

Solving tiling enumeration problems by tensor network contractions

Kai Liang

March 26, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents an algorithm for computing the contraction of two-dimensional tensor networks on a square lattice; and we combine it with solving congruence equations to compute the exact enumeration (including weighted enumeration) of Wang tilings. Based on this, the paper demonstrates how to transform other tiling enumeration problems (such as those of polyominoes) into Wang tiling enumeration problems, thereby solving them using this algorithm.

Our algorithm extends the sequence length records for dozens of sequences defined by polyomino tiling enumeration on chessboards on the OEIS website, covering numerous of different polyomino sets, including I-polyominoes, tetrominoes, pentominoes, etc.


This demonstrates the high efficiency and strong universality of the algorithm for solving exact tiling enumeration problems.

In addition, the theory and techniques used in the algorithm establish a bridge between tensor network contractions and tiling enumeration, where the former provides a theoretical foundation for solving problems in the latter, while the latter offers an intuitive combinatorial interpretation of the former.


1 Introduction

Tiling enumeration is a classic problem in combinatorics [1, 2, 3].

Taking the most classic *domino tiling* ([4, 5, 6, 7, 8]) as an example: given a *rectangle chessboard* of size $l \times h$ (i.e., with width l and height h), the task is to calculate the number of all possible ways to cover the entire chessboard by tiling *dominoes* (as shown below, 1×2 tiles that can be rotated), distinguishing between distinct ways that are symmetric.



For example, the following is one of the tilings using dominoes in a 4×3 chessboard:



When the shape of the chessboard is $l \times h$, the number of different ways of tiling (also referred to as *tiling enumeration*) can be calculated using the following formula [4, 5]:

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^h \left(4 \cos^2 \frac{i\pi}{l+1} + 4 \cos^2 \frac{j\pi}{h+1} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

However, most other tiling enumeration problems do not have specific formulas applicable to the general case like this, with at most formulas for special cases where the width l is fixed and small [9, 10]. In most cases, such enumeration problems requires complex computation, and the time and space complexity of the algorithms are both exponential [11, 12].

The algorithm presented in this paper is designed for the exact enumeration of Wang tilings on various shapes of chessboards, and can be generalized to other tiling problems (such as polyomino tilings). Compared to previous algorithms, it effectively saves space and improves efficiency. The website OEIS (The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences) records hundreds of sequences defined by similar tiling enumeration in chessboards, Our algorithm has extended the known sequence lengths for dozens of these cases beyond previous record.

Section 2 of this paper introduces the preliminary knowledge involved in the algorithm, including tiling enumeration and tensor network contractions.

Section 3 explains the specific principles of the algorithm for the exact enumeration of Wang tilings and analyzes its related parameters.

Section 4 discusses how to transform domino tilings into Wang tilings, thereby enabling exact enumeration using this algorithm.

Section 5 provides a summary of some further generalizations of the algorithm, and enumerates several unresolved open problems.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Tiling enumeration

Wang tiles ([13, 14]) are unit square tiles, each with a character on its four edges, such as

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \square \\ \alpha \quad \beta \\ b \end{array}.$$

In general, the characters on the left and right edges of a tile are taken from a character set, which we call the *horizontal character set*; while the characters on the top and bottom edges are taken from another character set, which we call the *vertical character set*. Wang tiles can be joined edge-to-edge, provided that the characters on adjacent edges are identical. For example, the horizontal concatenation of two Wang tiles is


$$\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ \square \\ \alpha_1 \quad \beta_1 \\ b_1 \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \square \\ \alpha_2 \quad \beta_2 \\ b_2 \end{array} = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \quad a_2 \\ \square \quad \square \\ \alpha_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \beta_2 \\ b_1 \quad b_2 \end{array}, & \beta_1 = \alpha_2; \\ \emptyset, & \beta_1 \neq \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

A *Wang tiling* requires covering a specific region with Wang tiles that match edge-to-edge. Under the standard rule, Wang tiles cannot be rotated or flipped, and each position on the edge of the given region is assigned a fixed character (called the *boundary character*, usually denoted as \sharp), requiring the tiles on the edge to match these characters. Various variants of Wang tilings in finite regions can be reduced to this standard form.

For instance, we select the Wang tile set as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sharp \\ \square \\ \sharp \quad \sharp \\ \sharp \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \sharp \\ \square \\ \sharp \quad 1 \\ \sharp \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \square \\ \sharp \quad \sharp \\ \sharp \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \sharp \\ \square \\ 1 \quad \sharp \\ \sharp \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, one of the tilings in a 4×3 chessboard is



When the tiling region is the entire plane (or an entire quadrant), the problem of whether a tiling exists to cover the entire plane (i.e., the tiling existence problem) is undecidable [13, 14]. In fact, a significant portion of problems regarding Wang tilings on the plane are undecidable [15].

When the tiling region is finite, the problem becomes decidable (since at least all possible tilings can be enumerated), but it remains computationally complex. For example, the existence problem for Wang tilings on a $l \times h$ chessboard (whether or not boundary characters are restricted or tile rotation is allowed) is always NP, while the enumeration problem is always #P [11, 12]. Therefore, only approximate enumeration algorithms can be provided for large-scale general Wang tilings.

n -ominoes are the natural generalization of dominoes, referring to the tiles composed of n unit squares joined edge-to-edge [16, 17].

3-ominoes are also called *trominoes*, with 2 shapes (as shown below): the *right tromino* and the *straight tromino* [18].



The notation \circlearrowleft_n on a tile indicates that the polyomino can be rotated into n different orientations.

Similarly, 4, 5, \dots -ominoes have special names: tetrominoes, pentominoes, \dots

[19] provides a method for simulating Wang tilings using polyomino tilings, proving that the problem of whether general domino tilings can cover the entire plane is undecidable, and that the existence and enumeration problems for tilings on general chessboards are NP and #P, respectively.


Currently, for problems of this type, backtracking algorithms or heuristic searches are commonly employed to exhaustively enumerate all possible tilings [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. However, due to the exponential growth of computational cost with increasing problem size, such computations may require supercomputers or distributed computing systems, and in some cases, may even become entirely infeasible.

The algorithm presented in this paper is an optimized *dynamic programming* approach, which does not require the exhaustive enumeration of all possible tilings. We store the states by using multi-dimensional tensors (referred to as *state tensors*), and state transitions are implemented through specific tensor operations, which can significantly save space and improving efficiency. Furthermore, we employ modular enumeration and solving congruence equations instead of direct enumeration to avoid data overflow and further improve computational efficiency.

2.2 Tensor contractions

This paper uses \mathbb{K} to denote a general commutative (semi-)ring. a_i denotes a free index from the index set Σ_i (also referred to as the *vertical alphabet*), and σ_i denotes its size; α_i denotes a free index from the index set Θ_i (also referred to as the *horizontal alphabet*), and θ_i denotes its size.

This paper uses string diagrams to represent tensors and their operations [25, 26]. Specifically, we use closed shapes to represent tensors and pins to represent tensor indices. For example, a tensor $T_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ with 4 indices is represented as



The order of the indices (from left to right) is determined by starting at the hollow points on the graph and following the direction of the arrows. According to this order, we can define the *sequence of tensor indices* as *lexicographically ordered from left to right* (i.e., from left to right as *low-order to high-order*). For example, when each alphabet is $\{0, 1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 000\dots 0 \prec 100\dots 0 \prec 200\dots 0 \prec 010\dots 0 \prec 110\dots 0 \prec 210\dots 0 \\ & \prec 020\dots 0 \prec 120\dots 0 \prec 220\dots 0 \prec 001\dots 0 \prec 101\dots 0 \prec 201\dots 0 \\ & \prec \dots \prec 000\dots 1 \prec \dots \prec 000\dots 2 \prec \dots \end{aligned}$$

When the i -th alphabet is taken as the set of natural numbers

$$\Sigma_i = \{0, 1, \dots, (\sigma_i - 1)\},$$

the index of each sequence is

$$a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_l \mapsto a_1 + \sigma_1 a_2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 a_3 + \dots + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{l-1} a_l.$$

The general way computers store tensors is to store the values corresponding to the index sequences in this order. It is important to note that in programming languages, when accessing elements in multidimensional lists, the order is generally from left to right as *high-order to low-order*, resulting in index sequences that are exactly the reverse of what we define here. For example,


$$T_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \mapsto T[\mathbf{a}_4][\mathbf{a}_3][\mathbf{a}_2][\mathbf{a}_1].$$

The shape parameter of the tensor (containing the sizes of the index sets for each index) is also reversed in this way.


Additionally, we define the rotation of indices as

$$T_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_l} \mapsto T_{a_2 \dots a_l a_1},$$

which means rotating the first index to the last position. If we specify the order of indices by assigning an initial point and an initial direction on the graphical representation of the tensor, such a rotation can be achieved by moving the initial point forward and jumping over an index, like



By dividing the indices into row indices (represented by outward arrows) and column indices (represented by inward arrows), a tensor can be flattened into a matrix, like



For programming languages where the matrix storage format is C-style (row-major, such as C and Python), if the flattened row and column indices retain their original order, and the row indices precede the column indices (as in the example above), the flattening operation does not modify the data storing the tensor or matrix, only the shape parameters, so the time cost is negligible. Note that some languages use F-style matrix storage (column-major, such as Matlab).

After flattening both tensors into matrices, the contraction of tensor indices can be represented as matrix multiplication, like

$$(T_1)_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3 a_4} (T_2)_{a_3 a_4}^{a_5 a_6} = T_{a_1 a_2}^{a_5 a_6} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} a_1 & a_2 \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \boxed{T_1} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ a_3 & a_4 \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \boxed{T_2} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ a_5 & a_6 \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} a_1 & a_2 \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \boxed{T} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ a_5 & a_6 \end{array} \end{array},$$

where a_3, a_4 are the contracted indices.

3 Wang tiling

3.1 In twisted cylinders

We first discuss the enumeration (or weighted enumeration) problem of Wang tilings in a twisted cylinder [17], as its properties are more convenient for demonstrating the principles of our algorithm and are sufficiently general.

First, we generalize the classical Wang tile set to a \mathbb{K} -Wang tile set, where each tile carries a value from \mathbb{K} as its *weight*, and the weights are multiplied during tiling. For example, the horizontal concatenation of two \mathbb{K} -Wang tiles is

$$(k_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a_1 & \beta_1 \\ \hline b_1 & \end{array}) \circ (k_2 \cdot \alpha_2 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a_2 & \beta_2 \\ \hline b_2 & \end{array}) = \begin{cases} k_1 k_2 \cdot \alpha_1 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a_1 & a_2 \\ \hline b_1 & b_2 \end{array} \beta_2, & \beta_1 = \alpha_2; \\ 0, & \beta_1 \neq \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$


The total weight after tiling is defined as the sum of all possible tile pairings. Thus, the original Wang tile set and its operations can be seen as a special case when \mathbb{K} is taken as the Boolean semiring $\langle \{0, 1\}, \vee, \wedge \rangle$.

It can be observed that there is an obvious correspondence between \mathbb{K} -Wang tile sets and \mathbb{K} -tensors with 4 indices, where the characters on the four edges are treated as indices, and the weight of the tile is treated as the value in the tensor corresponding to those indices. Therefore, both horizontal and vertical tiling of \mathbb{K} -Wang tiles can be seen as tensor index contractions, and the rules are completely equivalent. We assume the order of these indices to be left, top, bottom, right.

When \mathbb{K} is taken as \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{Z} , the weight of a tile can be understood as the *enumeration*, and a tile with a weight k means that there are k tiles in the tile set with the same edge characters but distinguishable from each other (e.g., with different central characters or colors). In this case, calculating the total weight after the tensor contraction under a given order is equivalent to enumerating the tilings under this order.

The construction of the twisted cylinder discussed in this section is as follows: take a strip composed of S squares connected horizontally, and wrap it around a cylinder with circumference


l , such that the square with index $i + l$ is placed below the square with index i (as shown below, the numbers in the squares represent their indices).



It is not difficult to see that when $S \geq l$ (as shown in the left), the top and bottom edges of the twisted cylinder always have the same shape: a twisted line of length l , connected at both ends by a unit vertical line. For the degenerate case where $S < l$ (as shown in the right), the boundary of the strip can be extended (i.e., adding the bold lines in the figure) to maintain this edge shape.

If tiles are placed in the twisted cylinder in the order of the original horizontal strip, the remaining region will still be a twisted cylinder with circumference l , and the shape of the edges will not change.


We assign a character from the vertical alphabet Σ to each horizontal edge of the twisted cylinder's top edge (ignoring the bottom edge for now), and a character from the horizontal alphabet Θ to each vertical edge, and always treat *the lower endpoint of the vertical edge as the starting point*. The characters on the vertical edge (as indices) are arranged *counterclockwise* to form a word (sequence) of length $l + 1$, taken from $\Theta\Sigma^l$. The combination of such words with weights from \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{K} -word set) can be represented as tensors in the same way as \mathbb{K} -tile sets, like



Next, we take \mathbb{K} as \mathbb{Z} and discuss the enumeration algorithm for such tilings. Consider the \mathbb{K} -word set (tensor representation) of the word on the top edge of the twisted cylinder at a certain moment as $W_{\alpha a_1 a_2 \dots a_l}$. At this time, place a Wang tile $T_{\alpha a_1 b_1}$ at the first position of the twisted cylinder region (that is, the Γ -shaped bend on the top edge). The enumeration of the new word on the edge (note that the starting point has moved one unit to the right) is

$$(W \times T)_{\beta a_2 \dots a_l b_1} := \sum_{\alpha a_1 \in \Theta \Sigma} W_{\alpha a_1 a_2 \dots a_l} T_{\alpha a_1 b_1 \beta},$$

and the string diagram is



That is, the indices $\alpha_1 a_1$ on the seam are contracted, and then the index b_1 corresponding to the character below the tile is rotated to the end (corresponding to the movement of the starting point). Without changing the encoding, the above can also be expressed in the form of matrix operations, such as

$$(W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2}^{\alpha_3 \dots a_l b_1} := W_{\alpha_1 a_1}^{\alpha_2 \dots a_l} T_{b_1 \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}.$$

However, directly multiplying the two matrices on the right would result in a matrix with indices $(WT)_{b_1\alpha_2}^{a_2\dots a_l}$, which differs from our defined $W \times T$ by an index rotation. The reason for defining $W \times T$ in this way is to ensure that in each matrix multiplication, the column indices of the left matrix are always the first two indices, thus ensuring that the index contractions can be converted into matrix multiplications.

To save the computational cost of rotating indices, we transform the above operations into equivalent batched matrix multiplications.

Note that if the free index b_1 is fixed to $b^* \in \Sigma$ (i.e., taking a slice of the tensor along this index), then

$$(W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2 \dots a_l b^*} = W_{\alpha_1 a_1}^{a_2 \dots a_l} T_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1},$$

where the encoding of $T_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}$ equals to a slice of the tensor $T_{b_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_1 a_1}$. Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, (\sigma - 1)\}$, according to the tensor encoding rules, the encoding of $(W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2 \dots a_l b_1}$ equals to the concatenated encoding of

$$(W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2 \dots a_l 0}, (W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2 \dots a_l 1}, \dots, (W \times T)_{\alpha_2 a_2 \dots a_l (\sigma-1)}.$$

Therefore, to obtain the encoding of $(W \times T)$, we only need to multiply $W_{\alpha_1 a_1}^{a_2 \dots a_l}$ sequentially with

$$T_{0\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}, T_{1\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}, \dots, T_{(\sigma-1)\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1},$$

and concatenate the resulting matrices vertically (this concatenation does not consume time, as it only requires connecting the output memory spaces).

When using NumPy, we can represent T as a 3-dimensional tensor with shape $(\sigma, \sigma\theta, \theta)$, which is obtained by stacking σ (corresponding to the first value of the shape parameter) matrices of size $\sigma\theta \times \theta$ (corresponding to the last two values)

$$T_{0\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}, T_{1\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}, \dots, T_{(\sigma-1)\alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1},$$

along the third dimension. Thus, when computing $W \times T$, we only need to reshape W to $(\sigma^{l-1}, \sigma\theta)$ and perform NumPy's matrix multiplication. According to its broadcasting mechanism, since W lacks one dimension, it will first be expanded by adding a dimension and broadcasted (copied into σ copies and stacked), resulting in a 3-dimensional tensor with shape $(\sigma, \sigma^{l-1}, \sigma\theta)$. Then, the last two dimensions will be treated as the number of rows and columns for matrix multiplication, yielding a 3-dimensional tensor with shape $(\sigma, \sigma^{l-1}, \theta)$, which is exactly the tensor obtained by stacking the matrices

$$(W \times T)_{\alpha_2}^{a_2 \dots a_l 0}, (W \times T)_{\alpha_2}^{a_2 \dots a_l 1}, \dots, (W \times T)_{\alpha_2}^{a_2 \dots a_l (\sigma-1)},$$

along the third dimension. For languages without such broadcasting mechanisms, the above operations are also straightforward to implement.

Starting from the initial top-edge word set that only contain $\#\#\dots\#$ (with a weight 1), using this algorithm to place tiles sequentially and summing the results, we can calculate the number N of the word on the bottom edge after tiling the entire twisted cylinder. Different positions can also specify different tile sets, i.e., defining

$$W_{i+1} = (W_i \times T_i),$$

where T_i is the \mathbb{Z} tile set at the position with index i .

However, when the word enumeration becomes too large, matrix operations are prone to data overflow. To address this issue, we select multiple prime numbers p (discussed later), and modify the above operations to modulo p operations, i.e., taking the result modulo p after each matrix

operation. Thus, the tiling enumeration becomes a modulo p enumeration, projecting the ring \mathbb{Z} of the tensor onto \mathbb{Z}_p , and the \mathbb{Z} -tensor is projected onto a \mathbb{Z}_p -tensor. It is easy to prove that such a projection preserves all the tensor operations used above.

According to the Chinese Remainder Theorem, if $\gcd(p, P) = 1$, then the system of congruences for R' (where $[R']_p$ denotes the congruence class of R' modulo p)

$$\begin{cases} [R']_p = [r]_p; \\ [R']_P = [R]_P \end{cases}$$

has the solution

$$[R']_{pP} = [P^- Pr + p^- pR]_{pP},$$

where $P^- \in [P]_p^{-1}$ and $p^- \in [p]_P^{-1}$ satisfy

$$P^- P + p^- p = 1.$$

Such P^- and p^- can be obtained using the Euclidean algorithm on (p, P) .

Thus, the following steps can be used to compute the enumeration in the general sense through modular enumeration: First, estimate the lower and upper bounds of the desired enumeration, such as

$$\underline{N} \leq N < \overline{N}.$$

Then, assume N lies in the congruence class $[R]_p$. Starting with $R = 0$ and $P = 1$ (where $[0]_1 = \mathbb{Z}$), select sufficiently many prime numbers (this ensures $\gcd(p, P) = 1$) p for modular enumeration, and replace the original $[R]_P$ with the obtained $[R']_{pP}$, repeating the process as follows:

$$\begin{cases} P \mapsto pP; \\ R \mapsto (P^- Pr + p^- pR) \% pP. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\%$ denotes the modulo operation, ensuring $R < P$. After repeating this process a sufficient number of times until $P > \overline{N} - \underline{N}$, there will be only one number in $[R]_P$ that falls within $[\underline{N}, \overline{N}]$, which is the desired enumeration. This number can be obtained using

$$N = \underline{N} + (R - \underline{N}) \% P.$$

When the product P of the accumulated primes is less than $(\overline{N} - \underline{N})$, the above formula may not yield the desired enumeration; however, when $P \geq (\overline{N} - \underline{N})$, N will be the required enumeration and will remain fixed, with no further changes to R or P affecting its value.

In this case, to make the algorithm stop faster, a *halting mechanism* can be added: given an integer $\tau > 0$, stop when the above formula yields the same N for τ consecutive rounds of solving the congruence equations, and output this N as the result. Note that R does not change if and only if

$$p \mid (P^- Pr + p^- pR) \Leftrightarrow p \mid r \Leftrightarrow p \mid N,$$

i.e., p exactly divides the desired enumeration, with a probability (though not strictly mathematical) of approximately $\frac{1}{p}$.

Therefore, under the above setup, the probability of misjudgment is approximately the reciprocal of the product of the last τ primes used. At the same time, if a misjudgment occurs, the deviation is at least the product of these τ primes. As long as the probability of misjudgment is acceptable, or the deviation is large enough to be detected (if an error is detected, increase τ and recompute), the above setup can be used to stop the computation more promptly.

Next, we discuss the selection of prime numbers p . If p is chosen too large, it may still cause data overflow, but under the premise of avoiding overflow, the larger p is, the more efficient the algorithm becomes. Therefore, we need to determine the upper bound \bar{p} for the selection of p , and search for primes starting from this number downward to use as p .

Note that each matrix multiplication in the algorithm takes the form

$$W_{\alpha_1 a}^{\dots} T_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a},$$

i.e., the rows of $W_{\alpha_1 a}^{\dots}$ are multiplied by the columns of $T_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a}$. Here, the entries of $W_{\alpha_1 a}^{\dots}$ are uncertain, but after taking modulo p , they do not exceed $p - 1$. There are two cases to consider:

(1) The terms of T are all non-negative.

In this case, assume the data type is a 32-bit unsigned integer, with a range from 0 to $2^{32} - 1$. In a tiling enumeration where the tile sets at each position are T_i , we define

$$S_{\text{col}} := \max_i \max_{\alpha_2} \sum_{a \alpha_1} (T_i)_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a},$$

as the maximum column sum of $(T_i)_{0 \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a_1}$ during matrix multiplication. Then, the largest possible number obtained from row-column multiplication should satisfy

$$(p - 1)S_{\text{col}} \leq 2^{32} - 1.$$

Thus, p only needs to be no larger than the upper bound

$$\bar{p} = \left\lfloor \frac{2^{32} - 1}{S_{\text{col}}} \right\rfloor + 1$$

to ensure no data overflow occurs.

(2) T has negative terms.

In this case, assume the data type is a 32-bit signed integer, with a range from -2^{31} to $2^{31} - 1$. We need to ensure that the data does not overflow in either the positive or negative direction.

Let T_i^+ denote the tensor obtained by retaining only the positive entries of T_i (setting all other entries to 0), and let T_i^- denote the tensor obtained by retaining only the negative entries. Define

$$S_{\text{col}}^+ := \max_i \max_{\alpha_2} \sum_{a \alpha_1} (T_i^+)_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a};$$

$$S_{\text{col}}^- := \max_i \max_{\alpha_2} \sum_{a \alpha_1} (T_i^-)_{b^* \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1 a}.$$

Then, the largest and smallest possible numbers obtained from row-column multiplication should satisfy

$$(p - 1)S_{\text{col}}^+ \leq 2^{31} - 1;$$


$$(p - 1)S_{\text{col}}^- \geq -2^{31}.$$

Thus, the upper bound for p should be

$$\bar{p} = \left\lfloor \min \left(\frac{2^{31} - 1}{S_{\text{col}}^+}, -\frac{2^{31}}{S_{\text{col}}^-} \right) \right\rfloor + 1.$$

3.2 In rectangle chessboards

In this section, we consider the following Wang tiling in a chessboard: Tiling a $l \times h$ (width and height, respectively) chessboard with Wang tiles, where each position on the boundary of the region has the character \sharp (no longer shown in the figures). Note that a rectangle can always be rolled into a twisted cylinder by horizontally joining the pair of positions with horizontal and vertical indices (l, j) and $(1, j + 1)$ together, like



The Wang tiling in the chessboard can be viewed as Wang tiling in a twisted cylinder with the initial top edge marked by \sharp , with the additional restriction that the characters on the bold lines in the figure must be \sharp . This can be achieved by modifying the tile sets at the boundary positions (shaded regions in the figure). For example, for all tile sets on the right edge, only tiles with the right edge marked by \sharp are retained. Apply this processing operation separately to the left, right, and bottom edges.

If we fix l and want to quickly provide the enumeration for each height h , we can apply the above processing only to the left and right edges, and then perform tiling layer by layer. After each layer is fully tiled, note that the weight of the word $\sharp \dots \sharp$ in the resulting \mathbb{Z}_p -word set is exactly a specific term of the state tensor at that moment. If \sharp is the first character in the alphabet, then according to the encoding order, its weight is always the first term of the tensor. We only need to extract this term as the modulo enumeration of tilings satisfying the bottom edge condition at the current height.

It is worth noting that since our algorithm does not require a common tile set for all positions, it also does not require the character sets at each position to be the same, only that the character sets on adjacent edges match. Therefore, after processing the tile sets on the edges as described above, we can retain the original character set on the edge or directly change the character set on that edge to $\{\sharp\}$. However, for simplicity, we usually fix the horizontal and vertical character sets to sizes θ and σ .

Next, we discuss the complexity of the algorithm. At each moment, the \mathbb{Z}_p -word set of the twisted ring is taken from $\Theta \Sigma^l$, so the state tensor (representing this \mathbb{Z}_p -word set) has a size of $\sigma^l \theta$. The algorithm needs to store two such tensors, occupying space $2s_{\text{data}} \sigma^l \theta$ where s_{data} is the memory size of a single integer variable (e.g., $s_{\text{data}} = 4\text{B}$ when the variable type is 32-bit integer). It can be seen that the space occupied by the state tensor increases extremely rapidly with σ and l . When the data type of the integer is fixed, the total space complexity is $\Theta(\sigma^l \theta)$. In comparison, the space occupied by other structures in the algorithm is negligible.

Assuming n_p is the number of primes need to be used, each prime requires tiling at $S = lh$ positions, and each tiling operation involves matrix multiplication, which requires traversing the state tensor once, resulting in a time complexity of $\Theta(\sigma^l \theta n_p lh)$.

If the desired enumeration is N , the distance between the upper and lower bounds is sufficiently large, and the automatic halting mechanism mentioned in the previous section is used to determine the number of primes selected, the algorithm will stop shortly after the product of the used primes

exceeds N . The number of primes used can be estimated as

$$n_p \approx \frac{\ln N}{\ln \bar{p}},$$

where the constant \bar{p} is determined by the selected \mathbb{Z} -tile set. And for a fixed \mathbb{Z} -tile set, the maximum value of N obtained usually tends to increase exponentially with the increase of height h . Thus, we can also estimate the time complexity as $\Theta(\sigma^l \theta l h^2)$.


It can be seen that both the space and time complexity of the algorithm increase primarily with the size of the vertical character set σ and the width of the chessboard l . The impact of other parameters on complexity is relatively small. When l cannot be reduced, the size of the vertical character set should be minimized as much as possible to improve algorithm efficiency. Additionally, when $\sigma^l \theta > \theta^h \sigma$, to improve efficiency, the entire tiling region and tile sets should be transposed before enumerating.

3.3 In regions of other shapes


Our algorithm can also be further applied to the enumeration of Wang tilings in finite regions of other shapes. The approach remains to reduce the problem to Wang tilings on a twisted cylinder. We will provide three examples.

Example 3.1. *In irregular chessboards.*

For an irregular chessboard, it can be viewed as a twisted cylinder with certain blocks removed, which is equivalent to that each of these positions is occupied by a Wang tile . For example, consider the *3rd order 3-pillow* ([3]) shown below: restrict the characters on bold lines to be \sharp , and assign  as the (\mathbb{K} -) Wang tile set to each of the gray positions, so the tiling enumeration in this area is converted into the tiling enumeration in a twisted cylinder.



In fact, the enumeration of Wang tilings in irregular regions is equivalent to the contraction of tensors with irregular connectivity. Such calculation can often be optimized by adjusting the order of the tensor contraction, potentially leading to higher efficiency than our algorithm. For instance, consider the snake-shaped region shown below: if embedded in a twisted cylinder, the size of the state tensor reaches a maximum of $\theta \sigma^5$. However, if the tensors are contracted in the order indicated by the arrows, the maximum size is only $\max(\theta, \sigma)$.




Nevertheless, finding the most efficient contraction order is a complex problem [26], and we will not delve further into this discussion here.

Example 3.2. In $l \times h$ cylinders (which are not “twisted”).

First, consider a *vertically oriented* cylinder, where l is the width and h is the circumference. This is equivalent to removing the offset during the assembly of the twisted cylinder or applying a one-step offset in the opposite direction. We can achieve this effect using the following Wang tile set:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Theta} \sum_{\beta \in \Theta} \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \alpha \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline \end{array} \beta.$$

Note that we always consider the Wang tile set as a \mathbb{K} -Wang tile set with weights assigned as either 0 or 1, thereby enabling the summation over it. By adding a column of positions at the seam (the gray regions in the figure below) where the $l \times h$ chessboard is rolled and joined into a twisted cylinder, assigning this tile to these positions, and restricting the characters on the boundary (the bold lines) to be \sharp , the resulting tilings correspond one-to-one with Wang tilings on the $l \times h$ cylinder. The long equal sign in the figure shows the path of these Wang tiles passing horizontal characters.



Note that the characters on the top and bottom edges of this tile set are horizontal characters, which serve to record and transmit horizontal characters. In this case, the size of the state tensor is $\theta^2 \sigma^l$, which is only a factor of θ larger than that of the $l \times h$ chessboard.

Alternatively, there is another reduction method. For convenience, we now consider a *horizontally oriented* cylinder, where l is the width and h is the circumference. This is equivalent to gluing the top and bottom edges of the chessboard.

First, replace each Wang tile in the (\mathbb{K} -)tile set as follows (keeping the weights unchanged):

$$\alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} \beta \mapsto \sum_{c \in \Sigma} \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ac \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline bc \\ \hline \end{array} \beta.$$

Here, Σ is the vertical alphabet of the top edge of the column where the tile set is located. That is, a new vertical character is appended to the vertical characters on the top and bottom edges, which records the character of the top edge of the column and transmits it downward during the tiling process.


Thus, when tiling such Wang tiles on the $l \times h$ chessboard, the tiles at the top edge are replaced as follows:

$$\alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ac \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline bc \\ \hline \end{array} \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \beta \\ \hline ba \\ \hline \end{array}, & a = c; \\ 0, & a \neq c. \end{cases}$$

That is, only the cases where the top two characters are equal are retained under the usual constraints (thus, the character l records the top character of this column). Similarly, at the bottom edge, we impose the constraint:

$$\alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ac \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline bc \\ \hline \end{array} \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ab \\ \hline \beta \\ \hline \end{array}, & b = c; \\ 0, & b \neq c. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to requiring that the bottom and top characters of the column must both be l , effectively gluing the top and bottom edges of the chessboard, as shown in the figure below.



Since each vertical character is doubled, the size of the state tensor for the torus case increases from $\theta\sigma^l$ (for the rectangle chessboard case) to $\theta\sigma^{2l}$. If such a cylinder is transposed and processed using the first method, the tensor size (note the interchange of horizontal and vertical directions) becomes $\theta^h\sigma^2$. Therefore, this approach is only more efficient than the first method when $\sigma^{2l-2} < \theta^{h-1}$.

Example 3.3. *In $l \times h$ torus.*

This is equivalent to applying the second method of Example 3.2 to the vertically oriented cylinder case (processed using the first method of Example 3.2) to join the top and bottom edges together. In this case, the size of the state tensor becomes $\theta^3\sigma^{2h}$. The complexity of the torus case is inevitably much higher than that of all the aforementioned regions with the same l and h , which is related to the topological structure of the torus: the torus has no boundary, so regardless of the order of tiling, information about all positions on the edges of the already tiled stack must be recorded.

Note that the topological structure of torus, like the rectangle chessboard, is transpose-symmetric. When $\sigma^{2h-3} > \theta^{2l-3}$, the entire structure can be transposed (the state tensor size becomes $\theta^{2l}\sigma^3$) to enhance computational efficiency.

4 Polyomino tiling


In this section, we will demonstrate how to transform polyomino tilings in a rectangle chessboard (which can be generalized to any area we discussed in the previous chapter) into Wang tilings, thereby enabling the use of our algorithm for enumeration.

All computational results in this chapter are saved at <https://github.com/liang-kai-ruqi>, and many of them have also been recorded in the corresponding sequences in OEIS.

Note that we always assume that polyominoes can be rotated, while Wang tiles cannot.

4.1 I-shaped polyominoes

We first consider the problem of tiling enumeration of I-shaped polyominoes in a rectangle chessboard. I-shaped polyominoes refer to rectangular tiles of size $1 \times i$, as shown in the figure below.



We shall denote the first three by $\square, \square, \square$ respectively.


Example 4.1. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using I-shaped polyominoes.*

We select the horizontal and vertical alphabets as $\{\#, 1\}$, where $\#$ is the boundary character. The Wang tile set (also treated as a \mathbb{Z} -tile set with weights 0 or 1) is defined as

$$\begin{array}{c} \# \\ \square \\ \# \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \square \\ 1 \end{array}.$$

Here, $\Sigma = \Theta = \{\#, 1\}$, $\sigma = \theta = 2$. Hereafter, we shall always assume that the horizontal and vertical alphabets of the Wang tile set consist of all the symbols that appear in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively.

Observed that the tiles from the tile set above can and can only form polyominoes with *edges consisting only of boundary characters* as shown below (boundary characters $\#$ are no longer drawn).



This exactly matches the polyominoes required by the problem, and each polyomino corresponds to a *unique* decomposition into the Wang tile set we provided. We call such a Wang tile set a *Wang decomposition* of the polyomino. Thus, if a polyomino in any region has a Wang decomposition, its tiling enumeration is equal to the tiling enumeration of its Wang decomposition, which our algorithm can be used for.

For \mathbb{Z} -Wang tile sets, the above *one-to-one correspondence* can naturally be relaxed to *enumeration correspondence*. We will provide a concrete example in Subsection 4 of this section.

We will provide a more detailed demonstration of the calculation process for this example based on this Wang decomposition, as the processes for other examples in this paper are similar.

In this paper, we always select the data type of integer variables as signed or unsigned 32-bit integer (with a size of 4B). Based on the tile set in this example, to ensure no data overflow occurs,

the numbers in the state tensor should not exceed 1431655765. Starting from this number, we select 100 primes downward, obtaining the sequence

$$1431655751, 1431655747, 1431655739, \dots, 1431653609, 1431653599.$$

The product of these primes is approximately 3.8355×10^{915} , ensuring it is greater than the number we need to compute. This shows that although primes become sparser as numbers grow larger, they are more than sufficient for our algorithm.

We set the halting mechanism to halt enumerating when the remainder R for each height remains unchanged for 2 consecutive iterations. The estimated probability of misjudgment is less than

$$\frac{1}{1431653609 \times 1431653599} = 2.0496 \times 10^{-18},$$

which is entirely acceptable. Moreover, based on the growth trend of the computed arrays, misjudgments can be easily detected.

We compute all cases for $l \leq 27$ for each $h \leq 27$, and a part of the results are shown in the table below:

h	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 3$	$l = h$
1	1	2	4	1
2	2	7	29	7
3	4	29	257	257
4	8	124	2408	50128
5	16	533	22873	50796983
6	32	2293	217969	264719566561
7	64	9866	2078716	7063448084710944
8	128	42451	19827701	963204439792722969647
9	256	182657	189133073	670733745303300958404439297
10	512	785932	1804125632	2384351527902618144856749327661056
...
				112141233
				91782354534780513253590322591761047
				82621009619753629967214626060801782
				86591734152445275752693375509038806
				86290305936932747868007859481561949
	67	46678	808678	27958742997370483908403590044519371
	108	068726	8352164238	84879122372292175736987337417102146
27	864	464909	5015065537	70845936432144328546887909131495553

Table 1: Some results of I-shaped polyominoes tiling enumeration in rectangle chessboards

It can be seen that, whether for fixed width or when width equals height, the number of tiling solutions roughly exhibits exponential growth as the height increases. In fact, most polyomino tiling enumeration in a rectangle chessboard follow this pattern, while a few exhibit periodicity on top of this, such as periodic fluctuations or periodic occurrences of enumeration being 0.

Additionally, the computation time and primes number n_p used for each width are shown in the table below:

The total computation time for the above was 45175s, with 53.667% of the time spent on computing the case with the largest width. It can be observed that, for a fixed maximum height, the computation time increases roughly exponentially with the width l of the tiling region: Roughly speaking, each increase in width by 1 doubles the computation time. This highlights the significant impact of chessboard width on algorithm complexity.

l	time	n_p	l	time	n_p	l	time	n_p
1	0.002s	3	10	0.037s	6	19	51.42s	21
2	0.003s	4	11	0.075s	13	20	112.5s	22
3	0.002s	5	12	0.149s	14	21	236.5s	23
4	0.003s	6	13	0.564s	15	22	508.9s	24
5	0.003s	7	14	0.757s	16	23	1101s	25
6	0.005s	8	15	1.561s	17	24	2415s	26
7	0.006s	9	16	3.823s	18	25	5218s	27
8	0.011s	10	17	10.66s	19	26	11427s	28
9	0.021s	12	18	22.90s	20	27	24244s	29

Table 2: Calculation time and used primes numbers of I-shaped polyominoes tiling enumeration in rectangle chessboards

Furthermore, the most time-consuming step of the algorithm is always matrix operations (multiplication and modulo), while the time spent on other steps (such as solving congruence equations) is negligible.

Special I-shaped polyominoes can also be decomposed into Wang tiles. For example:


Example 4.2. Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\square + \square$.

These two polyominoes have Wang decompositions (hereafter, characters not shown are all #):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \square + \square_2 + \square_1 + \square_1 \\
 + \square_2 + 2\square_1 + \square_1 + 1\square_1.
 \end{array}$$

Here, $\sigma = \theta = 3$.

The tiles in the first row are *root tiles*, while the others, called *branch tiles*, are used for *growing* the tiles. The numbers on each tile indicate the number of tiles needed to grow to the right or downward from that position (as shown in the figure below).



This approach of *tiles growing* is a general method for designing Wang decompositions of I-shaped polyominoes. It is easy to see that the root tiles in the horizontal and vertical directions

$$\square_2, \square_2$$

determine the total number of tiles, while the remaining tiles (branch tiles) are used for growth in various directions. If necessary, new *process tiles* of the form

$$(i+1)\square_i, \square_i^{(i+1)}$$

We shall denote them by $\square, \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ respectively. Thus, there are $2^5 - 1 = 31$ different non-empty tile sets in total.

Based on observation, we have:

Proposition 4.2. *If a (\mathbb{K} -)Wang tile set \mathcal{T} is a Wang decomposition of a polyomino set \mathcal{M} , then*

$\mathcal{T} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$ is a Wang decomposition of $\mathcal{M} + \square$.

(This operation on \mathcal{T} , adding $\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$, does not change the number of horizontal or vertical characters.)

Therefore, we will not list polyomino sets that include \square .

Example 4.5. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$.*

It has a Wang decomposition:

$$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} 2' + 2' \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}.$$

Here, $\sigma = \theta = 2$.

Clearly, these three Wang tiles can only form the shape of $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ in the way shown below.



Although there is a vertical line inside the polyomino with a boundary character, it does not matter.

However, the enumeration of $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ tilings on a rectangle chessboard is obvious: it is 1 if both l and h are even, and 0 otherwise. Thus, we only need to use the above Wang decomposition and Proposition 4.2 to compute the case of $\square + \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$.

Example 4.6. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$.*

It has a Wang decomposition:

$$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + 1 \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} 1 + 2' \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} 2'.$$

Here, $\sigma = 2, \theta = 3$.

That is, based on the Wang decomposition of $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ in Example 4.3, we add

$$2' \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} 2'.$$

These, together with $\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$, exactly form $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ (as in the Example 4.5).

By analogy with this example, we've observed that:

Proposition 4.3. *If a (\mathbb{K} -)Wang tile set \mathcal{T} is a Wang decomposition of a polyomino set \mathcal{M} , and it satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) *It includes $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ (with weight 1).*

(2) *It does not include the horizontal character $2'$.*

Then,

$$\mathcal{T} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 2' \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + 2' \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is a Wang decomposition of $\mathcal{M} + \boxplus$.

(This operation on \mathcal{T} increases the number of horizontal characters by one and does not change the number of vertical characters, having little impact on the algorithm's efficiency.)

Since all tile sets listed below in this section satisfy the above conditions, we will not list polyomino sets that include \boxplus .

Example 4.7. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\boxplus + \boxminus$.*

It has a Wang decomposition:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleright} \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleright} \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \\ + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleright} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleleft} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleright} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \square \\ \hline 1_{\triangleleft} \\ \hline \end{array}. \end{array}$$

Here, $\sigma = 3$, $\theta = 4$.

This is equivalent to selecting the tiles in the first row as *root tiles* for *tree-like growth* (which allows branching), with the following rules:

(1) The growth direction of the root tiles can only be downward or to the right; the growth direction of other tiles can only be downward, to the left, or to the right.

(2) A total of 2 or 3 tiles are grown (i.e., the sum of the numbers on the root tiles is 1 or 2).

(3) The second growth direction must differ from the first. In other words, the corresponding branch tiles (all in the second row) must be *turning*.

It is easy to verify that this can uniquely obtain each orientation of $\boxplus + \boxminus$ under rotation (as shown below).



Note that horizontal growth has two distinct directions, so $\triangleleft 1$ and 1_{\triangleright} are used to distinguish them. Otherwise, an additional polyomino (as shown below) would appear.



Thus, by merging the horizontal characters $\triangleleft 1$ and $1 \triangleright$ into 1 , we obtain the Wang decomposition for $\boxplus + \boxplus + \boxplus$, which has 2 fewer horizontal characters than the Wang decomposition obtained using Proposition 4.3.

Example 4.8. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using \boxplus (right trominoes).*

By restricting the number of tiles to 3 in Example 4.7, i.e., removing the root tiles with 2 tiles

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright,$$

the resulting Wang decomposition is

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright \\ + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 1 \triangleright + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + 1 \triangleright \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \triangleleft 1.$$

Here, $\sigma = 3$, $\theta = 4$.

Example 4.9. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\boxplus + \boxplus + \boxplus$ (dominoes and all trominoes).*

Simply remove rule (3) from Example 4.7, i.e., allow tiles to grow without turning. The resulting Wang decomposition is

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright \\ + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 1 \triangleright + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 1 \triangleright + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + 1 \triangleright \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \triangleleft 1.$$

Here, $\sigma = 3$, $\theta = 4$.

Example 4.10. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using $\boxplus + \boxplus$ (all trominoes).*

Simply restrict the number of tiles in rule (2) of Example 4.9 to 3, i.e., remove the corresponding root tiles

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright.$$


The resulting Wang decomposition is

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \triangleright \\ + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + 2 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 1 \triangleright + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 1 \triangleright + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + 1 \triangleright \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 2 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} 1 + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \triangleleft 1.$$

Here, $\sigma = 3$, $\theta = 4$.

4.3 Tetrominoes

Tetrominoes, also known as 4-ominoes or *Tetris pieces*, have 7 different shapes (as shown below).



Example 4.11. *Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using all tetrominoes.*

Based on the growth rules in Example 4.10 (trominoes), we add one more to the total number of tiles by one, i.e.,


(1) The growth direction of the root tiles can only be downward or to the right; the growth direction of other tiles can only be downward, to the left, or to the right. Multiple directions can grow simultaneously.

(2) A total of 4 tiles are grown (i.e., the sum of the numbers on the root tiles is 3).

This results in the following tile set:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \square_3 + \square_2 \downarrow_1 + \square_1 \downarrow_2 \\
 & + \leftarrow_2 \square_3 + \square_3 + \square_2 \downarrow_3 + \leftarrow_1 \square_3 + \leftarrow_1 \square_3 \downarrow_1 + \square_3 \downarrow_1 \\
 & + \leftarrow_1 \square_2 + \square_2 + \square_1 \downarrow_2 + 2 \downarrow_2 \square_1 + 2 \downarrow_2 \square_1 + \leftarrow_1 \square_1 \leftarrow_2 + \square_1 \leftarrow_2 \\
 & + \square_1 + 1 \downarrow_1 \square_1 + \square_1 \leftarrow_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The only difference between this Wang tile set and a Wang decomposition of all tetrominoes is that it has 3 different ways of growth into \boxplus (as shown below), causing repeated enumeration of \boxplus three times.



To resolve this issue, we split the horizontal character \downarrow_2 into \downarrow_2 and \downarrow'_2 , where \downarrow'_2 indicates that the upstream tile grows both to the right and downward, and \downarrow_2 represents other cases. If the tile downstream of \downarrow'_2 grows downward, it forms a \sqcap -shape. We modify the weight of the downstream

tile $\downarrow'_2 \square_1$ to -1 , so that the weight of \sqcap -shaped grown \boxplus



is -1 , which exactly cancels out the weights of the other two growth methods to yield 1, thereby eliminating the repeated enumeration of $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$.


The resulting Wang decomposition of tetrominoes (as a \mathbb{Z} -tile set) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \square_3 + \square_2 \uparrow_1 + \square_1 \uparrow_2' \\
 & + \triangleleft_2 \square_3 + \square_2^3 + \square_2 \uparrow_3 + \triangleleft_1 \square_3 + \triangleleft_1 \square_3 \uparrow_1 + \square_3 \uparrow_1 \\
 & + \triangleleft_1 \square_2 + \square_1^2 + \square_1 \uparrow_2 + 2 \square_1 \uparrow_1 + 2 \square_1 - 2' \square_1 + \triangleleft_1 \square_2 \triangleleft_2 + \square_1 \triangleleft_2 \\
 & + \square_1 + 1 \uparrow \square + \square \triangleleft_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\sigma = 4$, $\theta = 6$.

4.4 Pentominoes


Pentominoes, also known as 5-ominoes, have 18 distinct shapes under rotation (as shown below, note that flipped symmetric pentominoes share the same letter as their label):



Example 4.12. Enumerate the tilings in a $l \times h$ chessboard using all pentominoes.

We continue using the approach in the previous example, add one more to the total number of tiles.

On this basis, note that any pentomino containing $\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}$ (i.e., the two P-pentominoes, each with 4 orientations) has exactly 3 different ways of growth, such as




We need to modify the weight of each polyomino containing a \sqcap -shaped growth to -1 . This requires splitting the three characters 2_{\triangleright} , 3_{\triangleright} , and $\triangleleft 2$ into two characters each, as in the previous example, and then changing the weight of their downstream (either right or left) Wang tiles to -1 .


Additionally, since we prohibit upward growth, this tile set cannot form U-shaped pentomino with an upward opening (as shown below).



Therefore, we add the horizontal character U and the special tiles



which are specifically used to form the missing pentomino, as shown below.



This results in the Wang decomposition for all pentominoes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3'_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2'_{\triangleright} \end{array} \\
& + \triangleleft 3 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \triangleleft 2' \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4 \end{array} + \triangleleft 2 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} \\
& + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4_{\triangleright} \end{array} + 4_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3 \end{array} + 4_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3_{\triangleright} \end{array} + 4_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2_{\triangleright} \end{array} + 4_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2'_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 4 \end{array} U \\
& + \triangleleft 2 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3 \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 3_{\triangleright} \end{array} + 3_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} + 3_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2_{\triangleright} \end{array} \\
& + 3_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array} - 3'_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} + 3'_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} - 3'_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array} + \triangleleft 2 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 3 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 3 \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 3 \end{array} + U \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 3 \end{array} \\
& + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 2_{\triangleright} \end{array} + 2_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1 \end{array} + 2_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array} - 2'_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1 \end{array} + 2'_{\triangleright} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array} \\
& + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 2 \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 2' \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \triangleleft 2' \end{array} \\
& + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1 \end{array} + \triangleleft 1 \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \square \\ 1_{\triangleright} \end{array}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\sigma = 5$, $\theta = 12$.

Clearly, in this case, the enumeration is positive only when at least one of l or h (without loss of generality, assume it's l) is divisible by 5. The table below presents the calculation results for the cases where $l = 5, 10$ (while h ranges over $1, 2, \dots, 20$), with computation times of 0.4228s and 3789s. Our algorithm achieved the first successful computation for the cases of $l = 10$ with large h .

$h =$	$l = 5$	$l = 10$
1	1	1
2	5	45
3	56	7670
4	501	890989
5	4006	101698212
6	27950	7845888732
7	214689	756605877809
8	1696781	75996685446347
9	13205354	7470920047174798
10	101698212	729748655181974778
11	782267786	70521242596066128006
12	6048166230	6882943628424155149082
13	46799177380	672858933871350579734838
14	361683136647	65670854176387745944044415
15	2793722300087	6406383348267533424844337077
16	21583392631817	624874119278590450628206097405
17	166790059833039	60978146945443555311094030206323
18	1288885349447958	5950711244486170431626902119957082
19	9959188643348952	580653334431250399171093742069162662
20	76953117224941654	56657284915840468039405015713225758536

Table 3: Some results of pentominoes tiling enumeration in rectangle chessboards

4.5 Related OEIS sequences

The table below lists all the polyomino tiling enumeration presented in this paper that have corresponding sequences recorded in the OEIS, as well as the previous range and the range of results calculated by our algorithm (utilizing transpose symmetry, as well as certain special cases where the enumeration is 0). The device used for calculation is a conventional computer (using a single core of AMD ryzen 7 7840hs CPU).

Below the total calculation time is for the case of calculating the maximum width, and the ratio of it to the total time consumption can roughly reflect the rate at which the total time consumption increases with the width. The rightmost column shows the approximate value of the largest count in the calculation results (although we calculate the exact value).

It can be observed that, compared to the traditional algorithms used in the original records, our algorithm improves computational efficiency in the vast majority of cases, thereby significantly expanding the computable range, especially for the cases with larger enumeration and more complex polyomino sets.




polyomino set	OEIS sequences and precious range	calculation range	time	maximum enumeration
I-shaped	A254414: $l + h \leq 30$ A254127: $l = h \leq 15$	$l + h \leq 55$	total: 53795s $l = 27$: 28489s	3.306×10^{262} ($l = 27, h = 28$)
	A219866: $l + h \leq 22$ A219874: $l = h \leq 11$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 9468s $l = 17$: 6362s	7.757×10^{59} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A220054: $l + h \leq 29$ A220061: $l = h \leq 16$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 17955s $l = 17$: 12106s	3.046×10^{77} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A219987: $l + h \leq 21$ A219994: $l = h \leq 17$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 16380s $l = 17$: 10987s	1.167×10^{68} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A353877: $l, h \leq 9$ A353934: $l = h \leq 16$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 22518s $l = 17$: 15107s	1.813×10^{107} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A233320: $l + h \leq 28$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 13299s $l = 17$: 8928s	2.173×10^{49} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A270061: $l + h \leq 25$ A270071: $l = h \leq 12$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 19344s $l = 17$: 13017s	6.584×10^{89} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A364457: $l + h \leq 25$ A364504: $l = h \leq 12$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 18331s $l = 17$: 12279s	4.358×10^{79} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A352431: $l + \frac{h}{2} \leq 40$	$l + \frac{h}{2} \leq 53$	total: 81727s $l = 26$: 41408s	1.644×10^{231} ($l = 26, h = 54$)
	A352589: $l, h \leq 9$ A353777: $l = h \leq 17$	$l + h \leq 55$	total: 75583s $l = 27$: 38039s	1.217×10^{224} ($l = 27, h = 28$)
	A219967: $l + h \leq 27$ A219975: $l = h \leq 12$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 8339s $l = 17$: 5589s	9.109×10^{27} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A219946: $l + h \leq 35$ A219952: $l = h \leq 17$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 14942s $l = 17$: 10017s	1.467×10^{36} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
	A353963: $l, h \leq 9$ A354067: $l = h \leq 16$	$l + h \leq 35$	total: 26400s $l = 17$: 17712s	1.103×10^{81} ($l = 17, h = 18$)
tetro-ominoes	A230031: $l + h \leq 20$ A263425: $l = h \leq 10$	$l + h \leq 27$	total: 8879s $l = 12$: 6494s	8.980×10^{31} ($l = 12, h = 15$)
pent-ominoes	A233427: $l + h \leq 17$	$l + h \leq 26$	total: 16814s $l = 11$: 13595s	7.977×10^{30} ($l = 11, h = 15$)
	A278657: $l + h \leq 15$	$l + h \leq 23$	total: 17578s $l = 11$: 14482s	4.576×10^{38} ($l = 11, h = 12$)

Table 4: OEIS sequences related to polyomino tiling enumeration and calculation of our algorithm


5 Further generalizations and open problems

5.1 Variants of tile shape

Our algorithm can also be generalized to the enumeration of tilings of more types. For example, *polyiamonds* and *polyhexes* are variants of polyominoes, where the unit tiles are equilateral triangles and regular hexagons, respectively, instead of squares. All the shapes of 4-iamonds (3 different shapes) and 3-hexes (3 different shapes) are shown in the figures below.



The enumeration problems for these variants can also be transformed into similar tensor operations using the techniques of *twisted cylinders* and *Wang partitions* introduced in this paper, and then computed using modular enumeration for their (weighted) enumeration. The only difference is that these variants introduce an additional directional alphabet, and the rules for index rotation in matrix operations need to be redesigned to ensure that the first few indices always participate in the multiplication. The details are straightforward and can be inferred from the movement of the initial point defining the index order, as shown in the figures below.



Similarly, our algorithm can be generalized and applied to any variant of Wang tiles that exhibits periodicity, as well as the tile sets that can be decomposed into such Wang tiles.

5.2 Tilings with restrictions

In certain tiling enumeration problems, constraints may be imposed on the number of occurrences of specific tiles or require the tilings to possess certain symmetries. We provide two sufficiently general examples corresponding to these two types of constraints, respectively, to demonstrate how our algorithm can be applied to handle these restricted cases.

Example 5.1. Enumerate the ways to place \square and $\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$ in a $l \times h$ chessboard, such that the total number of tiles is n .

Clearly, the placement of $\square + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$ in a chessboard corresponds one-to-one with the tiling of $\square + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$ on the same chessboard, as it suffices to fill all the remaining empty spaces using \square . As for the restriction on the number of tiles, it is noteworthy that during tiling in a twisted cylinder, the horizontal

characters precisely scan all positions. Therefore, the horizontal characters can be utilized to record information related to the number of tiles.

We use $\{0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1\} \times \Theta$ to replace the original horizontal alphabet Θ , where ν is greater than all the values of n that we need to calculate. In this specific case, since each Wang partition of \square or \boxplus has exactly one root tile, the number of tiles on the chessboard is precisely equal to the number of root tiles. Therefore, we perform the following substitution:

$$\alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} \beta \mapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} i \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} (i+1) \beta, & \text{root tile;} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} i \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} i \beta, & \text{not root tile.} \end{cases}$$


Then, by replacing $\#\#\dots\#$ with $0\#\#\dots\#$ as the initial word for tiling, the resulting tensor will encompass the enumeration of all tilings that restrict the number of tiles with $n = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$, as the weight of $0\#\#\dots\#, 1\#\#\dots\#, \dots, (\nu - 1)\#\#\dots\#$, precisely corresponding to the leading ν terms of the tensor. Compared to the case without the number restriction, the space complexity increased by a factor of ν in this case.

Furthermore, if the number restriction is modulo ν with remainder n , simply modify the substitution described above as follows:

$$\alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} \beta \mapsto \begin{cases} (\mu - 1) \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} 0 \beta + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} i \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} (i+1) \beta, & \text{root tile;} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} i \alpha \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline \square \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} i \beta, & \text{not root tile.} \end{cases}$$

Example 5.2. Enumerate the ways to place $\square + \boxplus$ in a $l \times h$ chessboard that the placements are left-right symmetric.

We still regard it as a tiling of $\square + \boxplus + \boxplus$. To preserve symmetry, we fold the chessboard along its vertical axis, as shown below.



When the width of the chessboard is even, each position after folding consists of two stacked tiles. We restrict these two tiles to originate from corresponding positions in two left-right symmetric polyominoes. That is, We consider the tile set to be

$$\sum \alpha \beta' \begin{array}{|c|} \hline aa' \\ \hline \square \\ \hline bb' \\ \hline \end{array} \beta \alpha',$$

where the summation symbol indicates that $\alpha \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \square \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \beta$ and $\alpha' \begin{smallmatrix} a' \\ \square \\ b' \end{smallmatrix} \beta'$ range over all Wang tiles that may appear in the left-right symmetric positions within a single polyomino or across two polyominoes. For the Wang decomposition of $\square + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$ (see Example 4.2), it is

$$\begin{array}{c} \square 2 \leftrightarrow 1 \square, \quad \square 1 \leftrightarrow 1 \square, \\ 2 \square 1 \leftrightarrow, \quad \square \leftrightarrow, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \square \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \leftrightarrow, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \square \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \leftrightarrow, \quad \square \leftrightarrow, \quad \square \leftrightarrow. \end{array}$$

The tiles in the second row are self-corresponding, meaning they only appear in positions that are symmetric with respect to the left-right symmetry within a single polyomino. Thus, the resulting tile set is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \square 21 + 12 \square + 21 \square 12 + \square 11 + 11 \square \\ + 21 \square 12 + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ 22 \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 22 \\ \square \\ 11 \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 11 \\ \square \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ 11 \end{smallmatrix} + \square. \end{array}$$

We tile these tiles on a chessboard with only half the width, where the right boundary corresponds to the vertical symmetry axis of the original chessboard. Therefore, we no longer restrict the characters on the right boundary to be \ddagger . Instead, we restrict that the two characters at the same position are identical. That is, perform the following substitution on the tile set for the rightmost column:

$$\alpha \beta' \begin{smallmatrix} aa' \\ \square \\ bb' \end{smallmatrix} \beta \alpha' \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha \beta' \begin{smallmatrix} aa' \\ \square \\ bb' \end{smallmatrix}, & \beta = \alpha'; \\ 0, & \beta \neq \alpha'. \end{cases}$$

And if the width is odd, we no longer perform the substitution described above. Instead, we add a column on the right, consisting of tiles obtained by horizontally folding the tiles from the original tile set, that is:

$$\sum \alpha \beta \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \square \\ b \end{smallmatrix},$$

where the summation symbol indicates that $\alpha \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \square \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \beta$ range over all of those Wang tiles that may appear in positions with left-right symmetry within the polyominoes.

It is not difficult to see that such restrictions on symmetry do not significantly increase the computational complexity. On the contrary, the computational complexity can likely to be decreased, as the folded tiles may contain fewer pairs of horizontal and vertical characters than the

total possible number, while the width is reduced to half or approximately half. In this example, the folded tiles contain the following horizontal and vertical character pairs (i.e., the new alphabets for positions excluding the rightmost column)

$$\hat{\Theta} = \{\#, 11, 12, 21\}, \hat{\Sigma} = \{\#, 11, 22\},$$

while their sizes are $\hat{\theta} = 4$, $\hat{\sigma} = 3$.

Consequently, for the width l , the size of the state tensor is significantly reduced from $3 \cdot 3^l$ to

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{\frac{l}{2}}, & 2 \mid l; \\ \hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{\sigma}^{\frac{l+1}{2}}, & 2 \nmid l. \end{cases}$$

In addition, one may transpose the chessboard and apply the same method to fold it vertically and perform the enumeration. In this case, the size of the tensor (or, more precisely, the size during the majority of the tiling progress) becomes

$$\hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\theta}^h.$$

When performing the enumeration, one should choose the approach that results in a smaller tensor size to enhance computational efficiency.

Based on the enumeration with symmetry restriction, by applying Polya's enumeration theorem, we can also compute the tiling enumeration that flip symmetries are not distinguished.

5.3 Open problems

As demonstrated in Section 4, the key to transforming the tiling of polyominoes (or their variants) into Wang tiling lies in the Wang decomposition. This, however, leaves numerous questions pertaining to Wang decomposition unresolved, such as:

Problem 5.1. *How can we find the simplest Wang partition (if it exists) for a given set of polyominoes (or their variants)?*

Problem 5.2. *Under what conditions can an infinite set of polyominoes (or their variants) admit a Wang partition?*

Moreover, the enumeration problem for tilings of what scale remains beyond the computational capacity of our algorithms. Consequently, we are compelled to inquire:

Problem 5.3. *Is there potential to further simplify computations for certain special tiling enumeration problems by leveraging the properties of tensors?*

Problem 5.4. *Is it possible to derive specific form of the enumeration formulas (or prove their impossibility) based on the tensor operations we defined?*

For tilings of excessively large scales, we can also provide estimations. From the computational results, it can be observed that when $l, h \rightarrow \infty$, the growth trend of most of the enumeration of tilings within $l \times h$ chessboards approximates to

$$N(l, h) \sim \lambda^l \mu^h,$$

where λ, μ are certain constants, or, satisfy such approximation periodically. For instance, in the case of $\square + \boxplus$ tiling enumeration, we can provide a rough estimate of these constants based on existing results, and the approximation:

$$N(l, h) \sim \begin{cases} 2.23^{lh}, & 3 \mid lh; \\ 0, & 3 \nmid lh. \end{cases}$$

When both l, h are not less than 6, 12, the relative errors of the estimates by this approximation falls below 3.938×10^{-14} , 1.080×10^{-51} , which are accurate enough in most scenes.

Hence, we are prompted to inquire:

Problem 5.5. *How can one prove the existence of such an approximation? If it exists, how can the corresponding constants be determined?*

References

- [1] Tri Lai. Problems in the enumeration of tilings. 2021.
- [2] Percy A. Macmahon. *Combinatory Analysis, Volumes I and II*. Combinatory Analysis, Volumes I and II, 1960.
- [3] James Propp. Enumeration of matchings: Problems and progress. *Louis J Billera*, pages págs. 255–291, 1999.
- [4] P. W. Kasteleyn. The statistics of dimers on a lattice: I. the number of dimer arrangements on a quadratic lattice. *Physica*, 27(12):1209–1225, 1961.
- [5] H. N. V. Temperley and Michael E. Fisher. Dimer problem in statistical mechanics-an exact result. *Philosophical Magazine*, 6(68):1061–1063, 1961.
- [6] Kasteleyn and W. P. Dimer statistics and phase transitions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 4(2):287–293, 1963.
- [7] David Klarner and Jordan Pollack. Domino tilings of rectangles with fixed width. *Discrete Mathematics*, 32(1):45–52, 1980.
- [8] Henry, Cohn, Richard, Kenyon, James, and Propp. A variational principle for domino tilings. *J.amer.math.soc*, 2001.
- [9] Philippe Di Francesco, Paul Zinn-Justin, and J. B. Zuber. Determinant formulae for some tiling problems and application to fully packed loops. *Annales- Institut Fourier*, 55(6):2025–2050, 2005.
- [10] Ilse Fischer. Computations versus bijections for tiling enumeration. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 2023.
- [11] Bogdan S. Chlebus. Domino-tiling games. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 32(3):374–392, 1986.
- [12] Martin Ebbesen, Paul Fischer, and Carsten Witt. Edge-matching problems with rotations. In *Springer-Verlag*, 2011.

- [13] Hao Wang. Proving theorems by pattern recognition i. *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, 3(1):220–234, 1961.
- [14] Hao Wang. Proving theorems by pattern recognition — ii. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 40(1):1–41, 1961.
- [15] Robert Berger. The undecidability of the domino problem. *American Mathematical Society*,, 1966.
- [16] Christopher Moore. Some polyomino tilings of the plane. *Working Papers*, 1999.
- [17] Gill Barequet, Micha Moffie, Ares Ribó, and Günter Rote. Counting polyominoes on twisted cylinders. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 369–374, 2004.
- [18] Phyllism Chinn, Ralph Grimaldi, and Silvia Heubach. Tiling with l’s and squares. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 10(2), 2007.
- [19] D. Demaine Erik and L. Demaine Martin. Jigsaw puzzles, edge matching, and polyomino packing: Connections and complexity. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 23(1):195–208, 2007.
- [20] É Janvresse, T. De La Rue, and Y Velenik. A note on domino shuffling. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 13(1):149–160, 2006.
- [21] Hiroshi Fukuda, Nobuaki Mutoh, Gisaku Nakamura, and Doris Schattschneider. Enumeration of polyominoes, polyiamonds and polyhexes for isohedral tilings with rotational symmetry. *Springer-Verlag*, 2008.
- [22] Eric Nordenstam. On the shuffling algorithm for domino tilings. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 15:75–95, 2010.
- [23] Sébastien Desreux and Eric Rémila. An optimal algorithm to generate tilings. *Journal of Discrete Algorithms*, 2006.
- [24] Mutsunori Banbara, Kenji Hashimoto, Takashi Horiyama, Shin Ichi Minato, Kakeru Nakamura, Masaaki Nishino, Masahiko Sakai, Ryuhei Uehara, Yushi Uno, and Norihito Yasuda. Solving rep-tile by computers: Performance of solvers and analyses of solutions. *arXiv e-prints*, 2021.
- [25] Shi-Ju Ran, Emanuele Tirrito, Cheng Peng, Xi Chen, Luca Tagliacozzo, Gang Su, and Maciej Lewenstein. *Tensor Network Contractions: Methods and Applications to Quantum Many-Body Systems*. Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [26] Jacob D. Biamonte, Jason Morton, and Jacob Turner. Tensor network contractions for #sat. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 160(5):1389–1404, 2015.