

Odd spanning trees of a graph ^{*}

Jingyu Zheng, Baoyindureng Wu [†]

College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University

Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, P.R.China

Abstract A graph $G = (V, E)$ is said to be odd (or even, resp.) if $d_G(v)$ is odd (or even, resp.) for any $v \in V$. Trivially, the order of an odd graph must be even. In this paper, we show that every 4-edge connected graph of even order has a connected odd factor. A spanning tree T of G is called a homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree (HIST by simply) if T contains no vertex of degree two. Trivially, an odd spanning tree must be a HIST. In 1990, Albertson, Berman, Hutchinson, and Thomassen showed that every connected graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq \min\{\frac{n}{2}, 4\sqrt{2n}\}$ contains a HIST.

We show that every complete bipartite graph with both parts being even has no odd spanning tree, thereby for any even integer n divisible by 4, there exists a graph of order n with the minimum degree $\frac{n}{2}$ having no odd spanning tree. Furthermore, we show that every graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ has an odd spanning tree. We also characterize all split graphs having an odd spanning tree. As an application, for any graph G with diameter at least 4, \overline{G} has a spanning odd double star. Finally, we also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a triangle-free graph G whose complement contains an odd spanning tree. A number of related open problems are proposed.

Keywords: Complements; Odd graphs; Spanning trees; Split graphs; Triangle-free graphs

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05; 05C07

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple and finite. For graph-theoretic notation not explained in this paper, we refer to [4]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. The order and size of G are often denoted by $v(G)$ and $e(G)$. The set of neighbours of a vertex v in a graph G is denoted by $N_G(v)$. The degree of a vertex v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges incident with v in G . Since G is simple, $d_G(v) =$

^{*}Research supported by Open Project of Key Laboratory in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region of China (2023D04026) and by NSFC (No. 12061073).

[†]Corresponding author. Email: baoywu@163.com (B. Wu)

$|N_G(v)|$. The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. We say G is *even* (or *odd*, resp.) if all vertices have degree even (or odd, resp.). A spanning subgraph of G is often called a *factor* of G . In particular, a spanning tree where the vertices are odd degrees is called an *odd spanning tree*. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G - S$ denotes the graph obtained from G by removing vertices of S and all edges that are incident to a vertex of S . The subgraph $G - (V(G) \setminus S)$ is said to be the *induced subgraph* of G induced by S , and is denoted by $G[S]$. For $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, $E_G[A, B]$ denotes the set of edges with one end in A and the other end in B , and $e_G(A, B) = |E_G[A, B]|$. The distance of two vertices u and v , denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the length of shortest path joining u and v in G . The diameter of G , denoted by $diam(G)$, is $\max\{d_G(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$.

For a graph G , its complement \overline{G} is the graph with $V(G)$, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G . A bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) is denoted by $G[X, Y]$. In particular, $K_{m,n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with m and n vertices in its two parts. A triangle-free graph is one which contains no triangles.

Gallai (see [18], Section 5, Problem 17) proved that the vertices of any graph can be partitioned into two sets, each of which induces a subgraph with all degrees even; the vertices also can be partitioned into two sets so that one set induces a subgraph with all degrees even and the other induces a subgraph with all degrees odd. We refer to [2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 14, 20–22, 26, 27] for the large odd induced subgraph of a graph. Scott [23] showed that the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([23]). *Every connected graph of even order has a vertex partition into sets inducing subgraphs with all degrees odd.*

The above theorem indicates that every connected graph of order even has an odd factor. It is natural to ask that when a graph has a connected odd factor or particularly, has an odd spanning tree. These are exactly our main subjects here. We show that every 4-edge connected graph has a connected odd factor.

Recall that a spanning tree T of G is called a homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree (HIST by simply) if T contains no vertex of degree two. Trivially, an odd spanning tree must be a HIST. The existence of a HIST in a graph is extensively studied in literature [1, 7–9, 11, 13, 16, 17, 24, 28]. In particular, it was shown that every connected graph G of order n has a HIST if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $\delta(G) \geq 4\sqrt{2n}$, or $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ and $n \geq 4$, by Albertson, Berman, Hutchinson, Thomassen [1];
- (2) $n \geq 8$ and $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - 1$ for any two nonadjacent vertices u, v , by Ito and Tsuchiya [17];
- (3) graphs with diameter two except a well-defined families of graphs, by Shan and Tsuchiya [24];
- (4) connected and locally connected graphs of order $n \geq 3$, by Chen, Ren and Shan [7].

Motivated by the above results, we show that every graph of even order n with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ has an odd spanning tree. This is best possible, in sense that there is a graph of order n divisible by 4 with $\delta(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ has no odd spanning tree. We also characterize all split graphs having an odd spanning tree. As an application, for any graph G with diameter at least 4, \overline{G} has a spanning odd double star. Finally, we also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a triangle-free graph G whose complement contains odd spanning tree. In addition, some interesting by-products are also detected: a connected graph G is bipartite if and only if any two spanning trees of G have the same bipartition; the complement of a triangle-free graph G is connected if and only if G is not a complete bipartite graph.

2 Connected odd factors and odd spanning trees

First recall a well-known theorem for a graph having k edge-disjoint spanning trees, due to Nash-Williams and Tutte.

Theorem 2.1 ([19,25]). *A graph G has k edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if*

$$|E_G(P)| \geq k(|P| - 1)$$

for every partition P of $V(G)$, where $E_G(P)$ denotes the set of edges of G joining different parts of P .

For two subgraphs F and H of a graph G , $F \triangle H$ is the spanning subgraph of G with edge set $E(F) \triangle E(H)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Every 4-edge-connected graph G of even order has a connected odd factor.*

Proof. Let G be 4-edge-connected graph of even order. By [Theorem 2.1](#), G has two edge-disjoint spanning trees T_1 and T_2 . If T_1 or T_2 is odd, we are done. So, let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ be the set of all vertices of even degree in T_1 , where k is a positive integer. One can find a unique path P_i in T_2 joining x_i and y_i for each i . Clearly, $T_1' = T_1 \triangle P_1 \triangle P_2 \triangle \dots \triangle P_k$ is a connected odd factor of G , as we desired. \square

Recall that a graph is bipartite if and only if it contains no odd cycle. Next, we give another interesting criterion for a graph being bipartite, which will be used in the proof of the next proposition.

Lemma 2.3. *A connected graph G is bipartite if and only if any two spanning trees of G have the same bipartition.*

Proof. First assume that $G = G[X, Y]$ is a connected bipartite graph. The necessity follows from the fact that all connected spanning subgraph of G have the same bipartition as G does.

To prove the sufficiency, let T_1 and T_2 be two spanning trees of G have different bipartitions. It follows that there exist two vertices u and v which lie in the same part of T_1 and lie in the distinct parts of T_2 (if necessary, we may change the role of T_1 and T_2). Let P_i be the unique path joining u and v in T_i for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Clearly, $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a closed walk with length being odd. So, $P_1 \cup P_2$ contains an odd cycle and thus G has an odd cycle, contradicting the assumption that G is bipartite. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $G = G[X, Y]$ be a bipartite graph. If both $|X|$ and $|Y|$ are even, then G has no odd spanning tree. In particular, $K_{2s, 2t}$ has no odd spanning tree for any two integers $s, t \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that G has an odd spanning tree T . By [Lemma 2.3](#), T and G have the same bipartition (X, Y) . In one hand, $\sum_{x \in X} d_T(x) = |X| + |Y| - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. On the other hand, since $d_T(x)$ is odd for each $x \in X$ and $|X|$ is even, we have $\sum_{x \in X} d_T(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let two graphs G_1 and G_2 be vertex-disjoint. Let G be the graph obtained by joining a vertex of G_1 to a vertex of G_2 . If both G_1 and G_2 have order even, then G has no odd spanning tree. In particular, the result holds for $G_1 = K_s$ and $G_2 = K_t$, where both s and t are even.*

Proof. Let T be an odd spanning tree of G . Clearly, T contains the edge e joining G_1 and G_2 . Without loss of generality, the order s of G_1 is even. Let T_1 be the component of $T - e$ contained in G_1 . However, $s - 1$ is odd, but T_1 has $s - 1$ vertices of degree odd, a contradiction. \square

By [Proposition 2.4](#), $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ has no odd spanning tree if n is divisible by 4. This indicates that $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ in the following theorem is best possible for any n divisible by 4. In addition, by [Proposition 2.5](#), for any positive even number n , there exists a connected graph G_n of order n with $\delta(G_n) \geq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ having no odd spanning tree.

For a vertex subset X of a graph G and $y \in V(G)$, let $N_X(y) = N_G(y) \cap X$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let n be a positive even number. If G is a connected graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, then G has an odd spanning tree.*

Proof. We divide into two cases by the parity of the degrees of the vertices.

Case 1. G is not even.

Take a vertex v with $d_G(v)$ being odd. Let T be an odd subtree of G with $d_T(v) = d_G(v)$ such that $|V(T)|$ is as large as possible. Since $d_T(v) = d_G(v)$,

$$|V(T)| \geq d_G(v) + 1 \geq \delta(G) + 1 \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2. \quad (1)$$

We claim that T must be a spanning tree of G . Suppose it is not, and let $G_2 = G - V(T)$. By the maximality of T ,

$$|N_G(x) \setminus V(T)| \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in V(T). \quad (2)$$

In addition, since $|V(T)| \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2$, we have $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$, and thus $\Delta(G_2) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 3$. Hence, for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_G(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 3\right) = 4. \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3), one has

$$4|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (4)$$

Associating (4) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, it gives $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{5}$. By taking this into the following, we obtain for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_G(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{5} - 1\right) = \frac{3n}{10} + 2. \quad (5)$$

Combining (2) and (5), we have

$$\left(\frac{3n}{10} + 2\right)|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (6)$$

Integrating (6) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{10n}{3n+30} < \frac{10}{3}$. Since both $|V(T)|$ and n are even, $|V(G_2)|$ is even. It follows that $|V(G_2)| = 2$. Let $V(G_2) = \{x, y\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \geq 2$. Take a vertex $z \in N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)$. Clearly, $T + \{zx, zy\}$ is a larger odd subtree of G than T , a contradiction. This proves that T is an odd spanning tree of G .

Case 2. G is even.

Subcase 2.1. $\Delta(G) > \delta(G)$

Take a vertex v with $d_G(v) = \Delta(G)$ and $v' \in N_G(v)$ and let $H = G - vv'$. Let T be an odd tree of H with $d_T(v) = d_G(v) - 1$ such that $|V(T)|$ is as large as possible. Since $d_T(v) = d_G(v) - 1$,

$$|V(T)| \geq d_G(v) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2. \quad (7)$$

We claim that T is a spanning tree. Suppose T is not, and let $G_2 = H - V(T)$. By the maximality of T ,

$$|N_H(x) \setminus V(T)| \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in V(T). \quad (8)$$

In addition, since $|V(T)| \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2$, we have $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$, and thus $\Delta(G_2) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 3$. Hence, for any $y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v'\}$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 3\right) = 4. \quad (9)$$

Subcase 2.1.1. $v' \in V(G_2)$

Observe that

$$d_T(v') = d_H(v') - d_{G_2}(v') \geq \delta(H) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \frac{n}{2} - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 3\right) = 3. \quad (10)$$

Combining (8), (9), and (10), one has

$$4|V(G_2)| - 1 \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (11)$$

Uniting (11) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, it gives $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n+1}{5}$. By taking this into the following, we obtain for any $y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v'\}$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n+1}{5} - 1\right) = \frac{3n-2}{10} + 2. \quad (12)$$

$$d_T(v') \geq \frac{3n-2}{10} + 1 \quad (13)$$

Combining (8), (12), and (13), we have

$$\left(\frac{3n-2}{10} + 2\right)|V(G_2)| - 1 \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (14)$$

Joining (14) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{10(n+1)}{3n+28} < \frac{10}{3}$. Since both $|V(T)|$ and n are even, $|V(G_2)|$ is even. It follows that $|V(G_2)| = 2$. Let $V(G_2) = \{x, v'\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(v')| \geq 2$. Take a vertex $z \in N_G(x) \cap N_G(v')$ distinct from v . Clearly, $T + \{zx, zv'\}$ is a larger odd subtree of G than T , a contradiction. This proves that T is an odd spanning tree of G .

Subcase 2.1.2. $v' \in V(T)$

By the assumption, we have $N_G[v] \subseteq V(T)$ and therefore, $|V(T)| \geq \frac{n}{2} + 3$. Hence $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{2} - 3$ and moreover, $\Delta(G_2) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 4$. So, for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 4\right) = 5. \quad (15)$$

Combining (8) and (15), one has

$$5|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (16)$$

Merging (16) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, it gives $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{6}$. By taking this into the following, we obtain for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{6} - 1\right) = \frac{n}{3} + 2. \quad (17)$$

Combining (8) and (17), we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{3} + 2\right)|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (18)$$

Integrating (18) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{3n}{n+9} < 3$. Since both $v(T)$ and n are even, $v(G_2)$ is even. It follows that $|V(G_2)| = 2$. Let $V(G_2) = \{x, y\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \geq 2$. Take a vertex $z \in N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)$. Clearly, $T + \{zx, zy\}$ is a larger odd sub-tree of G than T , a contradiction. This proves that T is an odd spanning tree of G .

Subcase 2.2. $\Delta(G) = \delta(G)$

By the assumption, G is $\delta(G)$ -regular graph and $\delta(G)$ is even. Let $vv' \in E(G)$ and $H = G - vv'$ as we did before. Let T be an odd tree of H with $d_T(v) = d_G(v) - 1$ such that $|V(T)|$ is as large as possible. Since $d_T(v) = d_G(v) - 1$,

$$|V(T)| \geq d_G(v) = \frac{n}{2} + 1. \quad (19)$$

We claim that T is a spanning tree. Suppose T is not, and let $G_2 = H - V(T)$. By the maximality of T ,

$$|N_H(x) \setminus V(T)| \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in V(T). \quad (20)$$

In addition, since $|V(T)| \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, we have $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and thus $\Delta(G_2) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$. Hence, for any $y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v'\}$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq d_G(y) - \Delta(G_2) \geq (\frac{n}{2} + 1) - (\frac{n}{2} - 2) = 3. \quad (21)$$

Subcase 2.2.1. $v' \in V(G_2)$

Clearly,

$$d_T(v') = d_H(v') - d_{G_2}(v') \geq \frac{n}{2} - (\frac{n}{2} - 2) = 2. \quad (22)$$

Combining (20), (21), and (22) one has

$$3|V(G_2)| - 1 \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (23)$$

Linking (23) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, it gives $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n+1}{4}$. By taking this into the following, we obtain for any $y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v'\}$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq (\frac{n}{2} + 1) - (\frac{n+1}{4} - 1) = \frac{n+7}{4}. \quad (24)$$

$$d_T(v') \geq \frac{n+3}{4}. \quad (25)$$

Combining (20), (24), and (25), we have

$$\frac{n+7}{4}|V(G_2)| - 1 \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (26)$$

Joining (26) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{4(n+1)}{n+11} < 4$. Since both $|V(T)|$ and n are even, $|V(G_2)|$ is even. It follows that $|V(G_2)| = 2$. Let $V(G_2) = \{x, v'\}$.

Since $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(v')| \geq 2$. Take a vertex $z \in N_G(x) \cap N_G(v')$ distinct from v . Clearly, $T + \{zx, zv'\}$ is a larger odd sub-tree of G than T , a contradiction. This proves that T is an odd spanning tree of G .

Subcase 2.2.2. $v' \in V(T)$

Since $|V(T)| \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2$, we have $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$, and thus $\Delta(G_2) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 3$. Hence, for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 3\right) = 4. \quad (27)$$

Combining (20) and (27), one has

$$4|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (28)$$

Integrating (28) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, it gives $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{n}{5}$. By taking this into the following, we obtain for any $y \in V(G_2)$,

$$d_T(y) = d_H(y) - d_{G_2}(y) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{n}{5} - 1\right) = \frac{3n}{10} + 2. \quad (29)$$

Combining (20) and (29), we have

$$\left(\frac{3n}{10} + 2\right)|V(G_2)| \leq e_G(V(T), V(G_2)) \leq |V(T)|. \quad (30)$$

Uniting (30) with $|V(G_2)| + |V(T)| = n$, $|V(G_2)| \leq \frac{10n}{3n+30} < \frac{10}{3}$. Since both $|V(T)|$ and n are even, $|V(G_2)|$ is even. It follows that $|V(G_2)| = 2$. Let $V(G_2) = \{x, y\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \geq 2$. Take a vertex $z \in N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)$. Clearly, $T + \{zx, zy\}$ is a larger odd sub-tree of G than T , a contradiction. This proves that T is an odd spanning tree of G . \square

3 Split graphs

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is said to be a *split graph* if there exists a partition (X, Y) of V such that X is an independent set and Y is a clique. We will denote such a graph by $G(X \cup Y, E)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = G(X \cup Y, E)$ be a connected split graph of an even order, where X is an independent set and Y is a clique. Then G has no odd spanning tree if and only if $d_X(y) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for any $y \in Y$ and $N_X(y) \cap N_X(y') = \emptyset$ for any two vertices $y, y' \in Y$.*

Proof. To show its sufficiency, assume that $d_X(y) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $N_X(y) \cap N_X(y') = \emptyset$ for any two vertices $y, y' \in Y$. We show that G has no odd spanning tree. Suppose T is an odd spanning tree of G . By the assumption, $T - X$ is a spanning tree of $G - X$. Let y_i be a leaf of $T - X$. So, $d_T(y_i) = d_X(y_i) + 1$ is even, which contradicts that $d_T(y_i)$ is odd.

To show its necessity, suppose that G has no odd spanning tree. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t\}$. Let F be a spanning forest of G with exactly t components T_1, \dots, T_t , where T_i is isomorphic to a star with y_i as its center for each i . We say such a spanning forest of G a Y -star forest of G .

Claim 1. Every Y -star forest has an even number of components with even size.

Proof. Let $V_1 = \{y \in Y : d_F(y) \text{ is odd}\}$ and $V_2 = Y \setminus V_1$. We show that $|V_2|$ is even. Note that

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^t d_F(y_j) = \sum_{y \in V_1} d_F(y) + \sum_{y \in V_2} d_F(y),$$

$$t = |V_1| + |V_2| \text{ and } s \equiv t \pmod{2} \text{ (since } s + t \text{ is even).}$$

If s is odd, then $|V_1|$ is odd, and thus $|V_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; if s is even, then $|V_1|$ is even, and thus $|V_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. \square




Fig. 1 T .

Claim 2. If there exists a vertex $y \in Y$ such that $d_X(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or there exist two vertices y_j and y_k in Y such that $N_X(y_j) \cap N_X(y_k) \neq \emptyset$, then G has a Y -star forest with a component of even size.

Proof. If there exists a vertex $y \in Y$ such that $d_X(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then G has a Y -star forest F with $d_F(y) = d_X(y)$. Clearly, F is a Y -star forest, as we desired. In the second case, let x_i be a common neighbor of y_j and y_k . Let F' be a Y -star-forest of $G - x_i$. Let $F = F' + x_i y_j$ if $d_{F'}(y_j)$ is odd, and otherwise $F = F' + x_i y_k$. Clearly, F is a Y -star forest of G as desired. \square

By Claims 1 and 2, let F be a Y -star forest with an even number of components of even size. Without loss of generality, let $F = \cup_{i=1}^t T_i$, where T_i is a component of even size for each $i \leq 2a$, and T_i is a component of odd size for each $i \geq 2a + 1$ for a positive integer a . One can see that

$$T = F + \{y_i y_{i+1} : 2a \leq i \leq t - 1\} + \{y_j y_t : 1 \leq j \leq 2a - 1\}$$

is an odd spanning tree of G , as illustrated in Fig. 1, a contradiction. \square

A tree T is said to a *double star* if there are exactly two vertices of degree at least two in T .

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph with even order. If $\text{diam}(G) \geq 4$, then \overline{G} has a spanning odd double star.*

Proof. Let v_0 and v_4 be two vertices in G with $d_G(v_0, v_4) = 4$. Let $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a shortest path joining v_0 and v_4 in G . Observe that \overline{G} contains a split graph G' as its spanning subgraph, where $G' = G'(X \cup Y, E)$ with $Y = \{v_0, v_4\}$ being a clique, $X = V(G) \setminus Y$ an independent set, and $E(G') = \{v_0v_4\} \cup E_0 \cup E_4 \cup E_{04}$, with $E_4 = \{v'_0v_4 : v'_0 \in N_G(v_0)\}$, $E_0 = \{v'_4v_0 : v'_4 \in N_G(v_4)\}$, and $E_{04} = \{vv_0, vv_4 : v \in V(G) \setminus (N_G[v_0] \cup N_G[v_4])\}$. Since $v_2 \in N_{\overline{G}}(v_0) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(v_4)$, $N_{\overline{G}}(v_0) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(v_4) \neq \emptyset$. By [Theorem 3.1](#), G' has an odd spanning tree, a spanning a double star. So, G has an odd spanning tree as well. \square

4 Complements of triangle-free graphs

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph G whose complement has an odd spanning tree. Recall that a graph is claw-free if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$. Clearly, If G is a triangle-free, then \overline{G} is claw-free. Since a graph has a spanning tree if and only if it is connected, it is natural to consider when \overline{G} is connected for a triangle-free graph G . For $E' \subseteq E(G)$, $G[E']$ denotes the subgraph of G with $E(G[E']) = E'$ and $V(G[E'])$ is the set of vertices incident with an edge of E' in G .

Lemma 4.1. *For a triangle-free graph G , \overline{G} is disconnected if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph.*

Proof. If $G \cong K_{s,t}$ for some two positive integers s and t , then \overline{G} consists of two disjoint cliques K_s and K_t , thus is disconnected.

If \overline{G} is disconnected, then it has exactly two components. Otherwise, it has at least three components. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be three vertices, which belong to distinct components of \overline{G} . Clearly, v_1, v_2, v_3 are pairwise adjacent in G , i.e. they induce a triangle in G , a contradiction. So, let X and Y be the vertex set of the two components of \overline{G} , respectively. Again, any vertex of X is adjacent to all vertices of Y in G . It follows $G[E_G[X, Y]]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Indeed, $G = G[E_G[X, Y]]$. Otherwise, G must contain a triangle. \square

For the seek of clarity, we divide triangle-free graphs into two families in terms of whether it is odd or not. We use C_n to denote the cycle of order n . The complement of C_4 is denoted by $2K_2$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a triangle-free graph. If G is odd, then \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree if and only if $G \not\cong 2K_2$ and G is not a complete bipartite graph.*

Proof. If G is a complete bipartite graph, then \overline{G} is disconnected, it has no spanning tree, and has no odd spanning tree either. If $G \cong 2K_2$, then $\overline{G} = C_4$. Clearly C_4 has no odd spanning tree.

Assume G is a triangle-free graph which is neither a complete bipartite graph nor $2K_2$. By Lemma 4.1, \overline{G} is connected. Fix a vertex $v \in V(G)$. For convenience, let $X = N_G(v)$ and $Y = V(G) \setminus N_G[v]$. Since G is not a complete bipartite graph, there exist two vertices $x^* \in X$ and $y^* \in Y$ with $x^*y^* \notin E(G)$.

Case 1. There exists a vertex $y' \in Y \setminus \{y^*\}$ with $y^*y' \notin E(G)$.

One can find an odd spanning tree T_1 of \overline{G} with

$$E(T_1) = \{x^*y^*, y^*y'\} \cup \{vy : y \in Y \setminus \{y'\}\} \cup \{x^*x : x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}\}$$

as shown in Fig. 2(a), where z is y^* .

Case 2. Any vertex $y \in Y \setminus \{y^*\}$ is adjacent to y^* in G .

Case 2.1. $|X| = 1$.

We claim that $|Y| \geq 4$. If it is not, then $|Y| = 2$, and thus the order of G is 4. Since G is odd, the assumption implies $G \cong 2K_2$, a contradiction. Note that X is a clique in \overline{G} with $|X| = d_G(v)$ being odd and $X \setminus \{x^*\} \subseteq N_{\overline{G}}(x^*)$. In addition, $x^*y^* \in E(\overline{G})$. Since $d_{\overline{G}}(x^*)$ is even, x^* has a neighbor, say $y'' \in Y$, other than y^* , in \overline{G} . Take a vertex $y' \in Y \setminus \{y'', y^*\}$. By the assumption that $y^*y', y^*y'' \in E(G)$, and G is triangle-free, it follows that $y'y'' \notin E(G)$, i.e. $y'y'' \in E(\overline{G})$. Now one can find an odd spanning tree T_1 of \overline{G} as shown in Fig. 2(a), where z is y'' .




Fig. 2 (a) T_1 and (b) T_2 .

Case 2.2. $|X| \geq 2$.

Since $|X|$ is odd, $|X| \geq 3$. Since \overline{G} is connected and is even, there exists a vertex $y' \in Y \setminus \{y^*\}$ such that $x^*y' \in E(\overline{G})$. Let $x' \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$. Since \overline{G} is claw-free, x' must be adjacent to either y^* or y' in \overline{G} . Without loss of generality, let $x'y^* \in E(\overline{G})$. One can find an odd spanning tree T_2 of \overline{G} with

$$E(T_2) = \{x^*y^*, x^*y', x'y^*\} \cup \{x^*x : x \in X \setminus \{x^*, x'\}\} \cup \{vy : y \in Y \setminus \{y'\}\},$$

as shown in Fig. 2(b). □

Before proceeding, we introduce some additional notation. Let $C_5(k)$ be the graph by replacing one vertex v of C_5 with an independent set of k vertices, which are adjacent to the two neighbors of v in C_5 , as shown in Fig. 3(a). In addition, $K_{2s,2t} - e$ denotes the graph obtained from $K_{2s,2t}$ by deleting an edge.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a triangle-free graph of even order. If G is not odd, then \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree if and only if $G \cong C_5(2)$ and $G \notin \{K_{2s,2t}, K_{2s,2t} - e\}$ for two positive integers s and t .*

Proof. To show its necessity, let s and t be any two positive integers. If $G = K_{2s,2t}$, then \overline{G} is disconnected, and hence has no spanning (odd) tree. If $G = K_{2s,2t} - e$, then by Proposition 2.5, \overline{G} has no odd spanning tree either. Next we show that $C_5(2)$ has no an odd spanning tree.

To see this, first let $V(C_5(2)) = \{x_1, x_2\} \cup \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ and $E(C_5(2)) = \{x_i y_j : 1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq j \leq 4\} \cup \{x_1 y_2, x_2 y_1, y_1 y_2\}$. Suppose $\overline{C_5(2)}$ has an odd spanning tree T . Clearly $x_1 x_2 \notin E(T)$, otherwise, one of x_1 or x_2 must have degree 2 in T . So, both x_1 and x_2 are leaves of T . It implies that $d_T(y_i) = 3$. However, it forces that T contains a 4-cycle $y_1 y_3 y_2 y_4 y_1$, a contradiction.




Fig. 3 (a) $C_5(k)$ and (b) T_3 .

Next, assume that G is a triangle-free graph such that \overline{G} has no odd spanning tree. We show that $G \cong C_5(2)$ or $G \in \{K_{2s,2t}, K_{2s,2t} - e\}$ for two positive integers s and t . Fix a vertex y of G with $d_G(y)$ being even. For convenience, let $N_G(y) = X$, $Y = V(G) \setminus X$. Hence $y \in Y$. Since G is triangle-free, X is an independent set in G and thus is a clique in \overline{G} . Since $d_G(y)$ is even, $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2s}\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2t}\}$. Trivially, $s > 0$, otherwise \overline{G} has a spanning odd star rooted at y , contradicting our assumption.

Case 1. There is a vertex $y' \in Y$ with $|N_G(y') \cap X| \leq 2s - 2$.

By the assumption, $y' \neq y$ and $|N_{\overline{G}}(y') \cap X| \geq 2$. Since $y y'' \in E(\overline{G})$ for any $y'' \in Y \setminus \{y\}$, one can find an odd spanning tree T_3 of \overline{G} with

$$E(T_3) = \{y y'' : y'' \in Y \setminus \{y\}\} \cup \{y' x_1, y' x_2\} \cup \{x_1 x_i : 3 \leq i \leq 2s\},$$

as illustrated in Fig. 3(b), a contradiction.

Case 2. $|N_G(y') \cap X| \geq 2s - 1$ for any $y' \in Y$.




Fig. 4 \bar{G} .

By the assumption, for any $y' \in Y$,

$$|N_{\bar{G}}(y') \cap X| \leq 1, \quad (31)$$

. If $|Y| = 2$, then $G \in \{K_{2s,2}, K_{2s,2} - e\}$, as we desired. So, in what follows, assume that $2t = |Y| > 2$. So, it is naturally define $Y_i = N_{\bar{G}}(x_i) \cap Y$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 2s\}$ and $Y' = \{y' : y'x \notin E(\bar{G}) \text{ for all } x \in X\}$, as shown in Fig. 4. One can see that $Y' \cap Y_i = \emptyset$ and $Y_i \cap Y_j = \emptyset$ for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2s\}$. In particular, $Y' \neq \emptyset$ because of $y \in Y'$. Indeed, $Y \setminus Y_i = N_G(x_i)$ for any i . Since G is triangle-free, $Y \setminus Y_i$ is an independent set for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 2t\}$. It follows that Y is independent if

$$\text{either } Y_i = \emptyset \text{ for some } i \text{ or } |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq 2s \text{ such that } Y_i \neq \emptyset\}| > 2. \quad (32)$$

Subcase 2.1. Y is an independent set of G .

Subcase 2.1.1. There exists an integer $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2s\}$ with $|Y_i| \geq 2$.

Now one can find an odd spanning tree T_4 of \bar{G} with

$$E(T_4) = \{x_i y'_i, x_i y''_i\} \cup \{y'_i y''_i : y' \in Y \setminus \{y'_i, y''_i\}\} \cup \{x_i x : x \in X \setminus \{x_i\}\},$$

as illustrated in Fig. 5. This contradicts that \bar{G} has no odd spanning tree.




Fig. 5 T_4 .

Subcase 2.1.2. $|Y_i| \leq 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2s\}$.

It follows that $G \cong K_{2s,2t} \setminus M$, where M is a matching of $K_{2s,2t}$. To show that $G \in \{K_{2s,2t}, K_{2s,2t} - e\}$, it suffices to show that $|M| \leq 1$. Suppose $|M| \geq 2$. We show that \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree. Assume that $\{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\} \subseteq M$, without loss of generality.

If $s \geq 2$, then \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree T_5 with

$$E(T_5) = \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\} \cup \{y_1y_i : 3 \leq i \leq 2t\} \cup \{x_1x_2, x_2x_3\} \cup \{x_1x_i : 4 \leq i \leq 2s\},$$

as shown in Fig. 6, a contradiction.




Fig. 6 T_5 .

If $s = 1$, then \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree T_6 with

$$E(T_6) = \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\} \cup \{y_1y_2, y_1y_3\} \cup \{y_2y_i : 4 \leq i \leq 2t\},$$

as illustrated in Fig. 7, a contradiction.




Fig. 7 T_6 .

Subcase 2.2. Y is not an independent set of G .

Since Y is not independent,

$$Y_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } i \text{ and } |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq 2s \text{ such that } Y_i \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 2. \quad (33)$$

It means that $s = 1$ and $Y_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $Y_2 \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $Y' \neq \emptyset$. Since Y is not independent, $E_G(Y_1, Y_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1. $E_{\overline{G}}(Y_1, Y_2) = \emptyset$

Proof. Suppose $E_{\overline{G}}(Y_1, Y_2) \neq \emptyset$, and let $y_1 y_2 \in E_{\overline{G}}(Y_1, Y_2)$, where $y_1 \in Y_1$ and $y_2 \in Y_2$. First assume that $\max\{|Y_1|, |Y_2|\} \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, let $|Y_2| \geq 2$. One can see that T_7 is an odd spanning tree of \overline{G} , where

$$E(T_7) = \{x_1 y_1, y_1 y_2, x_2 y_2, y_2 y_3\} \cup \{y y' : y' \in Y' \setminus \{y, y_2, y_3\}\},$$

as illustrated in Fig. 8, again a contradiction.




Fig. 8 (a) T_7 and (b) T_8 .

If $|Y_1| = |Y_2| = 1$, then T_8 is an odd spanning tree of \overline{G} , where $E(T_8) = \{x_1 y_1, y_1 y_2, x_2 y_2, y_2 y_3\} \cup \{y_1 y' : y' \in Y' \setminus \{y_3\}\}$, as illustrated in Fig. 8. This is a contradiction. \square




Fig. 9 \overline{G} .

By Claim 1, \overline{G} is shown in Fig. 9

Claim 2. $G \cong C_5(n - 4)$

Proof. Suppose it is not. There are two possibilities.

Subcase 2.2.1. $\min\{|Y_1|, |Y_2|, |Y'|\} \geq 2$

First assume that one of $|Y_1|$ and $|Y_2|$ is even. Let $|Y_1| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, without loss of generality. One can find that \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree T_9 with

$$E(T_9) = \{x_1x_2, x_1y_1, x_1y'_1, y_1y\} \cup \{y_1y''_1 : y''_1 \in Y_1 \setminus \{y_1, y'_1\}\} \\ \cup \{yy_2 : y_2 \in Y_2\} \cup \{yy'' : y'' \in Y' \setminus \{y, y'\}\} \cup \{y_1y'\},$$

as shown in Fig. 10, contradicting our assumption.




Fig. 10 T_9 and T_{10} .

If both $|Y_1|$ and $|Y_2|$ are odd, \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree T_{10} with

$$E(T_{10}) = E(T_9) - y_1y' + yy',$$

where T_9 is the tree constructed in the previous case, T_{10} is illustrated in Fig. 10, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2.2. Exactly one of $|Y_1|$, $|Y_2|$ and $|Y'|$ equals 1.

First assume that exactly one of Y_1 and Y_2 has cardinality odd greater than 1. Without loss of generality, let $|Y_1| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $|Y_1| > 1$, then \overline{G} has an odd spanning tree T_{10} , as shown in Fig. 10, a contradiction.

Now assume that one of $|Y_1|$ and $|Y_2|$ is 1 and the other is even. Without loss of generality, let $|Y_2| = 1$. One can see that T_9 is an odd spanning tree of \overline{G} , as shown in Fig. 10, a contradiction. \square




Fig. 11 T_{11} .

Claim 3. $n = 6$

Proof. By Claim 2, $G \cong C_5(n - 4)$. Without loss of generality, let $Y_i = \{y_i\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $Y' = \{y_3, \dots, y_{n-2}\}$. If $n \geq 8$, then T_7 is an odd spanning tree of G with

$$E(T_{11}) = \{x_1y_1, y_1y_3, y_1y_5\} \cup \{y_5y_i : 6 \leq i \leq n - 2\} \cup \{y_2y_5, y_2y_4, x_2y_2\},$$

as shown in Fig. 11. This contradiction shows that $n = 6$ i.e., $G \cong C_5(2)$. \square

The proof is completed. \square

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we present some sufficient conditions for a graph containing an odd spanning tree. For instance, we show that every graph of even order n with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ has an odd spanning tree. This is best possible, in sense that there are graph of order n with $\frac{n}{2}$ having no odd spanning tree. There are also some other related problems which are worth to be investigated.

(1) When does \overline{G} have an odd spanning tree for a graph G with $diam(G) \geq 3$?

(2) It is well known that the complement of a triangle-free graph is claw-free. We characterize all triangle-free graphs whose complements having an odd spanning tree. It is an interesting problem to characterize all connected claw-free graphs having an odd spanning tree. More specifically, when does a line graph have an odd spanning tree?

(3) We present a minimum degree condition $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, which guarantees a graph G of even order n containing an odd spanning tree. Is these condition can be replaced with $d(u) + d(v) \geq n + 2$ for any two nonadjacent vertices u and v in G , which forces G having an odd spanning tree?

Very recently, Liu and Wu [15] proved that if G is a graph of order even n with $d(u) + d(v) \geq n + 1$ for any two nonadjacent vertices u and v in G , then it contains an odd spanning tree.

Data Availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] M. O. Albertson, D. M. Berman, J. P. Hutchinson, and C. Thomassen, Graphs with homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Graph Theory* 14 (1990) 247-258.

- [2] D.M. Berman, A.J. Radcliffe, A.D. Scott, H. Wang, L. Wargo, All trees contain a large induced subgraph having all degrees $1 \pmod k$, *Discrete Math.* 175 (1997) 35-40.
- [3] D.M. Berman, H. Wang, L. Wargo, Odd induced subgraphs in graphs of maximum degree three, *Australas. J. Combin.* 15 (1997) 81-85.
- [4] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. 244, Springer, 2008.
- [5] Y. Caro, On induced subgraphs with odd degrees, *Discrete Math.* 132 (1994) 23-28.
- [6] Y. Caro, I. Krasikov, Y. Roditty, On induced subgraphs of trees with restricted degrees, *Discrete Math.* 125 (1994) 101-106.
- [7] G. Chen, H. Ren, S. Shan, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in locally connected graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 21 (1-2) (2012) 107-111.
- [8] G. Chen, S. Shan, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 103 (2013) 409-414.
- [9] J. Diemunsch, M. Furuya, M. Sharifzadeh, S. Tsuchiya, D. Wang, J. Wise and E. Yeager, A characterization of P_5 -free graphs with a homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 185 (2015) 71-78.
- [10] A. Ferber, M. Krivelevich, Every graph contains a linearly sized induced subgraph with all degrees odd, *Adv. Math.* 406 (2022), Paper No.108534, 11 pp.
- [11] M. Furuya, S. Tsuchiya S. Large homeomorphically irreducible trees in path-free graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 93(2020) 372-394.
- [12] G. Gutin, Note on perfect forests, *J. Graph Theory* 82 (2016) 233-235.
- [13] A. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, K. Noguchi, K. Ozeki, On homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in cubic graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 89 (2018) 93-100.
- [14] X. Hou, L. Yu, J. Li, B. Liu, Odd induced subgraphs in graphs with treewidth at most two, *Graphs Combin.* 34 (2018) 535-544.
- [15] Y. Liu, B. Wu, Graphs with odd spanning trees, in preparation.
- [16] T. Ito, Y. Nakamura, S. Tsuchiya, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in small graphs, *Information Science and Applied Mathematics* 28 (2020) 1-16.
- [17] T. Ito, S. Tsuchiya, Degree sum conditions for the existence of homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Graph Theory* 99 (2022) 162-170.

- [18] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises* (North-Holland, Amsterdam), 1979.
- [19] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 445-450.
- [20] A.J. Radcliff, A.D. Scott, Every tree contains a large induced subgraph with all degrees odd, *Discrete Math.* 140 (1995) 275-279.
- [21] M. Rao, J. Hou, Q. Zeng, Odd induced subgraphs in planar graphs with large girth, *Graphs Combin.* 38(4) (2022), Paper No. 105, 12 pp.
- [22] A.D. Scott, Large induced subgraphs with all degrees odd, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 1 (1992) 335-349.
- [23] A.D. Scott, On induced subgraphs with all degrees odd, *Graphs Combin.* 17 (2001) 539-553.
- [24] S. Shan, S. Tsuchiya, Characterization of graphs of diameter 2 containing a homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree, *J. Graph Theory* 104 (2023) 886-903.
- [25] W.T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 221-230.
- [26] T. Wang, B. Wu, Induced subgraphs of a tree with constraint degree, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 440 (2023) 127657.
- [27] T. Wang, B. Wu, Maximum odd induced subgraph of a graph concerning its chromatic number, *J. Graph Theory* 107 (2024) 578-596.
- [28] S. Zhai, E. Wei, J. He, D. Ye, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in hexangulations of surfaces, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2019) 2893-2899.