wedge^q(D)$, we define the products of α and β and the corresponding norm with respect to φ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_\varphi &= \sum_I \alpha_I \cdot \beta_I e^{-\varphi}, |\alpha|_\varphi^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_\varphi. \\ \langle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \rangle_\varphi &= \int_D \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda, \|\alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \langle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L_q^2(D, \varphi)$ be the completion of $\wedge^q(D)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_\varphi$, then $L_q^2(D, \varphi)$ is a Hilbert space and $d : L_q^2(D, \varphi) \rightarrow L_{q+1}^2(D, \varphi)$ is a closed and densely defined operator. Let d_φ^* be the Hilbert adjoint of d . For convenience, we denote $L^2(D, \varphi) := L_0^2(D, \varphi)$.

Since

$$d \circ d = 0,$$

we can also define the weighted d -complex as follows

$$0 \rightarrow L_0^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{d} L_1^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{d} L_2^2(D, \varphi) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} L_n^2(D, \varphi) \rightarrow 0.$$

One can show that for $\alpha \in \Lambda^q(\bar{D})$ that

$$(8) \quad \alpha \in \text{Dom}(d_\varphi^*) \iff \alpha \in \text{Ker}\left(\sum \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \lrcorner\right) \text{ on } \partial D.$$

Note that the condition on the right-hand side of the above formula is independent of the weight φ .

We recall the following Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander identity for d -operator with boundary term.

Lemma 5.1. For $\alpha \in \Lambda^q(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d_\varphi^*)$, we have

$$(9) \quad \int_D (|d_\varphi^* \alpha|^2 + |\bar{\partial} \alpha|^2) e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \|\nabla \alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \langle \langle \text{Hess}_\varphi \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Hess}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda$$

where $d\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measures on \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$\|\nabla \alpha\|_\varphi^2 = \sum \int_D \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_I}{\partial x_j} \right|_\varphi^2,$$

$$\langle \text{Hess}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|K|=q-1} \rho_{ij} \alpha_{iK} \alpha_{jK}.$$

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we consider the following construction problem. Note that $\xi = \sum_I \xi_I dx_I \in \Lambda_{p_0}^i(\partial D)$ means $\xi \in \Lambda_{p_0}^i(\bar{D})$ satisfying

$$\sum \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} \xi_{jK} = 0 \text{ for all } |K| = q - 1 \text{ at } p_0.$$

For any boundary point p_0 of D , and for any $0 \leq i \leq n$, given any i -form $\xi \in \Lambda_{p_0}^i(\partial D)$ at p_0 , is there a form $\alpha \in \Lambda^i(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*)$ such that $\alpha(z) = \xi$ and $d\alpha = 0$?

It's clear that, if

$$\alpha_1 \in \Lambda^i(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*) \cap \ker(d), \quad \alpha_1(p_0) = \xi_1$$

and

$$\alpha_2 \in \Lambda^j(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*) \cap \ker(d), \quad \alpha_2(p_0) = \xi_2,$$

then

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \in \Lambda^{i+j}(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*) \cap \ker(d),$$

and

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2(p_0) = \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \in \Lambda_{p_0}^{i+j}(\partial D).$$

Next, we show that the problem has a solution for $i = 1$.

Lemma 5.2 ([5]). For any boundary point p_0 of D , given any 1-form

$$\xi = \sum \xi_i dx_i \in \wedge_{p_0}^1(\partial D)$$

at p_0 , there exists a function u on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

and

$$du(p_0) = \sum \xi_i dx_i.$$

PROOF. Let $u_1 \in C^\infty(\partial D)$ be a smooth function on ∂D such that $\nabla u_1(p_0) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. We extend u_1 to a smooth function u_2 in a neighborhood U of ∂D , constant in the normal direction, with U containing \bar{D} .

Next, choose a cutoff function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is identically 1 in a small neighborhood V of ∂D , and 0 outside V . Let $u = \chi u_2$. Note that since u_2 is constant in the normal direction, we have

$$\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla u = 0,$$

which implies

$$\nabla \rho \lrcorner du = 0.$$

Moreover, we have $du(p_0) = \xi$, completing the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main results of this section.

Theorem 5.3. (= Theorem 1.3) Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$. Suppose that for any strictly convex function φ of the form:

$$\varphi = a\|x - x_0\|^2 - b, \forall a, b > 0, \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and any d -closed form $f \in \wedge^q(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d_\varphi^*)$, the equation $du = f$ is solvable on D with the estimate

$$\int_D |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle \text{Hess}_\varphi^{-1} f, f \rangle e^{-\varphi} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2aq} \int_D |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

then D is q -convex.

PROOF. For any $\alpha \in \wedge^q(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Ker}(d) \cap \text{Dom}(d_\varphi^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \alpha, f \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 &= \langle \langle \alpha, du \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 = \langle \langle d_\varphi^* \alpha, u \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 \\ &\leq \langle \langle d_\varphi^* \alpha, d_\varphi^* \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi \cdot \langle \langle u, u \rangle \rangle_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate of $\|u\|_\varphi^2$ and Lemma 5.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \alpha, f \rangle \rangle_\varphi^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2aq} \|f\|_\varphi^2 \\ &\quad \left(\|\bar{\nabla} \alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \langle \langle \text{Hess}_\varphi \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle_\varphi + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Hess}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now relate α and f by setting

$$\alpha = \text{Hess}_\varphi^{-1} f$$

then we get

$$(10) \quad \|\nabla\alpha\|_\varphi^2 + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Hess}_\rho\alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\varphi} dV \geq 0.$$

Suppose D has at least one non- q -convex boundary point $p_0 \in \partial D$, by definition, there exists a q -form $\xi \in \wedge^q T_{p_0}^*(\partial D)$ at p_0 and a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\langle \text{Hess}_\rho\xi, \xi \rangle < -2c < 0.$$

By an affine coordinate transformation, choose the coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that:

- (1) p_0 is the origin $O = (0, \dots, 0)$.
- (2) $-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ is the inward normal vector at p_0 .
- (3) $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} \right\}$ are the eigenvectors of the second fundamental form of ∂D restricted to $T_{p_0}(\partial D)$, with corresponding eigenvalues

$$t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{n-1}.$$

In this coordinate, the q -form $\xi = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_q \in \wedge_{p_0}^q(\partial D)$ satisfying

$$\langle \text{Hess}_\rho\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^q t_i \|\xi\|^2 < -2c < 0 \text{ for some } \xi.$$

By Lemma 5.2, for any $1 \leq i \leq q$, we take $\alpha_i \in \wedge^1(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*) \cap \text{Ker}(d)$ such that $\alpha_i(p_0) = dx_i$. Since

$$\nabla\rho \lrcorner (\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q (\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \nabla\rho \lrcorner \alpha_i \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q) = 0,$$

there exists a closed q -form $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_q \in \wedge^q(\bar{D}) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*) \cap \text{Ker}(d)$ and a constant $r_0 > 0$, such that

$$\langle \text{Hess}_\rho\alpha, \alpha \rangle(x) < -c < 0$$

for all $x \in B_{r_0} \cap \partial D$, where $B_{r_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - x_0\| < r_0\}$.

For any $s > 0$, define

$$\psi_s(x) = s(\|x\|^2 - r_0^2).$$

Substituting ψ_s into (10) in place of ψ , we have

$$(11) \quad \int_D \|\nabla\alpha\|^2 e^{-\psi_s} d\lambda + \int_{\partial D} \langle \text{Hess}_\rho\alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\psi_s} dV \geq 0,$$

for all $s > 0$.

Notice that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}}$, as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$e^{-\psi_s(x)} \rightarrow 0,$$

so

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_{D \cap B_{r_0}} \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 e^{-\psi_s} d\lambda + \int_{\partial D \cap B_{r_0}} \langle \text{Hess}_\rho \alpha, \alpha \rangle e^{-\psi_s} dV \right) \geq 0.$$

Since D is bounded, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla \alpha(x)\|^2 \leq M$$

for all $x \in \bar{D}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left(M \int_{D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\psi_s} d\lambda - c \int_{\partial D \cap B_{r_0}} e^{-\psi_s} dV \right) \geq 0,$$

by the same reasoning as in (7), as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, the left-hand side of the above tends to $-\infty$, leading to a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. Demailly, Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 15(3):457–511 (1982)
- [2] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*. <https://www-fourier.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf> (2012)
- [3] F. Deng, J. Ning, Z. Wang, Characterizations of plurisubharmonic functions. *Sci China Math*, 64:1959–1970 (2021)
- [4] F. Deng, J. Ning, Z. Wang, X. Zhou, Positivity of holomorphic vector bundles in terms of L^p estimates for $\bar{\partial}$. *Math. Ann.*, 385:575–607 (2023)
- [5] F. Deng, X. Zhang, Characterizations of Curvature positivity of Riemannian vector bundles and convexity or pseudoconvexity of bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n in terms of L^2 -estimate of d of $\bar{\partial}$ equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 281(9):109184, 30.pp (2021)
- [6] H. Grauert, O. Riemenschneider, Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit hyper- q -konvexem Rand. *Problems in analysis (Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner)*, Princeton Univ. Press, 61–79 (1970)
- [7] F. R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson Jr., Geometric plurisubharmonicity and convexity: an introduction. *Adv. Math.* 230(4-6): 2428–2456 (2012)
- [8] F. R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson Jr., p -convexity, p -plurisubharmonicity and the Levi problem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 62(1) :149-169 (2013)
- [9] L. H. Ho, $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on weakly q -convex domains. *Math. Ann.*, 290(1):3–18 (1991)
- [10] L. Hörmander, L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. *Acta Math.*, 113:89–152 (1965)
- [11] Q. Ji, X. Liu, G. Yu, L^2 -estimates on p -convex Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 253:234–280 (2014)
- [12] Q. Ji, G. Tan, G. Yu, L^2 -estimates on weakly q -convex domains. *Osaka J. Math.* 52(1):1–15 (2015)
- [13] J. J. Kohn, Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. I. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:112–148 (1963); 79:450–472 (1964)

- [14] Z. Liu, X. Zhang, A new characterization of L^2 -domains of holomorphy with null thin complements via L^2 -optimal conditions, *J. Geom. Anal.* 266:33 (2024)
- [15] H. H. Wu, Manifolds of partially positive curvature. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 36(3):525–548 (1987)
- [16] J.P. Sha, p -convex Riemannian manifolds., *Invent. Math.* 83(3): 437–447 (1986)
- [17] Y. T. Siu, Complex-analyticity of harmonic maps, vanishing and Lefschetz theorems. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(1):55–138 (1982)

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA.

Email address: `hujinjin@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn`

GUANGXI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY, NANNING, GUANGXI,
530004, P. R. CHINA

GUANGXI BASE, TIANYUAN MATHEMATICAL CENTER IN SOUTHWEST CHINA, NANNING, GUANGXI,
530004, P. R. CHINA

Email address: `xujunzhang@amss.ac.cn`