

Enhanced Perverse Subanalytic Sheaves*

Yohei ITO †

*Dedicated to Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi,
with gratitude and respect*

Abstract

In [Ito24a], the author explained a relation between enhanced ind-sheaves and enhanced subanalytic sheaves. In particular, a relation between [DK16, Thm. 9.5.3] and [Kas16, Thm. 6.3] had been explained. Moreover, in [Ito24b], the author defined \mathbb{C} -constructibility for enhanced subanalytic sheaves and proved that there exists an equivalence of categories between the triangulated category of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules and that of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves. In this paper, we will show that there exists a t-structure on the triangulated category of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves whose heart is equivalent to the abelian category of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. Furthermore, we shall consider simple objects of its heart and minimal extensions of objects of its heart.

1 Introduction

1.1 Riemann–Hilbert Problem

The original Riemann–Hilbert problem asks for the existence of a linear ordinary differential equation with regular singularities and a given monodromy on a curve.

In [Del], P. Deligne formulated it as a correspondence between meromorphic connections on a complex manifold X with regular singularities along a hypersurface Y and local systems on $X \setminus Y$.

Moreover, M. Kashiwara extended Deligne’s correspondence as a correspondence between regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules and \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X as below.

1.2 Regular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence

Let X be a complex manifold and \mathcal{D}_X the sheaf of rings of holomorphic differential operators on X .

Let us denote by \mathcal{O}_X the sheaf of rings of holomorphic functions on X and denote by $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the right derived functor of the hom-functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the triangulated category of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules and by

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18F10, 32C38, 35Q15, 32S60

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Division II, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8601, Japan. E-mail: yitoh@rs.tus.ac.jp

$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ that of \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X . Then we have an equivalence of categories which is called the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence or Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules.

Theorem 1.1 ([Kas84, Main Theorem]). *There exists an equivalence of categories:*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X), \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

After the appearance of the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein developed systematically a theory of regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules on smooth algebraic varieties over the complex number field \mathbb{C} and obtained an algebraic version of the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence which is called the algebraic regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. See [Be, Bor] and also [Sai] for the details.

1.3 Perverse Sheaves

On the other hand, motivated by applications for arithmetic geometry, A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne introduced the notions of perverse t-structures and perverse sheaves [BBD]. Note that the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ has the perverse t-structure $({}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X), {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X))$. Let us denote by

$$\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X) := {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X) \cap {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)$$

its heart and call an object of $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ a perverse sheaf. Surprisingly, the functor Sol_X induces an equivalence of categories between the abelian category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules and $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$:

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X(\cdot)[d_X] : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X).$$

Here, d_X is the complex dimension of X . See [Kas75, Thm. 4.1] (also [Bjö93, Thm. 3.5.1]) for the details of the analytic case, [Bor, Thm. 22.4] for the details of the algebraic case.

By using this equivalence of categories, Brylinski–Kashiwara[BK] and Beilinson–Bernstein[BB] independently solved the Kazhdan–Lusztig conjecture, which was a great breakthrough in representation theory.

1.4 Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence and Enhanced Ind-Sheaves

The problem of extending the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence to cover the case of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules with irregular singularities had been open for 30 years. After a groundbreaking development in the theory of irregular meromorphic connections by K. S. Kedlaya [Ked10, Ked11] and T. Mochizuki [Moc09, Moc11], A. D’Agnolo and M. Kashiwara established the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for irregular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules in [DK16] as follows.

For this purpose, they introduced enhanced ind-sheaves extending the notion of ind-sheaves introduced by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in [KS01]. Let us denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the triangulated category of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules and by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ that of \mathbb{R} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves on X . See [DK16, Def. 4.9.2] for the definition of \mathbb{R} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves. Then we have:

Theorem 1.2 ([DK16, Thm. 9.5.3, Prop. 9.5.4]). *There exists a fully faithful functor:*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_X \circ \iota_X \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Sol}_X]{\sim} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Here, $e_X \circ \iota_X : \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ is the natural embedding functor from the derived category $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on X to the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ of enhanced ind-sheaves on X . See [DK16, Prop. 4.7.15] for the details. See also [DK16, Def. 9.1.1] for the definition of $\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}$.

Furthermore, T. Mochizuki proved that the essential image of $\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}$ can be characterized by the curve test [Moc22]. Remark that T. Kuwagaki [Kuwa21, Thm. 8.6] introduced another approach to the irregular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence via irregular constructible sheaves which are defined by \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves with coefficients in a finite version of the Novikov ring and special gradings.

1.5 \mathbb{C} -Constructible Enhanced Ind-Sheaves

In [Ito20], the author defined \mathbb{C} -constructibility for enhanced ind-sheaves on X and proved that they are nothing but objects of the essential image of $\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}$. Namely, we obtain an equivalence of categories as below. We denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ the triangulated category of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves on X . See [Ito20, Def 3.19] for the definition of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves.

Theorem 1.3 ([Ito20, Thm. 3.26], [Ito23, Prop. 3.1]). *The functor $\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_X \circ \iota_X \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Sol}_X]{\sim} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Moreover, the author showed that there exists a t-structure on the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ whose heart is equivalent to the abelian category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules as follows. We set

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \mid \mathrm{sh}_X(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}, \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \mid \mathrm{sh}_X(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\} \\ &= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \mid D_X^{\mathbb{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{sh}_X: \mathbf{E}^b(\mathrm{IC}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ is the sheafification functor and $\mathrm{D}_X^E: \mathbf{E}^b(\mathrm{IC}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^b(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ is the duality functor for enhanced ind-sheaves. See [DK21, Def. 3.4] for the definition of the functor sh , [DK16, Def. 4.8.1] for the definition of the functor D_X^E . Then we have:

Theorem 1.4 ([Ito20, Thm. 4.5]). *The pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X))$ is a t -structure on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathrm{IC}_X)$ and its heart*

$$\mathrm{Perv}(\mathrm{IC}_X) := {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \cap {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathrm{IC}_X)$$

is equivalent to the abelian category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$:

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^E(\cdot)[d_X]: \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Perv}(\mathrm{IC}_X).$$

Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X^E(\cdot)[d_X]} & \mathrm{Perv}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_X \circ \iota_X \\ \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X(\cdot)[d_X]} & \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Remark that the author reproved the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence (Theorem 1.1) by using the irregular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence (Theorem 1.3) in [Ito23].

1.6 Algebraic Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence and Enhanced Ind-Sheaves

Moreover, the author proved an algebraic version of Theorem 1.3 in [Ito21] as below. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} and denote by \tilde{X} a smooth completion of X (i.e., \tilde{X} is a smooth complete algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} which contains X as an open subvariety and $\tilde{X} \setminus X$ is a normal crossing divisor of \tilde{X}). Remark that thanks to Nagata’s compactification theorem [Naga, Thm. 4.3] and Hironaka’s desingularization theorem [Hiro], such an \tilde{X} exists for any smooth algebraic variety X over \mathbb{C} .

We denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty})$ the triangulated category of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves on a bordered space $X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}} = (X^{\mathrm{an}}, \tilde{X}^{\mathrm{an}})$. Here, X^{an} (resp. \tilde{X}^{an}) is the underlying complex manifold of X (resp. \tilde{X}). See [DK16, Def. 3.2.1] for the definition of bordered spaces, [Ito21, Defs. 3.1, 3.10] for the definition of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves.

Theorem 1.5 ([Ito21, Thm. 3.11, Prop. 3.14]). *There exists an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_{X_\infty}^E: \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_{X_\infty}^E} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty}) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}} \circ \iota_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X), \end{array}$$

where the second horizontal arrow is the algebraic regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence.

Here, $e_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} \circ \iota_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} : \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}})$ is the natural embedding functor from the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on X to the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}})$ of enhanced ind-sheaves on X_∞^{an} . See [KS16, Def. 2.19] and also [DK21, The paragraph before Notation 2.3] for the details. See [Ito21, §3.2] for the definition of $\text{Sol}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbf{E}}$.

Moreover, the author showed that there exists a t-structure on the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ whose heart is equivalent to the abelian category $\text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of algebraic holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules as follows. Let us recall that the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X^{an} has the perverse t-structure $({}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X), {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X))$ and denote by $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ the heart of its t-structure, see [BBD] (also [HTT, Thm. 8.1.27]) for the details. We set

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \mid \text{sh}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}, \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \mid \text{sh}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\} \\ &= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \mid D_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbf{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})\} \end{aligned}$$

and we have:

Theorem 1.6 ([Ito21, Thm. 3.20, Prop. 4.8]). *The pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}))$ is a t-structure on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ and its heart*

$$\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) := {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \cap {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$$

is equivalent to the abelian category $\text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules:

$$\text{Sol}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbf{E}}(\cdot)[d_X] : \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}).$$

Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbf{E}}(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} \circ \iota_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} \\ \text{Mod}_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_X(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

1.7 Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence and Enhanced Subanalytic Sheaves

Let X be a complex manifold again. At the 16th Takagi lecture, M. Kashiwara explained a similar result of Theorem 1.2 by using subanalytic sheaves instead of enhanced ind-sheaves as below. We denote by $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ the derived category of subanalytic sheaves on an analytic bordered space $X \times \mathbb{R}_\infty$. See [Kas16, § 3.4] and also [Ito24a, § 3.1] for the definition of subanalytic sheaves on real analytic bordered spaces, [DK16, Def. 5.4.1] for the definition of real analytic bordered spaces. See also [KS01, § 6] and [Pre08].

Theorem 1.7 ([Kas16, §5.4]). *Then there exists a fully faithful functor:*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{T}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{sub}}).$$

In [Ito24a], the author defined enhanced subanalytic sheaves, and explained a relation between enhanced ind-sheaves and enhanced subanalytic sheaves. Let us denote by $\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$ the triangulated category of enhanced subanalytic sheaves on X and denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$ the one of \mathbb{R} -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves on X . See [Ito24a, §. 3.3, Def. 3.19] for the definitions. Then we have:

Theorem 1.8 ([Ito24a, Thms. 3.15, 3.20, 3.38, 3.39]). *There exist fully faithful functors:*

$$I_X^{\mathrm{E}} : \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X), \quad \mathbf{R}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}} : \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{sub}})$$

and the functor I_X^{E} induces an equivalence of categories:

$$I_X^{\mathrm{E}} : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X).$$

Moreover, there exists a fully faithful functor

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{sub}}) \\
& \nearrow \mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{T},\mathrm{sub}}(\cdot)[1] & \uparrow \mathbf{R}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}} \\
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}}} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \subset \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \\
& \searrow \mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E}} & \downarrow I_X^{\mathrm{E}} \\
& & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X) \subset \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X).
\end{array}$$

Moreover, the author defined \mathbb{C} -constructibility for enhanced subanalytic sheaves, and prove that there exists an equivalence of categories between the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$ of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves on X and that of holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. See [Ito24b, Def. 4.16] for the definition of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$.

Theorem 1.9 ([Ito24b, Thms. 4.19, 4.22, Prop. 4.21]). *The functor I_X^{E} induces an equivalence of categories:*

$$I_X^{\mathrm{E}} : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{IC}_X).$$

Moreover, the functor $\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{hol}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X^{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{sub}}} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\mathrm{sub}}) \\
\cup & & \uparrow e_X^{\mathrm{sub}} \circ \rho_{X^*} \\
\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{Sol}_X} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X).
\end{array}$$

See [Ito24b, Prop. 4.21] for the detail of the functor $e_X^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X*} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$.

One can summarize the above results about the irregular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & & & \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \mathbf{R}_X^{\text{E,sub}} \\
& & & & & & \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \\
& & & \text{Sol}_X^{\text{T,sub}}(\cdot)[1] & & & \uparrow \\
& & & \searrow & & & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \subset \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \subset \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}]{\sim} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) & \subset & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) & \subset & \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \\
& \searrow \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E}} & & \downarrow I_X^{\text{E}} \wr & & \downarrow I_X^{\text{E}} \wr & & \downarrow I_X^{\text{E}} \\
& & & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_X) & \subset & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_X) & \subset & \mathbf{E}^b(\text{IC}_X).
\end{array}$$

1.8 Algebraic Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence and Enhanced Subanalytic Sheaves

In [Ito24b], the author proved an algebraic version of Theorem 1.9. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} again, and denote by \tilde{X} a smooth completion of X . We denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ the triangulated category of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves on a real analytic bordered space $X_\infty^{\text{an}} = (X^{\text{an}}, \tilde{X}^{\text{an}})$. See [Ito24b, Defs. 5.5, 5.9] for the definition of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves.

Theorem 1.10 ([Ito24b, Thm. 5.6, 5.10, Prop. 5.12]). *The functor $I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:*

$$I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}} : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}).$$

Moreover, there exists an equivalence of categories:

$$\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}} : \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \\
\cup & & \uparrow e_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}*} \\
\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_X} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X).
\end{array}$$

See [Ito24b, Prop. 4.21] for the detail of the functor $e_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}*} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$. See [Ito24b, Prop. 5.21] for the details.

One can summarize the above results about the algebraic irregular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\mathbb{E}, \text{sub}}]{\sim} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) & \subset & \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \\
& \searrow[\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\mathbb{E}}]{\sim} & \downarrow I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}} \wr & & \downarrow I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}} \\
& & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) & \subset & \mathbf{E}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}).
\end{array}$$

In this paper, we will show that there exists a t-structure on the triangulated category of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves whose heart is equivalent to the abelian category of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. Although it may be known by experts, it is not in the literature to our knowledge. The main definitions are Definition 2.1 for the analytic case, Definition 2.11 for the algebraic case, and main results are Theorems 2.4, 2.5 for the analytic case, Theorems 2.14, 2.15 for the algebraic case. Furthermore, we shall consider simple objects of its heart and minimal extensions of objects of its heart in Section 2.3. The main definitions are Definition 2.26, 2.30 and the main results are Propositions 2.29, 2.38.

Acknowledgement

Since this paper was written in the wake of “7th Tunisian–Japanese Conference, Geometric and Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces and Applications — in Honor of Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi —”, I am grateful the organizers Professor Ali Baklouti, Professor Hideyuki Ishi and local organizers (especially, Manar Isram Toumi, Rahma Jerbi and so on ...).

I would like to thank Dr. Taito Tauchi of Aoyama Gakuin University for many discussions and giving many comments. I would also like to thank Dr. Yuichiro Tanaka of the University of Tokyo, Dr. Masatoshi Kitagawa of the University of Tokyo and Associate Professor Yasufumi Nitta of Tokyo University of Science for his continued support and constant encouragement.

The author would like to thank administrative staff of Tokyo University of Science (in particular Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Division II), for his continued support and constant encouragement.

Finally, I am sincerely grateful for continued supports and constant encouragements of Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi. He always told me what is important. Moreover I learned from Professor Kobayashi his attitude toward studying mathematics. I cannot thank him enough. I would like to return the favor to him by growing up someday.

Funding

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists (No. 22K13902), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2 Main Result

2.1 Analytic Case

The main definition of this section is Definition 2.1 and main results of this section are Theorems 2.4, 2.5.

Let X be a complex manifold and denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ the triangulated category of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves. See [Ito24a, The paragraph before Lem. 3.10] for the definition of enhanced subanalytic sheaves, [Ito24b, Def. 4.16] for the definition of \mathbb{C} -constructible enhanced subanalytic sheaves. Let us recall that there exist functors

$$\rho_X^{-1} : \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X), \quad \text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}} : \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$$

and

$$\rho_X^{-1} \circ \text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}} : \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X).$$

See [Pre08, p.5] and also [Ito24a, § 3.1] for the definition of ρ_X^{-1} , [Ito24a, The paragraph before Prop. 3.23] for the definition of sh_X^{sub} .

Definition 2.1. Let us define full subcategories of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \mid \rho_X^{-1}(\text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}, \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \mid \rho_X^{-1}(\text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the pair $({}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X), {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X))$ is the perverse t-structure on the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X .

Then there exists a relation between the pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}))$ and the t-structure $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X))$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$ which is defined in [Ito20, Def. 4.2].

Proposition 2.2. *For any $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$, we have*

- (1) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_X^E(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X),$
- (2) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_X^E(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X).$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.3 below. □

Lemma 2.3. *For any $K \in \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$, there exists an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$:*

$$\rho_X^{-1}(\text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}}(K)) \simeq \text{sh}_X(I_X^E(K)).$$

Namely, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{I_X^E} & \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X) \\ \text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{sh}_X \\ \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_X^{-1}} & \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Proof. Let us recall that there exists a fully faithful functor

$$I_X: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X)$$

from the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ of subanalytic sheaves on X to the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X)$ of ind-sheaves on X which has the right adjoint functor

$$\mathbf{R}J_X: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

See [Ito24a, Prop. 3.6] for the details. We also recall that there exists a functor

$$\text{Ish}_X: \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X)$$

such that $\text{sh}_X = \alpha_X \circ \text{Ish}_X$. Here,

$$\alpha_X: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$$

is an exact left adjoint of the fully faithful functor

$$\iota_X: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{IC}_X).$$

See [DK21, p.35] for the definition of Ish_X , [KS01, Def. 3.3.18] for the definition of α_X .

Let $K \in \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$. Then we have isomorphisms in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sh}_X(I_X^{\mathbf{E}}(K)) &\simeq \alpha_X(\text{Ish}_X(I_X^{\mathbf{E}}(K))) \\ &\simeq \rho_X^{-1}(\mathbf{R}J_X(\text{Ish}_X(I_X^{\mathbf{E}}(K)))) \\ &\simeq \rho_X^{-1}(\text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}}(J_X^{\mathbf{E}}(I_X^{\mathbf{E}}(K)))) \\ &\simeq \rho_X^{-1}(\text{sh}_X^{\text{sub}}(K)), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second isomorphism we used [Ito24a, Prop.3.7(3)(ii)], in the third isomorphism we used [Ito24a, Prop.3.26(3)] and in the fourth isomorphism we used [Ito24a, Thm.3.18(1)].

The proof is completed. \square

Then we obtain the following two theorems which are the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *The pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}))$ is a t-structure on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ and its heart*

$$\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) := {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \cap {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$$

is equivalent to the abelian category $\text{Perv}(\mathbf{IC}_X)$ which is defined in [Ito20, Thm. 4.5]:

$$I_X^{\mathbf{E}}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\mathbf{IC}_X).$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 2.2, [Ito20, Thm. 4.5] and [Ito24b, Thm. 4.19]. The second assertion follows from Proposition 2.2 and [Ito24b, Thm. 4.19]. \square

Let us denote by $(\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X), \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ the standard t-structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ such that $\text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \cap \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

Theorem 2.5. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have

- (1) $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$.

Moreover, the functor $\text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:

$$\text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}(\cdot)[d_X]: \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 2.2, [Ito20, Thm. 4.5] and [Ito24a, Thm. 3.39]. The last assertion follows from (1), (2) and [Ito24b, Thm. 4.19]. \square

We shall call an object of $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ an enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf. From now on we prove some properties of enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaves.

Let us denote by $D_X^{\text{E,sub}}: \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ the duality functor for enhanced subanalytic sheaves. See [Ito24a, The paragraph before Lem. 3.24] for the definition.

Proposition 2.6. The duality functor $D_X^{\text{E,sub}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:

$$D_X^{\text{E,sub}}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

Proof. This proposition follows from Lemma 2.7 below. \square

Lemma 2.7. We have

$${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) = \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \mid D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})\}.$$

Proof. Let $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$. Then we have

$$K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_X^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$$

by Proposition 2.2 (2) and we have

$$I_X^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X) \iff D_X^{\text{E}}(I_X^{\text{E}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$$

by [Ito20, Lem. 4.1]. Here, $D_X^{\text{E}}: \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$ is the duality functor for enhanced ind-sheaves. See [DK16, Def. 4.8.1] for the definition. Since there exists an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$

$$J_X^{\text{E}}(D_X^{\text{E}}(I_X^{\text{E}}(K))) \simeq D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K)$$

by [Ito24a, Prop. 3.25 (1)], we have isomorphisms in $\mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$

$$I_X^{\text{E}}\left(D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K)\right) \simeq I_X^{\text{E}}\left(J_X^{\text{E}}\left(D_X^{\text{E}}\left(I_X^{\text{E}}(K)\right)\right)\right) \simeq D_X^{\text{E}}\left(I_X^{\text{E}}(K)\right),$$

where in the second isomorphism we used the fact $D_X^{\text{E}}(I_X^{\text{E}}(K)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$ and [Ito24b, Thm. 3.19]. Therefore we have

$$D_X^{\text{E}}(I_X^{\text{E}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X) \iff I_X^{\text{E}}\left(D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K)\right) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X)$$

and hence

$$I_X^{\text{E}}\left(D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K)\right) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_X) \iff D_X^{\text{E,sub}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$$

by Proposition 2.2 (1).

The proof is completed. \square

Recall that there exists the natural embedding functor:

$$e_X^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X^*}: \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

See [Ito24b, Prop. 4.21] for the details.

Proposition 2.8. *We have*

- (1) *for any $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we have $e_X^{\text{sub}}(\rho_{X^*}(\mathcal{F})) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$,*
- (2) *for any $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we have $e_X^{\text{sub}}(\rho_{X^*}(\mathcal{F})) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$.*

Moreover, the functor $e_X^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X^*}$ induces a fully faithful embedding:

$$e_X^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X^*}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X) \hookrightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_X^{\mathbf{E}, \text{sub}}(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_X \circ \rho_{X^*} \\ \text{Mod}_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_X(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Proof. The first and second assertions follow from [Ito20, Prop. 4.8 (1), (2)], [Ito24a, Props. 3.4 (4)(i), 3.21] and Proposition 2.2. The last assertion follows from the first and second assertions and [Ito24b, Cor. 4.23]. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of complex manifolds. We assume that there exists a non-negative integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq d$ for any $y \in Y$. Here, $\dim f^{-1}(y)$ is the dimension of $f^{-1}(y)$ as an analytic space. Then we have*

- (1) *for any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$, we have $\mathbf{E}f_{!!}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_Y^{\text{sub}})$,*
- (2) *for any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$, we have $\mathbf{E}f_{!!}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq -d}(\mathbb{C}_Y^{\text{sub}})$.*

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from Proposition 2.2 (1), [Ito20, Prop. 4.9 (1)] and [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(ii)]. The assertion (2) follows from Proposition 2.2 (2), [Ito20, Prop. 4.9 (2)] and [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(ii)]. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. We assume that there exists a non-negative integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq d$ for any $y \in Y$. Then we have*

- (1) *for any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_Y^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}f^{-1}L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$,*
- (2) *for any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_Y^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}f^!L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq -d}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$.*

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from Proposition 2.2 (1), [Ito20, Prop. 4.10 (1)] and [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(i)]. The assertion (2) follows from Proposition 2.2 (2), [Ito20, Prop. 4.10 (2)] and [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(iii)]. \square

2.2 Algebraic Case

The main definition of this section is Definition 2.11 and main results of this section are Theorems 2.14, 2.15.

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} and denote by \tilde{X} a smooth completion of X . We shall recall that there exist functors

$$\rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1} : \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X), \quad \text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}} : \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$$

and

$$\rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1} \circ \text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}} : \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X).$$

See [Ito24a, § 3.1] for the definition of $\rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1}$, [Ito24a, The paragraph before Prop. 3.23] for the definition of $\text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}$.

Definition 2.11. We define full subcategories of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \mid \rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1}(\text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}, \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) &:= \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \mid \rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1}(\text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the pair $({}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X), {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X))$ is the perverse t-structure on the triangulated category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}})$ of algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on X^{an} . See [HTT, Def. 4.5.6, Thm. 8.1.27] for the details.

Then there exists a relation between the pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}))$ and the t-structure $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}))$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}})$ which is defined in [Ito21, Def. 3.18]. Let us recall that there exists an equivalence of categories:

$$I_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}).$$

See [Ito24b, Thm. 5.10] and also [Ito24a, Thm. 3.15] for the details.

Proposition 2.12. *For any $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$, we have*

- (1) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}),$
- (2) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}).$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.13 below. □

The following lemma can be proved in a similar way to Lemma 2.3. We shall skip the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *For any $K \in \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$, there exists an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$:*

$$\rho_{X^{\text{an}}}^{-1}(\text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}(K)) \simeq \text{sh}_{X^{\text{an}}}(\mathbb{I}I_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\mathbb{E}}(K)).$$

Then we obtain the following two theorems which are main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 2.14. *The pair $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}))$ is a t-structure on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ and its heart*

$$\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) := {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \cap {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$$

is equivalent to the abelian category $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ which is defined in [Ito21, Def. 3.20]:

$$I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}} : \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}).$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 2.12, [Ito21, Thm. 3.19] and [Ito24b, Thm. 5.10]. The second assertion follows from Proposition 2.12 and [Ito24b, Thm. 5.10]. \square

Let us denote by $(\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X), \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ the standard t-structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ such that $\text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \cap \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

Theorem 2.15. *For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have*

- (1) $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}),$
- (2) $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$

Moreover, the functor $\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:

$$\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(\cdot)[d_X] : \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$$

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 2.12, [Ito21, Thm. 3.19] and [Ito24b, Thm. 5.14]. The last assertion follows from (1), (2) and [Ito24b, Thm. 5.14]. \square

We shall call an object of $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ an algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf. From now on we prove some properties of algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaves.

Let us denote by $D_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}} : \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ the duality functor for enhanced subanalytic sheaves. See [Ito24a, The paragraph before Lem. 3.24] for the definition.

Proposition 2.16. *The duality functor $D_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}$ induces an equivalence of categories:*

$$D_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}} : \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$$

Proof. This proposition follows from Lemma 2.17 below. \square

The following lemma can be proved in a similar way to Lemma 2.7. We shall skip the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 2.17. *We have*

$${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) = \{K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \mid D_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})\}.$$

Recall that there exists the natural embedding functor:

$$e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

See [Ito24b, Prop. 4.21] for the details.

Proposition 2.18. *We have*

(1) *for any $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we have $e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}(\rho_{X_\infty^*}(\mathcal{F})) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$,*

(2) *for any $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we have $e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}(\rho_{X_\infty^*}(\mathcal{F})) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*

Moreover, the functor $e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^*}$ induces a fully faithful embedding:

$$e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^*} : \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X) \hookrightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$$

and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \\ \cup & & \uparrow e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^*} \\ \text{Mod}_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{op} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Sol}_X(\cdot)[d_X]} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X). \end{array}$$

Proof. The first, second and third assertions follow from [Ito21, Prop. 3.21], [Ito24a, Props. 3.4 (4)(i), 3.21] and Proposition 2.12. The last assertion follows from the first and second assertions and [Ito24b, Thm. 5.14]. \square

Let us recall that there exist a morphism $j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}} : X_\infty^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{\text{an}}$ of bordered spaces and a functor

$$\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!! : \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}).$$

See [Ito24a, §§ 2.4, 3.3] for the details. Then we have the following lemma. Remark that a bordered space $\tilde{X}_\infty^{\text{an}}$ is isomorphic to \tilde{X}^{an} , where \tilde{X}^{an} is identified with a bordered space $(\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}, \tilde{X}^{\text{an}})$. See [Ito21, Lem. 2.3] for the details. Hence, we can define a t-structure $({}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}), {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}))$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$ by Definition 2.11.

Lemma 2.19. *For any $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{IC}_{X_\infty})$, we have*

(1) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \iff \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$,

(2) $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{X_\infty}) \iff \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}})$.

Proof. Since the proof of (2) is similar, we shall only prove (1). Let $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{IC}_{X_\infty})$. By Proposition 2.12, we have

$$K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \iff I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{X_\infty}).$$

Moreover, by [Ito21, Lem. 3.23] we have

$$I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{X_\infty}) \iff \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!! I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}).$$

Since, we have an isomorphism in $\mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{IC}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}})$

$$\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!! I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(K) \simeq I_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}} \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!(K)$$

by [Ito24a, Lem. 3.19 (2)(ii)], we have

$$\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!! I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{IC}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}) \iff \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Proposition 2.12.

The proof is completed. \square

Let us recall that the triangulated category $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ is the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$, see [Ito24b, Cor. 5.7] for the details.

Lemma 2.20. *Let X be a smooth complete algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . Then we have*

- (1) ${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) = {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$,
- (2) ${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}) = {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$.

Proof. Since the proof of (2) is similar, we shall only prove (1).

Let K be an object of ${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$. Then there exists an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ such that

$$K \simeq \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M})[d_X] (= \text{Sol}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}))$$

by [Ito24b, Thm. 5.14] and Theorem 2.15 (2). Here,

$$(\cdot)^{\text{an}} : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{an}}})$$

is the analytification functor. Since the analytification functor is exact, we have $\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{an}}})$, and hence we have

$$\text{Sol}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}})[d_X] \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Theorem 2.5 (2). Therefore we obtain

$$K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}}).$$

Let K be an object of ${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$. Since $K \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$ there exists an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ such that

$$K \simeq \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M})[d_X] (= \text{Sol}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}})[d_X])$$

by [Ito24b, Thm. 5.14]. Since $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{an}}})$ by Theorem 2.5 (2), and hence we obtain $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ because the analytification functor $(\cdot)^{\text{an}} : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{an}}})$ is exact and faithful. Therefore we have

$$K \simeq \text{Sol}_X^{\text{E}}(\mathcal{M})[d_X] \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_X^{\text{sub}})$$

by Theorem 2.5 (2). □

Let us recall that any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth algebraic varieties induces a morphism $f_{\infty}^{\text{an}} : X_{\infty}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow Y_{\infty}^{\text{an}}$ of bordered spaces. See [Ito21, § 2.3] for the details.

Proposition 2.21. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth algebraic varieties. We assume that there exists a non-negative integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq d$ for any $y \in Y$.*

- (1) For any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}f_{\infty!!}^{\text{an}}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$.
- (2) For any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}f_{\infty*}^{\text{an}}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq -d}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$.
- (3) For any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}(f_{\infty}^{\text{an}})^{-1}L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$.
- (4) For any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}(f_{\infty}^{\text{an}})^!L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}-c}^{\geq -d}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{\infty}}^{\text{sub}})$.

Proof. Since the proofs of these assertions in the proposition are similar, we shall only prove the assertion (1).

Let K be an object of ${}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$. Then we have $\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!}(K) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}^{\text{sub}})$ by Lemma 2.19 (1) and hence

$$\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Lemma 2.20 (1). Let us denote by $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ a morphism of complete algebraic varieties such that $\tilde{f}|_X = f$ and denote by $\tilde{f}^{\text{an}}: \tilde{X}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^{\text{an}}$ the morphism of complex manifolds which is induced by \tilde{f} . Then we have

$$\mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_{!!}^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Proposition 2.9 (1). Since the functor $\mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_{!!}^{\text{an}}: \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$ preserves the algebraic \mathbb{C} -constructibility, we have

$$\mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_{!!}^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!}(K)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}}^{\text{sub}}).$$

Hence by the fact that there exists an isomorphism of functors:

$$\mathbf{E}j_{Y_\infty!!} \circ \mathbf{E}f_{\infty!!}^{\text{an}} \simeq \mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_{!!}^{\text{an}} \circ \mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{E}j_{Y_\infty!!}(\mathbf{E}f_{\infty!!}^{\text{an}}(K)) \simeq \mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_{!!}^{\text{an}}(\mathbf{E}j_{X_\infty!!}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}}^{\text{sub}})$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{E}j_{Y_\infty!!}(\mathbf{E}f_{\infty!!}^{\text{an}}(K)) \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Lemma 2.20 (1). Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{E}f_{\infty!!}^{\text{an}}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$$

by Lemma 2.19 (1). □

Corollary 2.22. *Let Z be a locally closed smooth subvariety of X . We denote by $i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}: Z_\infty^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X_\infty^{\text{an}}$ the morphism of bordered spaces induced by the natural embedding $Z \hookrightarrow X$.*

- (1) *For any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}!!}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*
- (2) *For any $K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}*}K \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*
- (3) *For any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{-1}L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*
- (4) *For any $L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ we have $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^!L \in {}^p\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*

In particular, if Z is open (resp. closed), then the functor

$$\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{-1} \simeq \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^! \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}!!} \simeq \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}*})$$

is t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structures.

REMARK 2.23. Let Z be a locally closed smooth subvariety of X and assume that the natural embedding $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is affine. Then, functors $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^*}$ and $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{!!}}$ induce exact functors from $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ to $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$:

$$\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^*}, \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{!!}}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$$

These follow from Theorem 2.14, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(ii), (3)(i), (4)(ii)], and [Ito21, Rem. 3.28].

Notation 2.24. For a functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}c}^b(\text{IC}_{Y_\infty})$, we set

$${}^p\mathcal{F} := {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathcal{F}: \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{Y_\infty}),$$

where ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0$ is the 0-th cohomology functor with respect to the perverse t -structure. For example, for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth algebraic varieties, we set

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathbf{E}(f_\infty^{\text{an}})^{-1} &:= {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{E}(f_\infty^{\text{an}})^{-1}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}), \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}(f_\infty^{\text{an}})^! &:= {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{E}(f_\infty^{\text{an}})^!: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}), \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}f_{\infty^*}^{\text{an}} &:= {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{E}f_{\infty^*}^{\text{an}}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_\infty}^{\text{sub}}), \\ {}^p\mathbf{E}f_{\infty^{!!}}^{\text{an}} &:= {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{E}f_{\infty^{!!}}^{\text{an}}: \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y_\infty}^{\text{sub}}). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, for an object $K \in \mathbf{E}^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{sub}})$, let us define the support of K by the complement of the union of open subsets U^{an} of X^{an} such that $K|_{U^{\text{an}}} := \mathbf{E}i_{U^{\text{an}}}^{-1}K \simeq 0$ and denote it by $\text{supp}(K)$. Namely, we set

$$\text{supp}(K) := \left(\bigcup_{\substack{U^{\text{an}} \subset X^{\text{an}}, \\ \text{open}}} U^{\text{an}} \right)^c \subset X^{\text{an}}.$$

Note that we have

$$\bigcup_{\substack{U^{\text{an}} \subset X^{\text{an}}, \\ \text{open}}} U^{\text{an}} = \bigcup_{\substack{V^{\text{an}} \subset X^{\text{an}}, \\ \text{open}}} V^{\text{an}}.$$

Moreover, for a locally closed smooth subvariety Z of X , we set

$$\text{Perv}_Z(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) := \{K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \mid \text{supp}(K) \subset Z^{\text{an}}\}.$$

Proposition 2.25. Let Z be a closed smooth subvariety of X . Then we have an equivalence of abelian categories:

$$\text{Perv}_Z(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{!!}}]{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{-1}} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$$

Furthermore for any $K \in \text{Perv}_Z(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ there exists an isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{-1}K \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^!K.$$

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)], and [Ito21, Prop. 3.30] \square

2.3 Minimal Extensions

In this subsection we shall consider simple enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaves on a smooth algebraic variety, and a counterpart of minimal extensions of algebraic holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. See e.g., [HTT, §3.4] for the details of the definition and properties of minimal extensions of algebraic holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules.

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . We shall define a notion of simplicity for algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaves as below.

Definition 2.26. A non-zero algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is called simple if it contains no subobjects in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ other than K or 0.

Let us recall that a non-zero algebraic enhanced perverse ind-sheaf $K \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ is called simple if it contains no subobjects in $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ other than K or 0. See [Ito21, §3.4] for the details.

Proposition 2.27. *We have the following:*

- (1) *For any $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, K is simple if and only if $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K) \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ is simple.*
- (2) *For any $K \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$, K is simple if and only if $J_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K) \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is simple.*

Proof. The assertion (2) follows from the assertion (1) and Theorem 2.14. We shall prove the assertion (1).

Let $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ be a simple algebraic enhanced subanalytic sheaf and $L \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$ a subobject of $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ which is not isomorphic to $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$. Then $J_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(L)$ is a subobject of $J_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)) \simeq K$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ which is not isomorphic to K by Theorem 2.14. Since K is simple, we have $J_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(L) \simeq 0$ and hence $L \simeq 0$ by Theorem 2.14. Therefore, $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ is simple.

Let $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ and assume that $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ is simple. We take a subobject L of K which is not isomorphic to K . Since $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(L)$ is a subobject of $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ which is not isomorphic to $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ by Theorem 2.14 and $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ is simple, we have $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(L) \simeq 0$ and hence $L \simeq 0$ by Theorem 2.14. Therefore, K is simple.

The proof is completed. \square

Let us recall that a non-zero perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ is called simple if it contains no subobjects in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ other than \mathcal{F} or 0. See [BBD] (also [HTT, §8.2.2]) for the details.

Proposition 2.28. *For any simple algebraic perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, the algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf $e_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}(\rho_{X_\infty^*}(\mathcal{F})) \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is also simple.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.27 (1), [Ito24a, Props. 3.4 (4)(i), 3.21] and [Ito21, Prop. 3.35]. \square

We denote by $\mathbf{E}^0(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ the heart with respect to the standard t-structure on $\mathbf{E}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$. See [Ito24a, Prop. 3.12] for the details. In this paper, we shall say that $K \in \mathbf{E}^0(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is an enhanced local system of subanalytic type on X_∞ if for any $x \in X$ there exist an open

neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x and a non-negative integer k such that $K|_{U^{\text{an}}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}_{U^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E,sub}})^{\oplus k}$. See [Ito24a, The paragraph before Lem. 3.17] for the definition of $\mathbb{C}_{U^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E,sub}}$. Note that for any enhanced local system K of subanalytic type on X_∞ , there exists an integrable connection \mathcal{L} on X such that $K \simeq \text{Sol}_{X_\infty}^{\text{E,sub}}(\mathcal{L}) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$. In particular $K[d_X]$ is an algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf on X_∞ . Note also that for any $K \in \mathbf{E}^0(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, K is an enhanced local system of subanalytic type if and only if $I_{X_\infty}^{\text{E}}(K)$ is an enhanced local system which is defined in [Ito21, The paragraph before Prop. 3.36].

Proposition 2.29. (1) *Let Z be a locally closed smooth connected subvariety of X and assume that the natural embedding $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is affine. Then for any simple algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf K on X_∞ , the image of the canonical morphism $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!K \rightarrow \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}K$ is also simple, and it is characterized as the unique simple submodule (resp. unique simple quotient module) of $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}K$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!K$).*

(2) *For any simple algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf K on X_∞ , there exist a locally closed smooth connected subvariety Z of X whose natural embedding is affine and a simple enhanced local system L of subanalytic type on Z_∞ such that*

$$K \simeq \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!L[d_Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L[d_Z]).$$

(3) *Let (Z, L) be as in (1) and (Z', L') be another such pair. Then we have*

$$\text{Im}(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!L[d_Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L[d_Z]) \simeq \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!L'[d_{Z'}] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L'[d_{Z'}])$$

if and only if $\overline{Z} = \overline{Z'}$ and there exists an open dense subset U of $Z \cap Z'$ such that $L|_{U^{\text{an}}} \simeq L'|_{U^{\text{an}}}$. Here, the symbol $\overline{(\cdot)}$ is the closure in X .

Proof. This proposition follows from Proposition 2.27, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(ii), (3)(i), (4)(ii)], [Ito24b, Prop. 3.4] and [Ito21, Prop. 3.36] \square

From now on, we shall consider the image of the canonical morphism

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}K$$

for a locally closed smooth subvariety Z of X (not necessarily the natural embedding $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is affine) and $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$. Remark that the canonical morphism

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}K$$

is induced by the canonical morphism of functors $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!! \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}$$

and it is an isomorphism if Z is closed. In this paper, we shall define minimal extensions of algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaves as follows.

Definition 2.30. For any $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, we call the image of the canonical morphism

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}K$$

the minimal extension of K along Z , and denote it by ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}!!*K$.

Since the category $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is abelian, the minimal extension ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K$ of $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ along Z is also an algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf on X_∞ . Moreover we have a functor

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*} : \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}).$$

REMARK 2.31. (1) If Z is open then we have $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty}^{-1}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K) \simeq K$ by the definition of minimal extensions along Z .

(2) If Z is closed then we have ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K \simeq \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!*}K \simeq \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}K \in \text{Perv}_Z(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ by Corollary 2.22 and Proposition 2.25. Namely, in the case when Z is closed, minimal extensions along Z can be characterized by Proposition 2.25.

(3) If the natural embedding $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is affine, then we have

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}(\cdot) \simeq \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}(\cdot) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!*}(\cdot))$$

by the fact that the functors $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!*}$ and $\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}$ are t-exact with respect to the perverse t-structures, see Remark 2.23 for the details.

Let us recall that for any $K \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{Z_\infty})$, we call the image of the canonical morphism

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!*}K$$

the minimal extension of K along Z , and denote it by ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K$. See [Ito21, Def. 3.37] for the details. The following proposition means that the minimal extension functor ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}$ commutes with functors I^E and J^E .

Proposition 2.32. *The following diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{Z_\infty}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}} & \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) & & \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{Z_\infty}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}} & \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}) \\ I_{Z_\infty}^E \uparrow & & \uparrow I_{X_\infty}^E & & J_{Z_\infty}^E \downarrow & & \downarrow J_{X_\infty}^E \\ \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) & & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}). \end{array}$$

Namely, for any $L \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ there exists an isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$:

$$I_{X_\infty}^E({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}L) \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}(I_{Z_\infty}^E(L))$$

and for any $K \in \text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{Z_\infty})$ there exists an isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$:

$$J_{X_\infty}^E({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K) \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}(J_{Z_\infty}^E(K)).$$

Proof. Let $L \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$. Then we have isomorphisms in $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{X_\infty}^E({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}L) &\simeq I_{X_\infty}^E({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}L)) \\ &\simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(I_{X_\infty}^E(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}L)) \\ &\simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}I_{Z_\infty}^E(L)) \\ &\simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!}I_{Z_\infty}^E(L) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second isomorphism we used the fact that the functor $I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}$ is t-exact with respect to the perverse t-structures by Proposition 2.12 and in the third isomorphism we used [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(ii)]. In the similar way, we have an isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty})$:

$$I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L) \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}I_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(L).$$

Therefore we obtain isomorphisms in $\text{Perv}(\text{IC}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}L) &\simeq I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(\text{Im}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!}}L \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L)) \\ &\simeq \text{Im}(I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!}}L) \rightarrow I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}L)) \\ &\simeq \text{Im}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!}}(I_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(L)) \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}*}}(I_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(L))) \\ &\simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}I_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}(L) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second isomorphism we used fact that the functor $I_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}$ is t-exact with respect to the perverse t-structures by Proposition 2.12, see also [KS90, Rem. 10.1.15] for the details.

The last assertion can be proved in the similar way. We shall skip the proof. \square

The following proposition means that the minimal extension functor ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}$ commutes with the duality functor.

Proposition 2.33. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})^{op} & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})^{op} \\ \text{D}_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}} \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow \text{D}_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}} \\ \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}} & \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}}). \end{array}$$

Namely, for any $K \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, there exists an isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$:

$$\text{D}_{X_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}({}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}K) \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}!!*}}(\text{D}_{Z_\infty^{\text{an}}}^{\text{E}}K).$$

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, Proposition 2.32, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.25 (1), (2)], [Ito24b, Thm. 4.19] and [Ito21, Prop. 3.39]. \square

Let us recall that the minimal extension ${}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z!}\mathcal{F}$ of a perverse sheaf F along Z is defined by the image of the canonical morphism

$${}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}!}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}*}\mathcal{F},$$

where ${}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}!} := {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}!}$, ${}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}*} := {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 \circ \mathbf{R}i_{Z\text{an}*}$ and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0$ is the 0-th cohomology functor with respect to the perverse t-structures. See [BBD] (also [HTT, §8.2.2]) for the details. The following proposition means that the natural embedding functor commutes with the minimal extension functor.

Proposition 2.34. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}!!*}} & \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}}) \\
\uparrow e_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{sub}} \circ \rho_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}*} & \circlearrowleft & \uparrow e_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{sub}} \circ \rho_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}*} \\
\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_Z) & \xrightarrow{{}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z^{\mathrm{an}}!*}} & \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X).
\end{array}$$

Namely, for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_Z)$, there exists an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$:

$$e_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{sub}}(\rho_{X_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}*}({}^p\mathbf{R}i_{Z^{\mathrm{an}}!*}\mathcal{F})) \simeq {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}!!*}(e_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{sub}}(\rho_{Z_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}*}(\mathcal{F}))).$$

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, Proposition 2.32, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.21] and [Ito21, Prop. 3.40]. \square

Recall that in the case when Z is a closed smooth subvariety of X , minimal extensions along Z can be characterized by Proposition 2.25, see also Remark 2.31 (2). On the other hand, in the case when Z is open whose complement is a smooth subvariety, the minimal extensions along Z can be characterized as follows. Let U be such an open subset of X and set $W := X \setminus U$. Namely U is an open subset of X and $W := X \setminus U$ is a closed smooth subvariety of X .

Proposition 2.35. *In the situation as above, the minimal extension ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}!!*}K$ of $K \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{U_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ along U is characterized as the unique algebraic enhanced perverse subanalytic sheaf L on X_∞ satisfying the conditions*

- (1) $\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{-1}L \simeq K$,
- (2) $\mathbf{E}i_{W_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^{-1}L \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\leq -1}(\mathbb{C}_{W_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$,
- (3) $\mathbf{E}i_{W_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}}^!L \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{C}^c}^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{C}_{W_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$.

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, Propositions 2.12, 2.32, [Ito24a, Prop. 3.16 (2)(i), (iii)] and [Ito21, Prop. 3.42]. \square

Furthermore the minimal extensions along U have following properties.

Proposition 2.36. *In the situation as above, for any $K \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{U_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$*

- (1) ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}*}K \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ has no non-trivial subobject in $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ whose support is contained in W^{an} .
- (2) ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}!!}K \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ has no non-trivial quotient object in $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ whose support is contained in W^{an} .

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, Propositions 2.32 and [Ito21, Prop. 3.43]. \square

Corollary 2.37. *In the situation as above, the minimal extension ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty^{\mathrm{an}}!!*}K$ of $K \in \mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{U_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ along U has neither non-trivial subobject nor non-trivial quotient object in $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\mathrm{sub}})$ whose support is contained in W^{an} .*

Proof. This corollary follows from Proposition 2.36 and fact that there exist the canonical morphisms in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$:

$${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty!!}K \twoheadrightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty!!*}K \hookrightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{U_\infty*}K.$$

□

Let Z be a locally closed smooth subvariety of X (not necessarily the natural embedding $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is affine) again.

Proposition 2.38. *We assume that there exist an open subset $U \subset X$ and a closed subvariety $W \subset X$ such that $Z = U \cap W$ and the complement $X \setminus U$ of U is smooth. Then we have:*

- (1) (i) *For an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, the associated sequence $0 \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}L$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is also exact.*
- (ii) *For an exact sequence $K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, the associated sequence ${}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}K \rightarrow {}^p\mathbf{E}i_{Z_\infty!!*}L \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$ is also exact.*
- (2) *For any simple object in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Z_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$, its minimal extension along Z is also a simple object in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X_\infty}^{\text{sub}})$.*

Proof. This proposition follows from Theorem 2.14, Propositions 2.12, 2.32 and [Ito21, Thm. 3.47]. □

References

- [BB] Alexander A. Beilinson and Joseph Bernstein, Localisation de \mathfrak{g} -modules, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Series I, **292(1)**, 15–18, 1981.
- [Be] Joseph Bernstein, Algebraic Theory of D-Modules, unpublished notes.
- [BBD] Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein and Pierre Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, in: Analysis and topology on singular spaces, Luminy, 1981, Astérisque **100**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 5–171, 1982.
- [Bjö93] Jan-Erik Björk, Analytic \mathcal{D} -modules and applications, Mathematics and its Applications, **247**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1993.
- [BK] J. -L. Brylinski and M. Kashiwara, Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture and holonomic systems, Invent. Math., **64-3**, 1981, 387–410.
- [Bor] Armand Borel et al., Algebraic D-Modules, Perspectives in Mathematics, **2**, Academic Press, 1987.
- [DK16] Andrea D'Agnolo and Masaki Kashiwara, Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., **123(1)**, 2016, 69–197.
- [DK19] Andrea D'Agnolo and Masaki Kashiwara, Enhanced perversities, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal), **751**, 185–241, 2019.

- [DK21] Andrea D’Agnolo and Masaki Kashiwara, On a topological counterpart of regularization for holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules, *Journal de l’École polytechnique Mathématiques*, **8**, 27–55, 2021
- [Del] Pierre Deligne, *Équations Différentielles à Points Singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Math., **163**, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [Hiro] Heisuke Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero I, II, *Ann. Math.*, **79(1)**, I:109–203, 1964, II: 205–326, 1964.
- [HTT] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, volume 236 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston, 2008.
- [Ito20] Yohei Ito, \mathbb{C} -Constructible Enhanced Ind-Sheaves, *Tsukuba journal of Mathematics*, **44(1)**, 155–201, 2020; Corrigendum, *ibid.* 46, no. 2, 271–275, 2022.
- [Ito21] Yohei Ito, Note on Algebraic Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova.*, **149(1)**, 45–81, 2021.
- [Ito23] Yohei Ito, Another Proof of the Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence for Regular Holonomic \mathcal{D} -Modules, arXiv:2301.01138, 19 pages.
- [Ito24a] Yohei Ito, Note on Relation between Enhanced Ind-Sheaves and Enhanced Subanalytic Sheaves, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova.*, 2024, in press, arXiv:2109.13991, 84 pages.
- [Ito24b] Yohei Ito, Irregular Riemann–Hilbert Correspondence and Enhanced Subanalytic Sheaves, *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, 2024, in press, arXiv:2310.19501, 28pages.
- [Kas75] Masaki Kashiwara, On the maximally overdetermined system of linear differential equations, I, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 10, 1975, 563–579.
- [Kas84] Masaki Kashiwara, The Riemann–Hilbert problem for holonomic systems, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **20(2)**, 319–365, 1984.
- [Kas16] Masaki Kashiwara, Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for irregular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules, *Japan J. Math.*, **11**, 13–149, 2016.
- [KS90] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, volume 292 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [KS01] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Ind-sheaves*, *Astérisque*, **271**, 2001.
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Categories and Sheaves*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **332**, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [KS16] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, Irregular holonomic kernels and Laplace transform, *Selecta Math.*, **22(1)**, 55–109, 2016.
- [Ked10] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, I: surfaces, *Duke Math. J.*, **154(2)**, 343–418, 2010.

- [Ked11] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, II: excellent schemes, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **24(1)**, 183–229, 2011.
- [Kuwa21] Tatsuki Kuwagaki, Irregular perverse sheaves, *Compositio Mathematica*, **157(3)**, 573–624, 2021.
- [Pre08] Luca Prelli, Sheaves on subanalytic sites, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **120**, 167–216, 2008.
- [Pre13] Luca Prelli, Microlocalization of subanalytic sheaves, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.*, **135**, 2013.
- [Moc09] Takuro Mochizuki, Good formal structure for meromorphic flat connections on smooth projective surfaces, In *Algebraic analysis and around*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **54**, 223–253, 2009.
- [Moc11] Takuro Mochizuki, Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor \mathcal{D} -modules, *Astérisque*, **340**, 2011.
- [Moc22] Takuro Mochizuki, Curve test for enhanced ind-sheaves and holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules, I, II *Annales scientifiques de l'ENS*, **55(3)**, 575–738, 2022, arXiv:1610.08572, 2016.
- [Naga] Masayoshi Nagata, Imbedding of an abstract variety in a complete variety, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **2**, 1-10, 1962.
- [Sai] Morihiko Saito, Induced D-modules and differential complexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **117(3)**, 361-387, 1989.