CountLLM: Towards Generalizable Repetitive Action Counting via Large Language Model

Ziyu Yao¹ Xuxin Cheng¹ Zhiqi Huang¹ Lei Li^{2,3*} ¹Peking University ²University of Washingtong ³University of Copenhagen {yaozy, chengxx}@stu.pku.edu.cn zhiqihuang@pku.edu.cn lilei@di.ku.dk

Abstract

Repetitive action counting, which aims to count periodic movements in a video, is valuable for video analysis applications such as fitness monitoring. However, existing methods largely rely on regression networks with limited representational capacity, which hampers their ability to accurately capture variable periodic patterns. Additionally, their supervised learning on narrow, limited training sets leads to overfitting and restricts their ability to generalize across diverse scenarios. To address these challenges, we propose **CountLLM**, the first large language model (LLM)-based framework that takes video data and periodic text prompts as inputs and outputs the desired counting value. CountLLM leverages the rich clues from explicit textual instructions and the powerful representational capabilities of pre-trained LLMs for repetitive action counting. To effectively guide CountLLM, we develop a periodicitybased structured template for instructions that describes the properties of periodicity and implements a standardized answer format to ensure consistency. Additionally, we propose a progressive multimodal training paradigm to enhance the periodicity-awareness of the LLM. Empirical evaluations on widely recognized benchmarks demonstrate CountLLM's superior performance and generalization, particularly in handling novel and out-of-domain actions that deviate significantly from the training data, offering a promising avenue for repetitive action counting.

1. Introduction

With the rapid advancements in video understanding [2, 27, 29, 32, 37, 54–56], repetitive action counting (RAC) has become a critical area of study. This task, which involves counting repetitive activities in videos, plays a crucial role in analyzing periodic actions, such as those in fitness monitoring [15], pedestrian detection [46], camera calibration [21], and 3D reconstruction [34, 48].



Figure 1. a): Existing approaches directly regress the density map, and sum the values of each frame to obtain the final count. b): Our proposed LLM-based approach utilizes explicit periodic text prompts to describe key properties of periodicity, and leverages the powerful reasoning capabilities of pre-trained LLMs, achieving accurate and generalizable repetitive action counting.

Despite its importance, this field remains underexplored. Previous approaches mostly adopt a straightforward strategy: first encoding video features, then regressing density maps, and finally summing the values to achieve counting [14, 18, 50] (as shown in Fig. 1 (a)). However, they exhibit significant limitations in addressing the diversity and complexity of the RAC task. First, the cycle lengths of different actions vary greatly and are unevenly distributed; moreover, videos often contain complex backgrounds and motion blur, which interfere with accurate counting. Existing approaches largely rely on manually designed regression neural networks, whose representational capacity is limited, making it difficult to accurately capture such variable and non-homogeneous periodic patterns. Second, the current research community's definition of actions is overly narrow, as the actions in existing datasets are limited and primarily focus on human fitness activities (such as squats, crunches, and jumping jacks). This results in traditional supervised learning models being prone to overfitting when trained on training sets of these small datasets, thus limiting their ability to generalize to out-of-domain scenarios.

To address these challenges, we propose integrating large-scale pre-trained large language models (LLMs) into

^{*}Corresponding author.

the RAC task to leverage their powerful representational and generalization capabilities. Due to their pre-training on massive real-world data, LLMs possess strong representational abilities. As illustrated in Fig. 1 (b), by replacing the regression network in existing approaches, LLMs can effectively capture actions and their periodic patterns, maintaining high counting accuracy even in the presence of complex backgrounds or motion blur. Furthermore, through our explicit periodic text prompts combined with instruction finetuning scheme, LLMs gain enhanced periodicity awareness, enabling them to generalize not only to human activities but also to a broader range of actions, such as animal movements (*e.g.*, cats, dogs) or periodic motions of non-living entities (*e.g.*, clock movements, planetary motions).

Building on these insights, we present **CountLLM**, the first LLM-based approach for repetitive action counting. CountLLM formulates RAC as a video question-answering problem, where both video data and periodic text prompts are used as inputs to generate accurate counts. The framework consists of a pre-trained video encoder, a periodicity transformer, a linear projector, and a pre-trained LLM. The video encoder extracts video features, from which the periodicity transformer derives relevant periodic representations. These representations are then converted into periodic tokens by the linear projector, subsequently combined with textual tokens as inputs to the LLM.

To effectively guide our CountLLM in understanding periodicity, we carefully develop a structured template for instruction-driven conversation, specifically tailored for the RAC task. The template encompasses periodicity description, question, and answer. Here, the description includes the main properties of periodicity, such as periodic temporal intervals and visual similarities across frames, which assist the LLM in capturing periodic patterns. Meanwhile, the answer follows a standardized format to ensure consistency, especially for long videos that require sequential splitting and processing. Additionally, we implement a progressive multimodal training strategy incorporating *Periodicity-aware Alignment* to enhance the CountLLM's ability to interpret and extract periodic patterns.

We summarize our contributions in three-fold:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to apply LLMs to the RAC task and introduce **CountLLM**, which utilizes the rich clues from explicit text prompts and leverages the powerful capability of pre-trained LLMs.
- We present a structured template for instruction-driven conversations in the context of RAC and design a progressive training paradigm to optimize CountLLM, thereby enhancing its periodicity awareness.
- CountLLM achieves state-of-the-art performance on three widely recognized benchmarks and demonstrates excellent generalization in out-of-domain scenario, providing a promising approach for action counting.

2. Related Works

Repetitive Action Counting Early methods [11, 23, 38] compress the motion field into 1D signals via Fourier [42], peak [52], and wavelet [49], but they assume uniform periodicity and struggle with non-stationary repetitions.

Recent advances in deep learning have led to improved approaches. For example, Context [64] uses a contextaware regression network with coarse-to-fine refinement for counting. RepNet [13] constructs temporal self-similarity matrices based on pair-wise similarity of embeddings. TransRAC [18] encodes multi-scale temporal correlations to handle various action frequencies. ESCounts [50] introduces an exemplar-based encoder-decoder framework to improve counting accuracy. Another line of work integrates other modalities, including audio [66], human pose [60], and optical flow [33], to enhance counting.

However, previous methods mainly relied on manually designed regression neural networks and supervised learning on limited datasets, restricting their representational capacity and generalizability. In contrast, our approach leverages explicit text prompts and powerful pre-trained LLMs, making it more generalizable for counting novel actions.

Multimodal Large Language Model Based on large language models (LLMs) [7, 53], studies are increasingly exploring their adaptation to other modalities, such as image [8, 10, 16, 31, 39], point cloud [3, 4, 43, 58], and audio [20, 59, 63], to develop multimodal LLMs (MLLMs). In the video domain, numerous video MLLM works [19, 24, 28, 35, 44, 47, 51, 57, 61, 62, 65] have emerged. Among these, VideoChatGPT [40] applies mean pooling on frame encodings before feeding them into LLMs. Chat-UniVi [22] employs DPC-KNN to cluster dynamic visual tokens for multi-scale features. VideoChat2 [30] uses a Q-Former [26] to connect with LLMs for temporal understanding. ST-LLM [36] introduces dynamic masking and a global-local input module to enhance modeling.

However, existing video MLLMs focus on general video understanding, lacking specific awareness of periodicity. We are the first to leverage LLMs for the RAC task, demonstrating that LLMs can effectively achieve superior performance in generalizable repetitive action counting.

3. Methodology

3.1. Problem Formulation

Given a video $\mathcal{V} = \{x_i\}_1^T \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W \times T}$ with T frames, RAC model aims to predict a value \mathcal{M} , which is the number of repetitive actions. This task can be formulated as:

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{counting}(\mathcal{V}). \tag{1}$$

Following Eq. (1), previous approaches [13, 18, 64] rely on manually designed regression networks to perform super-



Figure 2. Our proposed CountLLM takes video and periodic text prompt as input and consists of four components: a pre-trained video encoder, a periodicity transformer, a projector, and a pre-trained LLM. Guided by our proposed Periodicity-aware Alignment, the periodicity transformer captures periodic representations from the video features. These representations are then projected into periodic tokens by the projector. The pre-trained LLM takes both the periodic and textual tokens as input, and outputs the corresponding counting values.

vised learning from the training set, which limits their generalization ability for unseen and out-of-domain actions.

In contrast to the previous formulation, we investigate the concept of periodicity and incorporate its main properties into explicit text prompts. We then leverage the powerful LLMs to achieve more accurate and generalizable repetitive action counting. We reformulate Eq. (1) as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{counting}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}), \tag{2}$$

where \mathcal{T} represents the given periodic text prompts. Based on Eq. (2), we frame the RAC task as a video questionanswering (VQA) task and utilize the powerful reasoning capability of LLMs to perform action counting.

3.2. Overview

As illustrated in Fig. 2, we propose the first LLM-based repetitive action counting approach, named **CountLLM**. CountLLM consists of four components: a video encoder $\Phi_V(\cdot)$, a periodicity transformer $\Phi_F(\cdot)$, a linear projector $\Phi_P(\cdot)$, and a large language model $\Phi_L(\cdot)$. The input includes two parts: the video $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W \times T}$ and the periodic text prompt \mathcal{T} , and the output is the corresponding number of repetitive actions \mathcal{M} . Based on these symbols, we define the overall pipeline as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} = \Phi_L(\Phi_P(\Phi_F(\Phi_V(\mathcal{V}))), \mathcal{T}).$$
(3)

Initially, the video encoder $\Phi_V(\cdot)$ processes a video clip $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W \times T}$ to generate video features $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \mathbb{R}^{D_V \times m}$, where *m* and D_V denote the number and dimension of these features, respectively.

To refine and focus on critical periodic representations within the video, we introduce a periodicity transformer that compresses the redundant elements of \mathcal{F} , capturing valuable periodic structures that serve as context for the LLM.

Specifically, we define a fixed number of learnable periodicity queries $\mathcal{Z}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_Z \times n}$, where *n* and D_Z represent the number and dimension of the queries, respectively. \mathcal{Z}^0 interacts with \mathcal{F} through cross-attention layers to focus on core periodic information while disregarding other factors, such as background and motion blur. After passing through an *L*-layer periodicity transformer, we obtain periodic representations $\mathcal{Z}^L \in \mathbb{R}^{D_Z \times n}$. Finally, \mathcal{Z}^L is projected into periodic tokens \mathcal{P}_{token} by a projector $\Phi_P(\cdot)$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{token}} = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n = \Phi_P(\Phi_F(\Phi_V(\mathcal{V}))), \qquad (4)$$

where $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{D_L \times n}$ represents n periodic tokens, each with a dimension of D_L , matching the LLM.

Meanwhile, the periodic text prompt \mathcal{T} is processed by the tokenizer of $\Phi_L(\cdot)$ to produce a sequence of *s* tokens, denoted as $\mathcal{T}_{token} = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^s$. We combine the periodic tokens \mathcal{P}_{token} and the textual tokens \mathcal{T}_{token} as input to the LLM. Through our designed instruction fine-tuning scheme (which will be detailed later), the LLM gains enhanced periodicity awareness, enabling it to understand the contextual relationships between these tokens and generate accurate responses. Suppose the output is $\mathcal{R}_{token} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^l$ with ltokens, this process can be defined as follows:

$$\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l\} = \Phi_L(\{p_1, \dots, p_n, t_1, \dots, t_s\}), \quad (5)$$

where each r_i is generated sequentially, taking into account all preceding tokens $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n, t_1, \ldots, t_s, r_1, \ldots, r_{i-1}\}$. Finally, each output token r_i is mapped to the LLM vocabulary via a linear classifier $C(r_i)$, and the word with the highest probability is chosen.

To facilitate the repetitive action counting task, the design of both the instruction conversation and the optimization strategy is critical in enhancing CountLLM's ability to accurately model and respond to repetitive actions in video sequences. Therefore, the following two sections proceed to detail these aspects, respectively.

3.3. Instruction Design

Designing instructions is crucial for tuning LLMs to specific tasks. As shown in Fig. 2, we develop a structured template for instruction-driven conversation tailored for the RAC task: "{periodic tokens}, {periodicity description}, {question}, {answer}". Details on the description and answer formats are provided below.

Periodicity Description Before questioning the LLMs, we provide a detailed description of repetitive actions in a video to help the LLMs understand this challenging task. Specifically, we prompt GPT-4 [1] to describe the characteristics of repetitive or periodic actions in the video, ensuring that the textual description outlines the main properties of periodicity. Additionally, we emphasize that these actions should be general and class-agnostic. We then manually review and refine the GPT-4's responses to ensure accuracy and conciseness. The final description is shown in Fig. 2.

Answer Format As our approach utilizes the LLM for action counting, the output is in text format. Therefore, the challenge lies in formatting the counting values such that the LLM can predict them accurately. To address this, we standardize the LLM's output format as [abcd, e, f].

In this format, abcd is a decimal string representing the number of repetitive actions, where each of a to d denotes a digit between 0 and 9. As a result, abcd can represent any integer between 0000 and 9999. The decimal string abcd has a fixed length and is prefixed with zeros if necessary.

Meanwhile, we also predict e and f as flags to indicate incomplete action cycles at the start and end positions of each clip, respectively. Specifically, we set e and f to 1 if an incomplete action cycle is present at the start or end of a clip and to 0 otherwise. This approach addresses challenges in counting actions within long videos, where a common method is to split the video into multiple clips and input them sequentially into the LLM. However, such splits may result in action cycles being divided across two clips, as illustrated in Fig. 3. In these cases, we increment the videolevel counting value by 1 only if both f in the preceding clip and e in the following clip are 1, indicating a complete action cycle that spans two clips.

Overall, our proposed answer format has two advantages: 1) The answer is in decimal string format, meaning that all tokens are already included in the LLM vocabulary, eliminating the need to add new learnable tokens. 2) This format can effectively leverage the reasoning ability of the LLM to handle action counting for overly long videos.

3.4. Progressive Multimodal Training

To effectively enhance CountLLM's awareness of periodic patterns in video, we propose a progressive multimodal training paradigm consisting of three stages, as illustrated



Figure 3. Our standardized answer format is [abcd, e, f], where the decimal string abcd represents the counting value within this video clip, and e and f indicate whether there is an incomplete action cycle at the start and end positions, respectively (a value of 1 denotes an incomplete action cycle). A complete action cycle is considered split across two clips only if both f in the last clip and e in the subsequent clip are 1. In this case, the video-level counting value should increase by one (as shown in the figure).

in Fig. 4. In the first two stages, we progressively optimize the periodicity transformer to extract robust periodic representations. In stage 1, we align its embeddings with video captions to achieve text semantic awareness, followed by alignment with textual periodicity description in stage 2. Building on the semantic understanding acquired in stage 1, the periodicity transformer interprets periodic descriptions in stage 2, facilitating a smooth transition to periodicity-awareness. Finally, in stage 3, we optimize the entire CountLLM through instruction tuning.

Stage 1. Semantic-aware Alignment In the first stage, we utilize the WebVid-10M [6] dataset, which provides a large number of video-caption pairs, to train the periodicity transformer. A pre-trained CLIP text encoder [45] embeds each video caption, producing the textual embedding \mathcal{E} . To bridge the multimodal gap, we align the periodic embedding \mathcal{Z} with \mathcal{E} by maximizing their mutual information, thereby achieving a text semantic-aware alignment.

Specifically, we define the similarity calculation as $g(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E})$, where each of the *n* query embeddings in \mathcal{Z} is compared pairwise with \mathcal{E} , and the highest similarity is selected as the final result. Based on *g*, we compute the softmax-normalized video-to-text and text-to-video similarities for each periodic and textual embedding in a batch of size *K* as follows:

$$s_k^{\text{v2t}}(\mathcal{Z}) = \frac{\exp(g(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E}_k)/\tau)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(g(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E}_k)/\tau)},$$
(6)

$$s_{k}^{t2v}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\exp(g(\mathcal{Z}_{k}, \mathcal{E})/\tau)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(g(\mathcal{Z}_{k}, \mathcal{E})/\tau)},$$
(7)

where τ is a temperature factor. Using the one-hot ground truths $y^{\text{v2t}}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $y^{\text{t2v}}(\mathcal{E})$, we define the training objective of video-text contrastive learning as follows:



Figure 4. Progressive multimodal training paradigm of our CountLLM. In stage 1, periodic embeddings are aligned with video captions to establish text semantic awareness, bridging the multimodal gap. Based on this semantic understanding, stage 2 further aligns periodic embeddings with textual descriptions of periodicity, enabling a seamless transition to periodicity-awareness. Finally, stage 3 conducts parameter-efficient instruction tuning, enhancing the LLM's understanding and focus on the RAC task.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vtc}} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} (\mathbf{CE}(y^{\text{v2t}}(\mathcal{Z}_i), s^{\text{v2t}}(\mathcal{Z}_i)) + \mathbf{CE}(y^{\text{t2v}}(\mathcal{E}_i), s^{\text{t2v}}(\mathcal{E}_i))),$$
(8)

where **CE** represents the Cross Entropy Loss.

Stage 2. Periodicity-aware Alignment Building on the semantic-aware alignment established in stage 1, the periodicity transformer gains an understanding of text. To enhance its understanding of periodicity, which is crucial for the RAC task, we replace the video captions with natural language description of periodicity, facilitating a smooth transition to periodicity-awareness.

We first encode this textual description, which encapsulates the semantic embeddings of periodicity, denoted as \mathcal{E}_{per} . Then, we employ periodicity-text contrastive learning to ensure alignment between periodic representations \mathcal{Z} and periodicity semantics \mathcal{E}_{per} . In this setup, we use RAC datasets, and select video clips containing repetitive actions as positive samples and video clips without repetitive actions as negative samples. Our goal is to maximize the mutual information between positive samples and periodicity descriptions while minimizing it for negative samples. The periodicity-to-text similarity can be formulated as:

$$s^{p2t}(\mathcal{Z}) = \sigma(g(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{per})), \tag{9}$$

where σ represents the Sigmoid function. The ground truth $y^{\text{p2t}}(\mathcal{Z})$ is 1 for positive samples and 0 for negative samples. Finally, the overall training objective of periodicity-text contrastive learning can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ptc}} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbf{BCE}(y^{\text{p2t}}(\mathcal{Z}_i), s^{\text{p2t}}(\mathcal{Z}_i)), \quad (10)$$

where BCE represents the Binary Cross Entropy Loss.

Stage 3. Instruction Tuning After the previous two stages, we conduct instruction tuning on the complete CountLLM to further align its responses with the given instructions. We apply LoRA [17] to the pre-trained LLM and video encoder, leveraging a small set of learnable parameters for parameter-efficient adaptation to the RAC task. By combining the periodic tokens \mathcal{P}_{token} projected from Φ_P with the textual tokens \mathcal{T}_{token} from the text instruction, Φ_L predicts each output token $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_l\}$ and computes their softmax results for vocabulary classification $\{\mathcal{C}(r_1), \mathcal{C}(r_2), \ldots, \mathcal{C}(r_l)\}$. Meanwhile, we tokenize the ground truth for each video clip into $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_l\}$ and define the training objective for instruction tuning as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{llm}} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{CE}(\mathcal{C}(r_i), y_i).$$
(11)

4. Experiments

4.1. Experimental Setups

Datasets We conduct experiments on three benchmarks: RepCount [18], UCFRep [64], and Countix [13]. For Rep-Count and Countix, we tune the hyperparameters on validation set and report the results on test set, whereas for UCFRep, we directly report our results on validation set.

Evaluation Metrics We employ two widely used metrics in this task, which are **Off-By-One (OBO) count accuracy** and **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)**. OBO measures the accuracy rate of repetition count over the entire dataset, while MAE represents the normalized absolute error between the

| Madaad | Encoder | RepCount | | UCFRep | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Method | Encoder | MAE↓ | $\text{OBO}\uparrow$ | $MAE\downarrow$ | OBO ↑ |
| RepNet [13] | R2D50 | 0.995 | 0.013 | 0.998 | 0.009 |
| Context [64] | RX3D101 | 0.879 | 0.155 | 0.147 | 0.790 |
| Si & So [†] [66] | R(2+1)D18 | 0.732 | 0.196 | 0.143 | 0.800 |
| TransRAC [18] | VSwinT | 0.443 | 0.291 | 0.441 | 0.430 |
| Full [25] | VSwinT | 0.410 | 0.327 | 0.461 | 0.333 |
| MFL [33] | RX3D101 | 0.384 | 0.386 | 0.388 | 0.510 |
| ESCounts [50] | VideoMAE | 0.213 | <u>0.563</u> | 0.216 | 0.704 |
| CountLLM | VSwinT | 0.209 | 0.552 | 0.153 | 0.802 |
| | VideoMAE | 0.162 | 0.639 | 0.116 | 0.839 |

Table 1. Comparisons on RepCount and UCFRep. The best results are highlighted in **bold**, and the second-best results are <u>underlined</u>. †: Sight & Sound. Our CountLLM surpasses previous approaches on two metrics, showcasing excellent counting accuracy.

ground truth and the prediction. They can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{OBO} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [|\tilde{c}_i - c_i| \le 1]$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|\tilde{c}_i - c_i|}{\tilde{c}_i}$$
(13)

where \tilde{c}_i denotes the ground truth, c_i represents our prediction, and N is the number of videos in the dataset.

Baselines We compare with several baselines on Rep-Count and UCFRep, as listed in Tab. 1. For Countix, we compare only with RepNet, Sight & Sound, and ESCounts, as the other methods cannot effectively handle the lack of fine-grained annotations. For consistency, we report the performance of these baselines as stated in their respective papers. If results are unavailable, we re-implement them using the optimal hyperparameters from the original works.

Implementation Details We implement two video encoders: Video Swin Transformer [37] and VideoMAE [54], both pre-trained on Kinetics [9]. Vicuna-7B [12] is used as the LLM. We set 64 learnable periodicity queries in the 12-layer periodicity transformer, and insert LoRA [17] modules into the attention layers of both video encoder and LLM, with hidden dimension r = 16. For training, we use WebVid-10M [6] in stage 1, and RAC datasets in stages 2 and 3. In stage 1, we train with 16-frame videos for 10 epochs, and for 50 epochs in stage 2; in stage 3, we use 32-frame videos for 50 epochs. For testing, video frames are sampled at intervals to ensure each clip contains 32 frames. Experiments are conducted on 8 NVIDIA A100 GPUs.

4.2. Main Results

As shown in Tab. 1 and Tab. 2, CountLLM outperforms previous methods across three benchmarks. On the RepCount dataset, with the same encoder, CountLLM surpasses TransRAC by +0.261 and Full by +0.225 on OBO metric. Us-

| Mathad | Encodor | Countix | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Method | Encoder | $MAE \downarrow$ | $\text{OBO} \uparrow$ | |
| RepNet [13] | R2D50 | 0.364 | 0.303 | |
| Sight & Sound [66] | R(2+1)D18 | 0.307 | 0.511 | |
| ESCounts [50] | VideoMAE | 0.276 | 0.673 | |
| CountLIM | VSwinT | 0.246 | <u>0.706</u> | |
| COUNTLEM | VideoMAE | 0.215 | 0.801 | |

Table 2. Comparisons on the Countix show that CountLLM demonstrates superior performance on two metrics.

| Mathad | $RepCount \rightarrow UCFRep$ | | RepCount \rightarrow Countix | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Method | MAE↓ | $OBO\uparrow$ | $MAE\downarrow$ | $OBO\uparrow$ |
| RepNet | 0.998 | 0.009 | 0.729 | 0.183 |
| Context | 0.762 | 0.412 | 0.654 | 0.208 |
| TransRAC | 0.640 | 0.324 | 0.593 | 0.364 |
| MFL^\dagger | 0.523 | 0.350 | _ | _ |
| ESCounts | 0.317 | 0.571 | 0.374 | 0.521 |
| CountLLM | 0.139 | 0.816 | 0.281 | 0.730 |

Table 3. Comparison of cross-dataset generalization. $X \rightarrow Y$ denotes that the model is trained on X and tested on Y. \dagger : Results on Countix are unavailable as MFL is not open-source.

ing a more advanced encoder further boosts the OBO metric of CountLLM from 0.552 to 0.639. This advantage is also evident on the UCFRep and Countix datasets, where CountLLM achieves an OBO improvement of +0.135 over ESCounts on UCFRep and of +0.128 on Countix.

We analyze that previous approaches use handcrafted modules to regress periodic heatmaps, which limits their representational capacity. In contrast, CountLLM leverages pre-trained LLMs to incorporate powerful reasoning capabilities. Through explicit periodic text prompts and a carefully designed progressive training scheme, CountLLM enhances its periodicity awareness, enabling accurate capture of variable and non-homogeneous periodic patterns.

4.3. Cross-Dataset Generalization

We compare the challenging cross-dataset generalization of different approaches, where each model is trained on RepCount and tested on UCFRep and Countix. As shown in Tab. 3, CountLLM demonstrates excellent generalization across two datasets. 1) Horizontal comparison: Compared with ESCounts, CountLLM achieves improvements of +0.245 on UCFRep and +0.209 on Countix, respectively. The performance gains over other approaches are even higher. 2) Vertical comparison: The performance of all methods declines to some extent in cross-dataset setting (as compared with the regular setting in Tab. 1 and Tab. 2). However, CountLLM shows only a slight decline, demonstrating stronger robustness than other methods.

Overall, both horizontal and vertical comparisons indi-



Figure 5. CountLLM demonstrates strong generalization when applied to **out-of-domain** repetitions, which differ significantly from the training data, rendering existing RAC approaches ineffective. Meanwhile, current video MLLMs lack periodicity awareness and perform poorly in counting them. For clearer understanding, the content of these videos is described in the figure (which is **not** input to CountLLM).

| Methods | Encoder | \mid MAE \downarrow | $OBO\uparrow$ |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|
| RepNet [13] | R2D50 | 0.86 | 0.35 |
| OVRCounter [14] | ViViT [5] | 0.39 | 0.59 |
| CountLLM | VSwinT | 0.26 | 0.65 |

Table 4. Comparison of generalization on OVR-Kinetics dataset.

cate that our CountLLM effectively leverages general periodic semantics in text prompts and the reasoning capabilities of LLM, thereby reducing overfitting to the training set and enhancing generalization in the RAC task.

4.4. Out-of-Domain Generalization

We compare the generalization of CountLLM with several RAC approaches and video MLLMs by selecting various out-of-domain repetitions, including repetitive actions of animals and cartoon characters, as well as periodic motions of non-living entities, such as clock movements and planetary motions. The results are illustrated in Fig. 5. 1) RAC approaches. Existing RAC methods fail to accurately count these out-of-domain actions. We analyze that they primarily rely on supervised learning from specific training sets containing only common human fitness activities, which makes them prone to overfitting and limits their ability to understand general periodic patterns. 2) Video MLLMs. Current video MLLMs, such as VideoChat2 [30], GPT-4V [41], and VideoChatGPT [40], cannot reliably count these various repetitions. We attribute this limitation to their lack of periodicity awareness. These MLLMs focus on general video understanding during training, thus lacking specific periodic guidance and struggling with counting these challenging periodic motions.

In contrast, our CountLLM accurately counts repetitions that differ entirely from those in the training set. We analyze that the explicit periodic text prompt encapsulates the key properties of repetitive actions, which are independent of specific action categories, thus preventing overfitting to the training set. Meanwhile, through instruction fine-tuning tailored for RAC task, the LLM develops periodicity awareness, enhancing its ability to understand and extract periodic patterns. These design choices enable CountLLM to effectively handle unseen and out-of-domain actions.

Additionally, we test the generalization on the recently proposed OVR dataset [14], which contains a large number of videos with a huge variety of open vocabulary actions. As shown in Tab. 4, our CountLLM surpasses the open vocabulary counting baselines, which further demonstrates the strong generalizability of CountLLM.

4.5. Ablation Studies

Instruction Conversation We analyze the components in instruction-driven conversation: 1) W/o periodicity description. In this case, we remove the periodicity description and directly question the LLM. As shown in Tab. 5, the lack of textual description leads to a decline in both metrics. This occurs because the LLM cannot fully grasp the definition of repetitive actions before performing the RAC task. 2) W/o decimal string answers. Here, we remove the fixed-length decimal string answers and instead use additional learnable tokens to represent counting values. Specifically, we add a set of learnable tokens $\langle 0000 \rangle$, $\langle 0001 \rangle$, ..., $\langle 9999 \rangle$ into the tokenizer to represent each value and tune them during training. As illustrated in Tab. 5, these learn-

| Settings | RepCour MAE↓ | nt Val Set OBO ↑ |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| w/o periodicity description w/o decimal string answers | 0.305 0.392 | 0.476 0.387 |
| All (our CountLLM) | 0.166 | 0.631 |

Table 5. Ablation studies on instruction conversation. **W/o periodicity description**: We directly question the LLM without providing a description. **W/o decimal string answers**: We adjust the answer format by introducing additional learnable tokens.

| Progressive Training Stages | | RepCount Val Set | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
| S-A Alignment P-A Alignment | | $MAE \downarrow$ | $OBO\uparrow$ |
| | | 0.402 | 0.368 |
| \checkmark | | 0.363 | 0.417 |
| | \checkmark | 0.236 | 0.551 |
| ✓ | \checkmark | 0.166 | 0.631 |

Table 6. Ablation studies on the semantic-aware (**S-A**) alignment and periodicity-aware (**P-A**) alignment in progressive training.

able tokens result in a notable decline in performance. We attribute this to the fact that, compared with decimal strings, learnable tokens lack flexibility and require retraining of the embedding layer, with their effectiveness constrained by the limited scale of current RAC datasets.

Progressive Training We propose semantic-aware and periodicity-aware alignment to optimize the periodicity transformer before instruction tuning, with each stage analyzed in Tab. 6. 1) If both semantic-aware and periodicityaware alignment are removed, the metrics decline significantly, with the OBO metric decreasing by 0.263. 2) When we reintroduce the semantic-aware alignment, the performance improves slightly, which we attribute to the partial bridging of the gap between video and text modalities. 3) When we apply only the periodicity-aware alignment, the performance shows a substantial improvement over the baseline, with the OBO metric increasing from 0.368 to 0.551. We conclude that textual periodicity description encapsulates essential periodic semantics that are valuable in the RAC task. By aligning with periodicity, robust periodic representations are captured, providing the LLM with useful context. 4) Finally, when we progressively apply both semantic-aware and periodicity-aware alignment, the MAE and OBO metrics reach their highest values.

Model Designs We investigate several model designs in CountLLM: 1) **Periodicity transformer.** To establish a baseline, we remove the periodicity transformer and instead use a linear projector to directly convert video features into

| LoRA A | daptation | RepCour | nt Val Set | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| $\Phi_V(\cdot)$ | $\Phi_L(\cdot)$ | $MAE\downarrow$ | $OBO\uparrow$ | | |
| w/o Periodicity Transformer | | | | | |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.498 | 0.260 | | |
| w Period | w Periodicity Transformer | | | | |
| | | 0.409 | 0.357 | | |
| \checkmark | | 0.239 | 0.546 | | |
| | \checkmark | 0.317 | 0.498 | | |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.166 | 0.631 | | |

Table 7. Ablation studies on the model designs. **W/o Periodicity Transformer**: Video features are projected using only a linear layer, with both S-A and P-A alignments removed. **W Periodicity Transformer**: Both S-A and P-A alignments are included.

LLM tokens. As shown in Tab. 7, this design results in a substantial performance decline, underscoring the importance of the periodicity transformer in compressing redundant video features into essential periodic representations. **2) LoRA adaptation.** We apply parameter-efficient LoRA during instruction tuning to improve the adaptation of the pre-trained Φ_V and Φ_L . As illustrated in Tab. 7, freezing both Φ_V and Φ_L limits performance, as they may not fully adapt to the RAC datasets. In contrast, inserting learnable LoRA layers into either Φ_V or Φ_L markedly enhances both metrics. Finally, fine-tuning both Φ_V and Φ_L allows CountLLM to achieve optimal performance.

5. Conclusion

CountLLM advances repetitive action counting by leveraging the powerful reasoning capabilities of pre-trained LLMs through explicit periodic text prompts. By incorporating a structured template for periodicity-based instruction and a progressive training scheme, CountLLM achieves superior performance across multiple benchmarks and exhibits robust generalization to out-of-domain action scenarios. These results underscore the potential of large language models in opening promising avenues for broader applications in video analysis and beyond.

While CountLLM achieves impressive accuracy in repetitive action counting, it is partially constrained by the computational demands of large language models, which require substantial GPU resources. This limitation may be alleviated by using lightweight LLMs. Furthermore, although our structured, periodicity-focused templates enhance the model's interpretive capabilities, accuracy could potentially be improved with even more precise periodicity descriptions. Future work may explore optimized in-context learning strategies, potentially incorporating targeted examples to strengthen periodicity recognition.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the Pioneer Centre for AI, DNRF grant number P1.

References

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774, 2023. 4
- [2] Zhaochong An, Guolei Sun, Zongwei Wu, Hao Tang, and Luc Van Gool. Temporal-aware hierarchical mask classification for video semantic segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.08020, 2023. 1
- [3] Zhaochong An, Guolei Sun, Yun Liu, Runjia Li, Min Wu, Ming-Ming Cheng, Ender Konukoglu, and Serge Belongie. Multimodality helps few-shot 3d point cloud semantic segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.22489, 2024. 2
- [4] Zhaochong An, Guolei Sun, Yun Liu, Fayao Liu, Zongwei Wu, Dan Wang, Luc Van Gool, and Serge Belongie. Rethinking few-shot 3d point cloud semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3996–4006, 2024. 2
- [5] Anurag Arnab, Mostafa Dehghani, Georg Heigold, Chen Sun, Mario Lučić, and Cordelia Schmid. Vivit: A video vision transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6836–6846, 2021. 7
- [6] Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, and Andrew Zisserman. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1728–1738, 2021. 4, 6
- [7] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020. 2
- [8] Chengkun Cai, Haoliang Liu, Xu Zhao, Zhongyu Jiang, Tianfang Zhang, Zongkai Wu, Jenq-Neng Hwang, Serge Belongie, and Lei Li. Bayesian optimization for controlled image editing via llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18116, 2025.
- [9] Joao Carreira, Eric Noland, Chloe Hillier, and Andrew Zisserman. A short note on the kinetics-700 human action dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.06987, 2019. 6
- [10] Zhe Chen, Jiannan Wu, Wenhai Wang, Weijie Su, Guo Chen, Sen Xing, Muyan Zhong, Qinglong Zhang, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, et al. Internvl: Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 24185–24198, 2024. 2
- [11] Dmitry Chetverikov and Sándor Fazekas. On motion periodicity of dynamic textures. In *BMVC*, pages 167–176. Citeseer, 2006. 2

- [12] Wei-Lin Chiang, Zhuohan Li, Zi Lin, Ying Sheng, Zhanghao Wu, Hao Zhang, Lianmin Zheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Yonghao Zhuang, Joseph E Gonzalez, et al. Vicuna: An open-source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%* chatgpt quality. See https://vicuna. lmsys. org (accessed 14 April 2023), 2(3):6, 2023. 6
- [13] Debidatta Dwibedi, Yusuf Aytar, Jonathan Tompson, Pierre Sermanet, and Andrew Zisserman. Counting out time: Class agnostic video repetition counting in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10387–10396, 2020. 2, 5, 6, 7
- [14] Debidatta Dwibedi, Yusuf Aytar, Jonathan Tompson, and Andrew Zisserman. Ovr: A dataset for open vocabulary temporal repetition counting in videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.17085, 2024. 1, 7
- [15] Mihai Fieraru, Mihai Zanfir, Silviu Cristian Pirlea, Vlad Olaru, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Aifit: Automatic 3d human-interpretable feedback models for fitness training. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 9919–9928, 2021. 1
- [16] Jiaming Han, Kaixiong Gong, Yiyuan Zhang, Jiaqi Wang, Kaipeng Zhang, Dahua Lin, Yu Qiao, Peng Gao, and Xiangyu Yue. Onellm: One framework to align all modalities with language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 26584– 26595, 2024. 2
- [17] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685, 2021. 5, 6
- [18] Huazhang Hu, Sixun Dong, Yiqun Zhao, Dongze Lian, Zhengxin Li, and Shenghua Gao. Transrac: Encoding multiscale temporal correlation with transformers for repetitive action counting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19013–19022, 2022. 1, 2, 5, 6
- [19] Bin Huang, Xin Wang, Hong Chen, Zihan Song, and Wenwu Zhu. Vtimellm: Empower llm to grasp video moments. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 14271–14280, 2024. 2
- [20] Rongjie Huang, Mingze Li, Dongchao Yang, Jiatong Shi, Xuankai Chang, Zhenhui Ye, Yuning Wu, Zhiqing Hong, Jiawei Huang, Jinglin Liu, et al. Audiogpt: Understanding and generating speech, music, sound, and talking head. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 23802–23804, 2024. 2
- [21] Shiyao Huang, Xianghua Ying, Jiangpeng Rong, Zeyu Shang, and Hongbin Zha. Camera calibration from periodic motion of a pedestrian. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3025–3033, 2016. 1
- [22] Peng Jin, Ryuichi Takanobu, Wancai Zhang, Xiaochun Cao, and Li Yuan. Chat-univi: Unified visual representation empowers large language models with image and video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 13700– 13710, 2024. 2

- [23] Ivan Laptev, Serge J Belongie, Patrick Pérez, and Josh Wills. Periodic motion detection and segmentation via approximate sequence alignment. In *Tenth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'05) Volume 1*, pages 816– 823. IEEE, 2005. 2
- [24] Li Lei, Jia Sen, Wang Jianhao, An Zhaochong, Li Jiaang, Hwang Jenq-Neng, and Belongie Serge. Chatmotion: A multimodal multi-agent for human motion analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18180, 2025. 2
- [25] Jianing Li, Bowen Chen, Zhiyong Wang, and Honghai Liu. Full resolution repetition counting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13778, 2023. 6
- [26] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 19730– 19742. PMLR, 2023. 2
- [27] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Yi Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Uniformerv2: Spatiotemporal learning by arming image vits with video uniformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09552, 2022. 1
- [28] KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2305.06355, 2023. 2
- [29] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Yizhuo Li, Yi Wang, Yinan He, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Unmasked teacher: Towards training-efficient video foundation models. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 19948–19960, 2023. 1
- [30] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Yi Wang, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, Jilan Xu, Guo Chen, Ping Luo, et al. Mvbench: A comprehensive multi-modal video understanding benchmark. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 22195– 22206, 2024. 2, 7
- [31] Lei Li. Cpseg: Finer-grained image semantic segmentation via chain-of-thought language prompting. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, pages 513–522, 2024. 2
- [32] Lei Li, Sen Jia, Wang Jianhao, Zhongyu Jiang, Feng Zhou, Ju Dai, Tianfang Zhang, Wu Zongkai, and Jenq-Neng Hwang. Human motion instruction tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16805, 2024. 1
- [33] Xinjie Li and Huijuan Xu. Repetitive action counting with motion feature learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 6499–6508, 2024. 2, 6
- [34] Xiu Li, Hongdong Li, Hanbyul Joo, Yebin Liu, and Yaser Sheikh. Structure from recurrent motion: From rigidity to recurrency. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3032–3040, 2018. 1
- [35] Bin Lin, Yang Ye, Bin Zhu, Jiaxi Cui, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-llava: Learning united visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122*, 2023. 2

- [36] Ruyang Liu, Chen Li, Haoran Tang, Yixiao Ge, Ying Shan, and Ge Li. St-llm: Large language models are effective temporal learners. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.00308, 2024. 2
- [37] Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin transformer. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 3202–3211, 2022. 1, 6
- [38] ChunMei Lu and Nicola J Ferrier. Repetitive motion analysis: Segmentation and event classification. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 26(2): 258–263, 2004. 2
- [39] Jiasen Lu, Christopher Clark, Sangho Lee, Zichen Zhang, Savya Khosla, Ryan Marten, Derek Hoiem, and Aniruddha Kembhavi. Unified-io 2: Scaling autoregressive multimodal models with vision language audio and action. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 26439–26455, 2024. 2
- [40] Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05424, 2023. 2, 7
- [41] OpenAI. Gpt-4v(ision) system card. https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID: 263218031, 2023.7
- [42] Erik Pogalin, Arnold WM Smeulders, and Andrew HC Thean. Visual quasi-periodicity. In 2008 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2008. 2
- [43] Zhangyang Qi, Ye Fang, Zeyi Sun, Xiaoyang Wu, Tong Wu, Jiaqi Wang, Dahua Lin, and Hengshuang Zhao. Gpt4point: A unified framework for point-language understanding and generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 26417– 26427, 2024. 2
- [44] Haoxuan Qu, Yujun Cai, and Jun Liu. Llms are good action recognizers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 18395– 18406, 2024. 2
- [45] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 4
- [46] Yang Ran, Isaac Weiss, Qinfen Zheng, and Larry S Davis. Pedestrian detection via periodic motion analysis. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 71:143–160, 2007. 1
- [47] Shuhuai Ren, Linli Yao, Shicheng Li, Xu Sun, and Lu Hou. Timechat: A time-sensitive multimodal large language model for long video understanding. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 14313–14323, 2024. 2
- [48] Evan Ribnick and Nikolaos Papanikolopoulos. 3d reconstruction of periodic motion from a single view. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 90:28–44, 2010. 1
- [49] Tom FH Runia, Cees GM Snoek, and Arnold WM Smeulders. Real-world repetition estimation by div, grad and curl.

In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 9009–9017, 2018. 2

- [50] Saptarshi Sinha, Alexandros Stergiou, and Dima Damen. Every shot counts: Using exemplars for repetition counting in videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18074, 2024. 1, 2, 6
- [51] Enxin Song, Wenhao Chai, Guanhong Wang, Yucheng Zhang, Haoyang Zhou, Feiyang Wu, Haozhe Chi, Xun Guo, Tian Ye, Yanting Zhang, et al. Moviechat: From dense token to sparse memory for long video understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 18221–18232, 2024. 2
- [52] Ashwin Thangali and Stan Sclaroff. Periodic motion detection and estimation via space-time sampling. In 2005 Seventh IEEE Workshops on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV/MOTION'05)-Volume 1, pages 176–182. IEEE, 2005. 2
- [53] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971, 2023. 2
- [54] Limin Wang, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Zhan Tong, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yali Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videomae v2: Scaling video masked autoencoders with dual masking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16727*, 2023. 1, 6
- [55] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yinan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, Sen Xing, Guo Chen, Junting Pan, Jiashuo Yu, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and discriminative learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03191, 2022.
- [56] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Xinhao Li, Jiashuo Yu, Yinan He, Guo Chen, Baoqi Pei, Rongkun Zheng, Jilan Xu, Zun Wang, et al. Internvideo2: Scaling video foundation models for multimodal video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.15377, 2024. 1
- [57] Mingze Xu, Mingfei Gao, Zhe Gan, Hong-You Chen, Zhengfeng Lai, Haiming Gang, Kai Kang, and Afshin Dehghan. Slowfast-llava: A strong training-free baseline for video large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.15841, 2024. 2
- [58] Runsen Xu, Xiaolong Wang, Tai Wang, Yilun Chen, Jiangmiao Pang, and Dahua Lin. Pointllm: Empowering large language models to understand point clouds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16911, 2023. 2
- [59] Wenhao Yang, Jianguo Wei, Wenhuan Lu, and Lei Li. You only speak once to see. In ICASSP 2025-2025 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 1–5. IEEE, 2025. 2
- [60] Ziyu Yao, Xuxin Cheng, and Yuexian Zou. Poserac: Pose saliency transformer for repetitive action counting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08450, 2023. 2
- [61] Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Anwen Hu, Haowei Liu, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, and Fei Huang. mplug-owl2: Revolutionizing multi-modal large language model with modality collaboration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13040–13051, 2024. 2

- [62] Shoubin Yu, Jaemin Cho, Prateek Yadav, and Mohit Bansal. Self-chained image-language model for video localization and question answering. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024. 2
- [63] Dong Zhang, Shimin Li, Xin Zhang, Jun Zhan, Pengyu Wang, Yaqian Zhou, and Xipeng Qiu. Speechgpt: Empowering large language models with intrinsic cross-modal conversational abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11000*, 2023.
- [64] Huaidong Zhang, Xuemiao Xu, Guoqiang Han, and Shengfeng He. Context-aware and scale-insensitive temporal repetition counting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 670–678, 2020. 2, 5, 6
- [65] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-Ilama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858, 2023. 2
- [66] Yunhua Zhang, Ling Shao, and Cees GM Snoek. Repetitive activity counting by sight and sound. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 14070–14079, 2021. 2, 6