

Multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles of derivative type

Thomas Wolfs*

Abstract

We characterize the biorthogonal ensembles that are both a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble and a polynomial ensemble of derivative type (also called a Pólya ensemble). We focus on the two notions of derivative type that typically appear in connection with the squared singular values of products of invertible random matrices and the eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian random matrices. Essential in the characterization is the use of the Mellin and Laplace transform: we show that the derivative type structure, which is a priori analytic in nature, becomes algebraic after applying the appropriate transform. Afterwards, we explain how these notions of derivative type can be used to provide a partial solution to an open problem related to orthogonality of the finite finite free multiplicative and additive convolution from finite free probability. In particular, we obtain families of multiple orthogonal polynomials that (de)compose naturally using these convolutions.

Keywords: random matrices, polynomial ensembles, Mellin transform, Laplace transform, finite free probability, multiple orthogonal polynomials.

1 Introduction

Over the last decade, a program was set out to obtain explicit expressions for the density of the eigenvalues of products and sums of random matrices. One of the first steps was taken in [1] as one obtained an expression for the density of the eigenvalues of products of square Ginibre matrices. A (complex) Ginibre matrix is a random matrix whose entries are independent standard complex Gaussian random variables, see [12]. Afterwards, in [3], one also managed to describe the squared singular values of products of square, and later in [2], rectangular, Ginibre matrices. Since this problem turned out to be more natural, see also [26], at least for products of random matrices focus shifted more towards their squared singular values rather than their eigenvalues.

An important milestone was achieved in [25] as one showed that it is possible to describe the squared singular values of products of any random matrix for which the squared singular values follow a so-called polynomial ensemble, with Ginibre matrices. Later in [20], it was shown that one can also handle the situation in which one takes products with truncated Haar distributed random unitary matrices instead of Ginibre matrices. We refer to [23] for an introduction to polynomial ensembles. The definition of a polynomial ensemble is as follows.

*Department of Mathematics, KU Leuven, Belgium. E-mail adress: `thomas.wolfs[at]kuleuven.be`.

Definition 1.1. An n -point polynomial ensemble on \mathbb{R} is a probability density on \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$\frac{1}{Z_n} \Delta_n(\vec{x}) \det[v_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^n \geq 0, \quad \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are such that $x \mapsto x^{k-1}v_j(x)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $Z_n \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ is a normalization constant.

Polynomial ensembles arise as a special class of biorthogonal ensembles, see [5, 25], and are therefore determinantal point processes, see [14] for an introduction. A large class of polynomial ensembles are the so-called multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles. They were introduced in [22] (see also [4]) and generalize the well-studied class of orthogonal polynomial ensembles ($r = 1$), see [10] for an introduction. The correlation kernel for a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble is completely determined by the multiple orthogonal polynomials associated to the underlying system of weights, see [9].

We will use the following definition of a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. Note that, unlike in [22], we assumed that the associated multi-index is on the step-line

$$\mathcal{S}^r = \{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_r \geq n_1 - 1\}.$$

This is standard practice when working with multiple orthogonal polynomials. Doing so, there is no ambiguity in the condition $n = |\vec{n}|$ as there is a unique multi-index $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ for which this equality holds.

Definition 1.2. We call the polynomial ensemble associated with $v_1, \dots, v_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with a system \vec{w} of r weights $w_1, \dots, w_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if

$$\text{span}\{v_j(x) \mid j = 1, \dots, n\} = \text{span}\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\},$$

for (the unique) $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $n = |\vec{n}|$.

Observe that there is some freedom in how the associated system of weights is defined: for all invertible upper-triangular matrices U , $\vec{w}U$ gives rise to the same multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble.

Elementary and well-known examples of orthogonal polynomial ensembles are the Jacobi Unitary Ensemble (JUE), Laguerre Unitary Ensemble (LUE) and Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE), defined below. They are related to the classical Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite orthogonal polynomials respectively.

Example 1.3. The JUE is the orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with the beta density $\mathcal{B}^{a,b}(x) = x^a(1-x)^{b-a-1}$ on $(0, 1)$ with $b > a > -1$.

It is well-known that the squared singular values of a truncated Haar distributed random unitary matrix follow a JUE, see, e.g., [25, §3.1].

Example 1.4. The LUE is the orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with the gamma density $\mathcal{G}^a(x) = x^a e^{-x}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $a > -1$.

It is well-known that the squared singular values of a Ginibre matrix follow a LUE, see, e.g., [25, §3.3].

Example 1.5. The GUE is the orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with the Gaussian $\mathcal{G}(x) = e^{-x^2}$ on \mathbb{R} .

The next milestone was achieved in [18] as one showed that instead of considering products of a random matrix for which the squared singular values follow a polynomial ensemble, with Ginibre and truncated random unitary matrices, one can also handle products with any random matrices for which the squared singular values follow a so-called polynomial ensemble of derivative type. The JUE and LUE are examples of such ensembles, as explained in [11, Ex. 2.4 d) & c)]. In the multiplicative setting, polynomial ensembles of derivative type are defined in the following way. Recall that $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}(X)$, with $X \subset \mathbb{R}$, if and only if there exists $g \in L^1(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = \alpha + \int_1^x g(t)dt$ for all $x \in X$, and that in that case, f is differentiable a.e. on X with a.e. $f' = g$.

Definition 1.6. We call the polynomial ensemble associated with $v_1, \dots, v_r : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, or the set $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$, of multiplicative derivative type, if there exists a function $\omega \in C^{n-2}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\omega^{(n-2)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, such that

$$\text{span}\{v_j(x)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\left\{\left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^{j-1}[\omega(x)]\right\}_{j=1}^n, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

Unfortunately, in the literature, there is some ambiguity about which space $\omega^{(n-2)}$ belongs to. Originally, in [18], one demanded that $\omega_n^{(n-2)} \in AC(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. This is equivalent to the above because implicitly through Definition 1.1, we assume that $\omega_n^{(n-1)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. Later in [11], one demanded the stronger condition that $\omega_n^{(n-2)}$ is differentiable on \mathbb{R} . For our purposes, it is important that sets of weights of multiplicative derivative type are defined in the weaker way above.

Finally, in [24], the analogue for the eigenvalues of sums of random matrices was given. This work was preceded by [7], where one described the eigenvalues of the sum of a random matrix for which the eigenvalues follow a polynomial ensemble and a random matrix for which the eigenvalues follow a GUE. Generally, in the additive setting, one can describe the eigenvalues of sums of a random matrix for which the eigenvalues follow a polynomial ensemble, with random matrices for which the eigenvalues follow a polynomial ensemble of another kind of derivative type. The precise definition of this additive derivative type is stated below. As explained in [11, Ex. 2.4 c) & b)], the LUE and GUE are examples of polynomial ensembles of additive derivative type.

Definition 1.7. We call the polynomial ensemble associated with $v_1, \dots, v_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, or the set $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$, of additive derivative type, if there exists a function $\omega \in C^{n-2}(\mathbb{R})$, with $\omega^{(n-2)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\text{span}\{v_j(x)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{\omega^{(j-1)}(x)\}_{j=1}^n, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Again, in the literature, there is some minor ambiguity about which space $\omega^{(n-2)}$ belongs to. Originally, in [24], no explicit conditions on ω are mentioned. Later in [11], one demanded that $\omega_n^{(n-2)}$ is differentiable on \mathbb{R} . For our purposes and because of the similarities to the multiplicative setting, we prefer the above, which is less strong.

Both of these notions of derivative type were further studied in [11]. In particular, one characterized the functions ω in Definition 1.6 and Definition 1.7 that give rise to a *positive*

ensemble of derivative type in terms of Pólya frequency functions. As a consequence, polynomial ensembles of derivative type are sometimes called Pólya ensembles. We refer to [15, Ch. 7] for an introduction to Pólya frequency functions.

Recently, several other notions of derivative type, compatible with other symmetry classes of random matrices, have been introduced as well. For example in [11], one defines notions for random complex rectangular, Hermitian anti-symmetric and Hermitian anti-self-dual matrices. In [21], one considers a notion of derivative type compatible with random unitary matrices.

2 Main results

Initially, the main goal of this paper was to provide many examples of multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles of derivative type. This was motivated by the fact that the associated multiple orthogonal polynomials would have desirable (de)composition properties with respect to the finite free multiplicative and additive convolution from finite free probability, see [31]. Such (de)composition properties have recently received increased attention from the orthogonal polynomial community, because they are helpful in studying the properties of their zeros as explained in [33, 34]. A deeper connection between orthogonality and (de)compositions using the finite free multiplicative and additive convolution is suggested by an open problem posed at the Hypergeometric and Orthogonal Polynomials Event in Nijmegen (May, 2024), see [32]. Remarkably, after making the appropriate identifications, the open problem turns out to be equivalent to the one that drove the previously mentioned research around products and sums of random matrices. We will make this connection precise in Section 7. Doing so, we can formulate a partial solution to the open problem.

Ultimately, our study of multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles of derivative type did not only lead to many new examples, but to a characterization of them. Essential in this characterization, is the use of an appropriate integral transform. In the multiplicative setting, this role is played by the Mellin transform. In the additive setting, the Laplace transform is used. These transforms have several useful properties, which we will discuss in Section 3. The idea of using such integral transforms is that the derivative type structure, which is a priori analytic in nature, becomes algebraic after applying the appropriate transform. The latter will be more prone to analysis and will reveal some implicit structure on the functions associated to a polynomial ensemble of derivative type. Results of this kind will be proven in Section 4. In the particular case of a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble of derivative type, the algebraic structure will enable a characterization of the underlying system of weights.

In the multiplicative setting, the characterization takes the following form. We will prove this in Section 5. The appropriate space $L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ will be defined in Section 3.

Theorem 2.1. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, be real-valued and a.e. positive. The set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ if and only if there exists an upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $\vec{v} = \vec{w}U$ have a Mellin transform of the form

$$\mathcal{M}v_j(s) = c^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\Gamma(s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad (1)$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. In that case,

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\Gamma(s + b_i + n_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

The fact that we have to assume positivity of the weights is an analytic obstruction caused by the use of the Mellin transform. By dropping this condition, we can essentially only recover the moments of the weights.

Theorem 2.2. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, be real-valued. If the set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$, then there exists an upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $\vec{v} = \vec{w}U$ have moments of the form

$$\mathcal{M}v_j(s) = c^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\Gamma(s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad (2)$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. In that case,

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\Gamma(s + b_i + n_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

Most of the systems of weights in Theorem 2.2 have already been investigated thoroughly in [27, 43] (here one assumed that $d_1 = 1_{\leq p}$ and $d_2 = 1_{\leq q}$ with $\max\{p, q\} = r$, but the general setting can be treated similarly). As explained there, most of the systems of two weights covered by Theorem 2.1, had already been studied in the context of multiple orthogonal polynomials in several works throughout the years, see [40, 26, 38, 28, 29, 41].

As discussed before, the multiplication by the upper-triangular matrix U becomes irrelevant when we move to the associated multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles.

Corollary 2.3. A n -point multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with a system of weights in $L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, is of multiplicative derivative type for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the Mellin transforms of the weights in the associated system are as in (1).

In the additive setting, the characterization takes the following form. We will prove this in Section 5. The appropriate space $L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ will be defined in Section 3.

Theorem 2.4. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued. The set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of additive derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ if and only if there exists an upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $\vec{v} = \vec{w}U$ have a Laplace transform of the form

$$\mathcal{L}v_j(s) = \exp \left(c \int_{s_0}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt \right) \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad (3)$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i, c, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. In that case,

$$\mathcal{L}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \exp \left(c \int_{s_0}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt \right) \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)n_i}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Generally, these systems of weights have not been studied before, at least not in the context of multiple orthogonal polynomials. Only particular cases of systems of two weights have been studied in [8, 30]. The former handles weights related to the I -Bessel function, while the latter considers weights related to the Airy function.

The multiplication by the upper-triangular matrix U becomes irrelevant when we move to the associated multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles.

Corollary 2.5. A n -point multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble associated with a system of weights in $L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ is of additive derivative type for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the Laplace transforms of the weights in the associated system are as in (3).

Observe that in the above results, we didn't provide any conditions on the parameters that appear. Results of this kind will be discussed in Section 6. In the setting of orthogonal polynomial ensembles, we obtain the following complete characterization.

Proposition 2.6. Up to a linear transformation, the JUE and LUE are the only orthogonal polynomial ensembles of multiplicative derivative type with a weight in $L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$.

Proposition 2.7. Up to a scaling and affine transformation, the LUE and GUE are the only orthogonal polynomial ensembles of additive derivative type with a weight in $L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$.

In general, we will only be able to provide sufficient conditions on the parameters of the weights in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 that ensure that the weights give rise to a *positive* multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. Obtaining necessary conditions is more challenging because it is closely related to characterizing Pólya frequency functions of finite order, which has been an open problem since those of infinite order have been characterized in [37]. For this reason, it would be interesting to obtain an optimal (or more optimal) set of conditions in the future. Such a result would then also lead to a strengthening of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.4 and their corollaries.

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we will review some properties of the Mellin and Laplace transform. These transforms will play an essential role in the study of sets of weights of multiplicative and additive derivative type. Our main reference for the results involving the Mellin transform is [6], which serves as an excellent introduction to the topic. Under the appropriate conditions, many of the results discussed there also carry over to the Laplace transform.

3.1 Mellin transform

The Mellin transform of a function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx,$$

whenever this integral exists. For convenience, we will work with functions in the space

$$L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = \{f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_0^\infty |f(x)x^{s-1}|dx < \infty, s \in \Sigma\},$$

where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is an interval (or, if explicitly mentioned, a singleton). Clearly, instead of considering the interval Σ , we may also consider the strip $\Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$ in the right half of the complex plane. We may rephrase our results for intervals $-\Sigma$ in the left half of the complex plane by making use of the fact that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ if and only if $\tilde{f} \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},-\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ with $\tilde{f}(x) = f(1/x)$.

The elementary examples below will play a role in the characterization of sets of multiplicative derivative type.

Example 3.1 (Eq. 5.2.1, [36]). Let $b > a > -1$. The beta density $\mathcal{B}^{a,b}(x) = x^a(1-x)^{b-a-1}$ on $(0, 1)$ has Mellin transform

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{a,b}(s) = \Gamma(b-a) \frac{\Gamma(s+a)}{\Gamma(s+b)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

Example 3.2 (Eq. 5.12.1, [36]). Let $a > -1$. The gamma density $\mathcal{G}^a(x) = x^a e^{-x}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ has Mellin transform

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{G}^a(s) = \Gamma(s+a), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

The following properties of the Mellin transform are well-known and will be used extensively throughout this work (see [6, Thm. 1, 3 & 7]). First, we recall the fact that the Mellin transform of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ is always analytic on the associated strip $\Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$. Second, whenever $f, g \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, there exists a Mellin convolution

$$(f *_{\mathcal{M}} g)(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t)g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0},$$

with the property that

$$(\mathcal{M}[f *_{\mathcal{M}} g])(s) = (\mathcal{M}f)(s) \cdot (\mathcal{M}g)(s), \quad s \in c + i\mathbb{R}.$$

Lastly, whenever $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and $\mathcal{M}f \in L^1(c + i\mathbb{R})$, there is an inverse transform

$$f(x) = \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \mathcal{M}f(s)x^{-s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

In particular, this implies that the Mellin transform is injective on $L^1_{\mathcal{M},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ in an a.e.-sense.

The results below are less standard, but will play an important role later. The first result, known as the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for the Mellin transform, will be used to establish whether certain functions can appear as a Mellin transform.

Proposition 3.3 (Thm. 2, [6]). Suppose that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. Then, for every $s \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}f(s + it) = 0.$$

The second result describes the behavior of the Mellin transform with respect to the differential operator that appears in Definition 1.6. Typically the conditions on the underlying function are stronger and one can use integration by parts, but for us it is crucial that the conditions are minimal and that we have the stated equivalence.

Proposition 3.4 (Cor. 7, [6]). Suppose that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the differential operator $(Dg)(x) = -xg'(x)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- i) there exists $F \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $F^{(r-1)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and $D^r F \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, such that $f = F$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$,
- ii) there exists $g \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ such that $\mathcal{M}g(s) = s^r \mathcal{M}f(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$.

In that case, $g = D^r F$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The last result handles uniqueness of solutions of first order difference equations that arise as Mellin transforms of positive functions. It essentially follows from the generalization of the Bohr-Mollerup theorem in [35] (see also [42, Thm. 3.1] for another formulation with slightly stronger conditions).

Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $g : \mathbb{R}_{>s_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n+1)/g(n) = 1$. Then, up to a scalar multiplication, the difference equation $F(s+1) = g(s)F(s)$ for $s > s_0$ has at most one solution of the form $\mathcal{M}f$ with $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}_{>s_0}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and $f > 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. We will first show the result in the special case that $s_0 = 0$. In fact, we will show something stronger, namely that, up to a scalar multiplication, there is at most one strictly positive log-convex solution. The fact that Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}f$ of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ with $f > 0$ a.e. is log-convex follows in a straightforward way from Hölder's inequality. Note that by taking logarithms, any strictly positive log-convex solution of $F(s+1) = g(s)F(s)$ for $s > 0$, corresponds to a real-valued convex solution of $(\Delta F)(s) = \ln g(s)$ for $s > 0$ (here Δ denotes the forward difference operator $(\Delta F)(s) = F(s+1) - F(s)$). However, as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta \ln g)(n) = 0$, the latter are uniquely determined by $\ln g$ up to an additive constant due to [35, Thm. 1.4].

In order to extend to general $s_0 \geq 0$, we note that any solution of $F(s+1) = g(s)F(s)$ for $s > s_0$ of the form $\mathcal{M}f$ gives rise to a solution of $F(s+1) = g(s)F(s)$ for $s > 0$ of the form $\mathcal{M}f_0$ through the operator $f_0(x) = x^{s_0} f(x)$. Clearly still $f_0 > 0$ a.e. and since $\mathcal{M}f_0(s) = \mathcal{M}f(s+s_0)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we also have $f_0 \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. \square

3.2 Laplace transform

The Laplace transform can be seen as the additive analogue of the Mellin transform. The (bilateral) Laplace transform of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-sx} dx,$$

whenever this integral exists. For convenience, we will work with functions in the space

$$L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)e^{-sx}| dx < \infty, s \in \Sigma\},$$

where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is an interval (or, if explicitly mentioned, a singleton). Note that instead of considering the interval Σ , we could also have considered the strip $\Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$ in the right half of the complex plane. It is straightforward to see that, given $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by defining $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto f(-\ln x)$, we have $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\tilde{f} \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$. In that case, $\mathcal{L}f = \mathcal{M}\tilde{f}$ on Σ . As a consequence, many results for the Mellin transform can be rephrased in terms of the Laplace transform.

The following elementary examples will play a role in the characterization of sets of additive derivative type.

Example 3.6 (Eq. 5.9.1, [36]). Let $a > -1$. The gamma density $\mathcal{G}^a(x) = x^a e^{-x}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ has Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}^a(s) = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{(s+1)^{a+1}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

Example 3.7 (Table 1.14.1, [36]). The Gaussian density $\mathcal{G}(x) = e^{-x^2}$ on \mathbb{R} has Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}(s) = \sqrt{\pi} e^{s^2/4}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

In what follows, we will state some well-known properties of the Laplace transform. These properties essentially carry over from the corresponding results for the Mellin transform. For example, the Laplace transform of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ is also analytic on the associated strip $\Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$. The convolution law and inversion theorem take the following form. Whenever $f, g \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, there exists a Laplace convolution

$$(f *_{\mathcal{L}} g)(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t)g(x-t)dt, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with the property that

$$(\mathcal{L}[f *_{\mathcal{L}} g])(s) = (\mathcal{L}f)(s) \cdot (\mathcal{L}g)(s), \quad s \in c + i\mathbb{R}.$$

Whenever $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and $\mathcal{L}f \in L^1(c + i\mathbb{R})$, there is an inverse transform

$$f(x) = \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \mathcal{L}f(s) e^{sx} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, this implies that the Laplace transform is injective on $L^1_{\mathcal{L},\{c\}}(\mathbb{R})$ in an a.e.-sense.

In the setting of the Laplace (or rather Fourier) transform, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma is also standard. Later, we will use this result to establish whether certain functions can appear as a Laplace transform.

Proposition 3.8. Suppose that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for every $s \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}f(s + it) = 0.$$

Less standard is the following analogue of Proposition 3.4. Since, to our knowledge such a result for the Laplace transform (or Fourier transform) with minimal conditions on the underlying functions hasn't appeared before in the literature, we provide an explicit self-contained proof (based on some ideas that appear in [6, §8]). Alternatively, one could use make use of the corresponding result for the Mellin transform.

Proposition 3.9. Suppose that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- i) there exists $F \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$, with $F^{(r-1)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F^{(r)} \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $f = F$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} ,

ii) there exists $g \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{L}g(s) = s^r \mathcal{L}f(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$.

In that case, $g = F^{(r)}$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We will first prove the implication $i) \Rightarrow ii)$. We will show that $\mathcal{L}F^{(r)}(s) = s^r \mathcal{L}f(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma$. The challenge is to circumvent the fact that the Laplace transform of the intermediate derivatives don't need to exist, otherwise we could use an argument based on integration by parts. We will compute the Laplace transform of

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 F^{(r)}(x + x_1 + \dots + x_r) dx_r \dots dx_1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

in two ways. This function is well-defined because $F \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F^{(r-1)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, hence $F^{(j)} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. In fact, in that case, we can use the identity $(\Delta h)(x) = \int_0^1 h'(x+t)dt$ to show that $F_1 = \Delta^r F$ on \mathbb{R} and thus (see [36, Eq. 3.9.4])

$$F_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} F(x+k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Its Laplace transform is then given by

$$\mathcal{L}F_1(s) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} e^{sk} \mathcal{L}F(s) = (e^s - 1)^r \mathcal{L}F(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

On the other hand, since $F^{(r)} \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$, we can also compute the Laplace transform of F_1 directly by applying Fubini's theorem and performing a change of variables

$$\mathcal{L}F_1(s) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 e^{s(x_1 + \dots + x_r)} \mathcal{L}F^{(r)}(s) dx_r \dots dx_1 = \frac{(e^s - 1)^r}{s^r} \mathcal{L}F^{(r)}(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Combining both results, we obtain the desired identity.

We will now prove the other implication $ii) \Rightarrow i)$. Since $g, 1_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$, we can consider the Laplace convolutions $g_j = g *_{\mathcal{L}} (*_{\mathcal{L}} 1_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}})^{r-j}$, which are a priori defined a.e. on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, we have $g_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ and by the assumption

$$\mathcal{L}g_j(s) = \frac{\mathcal{L}g(s)}{s^{r-j}} = s^j \mathcal{L}f(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

As a consequence, by taking appropriate linear combinations, for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a $g_c \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}g_c(s) = (s - c)^r \mathcal{L}f(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Now we fix $c \in \Sigma$ and consider

$$F_c(x) = e^{cx} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{x_{r-1}} g_c(x_r) e^{-cx_r} dx_r \dots dx_1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that $F_c = g_c *_{\mathcal{L}} (*_{\mathcal{L}} \exp_c)^r$, with $\exp_c(x) = \exp(cx) 1_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(x)$ on \mathbb{R} , and that $g_c, \exp_c \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma_c}(\mathbb{R})$, with $\Sigma_c = \{s \in \Sigma \mid s > c\}$. The latter is non-empty, because Σ

is an interval and $c \in \Sigma$. As a consequence, F_c is well-defined a.e. on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, we have $F_c \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma_c}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\mathcal{L}F_c(s) = \frac{\mathcal{L}g_c(s)}{(s-c)^r} = \mathcal{L}f(s), \quad s \in \Sigma_c.$$

Injectivity of the Laplace transform then implies that $F_c = f$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} . We will show that F_c satisfies the other conditions in *i*) as well. Denote, for every $j \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$,

$$F_{j,c}(x) = e^{cx} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^{x_{j+1}} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{x_{r-1}} g_c(x_r) e^{-cx_r} dx_r \dots dx_{j+1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we can show by induction that, for every $n \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$,

$$F_c^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} F_{k,c}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and that $F_c^{(n)}(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, for $n \in \{0, \dots, r-2\}$, and $F_c^{(r-1)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. To this end, we can use the fact that functions of the form $x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^x h(t)dt$ are in $AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ for $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and are in $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $h \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Since $F_c^{(r-1)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, its derivative exists a.e. and is given by

$$F_c^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} c^{r-k} F_{k,c}(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}F_{k,c}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{L}g_c(s)}{(s-c)^{r-k}} = (s-c)^k \mathcal{L}f(s), \quad s \in \Sigma_c,$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{L}F_c^{(r)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} c^{r-k} (s-c)^k \mathcal{L}F_c(s) = s^r \mathcal{L}f(s), \quad s \in \Sigma_c.$$

Therefore, by the assumption, $\mathcal{L}F_c^{(r)}(s) = \mathcal{L}g(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma_c$. Since the Laplace transform is injective, we can then conclude that $F_c^{(r)} = g$ a.e. and hence $F_c^{(r)} \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Note that in several steps of the above proof, we used implicitly that $0 \notin \Sigma$, which is why we restricted to intervals $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ at the start of this section.

4 Derivative type

Crucial to our approach will be the two theorems below, which can be seen as an alternative definition of sets of multiplicative and additive derivative type. They essentially allow us to convert the analytic conditions in Definition 1.6 and Definition 1.7 into algebraic ones by moving to the Mellin and Laplace space. These results also motivate the precise analytic conditions that appear in these definitions.

Theorem 4.1. Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. Then $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. ω if and only if there exists a function $\hat{\omega} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{M}v_j(s)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{s^{j-1}\hat{\omega}(s)\}_{j=1}^n, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

In that case, $\mathcal{M}\omega = \hat{\omega}$ on Σ .

Proof. Denote $(Df)(x) = -xf'(x)$. For the implication from left to right, we assume that there exists a function $\omega \in C^{n-2}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\omega^{(n-2)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, such that a.e.

$$\text{span}\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{D^{j-1}\omega\}_{j=1}^n.$$

Consider now $\nu_j = D^{j-1}\omega \in \text{span}\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$. By the integrability conditions on the v_j , we have $\omega, \nu_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. We can therefore apply Proposition 3.4 to find

$$\mathcal{M}\nu_j(s) = s^{j-1}\mathcal{M}\omega(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result with $\hat{\omega} = \mathcal{M}\omega$.

For the other implication, take $\nu_j \in \text{span}\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that $\mathcal{M}\nu_j(s) = s^{j-1}\hat{\omega}(s)$ for $s \in \Sigma$. By the integrability conditions on the v_j , we have $\nu_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. Observe that the property

$$\text{span}\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n,$$

is preserved, otherwise we can take the Mellin transform and get a contradiction. Since $\mathcal{M}\nu_n(s) = s^{n-1}\mathcal{M}\nu_1(s)$ for $s \in \Sigma$, it follows from Proposition 3.4 that there exists $\omega \in C^{n-2}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\omega^{(n-2)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, such that a.e. $\nu_1 = \omega$ and $\nu_n = D^{n-1}\omega$. We will show by induction on $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ that also a.e. $\nu_j = D^{j-1}\omega$. In that case, the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type. For $j = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\nu_j = D^{j-1}\omega$, then $\mathcal{M}\nu_{j+1}(s) = s\mathcal{M}D^{j-1}\omega(s)$ for $s \in \Sigma$. Proposition 3.4 then implies that there exists $F \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ such that a.e. $F = D^{j-1}\omega$ and $\nu_{j+1} = DF$. Since both $D^{j-1}\omega, F \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, we must have that $F = D^{j-1}\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and thus a.e. $\nu_{j+1} = D^j\omega$. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is of additive derivative type if and only if there exists a function $\hat{\omega} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{L}v_j(s)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{s^{j-1}\hat{\omega}(s)\}_{j=1}^n, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

In that case, $\mathcal{L}\omega = \hat{\omega}$ on Σ .

Proof. We can prove this using the same ideas as in the multiplicative setting, but using the Laplace transform instead of the Mellin transform. \square

A side product of the previous results is the following connection between additive and multiplicative derivative type.

Proposition 4.3. Consider the operator $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto f(-\ln x)$ on $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The system $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, with all $v_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$, is of additive derivative type if and only if the system $\{\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_n\}$, with all $\tilde{v}_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, is of multiplicative derivative type.

Proof. This follows immediately from the algebraic conditions in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{L}f = \mathcal{M}\tilde{f}$ on Σ . \square

In what follows, we will describe some common properties of systems of weights of multiplicative and additive derivative type based on the algebraic description in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. As such, we will state all the results for a general linear functional \mathcal{T} that can be applied to the weights. In the next section, we will restrict again to $\mathcal{T} \in \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}\}$.

We first focus on the functions w.r.t. which a system of weights can be of multiplicative and additive derivative type. The algebraic description reveals the following hidden structure.

Proposition 4.4. Let $w_1, \dots, w_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider a linear functional \mathcal{T} for which all $\mathcal{T}w_j$ are defined on an infinite set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$. If there exists $\hat{\omega}_n : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j(s)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_n(s)\}_{k=1}^n, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

then there exists $d : \{1, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{b_0 \mathcal{T}w_1(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Proof. We will show this by induction on $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. For $n = 1$, this is immediate. Suppose now that the formula holds for all $m \leq n-1$ with $n \leq N$. By the assumption, we know that for every $v \in \text{span}\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$, there exists a polynomial $p_{n-1}(s; v)$ of degree at most $n-1$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}v(s) = p_{n-1}(s; v)\hat{\omega}_n(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Hence, for every $v \in \text{span}\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$, we must have

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{\mathcal{T}v(s)}{p_{n-1}(s; v)},$$

for all $s \in \Sigma$ except potentially at finitely many values (the zeros of $p_{n-1}(s; v)$). By considering appropriate functions v , we can establish the desired formula. Consider first $v_1 \in \text{span}\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ with $\mathcal{T}v_1(s) = s^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)} \hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)$ where $d_0 = |\{1 \leq i \leq n-2 \mid d(i) = 0\}|$. Since the degree of $s^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}$ is at most $n-2$, such v_1 exists by the assumption. We then have, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{b_0 s^{d_0} \mathcal{T}w_1(s)}{p_{n-1}(s; v_1)}.$$

On the other hand, by the assumption, we can also consider $v_2 \in \text{span}\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ with $\mathcal{T}v_2(s) = \hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)$. We then have, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{b_0 \mathcal{T}w_1(s)}{p_{n-1}(s; v_2) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}.$$

By combining the two identities, we obtain

$$p_{n-1}(s; v_1) = p_{n-1}(s; v_2) s^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)},$$

for infinitely many $s \in \Sigma$. Since the degree of $s^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}$ is exactly $n - 2$, the degree of $p_{n-1}(s; v_2)$ is at most 1. After writing $p_{n-1}(s; v_2) = (s + b_{n-1})^{d(n-1)}$, we then obtain

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{\mathcal{T}w_1(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}},$$

as desired. \square

Next, we consider the functions that give rise to systems of multiplicative and additive derivative type themselves.

Proposition 4.5. Let $w_1, \dots, w_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider a linear functional \mathcal{T} for which all $\mathcal{T}w_j$ are defined on an infinite set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$. There exists $\hat{\omega}_n : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j(s)\}_{j=1}^n = \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_n(s)\}_{k=1}^n, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

if and only if there exists $p_{n-1}(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$, $d : \{1, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}w_n(s)}{\mathcal{T}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{n-1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

and $\max\{\deg p_{n-1}(s), \deg \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}\} = n - 1$. In that case,

$$\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \frac{b_0 \mathcal{T}w_1(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Proof. We will first prove the implication from left to right. By Proposition 4.4, the desired formula for $\hat{\omega}_n(s)$ holds for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. The fact that there exists $p_{n-1}(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$ with degree at most $n - 1$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}w_n(s)}{\mathcal{T}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{n-1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

follows immediately from this result. It then remains to show that the additional condition on the degrees of the numerator and denominator holds. Let $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be the smallest value for which $\max\{\deg p_{n-1}(s), \deg \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}\} < n - 1$. In that case, $\deg p_{n-1} \leq n - 2$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)$. A partial fraction decomposition then gives

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}w_n(s)}{\mathcal{T}w_1(s)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{c_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{T}w_n \in \text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$, which contradicts the fact that

$$\dim \text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j(s)\}_{j=1}^n = \dim \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_n(s)\}_{k=1}^n = n.$$

We will now show, by induction on $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, that the other implication holds with the stated functions $\hat{\omega}_n$. For $n = 1$, this is immediate. Suppose that

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j(s)\}_{j=1}^m = \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_m(s)\}_{k=1}^m, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

We have to show that it also holds for $m = n$. Suppose first that $\mathcal{T}w = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mathcal{T}w_j$, then by the induction hypothesis and the assumption, we can write

$$\mathcal{T}w(s) = \mathcal{T}w_1(s) \left(\frac{q_{n-2}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}} + c_n \frac{p_{n-1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}} \right), \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

for some polynomial $q_{n-2}(s)$ of degree at most $n - 2$. After writing both terms on the common denominator $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}$, we obtain $\mathcal{T}w(s) \in \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_n(s)\}_{k=1}^n$. For the other inclusion, suppose that $\mathcal{T}w(s) = q_{n-1}(s)\hat{\omega}_n(s)$ for some polynomial $q_{n-1}(s)$ of degree at most $n - 1$. We will show that there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s))\hat{\omega}_n(s) \in \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$. In that case, $\mathcal{T}w \in \text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ as $(q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s))\hat{\omega}_n(s) \in \text{span}\{\mathcal{T}w_j(s)\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ by the induction hypothesis and $p_{n-1}(s)\hat{\omega}_n(s) = b_0\mathcal{T}w_n(s)$ by the assumption. Suppose first that $d(n-1) = 1$ and thus $\hat{\omega}_n(s) = \hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)/(s + b_{n-1})$. Since $p_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}) \neq 0$ (otherwise the degree condition would be violated), we can take $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s)$ vanishes at $s = -b_{n-1}$. In that case, $(q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s))\hat{\omega}_n(s) \in \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$. Suppose now that $d(n-1) = 0$ and thus $\hat{\omega}_n = \hat{\omega}_{n-1}$. Under this condition we have $\deg p_{n-1} = n - 1$ (otherwise the degree condition would be violated) and we can take $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the degree of $q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s)$ is at most $n - 2$. In that case, we have $(q_{n-1}(s) - cp_{n-1}(s))\hat{\omega}_n(s) \in \text{span}\{s^{k-1}\hat{\omega}_{n-1}(s)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$. \square

By taking appropriate linear combinations of the weights, we can simplify the compatibility conditions on the ratios $\mathcal{T}w_n/\mathcal{T}w_1$.

Proposition 4.6. In the setting of Proposition 4.5, there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $(v_j)_{j=1}^N = (w_j)_{j=1}^N U$ satisfy

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}v_n(s)}{\mathcal{T}v_1(s)} = \frac{s^{n-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.5 that there exists $v_n \in \text{span}\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that $\mathcal{T}v_n(s) = s^{n-1}\hat{\omega}_n(s)$ for $s \in \Sigma$. Then we can use the stated formula for $\hat{\omega}_n(s)$. \square

With the result below, we aim to provide some more intuition on Proposition 4.5. It essentially states that the n -dependence in $\hat{\omega}_n(s)$ can be removed if besides multiplication by s , we would also have allowed for multiplication by some $1/(s + b_j)$.

Proposition 4.7. In the setting of Proposition 4.5, there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights $(v_j)_{j=1}^N = (w_j)_{j=1}^N U$ satisfy

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}v_{n+1}(s)}{\mathcal{T}v_n(s)} = \begin{cases} s, & d_n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{s + b_n}, & d_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $s \in \Sigma$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}v_{n+1}(s)}{\mathcal{T}v_n(s)} = \frac{s}{(s + b_n)^{d(n)}}.$$

If $d(n) = 0$, we obtain the first case. If $d(n) = 1$, we can do a partial fraction decomposition and subtract v_n from v_{n+1} to obtain the other case. \square

5 Characterization

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. We will do this in several steps. First, we will use Proposition 4.5 to characterize sets of weights of multiplicative/additive derivative type. Next, we will restrict to sets of weights related to multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles, i.e. sets of the form

$$\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}, \quad \vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r.$$

The additional structure will allow us to identify the Mellin/Laplace transform of the weights in the underlying system \vec{w} . Afterwards, we will use Proposition 4.5 to show that for such systems all the sets $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ are of multiplicative/additive derivative type.

5.1 Multiplicative setting

Using the results from the previous section, we can obtain the following characterization of sets of multiplicative derivative type.

Proposition 5.1. Let $w_1, \dots, w_N \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ be real-valued. The set $\{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. ω_n for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ if and only if there exists $p_{n-1}(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$, $d: \{1, \dots, N-1\} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}w_n(s)}{\mathcal{M}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{n-1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

and $\max\{\deg p_{n-1}(s), \deg \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}\} = n - 1$. In that case,

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_n(s) = \frac{\mathcal{M}w_1(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Proof. We have to combine Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.5. □

By considering sets of weights related to multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles, the above allows us identify the moments of w_1 . Using the compatibility conditions in Proposition 4.6, we can then describe the moments of all the weights in the system. The following functions will be relevant.

Definition 5.2. Let $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^r$, $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $d_1, d_2: \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. We will denote

$$\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{M}}(s; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, c; d_1, d_2) = c^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\Gamma(s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C},$$

whenever it is defined.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. According to Proposition 5.1, we can write

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}w_1(s+1)}{\mathcal{M}w_1(s)} = c \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. Consecutive use of this identity for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then leads to

$$\mathcal{M}w_1(s) = \mathcal{M}w_1(1)c^{s-1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i + 1)_{s-1}^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (b_i + 1)_{s-1}^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}w_1(s)$ is defined for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and doesn't vanish, we must have $a_i, b_i \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}$ and $c \neq 0$. Hence, there exists $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, namely

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(b_j + 1)^{d_2(j)}}{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(a_j + 1)^{d_1(j)}},$$

such that

$$\mathcal{M}w_1(s) = c_0 c^s \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + a_j)^{d_1(j)}}{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + b_j)^{d_2(j)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

Proposition 4.6 then implies that there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $(v_j)_{j=1}^r = (w_j)_{j=1}^r U$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{M}v_j(s) = c^s \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + a_j)^{d_1(j)}}{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + b_j)^{d_2(j)}} \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

After the reparametrization $\vec{b} \mapsto (b_2, \dots, b_r, b_1 + 1)$ and adapting d_2 accordingly, we obtain the desired result. \square

In order to describe the full Mellin transform on a strip Σ and not only at integer values, we have to impose an additional assumption on the weights. This is an analytic obstruction that also appears in connection to the Bohr-Mollerup Theorem, see, e.g., [35]. The idea is that the moments can't detect functions of the form $e^{\sin(\pi s)}$ as part of the Mellin transform. In line with that theorem, we decide to demand positivity of the weights. This is a natural assumption, because later we want to use these weights to create an ensemble.

Proposition 5.3. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, be real-valued and a.e. positive. If the set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $|\vec{n}| \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$, then there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that $(\mathcal{M}w_j(s))_{j=1}^r = (\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{M}}(s))_{j=1}^r U$ for all $s \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Proposition 5.1 gives rise to a first order difference equation for $\mathcal{M}w_1$ of the form

$$\mathcal{M}w_1(s+1) = c \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} \mathcal{M}w_1(s), \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. We will now verify that Proposition 3.5 is applicable so that, up to a scalar multiplication, the above difference equation has a unique solution of the form $\mathcal{M}w_1$ with a.e. $w_1 > 0$. Note that $\Sigma = (s_0, \infty)$ for some $s_0 \in [0, 1)$ because of the assumption that $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$. Denote

$$g(s) = c \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}.$$

Since w_1 is positive a.e. and real-valued, $\mathcal{M}w_1 > 0$ on Σ and thus also $g > 0$ on Σ . Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n+1)/g(n) = 1$. The conditions of Proposition 3.5 are therefore satisfied. With Proposition 2.2 in mind, we can then guess that the unique solution is given by

$$\mathcal{M}w_1(s) = c_0 c^s \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + a_j)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(s + b_j)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

We can then proceed similarly as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 to describe the Mellin transform of the other weights. \square

The previous result proves one implication in Theorem 5.3. We will now show the other, i.e. that the extended sets of weights associated to weights with Mellin transforms $(\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{M}}(s))_{j=1}^r$ are necessarily of multiplicative derivative type. We will also describe the Mellin transform of the underlying derivative type function.

Proposition 5.4. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, be real-valued. If $\mathcal{M}w_j(s) = \hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{M}}(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma$, then the sets $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ are of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(s + b_i + n_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $n = |\vec{n}| \in \mathbb{N}$ via Proposition 5.1. For $n = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that the statement holds for all $m < n$ with $n = r\eta + j$. We then need to verify that $\mathcal{M}w_j(s + \eta)/\mathcal{M}w_1(s)$ is of the right form. By definition, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}w_j(s + \eta)}{\mathcal{M}w_1(s)} = c^\eta \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)_\eta^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)_\eta^{d_2(i)}} \frac{s^{j-1} (s + b_1)^{d_2(1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i + \eta)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Note that the factor $(s + b_1)^{d_2(1)}$ appears in both the numerator and denominator. The degree of the numerator and denominator is therefore at most $r\eta + j - 1 = n - 1$. Since $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$, at least one of them is equal to $n - 1$. The fact that $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ implies that $n_i = \eta + 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ and $n_i = \eta$ for $i \in \{j + 1, \dots, r\}$, hence we can write the denominator as $\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)_{n_i}^{d_2(i)} / (s + b_1)^{d_2(1)}$. In particular, a posteriori, we must have

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \frac{(s + b_1)^{d_2(1)} \mathcal{M}w_1(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)_{n_i}^{d_2(i)}},$$

leading to the described formula for $\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s)$. \square

The following is a remarkable side product of the previous results.

Proposition 5.5. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$, be real-valued and a.e. positive. The set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ if and only if it is for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $|\vec{n}| \in \{1, \dots, r + 1\}$.

5.2 Additive setting

There is a similar characterization of sets of additive derivative type as in multiplicative setting.

Proposition 5.6. Let $w_1, \dots, w_N \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued. The set $\{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ is of additive derivative type w.r.t. ω_n for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ if and only if there exists $p_{n-1}(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$, $d : \{1, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}w_n(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{n-1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

and $\max\{\deg p_{n-1}(s), \deg \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (s + b_i)^{d(i)}\} = n - 1$. In that case,

$$\mathcal{L}\omega_n(s) = \frac{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (s + b_j)^{d(j)}}.$$

Proof. We have to combine Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.5. \square

By considering sets of weights related to multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles, the above allows us to identify $\mathcal{L}w_1$. The compatibility conditions in Proposition 4.6 then allow us to describe the Laplace transform of all the weights in the system. In this setting, we don't have to impose any additional assumptions on the weights. The functions that will be relevant are described below.

Definition 5.7. Let $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^r$, $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $c, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. We will denote

$$\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{L}}(s; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, c, s_0; d_1, d_2) = \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt\right) \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C},$$

whenever it is defined.

Theorem 5.8. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued functions. If the collection $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of additive derivative type for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $|\vec{n}| \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$, then there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that $(\mathcal{L}w_j(s))_{j=1}^r = (\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{L}}(s))_{j=1}^r U$ for all $s \in \Sigma$.

Proof. We first note that by analyticity of $\mathcal{L}w_j$ on Σ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{k-1} w_j(x) e^{-sx} dx = (\mathcal{L}w_j)^{(k-1)}(s), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Proposition 5.6 then leads to a first order differential equation for $\mathcal{L}w_1$ of the form

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}w_1)'(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} = c \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_1, d_2 : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1 \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. Its solution is given by

$$\mathcal{L}w_1(s) = \mathcal{L}w_1(s_0) \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + a_i)^{\sigma_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + b_i)^{\sigma_2(i)}} dt\right), \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

where $s_0 \in \Sigma$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.6, there exists an invertible upper-triangular matrix U such that the weights in $(v_j)_{j=1}^r = (w_j)_{j=1}^r U$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}v_j(s) = \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt\right) \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

After the reparametrization $\vec{b} \mapsto (b_2, \dots, b_r, b_1)$ and adapting d_2 accordingly, we may write this as

$$\mathcal{L}v_j(s) = \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt\right) \frac{s^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=2}^j (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

It then remains to note that we can write

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + a_i^*)^{d_1^*(i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} - \frac{1}{(t + b_1)^{d_2(1)}},$$

where $a_i^* \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d_1^* : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $d_1^* \equiv 1$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$. In that case, the desired result follows after a suitable scaling. \square

This result proves one implication in Theorem 5.8. We will now show the other, i.e. that the extended sets of weights associated to weights with Laplace transforms $(\hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{L}}(s))_{j=1}^r$ are necessarily of additive derivative. We will also identify the Laplace transform of the underlying derivative type function.

Proposition 5.9. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued. If $\mathcal{L}w_j(s) = \hat{v}_j^{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ for all $s \in \Sigma$, then the set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of additive derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ where

$$\mathcal{L}\omega_{\vec{n}}(s) = \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(t + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(t + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt\right) \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)n_i}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Proof. We will show this by induction on $n = |\vec{n}| \in \mathbb{N}$ via Proposition 5.6. For $n \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, this follows from the definition. For $n = r + 1$, we take the logarithmic derivative of $\mathcal{L}w_1(s)$ to obtain

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}w_1)'(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} = c \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(s + a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}} - \frac{d_2(1)}{s + b_1}.$$

If $d_2(1) = 0$, we must have $d_1 \equiv 1$ and the above is of the right form. If $d_2(1) = 1$, we can write the above on a common denominator. The numerator becomes $\prod_{i=1}^r (s + a_i)^{d_1(i)} - \prod_{i=2}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}$ and its degree remains r if $d_1 \equiv 1$. Suppose that the statement holds for all $m < n$ with $n = r\eta + j$ and $\eta \geq 1$. Then in particular,

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}w_j)^{(\eta-1)}(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{\eta-1,j}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)(\eta-1+1_{2 \leq i \leq j})}},$$

where the maximum of the degrees of the numerator and denominator is $r(\eta - 1) + j - 1$. By taking the derivative, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\mathcal{L}w_j)^{(\eta)}(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)(\eta-1+1_{2 \leq i \leq j})}} \left(p'_{\eta-1,j}(s) - p_{\eta-1,j}(s) \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d_2(i)(\eta + 1_{2 \leq i \leq j})}{s + b_i} \right) \\ &\quad + p_{\eta-1,j}(s) \frac{(\mathcal{L}w_1)'(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}w_1)'(s)}{\mathcal{L}w_1(s)} = \frac{p_{1,1}(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)}},$$

with $\deg p_{1,1} = r$ or $d_2 \equiv 1$, the ratio $(\mathcal{L}w_j)^{(\eta)}(s)/\mathcal{L}w_1(s)$ is a rational function with denominator $\prod_{i=1}^r (s + b_i)^{d_2(i)(\eta+1_{2 \leq i \leq j})}$. The degree of the numerator is at most $r\eta + j - 1$. If the degree of the denominator is not $r\eta + j - 1$, we must have that $d_2(i_0) = 0$ for some i_0 . In that case, $\deg p_{\eta-1,j} = r(\eta - 1) + j - 1$ and $\deg p_{1,1} = r$. Since the first two terms between the brackets lead to polynomials of degree at most $r\eta + j - 2$, the degree of the numerator must be $\deg p_{\eta-1,j} + \deg p_{1,1} = r\eta + j - 1$. \square

Similarly as in the multiplicative setting, remarkably, for sets of weights related to multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles, the additive derivative type property is determined by only finitely many n .

Proposition 5.10. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L^1_{\mathcal{L},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued functions. The collection $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of additive derivative type for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ if and only if it is for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $|\vec{n}| \in \{1, \dots, r + 1\}$.

6 Examples

In this section, we will analyze the parameters on which the weights in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 depend. First, we will describe some constraints coming from the underlying space $L^1_{\mathcal{T},\Sigma}$. This will allow us to prove Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7, in which we completely characterize the orthogonal polynomial ensembles of multiplicative/additive derivative type. As discussed before, a complete characterization of the multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles of multiplicative/additive derivative type on the level of the parameters is more challenging to obtain. We will provide some sufficient (but not optimal) conditions that ensure that the systems in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 give rise to a *positive* multiple orthogonal polynomials ensemble, which is then necessarily of derivative type. We will do this by decomposing the underlying derivative type function into more elementary functions.

6.1 Multiplicative setting

The underlying space $L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}$ induces the following conditions on the parameters.

Proposition 6.1. In the setting of Theorem 2.1, we have

- i)* $\sum_{i=1}^r d_1(i)\text{Im}(a_i) = 0$ and $\text{Re}(a_i) > -1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,
- ii)* $d_1 \equiv 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^r (b_i - \text{Re}(a_i)) > -1$ if additionally $d_2 \equiv 1$.

Proof. We will start by showing that *i)* holds. The first part follows from the fact that $\mathcal{M}v_1$ is real-valued, hence non-real $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ must appear in conjugate pairs (consider, e.g., $\mathcal{M}v_1(2)/\mathcal{M}v_1(1)$). For the second part, we note that since Σ contains $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ it must be of the form $\Sigma = (s_0, \infty)$ for some $s_0 \in [0, 1)$. However, $\Gamma(s + a_i)$ needs to be defined for all $s \in \Sigma$, so we must have $\text{Re}(a_i) \geq -s_0 > -1$.

We will prove *ii)* by making use of Proposition 3.3. Since $v_1 \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, for every $c \in \Sigma$, we must have

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}v_1(c + it) = 0.$$

Denote $p = |d_1^{-1}(\{1\})|$ and $q = |d_2^{-1}(\{1\})|$. Stirling's asymptotic formula (see, e.g., [36, Eq. 5.11.7]) implies that

$$\mathcal{M}v_1(c + it) \asymp (it)^{(p-q)(c+it-\frac{1}{2})+\sum_{i=1}^r(d_1(i)a_i-d_2(i)b_i)-d_2(1)} e^{-(p-q)(c+it)}, \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here we used the notation $f(t) \asymp g(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to denote that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)/g(t) = C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$. If we write $t = |t|e^{i \arg t}$ and $i = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}$, the above becomes

$$|\mathcal{M}v_1(c + it)| \asymp |t|^{(p-q)(c-\frac{1}{2})+\sum_{i=1}^r(d_1(i)\operatorname{Re}(a_i)-d_2(i)b_i)-d_2(1)} e^{-(p-q)(c+t(\frac{\pi}{2}+\arg t))}, \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that here we used that $\sum_{i=1}^r d_1(i)\operatorname{Im}(a_i) = 0$, which holds by i). Assume that $p < q$, then the power of the exponential factor is strictly positive (either $\arg t \rightarrow 0$ or $\arg t \rightarrow \pi$) and thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{M}v_1(c + it)| = \infty$ ($\arg t \rightarrow 0$). Assume that $p = q (= r)$, then the power of the exponential factor is 0 and we must have $\sum_{i=1}^r (\operatorname{Re}(a_i) - b_i) - 1 < 0$. \square

A consequence of the above is that one of the classes of systems that appears in Theorem 2.1 consists of weights that have a sign change in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Corollary 6.2. A function $v_j : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a Mellin transform as in (1), with $d_1 \neq 1$, possesses a sign change in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. If v_j doesn't have a sign change, we have $\mathcal{M}|v_j| = \pm \mathcal{M}v_j$ and thus $v_j \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. In that case, we can apply Proposition 6.1 to get a contradiction. \square

By considering the simplest setting covered by Theorem 2.1, i.e. $r = 1$, we can now show that the JUE and LUE are essentially the only orthogonal polynomial ensembles of multiplicative derivative type. This is the content of Proposition 2.6.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. The fact that the JUE and LUE (and their linear transformations) are orthogonal polynomial ensembles of multiplicative derivative type was first observed in [11, Ex. 2.4] (but also follows from Theorem 2.1). By Theorem 2.1, the latter are characterized by a weight $v_1 \in L^1_{\mathcal{M},\Sigma}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ with a Mellin transform of the form

$$\mathcal{M}v_1(s) = c^s \frac{\Gamma(s+a)^{d_1}}{\Gamma(s+b)^{d_2}} \frac{1}{(s+b_2)^{d_2}}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ and $d_1, d_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ with $\max\{d_1, d_2\} = 1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $c = 1$. Otherwise, we can consider the linearly transformed weight $\tilde{v}_1(x) = v_1(cx)$ instead. By Proposition 6.1, we must have $a > -1$, $b - a > -1$ and $d_1 \geq d_2$. Suppose that $d_2 = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{M}v_1(s) = \Gamma(s+a), \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

Hence, by Example 3.2 and the Mellin inversion theorem, $v_1 = \mathcal{G}^a$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Suppose that $d_2 = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{M}v_1(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s+a)}{\Gamma(s+b+1)}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

Therefore, by Example 3.1 and the Mellin inversion theorem, $v_1 = \mathcal{B}^{a,b+1}$ on $(0, 1)$. \square

In what follows, we will use the weights associated to these elementary ensembles to construct functions ω_n with a Mellin transform of the form

$$\mathcal{M}\omega_n(s) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(s + a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(s + b_i + n_i)}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad (4)$$

Such functions appear in Theorem 2.1 when we restrict to $d_1 = 1_{\leq r}$ and $d_2 = 1_{\leq q}$. The general setting can be handled similarly, but is more technical to write down. We will then use the composition properties described in [11] to show that, for certain values of the parameters, ω_n gives rise to a *positive* polynomial ensemble of multiplicative derivative type. The case where the parameters are integers is essentially covered by the techniques from [20, Cor. 2.4] and [25, Thm. 2.1] as explained in [43, §5.1]. In [7, Thm. 2.19], special care was given to the setting with $d_1 \equiv d_2 \equiv 1$ and an earlier question of optimality of the conditions in this setting was answered. In [43, Prop. 2.3 & 3.3], a weaker set of conditions, not necessarily restricting to integer parameters, was given under which the associated system $(w_j)_{j=1}^r$ gives rise to an AT ensemble (see [22, §4.3]). The set of conditions presented below is even weaker, at the cost of the conclusion being slightly weaker.

Proposition 6.3. Let $a_j > -1$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and $b_j \geq a_j$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Then ω_n in (4) arises as the Mellin convolution of $\mathcal{B}^{a_j, b_j + n_j}$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, and \mathcal{G}^{a_j} , for $j \in \{q+1, \dots, r\}$. If additionally $b_j - a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup (n - n_j - 1, \infty)$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, then ω_n gives rise to an n -point multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble of multiplicative derivative type.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately by comparing the Mellin transforms. Due to [11, Cor. 2.6 (2), Thm. 2.9 (2)], it is sufficient to show that the convolution factors of ω_n are Pólya frequency functions of order n (in the multiplicative sense). Note first that, whenever $b - a - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup (n - 2, \infty)$, we have

$$\det[\mathcal{B}^{a,b}(x_i/y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^a y_i^{-b+1} \det[(y_j - x_i)_+^{b-a-1}]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0,$$

for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ with increasing entries. This is because then $\det[(y_j - x_i)_+^{b-a-1}]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0$, see [15, §3.2: Thm. 2.1] and subsequent remarks. Second, note that

$$\det[\mathcal{G}^a(x_i/y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i/y_i)^a \det[\exp(x_i/y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0,$$

for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ with increasing entries. This is because then $\det[\exp(x_i/y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0$, see [16, §1.3: Ex. 1]. The desired result follows from these two observations. \square

We end by noting that many but not all functions ω_n arise in the above way (compare the conditions with those in Proposition 6.1). As discussed in the introduction, it would be interesting to obtain a set of optimal conditions for which we have a *positive* ensemble.

6.2 Additive setting

The underlying space $L_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}^1$ leads to the following constraints on the parameters.

Proposition 6.4. In the setting of Theorem 2.4, we have $\sum_{i=1}^r d_1(i)\text{Im}(a_i) = 0$ and $-d_2(i)b_i \notin \Sigma$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Denote $d = |d_1^{-1}(\{1\})| - |d_2^{-1}(\{1\})|$ and write

$$\exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(t+a_i)^{d_1(i)}}{(t+b_i)^{d_2(i)}} dt\right) = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k s^{k+1} + O(s)\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (s+b_i)^{d_2(i)\beta_i}}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $\alpha_k, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

- i) $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} \alpha_d < 0$ if $d \geq 1$ and $d \equiv_2 1$,
- ii) $(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} (s(d+1)\alpha_d + \alpha_{d-1}) < 0$ for all $s \in \Sigma$ if $d \geq 1$ and $d \equiv_2 0$,
- iii) $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i > -1$ if $d \leq 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}v_1$ is real-valued, non-real $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ must appear in conjugate pairs (consider, e.g., $(\mathcal{L}v_1)'(s_0)$), hence after a partial fraction decomposition all $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$. The other conditions will follow from Proposition 3.8, i.e.

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}v_1(s+it) = 0,$$

for every $s \in \Sigma$. Suppose that $d \geq 1$, then the asymptotics of $|\mathcal{L}v_1(s+it)|$ are determined by the exponential part. The stated conditions then follow from the fact that

$$\text{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k (s+it)^{k+1}\right) = \begin{cases} (s(d+1)\alpha_d + \alpha_{d-1})t^d(1+o(1)), & d \equiv_4 0, \\ -\alpha_d t^{d+1}(1+o(1)), & d \equiv_4 1, \\ -(s(d+1)\alpha_d + \alpha_{d-1})t^d(1+o(1)), & d \equiv_4 2, \\ \alpha_d t^{d+1}(1+o(1)), & d \equiv_4 3. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $d \leq 0$, then the asymptotics of $|\mathcal{L}v_1(s+it)|$ are determined by the rational part. Since $d \leq 0$, we must have $d_2 \equiv_2 1$, and hence $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i + 1 > 0$. \square

By considering the simplest setting covered by Theorem 2.4, i.e. $r = 1$, we can show that the LUE and GUE are essentially the only orthogonal polynomial ensembles of additive derivative type. This is the content of Proposition 2.7.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. The fact that the LUE and GUE are orthogonal polynomial ensembles of additive derivative type was already observed in [11, Ex. 2.4] (but also follows from Theorem 2.1). By Theorem 2.4, the latter are characterized by a weight $v_1 \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ with a Laplace transform of the form

$$\mathcal{L}v_1(s) = \exp\left(c \int_{s_0}^s \frac{(t+a)^{d_1}}{(t+b)^{d_2}} dt\right) \frac{1}{(s+b)^{d_2}}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $s_0 \in \Sigma$ and $d_1, d_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ with $\max\{d_1, d_2\} = 1$. Suppose that $d_2 = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{L}v_1(s) = \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 s + \alpha_2 s^2), \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_2 > 0$ according to Proposition 6.4. We can then assume that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1/4$, otherwise we can apply an appropriate scaling and affine

transformation to v_1 . In that case, $\mathcal{L}v_1(s) = \exp(s^2/4)$ for $s \in \Sigma$ and thus $v_1 = \mathcal{G}/\sqrt{\pi}$ on \mathbb{R} by Example 3.7 and the Laplace inversion theorem. Suppose that $d_2 = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{L}v_1(s) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 s)}{|s + b|^{\beta+1}}, \quad s \in \Sigma,$$

with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $-b \notin \Sigma$ and $\beta > -1$ according to Proposition 6.4. We can then assume that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$ and $b = 1$, otherwise we can apply an appropriate scaling and affine transformation to v_1 . In that case, $\mathcal{L}v_1(s) = 1/(s+1)^{\beta+1}$ for $s \in \Sigma$ (as $s > 0$) and thus $v_1 = \mathcal{G}^\beta/\Gamma(\beta+1)$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by Example 3.6 and the Laplace inversion theorem. \square

Remarkably, the class of functions ω_n described by Theorem 2.4 is much richer than in the multiplicative setting: most of the functions ω_n do not arise as Laplace convolutions of the weights associated to the elementary ensembles. In order to have this property, we would also need to consider the following generalizations of these weights.

Definition 6.5.

i) Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We define

$$\text{Ai}_d(x) = \int_{1+i\mathbb{R}} \exp((-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \frac{s^{d+2}}{d+2} + sx) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

ii) Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a > -1$. We define

$$\text{Be}_{d,a}^c(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^k x^{dk+a}}{k! \Gamma(dk+a+1)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

The functions in *i)* generalize the Gaussian \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{R} as $\text{Ai}_0(\sqrt{2}x) = e^{-x^2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$. They also generalize the Airy function in a negative argument: $\text{Ai}_1(x) = -\text{Ai}(-x)$. The functions $x \mapsto -\text{Ai}_{2n+1}(-x)$ appear in [13], where they are called higher-order Airy functions.

The functions in *ii)* generalize the gamma density \mathcal{G}^α on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as $\text{Be}_{0,a}^c = \mathcal{G}^a e^c/\Gamma(d+1)$. They also generalize variants of the I -Bessel function: $\text{Be}_{1,a}^c(x) = e^{-x}(x/c)^{a/2} I_a(2\sqrt{cx})$. Similar functions $x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k/[k! \Gamma(dk+a)]$ appear in [36, Eq. 10.46.1], where they are called generalized Bessel functions (or Wright functions).

The Laplace transforms of the functions in Definition 6.5 are indeed of the desired form (also compare to the conditions in Proposition 6.4).

Proposition 6.6. The functions Ai_d and $\text{Be}_{d,a}^c$ are well-defined, in $L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}_{>0}}(\mathbb{R})$ and their Laplace transforms are given by

$$*i)* \quad \mathcal{L}\text{Ai}_d(s) = \exp((-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} s^{d+2}/(d+2)) \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) > 0,$$

$$*ii)* \quad \mathcal{L}\text{Be}_{d,a}^c(s) = \frac{\exp(c/(s+1)^n)}{(s+1)^{a+1}} \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) > 0.$$

Proof. We can use a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.4 to show that the function $\hat{f} : \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(s) > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : s \mapsto \exp((-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} s^{d+2}/(d+2))$ is in $L^1(s_0 + i\mathbb{R})$ for all $s_0 > 0$. Hence,

$$|\text{Ai}_d(x)| \leq e^x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \exp((-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(1+is)^{d+2}}{d+2}) \right| \frac{ds}{2\pi} < \infty,$$

and Ai_d is well-defined. Since additionally, \hat{f} is analytic and $\hat{f}(s_0 + it) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, the Laplace inversion theorem implies that $\text{Ai}_d \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}_{>0}}(\mathbb{R})$ with the stated Laplace transform, see [6, Thm. 7 d)].

On the other hand, as $\Gamma(dk + a + 1) \geq \Gamma(a + 1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$|\text{Be}_{d,a}^c(x)| \leq \frac{x^a e^{c|x^d-x|}}{\Gamma(d+1)} < \infty,$$

and $\text{Be}_{d,a}^c$ is well-defined. A straightforward application of the Fubini–Tonelli theorem, then shows that $\text{Be}_{d,a}^c \in L^1_{\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}_{>0}}(\mathbb{R})$ with the described Laplace transform. \square

In what follows, we will systematically describe conditions on the parameters under which the function ω_n in Theorem 2.4 gives rise to a *positive* n -point ensemble of additive derivative type. Such an ensemble is then also a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble. The first result shows that a large class of functions, which essentially arise as a Laplace convolution of affine transformations of the functions in Definition 6.5 *i*) don't give rise to a positive ensemble.

Proposition 6.7. In the setting of Theorem 2.4, if $r > 1$ and $d_2 \equiv 0$, then ω_n doesn't give rise to an n -point polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type for all $n \geq r + 1$.

Proof. We first note that with $d_2 \equiv 0$, $\omega_n = \omega$ is independent of n . In [37], a characterization was given for all Pólya frequency functions of all orders (in the additive sense) based on their Laplace transform. Since $r > 1$, ω doesn't have the appropriate Laplace transform and there must exist an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ω isn't Pólya frequency function of order N . In that case, it follows from [11, Cor. 2.6 (1), Thm. 2.9 (1)] that ω doesn't give rise to an N -point polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type. By Theorem 2.4, the associated weights are of the form $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, N_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$, for some $\vec{N} \in \mathcal{S}^r$, and hence, by Proposition 5.10, there exists $\tilde{N} \in \{1, \dots, r + 1\}$ with the same property. \square

Since many examples of the functions in Definition 6.5 *i*) are known to oscillate on part of the real line, it seems that actually the above already fails to hold at order 1. Moreover, it seems that many of the functions ω_n in Theorem 2.4 with $d = |d_1^{-1}(\{1\})| - |d_2^{-1}(\{1\})| \geq 1$, which essentially arise as a Laplace convolution of the functions in Definition 6.5 *i*) and *ii*), will oscillate as well and therefore not give rise to a *positive* ensemble. Evidence of this may be provided by describing the asymptotics of such a function through a steepest descent analysis of its inverse Laplace transform. Proving this rigorously falls outside the scope of this paper as it is rather technical to describe conditions on the parameters under which such a steepest descent analysis actually goes through. However, such a result would improve the above because it would show that the stated property already fails to hold at order 1, and for a larger class of weights.

After an appropriate affine transformation, the remaining class of functions described by Theorem 2.1 have a Laplace transform of the form

$$\mathcal{L}\omega_n(s) = \frac{\exp\left(\alpha_1 s^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{q_k} \frac{\beta_{k,i}}{(s+b_i)^k}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q_0} (s+b_i)^{\beta_{0,i} + \sum_{j=1, b_j=b_i}^q n_j}}, \quad s \in \Sigma, \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha_1, \beta_{k,i}, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that we restricted to $d_1 = 1_{\leq p}$, $d_2 = 1_{\leq q}$ with $p \leq q$ and we assumed that $(b_1, \dots, b_q) = \wedge_{k=0}^m (b_1, \dots, b_{q_k})$ with $q_0 \geq \dots \geq q_m$ and pairwise distinct b_1, \dots, b_{q_0} . Such assumptions are not necessary, as our ideas also apply in the general setting, but notation-wise it is much more convenient.

We will show that whenever $m = 1$, under some additional conditions on the parameters, functions of this kind give rise to an n -point polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type.

Proposition 6.8. Consider the function ω_n in (5) with $m = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, $\beta_{1,i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ and $\beta_{0,i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup (n - n_i - n_{q_1+i} 1_{i \leq q_1} - 1, \infty)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, q_0\}$, then ω_n gives rise to n -point multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type.

Proof. Note that with $m = 1$, the Laplace transform of ω_n takes the following form

$$\mathcal{L}\omega_n(s) = \frac{\exp\left(\alpha_1 s^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{s+b_i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q_0} (s+b_i)^{\beta_{0,i}+n_i+n_{q_1+i} 1_{i \leq q_1}}}, \quad s \in \Sigma.$$

Due to [11, Cor. 2.6 (1), Thm. 2.9 (1)], it is sufficient to show that ω_n arises as the Laplace convolution of Pólya frequency functions of order n (in the additive sense). By comparing individual Laplace transforms, it is straightforward to see that, ω_n arises as the Laplace convolution of \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{G}^{\beta_{0,i}+n_i-1}$, for $i \in \{q_1+1, \dots, q_0\}$ and $\text{Be}_{1, \beta_{0,i}+n_i+n_{q_1+i}-1}^1$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, q_1\}$, after an appropriate scaling and linear transformation of each convolution factor. It follows from the characterization in [37] that \mathcal{G} is a Pólya frequency function of all orders (in the additive sense) and thus

$$\det[\mathcal{G}(x_i - y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0,$$

for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with increasing components. It then remains to consider the functions $\text{Be}_{d,a}^1$ for $d \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that, whenever $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup (n-2, \infty)$, we have

$$\det[\text{Be}_{d,a}^1(x_i - y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0,$$

for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with increasing components. This is because, it was shown in [15, §3.2: Thm. 2.1], that functions of the form

$$f_a(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{x^{k+a}}{\Gamma(k+a+1)}, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

are Pólya frequency functions of orders $n \geq a-2$ (in the additive sense), whenever $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $0 < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k < \infty$ and $\det[c_{i-j}]_{i,j=1}^n \geq 0$ ($c_k := 0$ for $k < 0$). In a subsequent remark, it was further noted that these conditions hold for $c_k = \delta_{k,0}$ and $c_k = 1/k!$ and that one can extend to $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ using [15, Lem. 2.3]. \square

Unfortunately, it doesn't seem possible to extend the above proof to $m > 1$, because it seems that [15, Thm. 2.1] isn't applicable to the higher-order variants of $\text{Be}_{d,a}^1$ for $d \geq 2$.

Compared to the conditions obtained in Proposition 6.4, the results in this section are not optimal. As mentioned in the introduction, it would be interesting to have an optimal set of conditions for which we have a positive ensemble.

7 Finite free probability

The goal of this section is two-fold. First, we will provide a partial answer to an open problem posed at the Hypergeometric and Orthogonal Polynomials Event in Nijmegen (May, 2024), see [32], related to orthogonality of the finite free multiplicative and additive convolution from free probability. We will do this by interpreting the problem in terms of random matrices and by making use of the notion of sets of weights (or polynomial ensembles) of multiplicative and additive derivative type. Second, we will explain how in a more restricted setting the associated multiple orthogonal polynomials come into play. We will show that such polynomials (de)compose naturally under the finite free multiplicative and additive convolution.

7.1 Multiplicative setting

We first recall the notion of finite free multiplicative convolution, see [31, Def. 1.4].

Definition 7.1. The finite free multiplicative convolution of two polynomials p and q of degree at most n of the form $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p[k]x^k$ and $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n q[k]x^k$ is defined as

$$(p \boxtimes_n q)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p[k]q[k]}{(-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}} x^k.$$

The first part of the open problem involves orthogonality of the finite free multiplicative convolution of two polynomials that satisfy given orthogonality relations. More precisely, one considers polynomials p_n^1 and p_n^2 of degree n that satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty p_n^j(x) q_k^j(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\},$$

for some functions $(q_k^1)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ and $(q_k^2)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ and then asks whether their finite free multiplicative convolution also satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty (p_n^1 \boxtimes_n p_n^2)(x) q_k(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

for some explicit functions $(q_k)_{k=0}^{n-1}$.

We will interpret this problem in terms of products of random matrices using the result below, which is essentially a reformulation of [31, Thm. 1.5] in terms of random matrices instead of deterministic ones. Note that it is essentially the finite version of the free convolution law for products of random matrices, i.e. the fact that, if μ_j is the limiting eigenvalue distribution of X_j , the eigenvalue distribution of $X_1 X_2$ tends to the free multiplicative convolution $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever one of the underlying matrix ensembles is invariant under unitary conjugation.

Proposition 7.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ normal random matrices and assume that one of the matrix ensembles is invariant under unitary conjugation. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1 X_2)] = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1)] \boxtimes_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_2)].$$

Consequently, also

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1 X_2)(X_1 X_2)^*)] = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1 X_1^*)] \boxtimes_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_2 X_2^*)].$$

Proof. Since X_1 and X_2 are independent random matrices, the expectation on the left hand side is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1X_2)] = \int \int \det(xI_n - X_1X_2) d\nu_1(X_1) d\nu_2(X_2).$$

It was shown in [31, Thm. 1.5] that for normal matrices X_1 and X_2 , one has

$$\int_{Q \in U(n)} \det(xI_n - X_1QX_2Q^*) d\nu_{\text{Haar}}(Q) = \det(xI_n - X_1) \boxtimes_n \det(xI_n - X_2).$$

Since at least one of the underlying matrix ensembles is invariant under unitary conjugation, we thus obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1X_2)] = \int \int \det(xI_n - X_1) \boxtimes_n \det(xI_n - X_2) d\nu_1(X_1) d\nu_2(X_2).$$

Now we can use bilinearity of the finite free multiplicative convolution to obtain the desired result. For the second claim, we can assume without loss of generality that the ensemble associated to X_1 is invariant under unitary conjugation, otherwise we can swap the order of X_1 and X_2 via the identity

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1X_2)(X_1X_2)^*)] = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1X_2)^*(X_1X_2))].$$

In that case, we can use the first result to write the expectation on the left as

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1)] \boxtimes_n (\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_2X_2^*)] \boxtimes_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1^*)]).$$

After using the fact that the finite free multiplicative convolution is associative and commutative, this can be written as

$$(\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1)] \boxtimes_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1^*)]) \boxtimes_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_2X_2^*)],$$

We can then apply the first result again. □

It turns out that for certain random matrices, similar decompositions also occur on a deeper level. This is straightforward to see from the results in [18, 19] after making the proper identifications.

Given a random matrix X , we will use the notation $\text{SSV}(X) \sim \text{PE}$, resp. $\text{SSV}(X) \sim \text{PE}_{\text{MDT}}$, to denote that the squared singular values of X follow a polynomial ensemble, resp. polynomial ensemble of multiplicative derivative type.

Proposition 7.3. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ random matrices with $\text{SSV}(X_1) \sim \text{PE}$ and $\text{SSV}(X_2) \sim \text{PE}_{\text{MDT}}(\omega_n)$. Denote the biorthogonal system associated to X_j by $(p_k^{X_j}, q_k^{X_j})_{j=0}^{n-1}$. Then, the functions in the biorthogonal system associated to $X = X_1X_2$ are given by

$$p_j^X = p_j^{X_1} \boxtimes_j p_j^{X_2}, \quad q_j^X = q_j^{X_1} *_{\mathcal{M}} \omega_n, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Proof. Denote $p_j^{X_1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j p_j^{X_1}[k] x^k$. It was shown in [19, Cor. 3.7] that

$$p_j^X(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j p_j^{X_1}[k] \frac{(\mathcal{M}\omega_n)(j+1)}{(\mathcal{M}\omega_n)(k+1)} x^k, \quad q_j^{X_2} = q_j^{X_1} *_{\mathcal{M}} \omega_n.$$

On the other hand, according to [18, Lem. 4.2], one has

$$p_j^{X_2}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-k} \binom{j}{k} \frac{(\mathcal{M}\omega_n)(j+1)}{(\mathcal{M}\omega_n)(k+1)} x^k.$$

It then remains to use the definition of \boxtimes_j . □

We will now provide a partial answer to the previously mentioned open problem. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ random matrices for which

$$p_n^j(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_j X_j^*)], \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

In order to encode the orthogonality conditions through the random matrices, it is natural to assume that $\text{SSV}(X_1) \sim \text{PE}(q_1^1, \dots, q_{n-1}^1)$ and $\text{SSV}(X_2) \sim \text{PE}(q_1^2, \dots, q_{n-1}^2)$. Indeed, in that case, we automatically have

$$\int_0^\infty p_n^j(x) q_k^j(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

With this set-up, Proposition 7.2 allows us to describe the finite free multiplicative convolution of p_n^1 and p_n^2 explicitly:

$$(p_n^1 \boxtimes_n p_n^2)(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1 X_2)(X_1 X_2)^*)].$$

Again, if we would like $p_n^1 \boxtimes_n p_n^2$ to satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty (p_n^1 \boxtimes_n p_n^2)(x) q_k(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

it is natural to demand that $\text{SSV}(X_1 X_2) \sim \text{PE}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$. The problem is then to determine when exactly this occurs. This is exactly the problem that drove the research in random matrix theory surrounding products of random matrices that ultimately led to the notion of multiplicative derivative type. We now know that whenever one of the initial polynomial ensembles is of multiplicative derivative, the squared singular values of the product also follow a polynomial ensemble. The associated functions are known explicitly, see [19, Cor. 3.7] (or Proposition 7.3 above): if $\{q_0^2, \dots, q_{n-1}^2\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. ω_n , then $q_k = q_k^1 *_{\mathcal{M}} \omega_n$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

In the more narrow setting of the problem in which the polynomials p_n^j are assumed to be multiple orthogonal polynomials, one of the initial polynomial ensembles ends up being a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble of multiplicative derivative type. These are exactly the objects that we characterized in Corollary 2.3. Following the proof of [18, Lem. 4.2], we can determine the associated multiple orthogonal polynomials explicitly.

Proposition 7.4. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L_{\mathcal{M}, \Sigma}^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$ be real-valued. If the set $\{x^{k-1} w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of multiplicative derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$, then the type II polynomials w.r.t. \vec{w} on \mathcal{S}^r are given by

$$P_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\vec{n}|} (-1)^{|\vec{n}|-k} \binom{|\vec{n}|}{k} \frac{(\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}})(|\vec{n}|+1)}{(\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}})(k+1)} x^k, \quad \vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r, \quad (6)$$

with $\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}$ as in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Note that $P_{\vec{n}}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $|\vec{n}|$. We have to show that it satisfies the orthogonality conditions

$$\int_0^\infty P_{\vec{n}}(x)x^k w_j(x)dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n_j - 1\}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

By definition of multiplicative derivative type, this is equivalent to showing that

$$\int_0^\infty P_{\vec{n}}(x)(D^k \omega_{\vec{n}})(x)dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\},$$

where $(Df)(x) = -xf'(x)$ and $n = |\vec{n}|$. To do so, we will write

$$P_{|\vec{n}|}(x) = (-1)^n n! \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(n+1)}{\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(t+1)} \frac{x^t}{(-t)_{n+1}} \frac{dt}{2\pi i},$$

in terms of a counterclockwise contour \mathcal{C} in $\Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$ enclosing $[0, n]$ once. We can do this because $\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(t) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(t) \in \Sigma$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$. After interchanging the integrals, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty P_n(x)(D^k \omega_{\vec{n}})(x)dx = (-1)^n n! \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(n+1)}{\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(t+1)} \frac{(\mathcal{M}D^k \omega_{\vec{n}})(t+1)}{(-t)_{n+1}} \frac{dt}{2\pi i}.$$

By Proposition 3.4, we have $(\mathcal{M}D^k \omega_{\vec{n}})(t) = t^k \mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}}(t)$ for $t \in \Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$ and thus

$$\int_0^\infty P_{\vec{n}}(x)(D^k \omega_{\vec{n}})(x)dx = (-1)^n n! (\mathcal{M}\omega_{\vec{n}})(n+1) \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{(t+1)^k}{(-t)_{n+1}} \frac{dt}{2\pi i}.$$

Since $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, the integrand is $O(t^{-2})$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, and thus by blowing up the contour, we can show that the integral vanishes. \square

Observe that the polynomials in (6) have some nice (de)composition properties in terms of the finite free multiplicative convolution: if we denote them by $P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}})$, then we have $P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}) = P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}^1) \boxtimes_{|\vec{n}|} P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}^2)$ whenever $\omega_{\vec{n}} = \omega_{\vec{n}}^1 *_{\mathcal{M}} \omega_{\vec{n}}^2$. Proposition 7.4 then allows us to describe settings in which we are dealing with sequences of multiple orthogonal polynomials. Examples of systems of weights to which Proposition 7.4 is applicable are described in Section 6.

7.2 Additive setting

We start by recalling the notion of finite free additive convolution, see [31, Def. 1.1].

Definition 7.5. The finite free additive convolution of two polynomials p and q of degree at most n is defined as

$$(p \boxplus_n q)(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n p^{(k)}(x) q^{(n-k)}(0).$$

The second part of the open problem involves orthogonality of the finite free additive convolution of two polynomials that satisfy given orthogonality relations. More precisely, one consider polynomials p_n^1 and p_n^2 of degree n that satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty p_n^j(x) q_k^j(x) dx = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

for some functions $(q_k^1)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ and $(q_k^2)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ and then asks whether their finite free additive convolution also satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p_n^1 \boxplus_n p_n^2)(x) q_k(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

for some explicit functions $(q_k)_{k=0}^{n-1}$.

In this setting, we will interpret the problem in terms of sum of random matrices instead of products. To this end, we will make use of the result below, which is essentially a reformulation of [31, Thm. 1.2] in terms of random matrices instead of deterministic ones. Observe that it is essentially the finite version of the free convolution law for sums of random matrices, i.e. the fact that, if μ_j is the limiting eigenvalue distribution of X_j , the eigenvalue distribution of $X_1 + X_2$ tends to the free additive convolution $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever one of the underlying matrix ensembles is invariant under unitary conjugation.

Proposition 7.6. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ normal random matrices and assume that one of the matrix ensembles is invariant under unitary conjugation. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1 + X_2))] = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_1)] \boxplus_n \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_2)].$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is the same as in the multiplicative setting (Proposition 7.2). The only difference is that we have to use the fact that

$$\int_{Q \in U(n)} \det(xI_n - (X_1 + QX_2Q^*)) d\nu_{\text{Haar}}(Q) = \det(xI_n - X_1) \boxplus_n \det(xI_n - X_2),$$

for normal $n \times n$ matrices X_1 and X_2 , see [31, Thm. 1.2]. □

Again, for certain random matrices, similar decompositions occur on a deeper level as well. This essentially follows from the results in [17] after making the proper identifications (and using the Laplace transform instead of the Fourier transform).

Given a random matrix X , we will use the notation $\text{EV}(X) \sim \text{PE}$, resp. $\text{EV}(X) \sim \text{PE}_{\text{ADT}}$, to denote that the eigenvalues of X follow a polynomial ensemble, resp. polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type.

Proposition 7.7. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ random matrices with $\text{EV}(X_1) \sim \text{PE}$ and $\text{EV}(X_2) \sim \text{PE}_{\text{ADT}}(\omega_n)$. Denote the biorthogonal system associated to X_j by $\{(p_k^{X_j}, q_k^{X_j})\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$. Then, the functions in the biorthogonal system associated to $X = X_1 + X_2$ are given by

$$p_j^X = p_j^{X_1} \boxplus_j p_j^{X_2}, \quad q_j^X = q_j^{X_1} *_{\mathcal{L}} \omega_n, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Proof. According to [17, Thm. III.8], one has

$$p_j^X(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-r} \left(r - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n-1} \left[\frac{p_j^{X_1}(x)}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)} \right]_{t=0} dr, \quad q_j^X = q_j^{X_1} *_{\mathcal{L}} \omega_n.$$

On the other hand, it was shown in [17, Thm. III.1] that

$$p_j^{X_2}(x) = \frac{1}{j!} \left(x - \frac{d}{dt} \right)^j \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)} \right]_{t=0}.$$

After expanding the $(n - 1)$ -th power in the integral representation for $p_j^X(x)$, we obtain

$$p_j^X(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!(n-1-k)!} \left(-\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k \left[\frac{p_j^{X_1}(x)}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)} \right]_{t=0} \int_0^\infty e^{-r} r^{n-1-k} dr,$$

and thus

$$p_j^X(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n} \right)^{(k)} (0) (p_j^{X_1})^{(k)}(x).$$

Now use the fact that

$$p_j^{X_2}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(j-k)!} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n} \right)^{(k)} (0) x^{j-k},$$

and the definition of \boxplus_j . □

Following a similar strategy as in the multiplicative setting, we will now provide a partial answer to the previously mentioned open problem. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent $n \times n$ random matrices for which

$$p_n^j(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - X_j)], \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

It is natural to assume that $\text{EV}(X_1) \sim \text{PE}(q_1^1, \dots, q_{n-1}^1)$ and $\text{EV}(X_2) \sim \text{PE}(q_1^2, \dots, q_{n-1}^2)$, because then we automatically have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n^j(x) q_k^j(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

By Proposition 7.6, the finite free additive convolution of p_n^1 and p_n^2 is given by

$$(p_n^1 \boxplus_n p_n^2)(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - (X_1 + X_2))].$$

Again, if we would like $p_n^1 \boxplus_n p_n^2$ to satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p_n^1 \boxplus_n p_n^2)(x) q_k(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

it is natural to demand that $\text{EV}(X_1 + X_2) \sim \text{PE}(q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$. The problem is then to determine when exactly this occurs, which is exactly the problem that drove the research in random matrix theory surrounding sums of random matrices that ultimately led to the notion of additive derivative type. We now know that whenever one of the initial polynomial ensembles is of additive derivative, the eigenvalues of the sum also follow a polynomial ensemble. The associated functions are known explicitly, see [17, Thm. III.8] (or Proposition 7.7 above): if $\{q_0^2, \dots, q_{n-1}^2\}$ is of additive derivative type w.r.t. ω_n , then $q_k = q_k^1 *_{\mathcal{L}} \omega_n$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

Whenever the polynomials p_n^j in the open problem are assumed to be multiple orthogonal polynomials, one of the initial polynomial ensembles ends up being a multiple orthogonal polynomial ensemble of additive derivative type. These are exactly the objects that we characterized in Corollary 2.5. In the following result, we will use some ideas in the proof of [17, Thm. III.1] to determine the associated multiple orthogonal polynomials explicitly. Note that the assumption that all $\mathcal{L}w_j$ are analytic at the origin is the analogue of the condition $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \Sigma$ in the multiplicative setting (Proposition 7.4) as it ensures that all the moments exist.

Proposition 7.8. Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in L_{\mathcal{L}, \Sigma}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be real-valued with all $\mathcal{L}w_j$ analytic at the origin. If the set $\{x^{k-1}w_j(x) \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ is of additive derivative type w.r.t. $\omega_{\vec{n}}$ for all $\vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r$, then the type II polynomials w.r.t. \vec{w} on \mathcal{S}^r are given by

$$P_{\vec{n}}(x) = \mathcal{L}\omega_{\vec{n}}(0) \sum_{k=0}^{|\vec{n}|} (-1)^{|\vec{n}|-k} \binom{|\vec{n}|}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\omega_{\vec{n}}} \right)^{(|\vec{n}|-k)} (0) x^k, \quad \vec{n} \in \mathcal{S}^r, \quad (7)$$

with $\mathcal{L}\omega_{\vec{n}}$ as in Theorem 2.4.

Proof. Note that $P_{\vec{n}}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $|\vec{n}|$. We have to show that it satisfies the orthogonality conditions

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{\vec{n}}(x) x^k w_j(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n_j - 1\}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, r\},$$

By definition of additive derivative type, this is equivalent to showing that

$$\int_0^{\infty} P_{\vec{n}}(x) \omega_{\vec{n}}^{(k)}(x) dx = 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\},$$

where $n = |\vec{n}|$. To do so, we will write

$$P_{\vec{n}}(x) = (-1)^n n! \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(0) e^{-tx}}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1} 2\pi i},$$

in terms of a counterclockwise contour \mathcal{C} enclosing 0 once. We can do this because $\mathcal{L}\omega_n$ is analytic at the origin as $\mathcal{L}\omega_n \in \text{span}\{(\mathcal{L}w_j)^{(k-1)} \mid k = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$. After interchanging the integrals, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x) \omega_n^{(k)}(x) dx = (-1)^n n! \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(0) (\mathcal{L}\omega_n^{(k)})(t)}{\mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1} 2\pi i}.$$

By Proposition 3.9, we have $(\mathcal{L}\omega_n^{(k)})(t) = t^k \mathcal{L}\omega_n(t)$ for $t \in \Sigma + i\mathbb{R}$ and thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x) \omega_n^{(k)}(x) dx = (-1)^n n! \mathcal{L}\omega_n(0) \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{t^{n-k+1} 2\pi i} dt.$$

The integral therefore vanishes for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$. \square

Note that the polynomials in (7) have some nice (de)composition properties in terms of the finite free additive convolution: if we denote them by $P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}})$, then we have $P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}) = P_n(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}^1) \boxplus_{|\vec{n}|} P_{\vec{n}}(x; \omega_{\vec{n}}^2)$ whenever $\omega_{\vec{n}} = \omega_{\vec{n}}^1 *_{\mathcal{L}} \omega_{\vec{n}}^2$. By making use of Proposition 7.8, we can then describe settings in which we are dealing with sequences of multiple orthogonal polynomials. Examples of systems of weights to which Proposition 7.8 is applicable are described in Section 6.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Andrei Martínez-Finkelshtein for introducing me to the notion of finite free convolution, for explaining its connection to certain families of multiple orthogonal polynomials and for the many helpful discussions related to the open problem discussed in Section 7. I'm also grateful to Mario Kieburg for providing some insight on the characterizations presented here. Finally, I acknowledge PhD project 3E210613 funded by BOF KU Leuven.

References

- [1] G. Akemann, Z. Burda, *Universal microscopic correlation functions for products of independent Ginibre matrices*, J. Phys. A **45** (2012), no. 46, 465201, 18 pp.
- [2] G. Akemann, J.R. Ipsen, M. Kieburg, *Products of rectangular random matrices: singular values and progressive scattering*, Phys. Rev. E **88** (2013), 052118.
- [3] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, L. Wei, *Singular value correlation functions for products of Wishart random matrices*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46** (2013), 275205.
- [4] A.I. Aptekarev, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Hermite-Padé approximations and ensembles of multiple orthogonal polynomials*, (Russian); translated from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **66** (2011), no. 6(402), 123–190 Russian Math. Surveys **66** (2011), no. 6, 1133–1199
- [5] A. Borodin, *Biorthogonal ensembles*, Nuclear Phys. B **536** (1999), no. 3, 704–732.
- [6] P.L. Butzer, S. Jansche, *A direct approach to the Mellin transform*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3** (1997), no. 4, 325–376.
- [7] T. Claeys, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, D. Wang, *Correlation kernels for sums and products of random matrices*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **4** (2015), no. 4, 1550017, 31 pp.
- [8] E. Coussement, W. Van Assche, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with the modified Bessel functions of the first kind*, Constr. Approx. **19** (2003), no. 2, 237–263.
- [9] E. Daems, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *A Christoffel-Darboux formula for multiple orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **130** (2004), 188–200.
- [10] P.E. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [11] Y-P Förster, M. Kieburg, H. Kösters, *Polynomial ensembles and Pólya frequency functions*, J. Theoret. Probab. **34** (2021), no. 4, 1917–1950.
- [12] J. Ginibre, *Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices*, J. Math. Phys. **6** (1965), 440–449.
- [13] L. Huang, L. Zhang, *Higher order Airy and Painlevé asymptotics for the m KdV hierarchy*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **54** (2022), no. 5, 5291–5334.
- [14] K. Johansson, *Random matrices and determinantal processes*, Mathematical statistical physics, 1–55, Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [15] S. Karlin, *Total positivity*, Vol. I, Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA, 1968. xii+576 pp.
- [16] S. Karlin, W.J. Studden, *Tchebycheff systems: With applications in analysis and statistics*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XV, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1966. xviii+586 pp.

- [17] M. Kieburg, *Additive matrix convolutions of Pólya ensembles and polynomial ensembles*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **9** (2020), no. 4, 2150002, 42 pp.
- [18] M. Kieburg, H. Kösters, *Exact relation between singular value and eigenvalue statistics*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **5** (2016), no. 4, 1650015, 57 pp.
- [19] M. Kieburg, H. Kösters, *Products of random matrices from polynomial ensembles*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **55** (2019), no. 1, 98–126.
- [20] M. Kieburg, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, D. Stivigny, *Singular value statistics of matrix products with truncated unitary matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **11** (2016), 3392–3424.
- [21] M. Kieburg, S-H. Li, J. Zhang, P.J. Forrester, *Cyclic Pólya ensembles on the unitary matrices and their spectral statistics*, Constr. Approx. **57** (2023), no. 3, 1063–1108.
- [22] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Multiple orthogonal polynomial ensembles*, Recent trends in orthogonal polynomials and approximation theory, 155–176, Contemp. Math., 507, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [23] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Transformations of polynomial ensembles*, Modern trends in constructive function theory, 253–268, Contemp. Math., 661, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [24] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, P. Román, *Spherical functions approach to sums of random Hermitian matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2019, no. 4, 1005–1029.
- [25] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, D. Stivigny, *Singular values of products of random matrices and polynomial ensembles*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **3** (2014), no. 3, 1450011.
- [26] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, L. Zhang, *Singular values of products of Ginibre random matrices, multiple orthogonal polynomials and hard edge scaling limits*, Comm. Math. Phys. **332** (2014), no. 2, 759–781.
- [27] H. Lima, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with branched continued fractions for ratios of hypergeometric series*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **147** (2023), no. 102505, 63 pp.
- [28] H. Lima, A. Loureiro, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with confluent hypergeometric functions*, J. Approx. Theory **260** (2020), 105484.
- [29] H. Lima, A. Loureiro, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials with respect to Gauss’ hypergeometric function*, Stud. in Appl. Math. **148** (2021), no. 1., 154–185.
- [30] A. Loureiro, W. Van Assche, *Three-fold symmetric Hahn-classical multiple orthogonal polynomials*, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **18** (2020), no. 2, 271–332.
- [31] A.W. Marcus, D.A. Spielman, N. Srivastava, *Finite free convolutions of polynomials*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **182** (2022), no. 3–4, 807–848.
- [32] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, *Finite free convolutions of orthogonal polynomials*, Open problem session at Hypergeometric and Orthogonal Polynomials Event, Radboud University, Nijmegen, May 1–3 2024. Description available at https://www.math.ru.nl/~wzudilin/PS/HOPEN_problems.pdf

- [33] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, R. Morales, D. Perales, *Real roots of hypergeometric polynomials via finite free convolution*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2024, no. 16, 11642–11687.
- [34] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, R. Morales, D. Perales, *Zeros of generalized hypergeometric polynomials via finite free convolution. Applications to multiple orthogonality*, Preprint available at arXiv:2404.11479 [math.CA].
- [35] J.-L. Marichal, N. Zenaïdi, *A generalization of Bohr-Mollerup’s theorem for higher order convex functions: a tutorial*, Aequationes Math. **98** (2024), no. 2, 455–481.
- [36] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.12 of 2023-12-15. F.W.J. Olver, A.B. Olde Daalhuis, D.W. Lozier, B.I. Schneider, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, B.R. Miller, B.V. Saunders, H.S. Cohl, and M.A. McClain, eds.
- [37] I.J. Schoenberg, *On Pólya frequency functions. I. The totally positive functions and their Laplace transforms*, J. Analyse Math. **1** (1951), 331–374.
- [38] C. Smet, W. Van Assche, *Mellin transforms for multiple Jacobi-Piñeiro polynomials and a q -analogue*, J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010), no. 4, 782–806.
- [39] A.D. Sokal, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials, d -orthogonal polynomials, production matrices, and branched continued fractions*.
- [40] W. Van Assche, S. Yakubovich *Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with Macdonald functions*, Integral Transf. Spec. Funct. **9** (2000), no. 3, 229–244.
- [41] W. Van Assche, T. Wolfs, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with the exponential integral*, Stud. Appl. Math. **151** (2023), 411–449.
- [42] R. Webster, *Log-convex solutions to the functional equation $f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x)$: Γ -type functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **209** (1997), no. 2, 605–623.
- [43] T. Wolfs, *Applications of multiple orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric moment generating functions*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **158** (2024), 102709.