

CHARACTERS OF QUANTUM LOOP ALGEBRAS

ANDREI NEGUȚ

ABSTRACT. The q -characters of quantum loop algebras are very important objects in representation theory. In [20], we showed that q -characters factor as a power series of the form studied in [9] times a character. In the present paper, we prove a product formula for the aforementioned character factor.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **q -characters.** Fix a non-zero complex number q , which is not a root of unity. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra, for which we fix a set of simple roots $\{\varsigma^i\}_{i \in I}$ and the corresponding set of positive roots Δ^+ . The representation theory of the quantum loop algebra

$$U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C} \left\langle e_{i,d}, f_{i,d}, \varphi_{i,d}^\pm \right\rangle_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}, d' \geq 0} / \text{relations}$$

has been long studied, see for instance [1, 12]. Specifically, the simple representations $L(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ of (the Borel subalgebra of) $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ are indexed by so-called ℓ -weights

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \left(\psi_i(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_{i,d}}{z^d} \right)_{i \in I}$$

which are rational, i.e. each $\psi_i(z)$ is the power series expansion of a rational function. Moreover, ℓ -weights feature in the q -characters ([10]) of representations V of (the Borel subalgebra of) $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, which are defined as

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{\ell\text{-weights } \boldsymbol{\psi}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\boldsymbol{\psi}})[\boldsymbol{\psi}]$$

Above, $V_{\boldsymbol{\psi}}$ denotes the generalized eigenspace of V in which the commuting family of operators $\{\varphi_{i,d}^\pm\}_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$ acts according to the eigenvalues $\{\psi_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$, and $[\boldsymbol{\psi}]$ denotes certain formal symbols that are required to satisfy $[\boldsymbol{\psi}][\boldsymbol{\psi}'] = [\boldsymbol{\psi}\boldsymbol{\psi}']$ with respect to component-wise multiplication of I -tuples of rational functions.

1.2. **Characters.** It has long been observed (this was communicated to the author by David Hernandez, to whom we are very grateful, and it also features in [23]) that the q -characters of simple representations $L(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ factor as

$$(1) \quad \chi_q(L(\boldsymbol{\psi})) = \chi_q^{\neq 0}(L(\boldsymbol{\psi})) \cdot \chi^{\mathbf{ord} \boldsymbol{\psi}}$$

where we write $\mathbf{ord} \boldsymbol{\psi} = (\text{order of the pole at } 0 \text{ of } \psi_i(z))_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, and

- $\chi_q^{\neq 0}(L(\boldsymbol{\psi}))$ is $[\boldsymbol{\psi}]$ times a series in the symbols $\{A_{i,x}^{-1}\}_{i \in I, x \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ of [10], see (121).

- $\chi^{\text{ord}} \psi$ is a character, i.e. a power series in the formal symbols $q^{-\mathbf{n}}$ for various $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ lying in the non-negative orthant of the root lattice \mathbb{N}^I ; we identify $q^{-\mathbf{n}}$ with the I -tuples of constant power series $\psi_i(z) = q^{-(n, \mathbf{s}^i)}$.

As far as identifying the factors that appear in (1), the left-most term is conjectured to coincide with the q -character of a representation of an appropriately shifted quantum loop algebra ([11]). As for the right-most term, it is conjectured ([23], see also [13] for the case $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^I$) to be given by the following formula

$$(2) \quad \chi^{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{-\alpha}} \right)^{\max(0, \mathbf{r} \cdot \alpha)}, \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$$

where $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sum_{i \in I} r_i n_i$. In the present paper, we will prove a refined version of formula (2), as follows: we realize $\chi^{\mathbf{r}}$ as the graded dimension of the vector space

$$(3) \quad L^{\mathbf{r}} = \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- / \left(\text{kernel of the pairing } \mathcal{S}_{\geq -\mathbf{r}}^+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle} \mathbb{C} \right)$$

(see Subsections 2.10, 2.11, 4.5, 4.10 for the notation above) and observe that the vector space $L^{\mathbf{r}}$ has an additional grading by $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (which matches the interesting grading on prefundamental modules discovered by [8]). Then one may ask to compute the refined q -character $\chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ of the vector space (3), which depends on an additional variable v that keeps track of the grading by d .

Theorem 1.3. *For any semisimple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we have*

$$(4) \quad \chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \prod_{d=1}^{\max(0, \mathbf{r} \cdot \alpha)} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-\alpha} v^d}$$

for all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$.

1.4. Kac-Moody Lie algebras. In [20], we extended the category \mathcal{O} of representations of (the Borel subalgebra of) $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ to arbitrary Kac-Moody Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} . Our main tool for doing so was the shuffle algebra, which we will recall in Section 2. In a nutshell, the double shuffle algebra \mathcal{S} of (29) features an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S} \cong U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$$

The above isomorphism matches the subalgebras $\mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^-, \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+$ of the LHS (defined as in Subsection 2.11) with the Borel subalgebra of the RHS when \mathfrak{g} is of finite type (see Proposition 2.22 for the precise statement). In [20], we used this language to provide an explicit construction of the simple modules $L(\psi)$ and of their q -characters. In the present paper, we will refine the notions of slopes in shuffle algebras from [16] in order to prove Theorem 1.3. In fact, the result that we will prove in Subsection 4.10 actually applies to any Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , in that we show

$$(5) \quad \chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}} \prod_{d=1}^{\max(0, \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n})} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{-\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

where the numbers $\{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I}$ are defined in (82). However, we only prove (5) conditional on the conjectural formula (84). The aforementioned conjecture is known when \mathfrak{g} is of finite type, which establishes (4), but this conjecture is also known in

related cases such as that of quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1 studied in [6] (which corresponds to $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1$).

1.5. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank David Hernandez for his great help and patience explaining the many aspects of the theory of q -characters and category \mathcal{O} for quantum loop algebras, and for his initial suggestion to pursue the computation of the characters χ^r .

2. SHUFFLE ALGEBRAS

2.1. Basic definitions. The set \mathbb{N} is assumed to contain 0 throughout the present paper. Fix a finite set I and a symmetrizable Cartan matrix

$$(6) \quad \left(\frac{2d_{ij}}{d_{ii}} \in \mathbb{Z} \right)_{i,j \in I}$$

where d_{ii} are positive integers with greatest common divisor 2, and $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$ are non-positive integers. This data corresponds to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , which we will refer to as the “type” of all algebras considered hereafter. I is interpreted as a set of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} , and \mathbb{Z}^I should be interpreted as the root lattice. The Cartan matrix (6) is called symmetric, or equivalently \mathfrak{g} is called simply laced, if

$$(7) \quad d_{ii} = 2, \quad \forall i \in I$$

Knowledge of the integers $\{d_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ provides a symmetric bilinear pairing

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{C}^I \otimes \mathbb{C}^I \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)} \mathbb{C}, \quad (\zeta^i, \zeta^j) = d_{ij}$$

where $\zeta^i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0}_{1 \text{ on the } i\text{-th position}})$. For any $\mathbf{m} = (m_i)_{i \in I}, \mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$, we let

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sum_{i \in I} m_i n_i$$

For $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, we will write $\mathbf{m} < \mathbf{n}$ if $m_i < n_i$ for all $i \in I$. We will also use the notation $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$.

2.2. The quantum loop algebra. We briefly recall the definition of the quantum loop algebra associated to the Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (see [2] for the finite type case, [18] for simply-laced Kac-Moody types, and [19] for expectations and conjectures on the general case). Consider the following formal series for all $i \in I$

$$(10) \quad e_i(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e_{i,d}}{x^d}, \quad f_i(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f_{i,d}}{x^d}, \quad \varphi_i^\pm(x) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{i,d}^\pm}{x^{\pm d}}$$

and let $\delta(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} x^d$ be the formal delta function. For any $i, j \in I$, set

$$(11) \quad \zeta_{ij}(x) = \frac{x - q^{-d_{ij}}}{x - 1}$$

For any $i \in I$, we will write $q_i = q^{\frac{d_{ii}}{2}}$.

Definition 2.3. *The pre-quantum loop algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} is*

$$(12) \quad \widetilde{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C} \left\langle e_{i,d}, f_{i,d}, \varphi_{i,d'}^\pm \right\rangle_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}, d' \geq 0} / \text{relations (13)-(16)}$$

where we impose the following relations for all $i, j \in I$ and all $\pm, \pm' \in \{+, -\}$:

$$(13) \quad e_i(x) e_j(y) \zeta_{ji} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right) = e_j(y) e_i(x) \zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)$$

$$(14) \quad \varphi_j^\pm(y) e_i(x) \zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) = e_i(x) \varphi_j^\pm(y) \zeta_{ji} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$$

$$(15) \quad \varphi_i^\pm(x) \varphi_j^{\pm'}(y) = \varphi_j^{\pm'}(y) \varphi_i^\pm(x), \quad \varphi_{i,0}^+ \varphi_{i,0}^- = 1$$

as well as the opposite relations with e 's replaced by f 's, and finally the relation

$$(16) \quad [e_i(x), f_j(y)] = \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\varphi_i^+(x) - \varphi_i^-(y) \right)$$

We define the quantum loop algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} as

$$(17) \quad U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) = \widetilde{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g}) / (\text{kernel of the Hopf pairing})$$

(see [20, Subsection 2.5] for the meaning of the right-hand side of (17), and for an explicit description of the aforementioned kernel in finite and simply laced types).

2.4. The big shuffle algebra. We now review the trigonometric version ([3, 4]) of the Feigin-Odesskii shuffle algebra ([7]) associated to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Consider the vector space of rational functions in arbitrarily many variables

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{V}_{(n_i)_{i \in I}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[z_{i1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_{in_i}^{\pm 1}]^{\text{sym}}}{\prod_{\{i \neq j\} \subset I}^{\text{unordered}} \prod_{1 \leq a \leq n_i, 1 \leq b \leq n_j} (z_{ia} - z_{jb})}$$

Above, ‘‘sym’’ refers to **color-symmetric** Laurent polynomials, meaning that they are symmetric in the variables z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i} for each $i \in I$ separately (the terminology is inspired by the fact that $i \in I$ is called the color of the variable z_{ia}). We make the vector space \mathcal{V} into a \mathbb{C} -algebra via the following shuffle product:

$$(19) \quad E(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) * E'(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in'_i}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}! \mathbf{n}'!} \cdot$$

$$\text{Sym} \left[E(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) E'(z_{i,n_i+1}, \dots, z_{i,n_i+n'_i}) \prod_{i,j \in I} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n_i \\ n_j < b \leq n_j + n'_j}} \zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{z_{ia}}{z_{jb}} \right) \right]$$

The word Sym denotes symmetrization with respect to the

$$(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}')! := \prod_{i \in I} (n_i + n'_i)!$$

permutations of the variables $\{z_{i1}, \dots, z_{i,n_i+n'_i}\}$ for each i independently. The reason for this definition is that there exist algebra homomorphisms

$$(20) \quad \Upsilon^+ : U_q^+(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, \quad e_{i,d} \mapsto z_{i1}^d \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{S}^i}$$

$$(21) \quad \Upsilon^- : U_q^-(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}, \quad f_{i,d} \mapsto z_{i1}^d \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{S}^i}^{\text{op}}$$

where $U_q^+(L\mathfrak{g}), U_q^-(L\mathfrak{g})$ denote the subalgebras of $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{f_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}}$, respectively. It was shown in [19] that the homomorphisms above are injective, i.e. the kernel of the Hopf pairing by which we factor in (17) precisely contains all possible relations between $e_{i,d}$ and $f_{i,d}$ inside \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^{op} , respectively.

2.5. The shuffle algebra. Due to the injective homomorphisms Υ^\pm above, we will often abuse notation by denoting $e_{i,d} = z_{i1}^d \in \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^i}$ and $f_{i,d} = z_{i1}^d \in \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^i}^{\text{op}}$. Then

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{S}^\pm = \text{Im } \Upsilon^\pm$$

are called **shuffle algebras**, i.e. the subalgebras of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^{op} (when \pm is $+$ and $-$, respectively) generated by $\{e_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{f_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (respectively).

Remark 2.6. For \mathfrak{g} of finite type, we have the following explicit description

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{S}^\pm = \left\{ \frac{\rho(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i})_{i \in I}}{\prod_{\substack{\text{unordered} \\ \{i \neq j\} \subset I}} \prod_{1 \leq a \leq n_i, 1 \leq b \leq n_j} (z_{ia} - z_{jb})} \right\}$$

where ρ goes over the set of color-symmetric Laurent polynomials that satisfy the Feigin-Odesskii **wheel conditions**:

$$(24) \quad \rho(\dots, z_{ia}, \dots, z_{jb}, \dots) \Big|_{(z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \mapsto (w, wq^{d_{ii}}, \dots, wq^{(n-1)d_{ii}}, z_{j1} \mapsto wq^{-d_{ij}}} = 0$$

for any $i \neq j$ in I , where $n = 1 - \frac{2d_{ij}}{d_{ii}}$. The inclusion \subseteq of (23) was established in [3, 4] based on the seminal work of [7], while the inclusion \supseteq was proved in [21].

Elements of either \mathcal{S}^+ or \mathcal{S}^- will be referred to as **shuffle elements**. We may grade the shuffle algebras \mathcal{S}^\pm by $(\pm \mathbb{N}^I) \times \mathbb{Z}$ via

$$(25) \quad \deg X = (\pm \mathbf{n}, d)$$

for any $X(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \in \mathcal{S}^\pm$ of total homogeneous degree d , where $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I}$. We will call $\pm \mathbf{n}$ and d the **horizontal** and **vertical** degrees of X , and denote them

$$(26) \quad \text{hdeg } X = \pm \mathbf{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{vdeg } X = d$$

In terms of this grading, shuffle algebras decompose as

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{S}^\pm = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{S}_{\pm \mathbf{n}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_{\pm \mathbf{n}, d}$$

Proposition 2.7. For any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we have **shift** automorphisms of \mathcal{S}^\pm

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\pm \mathbf{n}, d} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}} \mathcal{S}_{\pm \mathbf{n}, d \pm \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}}, \quad R(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \mapsto R(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} z_{ia}^{\pm r_i}$$

and note that they satisfy $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{r}'} = \sigma_{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}'} = \sigma_{\mathbf{r}'} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$.

2.8. **The double shuffle algebra.** Define

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^\pm]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}}{\varphi_{i,0}^+ \varphi_{i,0}^- - 1} \otimes \mathcal{S}^-$$

endowed with the following commutation relations for all $E \in \mathcal{S}^+$, $F \in \mathcal{S}^-$, $j \in I$

$$(30) \quad \varphi_j^\pm(y) E(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) = E(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \varphi_j^\pm(y) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \frac{\zeta_{ji} \left(\frac{y}{z_{ia}} \right)}{\zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{z_{ia}}{y} \right)}$$

$$(31) \quad F(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \varphi_j^\pm(y) = \varphi_j^\pm(y) F(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \frac{\zeta_{ji} \left(\frac{y}{z_{ia}} \right)}{\zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{z_{ia}}{y} \right)}$$

(the right-hand sides of (30)-(31) are expanded in non-positive powers of $y^{\pm 1}$) and

$$(32) \quad [e_{i,d}, f_{j,d'}] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \cdot \begin{cases} \varphi_{i,d+d'}^+ & \text{if } d + d' > 0 \\ \varphi_{i,0}^+ - \varphi_{i,0}^- & \text{if } d + d' = 0 \\ -\varphi_{i,-d-d'}^- & \text{if } d + d' < 0 \end{cases}$$

Because \mathcal{S}^+ and \mathcal{S}^- are by definition generated by $e_{i,d}$ and $f_{j,d'}$ as $i, j \in I, d, d' \in \mathbb{Z}$, the relations above are sufficient to completely reorder any product of elements coming from the three tensor factors in (29). These specific relations are chosen so that the homomorphisms Υ^\pm of (20)-(21) glue to an isomorphism

$$(33) \quad \Upsilon : U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}$$

It will be useful to replace the generators $\varphi_j^\pm(y)$ by $\{\kappa_j, p_{j,u}\}_{j \in I, u \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0}$ defined by

$$\varphi_j^\pm(y) = \kappa_j^{\pm 1} \exp \left(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{j,\pm u}}{uy^{\pm u}} \right)$$

In terms of these new generators, relations (30) and (31) take the form

$$(34) \quad \kappa_j X = X \kappa_j q^{(\pm n, \mathbf{s}^j)}$$

$$(35) \quad [p_{j,u}, X] = \pm X \sum_{i \in I} (z_{i1}^u + \dots + z_{in_i}^u) (q^{ud_{ij}} - q^{-ud_{ij}})$$

for any $X(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i})_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{S}_{\pm n}$.

2.9. **The Hopf algebra structure.** There exist topological coproducts on

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{S}^\geq = \mathcal{S}^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$$

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{S}^\leq = \mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^-]_{i \in I, d \geq 0} \otimes \mathcal{S}^-$$

(we tacitly assume that $\varphi_{i,0}^\pm$ are replaced by $\kappa_i^{\pm 1}$ in the formulas above, so they are assumed to be invertible) defined by

$$(38) \quad \Delta(\varphi_i^\pm(z)) = \varphi_i^\pm(z) \otimes \varphi_i^\pm(z)$$

and

$$(39) \quad \Delta(E) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} \frac{\prod_{m_j < b \leq n_j}^{j \in I} \varphi_j^+(z_{jb}) E(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{m_i}} \otimes z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{i_{n_i}})}{\prod_{1 \leq a \leq m_i}^{i \in I} \prod_{m_j < b \leq n_j}^{j \in I} \zeta_{ji} \left(\frac{z_{jb}}{z_{ia}} \right)}$$

$$(40) \quad \Delta(F) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} \frac{F(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{m_i}} \otimes z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{i_{n_i}}) \prod_{1 \leq b \leq m_j}^{j \in I} \varphi_j^-(z_{jb})}{\prod_{1 \leq a \leq m_i}^{i \in I} \prod_{m_j < b \leq n_j}^{j \in I} \zeta_{ij} \left(\frac{z_{ia}}{z_{jb}} \right)}$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$, $F \in \mathcal{S}_{-\mathbf{n}}$. To make sense of the right-hand side of (39) and (40), we expand the denominator as a power series in the range $|z_{ia}| \ll |z_{jb}|$, and place all the powers of z_{ia} to the left of the \otimes sign and all the powers of z_{jb} to the right of the \otimes sign (for all $i, j \in I$, $1 \leq a \leq m_i$, $m_j < b \leq n_j$). There also exists a topological antipode on \mathcal{S}^{\geq} and \mathcal{S}^{\leq} , given by

$$(41) \quad S(\varphi_i^{\pm}(z)) = \varphi_i^{\pm}(z)^{-1} := \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\varphi}_{i,d}^{\pm}}{z^{\pm d}}$$

$$(42) \quad S(e_{i,d}) = - \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}_{i,u}^+ e_{i,d-u} \quad \text{and} \quad S(f_{i,d}) = - \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} f_{i,d+u} \bar{\varphi}_{i,u}^-$$

(these formulas determine the antipode completely, as \mathcal{S}^+ and \mathcal{S}^- are generated by $e_{i,d}$ and $f_{i,d}$, respectively). The inverse antipode S^{-1} is given by the same formulas as (42), but with $\bar{\varphi}$ placed on the opposite side of e and f .

2.10. The pairing. We will call $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ an **ordering** of $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ if

$$\mathfrak{s}^{i_1} + \dots + \mathfrak{s}^{i_n} = \mathbf{n}$$

If this happens, we will employ for any $X(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{n_i}})_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{S}_{\pm \mathbf{n}}$ the notation

$$(43) \quad X(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

to indicate the fact that each symbol z_a is plugged into a variable of the form $z_{i_a \bullet_a}$ of X , where the choice of $\bullet_a \geq 1$ does not matter due to the color-symmetry of X .

Following [17, 21], there exists a non-degenerate pairing

$$(44) \quad \mathcal{S}^+ \otimes \mathcal{S}^- \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{C}$$

completely determined by

$$(45) \quad \left\langle e_{i_1, d_1} * \dots * e_{i_n, d_n}, F \right\rangle = \int_{|z_1| \gg \dots \gg |z_n|} \frac{z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n} F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{S}_{-\mathbf{n}}$, any $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any ordering i_1, \dots, i_n of \mathbf{n} (the notation in the RHS of (45) is defined as in (43)). Throughout the present paper, the notation

$$\int_{|z_1| \gg \dots \gg |z_n|} R(z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{is shorthand for} \quad \int_{|z_1| \gg \dots \gg |z_n|} R(z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{dz_a}{2\pi i z_a}$$

and refers to the contour integral over concentric circles centered at the origin of the complex plane (the notation $|z_a| \gg |z_b|$ means that the these circles are very

far away from each other when compared to any constants that might appear in the formula for $R(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. The following was proved in [20, Lemma 3.9]

$$(46) \quad \left\langle e_{i_1, d_1} * \dots * e_{i_n, d_n}, S(F) \right\rangle = (-1)^n \int_{|z_1| \ll \dots \ll |z_n|} \frac{z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n} F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)}$$

The pairing (44) extends to a Hopf pairing

$$(47) \quad \mathcal{S}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\leq} \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{C}$$

by setting $\langle \varphi_i^+(x), \varphi_j^-(y) \rangle = \frac{\zeta_{ij}(\frac{x}{y})}{\zeta_{ji}(\frac{y}{x})}$ (expanded as $|x| \gg |y|$), and requiring

$$(48) \quad \langle a, b_1 b_2 \rangle = \langle \Delta(a), b_1 \otimes b_2 \rangle$$

$$(49) \quad \langle a_1 a_2, b \rangle = \langle a_1 \otimes a_2, \Delta^{\text{op}}(b) \rangle$$

(Δ^{op} is the opposite coproduct) and

$$(50) \quad \langle S(a), S(b) \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$$

for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{S}^{\geq}$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{S}^{\leq}$. With this in mind, \mathcal{S} of (29) becomes isomorphic to the Drinfeld double $\mathcal{S}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\leq}$ (modulo the identification of the element $\kappa_i \otimes 1$ with the inverse of the element $1 \otimes \kappa_i^{-1}$, for all $i \in I$), meaning that we have

$$(51) \quad ba = \langle a_1, S(b_1) \rangle a_2 b_2 \langle a_3, b_3 \rangle$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{S}^{\geq}$ and $b \in \mathcal{S}^{\leq}$ ¹. In the formula above, we use Sweedler notation

$$\Delta^{(2)}(a) = a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3, \quad \Delta^{(2)}(b) = b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3$$

(where $\Delta^{(2)} = (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta$) to avoid writing down the implied summation signs.

2.11. Slopes. We will now define slope subalgebras in a higher generality than [20] (corresponding to any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ instead of just $\mathbf{p} = \mu \mathbf{1}$), but the general theory continues to hold. The original source for these notions is [14, 16], inspired by [5].

Definition 2.12. For any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, we will say that

- $E \in \mathcal{S}_n$ has **slope** $\geq \mathbf{p}$ if the following limit is finite for all $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$

$$(52) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{E(\xi z_{i_1}, \dots, \xi z_{i_{m_i}}, z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{i n_i})}{\xi^{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}}$$

- $E \in \mathcal{S}_n$ has **slope** $\leq \mathbf{p}$ if the following limit is finite for all $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$

$$(53) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\xi z_{i_1}, \dots, \xi z_{i_{m_i}}, z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{i n_i})}{\xi^{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}}$$

- $F \in \mathcal{S}_{-n}$ has **slope** $\leq \mathbf{p}$ if the following limit is finite for all $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$

$$(54) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\xi z_{i_1}, \dots, \xi z_{i_{m_i}}, z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{i n_i})}{\xi^{-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}}$$

¹Note a small imprecision: for (51) to hold as stated, one would need to rescale the RHS of (45) by $\prod_{a=1}^n (q_{i_a} - q_{i_a}^{-1})^{-1}$, but we will ignore this so as to not overburden the notation.

- $F \in \mathcal{S}_{-n}$ has **slope** $\geq \mathbf{p}$ if the following limit is finite for all $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$

$$(55) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\xi z_{i1}, \dots, \xi z_{im_i}, z_{i, m_i+1}, \dots, z_{in_i})}{\xi^{-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}}$$

We will write $\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}$ for the subalgebras of elements of \mathcal{S}^{\pm} of slope $\geq \mathbf{p}$ and $\leq \mathbf{p}$, respectively. We will denote their graded components by

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}|\pm \mathbf{n}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}|\pm \mathbf{n}, d}$$

$$(57) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}|\pm \mathbf{n}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}|\pm \mathbf{n}, d}$$

For any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, the following formulas are easy exercises

$$(58) \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}) = \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{r}}^{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}) = \mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{r}}^{\pm}$$

If the limits in (52)-(55) are all 0, then we will say that E and F have slopes $< \mathbf{p}$ or $> \mathbf{p}$, respectively. All statements in the previous paragraph hold for the subalgebras $\mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}}^{\pm}$ of elements of slope $> \mathbf{p}$ and $< \mathbf{p}$, respectively.

2.13. Slope subalgebras. If a shuffle element X simultaneously has slope $\leq \mathbf{p}$ and $\geq \mathbf{p}$, then $\text{vdeg } X = \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{hdeg } X$. The set of such elements will be denoted by

$$(59) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\mathbf{n}, d) \in \mathbb{N}^I \times \mathbb{Z} \\ d = \pm \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}|\pm \mathbf{n}}$$

and will be called a **slope subalgebra**. As a consequence of (58), we have

$$(60) \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pm}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{r}}^{\pm}$$

for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. For any fixed $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$,

$$(61) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\geq} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{+} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\kappa_i]_{i \in I} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\leq} = \mathbb{C}[\kappa_i^{-1}]_{i \in I} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-}$$

are subalgebras of \mathcal{S}^{\geq} and \mathcal{S}^{\leq} , as long as we impose the commutation relation

$$(62) \quad \kappa_{\mathbf{m}} X = X \kappa_{\mathbf{m}} q^{(\text{hdeg } X, \mathbf{m})}$$

between the tensor factors, for all $X \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm}$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, where we denote $\kappa_{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{i \in I} \kappa_i^{m_i}$. We can make (61) into Hopf algebras, using the coproduct

$$(63) \quad \Delta(\kappa_i) = \kappa_i \otimes \kappa_i$$

for all $i \in I$, and

$$(64) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}(E) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} (\kappa_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m}} \otimes 1) (\text{value of the limit (52)})$$

$$(65) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}(F) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} (\text{value of the limit (54)}) (1 \otimes \kappa_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1})$$

$\forall E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{+}, F \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-}$. By analogy with [15, Proposition V.3], we have the following.

Proposition 2.14. *For any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, we may consider the Drinfeld double*

$$(66) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\leq}$$

with respect to the Hopf algebra structure above and the restriction of (47) to

$$(67) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\leq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Then the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\leq} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\leq} = \mathcal{S}$ is an algebra homomorphism, although not a bialgebra homomorphism.

2.15. Factorizations I. Slope subalgebras are important because they are the building blocks of shuffle algebras, as we will now show. A parameterized curve $\mathbf{p}(t) = (p_i(t))_{i \in I}$ in \mathbb{R}^I will be called **catty-corner** if

$$(68) \quad t_1 < t_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad p_i(t_1) < p_i(t_2), \forall i \in I$$

The domain of a catty-corner curve can be any interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, either open, closed or half-open. We also allow the domain of a catty-corner curve to extend up to $\pm\infty$, but in this case we will require the extra property that

$$(69) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} p_i(t) = \pm\infty, \forall i \in I$$

Proposition 2.16. *For any catty-corner curve $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$, we have isomorphisms*

$$(70) \quad \bigotimes_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}^{\pm} \xleftarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm}$$

where \rightarrow and \leftarrow means that we take the tensor product in increasing and decreasing order of t , respectively. The isomorphisms in (70) are given by multiplication².

Proof. (following [16, Proposition 3.14]). We will prove the \rightarrow statement for $\pm = +$, and leave the other cases as exercises to the reader. We start by proving by induction on $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ that the multiplication map induces a surjection

$$(71) \quad \sum_{\substack{\{\mathbf{n}_t \in \mathbb{N}^I\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \text{ all but finitely many} \\ \text{of which are } \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{n}_t = \mathbf{n}}} \bigotimes_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)|\mathbf{n}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$$

To this end, note that the two assumptions that make a catty-corner curve (the fact that it is increasing and that it goes to infinity in the positive/negative orthant of \mathbb{R}^I) imply that for any $(\mathbf{n}, d) \in (\mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a unique $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{p}(t) \cdot \mathbf{n} = d$$

We will call this value of t the **naive slope** of any shuffle element of degree (\mathbf{n}, d) . It is easy to see that having naive slope $<, \leq, =, \geq, >$ a certain real number is a

²As a vector space, $\bigotimes_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow \text{ or } \leftarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm}$ has a basis consisting of $\bigotimes_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow \text{ or } \leftarrow} b_{\mathbf{p}(t), s_t}^{\pm}$, where $b_{\mathbf{p}(t), s_t}^{\pm}$ run over homogeneous bases of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm}$ such that $b_{\mathbf{p}(t), s_t}^{\pm} = 1$ for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

multiplicative property. With this in mind, we note that the defining property of $\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{p}(t)}^+$, $\mathcal{S}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(t)}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^+$ is that they consist of shuffle elements E such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(E) &\in \left(\text{naive slope} \geq t \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left(\text{anything} \right) \\ \Delta(E) &\in \left(\text{anything} \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left(\text{naive slope} \leq t \right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(E) \in \left(\text{naive slope} \geq t \right) \otimes \left(\text{naive slope} \leq t \right)$$

respectively (we say that such E have slope $\geq t$, $\leq t$ and t , respectively). In all relations above, we write $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(\infty)}^+ = \mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{d \geq 0}^{i \in I}$, which is in line with the fact that the first tensor factor of $\Delta(E)$ contains elements from the middle subalgebra of (29).

Let us now consider an element $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$ of naive slope t , and let us consider the largest t' such that $\Delta(E)$ contains a non-zero summand $E_1 \otimes E_2$ with E_2 of naive slope t' . Among such summands, we assume that E_2 has maximal horizontal degree.

Claim 2.17. *E_1 must have slope $< t'$ and E_2 must have slope t' .*

Indeed, if E_1 failed to have slope $< t'$, then there would exist a summand in $\Delta(E_1)$ with second tensor factor of naive slope $\geq t'$, and the coassociativity of Δ would contradict the maximality of t' and E_2 . Similarly, if E_2 would fail to have slope $\leq t'$, then there would exist a summand in $\Delta(E_2)$ with second tensor factor of naive slope $> t'$, and the coassociativity of Δ would contradict the maximality of t' . Since having slope $\leq t'$ and naive slope t' implies having slope t' , Claim 2.17 follows.

Claim 2.18. *If E' has slope t' and E'' has slope $< t'$, then*

$$(72) \quad \begin{aligned}\Delta(E'' * E') &\in E'' \kappa_{\text{hdeg} E'} \otimes E' + \\ &+ \left(\text{anything} \right) \otimes \left(\text{“naive slope} < t' \text{” or “naive slope } t' \text{ and hdeg} < \text{hdeg } E' \text{”} \right)\end{aligned}$$

This claim is an easy consequence of the fact that coproduct is multiplicative, and the assumptions made imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(E') &\in \left(\text{naive slope} \geq t' \right) \otimes \left(\text{naive slope} \leq t' \right) \\ \Delta(E'') &\in \left(\text{anything} \right) \otimes \left(\text{naive slope} < t' \right)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, only the summand $E'' \otimes 1$ from $\Delta(E'')$ can produce terms which have second tensor factor of naive slope t' . This establishes Claim 2.18.

We will now prove the induction step of the isomorphism (71). Finitely many applications of Claims 2.17 and 2.18 allow us to construct

$$\tilde{E} = E - X'' * X' - \dots - Y'' * Y'$$

(where X'', \dots, Y'' have slope $< t'$ and X', \dots, Y' have slope t') with $\Delta(\tilde{E})$ only having second tensor factors with naive slope $< t'$. We may then repeat this algorithm for naive slopes $t'' \in (t, t')$ (while there are infinitely many such t'' , only finitely many of them can take part in this algorithm, since naive slope is constrained by the equation $\mathbf{p}(t'') \cdot \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$) to construct

$$\bar{E} = E - X'' * X' - \dots - Y'' * Y' - \dots - Z'' * Z' - \dots - T'' * T'$$

such that $\Delta(\bar{E})$ only has second tensor factors with naive slope $\leq t$. Therefore, after finitely many subtractions of products of the form $E'' * E'$ with $\text{slope}(E'') < \text{slope}(E')$ (all of these products are in the image of the map (71) by the induction hypothesis) then we can transform E into an element $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)|n}$, thus establishing the induction step of the surjectivity of (71).

To show that the map (71) is injective, we also invoke Claim 2.17 as follows: suppose for the purpose of contradiction there exists a non-trivial relation of the form

$$(73) \quad \sum \text{coefficient} \cdot E_{t_1}^{(k_1)} * \dots * E_{t_u}^{(k_u)} = 0$$

where $E_{t_s}^{(k_s)}$ go over fixed homogeneous bases of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_s)}^+$, of horizontal degree $> \mathbf{0}$, and all terms in (73) have the property that $t_1 < \dots < t_u$. We assume that the total horizontal degree of (73) is minimal so that a non-trivial relation exists. If we consider the summands in the relation above with maximal t_u , and among those summands we consider those with maximal hdeg, then Claim 2.17 implies that

$$\sum_{\text{maximal summands}} \text{coefficient} \cdot \left(E_{t_1}^{(k_1)} * \dots * E_{t_{u-1}}^{(k_{u-1})} \right) \otimes E_{t_u}^{(k_u)} = 0$$

By the minimality of the horizontal degree in (73), there are no non-trivial relations between the shuffle elements in the parenthesis above, which contradicts (73). \square

2.19. Factorizations II. It is easy to see that the argument in Proposition 2.16 actually proves the following analogue of (70)

$$(74) \quad \bigotimes_{t \in (t_1, t_2)}^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}(t_1)}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}(t_2)}^{\pm} \xleftarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{t \in (t_1, t_2)}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^{\pm}$$

for any $t_1 \leq t_2$ (including $\pm\infty$), as well as the natural analogues of (74) when some endpoints of the intervals may be closed instead of open (see [16, Proposition 3.14] for a proof of this more general statement in a related context). Because we can always find a catty-corner curve passing through any pair of points $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2$ in \mathbb{R}^I , (74) implies that the multiplication map induces isomorphisms

$$(75) \quad \left(\mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}'}^{\pm} \right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}'}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}''}^{\pm} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}''}^{\pm} \xleftarrow{\sim} \left(\mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}'}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}''}^{\pm} \right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}}^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}'}^{\pm} \right)$$

for any $\mathbf{p} < \mathbf{p}' < \mathbf{p}''$ in \mathbb{R}^I (we allow $\mathbf{p} = -\infty$ or $\mathbf{p}'' = \infty$, in which case we make the convention that $\mathcal{S}_{>-\infty}^{\pm} = \mathcal{S}_{<\infty}^{\pm} = \mathcal{S}^{\pm}$ in (75)).

Remark 2.20. For simply laced \mathfrak{g} , we expect that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$ correspond to the slope subalgebras defined in [22] using stable envelopes of Nakajima quiver varieties, and that the factorization (70) corresponds to the one introduced in loc. cit. using the FRT formalism (see [24, 25] for the case of finite and affine type A).

The left-most factorization in (70) respects the pairing (44), in the sense that

$$(76) \quad \left\langle \prod_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow} E_t, \prod_{t \in \mathbb{R}}^{\rightarrow} F_t \right\rangle = \prod_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \langle E_t, F_t \rangle$$

for all $\{E_t \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^+, F_t \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t)}^-\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (almost all of which are 1). The proof of (76) is exactly the same as that of [20, Proposition 3.13], which dealt with $\mathbf{p}(t) = t\mathbf{1}$. Formula (76) and the non-degeneracy of the pairing (44) implies that the restriction

$$(77) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^+ \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is also non-degenerate for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$.

2.21. The half subalgebras. The main use of slopes for our purposes is given by

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\geq} = \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- \otimes \mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,0}^+, \varphi_{i,1}^+, \varphi_{i,2}^+, \dots]_{i \in I} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+$$

$$(79) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\leq} = \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,0}^-, \varphi_{i,1}^-, \varphi_{i,2}^-, \dots]_{i \in I} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^-$$

which ([20, Propositions 3.16, 3.19]) are subalgebras of \mathcal{S} and provide factorizations

$$(80) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\geq} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\leq} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{\leq} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\geq}$$

The statements above would hold with $\mathbf{0}$ replaced by any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$. However, the importance of using $\mathbf{0}$ stems from the following result ([20, Proposition 3.21]).

Proposition 2.22. *If \mathfrak{g} is of finite type, then there is an isomorphism*

$$(81) \quad \Xi : U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})_{c=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}$$

that sends the subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{b}}^+)_{c=1}$ onto \mathcal{A}^{\geq} and $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{b}}^-)_{c=1}$ onto \mathcal{A}^{\leq} (see [20, Section 2] for a review of quantum affine algebras in our notation).

3. DIMENSIONS

3.1. Dimension of slope subalgebras I. For any Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , let us define the integers $\{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I}$ recursively by the following formula

$$(82) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}}} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

where $\{q^{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^I}$ are formal symbols such that

$$(83) \quad q^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}} = q^{\mathbf{n}} q^{\mathbf{m}}$$

for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$.

Remark 3.2. *Although beyond the scope of the present paper, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a q -Borcherds algebra, and therefore the PBW theorem implies that $a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}$ are non-negative integers.*

For the remainder of the present paper, we make the following assumption

$$(84) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}|\mathbf{n}, d}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d \stackrel{?}{=} \prod_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}} \prod_{d=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

This conjectural formula holds when \mathfrak{g} is of finite type, as shown in [20, Subsection 5.10] (in this case, $a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}$ is 1 if \mathbf{n} is a positive root of \mathfrak{g} and 0 otherwise).

Proposition 3.3. *Subject to (84), we have for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$*

$$(85) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}}} \right)^{a_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

Proof. By applying a shift automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ with $\mathbf{r} = (r_i \gg 0)_{i \in I}$ and invoking (60), we may assume that all the entries of \mathbf{p} are positive. In this case, let us consider the factorization (74) for the straight line $\mathbf{p}(t) = t\mathbf{p}$

$$\bigotimes_{t \in [0, \infty)}^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{B}_{t\mathbf{p}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}^+$$

Then formula (84) implies that

$$(86) \quad \prod_{t \in [0, \infty)} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \\ \text{s.t. } t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{t\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^{t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ d \in \mathbb{N}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

We will show that the formula above implies that for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(87) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \\ \text{s.t. } t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{t\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^{t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ \text{s.t. } d = t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

(the $t = 1$ case of which is (85), and so concludes the proof of Proposition 3.3). Indeed, assume the contrary and choose a minimal $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ such the LHS and RHS of (87) differ in horizontal degree \mathbf{m} , and then choose a minimal $t' \in [0, \infty)$ for which such a difference occurs (this is well-defined because the set of $t' \geq 0$ for which $\mathcal{B}_{t\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}} \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{m}$ is discrete). Then (86) and (87) for $t < t'$ imply that

$$\prod_{t \in [t', \infty)} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \\ \text{s.t. } t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{t\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^{t\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq t' \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

in horizontal degrees up to \mathbf{m} . However, only the $t = t'$ term of the LHS contributes to the $q^{\mathbf{m}} v^{t' \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}$ term of the equality above, which contradicts our choice of \mathbf{m} and t' . \square

3.4. Dimension of slope subalgebras II. We still operate under assumption (84). Having established (85) for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, the factorization (74) implies that

$$(88) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_{>\mathbf{p}_1|\mathbf{n}, d} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<\mathbf{p}_2|\mathbf{n}, d}) q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{<\mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}} v^d} \right)^{a_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

for all $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2$ in \mathbb{R}^I . The formula above also holds when $\mathbf{p}_1 = -\infty$ exclusive or $\mathbf{p}_2 = \infty$ (with the RHS expanded in either v^{-1} or v) and when we replace $>$

and/or $<$ by \geq and/or \leq in both sides of the equation. Finally, note that the identity assignment on shuffle elements yields an algebra anti-automorphism

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{-\mathbf{p}}^-$$

which implies the natural analogues of (82), (84), (85), (88) for \mathcal{S}^- instead of \mathcal{S}^+ .

Definition 3.5. We call $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ *generic* if

$$\left\{ \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^I \mid \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{or} \\ \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbf{m} & \text{for some } \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

A catty-corner curve $\mathbf{p}(t)$ will be called *generic* if $\mathbf{p}(t)$ is generic for all t (the terminology is due to the fact that such a curve does not pass through any intersection of two hyperplanes of the form $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} = d$ for various $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Proposition 3.3 shows that if \mathbf{p} is generic, then

$$(89) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{n}}) q^{\mathbf{n}_v \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{k \mathbf{m}_v k \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}}} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, k \mathbf{m}}}$$

for some fixed $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^I$. If moreover \mathfrak{g} is of finite type, the fact that $a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}$ is non-zero only if \mathbf{n} is a positive root (in which case $a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}} = 1$) shows that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$ has the same graded dimension as $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We will show in Subsection 3.8 that these algebras are in fact isomorphic.

3.6. Pairing. Formula (76) deals with the orthogonality of the pairing with respect to factorizations of \mathcal{S}^+ and \mathcal{S}^- defined with respect to one and the same catty-corner curve. However, the following result pertains to a more general setting.

Proposition 3.7. Subject to (84), for any generic $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2$ in \mathbb{R}^I , consider the following restriction of the pairing (44) twisted by the antipode

$$\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+ \otimes \left(\mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}_1}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}_2}^- \right) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}} \mathbb{C}$$

We have

$$(90) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}|\mathbf{n}, d}}{\text{Ker } \langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}} \right) q^{\mathbf{n}_v d} = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0} \\ d \in \mathbb{Z}_{> \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{< \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}} \cap \mathbb{N}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{\mathbf{n}_v d}} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

Proof. Because $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2$ are generic, we may choose a generic catty-corner curve

$$\mathbf{p} : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$$

such that $\mathbf{p}(1) = \mathbf{p}_1$ and $\mathbf{p}(2) = \mathbf{p}_2$. Therefore, we will write LHS_{t_1, t_2} and RHS_{t_1, t_2} for the left and right-hand sides of (90) with \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 replaced by $\mathbf{p}(t_1)$ and $\mathbf{p}(t_2)$, respectively. We will show that $\text{LHS}_{t_1, t_2} = \text{RHS}_{t_1, t_2}$ in all horizontal degrees $\mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{m}$ for any henceforth fixed $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^I$, which would conclude the proof of Proposition 3.7.

Note that the algebras $\mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}(t)}^-$ and $\mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}(t)}^-$ only change when the catty-corner curve $\mathbf{p}(t)$ encounters a hyperplane of the form $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The genericity of the catty-corner curve implies that any such encounter is with a unique such hyperplane.

Since there are discretely many such hyperplanes with \mathbf{n} less than or equal to our fixed \mathbf{m} , then we conclude that LHS_{t_1, t_2} only changes at the discretely many values $\mathbf{p}(t_1) \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{p}(t_2) \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, RHS_{t_1, t_2} also only changes at the same discretely many values of t_1 and t_2 . Therefore, let us consider how LHS_{t_1, t_2} and RHS_{t_1, t_2} change when we encounter such a value of t . Explicitly, assume that

$$\mathbf{p}(t_1) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 = d_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p}(t_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 = d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(and we take $\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ to be minimal with respect to the existence of $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ as above). It is then easy to see that for any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(91) \quad \text{RHS}_{t_1 - \varepsilon, t_2} = \text{RHS}_{t_1 + \varepsilon, t_2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{k\mathbf{n}_1} v^{kd_1}} \right)^{\delta_{d_1 \geq 0} a_{\mathfrak{g}, k\mathbf{n}_1}}$$

$$(92) \quad \text{RHS}_{t_1, t_2 + \varepsilon} = \text{RHS}_{t_1, t_2 - \varepsilon} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{k\mathbf{n}_2} v^{kd_2}} \right)^{\delta_{d_2 \geq 0} a_{\mathfrak{g}, k\mathbf{n}_2}}$$

In the subsequent paragraph, we will prove the following formulas

$$(93) \quad \text{LHS}_{t_1 - \varepsilon, t_2} \leq \text{LHS}_{t_1 + \varepsilon, t_2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_1) | k\mathbf{n}_1}) q^{k\mathbf{n}_1} v^{kd_1} \right)^{\delta_{d_1 \geq 0}}$$

$$(94) \quad \text{LHS}_{t_1, t_2 + \varepsilon} \leq \text{LHS}_{t_1, t_2 - \varepsilon} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_2) | k\mathbf{n}_2}) q^{k\mathbf{n}_2} v^{kd_2} \right)^{\delta_{d_2 \geq 0}}$$

but let us first show how they allow us to conclude the proof of Proposition 3.7. By Proposition 3.3, the products in (91)-(92) are equal to the respective expressions in parentheses in (93)-(94). The fact that $\text{LHS}_{t, t}$ and $\text{RHS}_{t, t}$ are both equal to 1 allows us to conclude (by induction on $t_2 - t_1$, which is well-defined, since it is enough to consider discretely many values of t_1 and t_2 in the present argument) that

$$(95) \quad \text{LHS}_{t_1, t_2} \leq \text{RHS}_{t_1, t_2}$$

for all $t_1 < t_2$. However, because the pairing (44) is non-degenerate, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+}{\text{Ker } \langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{P}(-\infty), \mathcal{P}(\infty)}} = \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+$$

and therefore $\text{LHS}_{-\infty, \infty} = \text{RHS}_{-\infty, \infty}$ due to (84). We therefore conclude that the inequality (95) is an equality for all $t_1 < t_2$. In particular, when $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = 2$, this equality implies (90).

In order to prove (93), we note that the LHS of (90) is the graded dimension of the vector space of linear maps

$$\left\{ \lambda : \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda(-) = \langle -, S(x) \rangle \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}_1}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}_2}^- \right\}$$

Therefore, the LHS of (93) counts the graded dimension of

$$\left\{ \lambda : \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda(-) = \langle -, S(x) \rangle \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}(t_1 - \varepsilon)}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}(t_2)}^- \right\}$$

while the RHS of (93) counts the graded dimension of

$$\left\{ \mu : \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \mu(-) = \langle -, S(y) \rangle \text{ for some } y \in \mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}(t_1+\varepsilon)}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}(t_2)}^- \right\} \otimes \\ \otimes \underbrace{\left\{ \nu : \mathcal{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \nu(-) = \langle -, S(z) \rangle \text{ for some } z \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_1)}^- \right\}}_{\cong \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_1)}^+) \text{ by the non-degeneracy of (77)}}$$

By (75), multiplication induces $(\mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}(t_1-\varepsilon)}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}(t_2)}^-) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}(t_1)}^- \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{> \mathbf{p}(t_1+\varepsilon)}^- \cap \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{p}(t_2)}^-)$, so the inequality (93) follows from the dimension counts above and the fact that

$$\langle E, S(z) \rangle \stackrel{(48)}{=} \langle \varphi E_1, S(y) \rangle \langle E_2, S(z) \rangle \stackrel{(49),(50)}{=} \langle E_1, S(y) \rangle \langle E_2, S(z) \rangle$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+$ with $\Delta(E) = \varphi E_1 \otimes E_2$ (for various Cartan elements φ and various $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^+$). Formula (94) is proved analogously, so we leave it to the reader. \square

3.8. Identifying the subalgebras. In the present Subsection, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is of finite type. For any generic $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, we showed in (89) that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is either a trivial algebra (if $\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$) or it has the same graded dimension as $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (if there exists a positive root α such that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, in which case such a α would be unique). In the latter case, we claim that we have an isomorphism

$$(96) \quad U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$$

where $q_\alpha = q^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}}$, defined on the standard generators of $U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as follows

$$e \mapsto E_\alpha, \quad f \mapsto F_\alpha, \quad \kappa \mapsto \kappa_\alpha$$

with E_α, F_α being basis vectors of the one-dimensional vector spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}|\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}|-\alpha}$, respectively (we normalize these vectors so that the Hopf pairing between them has the same value as the Hopf pairing between the standard elements of quantum \mathfrak{sl}_2). The isomorphism (96) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the Drinfeld double of ³

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\geq} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[\kappa_\alpha] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\leq} = \mathbb{C}[\kappa_\alpha^{-1}] \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{p}}^-$$

(see Proposition 2.14) and the following result.

Claim 3.9. *Suppose we have algebras $B^\pm = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty B_{\pm k}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(B_k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (we will call k the grading of these algebras), and bialgebra structures on*

$$B^{\geq} = \frac{B^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[\kappa]}{\kappa b = q^{2 \deg b b \kappa}}, \quad B^{\leq} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[\kappa^{-1}] \otimes B^-}{\kappa b = q^{2 \deg b b \kappa}}$$

such that

$$\Delta(b_+) = \kappa \otimes b_+ + b_+ \otimes 1 \\ \Delta(b_-) = 1 \otimes b_- + b_- \otimes \kappa^{-1}$$

for any $b_\pm \in B_{\pm 1}$. If there exists a bialgebra pairing

$$B^{\geq} \otimes B^{\leq} \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{C}$$

³Note that the algebra below slightly differs from that of (61), since we only added the Cartan element κ_α instead of all the Cartan elements $\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in I}$.

whose restriction to $B^+ \otimes B^-$ is non-degenerate, then there are bialgebra isomorphisms

$$(97) \quad U_q^{\geq}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\geq} \quad \text{and} \quad U_q^{\leq}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\leq}$$

given by $e \mapsto b_+$, $f \mapsto b_-$, $\kappa \mapsto \kappa$.

Indeed, the fact that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}(E_{\alpha}) &= \kappa_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\alpha} + E_{\alpha} \otimes 1 \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}(F_{\alpha}) &= 1 \otimes F_{\alpha} + F_{\alpha} \otimes \kappa_{\alpha}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds because of (64)-(65) and the fact that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any $\mathbf{n} < \alpha$. Thus, Claim 3.9 applies to the case at hand, establishing (96).

To prove Claim 3.9, it suffices to show that $b_{\pm}^k \neq 0$ for any $k \geq 0$, because then the coassociativity of the coproduct implies that $\Delta(b_{\pm}^k)$ matches $\Delta(e^k)$ under the assignment $e \mapsto b_{\pm}$. Then $e^k \mapsto b_+^k$, $f^k \mapsto b_-^k$ define bialgebra isomorphisms (97) and we would be done. However, the fact that $b_{\pm}^k \neq 0$ follows from the fact that

$$\langle b_+^k, b_-^k \rangle$$

is calculated using (48)-(49), and expressed in terms of $\langle b_+, b_- \rangle^k$ and appropriate powers of q . Therefore, the pairing above matches $\langle e^k, f^k \rangle$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and is thus non-zero due to the non-degeneracy of the Hopf pairing of quantum \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

4. q -CHARACTERS

4.1. **Category \mathcal{O} .** For any Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we consider representations

$$(98) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\geq} \curvearrowright V$$

of the half subalgebra (78). In [20], we generalized the Borel category \mathcal{O} of [12] from finite type \mathfrak{g} to any Kac-Moody \mathfrak{g} , as follows. For any ℓ -weight

$$(99) \quad \boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_i(z))_{i \in I} \in (\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]^*)^I$$

we construct a simple module given by

$$(100) \quad L(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \cong \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- / J(\boldsymbol{\psi})$$

Above, $J(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} J(\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\mathbf{n}}$, where $J(\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\mathbf{n}}$ consists of those $F \in \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0} | -\mathbf{n}}$ such that

$$(101) \quad \left\langle E(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{n_i}}) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \psi_i(z_{ia}), S(F(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{n_i}})) \right\rangle = 0$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq \mathbf{0} | \mathbf{n}}$. The \mathcal{A}^{\geq} -module structure on $L(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ is described in [20, Proposition 4.5]. For the purposes of the present paper, the only things that we need to know about simple modules are their grading

$$(102) \quad \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- / J(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0} | -\mathbf{n}} / J(\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\mathbf{n}}$$

and the way that Cartan elements act on $L(\psi)$. In the language of (100), we have

$$(103) \quad \left[\frac{\varphi_j^+(z)}{\psi_j(z)} \right]_{z^{-u}} \text{ sends } F(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i})_{i \in I} \\ \text{to } F(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i})_{i \in I} \sum_{i \in I} (z_{i1}^u + \dots + z_{in_i}^u) (q^{-ud_{ij}} - q^{ud_{ij}})$$

A representation (98) is said to be in **category** \mathcal{O} (cite [12]) if its weight spaces

$$V_\omega = \left\{ v \in V \mid \kappa_i \cdot v = q^{(\omega, \epsilon^i)} v, \forall i \in I \right\}$$

are all finite-dimensional, and non-zero only for ω lying in a finite union of translates of $-\mathbb{N}^I$. From (102), it is clear that $L(\psi)$ lies in category \mathcal{O} if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n}/J(\psi)_n$ is a finite-dimensional vector space for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^I$ (this condition was shown in [12, 20] to be equivalent to every $\psi_i(z)$ being the power series expansion of a rational function, in which case we call the whole of ψ **rational**). If we regard $\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n}/J(\psi)_n$ as a module over the ring of color-symmetric polynomials, its finite-dimensionality implies that it is supported at finitely many closed points

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_{ia})_{i \in I, 1 \leq a \leq n_i} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}^{n_i}/S_{n_i} = \mathbb{C}^n$$

We may therefore refine (102) as follows

$$(104) \quad L(\psi) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n}/J(\psi)_n \right)_{\mathbf{x}}$$

where the vector space in parentheses in the RHS consists of elements on which multiplication by $z_{i1}^u + \dots + z_{in_i}^u - x_{i1}^u - \dots - x_{in_i}^u$ is nilpotent, for all $i \in I, u \geq 1$.

4.2. The contribution of $\neq 0$. As any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ can be written uniquely as the concatenation of some $\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ with the origin $\mathbf{0}_{n-m} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ for some $m \leq n$, we will now show how to “factor” the right-hand side of (104) in these terms. We first start with the contribution of points $\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ with non-zero entries.

Definition 4.3. For any ℓ -weight ψ , define

$$J^{\neq 0}(\psi) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} J^{\neq 0}(\psi)_n$$

where $J^{\neq 0}(\psi)_n$ consists of those $F \in \mathcal{S}_{<0|-n}$ such that

$$(105) \quad \left\langle E(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \psi_i(z_{ia}), S(F(z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i})) \right\rangle = 0$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq N\mathbf{1}|n}$ for some N large enough (depending on \mathbf{n}).

While

$$(106) \quad L^{\neq 0}(\psi) = \mathcal{S}_{<0}^- / J^{\neq 0}(\psi)$$

is not an \mathcal{A}^{\geq} -module, it is a module for $\mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$ via the action (103).

Proposition 4.4. We have $(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n}/J^{\neq 0}(\psi)_n)_{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0$ only if for all (i, a)

- x_{ia} is a non-zero pole of $\psi_i(z)$, or
- $x_{ia} = x_{jb}q^{-d_{ij}}$ for some $(j, b) < (i, a)$

with respect to some total order on the set $\{(i, a) | i \in I, 1 \leq a \leq n_i\}$.

Proof. For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{\geq M\mathbf{1}}^+ \subset \mathcal{S}_{\geq M\mathbf{1}}^+$$

denote the subalgebra generated by $\{e_{i,d}\}_{i \in I, d \geq M}$. As showed in [20, Proposition 3.24], for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ and all large enough $N \gg M$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\geq N\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{n}} \subset \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{\geq M\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{n}}$$

Due to the two displays above, an element $F \in \mathcal{S}_{<0|\mathbf{n}}$ lies in $J^{\neq 0}(\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if for all orderings i_1, \dots, i_n of \mathbf{n} and all $d_1, \dots, d_n \gg M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle e_{i_1, d_1} * \dots * e_{i_n, d_n} \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \psi_i(z_{ia}), S(F) \right\rangle = \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{1 \ll |z_1| \ll \dots \ll |z_n|} \frac{z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n} F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)} \prod_{a=1}^n \psi_{i_a}(z_a) \end{aligned}$$

If M is much larger than the order of the poles of all $\psi_i(z)$, then the condition above was shown in [20] to be equivalent to

(107)

$$\text{Res}_{z_n=x_n} \dots \text{Res}_{z_1=x_1} \frac{z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n} F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)} \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{\psi_{i_a}(z_a)}{z_a} = 0, \quad \forall d_1, \dots, d_n \gg M$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_n) denotes an ordering of \mathbf{x} , i.e. a one-to-one correspondence $x_a \leftrightarrow x_{i_a \bullet a}$ which matches the one-to-one correspondence $z_a \leftrightarrow z_{i_a \bullet a}$ that is implicit in all formulas above, see (43). Equation (107) imposes finitely many linear conditions on the value of the various derivatives of F at $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In order for these conditions to be non-vacuous, the rational function in (107) must have a pole at $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which means that every z_b must either be a non-zero pole of $\psi_{i_b}(z)$, or it must be equal to $z_a q^{-d_{ia} i_b}$ for some $a < b$. \square

4.5. The contribution of $\mathbf{0}$. Having studied the case of $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mathbf{n}}$ in the previous Subsection, let us now consider the case of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

Definition 4.6. For any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, define

$$(108) \quad L^{\mathbf{r}} = \mathcal{S}_{<0}^- / J^{\mathbf{r}}$$

where $J^{\mathbf{r}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} J_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ consists of those $F \in \mathcal{S}_{<0|\mathbf{n}}$ such that

$$(109) \quad \left\langle E(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_n}) \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} z_{ia}^{-r_i}, S(F(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_n})) \right\rangle = 0$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0|\mathbf{n}}$.

As opposed from $L(\psi)$, the vector space $L^{\mathbf{r}}$ carries an extra grading

$$(110) \quad \mathcal{S}_{<0}^- / J^{\mathbf{r}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_{<0|-\mathbf{n},d} / J_{\mathbf{n},d}^{\mathbf{r}}$$

which is induced by the vertical degree on $\mathcal{S}_{<0}^-$ (with respect to which $J^{\mathbf{r}}$ is homogeneous). Moreover, $L^{\mathbf{r}}$ is a vertically graded $\mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$ -module via (103).

For any rational ℓ -weight ψ , let us write

$$\mathbf{ord} \psi \in \mathbb{Z}^I$$

for the I -tuple of orders of the poles of the rational functions $\psi_i(z)$ at $z = 0$ (by assumption, the orders of these rational functions at $z = \infty$ are all 0).

Proposition 4.7. *For any rational ℓ -weight ψ , we have a decomposition*

$$(111) \quad L(\psi) = L^{\neq 0}(\psi) \otimes L^{\mathbf{ord} \psi}$$

of $\mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$ -modules, where $\varphi_i^+(z)$ acts on the RHS by $\varphi_i^+(z) \otimes \varphi_i^+(z)$, $\forall i \in I$.

Proof. Let us work out necessary and sufficient conditions for a given $F \in \mathcal{S}_{<0|-\mathbf{n}}$ to lie in $J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}}$. By (22), any $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0|\mathbf{n}}$ can be written (non-uniquely) as

$$E = \text{Sym} \left[\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_a i_b} \left(\frac{z_a}{z_b} \right) \right]$$

for some Laurent polynomial ν and some ordering i_1, \dots, i_n of \mathbf{n} . Implicitly, we identify each symbol z_a with $z_{i_a \bullet_a}$ for some $\bullet_a \in \mathbb{N}$ (the choice of which does not matter due to the symmetrization in the formula above), see (43). Then formula (46) states that $F \in J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if for any E as above we have

$$(112) \quad \int_{1 \ll |z_1| \ll \dots \ll |z_n|} \frac{\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)} \prod_{a=1}^n \psi_{i_a}(z_a) = 0$$

We will move the contours of integration in the formula above past the non-zero poles of the rational functions $\psi_i(z)$ toward 0, which means that (112) is equivalent to

$$(113) \quad \sum_{\{1, \dots, n\} = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\} \sqcup \{b_1 < \dots < b_\ell\}} \text{Res}_{z_{b_\ell} \neq 0} \dots \text{Res}_{z_{b_1} \neq 0} \text{Res}_{z_{a_k} = 0} \dots \text{Res}_{z_{a_1} = 0} \frac{\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) F(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)} \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{\psi_{i_a}(z_a)}{z_a} = 0$$

where for any rational function f we write

$$\text{Res}_{w \neq 0} f(w) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{C}^*} \text{Res}_{w=c} f(w)$$

In what follows, we will expand the rational functions on the second line of (113) as $|z_{a_1}|, \dots, |z_{a_k}| \ll |z_{b_1}|, \dots, |z_{b_\ell}|$ and indicate this as $F(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} \otimes z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell})$ etc, as in (40). We conclude that $F \in J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if

$$(114) \quad \sum_{\{1, \dots, n\} = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\} \sqcup \{b_1 < \dots < b_\ell\}} \text{Res}_{z_{b_\ell} \neq 0} \dots \text{Res}_{z_{b_1} \neq 0} \text{Res}_{z_{a_k} = 0} \dots \text{Res}_{z_{a_1} = 0}$$

$$\frac{\nu(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} \otimes z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell}) F(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} \otimes z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell})}{\prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \zeta_{i_b i_a} \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a} \right)} \prod_{s=1}^k z_{a_s}^{-r_{i_{a_s}} - 1} \prod_{t=1}^{\ell} \frac{\psi_{i_{b_t}}(z_{b_t})}{z_{b_t}} = 0$$

where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{ord} \psi$. On the bottom line of (114), we used $\psi_i(w) = O(w^{-r_i})$ as $w \sim 0$ to replace the rational functions $\psi_{i_{a_s}}(z_{a_s})$ by $z_{a_s}^{-r_{i_{a_s}}}$. This is allowed because $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}^+$ is closed under multiplication by color-symmetric polynomials, and thus (113) holds for ν multiplied by any color-symmetric polynomial in z_1, \dots, z_n . Moreover, if the expression in (114) has total degree in z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} large enough, the value of the residue in z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} at 0 will vanish; this also explains why only finitely many terms of the expansion as $|z_{a_1}|, \dots, |z_{a_k}| \ll |z_{b_1}|, \dots, |z_{b_\ell}|$ survive the residue (114). For the remainder of this proof, the symbol \sum will stand for summing over partitions $\{1, \dots, n\} = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\} \sqcup \{b_1, \dots, b_\ell\}$, for various k, ℓ . If we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &= \sum \text{Sym} \left[\varphi_{i_{b_1}}^+(z_{b_1}) \dots \varphi_{i_{b_\ell}}^+(z_{b_\ell}) \nu_1(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k}) \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \zeta_{i_{a_s} i_{a_t}} \left(\frac{z_{a_s}}{z_{a_t}} \right) \otimes \right. \\ &\quad \left. \otimes \nu_2(z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell}) \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq \ell} \zeta_{i_{b_s} i_{b_t}} \left(\frac{z_{b_s}}{z_{b_t}} \right) \right] \\ \Delta(F) &= \sum F_1(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k}) \otimes F_2(z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell}) \varphi_{i_{a_1}}^-(z_{a_1}) \dots \varphi_{i_{a_k}}^-(z_{a_k}) \end{aligned}$$

then equation (114) becomes equivalent to

$$(115) \quad \sum \left\langle \text{Sym} \left[\nu_1(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k}) \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} \zeta_{i_{a_s} i_{a_t}} \left(\frac{z_{a_s}}{z_{a_t}} \right) \right] \prod_{s=1}^k z_{a_s}^{-r_{i_{a_s}}}, S(F_1) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{Res}_{z_{b_\ell} \neq 0} \dots \text{Res}_{z_{b_1} \neq 0} \frac{\nu_2(z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell}) F_2(z_{a_1}, \dots, z_{a_k} \otimes z_{b_1}, \dots, z_{b_\ell})}{\prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq \ell} \zeta_{i_{b_t} i_{b_s}} \left(\frac{z_{b_t}}{z_{b_s}} \right) \prod_{s=1}^k \prod_{t=1}^{\ell} \zeta_{i_{a_s} i_{b_t}} \left(\frac{z_{a_s}}{z_{b_t}} \right)} \prod_{t=1}^{\ell} \frac{\psi_{i_{b_t}}(z_{b_t})}{z_{b_t}} = 0$$

It is clear that the expression on the first line vanishes (for all ν_1) if and only if $F_1 \in J^{\mathbf{r}}$. As per the proof of Proposition 4.4, the expression on the second line vanishes (for all ν_2) if and only if $F_2 \in J^{\neq 0}(\psi)$. We have thus shown that

$$F \in J(\psi) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F_1 \otimes F_2 \in J^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{S}^- + \mathcal{S}^- \otimes J^{\neq 0}(\psi)$$

and so the assignment $F \mapsto F_2 \otimes F_1$ induces an injective linear map

$$(116) \quad L(\psi) \rightarrow L^{\neq 0}(\psi) \otimes L^{\mathbf{r}}$$

(note that while the coproduct (40) involves a power series expansion, only finitely many terms of this expansion are non-zero, because any element of $L^{\mathbf{r}}$ is annihilated by color-symmetric polynomials of high enough degree). As explained in the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [20], the map (116) is also surjective, which implies (111). \square

4.8. q -characters. For any representation $\mathcal{A}^{\geq} \curvearrowright V$ in category \mathcal{O} , its q -character is defined (following [10, 12]) as

$$(117) \quad \chi_q(V) = \sum_{\psi} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\psi})[\psi]$$

where $[\psi]$ are formal symbols associated to rational ℓ -weights ψ , and V_{ψ} is the generalized eigenspace of V on which the Cartan series $\varphi_i^+(z)$ acts by $\psi_i(z)$, for all

$i \in I$. In the particular case of a simple module, the description of the action of Cartan elements in (103) implies the following formula for the q -character ([20])

$$(118) \quad \chi_q(L(\psi)) = [\psi] \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{\mathbf{x}=(x_{ia})_{i \in I, a \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{n}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n} / J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}} \right)_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \frac{z - x_{ia} q^{d_{ij}}}{z q^{d_{ij}} - x_{ia}} \right)_{j \in I} \right]$$

where $[\psi][\psi'] = [\psi\psi']$ with respect to component-wise multiplication of I -tuples of power series / rational functions. Because the decomposition (111) respects the action of $\mathbb{C}[\varphi_{i,d}^+]_{i \in I, d \geq 0}$, then it also respects q -characters in the following sense

$$(119) \quad \chi_q(L(\psi)) = \chi_q^{\neq 0}(L(\psi)) \cdot \chi^{\text{ord } \psi}$$

where

- $\chi_q^{\neq 0}(L(\psi))$ is defined by formula (117) with $V = L^{\neq 0}(\psi)$; the proof of Propositions 4.4 and 4.7 shows that this quantity simply picks out the summands of (118) corresponding to $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mathbf{n}}$ instead of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{n}}$, i.e.

$$\chi_q^{\neq 0}(L(\psi)) = [\psi] \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mathbf{n}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n} / J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}} \right)_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} \frac{z - x_{ia} q^{d_{ij}}}{z q^{d_{ij}} - x_{ia}} \right)_{j \in I} \right]$$

- for any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we define

$$(120) \quad \chi^{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n} / J_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{r}} \right) q^{-\mathbf{n}}$$

where $q^{-\mathbf{n}}$ is identified with the ℓ -weight $[(q^{-\langle \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^i \rangle})_{i \in I}]$.

Remark 4.9. In the notation of [10], we have

$$(121) \quad \left[\left(\frac{z - x q^{d_{ij}}}{z q^{d_{ij}} - x} \right)_{j \in I} \right] = A_{i,x}^{-1}$$

and so formula (118) states that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n} / J(\psi)_{\mathbf{n}} \right)_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the coefficient of

$$\prod_{i \in I} (A_{i,x_{i1}} \dots A_{i,x_{in_i}})^{-1}$$

in the renormalized q -character $\frac{\chi_q(L(\psi))}{[\psi]}$.

4.10. Characters. In formula (119), the second term in the right-hand side is the pure character given by (120). Because of the vertical grading in (110), we may actually refine this character as follows

$$(122) \quad \chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{S}_{<0|-n,d} / J_{\mathbf{n},d}^{\mathbf{r}} \right) q^{-\mathbf{n}} v^d$$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof. of Theorem 1.3: We will actually prove the following claim for any Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If the conjectural formula (84) holds, then we have the following formula for the refined character associated to any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$

$$(123) \quad \chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^I \setminus \mathbf{0}} \prod_{d=1}^{\max(0, \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n})} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{-\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}^d}} \right)^{a_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{n}}}$$

(for \mathfrak{g} of finite type, (84) was proved in [20]). We will now use the results in Section 3 to prove (123). To this end, recall the shift automorphism (28), with respect to which condition (109) states the following criterion for any $F \in \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^-$

$$F \in J^{\mathbf{r}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left\langle \mathcal{S}_{\geq -\mathbf{r}}^+, S(F) \right\rangle = 0$$

Thus, if we consider the restriction of pairing (44), twisted by the antipode

$$(124) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\geq -\mathbf{r}}^+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^- \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}} \mathbb{C}$$

then $J^{\mathbf{r}}$ is the kernel of $\langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$. Thus, $\chi_{\text{ref}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ is the graded dimension of

$$(125) \quad \frac{\mathcal{S}_{< \mathbf{0}}^-}{\text{Ker } \langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}} \cong \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\geq -\mathbf{r}}^+}{\text{Ker } \langle \cdot, S(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}}$$

By applying the shift automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ again, the graded dimension of the vector space above is the same as the LHS of (90) for $\mathbf{p}_1 = -\infty$ and $\mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{r}$. The RHS of (90) is the same as the RHS of (123) (up to the change of variables $d \mapsto \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n} - d$, which is due to $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ and the isomorphism (125)), which concludes our proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Chari V., Pressley A. *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press (1995), ISBN 0521558840.
- [2] Drinfeld V. *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 296 (1987), no. 1, 13–17; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 36 (1988), no. 2, 212–216.
- [3] Enriquez B. *On correlation functions of Drinfeld currents and shuffle algebras*, Transform. Groups 5 (2000), no. 2, 111–120.
- [4] Enriquez B. *PBW and duality theorems for quantum groups and quantum current algebras*, J. Lie Theory 13 (2003), no. 1, 21–64.
- [5] Feigin B., Hashizume K., Hoshino A., Shiraishi J., Yanagida S. *A commutative algebra on degenerate $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and Macdonald polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), no. 9, 095215, 42 pp.
- [6] Feigin B., Jimbo M., Miwa T., Mukhin E. *Finite type modules and Bethe ansatz for quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1* , Comm. Math. Phys. 356 (2017), no. 1, 285–327.
- [7] Feigin B., Odesskii A. *Quantized moduli spaces of the bundles on the elliptic curve and their applications*, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 35, 123–137, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [8] Frenkel E., Hernandez D. *Baxter’s relations and spectra of quantum integrable models*, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 12, 2407–2460.
- [9] Frenkel E., Mukhin E. *Combinatorics of q -characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras* Commun. Math. Phys. 216, 23–57 (2001).
- [10] Frenkel E., Reshetikhin N. *The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W -Algebras*, in Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math. 248 (1999), 163–20.
- [11] Hernandez D. *Representations of shifted quantum affine algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not., Vol. 2023, No. 13, pp. 11035–11126.

- [12] Hernandez D., Jimbo M., *Asymptotic representations and Drinfeld rational fractions*, Comp. Math. 2012; 148(5):1593-1623.
- [13] Mukhin E., Young C., *Affinization of category \mathcal{O} for quantum groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), no. 9, 4815-4847.
- [14] Neguț A. *The shuffle algebra revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2014), no. 22, 6242-6275.
- [15] Neguț A. *Quantum Algebras and Cyclic Quiver Varieties*, PhD thesis, Columbia University, 2015, arXiv:math/1504.06525.
- [16] Neguț A. *Shuffle algebras for quivers and R-matrices*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2022), 1-36.
- [17] Neguț A. *Shuffle algebras for quivers and wheel conditions*, J. fur Reine Angew. Math., vol. 2023, no. 795, 2023, pp. 139-182.
- [18] Neguț A. *Quantum loop groups for symmetric Cartan matrices*, arXiv:2207.05504.
- [19] Neguț A. *Quantum loop groups for arbitrary quivers*, arXiv:2209.09089.
- [20] Neguț A. *Category \mathcal{O} for quantum loop algebras*, arXiv:2501.00724.
- [21] Neguț A., Tsybaliuk A. *Quantum loop groups and shuffle algebras via Lyndon words*, Adv. Math. 439 (2024), 109482, 69 pp.
- [22] Okounkov A., Smirnov A. *Quantum difference equation for Nakajima varieties*, Invent. Math. 229, 1203–1299 (2022).
- [23] Wang K., *Weyl group twists and representations of quantum affine Borel algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theor. (2025)
- [24] Zhu T., *Instanton moduli space, stable envelopes and quantum difference equations*, arXiv:2408.15560.
- [25] Zhu T., *Quantum difference equations and Maulik-Okounkov quantum affine algebras of affine type A*, arXiv:2408.00615.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS (IMAR), BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
Email address: andrei.negut@gmail.com