

ChatGPT or A Silent Everywhere Helper: A Survey of Large Language Models

1st Azim Akhtarshenas
dept. of Telecommunication Engineering
Universitat Politècnica de València
Valencia, Spain
aakhtar@doctor.upv.es

2nd Afshin Dini
dept. of Computer Science
Tampere University
Tampere, Finland
afshin.dini@tuni.fi

3rd Navid Ayoobi
dept. of Computer Science
University of Houston
Houston, USA
nayoobi@cougarnet.uh.edu

Abstract— Large Language Models (LLMs) have revolutionized natural language processing Natural Language Processing (NLP), with Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer (ChatGPT) standing out as a notable example due to its advanced capabilities and widespread applications. This survey provides a comprehensive analysis of ChatGPT, exploring its architecture, training processes, and functionalities. We examine its integration into various domains across industries such as customer service, education, healthcare, and entertainment. A comparative analysis with other LLMs highlights ChatGPT’s unique features and performance metrics. Regarding benchmarks, the paper examines ChatGPT’s comparative performance against other LLMs and discusses potential risks such as misinformation, bias, and data privacy concerns. Additionally, we offer a number of figures and tables that outline the backdrop of the discussion, the main ideas of the article, the numerous LLM models, a thorough list of datasets used for pre-training, fine-tuning, and evaluation, as well as particular LLM applications with pertinent references. Finally, we identify future research directions and technological advancements, underscoring the evolving landscape of LLMs and their profound impact on artificial intelligence Artificial Intelligence (AI) and society.

I. INTRODUCTION

LLM can significantly enhance a robot’s ability to understand and respond to human emotions. By analyzing voice and text, LLMs can recognize emotional and contextual clues, allowing robots to provide empathetic and contextually appropriate responses. This ability could lead to more effective and organic human-robot interactions. Although LLMs can aid in the comprehension of emotions, more knowledge about nonverbal indicators such as facial expressions and body language, together with advanced models, is required to completely understand and correctly interpret complex human emotions.

The invention of language stands as a pivotal milestone in human history, fundamentally transforming the fabric of society and bridging the gap between individuals. Due to its complexity and endless rules, this groundbreaking development revolutionized communication,

Identify applicable funding agency here. If none, delete this.




Fig. 1: Number of Published Papers on ChatGPT and LLM (2020-2024)

enabling the transmission of encoded ideas, enhancing cultural evolution, and facilitating cooperative endeavors [1]. Another revolution is currently underway, where machines and devices are being designed to understand, evaluate, and process languages using LLMs [2]. These advancements allow them to predict various words or even sentences that have been hidden or lost for different reasons using NLP [3]. Due to the revolutions above and numerous other advancements not detailed here, LLMs have garnered significant attention from both the scientific community and industry professionals. Researchers are increasingly exploring the potential of LLMs to push the boundaries of artificial intelligence, while industry leaders are keenly interested in their applications across various sectors, including healthcare, finance, and customer service. This growing interest is driven by the transformative capabilities of LLMs to process and generate human-like text, enabling innovative solutions and efficiencies that were previously unattainable. The number of published papers in this area is a clear indicator of the growing research interest and activity (See Fig. 1).

A. Previous surveys on LLM and their gaps

In this section, we aim to explore the previous surveys about LLM and ChatGPT and investigate their strengths and weaknesses. Numerous studies and surveys have been conducted to evaluate the performance, utility, and impact of these advanced AI systems across different domains. These works provide critical insights into how LLMs and ChatGPT are being utilized, their efficacy in various applications, and the challenges they present. By analyzing these surveys, we can better understand the current state of these technologies, identify common themes in user experiences, and highlight areas where improvements are necessary. This exploration will also shed light on the broader implications of integrating such AI systems into everyday use, including ethical considerations, user satisfaction, and technical limitations.

In this regard, the authors in [4] explore LLMs, detailing their origins, architecture, training methods, applications, and challenges. It begins with generative AI concepts and the design of Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT), then traces the historical development and training techniques of LLMs. Applications in fields like medicine, education, and finance are discussed, along with their role in AI's future and scientific breakthroughs. Challenges such as ethical issues, biases, interpretability, and computational demands are examined. Methods to enhance LLMs robustness and control are highlighted. Finally, the analysis outlines future research directions to improve the reliability and utility of LLM technology. The authors in [1], [5] commence with an examination of early pre-trained language models such as Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). Subsequently, they delve into three prominent LLM families, including GPT, Large Language Model Meta AI (LLaMA), and Pathways Language Model (PaLM), alongside other noteworthy LLM variants. The exploration extends to diverse methodologies for constructing, enhancing, and harnessing LLMs. Furthermore, we scrutinize prevalent LLM datasets and benchmarks, juxtaposing the efficacy of various prominent models across public benchmarks.

The authors in [6] aim to address three main questions "what to evaluate, where to evaluate, and how to evaluate." To this end, an overview is provided of evaluation tasks for LLMs and ChatGPT, encompassing general natural language processing, reasoning, medical applications, ethics, education, natural and social sciences, and agent-based applications. The evaluation methods and benchmarks, which are crucial for assessing the performance of LLMs, are examined to address the

'where' and 'how' questions. Additionally, the success and failure cases of LLMs in different tasks are summarized.

The survey [2] provides a comprehensive overview of LLMs, covering their history, architectures, transformer mechanisms, resources, training methods, applications, impacts, and challenges. It starts by explaining the fundamental concepts and traditional training pipeline of LLMs. Next, it reviews existing research, tracing the evolution of LLMs and detailing the architecture of transformers, the various resources employed, and the diverse training techniques used. The datasets utilized in these studies are also highlighted. The article then explores the wide-ranging applications of LLMs across fields such as biomedical and healthcare, education, social sectors, business, and agriculture. Additionally, it discusses the societal impact of LLMs, their role in the future shape of AI, and their potential to address real-world problems.

Research attention has long been directed towards autonomous agents in both academic and industry circles.

In [7], existing research in the field of LLMs-based autonomous agents is systematically summarized. These studies are presented and reviewed from three aspects: the construction, application, and evaluation of the agents. For each of these aspects, a detailed taxonomy is provided to draw connections among the existing research, summarizing the major techniques and their development histories.

LLMs play important role in understanding and producing human-like text but face significant computational challenges during training due to their extensive parameters. This issue is compounded by the need for frequent updates to keep the models current with evolving information. Applications often require continual adjustments post-training to correct deficiencies and undesirable behaviors. Recently, there has been growing attention to useful, lightweight methods for on-the-fly approach improvement, leading to advancements in knowledge editing techniques. These methods aim to modify LLMs behaviors within specific domains while maintaining overall performance. The authors in [8] define the knowledge editing problem, review recent approaches and techniques, introduce the KnowEdit benchmark for empirical evaluation, and provide an analysis of knowledge location within LLMs. To support future research, the open-source framework EasyEdit1 has been released, enabling flexible implementation of knowledge editing. Finally, the paper discusses the broad implications and potential applications of these techniques.

Despite significant advancements in tasks like

translation, summarization, information retrieval, and language generation, which are attracting growing interest in the CHI community, researchers hold diverse and often controversial views on the efficacy, ethics, and cognitive abilities of LLMs. In light of these discussions, there is a limited understanding of how people perceive LLMs integrated into everyday tools, particularly regarding experiences with bias, safety, social norms, and stereotypes. In [9], the authors perform a systematic review to gather empirical insights on public perceptions of LLMs. From an initial pool of 231 papers, they conduct a full-text review of 15 studies that engaged human evaluators to assess their interactions with LLMs. They present the biases and related concepts examined in these studies, categorize the four main application areas of LLMs, and detail the evaluators' perceptions of LLMs performance, including conflicting views, biases, and advantages. Additionally, the authors identify factors influencing these perceptions and outline concerns related to LLM applications.

LLMs have captured the interest of scholars and researchers in security domains, revealing vulnerabilities and showcasing their capabilities in tasks related to security. For example, the authors in [10] delve into the intersection of LLMs with security and privacy, examining their positive impacts, associated risks and threats, and inherent vulnerabilities. Through an extensive review of literature, the paper classifies findings into 'The Good' (beneficial LLM applications), 'The Bad' (potentially offensive applications), and 'The Ugly' (LLM vulnerabilities and defenses). Noteworthy discoveries include LLMs' ability to enhance code security and data privacy, surpassing traditional methods. However, their human-like reasoning capabilities also render them susceptible to various attacks, particularly at the user level. The authors in [11] present the foundational context of Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs), elucidating their evolution in conjunction with multimodal models employing LLMs, and tracing the historical progression of autonomous driving (AD). Subsequently, they provide an overview of current MLLM tools contributed to driving, transportation, and mapping systems, along with available datasets and benchmarking methodologies. Furthermore, they briefly explore the contributions presented in The 1st WACV Workshop on Large Language and Vision Models for Autonomous Driving (LLVM-AD), marking the inaugural workshop dedicated to exploring LLMs applications within AD contexts.

B. Our Contributions, Purpose, and Scope

Previous surveys on LLMs have made significant contributions to the field by providing valuable insights

into their capabilities and applications across various tasks. These studies have highlighted the breakthroughs achieved by LLMs in translation, summarization, information retrieval, and language generation. However, they have notable weaknesses, such as not thoroughly listing resources, datasets, and models, and failing to explore the crucial relationship between LLMs and ChatGPT. These gaps make it challenging for researchers to access vital information and understand how advancements in LLMs can enhance ChatGPT. Our paper addresses these shortcomings by offering comprehensive resource documentation, an in-depth analysis of ChatGPT, and a broad overview of MLLM applications, particularly in AD. Additionally, we summarize key insights from The 1st WACV Workshop on LLVM-AD, the first of its kind focusing on LLMs in this context. We also discuss future directions for LLM research, emphasizing potential improvements that can significantly impact ChatGPT and similar models. The main contributions of our papers are listed as follows:

- Comprehensive documentation of resources, datasets, and models relevant to LLM research.
- Thorough discussion and analysis of ChatGPT, including its architecture and performance.
- A broad overview of the development and application of MLLMs in various domains.
- Summary of key insights from The 1st WACV Workshop on LLVM-AD.
- Identification and discussion of future research directions and potential enhancements for ChatGPT.

C. Who benefits?

1) Researchers and Academics:

- Those studying NLP, Machine Learning (ML), and AI.
- Researchers are looking for a comprehensive understanding of the resources, datasets, and models related to LLMs.
- Scholars investigating the ethical, social, and technical implications of LLMs and ChatGPT.

2) Developers and Engineers:

- Developers are building applications that integrate LLMs and ChatGPT.
- Engineers are focusing on improving the performance and capabilities of AI-driven tools and services.

3) Data Scientists:

- Professionals analyze data to improve LLM models and their applications.
- Those working on data-driven approaches to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of ChatGPT.



Fig. 2: Overview of LLM usage in the future life

4) *Tech Industry Professionals:*

- Product managers and business leaders seeking to incorporate LLMs and ChatGPT into their products.
- Startups and tech companies exploring innovative applications of LLMs.

5) *Educational Institutions:*

- Instructors and curriculum developers create educational materials on AI, ML, and NLP.
- Students are learning about the latest advancements and applications of LLMs and ChatGPT.

6) *Policy Makers and Ethicists:*

- Individuals concerned with the ethical implications and regulatory aspects of AI technologies.
- Policymakers are drafting guidelines and frameworks for the safe and ethical use of LLMs.

7) *General Public and End-users:*

- Users interested in understanding how LLMs, particularly ChatGPT, work and their potential impact.
- Individuals looking for insights into the future directions and applications of AI in everyday tools.

8) *Business and Marketing Professionals:*

- Marketing teams leverage LLMs for content generation, customer interaction, and data analysis.
- Business analysts assess the market potential and business models involving LLM technologies.

9) *Healthcare Professionals:* Researchers and practitioners explore the application of LLMs in medical data analysis, patient interaction, and personalized medicine.

10) *Legal Professionals:* Lawyers and legal scholars examine the implications of LLMs-generated content on intellectual property, privacy, and liability issues. It

is clear from Figure 2 that ChatGPT and LLMs will be crucial in determining the future, as their broad use will affect many facets of day-to-day living.

II. BACKGROUND

A Language Model (LM) is a computational model which is designed to understand and generate text or speech in various human languages [6], [12]. Statistical models [13] such as N-gram [14] and Hidden Markov models [15], which tries to estimate the probabilities of word sequences on a context, are the firstly developed language models while further traditional machine learning approaches such as Support Vector Machine (SVM) [16] and Naive Bayes [17] try to improve the performance of these models. The most recent and common language models are developed based on neural network approaches as Recursive Neural Network (RNN) [18] and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [19] techniques which also forms the basis of Large Language Models. Although LMs can be fit into some applications, they have difficulties to predicts the complex linguistic structures, long dependencies of words, and the rare unseen words [6]. To deal with these challenges, LLMs [1], [5], [20] as the complex forms of language models with huge number of trainable parameters, are trained on large datasets of texts to give a better representation of the patterns and structures of a language. Embeddings from Language Models (ELMo) [21] developed by Allen Institute for Artificial Intelligence Natural Language Processing based on the contextual word embedding, GPT [22], and BERT [23], developed by OpenAI and Google AI based on the transformers [24], are the first LLMs introduced in 2018. In 2019, GPT-2 [25]

with a huge amount of trainable parameters improved the text generation capability of GPT while new models like Extra Long Transformer Network (XLNet) [26] and Robustly Optimized BERT Pre-training Approach (RoBERTa) [27], as optimized versions of BERT, and T5 [28], as a text-to-text transfer transformer, simplified the training procedure and improved the performance of previous models. Later on in 2020, GPT-3 [29] with 175 billion parameters showed an impressive ability in question answering and text generation. DALL-E [30] and Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining (CLIP) [31], introduced in 2021, are the first multi-modal models that are able to generate images from texts and match images with texts. ChatGPT was introduced in 2022 by adding conversational and user interaction capabilities to GPT-3. GPT-4, with its ability to understanding complex and long texts, handling ambiguity, advanced reasoning and multi-modal capability is the latest GPT model in 2023. By analyzing different large language models, we find out that the inseparable and key components of every large language model are the main structure, pre-training, training and fine-tuning techniques, evaluation metrics, as well as the regularization methods Each one of the state-of-the-art methods tries to improve the performance of LLM by addressing several limitations in these main components, as we tend to discuss them in more details in this section (See Fig. 3).

A. Components of LLM

Large language models and specifically ChatGPT models, as complex deep neural networks, compose of several key components in their structures, which enables them to understand and generate human languages. From general point of view, the structure of LLM consists of data pre-processing part [2], that prepares text data for the inferring or training stages, and input layers [5], which are responsible to convert raw data into data vectors that are understandable by the model, the main architecture of the model, and the output layer, which prepares the final results to be understandable by human [4].

1) *Data Pre-processing*: Data pre-processing step is a critical phase which ensures the consistency and compatibility of the raw text data to be used in the LLM. Text cleaning, handling long sequences of data, and text augmentation are the main pre-processing techniques in LLM. **Text cleaning** is mainly responsible to remove noises such as irrelevant and non-necessary information, ensure uniformity of the input data, reduce the text complexity and enhance data integration. These goals can be obtained by removing special characters that are irrelevant to the semantic meaning of the the text [32], unifying the text by lower casing the letters and remov-

ing additional white spaces [2], and handling contractions by expanding them into their full formats [33]. **Handling long sequence** of data should also be done before using raw data within the LLM due to limitations of computational resources. This goal can be reached by truncating long sequences that exceeds a specific length [23], splitting the long chains of words into smaller ones [27], or using sliding windows to use small overlapped sequence of words [34]. **Text augmentation techniques** [35] such as synonym replacement [36], contextual augmentation [37], back translation [38] enhance the diversity of the data which itself results in training a more generalized model and improves the performance of the model.

2) *Input layers*: After the pre-processing phase, the raw text data is converted into a suitable format by input layers in such a way that it can be processed by LLM. **Tokenization** [39], as the first input layer, is responsible to split sequences of words into smaller units called tokens, based on which the model will be trained to understand the human language. Different levels of tokenization can be utilized in LLM [40]. Character-level [41], [42] tokenization is the simple form of tokenization which consider each character in the text as a separate token. Although this method can handle any characters in any type of text, it cannot represent semantic information properly and it will provide large sequences of data for the training phase [43]. Word-level tokenization [40], [44] tries to split text into words based on the space and punctuation between words. Although this method is an instinctive tokenization technique, it encounter the Out-Of-Vocabulary (OOV) [45] problem where the model faces a new word in the testing phase that does not exist in the training phase. To deal with OOV problem, sub-work tokenizer [46], [47] is introduced which splits words into smaller units to handle the rare and unseen words issue. Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) [48], WordPiece [49], and Sentence-Piece [50] are known as the most common sub-word tokenizers in LLM. It is good to mention that GPT series utilize different modification of BPE as their tokenizer methods. **Embedding layer** is another input layer which is mainly responsible for converting input tokens into vector representations that can be processed by the model and can capture linguistic properties during the training phase [5]. Generally, one can divide the embedding into two groups as token embedding [2], where each unique token from the tokenizer will be mapped to a high-dimensional vector to capture the semantic meaning of the token, and positional embedding or encoding [51] which tends to provide the model with the position of each token inside a sequence of data. Token embedding techniques [52] are related to the tokenizer types as they try to convert characters, words, and sub-words into




Fig. 3: Update it based on context, make it smaller maybe, correct font.

related vectors. On the other hand, positional encoding can be divided into several techniques [53] such as Absolute Positional Encoding (APE) which assigns a unique vector to each position like BERT [12], Learnable Positional Embedding (LPE) in which the positional embeddings are learned in the training phase like GPT series [29], Relative Positional Encoding (RPE) that encodes the distance between the tokens like T5 [28] and Transformer-XL [34], and Rotary Positional Embedding (RoPE) which encodes the positions in the self attention mechanism like in RoFormer [54]. With these input layers, the raw data would be ready to be processed by the main architecture of the LLMs Which we tend to introduce in more details.

3) *Main architecture*: Although LLM are built on different architecture principles [55], the core of most modern ones, like GPT series, are Transformers [51]. From one point of view, transformers in LLM may be only an encoder, a decoder, or an encoder-decoder frameworks [55]. The encoder-based models like BERT [12] can be used for feature extraction and context understanding as they capture the important patterns of the input data and learn the dependencies between the input sequences properly. Encoder-based models are suitable to be used for text classification and sentiment analysis [12], text summarization [56] and text similarity detection [57]. On the other hands, decoder-based LLM like GPT series are mainly used for text generation. Decoder-encoder LLM are the most common LLM which are designed to convert input sequences into output sequences and are suitable to be used in dialogue generation, translation, and text summarizing applications [4]. It is also good to mention that encoders and decoders in LLM consist of several main layers to reach

their goals. Different types of attention mechanism [58], Feed Forward Network (FFN), layer normalization, and residual connections are the most important ones [5]. **Attention mechanisms** [59] play a crucial role in LLM as they try to capture the dependencies between input tokens while emphasizing on more relevant tokens during the training process. Different attention mechanisms are developed to improve the contextual understanding of the model and enhance the performance of the model in complex and multi tasks applications [60]. Self attention or intra-attention [51] is one of most common attention techniques that can be used in both encoder or decoder frameworks to figure out the relationship between different positions of input tokens or target sequences. Cross attention or global attention [61] is mainly used in encoder-decoder architectures to find the dependencies between different positions of encoder and decoder sequences. Local attention [62] mainly focuses on finding the dependencies of subset of sequences in the decoder part by avoiding the expensive computations. Sparse attention [63] utilizes sliding windows to reduce the computations of self attention method in the encoder and decoder frameworks. Flash attention [64] is a memory efficient attention technique compatible to be used with Graphics Processing Units (GPUs). **Feed-forward neural networks**, as simple but important layers, contribute significantly to the overall performance of the LLMs by adding non linearity to encoders or decoders and transferring features specifically in the multilingual language models [65]. **Normalization layers** [51], [66], as inseparable part of LLM architectures, are responsible for stabilizing, accelerating the training process, and improving the generalization [67]. Moreover, residual connections or skip connections [51]

facilitate the training process by addressing the vanishing gradient problems and improving the convergence properties [68]. One can say that the above-mentioned layers are used in encoder or decoder architecture of almost every modern LLM as each one of them improves the performance of the model in a specific way.

4) *Output layer*: The projection and softmax layers are the last layers of LLMs that are responsible to map the output vector to the token space, produce logits for each token, and provide a probability distribution over the vocabulary for the next token [51].

B. Pre-Training, Training and Fine Tuning

Large language models are developed during a multi-phase process as pre-training, training and fine-tuning which the performance of the models depends on directly [69]. Different techniques are used in each one of these steps to fulfill specific objectives which we tend to discuss in more details in this section.

1) *Pre-training and Training*: Pre-training is the first and important step of developing LLMs which allows the model to learn the general structures of the language from a diverse corpus of raw text data gathered from various datasets and places such as Internet [69]. Pre-training in LLMs is an unsupervised process as no labeled data or specific guidance is used in this manner [55]. In this step, a general-purpose model is trained in such a way that it can capture semantic and syntactic information of the text and generate contextually reasonable texts across different topics and styles based on previous data. This phase creates a generalized model which performs well in unseen data and prepares a robust model that can be improved further in the training and fine-tuning phases for specific applications. Many methods such as Masked Language Modeling (MLM) [23], Causal Language Modeling (CLS) [25], Mixture of Experts [70], [71], and Sequence-to-Sequence (seq2seq) Modeling [72] have been developed to pre-train LLMs efficiently [55]. **MLM** techniques like BERT [23], mask some parts of the input sequences while the model tries to predict the masked part based on the surrounding context considering the future and past tokens. These pre-training methods are mainly used in applications like text classification, sentiment analysis, and named entity recognition. **CLS** is an auto-regressive pre-training technique [22] in which the model tries to predict the next word based the previous tokens. It is well-suited for applications involving summarization and text generation and is widely used in the pre-training of GPT, GPT-2, and GPT-3 models. **Mixture of Experts (MoE)** pre-training techniques, like Switch Transformers [71] and GShard [73], improve the efficiency and scalability of the model as they divide the model into several neural networks known as experts,

and selectively activate a subset of these experts for each input token, allowing better utilization of the model capacity and resources without a proportional increase in computational cost [70]. **Sequence to sequence** techniques, like BART [72], T5 [28], and MarianMT [74], work based on an encoder-decoder architecture where the decoder generates the output sequence from the input token processed by the encoder. These methods are particularly useful for complex applications that involve input-output transformations such as machine translation, summarization, and conversational AI. There are also other pre-training techniques like Denoising Autoencoders [75], in which tokens are permuted randomly and the model is trained to predict the original order resulting in robust pre-training, or D4 [76], which utilizes document de-duplication and diversification techniques to speed up the pre-training process and improves the downstream accuracy. The most important thing in all of these methods is that they try to reduce the computational costs of the pre-training phase while creating a robust and generalized model for further steps. It is also good to mention that few methods utilize a training step, also known as continued pre-training, in addition to the pre-training phase in order to enhance the model's understanding by utilizing additional or more specific datasets [69]. Although this step creates a more robust model with updated knowledge on a specific language by integrating the new datasets that were not included in the pre-training phase, it requires more computational resources, as a result of which many methods skip this phase and try to use more efficient approaches in the fine-tuning step.

2) *Fine-tuning*: As it is mentioned above, fine-tuning LLMs to improve their performance for specific tasks is a crucial phase in developing them. Although few literature reviews [55] have been tried to categorize some of these methods from specific points of views, there is not comprehensive introduction of these methods. Due to this reason, we tend to give a more comprehensive categorization of these methods. We divide fine-tuning methods into five different groups as supervised techniques, Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT), instruction approaches, alignment techniques, and safety fine-tuning methods and try to introduce them in more details in this section. **Supervised fine-tuning** methods utilize a labeled dataset to optimize the pre-trained language model to perform better on a specific task. Many approaches like transfer learning, multi-task learning, task specific learning, and few-shot learning are some the most common supervised approaches. In the task-specific fine-tuning methods like [77] and BERT [23], the model parameters are optimized based on a specific dataset, developed for a target task, in such a way that the model excels in generating specific

content with precision and accuracy. Transfer learning methods like [78] optimize a previously trained model on a task to be transferred to another related task. Multi-task fine-tuning technique like [79], sharing representation simultaneously across different tasks to improve the accuracy. In the few-shot learning approaches like [80], [81], the pre-trained model is optimized by learning effectively from just a few examples of the new task which is useful when only few labeled data for a specific task is available. **Instruction tuning** [82] is another type of LLMs fine-tuning techniques which involves fine-tuning the model for various tasks while following specific commands provided by human. Multi-turn instruction following, self-instruction, and natural instructions tuning are the most common techniques in this category. Natural instruction methods [83] utilizes a dataset including a variety of instructions expressed in natural language instructions, allowing the model to learn from these instructions and use them in inference phase. The InstructGPT [84], as the best example of natural instruction methods, fine-tunes GPT-3 to follow human instructions. Self-instruction technique [85] tries to generate structures from a language model, filter similar or invalid ones, and then use them for fine-tuning the model. On the other hands, multi-turn instruction techniques [86], [87] handle instructions across multiple conversational turns, while keeping context, and providing comprehensive responses throughout the interactions. These methods can be used in applications like conversational agents, customer support systems, and interactive dialogue systems. **PEFT** methods [88] have become popular in LLMs due to huge number of trainable parameters in these models and the limitation of computational resources for the training purposes. Generally, PEFT methods can be categorized into five groups as additive, unified, re-parameterized, hybrid, and partial fine-tuning techniques. Additive methods involve strategies where additional parameters are defined and fine-tuned while the majority of the original model’s parameters are kept frozen. Some of the most recently developed additive approaches are CoDA [89], MerA [90], and AdapterSoup [91] while Sequential Adapter [92] and Residual Adapter [93] are the firstly developed techniques. All of these methods reduce the computational and memory requirements significantly, making it possible to improve the performance of LLMs in various applications. Partial fine-tuning methods like US-BitFit [94] and SAM [95] involve only a subset of the model’s parameters affecting the downstream tasks while keeping the rest fixed. Re-parameterized are the most common fine-tuning methods that reduce the number of trainable parameters by utilizing low rank transformations or additional structures. LoRA [96] and its extensions [88] are the most efficient re-parameterized techniques that improve generalization

and enhance performance. Hybrid fine-tuning methods like AutoPEFT [97] combine different PEFT techniques to utilize advantages of several methods while discarding their limitations. Last but not least, unified approaches like ProPETL [98] involve various fine-tuning methods into a single architecture ensuring consistency across different methods. Unified methods has only a single PEFT architecture unlike hybrid ones that utilize several PEFT architectures during the fine-tuning process. It is good to mention that [88] introduce state-of-the-art PEFT methods in more details discussing about their pros and cons. **Alignment tuning** methods are responsible to lay out a broad framework for lining up LLMs with human values, ethical guidelines, and specific user requirements, ensuring their safe and effective deployment [99]. Since LLMs are pre-trained on massive corpus data from different datasets, they may generate biased, harmful, unreliable, and unethical contents. The main purpose of alignment methods is to make sure that the generated content by LLMs is harmless, honest, and helpful [5]. The most common alignment method is Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) [84] in which human feedback is used to fine-tune the model by defining a Reward Modeling (PM) or Comparative Ranking (CR), and an optimization algorithm such as Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) [100] to optimize the rewards. Reinforcement Learning from AI Feedback (RLAIF) [101] is a more recent alignment method which aims to improve the alignment of models by using feedback generated by AI systems rather than human feedback alone. Other alignment methods such Direct Preference Optimization Direct Preference Optimization (DPO) [102] tries to find a different mapping approach between the reward functions and optimal policies solving the instability and complexity challenges of RLHF techniques. **Safety Fine-tuning** Safety Fine-Tuning (SFT) [103] is a critical part of LLMs to prevent harmful outputs in real-world applications where safety and ethical considerations are paramount. Supervised Safety Fine-Tuning which uses high safety risk adversarial data in the SFT process, Safety RLHF [104] utilizing a safety reward model within the Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) framework, and Safety Context Distillation [105] converting safety preprompts to adversarial prompts in fine-tuning process, are some of the SFT approaches in LLMs [69].

C. Regularization and Efficiency Techniques

1) *Efficiency Methods:* These techniques in LLMs focus in reducing the computational costs, memory requirements, and latency in the training, fine-tuning and inferring phases without compromising the accuracy and performance significantly. We categorized these methods into several groups as model quantization, model

pruning, knowledge distillation, structure optimization, parallelism, parameter sharing, memory scheduling, and low-rank approximation. **Model Quantization** techniques [106] reduce the number of floating-point bits in model weights and decrease the precision of the numbers in numerical calculations which itself reduces the memory usage and speeds up the training and inferring phases in LLMs. Post-Training Quantization (PTQ) [106], which is applied to an LLM after the training phase, and Quantization-Aware Training (QAT) [107], integrating the quantization into the training phase during both forward and backward processes, are the most common quantization techniques. There are also other quantization approaches like Per-Tensor and Per-Channel Quantization [108] which limits the quantization to a specific group parameters, Mixed-Precision Quantization [109] that uses different precision levels for different parts of the model, Binary and Ternary Quantization [110] which reduces weights to very low bit-widths. Although these methods reduce the memory and energy consumption, and speed up the inference process, they can compromise the accuracy due to squeezing of high precision weight to lower precision ones. To deal with these issues, many recent approaches use a combination of these techniques like BinaryBERT [111] that trains the model with a ternary model and then a binary model through splitting its weights. **Model Pruning** methods [112] reduce the model size and computational requirements by removing less important and redundant parameters in LLMs. Generally, pruning techniques are divided into structured and unstructured methods. Unstructured methods aim to remove connections or parameters without considering any specific structural patterns. Wanda [112], which removes unimportant weights in every level based on the norm of input, Outlier Weighed Layer-wise (OWL) [113], which is an extension of Wanda by adding layer pruning to it, and Contrastive pruning (CAP) [114], which prunes the model based on contrastive loss between the pre-trained and fine-tuned model, are some of the recently developed unstructured pruning methods. On the other hand, structured methods prune models based on a structural patterns [115]. LLM-Pruner [116], which prunes non important coupled structures based on gradient information, Bonsai pruner [117], that is a gradient-free pruning model with only forward passes, and an optimization-based structural pruner [118] that works based on Bernoulli distribution, are some of the recent structured pruning methods. **Knowledge Distillation** methods [119] are student-teacher based models in which the knowledge is transferred from a complex model (teacher) to a smaller model (student) which maintains performance while being more efficient. [120] represents a comprehensive survey on different knowledge distillation methods by categorizing them into three different

groups as KD algorithms, skill distillation, and verticalization distillation methods. KD algorithms are responsible for training a student model to reproduce the behavior of a teacher model while skill distillation techniques aim to transfer specific capabilities from teacher to student models. On the other hand, verticalization distillation tries to transfer knowledge across different levels of abstraction within the LLMs. **Structural Optimization** efficiency techniques aim to optimize the structure of the components of LLMs to reduce the memory access operations and increase the performance respectively. As an example of these methods, FlashAttention [121] and PagedAttention [122] try to improve computational speed by using a chunked computation method, which reduces the memory requirements in Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) typically associated with matrices. On the other hand, NoMAD-Attention [123] utilizes Single- Single-Instruction Multiple-Data (SIMD) registers in CPU to enhance the efficiency of the LLMs during the inference phase by solving the challenges of Multiply-Add (MAD) matrix operations in the attention computations. **Parallelism** techniques enhance training and inferring efficiency by distributing the model across multiple processors and enabling different model components to be processed concurrently. Parallelization approaches mainly appear in four categories [69], [124] as data parallelism, model parallelism, pipeline, and mixed parallelism. Data parallelism [125]–[127] involves in dividing the data into smaller batches and splitting these batches among several processors. Finally, the gradients of data in the related batches are summed up and used to update the model. Although data parallelism is easily implemented and scales nicely with the number of parameters, updating model parameters and aggregating gradients requires effective synchronization techniques. On the other hand, in model parallelism, the model itself is split across multiple processors [128]. It is good to mention that, tensor parallelism refers to a type of model parallelism in which the parameters of the model are divided into many tensors, each of which is computed on a separate processing unit [124]. Although model parallelism techniques are very effective for certain tasks, such as matrix multiplications, they are difficult to use and need sophisticated inter-processor communication in order to transfer intermediate results. In pipeline parallelism [129], [130], device utilization is improved by vertically extending the number of GPU units through parallel computing to support larger models. Although pipeline parallelism distributes memory and computation workload among processors, it needs careful synchronization to handle the data transfer between phases. Mixed parallelism [131] combines data, model, and pipeline parallelism to take use of each approach's advantages. Although mixed parallelism optimizes hard-

ware resource consumption, it requires complicated implementation and tuning to achieve ideal performance. **Parameter Sharing** techniques [132], [133], such as tying the weights of different layers or using recurrent structures, aim to reduce the number of trainable parameters by sharing a common set of weights across different parts of the model. Weight sharing improves the computational efficiency of the model and lowers the chance of over fitting, particularly when there is little data available. **Memory Scheduling** methods [122], [134], [135] refers to the effective arrangement and control of memory access patterns throughout the decision-making or inference stage. Large models frequently have intricate structures and significant memory requirements when utilized in sophisticated reasoning tasks like natural language processing or complex decision-making. By optimizing the retrieval and storage of intermediate representations, model parameters, and activation values, memory scheduling ensures that the inference process is accurate and runs as quickly as possible.

2) *Regularization Methods*: These techniques also increase the efficiency of the LLMs by preventing over fitting, improving convergence, and enhancing the model’s ability to generalize to unseen data. Some of the most common and effective regularization techniques in LLMs are dropout layers [136], layer-wise dropout [137], early stopping techniques [138], and gradient clipping [139], [140]. Other methods such as Mixout regularization [141] and privacy regularization [142] are recently developed to obtain specific goals in large language models.

D. Evaluation

Evaluation of language models specifically large ones is one the most challenging and complex tasks as it requires a thorough analysis of the model considering different issues and aspects [69]. The evaluation procedure measures the model’s capability to understand, produce, and communicate in a variety of contexts using human language. It involves assessing the model’s performance, identifying limitations, addressing ethical concerns, and ensuring technical robustness [2]. Continuous evaluation and improvement are essential to harness the full potential of LLMs while mitigating their risks and drawbacks. As the evaluation process is an inseparable part of LLMs development, we discuss the importance of evaluation and introduce different evaluation metrics in details in this section.

1) *Evaluation Tasks*: Language model evaluation tasks can be categorized into three main groups as Natural Language Understanding (NLU), Natural Language Generation (NLG), and security evaluations. NLU evaluation tasks [143] tend to assess understanding performance of the language model. They evaluate a vari-

ety of tasks, including as text classification, sentiment analysis, natural language inference, question answering, mathematical reasoning, commonsense reasoning, and reading comprehension. On the other hand, NLG evaluation tasks [144] evaluate LLMs’ text generating capabilities by comprehending the input context that has been supplied. It covers activities including conversation creation, sentence completion, Machine Translation (MT), and summarization. Security evaluation becomes an inseparable part of any LLMs nowadays as LLMs have to be aware of any potential security risks, stop malicious use or attack weaknesses, and deal with any long-term problems that can endanger human development. Generally speaking, security evaluation should analyze the levels of potential bias, privacy protection, and adversarial attacks in the LLMs [69]. LLMs face some potential biases in the training data and analyzing how accurate they can deal with these biases is an essential evaluation task. The causes and consequences of potential biases in LLMs, such as ChatGPT, are discussed in [145] and the possible approaches to reduce them is discussed in [146]. On the vother hand, privacy protection refers to the protection of user data that is used during the training and inferring phases to avoid data misuse. A comprehensive research [147] on ChatGPT shows that the user data, such as text and image, used in the training phase can be extracted and misused. Although the methods like Detect and Editing Privacy Neurons (DEPN) [148] can be applied to reduce the privacy leakages, it is important to evaluate the levels of data privacy in LLMs precisely. Adversarial attacks [149] such input tampering, deliberate disinformation, or fake information generation could also affect the performance of LLMs. When evaluating security, it is important to take into account how resilient the model is to this kinds of assaults [150].

2) *Evaluation Metrics*: Evaluation metrics should be developed in such a way that they can assess the LLMs from different points of views as is discussed in the previous section. Accuracy, hallucination, robustness, reasoning, fairness, and generalizations are some of the most important criteria that evaluation metrics should be able to analyze [151]. Accuracy and reasoning [152] refers to fact that how accurate the model can grasp the most important information from the inputs and generate the appropriate and correct outputs. Hallucination [153], [154] refers to the fact that if information in an LLM output is fabricated or false while robustness [155] explains how an LLM can withstand mistakes while still producing reliable and consistent results. Generalization [156] and fairness [157] demonstrates an LLM’s capacity to adjust to unseen data, which is essential for answering a variety of questions and comprehending text production processes. Although

evaluation metrics are categorized based on their applications into three groups as Multiple Classification (MC), Token Similarity (TS), and Question Answering (QA) metrics in [151], we categorize them based on their structure into five groups as character-based, word-based, embedding-based, language model-based, and LLM assisted metrics. **Character-based** metrics focus on the individual characters and their sequences, which can be important for tasks involving non-word entities, spelling, or languages with complex character systems. Edit-distance [158] as the most common character-based metrics determines the minimum number of single-character adjustments (insertions, deletions, or replacements) needed to transform a word or text string into another that can be helpful when assessing spelling corrections or tasks in which fine-grained text accuracy is crucial. **Word-based** metrics evaluate the quality of the generated text at the word level, providing insights into the model’s linguistic capabilities. Bilingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU), Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gisting Evaluation (ROUGE), and Metric for Evaluation of Translation with Explicit Ordering (METEOR) are some the most common word-based metrics. BLEU [159] compares the LLM’s output to annotated ground truths by measuring the precision of n-grams in the generated text against one or more reference texts. ROUGE [160], which is a common method to evaluate text summaries from NLP models, calculates recall by measuring the overlap of n-grams, word sequences, and word pairs between the generated text and reference texts. METEOR [161] is a more comprehensive metric than the previous ones as it utilizes a combination of n-gram matches and n-grams overlaps, adjusted for word order differences between the outputs of LLMs and the reference data. It is also important to keep in mind that character and word-based metrics are not accurate enough to assess the long and complex outputs of LLMs as they have limited reasoning ability and cannot take into account semantic information of the data properly. **Embedding-based** metrics rely on word or sentence embedding to measure the quality of the generated text, focusing on its semantic meaning rather than individual characters. BERTScore and MoverScore are the most common embedding-based metrics. BERTScore [162] evaluates the performance of the model by computing the cosine similarity between the contextual embedding of words in the ground truth data and the generated texts extracted from the pre-trained BERT model. On the other hand, MoverScore [163] evaluates the model, by computing the minimum cost required to transform the word embedding of the generated text into the word embedding of the reference text extracted by a pre-trained model like BERT. Although BERTScore and MoverScore represent a significant advancement in the

evaluation of text generation models, their dependencies on contextual embeddings from trained models makes them vulnerable to contextual awareness and biases. **Language Model-based** metrics rely on NLP models to solve the limitations of the embedding-based metrics. Natural Language Inference (NLI), Bilingual Evaluation Understudy with Representations from Transformer (BLEURT), and Question Answering Question Generation (QAQG) are some of the most common model-based metrics. Entailment or NLI metric [5] utilizes the inference abilities of a natural language model to analyze how much the generated LLM output is logically entailment, contradictory, or neutral compared to a ground truth text. BLEURT [164] presents a methods for combining expressivity and robustness that involves pre-training a fully learned metric on a substantial quantity of synthetic data and then fine-tuning it using ratings from humans. On the other hand, QAQG [165] metric is used to assess the consistency of the generated text with the reference data. The process begins with creating pairs of questions and answers from a reference text and then comparing the answers, generated by the model for the same set of questions, to the reference answers. Although these metrics give a better evaluation for the LLMs’ performance, they sometimes struggle with accuracy while dealing with long and complex texts. **LLM-assisted** metrics utilize other LLMs’ outputs to evaluate the performance of a specific large model. GPTScore, Self-CheckGPT, G-Eval, and Prometheus are some the most LLM-based metrics. GPTScore [166] as the first LLM-assisted metrics aims to evaluate multiple aspects of generated text such as informativeness and relevancy. This method relies on the assumption that higher-quality generations will have higher token probabilities assigned by the LLM while trying to utilize the conditional likelihood of generating the target text as an assessment metric. Similar to GPTscore, G-EVAL [167] also evaluates the generated text by using large language models such as GPT-4 with the chain-of-thoughts framework; however, in contrast to GPTscore, assessment is carried out directly by advising the model to assign a score to the generated text. SelfCheckGPT [168] is a fact-check evaluation metric that aims to detect and quantify hallucinations without a reference text. This method utilizes the basic idea that sampling responses are likely to be comparable and contain consistent information if an LLM is familiar with a particular subject and makes use of this idea to detect hallucination. Prometheus [169] and its extensions Prometheus2 [170] are completely open-source LLM that utilize GPT-4 to create a new dataset and use that to evaluate any long-form text based on a user-customized score. It is clear that LLM-assisted metrics can give a better evaluation of the model due to their complexity and the way that they can analyze the

output from different perspective mentioned before. Now that you are familiar with the main components of LLMs, their training and fine-tuning methods, regularization and efficiency techniques as well as evaluation metrics, we tend to discuss about the architecture and functionality of GPT models in details in the next section.

III. CHATGPT: ARCHITECTURE AND FUNCTIONALITY

ChatGPT, a prominent example of a large language model LLM, is built on the transformer architecture, which forms the foundation for its ability to understand and generate human-like text. At its core, ChatGPT utilizes the GPT series developed by OpenAI, specifically leveraging advancements from models like GPT-3 and GPT-4. These models are pre-trained on diverse datasets encompassing vast amounts of text from the internet, enabling them to grasp intricate language patterns and context. The transformer architecture's self-attention mechanism allows ChatGPT to assess the importance of different words in a sentence, facilitating nuanced and coherent responses. Various iterations of GPT have progressively enhanced the model's capabilities, with each version incorporating larger datasets and more parameters, thereby refining its performance in tasks such as translation, summarization, and question-answering. The flexibility and scalability of the transformer architecture make it possible for ChatGPT to adapt and improve continuously, addressing complex language tasks with remarkable accuracy and fluency. This section delves into the architectural components and functionalities of ChatGPT, highlighting how different LLM models contribute to its sophisticated language generation capabilities (See Fig. 4).

A. Transformer-based Models

1) *GPT Series:* The GPT series by OpenAI includes advanced language models like GPT-2, GPT-3, and GPT-4, designed for understanding and generating human-like text. Pre-trained on vast datasets, these models excel in tasks such as email spam detection, translation, medical, information extraction, summarization, and question-answering, significantly advancing natural language processing capabilities [171].

To counteract numerous advanced malware attacks, threat actors are now leveraging GPT and other LLMs to devise sophisticated strategies for system infection with new malware. The authors in [172] aim to showcase specific methods that can be employed to mitigate the risks associated with malware created using ChatGPT and other LLM-based tools.

The study [173], written in an accessible format, introduces the transformer architecture. The authors explain

how this innovative design efficiently processes long sequences and captures relationships over great distances. The authors in [174] present an Optimal Power Flow (OPF) model that integrates linguistic stipulations, proposing a novel solution method by incorporating a GPT-based LLM agent into the primal-dual deep reinforcement learning (DRL) training loop. This approach allows for the direct modeling of traditionally unquantifiable linguistic stipulations, expressed in natural language, as objectives and constraints in the OPF problem. The GPT-agent converts the satisfaction of these linguistic stipulations into corresponding rewards and constraints. These non-differentiable rewards, produced by the GPT-agent, are refined and optimized through continuous interactions with the environment during the DRL process. Once the DRL agent is adequately trained, it can solve the OPF model in real time.

Parl et. al, in [175] present CXL-PNM, a novel processing near-memory platform leveraging Compute Express Link (CXL) technology to accelerate Transformer-based LLMs. The authors highlight the unique trade-offs between bandwidth and capacity offered by LPDDR5X memory modules, which are well-suited for handling the increasing complexity of LLMs. Their setup delivers 2.9 times greater energy efficiency, 31% higher throughput and 23% lower latency. Compared to a GPU-based system with 8 GPU devices, their designed model reduces hardware costs by 30%. GPT series are as follows:

- GPT-1: The original model introduced by OpenAI, demonstrating the potential of unsupervised pre-training followed by supervised fine-tuning.
- GPT-2: A more powerful version with 1.5 billion parameters, known for its ability to generate coherent and contextually relevant text.
- GPT-3: Significantly larger with 175 billion parameters, capable of performing a wide range of tasks with minimal fine-tuning.
- GPT-4: The latest iteration, offering even greater capabilities and improvements in performance and fine-tuning efficiency.

The authors in [176] introduce the innovative use of LLMs in tinnitus therapeutics to analyze Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and predict treatment outcomes, thereby aiding in the management of high patient caseloads. By anonymizing patient data and applying GPT-2-based embeddings, along with dimensionality reduction and clustering techniques, they observe how patients' misconceptions change and their emotional discomfort decreases. Their clustering results demonstrate that LLMs can provide valuable insights into CBT processes. To address the limitations posed by a small dataset, they augment the textual patient data in three ways, incorporating a penalty to minimize augmentation bias. This augmented data is used to train the Google




Fig. 4: Different LLM Models

T5 Transformer, enabling it to predict Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI) score outcomes at the conclusion of CBT sessions.

The authors in [177] introduce an innovative method called Chat2VIS, which harnesses the capabilities of pre-trained LLMs such as ChatGPT and GPT-3 to transform free-form natural language into executable code for generating visualizations. Chat2VIS demonstrates that, through the use of strategically designed prompts, LLMs can reliably create visualizations from natural language queries, even when these queries are vague or poorly specified. This method not only significantly reduces the costs associated with developing NLI systems but also achieves superior visualization inference capabilities compared to traditional NLP methods that rely on hand-crafted grammar rules and specialized models. Furthermore, their research outlines the construction of LLM prompts in a manner that ensures data security and privacy, while maintaining generalizability across various datasets.

The authors in [178] delve into an innovative data augmentation methodology that leverages a pretrained LLM, specifically OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo, for generating new data and filtering high-quality data for final use. Their study centers on a NLI task in the Vietnamese language, encompassing four labels: "contradiction", "neutral", "entailment" and "other". Diverging from conventional methods that typically involve word substitution or deletion, their approach harnesses the LLM's capabilities to completely rewrite sentences according to prompts tailored for each label definition.

Contemporary datasets often suffer from significant obsolescence or deficiencies in both quality and quantity due to organizations' reluctance to share data, driven by concerns over privacy or the potential compromise of

proprietary information. To tackle this issue, the authors in [179] present PAC-GPT, an innovative framework designed to generate reliable synthetic data for machine learning applications, leveraging OpenAI's Generative Pre-trained Transformer 3 (GPT-3). Central to this framework are two key modules: a Flow Generator, tasked with capturing and reproducing patterns within sequences of network packets, and a Packet Generator, capable of generating individual network packets based on the network flow. Additionally, they propose a packet generator employing LLM chaining and subsequently assess, compare, and evaluate its performance using metrics such as loss, accuracy, and success rate. Their findings indicate that transformers offer a viable approach for synthetic packet generation, requiring minimal fine-tuning.

In [180], a novel AI application is introduced for detecting depression, utilizing advanced transformer networks to analyze clinical interviews. By integrating simulated data to supplement traditional datasets, the authors address issues surrounding data protection and privacy, thereby enhancing the model's effectiveness. Their method utilizes BERT-based models, GPT-3.5, and ChatGPT-4, resulting in cutting-edge results in depression identification through linguistic patterns and contextual clues, surpassing previous techniques.

The authors in [181] introduce the 'GPT-in-the-loop' methodology, aiming to explore the cognitive abilities of LLMs such as GPT within multiagent systems (MAS). Departing from traditional adaptive strategies that often entail lengthy training periods, their framework leverages GPT-4 to bolster problem-solving and explanatory proficiencies. To investigate this methodology, they implement it in a smart streetlight scenario within the Internet of Things (IoT) framework, where each streetlight

is managed by an autonomous agent fitted with sensors and actuators, assigned with the task of establishing an energy-efficient lighting scheme. By incorporating GPT-4, these agents exhibit improved decision-making and flexibility, eliminating the need for extensive training.

The authors in [182] explore the potential ramifications of LLMs, exemplified by GPTs, on the U.S. job market, honing in on the augmented functionalities resulting from LLM-driven software vis-à-vis standalone LLMs. Employing a fresh assessment framework, they gauge professions based on their alignment with LLM capabilities, amalgamating both human expertise and GPT-4 categorizations. The anticipated consequences traverse all income tiers, with affluent occupations potentially encountering more extensive exposure to LLM functionalities and LLM-powered software. Importantly, these repercussions extend beyond sectors with heightened recent productivity growth.

The authors in [183] study the pedagogical content knowledge theory, which initially formulates an instructional design framework based on mathematical problem sequences and corresponding prompt instructions. Subsequently, they develop a comprehensive tool for assessing LLM's instructional design capabilities. A dataset for high school mathematics teaching plans is generated using Generative Pretrained Transformer 4. Eventually, the efficiency of LLMs in instructional design is examined that reveals the teaching plans generated by LLMs excel in various aspects. Some of those aspects are selecting methods and strategies, organizing problem chains, identifying teaching priorities, teaching activities, setting instructional objectives, and articulating subject content.

The study [184] is the first to thoroughly evaluate the performance of LLMs in dialogue summarization, and it reveals significant discrepancies that highlight the continued difficulties in this field. The study's conclusions highlight the frequency of circumstantial inferences in the summaries produced by GPT-4 and Alpaca-13b, demonstrating LLMs' ability to comprehend English but propensity to add conceptual interpretations. Furthermore, the authors show that current measurements are unable to accurately identify these subtle inaccuracies.

2) *BERT*:

- BERT Base: Trained on masked language modeling and next sentence prediction tasks, it captures context from both directions in the text.
- BERT Large: A larger version of BERT with more parameters, providing improved performance on various NLP tasks.

BERT's intrinsic token limit of 512 tokens creates a considerable obstacle when processing very long documents, a frequent scenario in legal document reviews where documents often surpass this limit. To

address this issue, the authors in [185] empirically evaluate two different strategies for applying BERT, using real-world data from the construction industry. Based on the first strategy, BERT is applied to the entire document in its entirety. On the other hand, the second strategy divides each document into smaller text portions and then applies BERT to these small texts separately. After a comparative evaluation, the most effective approach for handling long legal documents is selected.

As these models advance, PaLM, BERT, and GPT are anticipated to become increasingly proficient in topic categorization. The authors in [186] conduct a comparative analysis of PaLM, BERT, and GPT language models for topic categorization, utilizing the AG News dataset. Their results demonstrate that the proposed models offer more precise categorization for the text within the dataset.

Federated learning (FL) is a distributed ML approach that stores the data locally on the devices, allowing several devices to collaborate to train a model while preserving privacy. Instead of broadcasting raw data, the devices broadcast model updates (such as gradients) to a central server, which is the fundamental idea behind FL. Together, these updates are then added to the global model [187]. The study [188] proposes a novel approach for building a ML model for multilabel classification of large textual datasets using FL. This method involves extending BERT-based structure with a one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). Initially, the experiment is conducted on a single machine with the entire dataset. Next, the dataset was divided into two subsets, and the experiment was repeated in a FL setup. FL setup is significantly power-efficient while improving F1 score, precision, and accuracy.

Training LLMs in the medical field is challenging due to restricted data access and privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). FL offers a collaborative learning while safeguarding data security. The authors in [189] evaluate FL on two biomedical natural language processing tasks across eight datasets using six different LLM. They show that FL models consistently surpass models trained on individual client data and achieve significantly better results than pre-trained LLM using few-shot responding techniques. The study [190] presents an innovative approach for conducting movie keyword searches by leveraging user-generated rankings and reviews. The authors harness the capabilities of the BERT language model, fine-tuned specifically for this task. Their model is trained to grasp the intricate relationships between keywords and movies using

paired data from user-generated movie rankings and reviews collected from a prominent Japanese movie review platform. With a dataset encompassing 10,000 user rankings and 15,000 films, the model shows higher efficiency than traditional similarity-based methods in a binary classification task, resulting in superior performance.

To enhance the product's quality, cost, customer service, and environmental impact, managers aim to analyze customer ratings and the underlying emotional content of reviews. For example, the proposed research [191] uses the LLM to accurately predict product helpfulness. This assists customers in saving time and money. To develop numerous advanced ML tools, they employ a benchmark dataset, the Amazon Fine Food Reviews. Introducing a novel transformer approach called BERT Random Forest (BERF) (BERT Random Forest) for feature engineering aimed at improving the value of user evaluations for Amazon's gourmet food products. They use the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) approach to balance the dataset.

It's crucial to determine the veracity of news provided on Twitter. In any language, including Turkish, it is crucial to identify fraudulent tweets. To create a dataset called TR-FaRe-News, [192] uses the Zemberek natural language processing tool, which is designed for the Turkish language, to preprocess and label fake news sourced from Twitter verification platforms. Next, word2vec, TF-IDF, and collective algorithms are used to investigate the R-FaRe-News dataset in order to detect fake news.

The writers of [193] discuss how conventional security approaches are unable to handle the intricate and varied connections seen in the Internet of Vehicles. Internet of Vehicles (IoV)-BERT-Intrusion Detection System (IDS), the suggested framework, uses BERT to obtain an IoV-wide representation of raw traffic data. By using less data for fine-tuning and unsupervised pre-training, this method improves accuracy. The semantic extractor converts unprocessed data into contextual semantic traffic pairs, so addressing the problem of unclear semantics in traffic data. Moreover, the inclusion of two pre-training tasks adds bidirectional contextual features to IoV-BERT-IDS, which greatly aids in the recognition and learning of contextual features and models.

3) *XLNet*: An extension of Transformer-XL that incorporates permutation-based training, capturing bidirectional context without the limitations of masked language modeling.

XLNet is an extended autoregressive (AR) pretraining technique that combines the benefits of autoencoding (AE) and AR techniques with a permutation language

modeling aim. By carefully developing the two-stream attention mechanism and integrating Transformer-XL, the neural architecture of XLNet is engineered to function in unison with the AR goal. On a variety of activities, XLNet significantly outperforms earlier pretraining targets [75].

4) *RoBERTa*: An optimized version of BERT, trained with more data and longer sequences, leading to improved performance on benchmark tasks.

In [194], the authors assess several design choices during the process of pretraining BERT models. They discover that training the model longer, with larger batches over more data, training on longer sequences, eliminating the next sentence prediction target, and continuously modifying the masking pattern applied to the training data may lead to improved performance. Their study results in the General Language Understanding Evaluation (GLUE), Stanford Question Answering Dataset (SQuAD), and Reading Comprehension from Examinations (RACE) with their improved pretraining technique, which they called RoBERTa, without the need for extra data for SQuAD or multi-task finetuning for GLUE.

Researchers are "fine-tuning" BERT and RoBERTa models to perform better on tasks that are specific to their domains. For example, the authors in [195] assess how changes along different dimensions (e.g., training data, model size, pretraining time, finetuning length) impact downstream performance by applying 14 transformer-based models to 11 scientific tasks. By training a 770M-parameter BERT model on a 221B token scientific literature dataset spanning multiple disciplines, they produce ScholarBERT, the largest and most diverse scientific language model to date.

B. Encoder-Decoder Models

1) *Text-to-Text Transfer Transformer (T5)*: It treats every NLP task as a text-to-text problem, enabling a unified approach to diverse tasks such as translation, summarization, and question answering. It also uses an encoder-decoder architecture to convert text-to-text for various tasks, providing flexibility and consistency across different NLP tasks.

The authors of [196] present a uniform framework that translates all text-based language problems into a text-to-text format, allowing readers to explore the landscape of transfer learning techniques for NLP. Pre-training objectives, architectures, unlabeled data sets, transfer methodologies, and other aspects are compared on numerous of language understanding problems in their systematic study. Through the integration of their newly acquired "Colossal Clean Crawled Corpus"

and scale, along with the insights gleaned by their research, they are able to attain cutting-edge outcomes on numerous metrics, including text categorization, question answering, summarization, and more.

The authors of [176] demonstrate how an LLM-based strategy can precisely forecast the results of the tinnitus CBT treatment and greatly lessen the effort involved in evaluating each CBT session. The findings of their investigation show that even with a dataset full of grammatical and arithmetic errors, Google T5 and its variant Flan- T5 LLM can predict the right treatment results. This emphasizes how solid and dependable the suggested strategy is. Finally, given their encouraging findings in their investigation, they advise adopting highly trained LLM models, such as Google T5 and Flan- T5 LLMs with supplemented datasets, for this clinical adaptation.

In [197], the authors provide Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)- T5, a brand-new pretraining paradigm that improves code creation, translation, and comprehension by utilizing the AST. Their AST-Aware Segmentation preserves code structure through adaptive programming, whereas our AST-Aware Span Corruption goal gives the paradigm the tools it needs to reassemble other code structures. Since AST- T5 does not require intricate program analyses or architectural modifications, it may be integrated with any encoder-decoder Transformer, in contrast to other models. Test results reveal that AST- T5 often beats LMs of comparable size in a variety of code-related tasks, such as MBPP and HumanEval. In code-to-code tasks, AST- T5 outperforms CodeT5 due to its structure-awareness.

The new development of deep learning and LLM can greatly aid in the critical task of summarizing medical reports so that the general public can easily access them. To summarize these reports, the authors in [198] suggest an improved T5. Indiana Dataset, which is accessible to the public, is used to train and test their proposed model and is eventually assessed with the ROUGE collection of measures.

2) *Bidirectional and Auto-Regressive Transformer (BART)*: It combines bidirectional and autoregressive training, effective for text generation and transformation tasks like summarization and translation.

Introduced in [72], BARTs are pre-training techniques that learn to map corrupted texts to the original. In several text creation tasks, BART delivers new state-of-the-art outcomes while performing comparably to RoBERTa on discriminative tasks.

Due to their good performance, Pre-trained Models (PTMs) are now widely available. Model selection usually takes the model's paradigm, like auto-recursive, Encoder Decoder, Masked Language Modeling into

account. Therefore, a more appropriate BART model can be chosen as a strong tool for the summary assignment [69].

C. Specialized Models

1) *DistilBERT*: A smaller, faster, and more efficient version of BERT, trained using knowledge distillation to retain much of BERT's performance with fewer parameters.

The authors of [199] provide a technique for pre-training DistilBERT, a smaller general-purpose language representation model that can be fine-tuned to perform well on a variety of tasks similar to its larger counterparts. Although the majority of earlier research focused on using distillation to create task-specific models, they also used distillation of knowledge in the pre-training stage. In order to take advantage of the inductive biases that larger models pick up during pre-training, their model incorporates a triple loss that combines cosine-distance, distillation, and language modeling.

2) *A Lite BERT (ALBERT) or A Lite BERT*: An efficient version of BERT with parameter-sharing and factorized embedding parameterization to reduce memory consumption and increase training speed. ALBERT-xxlarge produces far better results than BERT-large with fewer parameters, however, due to its larger structure, it requires more computing power. Therefore, increasing ALBERT's training and inference speed using techniques like block attention and sparse attention is a crucial next step. Another research area with greater representation power is the study of hard example mining and more effective LLM training [132]. Furthermore, the authors foresee the possibility of additional dimensions not yet captured by the current self-supervised training losses. These dimensions could enhance the representational power of the resulting models. Despite this, they have compelling evidence that sentence order prediction is a consistently useful learning task. This task produces better language representations.

3) *Efficiently Learning an Encoder that Classifies Token Replacements Accurately (ELECTRA)*: Uses a discriminator-generator setup for pre-training, where the discriminator learns to distinguish between real and replaced tokens, providing efficient and effective training.

In [200], the ELECTRA model for prompt-based zero-shot learning on NLP tasks is examined. A brand-new replaced token detection (RTD)-based prompt learning technique is suggested by the authors. Through rigorous trials on 15 different datasets, the ELECTRA model performs unexpectedly well as a few shot and

zero shot learner, their model indicates that it has greater room for stimulation. Their RTD-ELECTRA-large, for example, performs an astounding 90.1% zero-shot on the SST-2 challenge. It may learn more pre-knowledge because of the well-designed RTD pre-training challenge, which is mostly responsible for its higher efficiency. Furthermore, their study demonstrates ELECTRA’s excellence as a zero-shot learner.

D. Multimodal Models

1) *CLIP*: Trained on image and text pairs, capable of understanding and generating descriptions for images, as well as performing zero-shot classification.

It has been demonstrated that contrastive models such as CLIP are able to learn stable representations of images that capture both style and meaning. The authors in [201] suggest a dual approach to take advantage of these representations for image generation: a prior that, given a text caption, provides a CLIP image embedding, and a decoder that, in turn, generates an image conditioned on the image embedding. They demonstrate how improving image variety may be achieved with no compromise in photorealism or narrative similarity when image representations are actively generated. By altering the non-essential information missing from the image representation, their decoders conditioned on picture representations can also generate versions of an image that maintain its semantics and style.

The efficacy of various vision encoders inside MLLMs is thoroughly investigated by the authors in [202]. Their results show that CLIP’s shallow layer features are especially beneficial for fine-grained tasks like grounding and area understanding. Remarkably, as a visual branch inside MLLMs, the vision-only model D’istillation with NO labels (DINO), which is not pretrained with text-image alignment, performs excellently. In fine-grained linked perception tasks, DINO outperforms CLIP just by adding an MLP layer for alignment. They also suggest COMM, a powerful feature merging technique that combines Multi-level features merging with CLIP and DINO to improve MLLMs’ visual capabilities.

The CLIP training paradigm restricts the exposure of different texts to the same image by applying data augmentations only to the image inputs and leaving the language inputs unaltered throughout the training process. The authors of [203] present Language Augmented CLIP (LaCLIP), a straightforward but incredibly powerful method for improving CLIP training via language rewrites. They rebuild the text descriptions linked to each image by utilizing huge language models’ in-context learning capacity. These revised texts maintain the main ideas and meanings

while showcasing a variety of language and sentence structures. LaCLIP chooses the modified or original sentences at random to use as text augmentations for every image during training.

2) *DALL-E*: DALL-E is a useful text-to-image model that generates images from textual descriptions, showcasing the ability of LLMs to create coherent and contextually relevant visual content [204]. Therefore, to interact with AI to carry out activities, people employ foundation models like text-to-image models DALL-E and LLMs GPT-4. Despite the fact that users can access foundation models via chatbots (like ChatGPT), chat is not a production tool for creating repeatable AI services, regardless of the power of the underlying models. While Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) such as LangChain make LLM-based application development possible, they also provide a barrier because they demand a high level of programming competence.

In order to address the aforementioned issue, the authors of [205] systematize the methodology for AI chain engineering by methodically reviewing, summarizing, improving, and expanding the idea of AI chain by incorporating the best practices and principles that have been amassed over decades in software engineering. Additionally, they create Prompt Sapper, a no-code integrated development environment that organically incorporates these AI chain engineering principles and concepts into the building process, enhancing the effectiveness and caliber of AI chains.

DALL-E’s composition-based systematic generalization ability in picture generation has demonstrated remarkable results; nevertheless, it needs a dataset of text-image pairs, and the text provides the compositionality. On the other hand, models of representation that are object-centric, such as the Slot Attention model, acquire composable representations without requiring guidance from text. But, in contrast to DALL-E, it has far less systematic generalization capacity for zero-shot generation. In order to address this issue, the authors of [206] suggest a slot-based autoencoding architecture that combines the best features of both approaches: object-centric representation learning that enables methodical generalization in text-free zero-shot image synthesis. Another way to think about their paradigm is as an illiterate DALL-E model. They employ the Image GPT decoder conditioned on the slots for capturing intricate interactions between the slots and pixels, in contrast to the pixel-mixture decoders of the current object-centric representation models.

The Self-correcting Language-Driven (SLD) framework, a ground-breaking self-correction system that uses

detectors and LLMs to greatly improve text-to-image alignment, was described by the authors in [207]. This technique is compatible with a number of generative models, including DALL-E 3, and it also establishes a new scores of the state-of-the-art (SOTA) in the image generating benchmark. Additionally, SLD expands its usefulness to picture editing programs by providing more precise object-level manipulation than current techniques.

E. Domain-Specific Models

1) *Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers for Biomedical Text Mining (BioBERT)*: Pre-trained on biomedical text, optimized for tasks like named entity recognition, relation extraction, and question answering in the biomedical domain.

A domain-specific language representation model pre-trained on extensive biomedical corpora is called BioBERT, according to the authors in [208]. Pre-trained on biomedical corpora, BioBERT performs significantly better than BERT and previous state-of-the-art models across a range of biomedical text mining tasks, all while maintaining almost the same structure within workloads. BioBERT significantly surpasses BERT on three representative biomedical text mining tasks: biomedical named entity recognition (0.62% F1 score improvement), biomedical relation extraction (2.80% F1 score improvement), and biomedical question answering (12.24% Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) improvement).

2) *Scientific Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (SCIBERT)*: Trained on scientific literature, it is designed to handle tasks specific to scientific text processing and understanding.

The authors in [209] provide SCIBERT, a pretrained BERT-based language model for scientific text. We assess SCIBERT using a variety of datasets and tasks from scientific fields. On multiple of these tasks, SCIBERT obtains new SOTA outcomes and surpasses BERT-Base greatly, even matching some published BioBERT results on biomedical problems.

F. Hybrid and Advanced Architectures

1) *GPT-Neo*: It is an open-source alternative to GPT-3, and offers powerful language generation capabilities with varying parameter sizes.

LLM-based algorithms for code evolution have just lately become popular in the field of Genetic Programming (GP). A codified LLM-based evolutionary algorithm called LLM-GP is presented by the authors in [210] with the purpose of evolving code. It employs

evolutionary operators, just like GP, but its designs and implementations of those operators are very different from GP's since they take advantage of an LLM's pre-trained pattern matching and sequence completion skills as well as prompting.

In [211], the authors present MAP-Neo, a fully open-source multilingual LLM suite that takes significant steps to improve the transparency and accessibility of large language models LLMs. They aim to assist the academic and open-source communities in furthering transparent NLP research by publishing in-depth details of their procedures, which range from pre-training corpus (e.g., Matrix Data Pile), data curation, model training, and evaluation.

2) *Swin Transformer*: A vision transformer model designed for image recognition tasks, capable of handling high-resolution images efficiently. Transformer's widespread attention has led to an increase in its attention in the field of computer vision. For example, the authors [212] combine the benefits of Residual Network (ResNet) with the Shifted Window (Swin) Transformer to build the Swin Transformer and ResNet-based Swin Transformer and ResNet-based Generative Adversarial Network (STRN) for low-light image improvement. Multiscale discriminators and a U-shaped generator make up the STRN. Three modules make up the generator: one for deep feature extraction, one for shallow feature extraction, and one for picture reconstruction. In deep learning approaches, users can also employ ResNet and Swin Transformer blocks to calculate global and local attention. The random paired training of STRN is constrained by the self perceptual loss and the spatial consistency loss.

Swin Transformer, a novel vision Transformer with linear computing complexity with respect to input image size, is presented by the authors in [213]. It generates a hierarchical feature representation. Swin Transformer far outperforms earlier top techniques to attain state-of-the-art performance on Common Objects in Context (COCO) object detection and ADE20K as a semantic segmentation dataset. The authors anticipate that Swin Transformer's impressive results on a range of vision-related issues will promote a unified analysis of language and visual signals.

A new model, called Swin Transformer-based image restoration (SwinIR), is proposed by the authors in [214]. The shallow feature extraction, deep feature extraction, and high resolution reconstruction modules make up the three main components of the framework. Specifically, for deep feature extraction, they employ a stack of Residual Swin Transformer Block (RSTB), which are made up of a residual connection, a convolution layer, and Swin Transformer layers. According to their

research, SwinIR performs at the cutting edge in three typical image restoration jobs.

G. Emerging Models

1) *Megatron-Turing NLG*: This model was developed by NVIDIA as a massive transformer model designed for natural language generation, aiming to push the boundaries of language model performance.

Large-scale training of LLMs with billions of parameters is difficult and takes a lot of processing power. In order to extract this computation from Frontier, the first exascale supercomputer in the world devoted to open science, the study [215] investigates effective distributed training methodologies. To hide or reduce latency, the authors must choose the best mix of distributed and parallelization strategies to overlap communication and computation. To this purpose, they migrate cutting-edge distributed training frameworks like Megatron-DeepSpeed and FSDP to Frontier, where they set up a software stack for training LLM models. To make training a trillion-parameter model on Frontier easier, they enable and explore multiple model and data parallel training strategies, including sharded data parallelism, pipeline parallelism, and tensor parallelism. The authors in [216] provide details on the training of Megatron-Turing NLG 530B Megatron-Turing NLG (MT-NLG) with 530 billion parameters, as the product of a collaborative effort between Microsoft and NVIDIA. Using DeepSpeed and Megatron, they trained this model in 3D parallelism, with an initial emphasis on the infrastructure. The training procedure, their training corpus’s architecture, and their data curation methods—which they consider to be essential to the model’s performance—are then covered in detail.

2) *PaLM*: Developed by Google, a large-scale model leverages the Pathways system to improve efficiency and performance across diverse tasks.

In today’s information-rich digital environment, topic classification is essential in many ways. While several models are presented to classify the themes using different datasets, PaLM, BERT, and GPT models are examples of the LLM that have been developed recently. With increased accuracy, these models can detect the subjects mentioned in a document because they can understand the statistical correlations between words and sentences. Furthermore, they have a fast text data processing speed, which is crucial for applications that need real-time subject categorization [186].

The authors in [217] developed a 540-billion parameter PaLM to deepen their understanding of the effect of scale on few-shot learning. They use Pathways, a novel machine learning framework that

allows for highly efficient training across several Tensor Processing Unit (TPU) Pods, to train PaLM on 6144 TPU v4 chips. By attaining state-of-the-art few-shot learning outcomes on hundreds of language understanding and generation benchmarks, they continue to highlight the benefits of scalability. PaLM 540B demonstrates breakthrough performance on several of these tasks, including a series of multi-step reasoning problems where it outperforms the refined state-of-the-art. PaLM excels at generating source code and multilingual activities as well.

IV. VARIOUS DATASET OF LLM

In the development of LLMs, datasets are typically categorized based on their role in the model’s life cycle as pre-training, fine-tuning, and evaluation [260]. Different datasets are used in each of these stages to reach specific goals and obtain optimal model performance. Although many recent studies [5], [55], [260] have tried to categorize these datasets from different points of view, they do not have comprehensive recent lists of dataset used in each stage, as well as the fact that they do not represent datasets based on their applications in specific details. In this section, we proposed a novel perspective to categorize LLMs’ datasets, mainly considering the applications, tasks, and domains of LLMs as well as the types of the methods used for developing them.

A. Datasets for Pre-Training

Datasets, used for the pre-training phase, can be categorized into three groups: general purpose, domain, and application specific datasets (See Tab. I). The general purpose datasets are collected from different resources such as web pages, books, news, academic materials, codes, social media, etc., based on which they are categorized in Tab. I. Their key feature, which makes them suitable for training generic foundational models, is that the text content is collected from various domains. The large amount of data and its diversity allows LLMs with huge amount of parameters to be trained properly in the pre-training stage. Common Crawl [218] and its recent extensions such as CC-Stories [219], CLUECorpus2020 [220], C4 [196], mC4 [221], RefinedWeb [222] are some of the most common general dataset where large corpus of data is collected from different web pages. Moreover, recent general purpose datasets such as ROOTS [240], Pile [241], and Dolma [242] try to collect data from different resource to train a more generalized model during the pre-training phase. We categorized them as the multi-category data resources in Tab. I. On the other hand, datasets gathered for particular applications are known as application-specific ones which are utilized gradually in the pre-training phase of LLMs for

TABLE I: List of datasets for the Pre-Training stage of LLMs.

Dataset Domain	Dataset Type	Dataset Names and applied paper
General Purpose	Web Data	Common Crawl [218], CC-Stories [219], CLUECorpus [220], C4 [196], mC4 [221], RefinedWeb [222], WuDaoCorpora [223], WanJuan-CC [224], cc100 [225], RedPajama-V2 [226]
General Purpose	Books and Literature	BookCorpusOpen [227], PG-19 [228], Toronto Book [229]
General Purpose	Academic Materials	PubMed, S2ORC [230]
General Purpose	Social Media Content	OpenWebText [231], Pushshift Reddit [232], WebText [233]
General Purpose	Code	BIGQUERY [234], phi-1 [235], The Stack [236]
General Purpose	Encyclopedia Content	WikiMatrix [237], Wikipedia [238], TigerBot-wiki [239]
General Purpose	Multi-category	ROOTS [240], The Pile [241], Dolma [242], MAP-CC [243], RedPajama-V1 [226], TigerBot-pretrain [239], WanJuanText [244], SlimPajama [245], Minerva [246]
General Purpose	Conversational Data	DailyDialog [247], ConvAI [248]
General Purpose	Legal Documents	EuroParl Corpus [249]
Domain Specific	Multilingual Content	CulturaX [250], OSCAR [251], MADLAD-400 [252], TigerBot [239]
Domain Specific	Multi-modal Data	mOSACR [253]
Application Specific	Finance	BBT-FinCorpus [254], FinCorpus [255], TigerBot-earning [239], TigerBot-research [239]
Application Specific	Medical	Medical-pt, PubMed Central
Application Specific	Math	OpenWebMath [256], MathPile [257], Proof-Pile-2 [258]
Application Specific	Law	TigerBot-law [239]
Application Specific	Transportation	TransGPT-pt [259]

specific applications such as mathematics, medicine, law, finance, and transportation. Domain-specific datasets are the ones that belong to a specific domain and share common features while being used in different applications. Multilingual datasets such as TigerBot [239] and CulturaX [250] which contain text in various languages, and Multi-modal datasets like mOSACR [253] containing different types of data, are the most recently developed domain-specific datasets.

It is also good to mention that some LLMs, like GPT models, utilize different resources during their pre-training, which enables them to produce better contextualized information across various domains. The main data resource in all GPT series is large web page data, while GPT-1 and GPT-2 also utilize data from books and news; GPT-3 and ChatGPT use literature, news, scientific, and conversation data in addition to the public web data [2].

B. Datasets for Fine-Tuning

As mentioned in Section II-B2, fine-tuning, as an inseparable part of LLMs’ development, adapts the pre-trained model to perform specific tasks or to specialize in certain domains (See Tab. II). During fine-tuning, the model is exposed to more focused and often smaller datasets than those used during pre-training phase. Considering this fact, fine-tuning datasets can be categorized based on their applications and use cases. From another point of view, they can also be categorized based on the types of fine-tuning techniques discussed in more detail in Section II-B2. Considering these properties, we categorize fine-tuning datasets into four main groups: instruction-based, alignment-based, domain-specific, and application-specific datasets.

Instruction-based datasets are utilized in the instruction fine-tuning techniques and contain a series of pairs

of instruction and answer texts. Instructions are the input to the model given by the user while answers are the outputs generated by the LLM. Considering the fact that the instructions and outputs in these datasets can be generated by humans, other models, or an improvement of other datasets, they are divided into several groups [260] as is shown in Tab. II. It is good to mention that the instructions used in these datasets are general instructions collected for general tasks that are not limited to particular domains or tasks. On the other hand, alignment datasets such as Anthropic-HH-RLHF [298] are utilized for the alignment tuning of the model with human preferences, and are mainly collected manually by human. Some other datasets, categorized as application-specific datasets in Tab. II, contain particular contexts for particular applications, allowing the LLM to be optimized in such a way that it shows better performance in the related application.

C. Datasets for Evaluation

Evaluating LLMs involves testing them across a range of tasks to assess their performance. During the evaluation process, not only fundamental tasks such as natural language understanding and natural language generation ones should be evaluated [55], but also safety contents should be assessed properly. In addition to these items, since some models are developed to be used in specific applications, the performance and validity of their responses should also be evaluated within those applications [260]. Due to these reasons, evaluation datasets can be divided into three main groups: task-, application-, and domain-specific ones (See Tab. III). Generally, Task-specific datasets are used to evaluate the ability of the model in NLU, NLG, and safety domains. The understanding capacity of the model can be evaluated by various tasks such as contextual understanding, world

TABLE II: List of datasets for the Fine-Tuning stage of LLMs.

Dataset Domain	Dataset Type	Dataset Names and applied paper
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Human Generated	OASST1 [261], Aya [262], InstructIE [263]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Model Constructed	CAMEL [264], LMSYS-Chat [265], SelfInstruct [266], UltraChat [267], WebGLM-QA [268], Unnatural Instructions [269], WildChat [270], Wizard-evol-instruct [271]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Improvement of Datasets	DialogStudio [272], Dynosaur [273], Flan-mini [274], Flan [275], InstructDial [276], Open-Platypus [277]OPT-IML [278], PromptSource [279], T0 [280], Unified-SKG [281], xP3 [282], P3 [280], IEPile [283]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Human & Improv. Generated	LIMA-sft [284], COIG-CQIA [285]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Human & Model Generated	InstructGPT-sft [84]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Improv. & Model Generated	Alpaca-GPT4-data [286], Bactrain-X [287], Baize [288], GPT4All [289], LaMini-LM [290], LogiCoT [291], LongForm [292], OpenOrca [293], Lithuanian-QA [294], LongWriter [295]
Instruction Fine-Tuning	Multi-Category	HC3 [296], Phoenix-sft [297]
Alignment Fine-Tuning	-	Anthropic-HH-RLHF [298], Anthropic-HH-RLHF-2 [153]
General Purpose	Multit-Modal	MMRS [299], VideoChat2 [300], InstructDoc [301], ALLaVA [302]
Domain Specific	Medical	ChatDoctor [303], CMtMedQA [304], DISC-Med [305], HuatuoGPT [306], MedDialog [307], Medical Meadow [308], Mol-Instructions [309]
Domain Specific	Code	CodeContest [310], ToolAlpaca [311], ToolBench [312]
Application Specific	Law	DISC-Law [313]
Application Specific	Mathematics	Goat [314], MWP [315], OpenMathInstruct-1 [316]
Application Specific	Education	Educhat [317]
Application Specific	Finance	DISC-Fin [318], AlphaFin [319]
Application Specific	Geo science	GeoSignal [320]
Application Specific	IT	Owl-Instruction [321]

understanding, cross-lingual understanding, text classification, natural language inference, question answering, commonsense reasoning, mathematical reasoning, reading comprehension, and problem solving, while the generation capacity of the model can be assessed by different tasks such as summarization, sentence completion, language translation, and dialogue generation. On the other hand, the safety of the models should be assessed from ethical, truthfulness, bias, and toxicity points of view by appropriate datasets. Moreover, many datasets are gathered to assess the performance of LLMs in particular applications such as medical, law, mathematics, finance, and IT fields. These datasets mainly focus on specific properties of the application in addition to evaluating the NLU, NLG ability of the model. In this regard, we first categorize the evaluation datasets based on their use cases and applications and then based on their tasks, as is shown in Tab. III.

V. CHATGPT AND LLM APPLICATIONS:

In this section, we will have an overview of ChatGPT, a cutting-edge conversational language model built on GPT applications and finally, we will summarize and present a list of these applications in Table IV. To this end, the survey paper [501] highlights ChatGPT’s numerous applications in various fields; the paper also addresses its drawbacks, difficulties, and possible solutions.

A. Anomaly Detection

LLMs and ChatGPT significantly enhance anomaly detection efforts by providing advanced NLP capabilities, real-time analysis, and intelligent insights.

Their ability to process and understand complex data patterns makes them valuable tools for detecting and responding to anomalies across various domains. Integrating LLMs into anomaly detection frameworks can lead to more accurate, efficient, and user-friendly monitoring systems.

The research [502] proposes a log anomaly detection method, called Prog- BERT-LSTM, to quickly and accurately detect system faults from log text data. It does this by using a network that utilizes the BERT model as the text vectorization module and designing the sequence feature learning module based on LSTM to prevent the loss of sequence features caused by the gradient disappearing during the calculation process and to further obtain the semantics. To aggregate the text semantic vector and sequence feature vector, the progressive masking technique is applied.

This article [503] presents an innovative approach to using anomaly detection features in chatbots to improve their performance. Their chatbot becomes increasingly adept at spotting anomalies by identifying and extracting odd patterns and deviations from logs using sophisticated GPT-3 models and rule-based reasoning. The authors outline the design and process of their anomaly detection system and demonstrate its practical application. Their chatbot, which combines domain knowledge and artificial intelligence, redefines the bar for interactive, anomaly-aware conversational agents.

Manually analyzing the growing amount of log data generated by software-intensive systems is not feasible. Many domains have seen encouraging outcomes via

TABLE III: List of datasets for the Evaluation stage of LLMs.

Dataset Domain	Dataset Type	Dataset Names and applied paper
Task Specific	Natural Language Understanding	CLUE [322], SuperGLUE [323], CUGE [324], MCTS [325], LeSC [326], CoQA [327], DuoRC [328], WiC [329], Wikitext [329], LCQMC [330]
Task Specific	Sentence Completion & Story Cloze	LAMBADA [331], ChID [332], CLOTH [333], StoryCloze [334], AdGen [335], CHID-FC [336], HellaSwag [337]
Task Specific	World Understanding	ARC [338], OpenBookQA [339], PIQA [340], JEC-QA [341], HEAD-QA [342], WikiQA [343], ALCUNA [344], KoLA [345], SocKET [346], LMExamQA [347]
Task Specific	Contextual Understanding	QuAC [348], L-EVAL [349], LongBench [350], ZeroSCROLLS [351], LooGLE [352], CLongEval [353], Counting-Stars [354]
Task Specific	Commonsense Reasoning	CommonsenseQA [355], ECQA [356], ReCoRD [357], SocialQA [358], CREAK [359]
Task Specific	Reasoning	Chain-of-Thought Hub [360], Choice-75 [361], STRATEGYQA [362], COPA [363], PROST [364], WIQA [365]
Task Specific	Reading Comprehension	BoolQ [366], CosmosQA [367], CondaQA [368], MultiRC [369], RACE [370], C3 [371], ReClor [372], DREAM [373], SQuAD [374], HOTPOTQA [375], TriviaQA [376], Natural Questions [377], CMRC2018 [378], Adversarial QA [379], Quoref [380], DuReader Robust [381], MS-MARCO [382], DROP [383], QASPER [384]
Task Specific	Natural Language Inference & Logical Reasoning	ANLI [385], MNLI-m [386], OCNLI [387], CMNLI [322], HANS [388], WANLI [389], MultiNLI [386], SNLI [390], NeuLR [391], LogiQA [392]
Task Specific	Cross-Lingual Understanding	TyDiQA [393], MLQA [394], XNLI [395], PAWS-X [396], XCOPA [397], XWinograd [398], MLSum [399], XTREME [400], WikiLingua [401], MARC [402]
Task Specific	Truthfulness & Fact Checking	FACTOR [403], FActScore [404], FactualityPrompt [405], FreshQA [406], HaluQA [407], HaluEval [408], TruthfulQA [409], UHGEval [410], RealTime QA [411], FairEval [412], MultiFC [413]
Task Specific	Biases and Ethics	ETHOS [414], StereoSet [415], BBQ [416], Winobias [417], CrowS-Pairs [418]
Task Specific	VToxicity	RealToxicityPrompts [419], Safety-Prompts [420], SafetyBench [421], TRUST-GPT [422], HELM [423]
Task Specific	Robustness	PromptBench [424]
Task Specific	Dialogue	Empathetic Dialogues [425], ConvAI2 [425]
Task Specific	Text Classification, Generation, Translation	RAFT [426], DART [427], E2E [428], NLLB [429]
Task Specific	Text Summarizing	CNewSum [430], XL-Sum [431], WikiHow [432], MediaSum [433]
Task Specific	Out of Distribution Understanding	GLUE-X [434], BOSS [435]
Task Specific	Elementary Task	LMentry [436]
Task Specific	Multi-Task	BBH [437], BIG-bench [438], decaNLP [439], AlignBench [440], CommonGen [441], MMLU [442]
Application Specific	Medical	cMedQA2 [443], PsyQA [444], WebMedQA [445], PubMedQA [446], MedNLI [447], CBLUE [448], HuaTuo26M [449], MultiMedQA [450], METS-CoV [451]
Application Specific	Law	CUAD [452], LAiW [453], LawBench [454], LegalBench [455], LexGLUE [456], LEXTREME [457], SCALE [458]
Application Specific	Mathematics	GSM8K [459], SVAMP [460], ASDiv [461], MATH [462], Ape210K [463], Math23K [464], MathQA [465], AQUA-RAT [466], NaturalProofs [467], MGSM [468], Multi-Arith [469], AS-Div [461], MAWPS [470], TabMWP [471], LILA [472], MiniF2F-v1 [473]
Application Specific	Finance	BBF-CFLEB [254], FinEval [474], FLUE [475], FinBen [476]
Application Specific	IT	Owl-Bench [321]
Application Specific	Geo Science	GeoBench [477]
Application Specific	Coding	BIRD [478], CodeXGLUE [479], DS-1000 [480], HumanEval [481], HumanEval-Pack [482], MTPB [483], ODEX [484], APPS [462], MBPP [485], DuSQL [486], CSpider [487], Spider [488]
Application Specific	General Science	Chemical Reactions [489], AminoProbe [489], BioLAMA [489], Galaxy Clusters [489], Mineral Groups [489], SciQ [490]
Domain Specific	Tools	API-Bank [491], APIBench [492], ToolBench [493], ToolEyes [494]
Domain Specific	Multit-Modal	MVBench [300], OlympiadBench [495], MMMU [496], MMT-Bench [497], MM-NIAH [498], MultiTrust [499], MMIU [500]

ChatGPT. Studies on the use of ChatGPT for log-based anomaly detection are still lacking, nevertheless. The authors of [504] suggested LogGPT, a log-based anomaly detection system based on ChatGPT, to close this gap. LogGPT seeks to investigate the transferability of knowledge from large-scale corpora to log-based anomaly detection by utilizing ChatGPT's language interpretation skills. LogGPT exhibits strong interpretability and yields encouraging findings.

Using GPT-3 language models, the authors of [505] suggest a unique method for log anomaly identification. They turn log data into a language model that can spot odd patterns and anomalies by using the word embedding and tokenizer features of GPT-3. Their suggested approach can be combined with software release management procedures to enhance quality control and automatically identify abnormalities.

Through extensive data analysis, the study [506] seeks to improve pretrained LLMs' ability to identify abnormalities and vulnerabilities. We have created a vulnerability detector based on the ChatGPT 3.5 model that performs ordinal vulnerability assessment.

The study [507] investigates how LLM—specifically, BERTs—can be applied to intrusion detection systems. With the emergence of sophisticated cyber threats, there is an increasing need to design sophisticated intrusion detection systems (IDS). The study suggests a unique paradigm for identifying abnormal activity and extracting valuable information from network data using BERT. Through network data transformation into a format compatible with natural language, the model efficiently identifies patterns that conventional IDS often misses.

The authors of [508] offer a novel solution to this problem in accordance with IDS by fusing SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values with LLMs. This technique uses the CICIDS2017 dataset to show how the combination makes it easier to generate human-understandable explanations for anomalies that are found, with the goal of improving transparency and trust in IDS. Significant aspects indicated by SHAP values are articulated by the LLM effectively, providing logical answers for important determinants of model results.

As the benchmark for AI quality analysis, a refined GPT-based sentiment analysis model is initially built and examined in [509]. Subsequently, the data adequacy quality analysis is carried out, which involves using the content-based approach to produce reasonable adversarial review comments as the incorrectly-annotated data and creating approaches based on surprise adequacy (SA) to identify these abnormal data. By integrating LLMs with computer vision systems, the technology can describe images, identify objects,

and even detect anomalies in visual data. For example, the paper [510] explores the use of LLMs for zero-shot anomaly detection to enhance secure visual navigation. The proposed framework detects anomalies in camera frames, provides concise audio descriptions of these anomalies, and supports safe navigation using the Yolo-World object detection model and customized prompts. This approach leverages the strengths of LLMs and open-vocabulary object detection to dynamically adapt to changing scenarios, improving over traditional visual navigation methods.

Large Vision-Language Models (LVLMs) excel at identifying common objects due to their extensive training datasets. However, they struggle with specialized domain knowledge and localized object details, making them less effective for Industrial Anomaly Detection (IAD). Current IAD methods typically produce anomaly scores and require manual threshold setting to distinguish between normal and abnormal samples, limiting their practical application. Regarding this, the authors in [511] propose AnomalyGPT, an innovative LVLM-based approach to IAD. This method involves generating detailed written descriptions and simulating anomalous images to create training data. An image decoder provides fine-grained semantic information, while a prompt learner fine-tunes the LVLM using prompt embeddings. AnomalyGPT can directly identify the locations and presence of anomalies, eliminating the need for manual threshold adjustments.

1) Fake Detection: Despite advancements in technology, there is a notable research gap in using advanced technologies like LLMs, such as ChatGPT-3.5 and Bard, for fake news detection. ChatGPT and LLMs can aid in fake news detection by analyzing textual data for patterns and inconsistencies, assessing news credibility, and providing real-time analysis by cross-referencing reliable sources. The study [512] aims to bridge this gap by exploring and evaluating the effectiveness of combining traditional machine learning techniques with ChatGPT-3.5 and LLM judgments for identifying fake news. The superior performance of this model is attributed to the inclusion of ChatGPT-3.5's authenticity assessments, which underscore the importance of nuanced linguistic patterns in distinguishing between real and fake news.

In the study [513], ChatGPT is utilized to neutralize text, enabling the authors to compare the original and neutralized versions. This comparison reveals differences, such as varying rates of sentiment word usage, which can help identify fake news. They observed that fake news generally contains more sentimental, particularly negative, language than real news. Leveraging this insight can improve fake news detection outcomes.

The coherence between an image and two captions, as well as between two captions, is assessed by the authors in [514] using the LLM structures. Initially, the technique uses an IoU value to assess the image-caption coherence. Next, it estimates a similarity vector using S-BERT and BERT-large models. Furthermore, based on a collection of thoughtfully created features, they employ the GPT-3.5 model to create a discriminative vector that depicts the semantic relationship between the captions.

Given the rise of fake news on social media, the authors in [192] aim to identify accurate information on Twitter. They collected true news from mainstream newspaper Twitter accounts and fake news from Twitter verification platforms, preprocessing the data using the Zemberek NLP tool to create the TR-FaRe-News dataset. They applied ensemble approaches and vectorization techniques like BoW, TF-IDF, and Word2Vec to this dataset for fake news detection. Furthermore, they adjusted a pre-trained BERT deep learning model and explored different model iterations, incorporating CNN layers and Bi-LSTM with frozen and unfrozen parameter techniques, to enhance detection accuracy.

The authors of [515] introduce an innovative method for swiftly identifying fake and LLM-generated profiles on LinkedIn before connections are made. Early detection is crucial for maintaining platform integrity by preventing imposters from accessing sensitive user data and building credibility for future phishing and scamming activities. They utilize textual data from LinkedIn profiles and propose the Section and Subsection Tag Embedding (SSTE) technique, which enhances the discriminative properties of profile data. This technique effectively differentiates between authentic profiles and those created by imposters, whether manually or through automated LLMs.

B. Conversation Development and Chatbot

One significant advancement in AI is the emergence of several chatbots that can simulate human-like speech. Since these "fluid conversationalists" have already passed multiple human-designed exams and have been employed by students in winter and autumn 2022 exams, their appearance has piqued the interest of many people, particularly professors. In the following, ChatGPT, the most visible member of this family, is used to dispel some common misconceptions about these programs [516]. ChatGPT facilitates the growth of conversations by offering responses that are contextually appropriate, guaranteeing seamless and captivating exchanges. It can mimic various conversational situations, which aids in the improvement of dialogue systems by developers. Furthermore, ChatGPT provides instantaneous feedback

and recommendations for enhancing the coherence, flow, and engagement of conversations.

The study [517] investigates the main elements, difficulties, and uses of such systems as they relate to abstractive summarization and large-language modeling techniques for question-answering. It highlights the application of large language models for conversational AI and abstractive summarization, such as GPT-3. Several model variations, including the Flan T5 and LaMini Flan T5, are used in this article. They also go into moral issues and the appropriate application of these technologies, stressing openness, reducing bias, and protecting user privacy.

In order to comprehend the significance of library versions in discussions pertaining to code, the authors in [518] examine DevGPT, a dataset comprising over 4,000 Developer- ChatGPT interactions. They measure the frequency with which library version restrictions are brought up in discussions about code and the instances in which ChatGPT suggests installing particular libraries. To ensure higher-quality responses, version limits are typically suggested by users during talks rather than being set by ChatGPT.

In order to gain a better understanding of how developers pinpoint regions in code that require modification and how ChatGPT meets those needs, the authors of [519] examine discussions between developers and ChatGPT pertaining to refactoring. Their method is based on looking into developers' clear refactoring intentions and text mining refactoring-related conversations from 17,913 ChatGPT prompts and responses.

In order to investigate how software developers engage with ChatGPT, a well-known LLM, the study [520] presents DevGPT, a dataset that has been carefully selected. The dataset contains 29,778 ChatGPT questions and responses, 19,106 code snippets, and is connected to related software development artifacts like discussions, pull requests, issues, source code, and Hacker News threads. DevGPT makes it possible to investigate developer inquiries, the efficiency of ChatGPT in generating code and resolving issues, and the more general applications of artificial intelligence in programming.

The authors [521] share the findings of an empirical study that found trends in the conversations developers have with LLMs in order to provide a study aimed at understanding how developers interact with and use LLMs. In their talks with LLMs, they discovered a total of 19 topics that explained the developers' goals.

In [522], the authors suggest combining BERT and RoBERTa to perform Emotion Recognition in Conversations (ERC) in order to provide classifiers based on Large Language Models that are easier to understand and more straightforward. In order to produce

contextually embedded utterance representations, they suggest feeding the utterances along with their prior convergenceal turns to a pre-trained RoBERTa. These representations would then be fed to an updated Fuzzy Fingerprint classification module.

The goal of the study [523] is to strengthen ChatGPT's ability to comprehend and react to visual input by exploring the integration of picture captioning approaches. The paper delves deeply into the use of deep learning models, including MobileNet, ResNet50, LSTM, DenseNet121, and MobileNetv2, in the context of image captioning. In particular, a thorough analysis is carried out on a Recurrent Neural Network that uses LSTM as a decoder and a Convolutional Neural Network that uses ResNet as an encoder. These fusions use image characteristics and words to create accurate and insightful descriptions of visual material.

It might be difficult for non-native speakers to become fluent and locate chances for language practice. The developers of [524] offer a fresh solution to these problems by creating a language matching algorithm and a fluency detection model. The fluency detection model evaluates non-native speakers' fluency using machine learning approaches. A linguistic matching algorithm that seeks to pair non-native speakers with native speakers for language practice is another addition to the fluency identification model.

The authors of [525] examine a ChatGPT-based Japanese speech dialogue practice system that provides personalized language instruction. They replicate Japanese speech with standard pronunciation, accents, and varied speech rates to train dialogue generation models. Using voice synthesis, they simulate speech traits of different speakers and implement virtual dialogues. The system integrates speech recognition and a pronunciation assessment tool to provide immediate feedback to students. They aim to enhance ChatGPT's understanding and production of speech dialogues in everyday, affective, and contextual conversations, offering students a tailored learning experience through real-time interactions and feedback.

Advanced methods of holding free-flowing conversations or debates between humans and LLMs yield far more fascinating outcomes, showcasing problematic rhetorical behaviors like evasion, circular arguments, self-contradictions, topic changes, inconsistent positions, and the combination of passive aggression and attempts to appease the human disputant. During a ChatGPT debate session about translating Japanese song lyrics, the writers of [526] share their unique observation of this type of behavior.

In [527], the authors present an Automatic conversation model (ACC) based on the Transformer BERT ensemble model for English settings, with the goal of

enhancing the current automatic conversation model. The Transformer BERT model's deep self attention mechanism and pre-trained contextual comprehension abilities allow it to better capture the relationship between texts and phrases, which enhances the dialogue model's performance. In terms of accuracy and efficiency, the Transformer BERT integrated model-based ACC automatic conversation model performs better than other benchmark models.

The software development community's quick adoption of ChatGPT has created new opportunities to investigate the qualitative and quantitative effects of the platform on Developer- ChatGPT talks. In order to conduct a comprehensive analysis, the writers of [528] dig into a rich dataset from GitHub and Hacker News. Characterizing the nature of these interactions and assessing ChatGPT's use in refactoring are among their goals. They use a combination of exploratory data analysis and data annotation to accomplish these goals, extracting important information with the help of keyword filters.

C. Healthcare

ChatGPT and LLMs assist in healthcare by providing accurate medical information, supporting diagnosis through symptom analysis, and aiding in patient communication. They can analyze vast amounts of medical data to identify patterns and assist in personalized treatment plans. Additionally, these models help streamline administrative tasks, enhancing overall healthcare efficiency. To provide an understanding of what AI-based systems in the healthcare industry look like, medical images will be interpreted utilizing Deep Learning, Generative AI-based LLMs, and NLP for Healthcare Records [529].

More applications of LLM in biological and healthcare, education, social, business, and agricultural is then covered by the authors in [2].

Effective management of Parkinson's disease (PD) requires vigilant monitoring, particularly as the condition progresses and impacts patients' and families' quality of life. The creators of AutoHealth, introduced in [530], offer an advanced Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) solution leveraging smartwatches with sophisticated features and biosensors. AutoHealth employs vector-based learning AI models to continuously track the movement patterns of PD patients, enabling precise and personalized early detection, ongoing monitoring, and rehabilitation management. Additionally, an AI chatbot enhances user engagement by responding to patients' text and voice queries, providing personalized advice in real-time.

The healthcare sector requires LLMs that adhere

to regulations, ensure privacy, and offer robust security [531]. In [532], the authors explore various multimodal LLMs and the associated security and privacy issues that must be addressed. The study highlights security and privacy vulnerabilities identified by researchers and regulatory bodies and discusses protective measures such as federated learning, differential privacy, and monitoring LLM procedures to mitigate these risks.

The authors of [533] explore the application of ChatGPT in various medical contexts, demonstrating its potential to write clinical vignettes, patient discharge summaries, and radiology reports, as well as identify cardiovascular disease prevention strategies. They argue that ChatGPT can revolutionize medical education, empower patients with personalized healthcare information, and streamline several healthcare tasks by leveraging its language generation and processing capabilities. This includes digitizing clinical notes and enhancing diagnostic accuracy.

Relying on trust and the threat of hostile assaults highlight the necessity of deploying robust security measures with ChatGPT and AI, paying special attention to logical and morally sound products [534].

1) Diagnostic Assistance: Providing differential diagnoses: ChatGPT, and LLM, are developed to simulate human conversation and respond to questions from various fields, including healthcare. Trained on vast web content, it provides text-based answers and serves as a valuable information source. However, it is not a substitute for a healthcare provider's expertise and personalized care. Instead, ChatGPT aims to enhance information accessibility and complement healthcare services [535]. While it is useful for general principles and educational resources, it is essential to consult licensed healthcare providers for medical advice or diagnosis, as they can consider individual circumstances and interpret complex medical data [536].

2) Patient Communication: LLM contribution in patient communications includes medical advice, care instructions, medication reminders, and appointment scheduling. The domains of medication advising and adverse drug response prediction present great potential for the rapidly developing field of LLMs. In spite of this, current LLMs find it difficult to handle complex polypharmacy situations. The study [537] introduces ShennongGPT, an advanced LLM designed for reliable medication advising and predicting adverse drug responses. ShennongGPT utilizes a two-stage training process: initially, it learns fundamental drug interaction knowledge from condensed drug databases; subsequently, it simulates human-like decision-making

using real patient data to enhance the relevance and applicability of its recommendations. This dual strategy enables ShennongGPT to better predict potential adverse drug interactions and provide personalized medication advice, significantly improving pharmaceutical safety and the overall quality of healthcare services.

The difficulties in implementing LLMs in medical chatbots for chronic disease self-management are covered in the paper [538]. As a result, the authors describe an architecture that was created especially to address problems with privacy, clinical trials, and reliability. A locally deployed LLM using open-source models and a filtering system for sensitive data with an external LLM are contrasted as ways to prevent data leakage.

Multi-agent framework with an LLM foundation that is intended to automate certain administrative tasks in therapeutic environments. These LLM agents work together to deconstruct tasks, interpret instructions, and carry out a workflow's series of activities. Their capabilities encompass not just database-level documentation execution but also direct web-based electronic medical record (EMR) platform operation [539].

The authors of [540] present a brand-new technique for accurate and efficient call segmentation and topic extraction termed SegLLM. There are offline and online phases in SegLLM. Using a LLM, the offline phase generates a distribution of synthetic sentences for each topic after it is applied to a specified list of topics. The similarity between the transcribed conversation and the topic anchors identified in the offline phase is scored in the online phase, which is applied to each call individually.

The authors of [541] utilize the advanced Llama2 model to develop a medical chatbot that emphasizes instance training through the addition and modification of metadata, enabling it to continually update and stay current with medical advancements. This chatbot can respond to inquiries and extract information from a comprehensive meta-dataset, establishing itself as a reliable source of information. Its extensive understanding of medical terminology allows it to provide accurate and timely responses to medical questions, enhancing its credibility and usefulness as a medical resource.

The possible effects of LLMs on pharmacy education and practice are examined by the writers in [542]. They use a sample of 137 multiple-choice questions from the NAPLEX test to assess the LLMs. Through its individual user interfaces, GPT-3, GPT-4, and Bard are given these questions. The answers produced by the LLMs are then compared to the answer key.

D. Code Generation and Completion

By comprehending natural language prompts and producing context-aware, executable code snippets, LLMs such as ChatGPT are used for code creation and completion. They help with things like restructuring code, creating boilerplate in various programming languages, and autocompleting methods. The authors of [197] provide Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)-T5, a novel pretraining paradigm that makes use of the AST to enhance code generation, translation, and comprehension. While their AST-Aware Span Corruption aim provides the paradigm with the means to reassemble various code structures, their AST-Aware Segmentation uses adaptive programming to maintain code structure. The authors in [310] present AlphaCode, a code generation system capable of coming up with original answers to these issues that call for more complex thinking.

Lai *et al.* in [480] introduce DS-1000, a benchmark for code creation that covers seven Python libraries and includes 1,000 data science tasks taken from Stack-Overflow. It has a wide range of useful applications, a very accurate automatic evaluation system with a 1.8% error rate, and problem-modification protections against memorizing.

ODEX is an open-domain NL-to-Python dataset that supports multiple languages and contains 945 NL-Code pairs from 79 libraries and 1,707 test cases. It shows behavioral differences between the best code models, with CODEGEN getting better with scale and CODEX performing better overall [484].

1) *Software Development:* ChatGPT enhances software development by automating processes like code creation, documentation generation, and debugging, improving efficiency and productivity. The rapid uptake of ChatGPT by the software development community has opened up new avenues for generating code snippets, suggesting completions, debugging on the Developer-ChatGPT discussions [528].

E. Content Creation

The authors in [389] suggest a collaborative approach between humans and AI for creating datasets that combines human assessment with the creative capabilities of GPT-3. They find difficult reasoning patterns using MultiNLI as a foundation, produce analogous examples, and then improve them with human input.

1) *Marketing and Social:* Although social media data [231] is essential for scientific research, it necessitates a high level of processing capacity and technical know-how. Despite being easier to use than Facebook or Twitter, Reddit, a well-known research network, nonetheless poses technical difficulties for data collecting. These days, datasets are regarded as important research tools. A thorough, continuously updated history

of Reddit data since its birth is provided by the Pushshift Reddit dataset. Researchers can save time on data preparation and collecting by using its search, aggregation, and analysis features [232]. In order to protect user information and platform integrity, the authors [515] provide a technique to identify phony and LLM-generated LinkedIn profiles during registration. With little training data, they provide the Section and Subsection Tag Embedding (SSTE) approach, which achieves approximately 90% accuracy for LLM-generated profiles and approximately 95% accuracy for phony profiles. For this study, they also make available the first sizable LinkedIn dataset.

F. Education and Tutoring

ChatGPT improves software development by automating processes like code creation, documentation generation, and debugging, improving efficiency and productivity. It helps for generating study materials, lessonplans, and, articles There is a revolution taking place as machines and gadgets are being created to comprehend, assess, and analyze languages employing LLMs [2].

A free and open-source LLM-based chatbot for education, EduChat1 provides teachers, students, and parents with individualized, equitable, and encouraging conversations. It pre-trains on educational data and fine-tunes using system prompts to improve features like Q&A, essay assessment, Socratic teaching, and emotional support [317].

The authors of [533] explore the application of ChatGPT in various medical contexts, demonstrating its potential to write clinical vignettes, patient discharge summaries, and radiology reports, as well as identify cardiovascular disease prevention strategies.

The possible effects of LLMs on pharmacy education and practice are examined by the writers in [542]. To evaluate the LLMs, they utilize a sample of 137 multiple-choice questions taken from the NAPLEX exam. Through each user. These questions are presented to Bard, GPT-3, GPT-4, and interfaces. The LLMs' responses are then contrasted with the answer key.

1) *Language Learning:* Using language learning, one can easily perform grammar correction, vocabulary building, conversations. OpenAI and Google AI developed language processing based on contextual word embedding, GPT [22], and BERT [12] using transformers. In addition to creating robust test suites that enable the investigation and comparison of language-specific approaches, the Cross-Language Evaluation Forum has promoted research in text retrieval strategies for many European languages [41] and also in text similarity detection [57]. Chinese language [322] and [324] and Chinese biomedical language [448] processing and understanding are also explored separately using LLMs .

2) *Academic Research and Summarizing research papers*: Academic research involves in-depth investigation of subjects for new knowledge. ChatGPT simplifies complex academic material into understandable summaries, aiding in the comprehension of key ideas and conclusions. MAP-Neo is a 7B parameter bilingual LLM that is totally open-source and was trained using 4.5 trillion high-quality tokens [211]. Its pre-training corpus, data pipeline, checkpoints, and training framework are all fully transparent, and its performance is comparable to that of the most advanced models. This paradigm encourages more innovation and promotes transparency in LLM research.

A collection of 81.1 million scholarly works from many fields, S2ORC includes structured full text for 8.1 million open-access papers, metadata, abstracts, and resolved references. It has inline annotations for figures, tables, and citations that are connected to their original sources. Research in academic text mining is supported by S2ORC, the largest public collection of machine-readable academic text that aggregates papers from multiple publishers [230].

3) *Education: Assisting students*: Education is crucial for professional and personal growth, and programs like ChatGPT offer personalized assistance for studying, understanding complex subjects, and enhancing learning results. The study [543] explores how ChatGPT affects undergraduate students in Malaysia and finds that it is widely used for learning and assignments. Although it has a good impact on education worldwide, it also emphasizes how important it is to keep an eye on and encourage communication in order to preserve students' inventiveness and creativity.

160 students and 80 teachers participated in [544], which assessed ChatGPT versions (GPT-4o, GPT-4, GPT-3.5), MathGPT, and Gemini on 390 interactive math questions. Gemini had the lowest success rate, while GPT-4o marginally beat GPT-4, followed by MathGPT and GPT-3.5. Although they acknowledged its limits, educators and students cited ChatGPT's clear explanations, prompt response, and learning assistance as advantages. All things considered, ChatGPT is recommended as a useful resource for solitary math education.

With an emphasis on its uses, potentials, difficulties, and future possibilities, the authors in [545] study 63 papers using ChatGPT in education. Benefits including cognitive support, teaching activities, assessments, and individualized learning have been found, despite the fact that much research is non-empirical. Plagiarism, abuse, responsibility, and privacy issues are among the difficulties. While examining ChatGPT's educational potential, the article places a strong emphasis on maintaining academic integrity and student learning.

With features like idea generation, language acquisition,

and individualized support, ChatGPT—which OpenAI introduced in November 2022—offers substantial educational advantages. But issues like excessive dependence and a lack of creativity need to be addressed. In order to improve learning, educators should help students use ChatGPT responsibly by fusing its results with their own observations [546].

By asking 102 high school and college students about their familiarity, usage, effectiveness, and attitudes toward telling teachers about ChatGPT, the study [547] investigates the educational impact of the app. The study stresses the significance of carefully using AI to foster critical thinking and intellectual development, while also outlining advantages and difficulties.

4) *Writing Assistance*: Writing aids enhance content quality, organization, and clarity by providing advice, correcting drafts, and assisting users with the writing process, such as ChatGPT. According to [548], including ChatGPT into higher education greatly improves students' descriptive writing abilities, as seen by the average scores increasing by 33.6% (from 64 to 85.5). ChatGPT raised students' passion for writing while enhancing sensory detail, coherence, and clarity. Its potential to develop academic writing abilities is highlighted by the fact that its efficacy depends on systematic instruction and careful integration with conventional approaches.

In [549], the usage of ChatGPT by students to improve their English writing abilities while avoiding plagiarism is investigated. Through qualitative techniques such as exam analysis, interviews, and observation, it seeks to guarantee that students incorporate ChatGPT into their education in a responsible and moral manner.

Using descriptive qualitative analysis, this study investigates ChatGPT's English essay writing skills [550]. Essays on a range of subjects are produced using ChatGPT while preserving proper tenses, sentence diversity, and structure. Although efficient, more investigation is required to assess the outputs' grammatical accuracy.

The advantages and disadvantages of ChatGPT in improving high school writing abilities are investigated in [551]. Although it facilitates article idea production, issues include data accuracy, moral dilemmas, and effects on critical thinking.

5) *General Research*: For general research, ChatGPT is summarizing information and maintaining knowledge bases. A radiology report's "Impression" section provides a summary of the findings, which is frequently prone to inaccuracies. Although automatic impression generation (AIG) shows potential with deep learning models like BERT, these models struggle with generalization and require big datasets. With the help of LLMs

like ChatGPT, "ImpressionGPT" enhances AIG through iterative optimization and dynamic prompts, producing improved outcomes without the need for more training data. It offers a fresh strategy for using LLMs in specialized sectors and performs better on medical datasets than current approaches [552].

Several approaches to text summarization have been investigated, such as extractive and abstractive methods [553]. Less research has been done on how well LLM function in aspect-based summarization, though. ChatGPT's performance on four benchmark datasets is comparable to that of conventional fine-tuning techniques, according to this evaluation.

Numerous techniques, such as extractive and abstractive methods, have been proposed for text summarization. LLM-generated news summaries are comparable to human ones, according to recent studies. Using four benchmark datasets—Reddit posts, news articles, meetings, and stories—this study assesses ChatGPT and finds that its performance is on par with more conventional fine-tuning techniques based on Rouge ratings [554].

The authors of [555] use ChatGPT and assess its performance on a variety of textual tasks involving dietary supplement information. They discover that ChatGPT did a respectable job of extracting relations, simplifying, and summarizing texts. However, human evaluators reveal that ChatGPT's output loses some relevant information in roughly one-third of words.

Using four human evaluation techniques on five datasets, the authors of [556] investigate ChatGPT's capacity to carry out human-like summary evaluation. Outperforming certain automatic measures, ChatGPT successfully finished annotations utilizing pyramid, pairwise comparison, Likert scale rating, and binary factuality evaluation. Additionally, the study looks at created explanations and invalid responses, compares ChatGPT's performance with human evaluation, and assesses the effects of various prompts.

G. Language Modeling and Machine Translation

ChatGPT utilizes advanced neural network techniques for human-like writing, improving language modeling and machine translation. It enhances coherence and fluency in language modeling and reliably translates text between languages, improving communication.

1) *NLP and Improving translation:* By treating monolingual data as additional parallel data and integrating it with automatic back-translation, the study, [38] enhances neural machine translation (NMT). This method sets new state-of-the-art findings by greatly improving performance on the IWSLT 14 Turkish-English (+2.1-3.4 BLEU) and WMT 15 English-German (+2.8-3.7 BLEU) tasks. Performance on the IWSLT 15 English-German task is also improved

by fine-tuning with in-domain data. Similar study [48] shows that subword models outperform a back-off dictionary baseline, achieving a 1.1 BLEU improvement for English-German and 1.3 BLEU for English-Russian on the WMT 15 tasks.

Google's Neural Machine Translation (GNMT) system's primary contribution is its deep LSTM-based architecture, which handles unusual words with subword units (also known as "wordpieces") and attention techniques [49]. Low-precision arithmetic speeds up translation, and a focused beam search increases quality. When compared to Google's phrase-based approach, GNMT reduces translation errors by 60% and obtains competitive performance on WMT' 14 English-to-French and English-to-German.

Cross attention, or global attention [61] is mainly used in encoder-decoder architectures to find the dependencies between different positions of encoder and decoder sequences.

Local attention [62] mainly focuses on finding the dependencies of subset of sequences in the decoder part by avoiding the expensive computations.

Edit-distance [158] as the most common character-based metrics determines the minimum number of single-character adjustments (insertions, deletions, or replacements) needed to transform a word or text string into another that can be helpful when assessing spelling corrections or tasks in which fine-grained text accuracy is crucial.

Word-based metrics evaluate the quality of the generated text at the word level, providing insights into the model's linguistic capabilities. Bilingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU), Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gisting Evaluation (ROUGE), and Metric for Evaluation of Translation with Explicit Ordering (METEOR) are some the most common word-based metrics. BLEU [159] compares the LLM's output to annotated ground truths by measuring the precision of ngrams in the generated text against one or more reference texts

H. Customer Support and Answering queries

ChatGPT improves customer service by answering questions quickly and accurately and by being available around-the-clock. By streamlining communication, it increases customer happiness and solves frequent problems more quickly. The study [557] examines how prompting might enhance AI-powered customer support systems, with a particular emphasis on ChatGPT and Midjourney. Model performance, interpretability, and personalization are all improved by prompting. In order to improve consumer interactions in these systems, it emphasizes timely design and execution.

Based on transformer architecture, ChatGPT improves

patient and customer care by facilitating better communication, managing numerous requests, and offering round-the-clock assistance. It enhances patient experiences in healthcare by bridging linguistic barriers. Even though it is revolutionizing the service industries, there are still issues with guaranteeing accurate and current information [558].

The authors in [559] investigate how ChatGPT affects customer service in the hospitality sector in Krabi, Thailand. ChatGPT enhances employee abilities, overcomes language barriers, provides advice, and increases productivity, according to 15 stakeholders interviewed for the study.

The study [560] investigates how companies might use ChatGPT and other AI technologies to improve customer engagement and loyalty. It talks about how professional system development and timely engineering are required to customize ChatGPT to certain business tasks. Along with providing insights into how ChatGPT-powered expert systems may be effectively used in the business sector, the paper also identifies possible customer service application areas.

Businesses may increase customer loyalty and engagement by utilizing consumer data. AI applications have changed with the introduction of ChatGPT, although it requires modification to address certain business issues. In order to construct ChatGPT-powered expert systems for customer support, the authors in [561] explore potential applications for their efficient use in the business sector using an iterative process that combines expert system development with prompt engineering.

According to [562], ChatGPT can enhance comprehension of customer wants and satisfaction when used for consumer sentiment analysis. ChatGPT is useful for analyzing language and emotions, but for appropriate interpretation, it should be used in conjunction with human judgment. Important suggestions include properly organizing data, using appropriate datasets to train models, and using a variety of techniques to validate results.

I. Robotics and Home Automation

By offering natural language interfaces for device management, troubleshooting, and assistance, ChatGPT supports robotics and home automation. It facilitates smooth communication with automated devices, improving the user experience and increasing the intuitiveness of smart homes. In [563], a few-shot approach of employing ChatGPT to translate natural-language commands into robot behaviors is presented. While resolving ChatGPT's token limitations, customizable prompts allow for integration with robot systems, environment

adaptation, and the creation of multi-step plans. Extensive record-keeping is eliminated by reusing environmental data in planning. Tests conducted in Virtual-Home and residential settings demonstrate efficient work planning, with feedback leading to approximately 100% accuracy and executability.

As AI advances, domestic service robots are becoming more prevalent in homes. The authors in [564] study the business potential and how AI improves the decision-making capabilities of robots such as CAESAR in domestic settings. It describes the functions of the three primary AI models—speech, visual, and language recognition—as well as how they are used in everyday life, education, and healthcare.

With the use of LLMs like GPT-4, conversational agents like smart home assistants have the potential to advance sustainability. This study presents GreenIFTTT, a GPT-4-powered application for creating and controlling energy-efficient home automation routines. GreenIFTTT's effectiveness and usefulness were emphasized in an exploratory study conducted in December 2023 in Italy with 13 participants, showing that it has the potential to make home automation more ecologically friendly [565].

The study [566] offers an open-source, reasonably priced framework for automating smart homes that integrates prototype and commercial sensors for improved interoperability. It prioritizes AI-driven solutions and future advancements for users, particularly those with limited mobility, by integrating an open-source IoT stack and the OpenAI API, which allows for intelligent, context-aware decision-making.

J. Speech Recognition and Synthesis

By translating spoken language into text and producing speech that sounds natural from text, ChatGPT facilitates speech recognition and synthesis. This facilitates more interactive voice-based applications and improves communication accessibility. The necessity for a conceptual framework to comprehend the many chatbot technologies and their potential in education, especially language learning, is addressed in the study [567]. Three essential components of chatbot systems—goal-orientation, embodiment, and multimodality—are identified through an analysis of 37 studies. These components define eight different types of chatbots and twelve educational affordances.

To improve the training of Terabot, a dialogue system for psychiatric therapy, the authors in [568] investigate the use of ChatGPT. It is utilized to produce more training phrases, increasing the dataset by 112%, in order to overcome the problem of having little real-life data for such a domain-specific system. Despite difficulties with speech recognition, testing using 2802 speech recordings

from 32 patients revealed that ChatGPT-augmented data increased intent recognition accuracy by 13%, reaching 86% overall.

The authors in [569] use Transformers for text, CNNs for images, and LSTMs for voice to improve ChatGPT’s multimodal interactions. Their study highlights the significance of integrated modality processing for upcoming developments in customer service, education, and virtual assistants.

The study [570] introduces an assistive mobile application that is used by blind and visually impaired people to engage in natural conversation with ChatGPT. The application offers a user-friendly interface for smooth interaction and makes use of speech recognition, text-to-speech, keyword detection, and voice activity detection.

K. Text-based Games and Simulations

By producing dynamic narratives, interactive dialogues, and decision-driven outcomes, ChatGPT improves text-based games and simulations. With just text, it produces immersive experiences that let players to interact with intricate events and rich storytelling. The use of ChatGPT and other LLMs as creative collaborators in game design is another ChatGPT application that is explained by the authors in [571]. The authors examine whether AI support enhances, detracts from, or offers a different feature to games created by humans. Three prototype games were made: one with a simple foundation, one with features contributed by humans, and one with ChatGPT-generated aspects. To assess the games’ quality and preferences, a user study was carried out. In order to evaluate AI’s function in game design, the article examines player input and talks about how to convey creative intent to the AI.

Another application of GPT in games is presented in [572]. In this study, procedural content creation, mixed-initiative game design, mixed-initiative gameplay, playing games, and game user research are the five main uses of GPT in game research that are highlighted. With the goal of advancing game production and improving player experiences with cutting-edge AI technology, the evaluation identifies new trends and makes recommendations for future research areas in each field.

The capabilities of ChatGPT and GPT-4 in text-based games, where players engage with the game environment through dialogue, are examined in [573]. ChatGPT performs competitively, however, it still has some small drawbacks, like the inability to infer game objectives, exploit prior information, or construct a world model.

The first ChatGPT Game Jam, which examined the application of LLMs in game development and took place in May 2023, is the subject of [574]. OpenAI’s ChatGPT, which allows users to build original games using text prompts, has drawn a lot of interest since its November

2022 release. In addition to offering insights on the process’s present potential and constraints, the event sought to embrace and explore LLM-based game development. The study [575] examines how 16 education scholars interpret papers generated by ChatGPT or humans using Turing’s Imitation Game. The intricacy of AI technology was demonstrated by the fact that scholars could only recognize AI-generated texts 50% of the time. Their choices were mostly impacted by voice, word choice, structure, job completion, and flow. The results show how important it is to develop ways to help teachers better handle AI’s position in the classroom.

As a result of ChatGPT contribution in game area, the research [576] investigates biases in story endings generated by ChatGPT for story-driven games. Despite instructions for neutral endings, ChatGPT consistently produces positive ones, reflecting potential societal biases or majority preferences.

L. Text Classification and Moderation

To tackle the issues, including a lack of training data, poor domain transferability, and the high cost of deploying large models, the study [577] investigates ChatGPT’s potential in agricultural text classification. Important elements like answer parsing and prompt creation are examined. The findings demonstrate that ChatGPT successfully addresses these problems, outperforming refined PLM-based techniques without the need for training data unique to agriculture. The authors in [578] present a system that improves interpretability, hence augmenting ChatGPT’s text categorization capabilities with an interpretable linear classifier. It uses ChatGPT to extract structured information from raw data and display it as a knowledge graph. The method performs better for text categorization than using ChatGPT directly.

The Banking77 dataset is used in the study [579] to examine the effectiveness of GPT models for few-shot text categorization in the financial industry. Using in-context learning with GPT-3.5 and GPT-4, it provides accurate results without requiring expensive GPU compute or technical know-how. Even with fewer instances, the results show that GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 perform better than fine-tuned non-generative models.

The authors in [580] use both automatic measures and human evaluations to assess ChatGPT’s abstractive summarization ability. Humans find it difficult to distinguish between genuine and ChatGPT-generated summaries, but computer classifiers are able to do so.

With an emphasis on model parameters, prompt modifications, and repeated inputs, the study [581] investigates ChatGPT’s zero-shot text annotation and classification consistency. The results show that ChatGPT’s consistency falls short of scientific

dependability criteria when it comes to the task of identifying website texts as news or not.

1) Email Filtering: By examining incoming messages and classifying them according to their content, urgency, or relevance, ChatGPT helps with email filtering. It enhances overall email management, lowers spam, and helps prioritize important emails. Using datasets in Chinese and English, the study [582] investigates ChatGPT's capacity to detect spam emails through in-context learning. The study assesses the effects of changing the quantity of prompt demonstrations on performance. ChatGPT beats deep supervised learning models on the low-resource Chinese dataset but performs worse on a big English dataset when compared to benchmark techniques such as naive Bayes, SVM, LR, DNN, and BERT classifiers. The study [583] identifies emails based on phishing patterns using ChatGPT's machine learning and natural language processing capabilities, reaching a 98.4% sensitivity rate and 75.75% accuracy. Although it needs more work, ChatGPT exhibits promise when compared to more conventional rule-based techniques and programs like FortiSandbox. The study identifies areas for improvement to improve email security while highlighting AI's potential to mitigate phishing risks. The efficacy of SpamAssassin, a well-known Bayesian spam filter, against spam emails altered by LLMs such as GPT-3.5 Turbo is assessed in [584]. According to the findings, SpamAssassin identified a serious flaw by incorrectly classifying up to 73.7% of LLM-modified spam emails as authentic. Simple dictionary-replacement attacks, on the other hand, only succeeded 0.4% of the time.

2) Content Moderation: Although content moderation systems are crucial for maintaining user safety on online social networks, they frequently fall short in providing equitable attention to the requirements of minorities and vulnerable users; therefore, filtering inappropriate content is crucial. Furthermore, these systems have trouble with user-platform communication and customisation. The authors of [585] suggest improving content moderation systems by including LLMs into the enforcement pipeline in order to address these problems. The study [586] investigates ChatGPT's capacity to identify harmful content on social media by contrasting its output with human annotations for content that is Hateful, Offensive, and Toxic (HOT). ChatGPT classified non-HOT content consistently and with an accuracy of roughly 80%. But "hateful" and "offensive" are sometimes grouped under "toxic." Although prompt choice affects ChatGPT's performance, the results

indicate that it can help with content control.

The study [587] assesses LLMs for content moderation, including Google Gemini, GPT, OpenAI's moderation model, and Meta Llama. The study demonstrates that LLMs perform better than conventional techniques using datasets like tweets, reviews, and multimedia, providing greater accuracy and fewer errors, underscoring their potential for usage in social media and other platform content moderation.

The optimization of LLMs for the implementation of private content moderation is studied in [588]. In content moderation, it contrasts generative and discriminative models and shows how reasoning can be used to minimize overfitting even when reasoning is not output explicitly during deployment. The study offers a comprehensive method for optimizing LLMs for vertical domain applications, covering everything from data gathering to model training.

M. Text Generation

ChatGPT uses advanced language modeling to generate human-like text for applications ranging from automatic responses to creative writing, providing logical and contextually relevant information. In [589], the authors investigate the usage of LLMs for zero-shot graph-to-text creation. Using two datasets, it compares the performance of GPT-3 and ChatGPT with refined models such as T5 and BART. The AGENDA and WebNLG datasets' respective BLEU ratings of 10.57 and 11.08 demonstrate the ability of generative models to generate language that is coherent and fluid. Error analysis, however, identifies problems with producing distorted or unnecessary information and comprehending semantic linkages. High macro-F1 scores are also obtained when BERT is employed to detect machine-generated text.

The study [590] explores how students may abuse ChatGPT and other similar systems for evaluations, endangering academic integrity. Although it investigates watermarking methods for generated text detection, it comes to the conclusion that they are not a complete answer. The study suggests areas for additional research and promotes cooperation between the educational community and AI.

The performance of LLMs in the biomedical area is assessed in [591] using 26 datasets and 6 tasks. It indicates that LLMs pre-trained on huge text corpora are specialized even in biomedical applications, as zero-shot LLMs perform better on smaller datasets than fine-tuned models.

The study [592] assesses ChatGPT's performance in biomedical text generation and mining issues such as question answering, relation extraction, and named

entity recognition. With a BLURB score of 58.50 versus the state-of-the-art model's 84.30, ChatGPT demonstrated both its efficacy and limitations in the comprehension and creation of biomedical texts.

The authors in [5] introduce a novel dataset, HPPT, which improves detectors for human-machine collaboration-generated texts, and proposes the "Polish Ratio" to measure ChatGPT's modification to original human text.

N. Emotion

ChatGPT assists with activities like sentiment analysis and sympathetic replies by analyzing and interpreting emotional clues in text. Accurately identifying complex emotions and eliminating biases in its evaluations are still difficult tasks, though. Using the Dair-AI/emotion dataset, the study [593] assesses ChatGPT's NLP skills in emotion categorization, obtaining a 58% accuracy rate. This demonstrates both its advantages and disadvantages for sentiment analysis jobs.

By examining emotional understanding, parallel emotional response, and empathetic personality, the study [594] assesses ChatGPT's capacity for empathy. According to the results, ChatGPT can identify emotions 91.7% of the time and respond with similar sentiments 70.7% of the time. Although its empathy scores are lower than those of healthy people, they are higher than those of people with high-functioning autism or Asperger syndrome.

Through the analysis of voice and facial expressions in video streams, the study [595] investigates the integration of AI for mental health assessments. It draws attention to how ChatGPT and collaborative robots, or cobots, can improve communication with kids who have autism. Tested using the IEMOCAP database, a unique emotional recognition technique shows promise for use in the medical field.

By automating transcription, annotation, and augmentation, the study [596] explores the use of basic models, such as ChatGPT, to enhance Speech Emotion Recognition (SER) systems. The findings indicate that these models combine outputs from several LLMs to improve annotation quality and improve SER performance through transcription. The study also emphasizes how annotating unlabeled speech samples can help to extend emotion datasets. The authors in [597] explore the use of LLMs for image emotion estimation by utilizing GPT-3.5 for inference and creating captions. Although accuracy varies by emotion, it is found that GPT-3.5 can predict emotions from captions with reasonable accuracy. Potential applications of LLMs in image-based emotion estimation are demonstrated by the study.

The authors in [598] introduce CLAP4Emo, a new

framework based on contrastive language-audio pretraining for speech emotion retrieval utilizing natural language prompts. For datasets lacking training captions, the technique uses ChatGPT to produce emotion captions. According to experimental findings, CLAP4Emo preserves excellent precision while enhancing emotion retrieval diversity across five benchmark datasets.

The study [599] explores how a social robot can identify emotions in conversation using ChatGPT. By using sentiment analysis to compare human and robot evaluations of emotions, it discovers that both parties generally concur on the most common feeling. The potential of incorporating emotion recognition into robot interactions was demonstrated by the robot's altered mood assessment upon receiving emotion recognition data.

In order to improve emotion identification, the authors in [600] investigate the use of ChatGPT to translate informal SNS texts into ordinary language. The study demonstrates that transformer models trained on ChatGPT-augmented emotion datasets perform better than those trained only on original texts. This method shows the promise of LLMs for NLP data augmentation by improving emotion interpretation, especially in human-robot interactions.

VI. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Bias and Fairness

To ensure that big language models such as ChatGPT are reliable and fair, bias in training data and model outputs must be addressed. Prejudices from the past, preconceptions, and uneven portrayals of various groups can all contribute to biases in training data, which can then skew model outputs and reinforce these biases. Implementing strict data preprocessing methods that find and fix imbalances and include a variety of sample datasets are crucial for reducing this. In addition, biased behavior can be identified and corrected with the support of ongoing monitoring and assessment of model outputs. In order to identify and resolve potential biases, transparent documentation and interaction with a variety of stakeholders are also essential components of ethical AI development. We can work toward more fair and reliable AI systems by addressing these problems.

B. Privacy Concerns

Deploying big language models such as ChatGPT presents significant issues, two of which are data privacy and user security. Large volumes of data, sometimes containing sensitive personal information, are frequently needed for these models, which raises questions about data breaches and illegal access. To protect user

TABLE IV: List of General and Specific application of LLMs/ ChatGPT.

General Application	Specific Application	Applied paper
Anomaly Detection	-	[502], [502]–[505], [507]–[511]
Anomaly Detection	Fake Detection	[192], [512]–[515]
Conversation Development	Chatbot	[516]–[528]
Healthcare	-	[2], [529]–[534]
Healthcare	Diagnostic Assistance	[535], [536]
Healthcare	Patient Communication	[537]–[542]
Code Generation and Completion	-	[197], [310], [480], [484]
Code Generation and Completion	Software Development	[528]
Software Development	Content Creation	[389]
Content Creation	Social Media	[231], [232], [515]
Education and Tutoring	-	[2], [317], [533], [542]
Education and Tutoring	language Learning	[12], [22], [41], [57], [75], [75], [132], [250], [322], [322]–[324], [328], [385], [434], [442], [448]
Education and Tutoring	Academic Research	[211], [230]
Education and Tutoring	Education and Assisting students	[543]–[547]
Education and Tutoring	Writing Assistance	[548]–[551]
Education and Tutoring	Research	[552]–[556]
Language Modeling/Machine Translation	NLP: Improving translation	[38], [48], [49], [61], [62], [72], [74], [77], [158], [159], [249], [429]
Customer Support	-	[557]–[562]
Automation	Robotics and Home Automation	[563]–[566]
Speech Recognition	-	[567]–[570]
Games	text-based Games	[571]–[576]
Text Classification	-	[577]–[581]
Text Classification	Email Filtering	[582]–[584]
Text Classification	Filtering inappropriate content	[585]–[588]
Text Generation	-	[589]–[592]
Emotion	-	[593]–[600]

information, strong encryption techniques and safe data storage procedures are crucial. Strict access controls and anonymization methods can also be used to further protect privacy. Retaining trust also depends on getting express user agreement and being transparent about data processing procedures. To ensure that user data is safeguarded during the model’s lifecycle, ongoing assessments and modifications to security measures are required to handle new threats and vulnerabilities.

C. Misinformation and Abuse

The usage of large language models such as ChatGPT raises serious concerns about misinformation and abuse. As a result of these algorithms’ unintentional generation or amplification of incorrect information, disinformation may propagate. This risk is increased by their capacity to generate extremely logical and convincing writing, which is often abused by dishonest people for scams, propaganda, and other destructive endeavors. The problem is further complicated by the possibility that the models will be used to produce deepfakes or personas. The implementation of strict content moderation, the development of reliable detection methods for false information, and the promotion of digital literacy among users to enable them to critically assess AI-generated content are all necessary to mitigate these hazards. To successfully handle and manage these difficulties,

it is imperative that researchers continue their work and collaborate with cybersecurity and ethics specialists.

D. Regulatory and Policy Implications

In order to control the implementation and effects of massive language models like ChatGPT, regulatory and policy consequences are essential. Clear rules on the moral application of these technologies must be established by policymakers to guarantee that they do not violate people’s right to privacy or negatively impact society. Regulations ought to cover matters like data protection, material created by AI being held accountable, and the possibility of prejudice and discrimination in AI results. In order to keep an eye on and audit the application of these models, clear reporting and supervision procedures also need to be established. Governments, IT firms, and civil society organizations must work together to develop fair policies that promote innovation while defending the interests of the general public. Early and proactive regulatory frameworks can help reduce risks and guarantee the ethical adoption of AI technologies across a range of industries.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

AI’s capacity to comprehend and produce content that is human-like has significantly improved as a result of the development of LLMs like ChatGPT. Applications in

fields ranging from customer service and healthcare to education and security are made possible by ChatGPT's remarkable linguistic capabilities, which are a result of its transformer-based architecture, pre-training approaches, and fine-tuning procedures. The broad dataset training and reinforcement learning techniques give ChatGPT a degree of flexibility that improves its conversational and problem-solving skills. ChatGPT and other LLMs face many obstacles in spite of these benefits. Algorithmic biases, privacy hazards, and the possibility of false information are examples of ethical issues that call for strict regulation and oversight. Hallucinations, in which the model produces inaccurate or misleading data, continue to be a significant drawback that academics are currently attempting to address. Model optimization approaches including parameter-efficient fine-tuning, quantization, and pruning are necessary to solve the efficiency and environmental issues raised by the significant computational resources needed to train and implement LLMs. In contrast to existing LLMs like BERT, GPT-4, and specialized domain-specific models, ChatGPT performs exceptionally well in conversational tasks, while it might not always outperform in knowledge-intensive purposes. For ChatGPT and related systems to succeed in the future, continuous developments in multimodal models, federated learning for privacy-conscious AI, and alignment strategies to improve moral AI behavior will be essential. In the future, research should concentrate on strengthening real-time adaptability, decreasing biases, improving LLM transparency, and improving user interface frameworks. For LLMs to be used responsibly, ethical standards and regulatory frameworks must change in tandem with technology. With further development, ChatGPT and its offspring could completely transform human-computer interactions and meaningfully close the gap between artificial and human intelligence.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. X. Zhao, K. Zhou, J. Li, T. Tang, X. Wang, Y. Hou, Y. Min, B. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Dong *et al.*, "A survey of large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*, 2023.
- [2] M. A. K. Raiaan, M. S. H. Mukta, K. Fatema, N. M. Fahad, S. Sakib, M. M. J. Mim, J. Ahmad, M. E. Ali, and S. Azam, "A review on large language models: Architectures, applications, taxonomies, open issues and challenges," *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [3] Z. Bimagambetova, D. Rakhymzhanov, A. Jaxylykova, and A. Pak, "Evaluating large language models for sentence augmentation in low-resource languages: A case study on kazakh," in *2023 19th International Asian School-Seminar on Optimization Problems of Complex Systems (OPCS)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 14–18.
- [4] M. U. Hadi, R. Qureshi, A. Shah, M. Irfan, A. Zafar, M. B. Shaikh, N. Akhtar, J. Wu, S. Mirjalili *et al.*, "Large language models: a comprehensive survey of its applications, challenges, limitations, and future prospects," *Authorea Preprints*, 2023.
- [5] H. Naveed, A. U. Khan, S. Qiu, M. Saqib, S. Anwar, M. Usman, N. Barnes, and A. Mian, "A comprehensive overview of large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06435*, 2023.
- [6] Y. Chang, X. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Wu, L. Yang, K. Zhu, H. Chen, X. Yi, C. Wang, Y. Wang *et al.*, "A survey on evaluation of large language models," *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1–45, 2024.
- [7] L. Wang, C. Ma, X. Feng, Z. Zhang, H. Yang, J. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Tang, X. Chen, Y. Lin *et al.*, "A survey on large language model based autonomous agents," *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1–26, 2024.
- [8] N. Zhang, Y. Yao, B. Tian, P. Wang, S. Deng, M. Wang, Z. Xi, S. Mao, J. Zhang, Y. Ni *et al.*, "A comprehensive study of knowledge editing for large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01286*, 2024.
- [9] L. Wang, M. Song, R. Rezapour, B. C. Kwon, and J. Huh-Yoo, "People's perceptions toward bias and related concepts in large language models: A systematic review," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14504*, 2023.
- [10] Y. Yao, J. Duan, K. Xu, Y. Cai, Z. Sun, and Y. Zhang, "A survey on large language model (LLM) security and privacy: The good, the bad, and the ugly," *High-Confidence Computing*, p. 100211, 2024.
- [11] C. Cui, Y. Ma, X. Cao, W. Ye, Y. Zhou, K. Liang, J. Chen, J. Lu, Z. Yang, K.-D. Liao *et al.*, "A survey on multimodal large language models for autonomous driving," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 2024, pp. 958–979.
- [12] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [13] J. R. Bellegarda, "Statistical language model adaptation: review and perspectives," *Speech communication*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 93–108, 2004.
- [14] A. Pauls and D. Klein, "Faster and smaller n-gram language models," in *Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 2011, pp. 258–267.
- [15] J. T. Chiu and A. M. Rush, "Scaling hidden markov language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.04640*, 2020.
- [16] X. Yang, L.-F. Zhai, M.-H. Siu, and H. Gish, "Improved language identification using support vector machines for language modeling," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2006.
- [17] F. Peng, D. Schuurmans, and S. Wang, "Augmenting naive bayes classifiers with statistical language models," *Information Retrieval*, vol. 7, pp. 317–345, 2004.
- [18] J. Xiao and Z. Zhou, "Research progress of rnn language model," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Applications (ICAICA)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1285–1288.
- [19] M. Sundermeyer, R. Schlüter, and H. Ney, "LSTM neural networks for language modeling," in *Interspeech*, vol. 2012, 2012, pp. 194–197.
- [20] E. Kasneci, K. Seßler, S. Küchemann, M. Bannert, D. Dementieva, F. Fischer, U. Gasser, G. Groh, S. Günemann, E. Hüllermeier *et al.*, "Chatgpt for good? on opportunities and challenges of large language models for education," *Learning and individual differences*, vol. 103, p. 102274, 2023.
- [21] M. E. Peters, M. Neumann, M. Iyyer, M. Gardner, C. Clark, K. Lee, and L. Zettlemoyer, "Deep contextualized word representations," in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*. New Orleans, Louisiana: Association for Computational Linguistics, Jun. 2018, pp. 2227–2237.
- [22] A. Radford, K. Narasimhan, T. Salimans, I. Sutskever *et al.*, "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training," 2018.
- [23] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," in *North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2019.
- [24] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. u. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is

- all you need,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, Eds., vol. 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- [25] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, and I. Sutskever, “Language models are unsupervised multitask learners,” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:160025533>
- [26] Z. Yang, Z. Dai, Y. Yang, J. Carbonell, R. R. Salakhutdinov, and Q. V. Le, “Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d’Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, Eds., vol. 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.
- [27] Y. Liu, M. Ott, N. Goyal, J. Du, M. Joshi, D. Chen, O. Levy, M. Lewis, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, “RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/1907.11692, 2019.
- [28] C. Raffel, N. Shazeer, A. Roberts, K. Lee, S. Narang, M. Matena, Y. Zhou, W. Li, and P. J. Liu, “Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 21, no. 140, pp. 1–67, 2020.
- [29] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, S. Agarwal, A. Herbert-Voss, G. Krueger, T. Henighan, R. Child, A. Ramesh, D. Ziegler, J. Wu, C. Winter, C. Hesse, M. Chen, E. Sigler, M. Litwin, S. Gray, B. Chess, J. Clark, C. Berner, S. McCandlish, A. Radford, I. Sutskever, and D. Amodei, “Language models are few-shot learners,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. Balcan, and H. Lin, Eds., vol. 33. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020, pp. 1877–1901.
- [30] A. Ramesh, M. Pavlov, G. Goh, S. Gray, C. Voss, A. Radford, M. Chen, and I. Sutskever, “Zero-shot text-to-image generation,” in *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, M. Meila and T. Zhang, Eds., vol. 139. PMLR, 18–24 Jul 2021, pp. 8821–8831.
- [31] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark, G. Krueger, and I. Sutskever, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2021.
- [32] D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin, *Speech and language processing : an introduction to natural language processing, computational linguistics, and speech recognition*. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2009.
- [33] C. D. Manning, P. Raghavan, and H. Schütze, *Introduction to information retrieval*. Cambridge university press, 2008.
- [34] Z. Dai, Z. Yang, Y. Yang, J. G. Carbonell, Q. V. Le, and R. Salakhutdinov, “Transformer-XL: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context,” in *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2019.
- [35] S. Y. Feng, V. Gangal, J. Wei, S. Chandar, S. Vosoughi, T. Mitamura, and E. Hovy, “A survey of data augmentation approaches for NLP,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 968–988.
- [36] J. Wei and K. Zou, “EDA: Easy data augmentation techniques for boosting performance on text classification tasks,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 6382–6388.
- [37] S. Kobayashi, “Contextual augmentation: Data augmentation by words with paradigmatic relations,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 452–457.
- [38] R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, and A. Birch, “Improving Neural Machine Translation Models with Monolingual Data,” in *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Berlin, Germany: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, pp. 86–96.
- [39] J. J. Webster and C. Kit, “Tokenization as the initial phase in NLP,” in *International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, 1992.
- [40] S. J. Mielke, Z. Alyafeai, E. Salesky, C. Raffel, M. Dey, M. Gallé, A. Raja, C. Si, W. Y. Lee, B. Sagot, and S. Tan, “Between words and characters: A brief history of open-vocabulary modeling and tokenization in nlp,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2112.10508, 2021.
- [41] P. McNamee and J. Mayfield, “Character n-gram tokenization for european language text retrieval,” *Information retrieval*, vol. 7, pp. 73–97, 2004.
- [42] E. Ribeiro, R. Ribeiro, and D. M. de Matos, “A study on dialog act recognition using character-level tokenization,” in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence: Methodology, Systems, and Applications*. Springer, 2018, pp. 93–103.
- [43] D. Wang, Y. Li, J. Jiang, Z. Ding, G. Jiang, J. Liang, and D. Yang, “Tokenization matters! degrading large language models through challenging their tokenization,” 2024.
- [44] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. S. Corrado, and J. Dean, “Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:5959482>
- [45] S. Moon and N. Okazaki, “Effects and mitigation of out-of-vocabulary in universal language models,” *Journal of Information Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 490–503, 2021.
- [46] T. Kudo, “Subword regularization: Improving neural network translation models with multiple subword candidates,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.10959*, 2018.
- [47] T. Kudo and J. Richardson, “Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing,” in *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2018.
- [48] R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, and A. Birch, “Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units,” in *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, pp. 1715–1725.
- [49] B. Zhang, “Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144*, 2016.
- [50] T. Kudo and J. Richardson, “SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 66–71.
- [51] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [52] R. Patil, S. Boit, V. Gudivada, and J. Nandigam, “A survey of text representation and embedding techniques in nlp,” *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [53] A. Lopez-Avila, J. Du, A. Shimary, and Z. Li, “Positional encoding is not the same as context: A study on positional encoding for sequential recommendation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.10436*, 2024.
- [54] J. Su, M. Ahmed, Y. Lu, S. Pan, W. Bo, and Y. Liu, “Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding,” *Neuro-computing*, vol. 568, p. 127063, 2024.
- [55] S. Minaee, T. Mikolov, N. Nikzad, M. A. Chenaghlu, R. Socher,

- X. Amatriain, and J. Gao, "Large language models: A survey," *ArXiv*, 2024.
- [56] Y. Liu and M. Lapata, "Text summarization with pretrained encoders," in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 3730–3740.
- [57] A. Wang, A. Singh, J. Michael, F. Hill, O. Levy, and S. Bowman, "GLUE: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding," in *Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 353–355.
- [58] A. Galassi, M. Lippi, and P. Torrioni, "Attention in natural language processing," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 4291–4308, 2021.
- [59] A. de Santana Correia and E. L. Colombini, "Attention, please! a survey of neural attention models in deep learning," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 6037–6124, 2022.
- [60] S. Chaudhari, G. Polatkan, R. Ramanath, and V. Mithal, "An attentive survey of attention models," *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 12, pp. 1 – 32, 2019.
- [61] M. Gheini, X. Ren, and J. May, "Cross-attention is all you need: Adapting pretrained Transformers for machine translation," in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 1754–1765.
- [62] T. Luong, H. Pham, and C. D. Manning, "Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation," in *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2015, pp. 1412–1421.
- [63] R. Child, S. Gray, A. Radford, and I. Sutskever, "Generating long sequences with sparse transformers," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/1904.10509, 2019.
- [64] T. Dao, D. Y. Fu, S. Ermon, A. Rudra, and C. R'e, "Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with IO-awareness," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2205.14135, 2022.
- [65] S. Bhattacharya and O. Bojar, "Understanding the role of FFNs in driving multilingual behaviour in LLMs," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13855*, 2024.
- [66] J. L. Ba, J. R. Kiros, and G. E. Hinton, "Layer normalization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450*, 2016.
- [67] R. Xiong, Y. Yang, D. He, K. Zheng, S. Zheng, C. Xing, H. Zhang, Y. Lan, L. Wang, and T. Liu, "On layer normalization in the transformer architecture," in *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, H. D. III and A. Singh, Eds., vol. 119. PMLR, 13–18 Jul 2020, pp. 10 524–10 533.
- [68] G. Kobayashi, T. Kuribayashi, S. Yokoi, and K. Inui, "Incorporating Residual and Normalization Layers into Analysis of Masked Language Models," in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 4547–4568.
- [69] Y. Liu, H. He, T. Han, X. Zhang, M. Liu, J. Tian, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, X. Gao, T. Zhong *et al.*, "Understanding llms: A comprehensive overview from training to inference," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.02038*, 2024.
- [70] N. Shazeer, A. Mirhoseini, K. Maziarz, A. Davis, Q. Le, G. Hinton, and J. Dean, "Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06538*, 2017.
- [71] W. Fedus, B. Zoph, and N. Shazeer, "Switch transformers: Scaling to trillion parameter models with simple and efficient sparsity," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 23, no. 120, pp. 1–39, 2022.
- [72] M. Lewis, Y. Liu, N. Goyal, M. Ghazvininejad, A. Mohamed, O. Levy, V. Stoyanov, and L. Zettlemoyer, "Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461*, 2019.
- [73] D. Lepikhin, H. Lee, Y. Xu, D. Chen, O. Firat, Y. Huang, M. Krikun, N. Shazeer, and Z. Chen, "Gshard: Scaling giant models with conditional computation and automatic sharding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.16668*, 2020.
- [74] M. Junczys-Dowmunt, R. Grundkiewicz, T. Dwojak, H. Hoang, K. Heafield, T. Neckeremann, F. Seide, U. Germann, A. F. Aji, N. Bogoychev, A. F. T. Martins, and A. Birch, "Marian: Fast neural machine translation in C++," in *Proceedings of ACL 2018, System Demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 116–121.
- [75] Z. Yang, Z. Dai, Y. Yang, J. Carbonell, R. R. Salakhutdinov, and Q. V. Le, "Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [76] K. Tirumala, D. Simig, A. Aghajanyan, and A. Morcos, "D4: Improving llm pretraining via document de-duplication and diversification," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [77] J. Zheng, H. Hong, X. Wang, J. Su, Y. Liang, and S. Wu, "Fine-tuning large language models for domain-specific machine translation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15061*, 2024.
- [78] M. Rehan, M. S. I. Malik, and M. M. Jamjoom, "Fine-tuning transformer models using transfer learning for multilingual threatening text identification," *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [79] S. Chen, Y. Zhang, and Q. Yang, "Multi-task learning in natural language processing: An overview," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09138*, 2021.
- [80] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, "Language models are few-shot learners," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020.
- [81] T. Schick and H. Schütze, "Exploiting cloze questions for few shot text classification and natural language inference," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.07676*, 2020.
- [82] S. Zhang, L. Dong, X. Li, S. Zhang, X. Sun, S. Wang, J. Li, R. Hu, T. Zhang, F. Wu *et al.*, "Instruction tuning for large language models: A survey," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10792*, 2023.
- [83] S. Mishra, D. Khashabi, C. Baral, and H. Hajishirzi, "Cross-task generalization via natural language crowdsourcing instructions," in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 3470–3487.
- [84] L. Ouyang, J. Wu, X. Jiang, D. Almeida, C. Wainwright, P. Mishkin, C. Zhang, S. Agarwal, K. Slama, A. Ray *et al.*, "Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 35, pp. 27 730–27 744, 2022.
- [85] Y. Wang, Y. Kordi, S. Mishra, A. Liu, N. A. Smith, D. Khashabi, and H. Hajishirzi, "Self-instruct: Aligning language models with self-generated instructions," in *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 13 484–13 508.
- [86] H. Hu, P. Chen, and E. M. Ponti, "Fine-tuning large language models with sequential instructions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07794*, 2024.
- [87] Z. Teng, Y. Song, X. Ye, and Y. Ouyang, "Fine-tuning LLMs for multi-turn dialogues: Optimizing cross-entropy loss with kl divergence for all rounds of responses," in *Proceedings of the 2024 16th International Conference on Machine Learning and Computing*. Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, p. 128–133.
- [88] L. Xu, H. Xie, S.-Z. J. Qin, X. Tao, and F. L. Wang, "Parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods for pretrained language models: A critical review and assessment," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12148*, 2023.

- [89] T. Lei, J. Bai, S. Brahma, J. Ainslie, K. Lee, Y. Zhou, N. Du, V. Zhao, Y. Wu, B. Li *et al.*, “Conditional adapters: Parameter-efficient transfer learning with fast inference,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 8152–8172, 2023.
- [90] S. He, R.-Z. Fan, L. Ding, L. Shen, T. Zhou, and D. Tao, “Mera: Merging pretrained adapters for few-shot learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15982*, 2023.
- [91] A. Chronopoulou, M. E. Peters, A. Fraser, and J. Dodge, “Adaptersoup: Weight averaging to improve generalization of pretrained language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.07027*, 2023.
- [92] N. Houlsby, A. Giurgiu, S. Jastrzebski, B. Morrone, Q. De Laroussilhe, A. Gesmundo, M. Attariyan, and S. Gelly, “Parameter-efficient transfer learning for NLP,” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2019, pp. 2790–2799.
- [93] Z. Lin, A. Madotto, and P. Fung, “Exploring versatile generative language model via parameter-efficient transfer learning,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 441–459.
- [94] N. Ding, Y. Qin, G. Yang, F. Wei, Z. Yang, Y. Su, S. Hu, Y. Chen, C.-M. Chan, W. Chen *et al.*, “Parameter-efficient fine-tuning of large-scale pre-trained language models,” *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 220–235, 2023.
- [95] Z. Fu, H. Yang, A. M.-C. So, W. Lam, L. Bing, and N. Collier, “On the effectiveness of parameter-efficient fine-tuning,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 37, no. 11, 2023, pp. 12 799–12 807.
- [96] E. J. Hu, Y. Shen, P. Wallis, Z. Allen-Zhu, Y. Li, S. Wang, L. Wang, and W. Chen, “Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [97] H. Zhou, X. Wan, I. Vulić, and A. Korhonen, “Autopeft: Automatic configuration search for parameter-efficient fine-tuning,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 12, pp. 525–542, 2024.
- [98] G. Zeng, P. Zhang, and W. Lu, “One network, many masks: Towards more parameter-efficient transfer learning,” in *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 7564–7580.
- [99] S. Li, T. Sun, and X. Qiu, “Agent alignment in evolving social norms,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04620*, 2024.
- [100] J. Schulman, F. Wolski, P. Dhariwal, A. Radford, and O. Klimov, “Proximal policy optimization algorithms,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017.
- [101] H. Lee, S. Phatale, H. Mansoor, K. Lu, T. Mesnard, C. Bishop, V. Carbune, and A. Rastogi, “Rlaif: Scaling reinforcement learning from human feedback with ai feedback,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00267*, 2023.
- [102] R. Rafailov, A. Sharma, E. Mitchell, C. D. Manning, S. Ermon, and C. Finn, “Direct preference optimization: Your language model is secretly a reward model,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [103] S. Raza, O. Bamgbose, S. Ghuge, F. Tavakoli, and D. J. Reji, “Developing safe and responsible large language models—a comprehensive framework,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01399*, 2024.
- [104] N. Stiennon, L. Ouyang, J. Wu, D. Ziegler, R. Lowe, C. Voss, A. Radford, D. Amodei, and P. F. Christiano, “Learning to summarize with human feedback,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. Balcan, and H. Lin, Eds., vol. 33. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020, pp. 3008–3021.
- [105] A. Askell, Y. Bai, A. Chen, D. Drain, D. Ganguli, T. Henighan, A. Jones, N. Joseph, B. Mann, N. DasSarma *et al.*, “A general language assistant as a laboratory for alignment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.00861*, 2021.
- [106] B. Jacob, S. Kligys, B. Chen, M. Zhu, M. Tang, A. Howard, H. Adam, and D. Kalenichenko, “Quantization and training of neural networks for efficient integer-arithmic-only inference,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 2704–2713.
- [107] S. K. Esser, J. L. McKinstry, D. Bablani, R. Appuswamy, and D. S. Modha, “Learned step size quantization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.08153*, 2019.
- [108] R. Krishnamoorthi, “Quantizing deep convolutional networks for efficient inference: A whitepaper,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.08342*, 2018.
- [109] P. Micikevicius, S. Narang, J. Alben, G. Diamos, E. Elsen, D. Garcia, B. Ginsburg, M. Houston, O. Kuchaiev, G. Venkatesh *et al.*, “Mixed precision training,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.03740*, 2017.
- [110] M. Courbariaux, I. Hubara, D. Soudry, R. El-Yaniv, and Y. Bengio, “Binarized neural networks: Training deep neural networks with weights and activations constrained to+ 1 or-1,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.02830*, 2016.
- [111] H. Bai, W. Zhang, L. Hou, L. Shang, J. Jin, X. Jiang, Q. Liu, M. Lyu, and I. King, “BinaryBERT: Pushing the limit of BERT quantization,” in *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 4334–4348.
- [112] M. Sun, Z. Liu, A. Bair, and J. Z. Kolter, “A simple and effective pruning approach for large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11695*, 2023.
- [113] L. Yin, Y. Wu, Z. Zhang, C.-Y. Hsieh, Y. Wang, Y. Jia, G. Li, A. Jaiswal, M. Pechenizkiy, Y. Liang, M. Bendersky, Z. Wang, and S. Liu, “Outlier weighed layerwise sparsity (OWL): A missing secret sauce for pruning LLMs to high sparsity,” 2024.
- [114] R. Xu, F. Luo, C. Wang, B. Chang, J. Huang, S. Huang, and F. Huang, “From dense to sparse: Contrastive pruning for better pre-trained language model compression,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 36, no. 10, 2022, pp. 11 547–11 555.
- [115] M. A. Gordon, K. Duh, and N. Andrews, “Compressing BERT: Studying the effects of weight pruning on transfer learning,” in *Workshop on Representation Learning for NLP*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:211171709>
- [116] X. Ma, G. Fang, and X. Wang, “Llm-pruner: On the structural pruning of large language models,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 36, pp. 21 702–21 720, 2023.
- [117] L. Dery, S. Kolawole, J.-F. Kagey, V. Smith, G. Neubig, and A. Talwalkar, “Everybody prune now: Structured pruning of llms with only forward passes,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05406*, 2024.
- [118] Y. Gao, Z. Liu, W. Zhang, B. Du, and G.-S. Xia, “Optimization-based structural pruning for large language models without back-propagation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.10576*, 2024.
- [119] G. Hinton, O. Vinyals, and J. Dean, “Distilling the knowledge in a neural network,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*, 2015.
- [120] X. Xu, M. Li, C. Tao, T. Shen, R. Cheng, J. Li, C. Xu, D. Tao, and T. Zhou, “A survey on knowledge distillation of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13116*, 2024.
- [121] T. Dao, D. Fu, S. Ermon, A. Rudra, and C. Ré, “Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 16 344–16 359, 2022.
- [122] W. Kwon, Z. Li, S. Zhuang, Y. Sheng, L. Zheng, C. H. Yu, J. Gonzalez, H. Zhang, and I. Stoica, “Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention,” in *Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, 2023, pp. 611–626.
- [123] T. Zhang, J. W. Yi, B. Yao, Z. Xu, and A. Shrivastava, “Nomad-attention: Efficient llm inference on cpus through multiply-add-free attention,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01273*, 2024.
- [124] F. Brakel, U. Odyurt, and A.-L. Varbanescu, “Model parallelism on distributed infrastructure: A literature review from theory to LLM case-studies,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03699*, 2024.
- [125] S. Rajbhandari, J. Rasley, O. Ruwase, and Y. He, “Zero: memory optimizations toward training trillion parameter models,” in *Pro-*

- ceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, ser. SC '20. IEEE Press, 2020.
- [126] J. Ren, S. Rajbhandari, R. Y. Aminabadi, O. Ruwase, S. Yang, M. Zhang, D. Li, and Y. He, “{Zero-offload}: Democratizing {billion-scale} model training,” in *2021 USENIX Annual Technical Conference (USENIX ATC 21)*, 2021, pp. 551–564.
- [127] Y. Zhao, A. Gu, R. Varma, L. Luo, C.-C. Huang, M. Xu, L. Wright, H. Shojanazeri, M. Ott, S. Shleifer *et al.*, “Pytorch fsdp: experiences on scaling fully sharded data parallel,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11277*, 2023.
- [128] M. Shoenybi, M. Patwary, R. Puri, P. LeGresley, J. Casper, and B. Catanzaro, “Megatron-lm: Training multi-billion parameter language models using model parallelism,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08053*, 2019.
- [129] Y. Huang, Y. Cheng, A. Bapna, O. Firat, D. Chen, M. Chen, H. Lee, J. Ngiam, Q. V. Le, Y. Wu *et al.*, “Gpipe: Efficient training of giant neural networks using pipeline parallelism,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [130] S. Ao, W. Zhao, X. Han, C. Yang, Z. Liu, C. Shi, and M. Sun, “Seq1F1B: Efficient sequence-level pipeline parallelism for large language model training,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03488*, 2024.
- [131] D. Narayanan, M. Shoenybi, J. Casper, P. LeGresley, M. Patwary, V. Korthikanti, D. Vainbrand, P. Kashinkunti, J. Bernauer, B. Catanzaro *et al.*, “Efficient large-scale language model training on gpu clusters using megatron-lm,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, 2021, pp. 1–15.
- [132] Z. Lan, M. Chen, S. Goodman, K. Gimpel, P. Sharma, and R. Soricut, “Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11942*, 2019.
- [133] X. Su, S. You, H. Xu, X. Li, J. Long, Y. Chen, and C. Xu, “Beyond the limit of weight-sharing: Pioneering space-evolving NAS with large language models,” in *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 6225–6229.
- [134] B. Sun, Z. Huang, H. Zhao, W. Xiao, X. Zhang, Y. Li, and W. Lin, “Llumnix: Dynamic scheduling for large language model serving,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03243*, 2024.
- [135] X. Han, G. Zeng, W. Zhao, Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Zhou, J. Zhang, J. Chao, and M. Sun, “BMInf: An efficient toolkit for big model inference and tuning,” in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, 2022, pp. 224–230.
- [136] N. Srivastava, G. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov, “Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting,” *The journal of machine learning research*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1929–1958, 2014.
- [137] S. Ni, M. Yang, R. Xu, C. Li, and X. Hu, “Layer-wise regularized dropout for neural language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16361*, 2024.
- [138] J. Dodge, G. Ilharco, R. Schwartz, A. Farhadi, H. Hajishirzi, and N. Smith, “Fine-tuning pretrained language models: Weight initializations, data orders, and early stopping,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06305*, 2020.
- [139] J. Lee and D. Kifer, “Scaling up differentially private deep learning with fast per-example gradient clipping,” *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, 2021.
- [140] X. Li, F. Tramer, P. Liang, and T. Hashimoto, “Large language models can be strong differentially private learners,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.05679*, 2021.
- [141] C. Lee, K. Cho, and W. Kang, “Mixout: Effective regularization to finetune large-scale pretrained language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11299*, 2019.
- [142] F. Mireshghallah, H. Inan, M. Hasegawa, V. Rühle, T. Berg-Kirkpatrick, and R. Sim, “Privacy regularization: Joint privacy-utility optimization in LanguageModels,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 3799–3807.
- [143] N. Karanikolas, E. Manga, N. Samaridi, E. Tousidou, and M. Vassilakopoulos, “Large language models versus natural language understanding and generation,” in *Proceedings of the 27th Pan-Hellenic Conference on Progress in Computing and Informatics*, 2023, pp. 278–290.
- [144] M. Gao, X. Hu, J. Ruan, X. Pu, and X. Wan, “LLM-based nlq evaluation: Current status and challenges,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01383*, 2024.
- [145] E. Ferrara, “Should chatgpt be biased? challenges and risks of bias in large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03738*, 2023.
- [146] S. Gehman, S. Gururangan, M. Sap, Y. Choi, and N. A. Smith, “RealToxicityPrompts: Evaluating neural toxic degeneration in language models,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 3356–3369.
- [147] M. Nasr, N. Carlini, J. Hayase, M. Jagielski, A. F. Cooper, D. Ippolito, C. A. Choquette-Choo, E. Wallace, F. Tramèr, and K. Lee, “Scalable extraction of training data from (production) language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17035*, 2023.
- [148] X. Wu, J. Li, M. Xu, W. Dong, S. Wu, C. Bian, and D. Xiong, “DEPN: Detecting and editing privacy neurons in pretrained language models,” in *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 2875–2886.
- [149] A. Zou, Z. Wang, J. Z. Kolter, and M. Fredrikson, “Universal and transferable adversarial attacks on aligned language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15043*, 2023.
- [150] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Wang, B. Liu, D. Li, Y. Guo, X. Chen, and Y. Liu, “Remos: Reducing defect inheritance in transfer learning via relevant model slicing,” in *Proceedings of the 44th International Conference on Software Engineering*, 2022, pp. 1856–1868.
- [151] T. Hu and X.-H. Zhou, “Unveiling LLM evaluation focused on metrics: Challenges and solutions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09135*, 2024.
- [152] Z. Jin, Y. Chen, F. Leeb, L. Gesele, O. Kamal, L. Zhiheng, K. Blin, F. G. Adauto, M. Kleiman-Weiner, M. Sachan *et al.*, “Cladder: Assessing causal reasoning in language models,” in *Thirty-seventh conference on neural information processing systems*, 2023.
- [153] D. Ganguli, L. Lovitt, J. Kernion, A. Askell, Y. Bai, S. Kadavath, B. Mann, E. Perez, N. Schiefer, K. Ndousse *et al.*, “Red teaming language models to reduce harms: Methods, scaling behaviors, and lessons learned,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.07858*, 2022.
- [154] Z. Ji, N. Lee, R. Frieske, T. Yu, D. Su, Y. Xu, E. Ishii, Y. J. Bang, A. Madotto, and P. Fung, “Survey of hallucination in natural language generation,” *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 1–38, 2023.
- [155] S. Goyal, S. Doddapaneni, M. M. Khapra, and B. Ravindran, “A survey of adversarial defenses and robustness in NLP,” *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 55, no. 14s, pp. 1–39, 2023.
- [156] D. Hupkes, M. Giulianelli, V. Dankers, M. Artetxe, Y. Elazar, T. Pimentel, C. Christodoulopoulos, K. Lasri, N. Saphra, A. Sinclair *et al.*, “A taxonomy and review of generalization research in NLP,” *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 1161–1174, 2023.
- [157] D. Hovy and D. Yang, “The importance of modeling social factors of language: Theory and practice,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human language technologies*, 2021, pp. 588–602.
- [158] M. A. Przybocki, G. A. Sanders, and A. N. Le, “Edit distance: A metric for machine translation evaluation,” in *LREC*, 2006, pp. 2038–2043.
- [159] K. Papineni, S. Roukos, T. Ward, and W.-J. Zhu, “Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation,” in

- Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2002, pp. 311–318.
- [160] C.-Y. Lin, “Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries,” in *Text summarization branches out*, 2004, pp. 74–81.
- [161] S. Banerjee and A. Lavie, “METEOR: An automatic metric for mt evaluation with improved correlation with human judgments,” in *Proceedings of the acl workshop on intrinsic and extrinsic evaluation measures for machine translation and/or summarization*, 2005, pp. 65–72.
- [162] T. Zhang, V. Kishore, F. Wu, K. Q. Weinberger, and Y. Artzi, “Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with BERT,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09675*, 2019.
- [163] W. Zhao, M. Peyrard, F. Liu, Y. Gao, C. M. Meyer, and S. Eger, “Moverscore: Text generation evaluating with contextualized embeddings and earth mover distance,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.02622*, 2019.
- [164] T. Sellam, D. Das, and A. Parikh, “BLEURT: Learning robust metrics for text generation,” in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 7881–7892.
- [165] O. Honovich, L. Choshen, R. Aharoni, E. Neeman, I. Szpektor, and O. Abend, “ q^2 : Evaluating factual consistency in knowledge-grounded dialogues via question generation and question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 7856–7870.
- [166] J. Fu, S.-K. Ng, Z. Jiang, and P. Liu, “Gptscore: Evaluate as you desire,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04166*, 2023.
- [167] Y. Liu, D. Iyer, Y. Xu, S. Wang, R. Xu, and C. Zhu, “G-eval: NLG evaluation using gpt-4 with better human alignment,” in *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 2511–2522.
- [168] P. Manakul, A. Liusie, and M. J. Gales, “Selfcheckgpt: Zero-resource black-box hallucination detection for generative large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08896*, 2023.
- [169] S. Kim, J. Shin, Y. Cho, J. Jang, S. Longpre, H. Lee, S. Yun, S. Shin, S. Kim, J. Thorne *et al.*, “Prometheus: Inducing fine-grained evaluation capability in language models,” in *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [170] S. Kim, J. Suk, S. Longpre, B. Y. Lin, J. Shin, S. Welleck, G. Neubig, M. Lee, K. Lee, and M. Seo, “Prometheus 2: An open source language model specialized in evaluating other language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01535*, 2024.
- [171] A. F. Mohammad, B. Clark, and R. Hegde, “Large language model (LLM) & GPT, a monolithic study in generative AI,” in *2023 Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing (CSCE)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 383–388.
- [172] S. K. Shandilya, G. Prharsha, A. Datta, G. Choudhary, H. Park, and I. You, “GPT based malware: Unveiling vulnerabilities and creating a way forward in digital space,” in *2023 International Conference on Data Security and Privacy Protection (DSPP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 164–173.
- [173] Q. Luo, W. Zeng, M. Chen, G. Peng, X. Yuan, and Q. Yin, “Self-attention and transformers: Driving the evolution of large language models,” in *2023 IEEE 6th International Conference on Electronic Information and Communication Technology (ICEICT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 401–405.
- [174] Z. Yan and Y. Xu, “Real-time optimal power flow with linguistic stipulations: Integrating GPT-agent and deep reinforcement learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2023.
- [175] S.-S. Park, K. Kim, J. So, J. Jung, J. Lee, K. Woo, N. Kim, Y. Lee, H. Kim, Y. Kwon *et al.*, “An LPDDR-based CXL-PNM platform for TCO-efficient inference of transformer-based large language models,” in *2024 IEEE International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture (HPCA)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 970–982.
- [176] Y. Jeong, J.-J. Song, J. Yang, and S. Kang, “Advancing tinnitus therapeutics: GPT-2 driven clustering analysis of cognitive behavioral therapy sessions and google t5-based predictive modeling for thi score assessment,” *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [177] P. Maddigan and T. Susnjak, “Chat2vis: Generating data visualisations via natural language using chatgpt, codex and GPT-3 large language models,” *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [178] H.-H. Mai and N. H. Luong, “Data augmentation with GPT-3.5 for vietnamese natural language inference,” in *2023 RIVF International Conference on Computing and Communication Technologies (RIVF)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 435–440.
- [179] D. K. Kholgh and P. Kostakos, “PAC-GPT: A novel approach to generating synthetic network traffic with GPT-3,” *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [180] M. Danner, B. Hadzic, S. Gerhardt, S. Ludwig, I. Uslu, P. Shao, T. Weber, Y. Shiban, and M. Ratsch, “Advancing mental health diagnostics: GPT-based method for depression detection,” in *2023 62nd Annual Conference of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers (SICE)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1290–1296.
- [181] N. Nascimento, P. Alencar, and D. Cowan, “GPT-in-the-loop: Supporting adaptation in multiagent systems,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 4674–4683.
- [182] T. Eloundou, S. Manning, P. Mishkin, and D. Rock, “GPTs are gpts: An early look at the labor market impact potential of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10130*, 2023.
- [183] B. Hu, L. Zheng, J. Zhu, L. Ding, Y. Wang, and X. Gu, “Teaching plan generation and evaluation with GPT-4: Unleashing the potential of LLM in instructional design,” *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 2024.
- [184] S. Ramprasad, E. Ferracane, and Z. C. Lipton, “Analyzing LLM behavior in dialogue summarization: Unveiling circumstantial hallucination trends,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03487*, 2024.
- [185] J. Yang, F. Wei, N. Huber-Fliflet, A. Dabrowski, Q. Mao, and H. Qin, “An empirical analysis of text segmentation for BERT classification in extended documents,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 2793–2797.
- [186] D. R. Yerramreddy, J. Marasani, P. S. V. Gowtham, S. Abhishek *et al.*, “An empirical analysis of topic categorization using PaLM, GPT and BERT models,” in *2023 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [187] A. Akhtarshenas, M. A. Vahedifar, N. Ayoobi, B. Maham, T. Alizadeh, S. Ebrahimi, and D. López-Pérez, “Federated learning: A cutting-edge survey of the latest advancements and applications,” *Computer Communications*, vol. 228, p. 107964, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140366424003116>
- [188] A. A. I. M. Sadot, M. M. Mehjabin, and A. Mahafuz, “A novel approach to efficient multilabel text classification: BERT-federated learning fusion,” in *2023 26th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [189] L. Peng, G. Luo, S. Zhou, J. Chen, Z. Xu, J. Sun, and R. Zhang, “An in-depth evaluation of federated learning on biomedical natural language processing for information extraction,” *NPJ Digital Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 127, 2024.
- [190] T. Miyashita, Y. Shoji, S. Fujita, and M. J. Dürst, “BERT-based movie keyword search leveraging user-generated movie rankings and reviews,” in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 246–256.
- [191] A. Ishtiaq, K. Munir, A. Raza, N. A. Samee, M. M. Jamjoom, and Z. Ullah, “Product helpfulness detection with novel transformer based BERT embedding and class probability features,” *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [192] G. K. Koru and Ç. Uluyol, “Detection of turkish fake news from tweets with BERT models,” *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [193] M. Fu, P. Wang, M. Liu, Z. Zhang, and X. Zhou, “IoV-BERT-IDS: Hybrid network intrusion detection system in IoV using large language models,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2024.

- [194] Y. Liu, M. Ott, N. Goyal, J. Du, M. Joshi, D. Chen, O. Levy, M. Lewis, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.
- [195] Z. Hong, A. Ajith, G. Pauloski, E. Duede, C. Malamud, R. Magoulas, K. Chard, and I. Foster, "ScholarBERT: bigger is not always better," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11342*, 2022.
- [196] C. Raffel, N. Shazeer, A. Roberts, K. Lee, S. Narang, M. Matena, Y. Zhou, W. Li, and P. J. Liu, "Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer," *Journal of machine learning research*, vol. 21, no. 140, pp. 1–67, 2020.
- [197] L. Gong, M. Elhoushi, and A. Cheung, "AST-T5: Structure-aware pretraining for code generation and understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03003*, 2024.
- [198] A. Helwan, D. Azar, and D. U. Ozsahin, "Medical reports summarization using text-to-text transformer," in *2023 Advances in Science and Engineering Technology International Conferences (ASET)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 01–04.
- [199] V. Sanh, L. Debut, J. Chaumond, and T. Wolf, "DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: Smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. arxiv 2019," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108*, 2019.
- [200] S. Ni and H.-Y. Kao, "Electra is a zero-shot learner, too," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.08141*, 2022.
- [201] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol, C. Chu, and M. Chen, "Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 3, 2022.
- [202] D. Jiang, Y. Liu, S. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Li, H. Xiong, and Q. Tian, "From clip to dino: Visual encoders shout in multi-modal large language models," 2023.
- [203] L. Fan, D. Krishnan, P. Isola, D. Katabi, and Y. Tian, "Improving clip training with language rewrites," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [204] A. Ramesh, M. Pavlov, G. Goh, S. Gray, C. Voss, A. Radford, M. Chen, and I. Sutskever, "Zero-shot text-to-image generation," in *International conference on machine learning*. Pmlr, 2021, pp. 8821–8831.
- [205] Y. Cheng, J. Chen, Q. Huang, Z. Xing, X. Xu, and Q. Lu, "Prompt sapper: a LLM-empowered production tool for building AI chains," *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 2023.
- [206] G. Singh, F. Deng, and S. Ahn, "Illiterate dall-e learns to compose," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11405*, 2021.
- [207] T.-H. Wu, L. Lian, J. E. Gonzalez, B. Li, and T. Darrell, "Self-correcting llm-controlled diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 6327–6336.
- [208] J. Lee, W. Yoon, S. Kim, D. Kim, S. Kim, C. H. So, and J. Kang, "BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1234–1240, 2020.
- [209] I. Beltagy, K. Lo, and A. Cohan, "SciBERT: A pre-trained language model for scientific text," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10676*, 2019.
- [210] E. Hemberg, S. Moskal, and U.-M. O'Reilly, "Evolving code with a large language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07102*, 2024.
- [211] G. Zhang, S. Qu, J. Liu, C. Zhang, C. Lin, C. L. Yu, D. Pan, E. Cheng, J. Liu, Q. Lin *et al.*, "Map-neo: Highly capable and transparent bilingual large language model series," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19327*, 2024.
- [212] L. Xu, C. Hu, B. Zhang, F. Wu, and Z. Cai, "Swin transformer and resnet based deep networks for low-light image enhancement," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 83, no. 9, pp. 26 621–26 642, 2024.
- [213] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo, "Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 10012–10022.
- [214] J. Liang, J. Cao, G. Sun, K. Zhang, L. Van Gool, and R. Timofte, "Swinir: Image restoration using swin transformer," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 1833–1844.
- [215] S. Dash, I. R. Lyngaas, J. Yin, X. Wang, R. Egele, J. A. Ellis, M. Maiterth, G. Cong, F. Wang, and P. Balaprakash, "Optimizing distributed training on frontier for large language models," in *ISC High Performance 2024 Research Paper Proceedings (39th International Conference)*. Prometheus GmbH, 2024, pp. 1–11.
- [216] S. Smith, M. Patwary, B. Norick, P. LeGresley, S. Rajbhandari, J. Casper, Z. Liu, S. Prabhunoye, G. Zerveas, V. Korthikanti *et al.*, "Using deepspeed and megatron to train megatron-turing nlg 530b, a large-scale generative language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11990*, 2022.
- [217] A. Chowdhery, S. Narang, J. Devlin, M. Bosma, G. Mishra, A. Roberts, P. Barham, H. W. Chung, C. Sutton, S. Gehrmann *et al.*, "Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 24, no. 240, pp. 1–113, 2023.
- [218] C. Crawl, "Common crawl," URL: <http://http://commoncrawl.org>, 2019.
- [219] T. H. Trinh and Q. V. Le, "A simple method for commonsense reasoning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.02847*, 2018.
- [220] L. Xu, X. Zhang, and Q. Dong, "Cluecorpus2020: A large-scale chinese corpus for pre-training language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01355*, 2020.
- [221] L. Xue, N. Constant, A. Roberts, M. Kale, R. Al-Rfou, A. Siddhant, A. Barua, and C. Raffel, "mt5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer," in *North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:225040574>
- [222] G. Penedo, Q. Malartic, D. Hesslow, R. Cojocaru, H. Alobeidli, A. Cappelli, B. Pannier, E. Almazrouei, and J. Launay, "The refinedweb dataset for falcon llm: outperforming curated corpora with web data only," in *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates Inc., 2024.
- [223] S. Yuan, H. Zhao, Z. Du, M. Ding, X. Liu, Y. Cen, X. Zou, Z. Yang, and J. Tang, "Wudao corpora: A super large-scale chinese corpora for pre-training language models," *AI Open*, vol. 2, pp. 65–68, 2021.
- [224] J. Qiu, H. Lv, Z. Jin, R. Wang, W. Ning, J. Yu, C. Zhang, Z. Li, P. Chu, Y. Qu, J. Shi, L. Lu, R. Peng, Z. Zeng, H. Tang, Z. Lei, J. Hong, K. Chen, Z. Fei, R. Z. Xu, W. Li, Z. Tu, D. Lin, Y. chen Qiao, H. Yan, and C. He, "Wanjuan-CC: A safe and high-quality open-sourced english webtext dataset," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2402.19282, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268063226>
- [225] A. Conneau, K. Khandelwal, N. Goyal, V. Chaudhary, G. Wenzek, F. Guzmán, E. Grave, M. Ott, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale," in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 8440–8451.
- [226] T. Computer, "Redpajama: an open dataset for training large language models," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/togethercomputer/RedPajama-Data>
- [227] J. Bandy and N. Vincent, "Addressing "documentation debt" in machine learning: A retrospective datasheet for bookcorpus," in *Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems Track on Datasets and Benchmarks*, vol. 1, 2021.
- [228] J. W. Rae, A. Potapenko, S. M. Jayakumar, and T. P. Lillicrap, "Compressive transformers for long-range sequence modelling," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.05507*, 2019.
- [229] Y. Zhu, R. Kiros, R. Zemel, R. Salakhutdinov, R. Urtasun, A. Torralba, and S. Fidler, "Aligning books and movies: Towards story-like visual explanations by watching movies and reading books," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2015, pp. 19–27.

- [230] K. Lo, L. L. Wang, M. Neumann, R. Kinney, and D. Weld, “S2ORC: The semantic scholar open research corpus,” in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020, pp. 4969–4983.
- [231] A. Gokaslan and V. Cohen, “Openwebtext corpus,” <http://Skylion007.github.io/OpenWebTextCorpus>, 2019.
- [232] J. Baumgartner, S. Zannettou, B. Keegan, M. Squire, and J. Blackburn, “The pushshift reddit dataset,” in *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, vol. 14, 2020, pp. 830–839.
- [233] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, I. Sutskever *et al.*, “Language models are unsupervised multitask learners,” *OpenAI blog*, vol. 1, no. 8, p. 9, 2019.
- [234] E. Nijkamp, B. Pang, H. Hayashi, L. Tu, H. Wang, Y. Zhou, S. Savarese, and C. Xiong, “CodeGen: An open large language model for code with multi-turn program synthesis,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:252668917>
- [235] S. Gunasekar, Y. Zhang, J. Anreja, C. C. T. Mendes, A. Del Giorno, S. Gopi, M. Javaheripi, P. Kauffmann, G. de Rosa, O. Saarikivi *et al.*, “Textbooks are all you need,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11644*, 2023.
- [236] D. Kocetkov, R. Li, L. B. Allal, J. Li, C. Mou, C. M. Ferrandis, Y. Jernite, M. Mitchell, S. Hughes, T. Wolf *et al.*, “The stack: 3 tb of permissively licensed source code,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15533*, 2022.
- [237] H. Schwenk, V. Chaudhary, S. Sun, H. Gong, and F. Guzmán, “WikiMatrix: Mining 135M parallel sentences in 1620 language pairs from Wikipedia,” in *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, 2021, pp. 1351–1361.
- [238] “Wikipedia,” [URL: https://huggingface.co/datasets/legacy-datasets/wikipedia](https://huggingface.co/datasets/legacy-datasets/wikipedia), 2001.
- [239] Y. Chen, W. Cai, L. Wu, X. Li, Z. Xin, and C. Fu, “TigerBot: An open multilingual multitask LLM,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2312.08688, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:266209771>
- [240] H. Laurençon, L. Saulnier, T. Wang, C. Akiki, A. Villanova del Moral, T. Le Scao, L. Von Werra, C. Mou, E. González Ponferrada, H. Nguyen *et al.*, “The bigscience roots corpus: A 1.6 tb composite multilingual dataset,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 31 809–31 826, 2022.
- [241] L. Gao, S. Biderman, S. Black, L. Golding, T. Hoppe, C. Foster, A. Phang, H. He, A. Thite, N. Nabeshima, S. Presser, and C. Leahy, “The Pile: An 800GB dataset of diverse text for language modeling,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00027*, 2020.
- [242] L. Soldaini, R. Kinney, A. Bhagia, D. Schwenk, D. Atkinson, R. Authur, B. Bogin, K. Chandu, J. Dumas, Y. Elazar *et al.*, “Dolma: An open corpus of three trillion tokens for language model pretraining research,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00159*, 2024.
- [243] X. Du, Z. Yu, S. Gao, D. Pan, Y. Cheng, Z. Ma, R. Yuan, X. Qu, J. Liu, T. Zheng *et al.*, “Chinese tiny LLM: Pretraining a chinese-centric large language model,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04167*, 2024.
- [244] C. He, Z. Jin, C. Xu, J. Qiu, B. Wang, W. Li, H. Yan, J. Wang, and D. Lin, “Wanjuan: A comprehensive multimodal dataset for advancing english and chinese large models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10755*, 2023.
- [245] D. Soboleva, F. Al-Khateeb, R. Myers, J. R. Steeves, J. Hestness, and N. Dey, “SlimPajama: A 627B token cleaned and deduplicated version of RedPajama,” <https://www.cerebras.net/blog/slimpajama-a-627b-token-cleaned-and-deduplicated-version-of-redpajama> instruction-based information extraction dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11527*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://huggingface.co/datasets/cerebras/SlimPajama-627B>
- [246] A. Lewkowycz, A. Andreassen, D. Dohan, E. Dyer, H. Michalewski, V. Ramasesh, A. Slone, C. Anil, I. Schlag, T. Gutman-Solo *et al.*, “Solving quantitative reasoning problems with language models, 2022,” [URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14858](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14858), 2022.
- [247] Y. Li, H. Su, X. Shen, W. Li, Z. Cao, and S. Niu, “Dailydialog: A manually labelled multi-turn dialogue dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.03957*, 2017.
- [248] V. Logacheva, V. Malykh, A. Litinsky, and M. Burtsev, “ConvAI2 dataset of non-goal-oriented human-to-bot dialogues,” in *The NeurIPS’18 Competition: From Machine Learning to Intelligent Conversations*. Springer, 2020, pp. 277–294.
- [249] P. Koehn, “Europarl: A parallel corpus for statistical machine translation,” in *Proceedings of machine translation summit x: papers*, 2005, pp. 79–86.
- [250] T. Nguyen, C. V. Nguyen, V. D. Lai, H. Man, N. T. Ngo, F. Deroncourt, R. A. Rossi, and T. H. Nguyen, “CulturaX: A cleaned, enormous, and multilingual dataset for large language models in 167 languages,” in *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*. ELRA and ICCL, 2024, pp. 4226–4237.
- [251] J. Abadji, P. Ortiz Suarez, L. Romary, and B. Sagot, “Towards a cleaner document-oriented multilingual crawled corpus,” in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*. European Language Resources Association, 2022, pp. 4344–4355.
- [252] S. Kudugunta, I. Caswell, B. Zhang, X. Garcia, D. Xin, A. Kusupati, R. Stella, A. Bapna, and O. Firat, “Madlad-400: a multilingual and document-level large audited dataset,” in *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates Inc., 2024.
- [253] M. Futral, A. Zebaze, P. O. Suarez, J. Abadji, R. Lacroix, C. Schmid, R. Bawden, and B. Sagot, “mOSCAR: A large-scale multilingual and multimodal document-level corpus,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08707*, 2024.
- [254] D. Lu, H. Wu, J. Liang, Y. Xu, Q. He, Y. Geng, M. Han, Y. Xin, and Y. Xiao, “Bbt-fin: Comprehensive construction of chinese financial domain pre-trained language model, corpus and benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09432*, 2023.
- [255] X. Zhang and Q. Yang, “Xuanyuan 2.0: A large chinese financial chat model with hundreds of billions parameters,” in *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM international conference on information and knowledge management*, 2023, pp. 4435–4439.
- [256] K. Paster, M. D. Santos, Z. Azerbayev, and J. Ba, “Openwebmath: An open dataset of high-quality mathematical web text,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06786*, 2023.
- [257] Z. Wang, R. Xia, and P. Liu, “Generative AI for math: Part i—mathpile: A billion-token-scale pretraining corpus for math,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17120*, 2023.
- [258] Z. Azerbayev, H. Schoelkopf, K. Paster, M. D. Santos, S. McAleer, A. Q. Jiang, J. Deng, S. Biderman, and S. Welleck, “Llemma: An open language model for mathematics,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10631*, 2023.
- [259] W. Peng, “Duomo/transgpt,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/DUOMO/TransGPT>
- [260] Y. Liu, J. Cao, C. Liu, K. Ding, and L. Jin, “Datasets for large language models: A comprehensive survey,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.18041*, 2024.
- [261] G. Wang, S. Cheng, X. Zhan, X. Li, S. Song, and Y. Liu, “Openchat: Advancing open-source language models with mixed-quality data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11235*, 2023.
- [262] S. Singh, F. Vargus, D. Souza, B. F. Karlsson, A. Mahendiran, W.-Y. Ko, H. Shandilya, J. Patel, D. Mataciunas, L. OMahony *et al.*, “Aya dataset: An open-access collection for multilingual instruction tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.06619*, 2024.
- [263] H. Gui, S. Qiao, J. Zhang, H. Ye, M. Sun, L. Liang, J. Z. Pan, H. Chen, and N. Zhang, “InstructIE: A bilingual instruction-based information extraction dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11527*, 2023.
- [264] G. Li, H. Hammoud, H. Itani, D. Khizbullin, and B. Ghanem, “Camel: Communicative agents for” mind” exploration of large language model society,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 51 991–52 008, 2023.

- [265] L. Zheng, W.-L. Chiang, Y. Sheng, T. Li, S. Zhuang, Z. Wu, Y. Zhuang, Z. Li, Z. Lin, E. Xing *et al.*, “Lmsys-chat-1m: A large-scale real-world llm conversation dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11998*, 2023.
- [266] Y. Wang, Y. Kordi, S. Mishra, A. Liu, N. A. Smith, D. Khashabi, and H. Hajishirzi, “Self-instruct: Aligning language models with self-generated instructions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10560*, 2022.
- [267] N. Ding, Y. Chen, B. Xu, Y. Qin, Z. Zheng, S. Hu, Z. Liu, M. Sun, and B. Zhou, “Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14233*, 2023.
- [268] X. Liu, H. Lai, H. Yu, Y. Xu, A. Zeng, Z. Du, P. Zhang, Y. Dong, and J. Tang, “WebGLM: Towards an efficient web-enhanced question answering system with human preferences,” in *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2023, pp. 4549–4560.
- [269] O. Honovich, T. Scialom, O. Levy, and T. Schick, “Unnatural instructions: Tuning language models with (almost) no human labor,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09689*, 2022.
- [270] W. Zhao, X. Ren, J. Hessel, C. Cardie, Y. Choi, and Y. Deng, “Wildchat: 1m chatgpt interaction logs in the wild,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01470*, 2024.
- [271] C. Xu, Q. Sun, K. Zheng, X. Geng, P. Zhao, J. Feng, C. Tao, and D. Jiang, “Wizardlm: Empowering large language models to follow complex instructions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12244*, 2023.
- [272] J. Zhang, K. Qian, Z. Liu, S. Heinecke, R. Meng, Y. Liu, Z. Yu, H. Wang, S. Savarese, and C. Xiong, “Dialogstudio: Towards richest and most diverse unified dataset collection for conversational ai,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10172*, 2023.
- [273] D. Yin, X. Liu, F. Yin, M. Zhong, H. Bansal, J. Han, and K.-W. Chang, “Dinosaur: A dynamic growth paradigm for instruction-tuning data curation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14327*, 2023.
- [274] D. Ghosal, Y. K. Chia, N. Majumder, and S. Poria, “Flacuna: Unleashing the problem solving power of vicuna using flan fine-tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02053*, 2023.
- [275] S. Longpre, L. Hou, T. Vu, A. Webson, H. W. Chung, Y. Tay, D. Zhou, Q. V. Le, B. Zoph, J. Wei *et al.*, “The flan collection: Designing data and methods for effective instruction tuning,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 22 631–22 648.
- [276] P. Gupta, C. Jiao, Y.-T. Yeh, S. Mehri, M. Eskenazi, and J. P. Bigham, “Instructdlm: Improving zero and few-shot generalization in dialogue through instruction tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12673*, 2022.
- [277] A. N. Lee, C. J. Hunter, and N. Ruiz, “Platypus: Quick, cheap, and powerful refinement of LLMs,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07317*, 2023.
- [278] S. Iyer, X. V. Lin, R. Pasunuru, T. Mihaylov, D. Simig, P. Yu, K. Shuster, T. Wang, Q. Liu, P. S. Koura *et al.*, “Opt-1ml: Scaling language model instruction meta learning through the lens of generalization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.12017*, 2022.
- [279] S. H. Bach, V. Sanh, Z.-X. Yong, A. Webson, C. Raffel, N. V. Nayak, A. Sharma, T. Kim, M. S. Bari, T. Fevry *et al.*, “Promptsources: An integrated development environment and repository for natural language prompts,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.01279*, 2022.
- [280] V. Sanh, A. Webson, C. Raffel, S. Bach, L. Sutawika, Z. Alyafeai, A. Chaffin, A. Stiegler, A. Raja, M. Dey *et al.*, “Multitask prompted training enables zero-shot task generalization,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [281] T. Xie, C. H. Wu, P. Shi, R. Zhong, T. Scholak, M. Yasunaga, C.-S. Wu, M. Zhong, P. Yin, S. I. Wang *et al.*, “Unifiedskg: Unifying and multi-tasking structured knowledge grounding with text-to-text language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.05966*, 2022.
- [282] N. Muennighoff, T. Wang, L. Sutawika, A. Roberts, S. Biderman, T. L. Scao, M. S. Bari, S. Shen, Z.-X. Yong, H. Schoelkopf *et al.*, “Crosslingual generalization through multitask finetuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01786*, 2022.
- [283] H. Gui, H. Ye, L. Yuan, N. Zhang, M. Sun, L. Liang, and H. Chen, “IEpile: Unearthing large-scale schema-based information extraction corpus,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14710*, 2024.
- [284] C. Zhou, P. Liu, P. Xu, S. Iyer, J. Sun, Y. Mao, X. Ma, A. Efrat, P. Yu, L. Yu *et al.*, “Lima: Less is more for alignment,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [285] Y. Bai, X. Du, Y. Liang, Y. Jin, Z. Liu, J. Zhou, T. Zheng, X. Zhang, N. Ma, Z. Wang *et al.*, “COIG-CQIA: Quality is all you need for chinese instruction fine-tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18058*, 2024.
- [286] B. Peng, C. Li, P. He, M. Galley, and J. Gao, “Instruction tuning with GPT-4,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03277*, 2023.
- [287] H. Li, F. Koto, M. Wu, A. F. Aji, and T. Baldwin, “Bactrian-x: Multilingual replicable instruction-following models with low-rank adaptation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15011*, 2023.
- [288] C. Xu, D. Guo, N. Duan, and J. McAuley, “Baize: An open-source chat model with parameter-efficient tuning on self-chat data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01196*, 2023.
- [289] Y. Anand, Z. Nussbaum, A. Treat, A. Miller, R. Guo, B. Schmidt, G. Community, B. Duderstadt, and A. Mulyar, “GPT4All: An ecosystem of open source compressed language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04931*, 2023.
- [290] M. Wu, A. Waheed, C. Zhang, M. Abdul-Mageed, and A. F. Aji, “Lamini-lm: A diverse herd of distilled models from large-scale instructions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14402*, 2023.
- [291] H. Liu, Z. Teng, L. Cui, C. Zhang, Q. Zhou, and Y. Zhang, “LogiCoT: Logical chain-of-thought instruction-tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12147*, 2023.
- [292] A. Köksal, T. Schick, A. Korhonen, and H. Schütze, “Long-Form: Effective instruction tuning with reverse instructions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08460*, 2023.
- [293] S. Mukherjee, A. Mitra, G. Jawahar, S. Agarwal, H. Palangi, and A. Awadallah, “Orca: Progressive learning from complex explanation traces of gpt-4,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02707*, 2023.
- [294] A. Nakvosas, P. Daniušis, and V. Mulevičius, “Open Llama2 model for the lithuanian language,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.12963*, 2024.
- [295] Y. Bai, J. Zhang, X. Lv, L. Zheng, S. Zhu, L. Hou, Y. Dong, J. Tang, and J. Li, “Longwriter: Unleashing 10,000+ word generation from long context LLMs,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07055*, 2024.
- [296] B. Guo, X. Zhang, Z. Wang, M. Jiang, J. Nie, Y. Ding, J. Yue, and Y. Wu, “How close is chatgpt to human experts? comparison corpus, evaluation, and detection,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.07597*, 2023.
- [297] Z. Chen, F. Jiang, J. Chen, T. Wang, F. Yu, G. Chen, H. Zhang, J. Liang, C. Zhang, Z. Zhang *et al.*, “Phoenix: Democratizing chatgpt across languages,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10453*, 2023.
- [298] Y. Bai, A. Jones, K. Ndousse, A. Askell, A. Chen, N. DasSarma, D. Drain, S. Fort, D. Ganguli, T. Henighan *et al.*, “Training a helpful and harmless assistant with reinforcement learning from human feedback,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.05862*, 2022.
- [299] W. Zhang, M. Cai, T. Zhang, Y. Zhuang, and X. Mao, “Earthgpt: A universal multi-modal large language model for multi-sensor image comprehension in remote sensing domain,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2024.
- [300] K. Li, Y. Wang, Y. He, Y. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, J. Xu, G. Chen, P. Luo *et al.*, “Mvbench: A comprehensive multi-modal video understanding benchmark,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 22 195–22 206.
- [301] R. Tanaka, T. Iki, K. Nishida, K. Saito, and J. Suzuki, “Instruct-doc: A dataset for zero-shot generalization of visual document understanding with instructions,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 17, 2024, pp. 19 071–19 079.

- [302] G. H. Chen, S. Chen, R. Zhang, J. Chen, X. Wu, Z. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Li, X. Wan, and B. Wang, "Allava: Harnessing GPT4v-synthesized data for a lite vision-language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11684*, 2024.
- [303] Y. Li, Z. Li, K. Zhang, R. Dan, S. Jiang, and Y. Zhang, "Chatdoctor: A medical chat model fine-tuned on a large language model meta-ai (llama) using medical domain knowledge," *Cureus*, vol. 15, no. 6, 2023.
- [304] S. Yang, H. Zhao, S. Zhu, G. Zhou, H. Xu, Y. Jia, and H. Zan, "Zhongjing: Enhancing the chinese medical capabilities of large language model through expert feedback and real-world multi-turn dialogue," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 17, 2024, pp. 19368–19376.
- [305] Z. Bao, W. Chen, S. Xiao, K. Ren, J. Wu, C. Zhong, J. Peng, X. Huang, and Z. Wei, "Disc-medllm: Bridging general large language models and real-world medical consultation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14346*, 2023.
- [306] H. Zhang, J. Chen, F. Jiang, F. Yu, Z. Chen, J. Li, G. Chen, X. Wu, Z. Zhang, Q. Xiao *et al.*, "Huatuoqpt, towards taming language model to be a doctor," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15075*, 2023.
- [307] G. Zeng, W. Yang, Z. Ju, Y. Yang, S. Wang, R. Zhang, M. Zhou, J. Zeng, X. Dong, R. Zhang *et al.*, "MedDialog: Large-scale medical dialogue datasets," in *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*, 2020, pp. 9241–9250.
- [308] T. Han, L. C. Adams, J.-M. Papaioannou, P. Grundmann, T. Oberhauser, A. Löser, D. Truhn, and K. K. Bresslem, "MedAlpaca—an open-source collection of medical conversational AI models and training data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08247*, 2023.
- [309] Y. Fang, X. Liang, N. Zhang, K. Liu, R. Huang, Z. Chen, X. Fan, and H. Chen, "Mol-instructions: A large-scale biomolecular instruction dataset for large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08018*, 2023.
- [310] Y. Li, D. Choi, J. Chung, N. Kushman, J. Schrittwieser, R. Leblond, T. Eccles, J. Keeling, F. Gimeno, A. Dal Lago *et al.*, "Competition-level code generation with alphacode," *Science*, vol. 378, no. 6624, pp. 1092–1097, 2022.
- [311] Q. Tang, Z. Deng, H. Lin, X. Han, Q. Liang, B. Cao, and L. Sun, "ToolAlpaca: Generalized tool learning for language models with 3000 simulated cases," 2023.
- [312] Y. Qin, S. Liang, Y. Ye, K. Zhu, L. Yan, Y. Lu, Y. Lin, X. Cong, X. Tang, B. Qian, S. Zhao, L. Hong, R. Tian, R. Xie, J. Zhou, M. Gerstein, D. Li, Z. Liu, and M. Sun, "ToolLLM: Facilitating large language models to master 16000+ real-world apis," 2023.
- [313] S. Yue, W. Chen, S. Wang, B. Li, C. Shen, S. Liu, Y. Zhou, Y. Xiao, S. Yun, X. Huang *et al.*, "Disc-lawllm: Fine-tuning large language models for intelligent legal services," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11325*, 2023.
- [314] T. Liu and B. K. H. Low, "Goat: Fine-tuned llama outperforms GPT-4 on arithmetic tasks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14201*, 2023.
- [315] Y. Lan, L. Wang, Q. Zhang, Y. Lan, B. T. Dai, Y. Wang, D. Zhang, and E.-P. Lim, "Mwptoolkit: An open-source framework for deep learning-based math word problem solvers," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 36, no. 11, 2022, pp. 13188–13190.
- [316] S. Toshniwal, I. Moshkov, S. Narenthiran, D. Gitman, F. Jia, and I. Gitman, "Openmathinstruct-1: A 1.8 million math instruction tuning dataset," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10176*, 2024.
- [317] Y. Dan, Z. Lei, Y. Gu, Y. Li, J. Yin, J. Lin, L. Ye, Z. Tie, Y. Zhou, Y. Wang *et al.*, "Educhat: A large-scale language model-based chatbot system for intelligent education," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.02773*, 2023.
- [318] W. Chen, Q. Wang, Z. Long, X. Zhang, Z. Lu, B. Li, S. Wang, J. Xu, X. Bai, X. Huang *et al.*, "DISC-FinLLM: A chinese financial large language model based on multiple experts fine-tuning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15205*, 2023.
- [319] X. Li, Z. Li, C. Shi, Y. Xu, Q. Du, M. Tan, J. Huang, and W. Lin, "AlphaFin: Benchmarking financial analysis with retrieval-augmented stock-chain framework," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12582*, 2024.
- [320] C. Deng, T. Zhang, Z. He, Q. Chen, Y. Shi, Y. Xu, L. Fu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Zhou *et al.*, "K2: A foundation language model for geoscience knowledge understanding and utilization," in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 2024, pp. 161–170.
- [321] H. Guo, J. Yang, J. Liu, L. Yang, L. Chai, J. Bai, J. Peng, X. Hu, C. Chen, D. Zhang *et al.*, "Owl: A large language model for it operations," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09298*, 2023.
- [322] L. Xu, H. Hu, X. Zhang, L. Li, C. Cao, Y. Li, Y. Xu, K. Sun, D. Yu, C. Yu, Y. Tian, Q. Dong, W. Liu, B. Shi, Y. Cui, J. Li, J. Zeng, R. Wang, W. Xie, Y. Li, Y. Patterson, Z. Tian, Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, S. Liu, Z. Zhao, Q. Zhao, C. Yue, X. Zhang, Z. Yang, K. Richardson, and Z. Lan, "CLUE: A chinese language understanding evaluation benchmark," in *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*. International Committee on Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 4762–4772.
- [323] A. Wang, Y. Pruksachatkun, N. Nangia, A. Singh, J. Michael, F. Hill, O. Levy, and S. Bowman, "Superglue: A stickier benchmark for general-purpose language understanding systems," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [324] Y. Yao, Q. Dong, J. Guan, B. Cao, Z. Zhang, C. Xiao, X. Wang, F. Qi, J. Bao, J. Nie *et al.*, "Cuge: A chinese language understanding and generation evaluation benchmark," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.13610*, 2021.
- [325] R. Chong, L. Lu, L. Yang, J. Nie, Z. Liu, S. Wang, S. Zhou, Y. Li, and E. Yang, "MCTS: A multi-reference chinese text simplification dataset," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02796*, 2023.
- [326] J. Wu, F. Che, X. Zheng, S. Zhang, R. Jin, S. Nie, P. Shao, and J. Tao, "Can large language models understand uncommon meanings of common words?" *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.05741*, 2024.
- [327] S. Reddy, D. Chen, and C. D. Manning, "Coqa: A conversational question answering challenge," *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 7, pp. 249–266, 2019.
- [328] A. Saha, R. Aralikkatte, M. M. Khapra, and K. Sankaranarayanan, "DuoRC: Towards complex language understanding with paraphrased reading comprehension," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07927*, 2018.
- [329] M. T. Pilehvar and J. Camacho-Collados, "Wic: 10,000 example pairs for evaluating context-sensitive representations," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.09121*, vol. 6, p. 17, 2018.
- [330] X. Liu, Q. Chen, C. Deng, H. Zeng, J. Chen, D. Li, and B. Tang, "Lcqm: A large-scale chinese question matching corpus," in *Proceedings of the 27th international conference on computational linguistics*, 2018, pp. 1952–1962.
- [331] D. Paperno, G. Kruszewski, A. Lazaridou, N. Q. Pham, R. Bernardi, S. Pezzelle, M. Baroni, G. Boleda, and R. Fernández, "The LAMBADA dataset: Word prediction requiring a broad discourse context," in *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, pp. 1525–1534.
- [332] C. Zheng, M. Huang, and A. Sun, "ChID: A large-scale Chinese Idiom dataset for cloze test," in *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 778–787.
- [333] Q. Xie, G. Lai, Z. Dai, and E. Hovy, "Large-scale cloze test dataset created by teachers," in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2344–2356.
- [334] N. Mostafazadeh, N. Chambers, X. He, D. Parikh, D. Batra, L. Vanderwende, P. Kohli, and J. Allen, "A corpus and cloze evaluation for deeper understanding of commonsense stories," in *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 2016, pp. 839–849.

- [335] Z. Shao, M. Huang, J. Wen, W. Xu, and X. Zhu, “Long and diverse text generation with planning-based hierarchical variational model,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 3257–3268.
- [336] L. Xu, X. Lu, C. Yuan, X. Zhang, H. Xu, H. Yuan, G. Wei, X. Pan, X. Tian, L. Qin *et al.*, “Fewclue: A chinese few-shot learning evaluation benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.07498*, 2021.
- [337] R. Zellers, A. Holtzman, Y. Bisk, A. Farhadi, and Y. Choi, “Hellaswag: Can a machine really finish your sentence?” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.07830*, 2019.
- [338] P. Clark, I. Cowhey, O. Etzioni, T. Khot, A. Sabharwal, C. Schoenick, and O. Tafjord, “Think you have solved question answering? try arc, the ai2 reasoning challenge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457*, 2018.
- [339] T. Mihaylov, P. Clark, T. Khot, and A. Sabharwal, “Can a suit of armor conduct electricity? a new dataset for open book question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2381–2391.
- [340] Y. Bisk, R. Zellers, J. Gao, Y. Choi *et al.*, “Piqa: Reasoning about physical commonsense in natural language,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 05, 2020, pp. 7432–7439.
- [341] H. Zhong, C. Xiao, C. Tu, T. Zhang, Z. Liu, and M. Sun, “JEC-QA: a legal-domain question answering dataset,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 05, 2020, pp. 9701–9708.
- [342] D. Vilares and C. Gómez-Rodríguez, “HEAD-QA: A healthcare dataset for complex reasoning,” in *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 960–966.
- [343] Y. Yang, W.-t. Yih, and C. Meeck, “Wikiqa: A challenge dataset for open-domain question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 2015 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, 2015, pp. 2013–2018.
- [344] X. Yin, B. Huang, and X. Wan, “ALCUNA: Large language models meet new knowledge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14820*, 2023.
- [345] J. Yu, X. Wang, S. Tu, S. Cao, D. Zhang-Li, X. Lv, H. Peng, Z. Yao, X. Zhang, H. Li *et al.*, “Kola: Carefully benchmarking world knowledge of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09296*, 2023.
- [346] M. Choi, J. Pei, S. Kumar, C. Shu, and D. Jurgens, “Do LLMs understand social knowledge? evaluating the sociability of large language models with SockET benchmark,” in *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 11 370–11 403.
- [347] Y. Bai, J. Ying, Y. Cao, X. Lv, Y. He, X. Wang, J. Yu, K. Zeng, Y. Xiao, H. Lyu *et al.*, “Benchmarking foundation models with language-model-as-an-examiner,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [348] E. Choi, H. He, M. Iyyer, M. Yatskar, W.-t. Yih, Y. Choi, P. Liang, and L. Zettlemoyer, “QuAC: Question answering in context,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2174–2184.
- [349] C. An, S. Gong, M. Zhong, X. Zhao, M. Li, J. Zhang, L. Kong, and X. Qiu, “L-eval: Instituting standardized evaluation for long context language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.11088*, 2023.
- [350] Y. Bai, X. Lv, J. Zhang, H. Lyu, J. Tang, Z. Huang, Z. Du, X. Liu, A. Zeng, L. Hou, Y. Dong, J. Tang, and J. Li, “LongBench: A bilingual, multitask benchmark for long context understanding,” in *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024, pp. 3119–3137.
- [351] U. Shaham, M. Ivgi, A. Efrat, J. Berant, and O. Levy, “ZeroSCROLLS: A zero-shot benchmark for long text understanding,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 7977–7989.
- [352] J. Li, M. Wang, Z. Zheng, and M. Zhang, “LooGLE: Can long-context language models understand long contexts?” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04939*, 2023.
- [353] Z. Qiu, J. Li, S. Huang, W. Zhong, and I. King, “Clongeval: A chinese benchmark for evaluating long-context large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03514*, 2024.
- [354] M. Song, M. Zheng, and X. Luo, “Counting-stars: A multi-evidence, position-aware, and scalable benchmark for evaluating long-context large language models,” 2024.
- [355] A. Talmor, J. Herzig, N. Lourie, and J. Berant, “CommonsenseQA: A question answering challenge targeting commonsense knowledge,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 4149–4158.
- [356] S. Aggarwal, D. Mandowara, V. Agrawal, D. Khandelwal, P. Singla, and D. Garg, “Explanations for commonsenseqa: New dataset and models,” in *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2021, pp. 3050–3065.
- [357] S. Zhang, X. Liu, J. Liu, J. Gao, K. Duh, and B. Van Durme, “Record: Bridging the gap between human and machine commonsense reading comprehension,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12885*, 2018.
- [358] M. Sap, H. Rashkin, D. Chen, R. Le Bras, and Y. Choi, “Social IQa: Commonsense reasoning about social interactions,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, 2019, pp. 4463–4473.
- [359] Y. Onoe, M. J. Zhang, E. Choi, and G. Durrett, “Creak: A dataset for commonsense reasoning over entity knowledge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01653*, 2021.
- [360] Y. Fu, L. Ou, M. Chen, Y. Wan, H. Peng, and T. Khot, “Chain-of-thought hub: A continuous effort to measure large language models’ reasoning performance,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.17306*, 2023.
- [361] Z. Hou, L. Zhang, and C. Callison-Burch, “Choice-75: A dataset on decision branching in script learning,” in *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*. ELRA and ICCL, 2024, pp. 3215–3223.
- [362] M. Geva, D. Khashabi, E. Segal, T. Khot, D. Roth, and J. Berant, “Did aristotle use a laptop? a question answering benchmark with implicit reasoning strategies,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 9, pp. 346–361, 2021.
- [363] M. Roemmele, C. A. Bejan, and A. S. Gordon, “Choice of plausible alternatives: An evaluation of commonsense causal reasoning,” in *2011 AAAI spring symposium series*, 2011.
- [364] S. Aroca-Ouellette, C. Paik, A. Roncone, and K. Kann, “Prost: Physical reasoning of objects through space and time,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.03634*, 2021.
- [365] N. Tandon, B. Dalvi, K. Sakaguchi, P. Clark, and A. Bosselut, “WIQA: A dataset for “what if...” reasoning over procedural text,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 6076–6085.
- [366] C. Clark, K. Lee, M.-W. Chang, T. Kwiatkowski, M. Collins, and K. Toutanova, “BoolQ: Exploring the surprising difficulty

- of natural yes/no questions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10044*, 2019.
- [367] L. Huang, R. L. Bras, C. Bhagavatula, and Y. Choi, “Cosmos QA: Machine reading comprehension with contextual common-sense reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.00277*, 2019.
- [368] A. Ravichander, M. Gardner, and A. Marasović, “Condaqa: A contrastive reading comprehension dataset for reasoning about negation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00295*, 2022.
- [369] D. Khashabi, S. Chaturvedi, M. Roth, S. Upadhyay, and D. Roth, “Looking beyond the surface: A challenge set for reading comprehension over multiple sentences,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, 2018, pp. 252–262.
- [370] G. Lai, Q. Xie, H. Liu, Y. Yang, and E. Hovy, “RACE: Large-scale ReAding comprehension dataset from examinations,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017, pp. 785–794.
- [371] K. Sun, D. Yu, D. Yu, and C. Cardie, “Investigating prior knowledge for challenging chinese machine reading comprehension,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 8, pp. 141–155, 2020.
- [372] W. Yu, Z. Jiang, Y. Dong, and J. Feng, “Reclor: A reading comprehension dataset requiring logical reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.04326*, 2020.
- [373] K. Sun, D. Yu, J. Chen, D. Yu, Y. Choi, and C. Cardie, “DREAM: A challenge data set and models for dialogue-based reading comprehension,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 7, pp. 217–231, 2019.
- [374] P. Rajpurkar, J. Zhang, K. Lopyrev, and P. Liang, “SQuAD: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text,” in *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, pp. 2383–2392.
- [375] Z. Yang, P. Qi, S. Zhang, Y. Bengio, W. Cohen, R. Salakhutdinov, and C. D. Manning, “HotpotQA: A dataset for diverse, explainable multi-hop question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2369–2380.
- [376] M. Joshi, E. Choi, D. Weld, and L. Zettlemoyer, “TriviaQA: A large scale distantly supervised challenge dataset for reading comprehension,” in *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017, pp. 1601–1611.
- [377] T. Kwiatkowski, J. Palomaki, O. Redfield, M. Collins, A. Parikh, C. Alberti, D. Epstein, I. Polosukhin, J. Devlin, K. Lee *et al.*, “Natural questions: a benchmark for question answering research,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 7, pp. 453–466, 2019.
- [378] Y. Cui, T. Liu, W. Che, L. Xiao, Z. Chen, W. Ma, S. Wang, and G. Hu, “A span-extraction dataset for Chinese machine reading comprehension,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 5883–5889.
- [379] M. Bartolo, A. Roberts, J. Welbl, S. Riedel, and P. Stenetorp, “Beat the AI: Investigating adversarial human annotation for reading comprehension,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 8, pp. 662–678, 2020.
- [380] P. Dasigi, N. F. Liu, A. Marasović, N. A. Smith, and M. Gardner, “Quoref: A reading comprehension dataset with questions requiring coreferential reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.05803*, 2019.
- [381] H. Tang, H. Li, J. Liu, Y. Hong, H. Wu, and H. Wang, “DuReader_robust: A Chinese dataset towards evaluating robustness and generalization of machine reading comprehension in real-world applications,” in *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 955–963.
- [382] P. Bajaj, D. Campos, N. Craswell, L. Deng, J. Gao, X. Liu, R. Majumder, A. McNamara, B. Mitra, T. Nguyen, M. Rosenberg, X. Song, A. Stoica, S. Tiwary, and T. Wang, “MS MARCO: A human generated machine reading comprehension dataset,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09268>
- [383] D. Dua, Y. Wang, P. Dasigi, G. Stanovsky, S. Singh, and M. Gardner, “DROP: A reading comprehension benchmark requiring discrete reasoning over paragraphs,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 2368–2378.
- [384] P. Dasigi, K. Lo, I. Beltagy, A. Cohan, N. A. Smith, and M. Gardner, “A dataset of information-seeking questions and answers anchored in research papers,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 4599–4610.
- [385] Y. Nie, A. Williams, E. Dinan, M. Bansal, J. Weston, and D. Kiela, “Adversarial NLI: A new benchmark for natural language understanding,” in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 4885–4901.
- [386] A. Williams, N. Nangia, and S. Bowman, “A broad-coverage challenge corpus for sentence understanding through inference,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 1112–1122.
- [387] H. Hu, K. Richardson, L. Xu, L. Li, S. Kübler, and L. Moss, “OCNLI: Original Chinese Natural Language Inference,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 3512–3526.
- [388] T. McCoy, E. Pavlick, and T. Linzen, “Right for the wrong reasons: Diagnosing syntactic heuristics in natural language inference,” in *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 3428–3448.
- [389] A. Liu, S. Swayamdipta, N. A. Smith, and Y. Choi, “Wanli: Worker and ai collaboration for natural language inference dataset creation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.05955*, 2022.
- [390] S. R. Bowman, G. Angeli, C. Potts, and C. D. Manning, “A large annotated corpus for learning natural language inference,” in *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2015, pp. 632–642.
- [391] F. Xu, Q. Lin, J. Han, T. Zhao, J. Liu, and E. Cambria, “Are large language models really good logical reasoners? a comprehensive evaluation from deductive, inductive and abductive views,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09841*, 2023.
- [392] J. Liu, L. Cui, H. Liu, D. Huang, Y. Wang, and Y. Zhang, “Logiqqa: A challenge dataset for machine reading comprehension with logical reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.08124*, 2020.
- [393] J. H. Clark, E. Choi, M. Collins, D. Garrette, T. Kwiatkowski, V. Nikolaev, and J. Palomaki, “Tydi qa: A benchmark for information-seeking question answering in ty pologically diverse languages,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 8, pp. 454–470, 2020.
- [394] P. Lewis, B. Oguz, R. Rinott, S. Riedel, and H. Schwenk, “MLQA: Evaluating cross-lingual extractive question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020, pp. 7315–7330.
- [395] A. Conneau, R. Rinott, G. Lample, A. Williams, S. Bowman,

- H. Schwenk, and V. Stoyanov, "XNLI: Evaluating cross-lingual sentence representations," in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2475–2485.
- [396] Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, C. Tar, and J. Baldridge, "PAWS-X: A cross-lingual adversarial dataset for paraphrase identification," in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 3687–3692.
- [397] E. M. Ponti, G. Glavaš, O. Majewska, Q. Liu, I. Vulić, and A. Korhonen, "XCOPA: A multilingual dataset for causal commonsense reasoning," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 2362–2376. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/2020.emnlp-main.185>
- [398] A. Tikhonov and M. Ryabinin, "It's All in the Heads: Using Attention Heads as a Baseline for Cross-Lingual Transfer in Commonsense Reasoning," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 3534–3546.
- [399] T. Scialom, P.-A. Dray, S. Lamprier, B. Piwowski, and J. Stiano, "MLSUM: The multilingual summarization corpus," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 8051–8067.
- [400] J. Hu, S. Ruder, A. Siddhant, G. Neubig, O. Firat, and M. Johnson, "Xtreme: A massively multilingual multi-task benchmark for evaluating cross-lingual generalisation," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 4411–4421.
- [401] F. Ladhak, E. Durmus, C. Cardie, and K. McKeown, "WikiLingua: A new benchmark dataset for cross-lingual abstractive summarization," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 4034–4048.
- [402] P. Keung, Y. Lu, G. Szarvas, and N. A. Smith, "The multilingual amazon reviews corpus," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02573*, 2020.
- [403] D. Muhlgay, O. Ram, I. Magar, Y. Levine, N. Ratner, Y. Belinkov, O. Abend, K. Leyton-Brown, A. Shashua, and Y. Shoham, "Generating benchmarks for factuality evaluation of language models," in *Proceedings of the 18th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2024, pp. 49–66.
- [404] S. Min, K. Krishna, X. Lyu, M. Lewis, W.-t. Yih, P. Koh, M. Iyyer, L. Zettlemoyer, and H. Hajishirzi, "FActScore: Fine-grained atomic evaluation of factual precision in long form text generation," in *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 12 076–12 100.
- [405] N. Lee, W. Ping, P. Xu, M. Patwary, P. N. Fung, M. Shoeybi, and B. Catanzaro, "Factuality enhanced language models for open-ended text generation," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 34 586–34 599, 2022.
- [406] T. Vu, M. Iyyer, X. Wang, N. Constant, J. Wei, J. Wei, C. Tar, Y.-H. Sung, D. Zhou, Q. Le, and T. Luong, "FreshLLMs: Refreshing large language models with search engine augmentation," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics ACL 2024*, 2024, pp. 13 697–13 720.
- [407] Q. Cheng, T. Sun, W. Zhang, S. Wang, X. Liu, M. Zhang, J. He, M. Huang, Z. Yin, K. Chen *et al.*, "Evaluating hallucinations in chinese large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03368*, 2023.
- [408] J. Li, X. Cheng, W. X. Zhao, J.-Y. Nie, and J.-R. Wen, "Halueval: A large-scale hallucination evaluation benchmark for large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11747*, 2023.
- [409] S. Lin, J. Hilton, and O. Evans, "TruthfulQA: Measuring how models mimic human falsehoods," in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 3214–3252.
- [410] X. Liang, S. Song, S. Niu, Z. Li, F. Xiong, B. Tang, Y. Wang, D. He, C. Peng, Z. Wang, and H. Deng, "UHGEval: Benchmarking the hallucination of Chinese large language models via unconstrained generation," in *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024, pp. 5266–5293.
- [411] J. Kasai, K. Sakaguchi, R. Le Bras, A. Asai, X. Yu, D. Radev, N. A. Smith, Y. Choi, K. Inui *et al.*, "REALTIME QA: what's the answer right now?" *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [412] P. Wang, L. Li, L. Chen, Z. Cai, D. Zhu, B. Lin, Y. Cao, L. Kong, Q. Liu, T. Liu, and Z. Sui, "Large language models are not fair evaluators," in *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024, pp. 9440–9450.
- [413] I. Augenstein, C. Lioma, D. Wang, L. C. Lima, C. Hansen, C. Hansen, and J. G. Simonsen, "MultiFC: A real-world multi-domain dataset for evidence-based fact checking of claims," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03242*, 2019.
- [414] I. Mollas, Z. Chrysopoulou, S. Karlos, and G. Tsoumakas, "Ethos: an online hate speech detection dataset," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.08328*, 2020.
- [415] M. Nadeem, A. Bethke, and S. Reddy, "StereoSet: Measuring stereotypical bias in pretrained language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09456*, 2020.
- [416] A. Parrish, A. Chen, N. Nangia, V. Padmakumar, J. Phang, J. Thompson, P. M. Htut, and S. Bowman, "BBQ: A hand-built bias benchmark for question answering," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 2086–2105.
- [417] J. Zhao, T. Wang, M. Yatskar, V. Ordonez, and K.-W. Chang, "Gender bias in coreference resolution: Evaluation and debiasing methods," in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 15–20.
- [418] N. Nangia, C. Vania, R. Bhalerao, and S. R. Bowman, "CrowS-pairs: A challenge dataset for measuring social biases in masked language models," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 1953–1967.
- [419] S. Gehman, S. Gururangan, M. Sap, Y. Choi, and N. A. Smith, "Realtoxicityprompts: Evaluating neural toxic degeneration in language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.11462*, 2020.
- [420] H. Sun, Z. Zhang, J. Deng, J. Cheng, and M. Huang, "Safety assessment of chinese large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10436*, 2023.
- [421] Z. Zhang, L. Lei, L. Wu, R. Sun, Y. Huang, C. Long, X. Liu, X. Lei, J. Tang, and M. Huang, "Safetybench: Evaluating the safety of large language models with multiple choice questions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07045*, 2023.
- [422] Y. Huang, Q. Zhang, L. Sun *et al.*, "Trustgpt: A benchmark for trustworthy and responsible large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11507*, 2023.
- [423] P. Liang, R. Bommasani, T. Lee, D. Tsipras, D. Soylu, M. Yasunaga, Y. Zhang, D. Narayanan, Y. Wu, A. Kumar *et al.*, "Holistic evaluation of language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09110*, 2022.
- [424] K. Zhu, J. Wang, J. Zhou, Z. Wang, H. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Yang, W. Ye, Y. Zhang, N. Z. Gong *et al.*, "Promptbench: Towards evaluating the robustness of large language models on adversarial prompts," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04528*, 2023.

- [425] E. Dinan, V. Logacheva, V. Malykh, A. Miller, K. Shuster, J. Urbanek, D. Kiela, A. Szlam, I. Serban, R. Lowe *et al.*, “The second conversational intelligence challenge (convai2),” in *The NeurIPS’18 Competition: From Machine Learning to Intelligent Conversations*. Springer, 2020, pp. 187–208.
- [426] N. Alex, E. Lifland, L. Tunstall, A. Thakur, P. Maham, C. J. Riedel, E. Hine, C. Ashurst, P. Sedille, A. Carlier *et al.*, “RAFT: A real-world few-shot text classification benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14076*, 2021.
- [427] L. Nan, D. Radev, R. Zhang, A. Rau, A. Sivaprasad, C. Hsieh, X. Tang, A. Vyas, N. Verma, P. Krishna, Y. Liu, N. Irwanto, J. Pan, F. Rahman, A. Zaidi, M. Mutuma, Y. Tarabar, A. Gupta, T. Yu, Y. C. Tan, X. V. Lin, C. Xiong, R. Socher, and N. F. Rajani, “DART: Open-domain structured data record to text generation,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 432–447.
- [428] J. Novikova, O. Dušek, and V. Rieser, “The E2E dataset: New challenges for end-to-end generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.09254*, 2017.
- [429] M. R. Costa-jussà, J. Cross, O. Çelebi, M. Elbayad, K. Heffernan, K. Kalbassi, J. Lam, D. Licht, J. Maillard *et al.*, “No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04672*, 2022.
- [430] D. Wang, J. Chen, X. Wu, H. Zhou, and L. Li, “CNewSum: a large-scale chinese news summarization dataset with human-annotated adequacy and deducibility level,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10874*, 2021.
- [431] T. Hasan, A. Bhattacharjee, M. S. Islam, K. Samin, Y.-F. Li, Y.-B. Kang, M. S. Rahman, and R. Shahriyar, “XL-sum: Large-scale multilingual abstractive summarization for 44 languages,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.13822*, 2021.
- [432] M. Koupae and W. Y. Wang, “Wikihow: A large scale text summarization dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.09305*, 2018.
- [433] C. Zhu, Y. Liu, J. Mei, and M. Zeng, “Mediasum: A large-scale media interview dataset for dialogue summarization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.06410*, 2021.
- [434] L. Yang, S. Zhang, L. Qin, Y. Li, Y. Wang, H. Liu, J. Wang, X. Xie, and Y. Zhang, “GLUE-X: Evaluating natural language understanding models from an out-of-distribution generalization perspective,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 12 731–12 750.
- [435] L. Yuan, Y. Chen, G. Cui, H. Gao, F. Zou, X. Cheng, H. Ji, Z. Liu, and M. Sun, “Revisiting out-of-distribution robustness in NLP: Benchmarks, analysis, and LLMs evaluations,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 58 478–58 507, 2023.
- [436] A. Efrat, O. Honovich, and O. Levy, “Lmentry: A language model benchmark of elementary language tasks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.02069*, 2022.
- [437] M. Suzgun, N. Scales, N. Schärli, S. Gehrmann, Y. Tay, H. W. Chung, A. Chowdhery, Q. V. Le, E. H. Chi, D. Zhou *et al.*, “Challenging big-bench tasks and whether chain-of-thought can solve them,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09261*, 2022.
- [438] A. Srivastava, A. Rastogi, A. Rao, A. A. M. Shob, A. Abid, A. Fisch, A. R. Brown, A. Santoro, A. Gupta, A. Garriga-Alonso *et al.*, “Beyond the imitation game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04615*, 2022.
- [439] B. McCann, N. S. Keskar, C. Xiong, and R. Socher, “The natural language decathlon: Multitask learning as question answering,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.08730*, 2018.
- [440] X. Liu, X. Lei, S. Wang, Y. Huang, Z. Feng, B. Wen, J. Cheng, P. Ke, Y. Xu, W. L. Tam *et al.*, “Alignbench: Benchmarking chinese alignment of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.18743*, 2023.
- [441] B. Y. Lin, W. Zhou, M. Shen, P. Zhou, C. Bhagavatula, Y. Choi, and X. Ren, “Comongen: A constrained text generation challenge for generative commonsense reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.03705*, 2019.
- [442] D. Hendrycks, C. Burns, S. Basart, A. Zou, M. Mazeika, D. Song, and J. Steinhardt, “Measuring massive multitask language understanding,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03300*, 2020.
- [443] S. Zhang, X. Zhang, H. Wang, L. Guo, and S. Liu, “Multi-scale attentive interaction networks for chinese medical question answer selection,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 74 061–74 071, 2018.
- [444] H. Sun, Z. Lin, C. Zheng, S. Liu, and M. Huang, “PsyQA: A Chinese dataset for generating long counseling text for mental health support,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021, pp. 1489–1503.
- [445] J. He, M. Fu, and M. Tu, “Applying deep matching networks to chinese medical question answering: a study and a dataset,” *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, vol. 19, pp. 91–100, 2019.
- [446] Q. Jin, B. Dhingra, Z. Liu, W. Cohen, and X. Lu, “PubMedQA: A dataset for biomedical research question answering,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 2567–2577.
- [447] A. Romanov and C. Shivade, “Lessons from natural language inference in the clinical domain,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 1586–1596.
- [448] N. Zhang, M. Chen, Z. Bi, X. Liang, L. Li, X. Shang, K. Yin, C. Tan, J. Xu, F. Huang, L. Si, Y. Ni, G. Xie, Z. Sui, B. Chang, H. Zong, Z. Yuan, L. Li, J. Yan, H. Zan, K. Zhang, B. Tang, and Q. Chen, “CBLUE: A Chinese biomedical language understanding evaluation benchmark,” in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2022, pp. 7888–7915.
- [449] J. Li, X. Wang, X. Wu, Z. Zhang, X. Xu, J. Fu, P. Tiwari, X. Wan, and B. Wang, “Huatuo-26m, a large-scale chinese medical qa dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01526*, 2023.
- [450] K. Singhal, S. Azizi, T. Tu, S. S. Mahdavi, J. Wei, H. W. Chung, N. Scales, A. Tanwani, H. Cole-Lewis, S. Pfohl *et al.*, “Large language models encode clinical knowledge,” *Nature*, vol. 620, no. 7972, pp. 172–180, 2023.
- [451] P. Zhou, Z. Wang, D. Chong, Z. Guo, Y. Hua, Z. Su, Z. Teng, J. Wu, and J. Yang, “Mets-cov: A dataset of medical entity and targeted sentiment on covid-19 related tweets,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 21 916–21 932, 2022.
- [452] T. Kwiatkowski, J. Palomaki, O. Redfield, M. Collins, A. Parikh, C. Alberti, D. Epstein, I. Polosukhin, J. Devlin, K. Lee, K. Toutanova, L. Jones, M. Kelcey, M.-W. Chang, A. M. Dai, J. Uszkoreit, Q. Le, and S. Petrov, “Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering research,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 7, pp. 452–466, 2019.
- [453] Y. Dai, D. Feng, J. Huang, H. Jia, Q. Xie, Y. Zhang, W. Han, W. Tian, and H. Wang, “LAIW: A chinese legal large language models benchmark (a technical report),” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05620*, 2023.
- [454] Z. Fei, X. Shen, D. Zhu, F. Zhou, Z. Han, S. Zhang, K. Chen, Z. Shen, and J. Ge, “Lawbench: Benchmarking legal knowledge of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16289*, 2023.
- [455] N. Guha, J. Nyarko, D. Ho, C. Ré, A. Chilton, A. Chohlas-Wood, A. Peters, B. Waldon, D. Rockmore, D. Zambrano *et al.*, “Legalbench: A collaboratively built benchmark for measuring legal reasoning in large language models,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [456] I. Chalkidis, A. Jana, D. Hartung, M. Bommarito, I. Androutsopoulos, D. Katz, and N. Aletras, “LexGLUE: A benchmark

- dataset for legal language understanding in English,” in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 4310–4330.
- [457] J. Niklaus, V. Matoshi, P. Rani, A. Galassi, M. Stürmer, and I. Chalkidis, “Lextreme: A multi-lingual and multi-task benchmark for the legal domain,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13126*, 2023.
- [458] V. Rasiyah, R. Stern, V. Matoshi, M. Stürmer, I. Chalkidis, D. E. Ho, and J. Niklaus, “Scale: Scaling up the complexity for advanced language model evaluation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09237*, 2023.
- [459] K. Cobbe, V. Kosaraju, M. Bavarian, M. Chen, H. Jun, L. Kaiser, M. Plappert, J. Tworek, J. Hilton, R. Nakano *et al.*, “Training verifiers to solve math word problems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168*, 2021.
- [460] A. Patel, S. Bhattamishra, and N. Goyal, “Are NLP models really able to solve simple math word problems?” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.07191*, 2021.
- [461] S.-Y. Miao, C.-C. Liang, and K.-Y. Su, “A diverse corpus for evaluating and developing english math word problem solvers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.15772*, 2021.
- [462] D. Hendrycks, C. Burns, S. Kadavath, A. Arora, S. Basart, E. Tang, D. Song, and J. Steinhardt, “Measuring mathematical problem solving with the math dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03874*, 2021.
- [463] W. Zhao, M. Shang, Y. Liu, L. Wang, and J. Liu, “Ape210k: A large-scale and template-rich dataset of math word problems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.11506*, 2020.
- [464] Y. Wang, X. Liu, and S. Shi, “Deep neural solver for math word problems,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, 2017, pp. 845–854.
- [465] A. Amini, S. Gabriel, S. Lin, R. Koncel-Kedziorski, Y. Choi, and H. Hajishirzi, “MathQA: Towards interpretable math word problem solving with operation-based formalisms,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 2357–2367.
- [466] W. Ling, D. Yogatama, C. Dyer, and P. Blunsom, “Program induction by rationale generation: Learning to solve and explain algebraic word problems,” in *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2017, pp. 158–167.
- [467] S. Welleck, J. Liu, R. L. Bras, H. Hajishirzi, Y. Choi, and K. Cho, “Naturalproofs: Mathematical theorem proving in natural language,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.01112*, 2021.
- [468] F. Shi, M. Suzgun, M. Freitag, X. Wang, S. Srivats, S. Vosoughi, H. W. Chung, Y. Tay, S. Ruder, D. Zhou *et al.*, “Language models are multilingual chain-of-thought reasoners,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03057*, 2022.
- [469] S. Roy and D. Roth, “Solving general arithmetic word problems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.01413*, 2016.
- [470] R. Koncel-Kedziorski, S. Roy, A. Amini, N. Kushman, and H. Hajishirzi, “MAWPS: A math word problem repository,” in *Proceedings of the 2016 conference of the north american chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies*, 2016, pp. 1152–1157.
- [471] P. Lu, L. Qiu, K.-W. Chang, Y. N. Wu, S.-C. Zhu, T. Rajpurohit, P. Clark, and A. Kalyan, “Dynamic prompt learning via policy gradient for semi-structured mathematical reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14610*, 2022.
- [472] S. Mishra, M. Finlayson, P. Lu, L. Tang, S. Welleck, C. Baral, T. Rajpurohit, O. Tafjord, A. Sabharwal, P. Clark *et al.*, “Lila: A unified benchmark for mathematical reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.17517*, 2022.
- [473] K. Zheng, J. M. Han, and S. Polu, “Minif2f: a cross-system benchmark for formal olympiad-level mathematics,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.00110*, 2021.
- [474] L. Zhang, W. Cai, Z. Liu, Z. Yang, W. Dai, Y. Liao, Q. Qin, Y. Li, X. Liu, Z. Liu *et al.*, “Fineval: A chinese financial domain knowledge evaluation benchmark for large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09975*, 2023.
- [475] R. Shah, K. Chawla, D. Eidnani, A. Shah, W. Du, S. Chava, N. Raman, C. Smiley, J. Chen, and D. Yang, “When FLUE meets FLANG: Benchmarks and large pretrained language model for financial domain,” in *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 2322–2335.
- [476] Q. Xie, W. Han, Z. Chen, R. Xiang, X. Zhang, Y. He, M. Xiao, D. Li, Y. Dai, D. Feng *et al.*, “The finben: An holistic financial benchmark for large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12659*, 2024.
- [477] C. Deng, T. Zhang, Z. He, Q. Chen, Y. Shi, Y. Xu, L. Fu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Zhou, Z. Lin, and J. He, “K2: A foundation language model for geoscience knowledge understanding and utilization,” in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 2024, p. 161–170.
- [478] J. Li, B. Hui, G. Qu, J. Yang, B. Li, B. Li, B. Wang, B. Qin, R. Geng, N. Huo *et al.*, “Can llm already serve as a database interface? a big bench for large-scale database grounded text-to-sqls,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [479] S. Lu, D. Guo, S. Ren, J. Huang, A. Svyatkovskiy, A. Blanco, C. Clement, D. Drain, D. Jiang, D. Tang *et al.*, “Codexglue: A machine learning benchmark dataset for code understanding and generation (2021),” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04664*.
- [480] Y. Lai, C. Li, Y. Wang, T. Zhang, R. Zhong, L. Zettlemoyer, W.-t. Yih, D. Fried, S. Wang, and T. Yu, “Ds-1000: A natural and reliable benchmark for data science code generation,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 18 319–18 345.
- [481] M. Chen, J. Tworek, H. Jun, Q. Yuan, H. P. D. O. Pinto, J. Kaplan, H. Edwards, Y. Burda, N. Joseph, G. Brockman *et al.*, “Evaluating large language models trained on code,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.03374*, 2021.
- [482] N. Muennighoff, Q. Liu, A. Zebaze, Q. Zheng, B. Hui, T. Y. Zhuo, S. Singh, X. Tang, L. Von Werra, and S. Longpre, “Octopack: Instruction tuning code large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07124*, 2023.
- [483] E. Nijkamp, B. Pang, H. Hayashi, L. Tu, H. Wang, Y. Zhou, S. Savarese, and C. Xiong, “Codegen: An open large language model for code with multi-turn program synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13474*, 2022.
- [484] Z. Wang, S. Zhou, D. Fried, and G. Neubig, “Execution-based evaluation for open-domain code generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10481*, 2022.
- [485] J. Austin, A. Odena, M. Nye, M. Bosma, H. Michalewski, D. Dohan, E. Jiang, C. Cai, M. Terry, Q. Le *et al.*, “Program synthesis with large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07732*, 2021.
- [486] L. Wang, A. Zhang, K. Wu, K. Sun, Z. Li, H. Wu, M. Zhang, and H. Wang, “DuSQL: A large-scale and pragmatic chinese text-to-sql dataset,” in *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 2020, pp. 6923–6935.
- [487] Q. Min, Y. Shi, and Y. Zhang, “A pilot study for Chinese SQL semantic parsing,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 3652–3658.
- [488] T. Yu, R. Zhang, K. Yang, M. Yasunaga, D. Wang, Z. Li, J. Ma, I. Li, Q. Yao, S. Roman, Z. Zhang, and D. Radev, “Spider: A large-scale human-labeled dataset for complex and cross-domain semantic parsing and text-to-SQL task,” in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 3911–3921.

- [489] R. Taylor, M. Kardas, G. Cucurull, T. Scialom, A. Hartshorn, E. Saravia, A. Poulton, V. Kerkez, and R. Stojnic, "Galactica: A large language model for science," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09085*, 2022.
- [490] J. Welbl, N. F. Liu, and M. Gardner, "Crowdsourcing multiple choice science questions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06209*, 2017.
- [491] M. Li, Y. Zhao, B. Yu, F. Song, H. Li, H. Yu, Z. Li, F. Huang, and Y. Li, "API-Bank: A comprehensive benchmark for tool-augmented LLMs," in *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023, pp. 3102–3116.
- [492] S. G. Patil, T. Zhang, X. Wang, and J. E. Gonzalez, "Gorilla: Large language model connected with massive apis," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15334*, 2023.
- [493] Q. Xu, F. Hong, B. Li, C. Hu, Z. Chen, and J. Zhang, "On the tool manipulation capability of open-source large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16504*, 2023.
- [494] J. Ye, G. Li, S. Gao, C. Huang, Y. Wu, S. Li, X. Fan, S. Dou, Q. Zhang, T. Gui *et al.*, "Tooleyes: Fine-grained evaluation for tool learning capabilities of large language models in real-world scenarios," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00741*, 2024.
- [495] C. He, R. Luo, Y. Bai, S. Hu, Z. L. Thai, J. Shen, J. Hu, X. Han, Y. Huang, Y. Zhang *et al.*, "Olympiadbench: A challenging benchmark for promoting agi with olympiad-level bilingual multimodal scientific problems," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14008*, 2024.
- [496] X. Yue, Y. Ni, K. Zhang, T. Zheng, R. Liu, G. Zhang, S. Stevens, D. Jiang, W. Ren, Y. Sun *et al.*, "Mmmu: A massive multi-discipline multimodal understanding and reasoning benchmark for expert agi," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 9556–9567.
- [497] K. Ying, F. Meng, J. Wang, Z. Li, H. Lin, Y. Yang, H. Zhang, W. Zhang, Y. Lin, S. Liu *et al.*, "Mmt-bench: A comprehensive multimodal benchmark for evaluating large vision-language models towards multitask agi," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16006*, 2024.
- [498] W. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Ren, Y. Duan, T. Li, S. Liu, M. Hu, Z. Chen, K. Zhang, L. Lu *et al.*, "Needle in a multimodal haystack," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07230*, 2024.
- [499] Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, Y. Sun, C. Liu, Z. Zhao, Z. Fang, Y. Wang, H. Chen, X. Yang, X. Wei *et al.*, "Benchmarking trustworthiness of multimodal large language models: A comprehensive study," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07057*, 2024.
- [500] F. Meng, J. Wang, C. Li, Q. Lu, H. Tian, J. Liao, X. Zhu, J. Dai, Y. Qiao, P. Luo *et al.*, "MMIU: Multimodal multi-image understanding for evaluating large vision-language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.02718*, 2024.
- [501] A. Nazir and Z. Wang, "A comprehensive survey of chatgpt: Advancements, applications, prospects, and challenges," *Meta-Radiology*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 100022, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S295016282300022X>
- [502] Y. Shao, W. Zhang, P. Liu, R. Huyue, R. Tang, Q. Yin, and Q. Li, "Log anomaly detection method based on bert model optimization," in *2022 7th International Conference on Cloud Computing and Big Data Analytics (ICCCBDA)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 161–166.
- [503] P. Balasubramanian, J. Seby, and P. Kostakos, "Transformer-based LLMs in cybersecurity: An in-depth study on log anomaly detection and conversational defense mechanisms," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 3590–3599.
- [504] J. Qi, S. Huang, Z. Luan, S. Yang, C. Fung, H. Yang, D. Qian, J. Shang, Z. Xiao, and Z. Wu, "Loggpt: Exploring chatgpt for log-based anomaly detection," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on High Performance Computing & Communications, Data Science & Systems, Smart City & Dependability in Sensor, Cloud & Big Data Systems & Application (HPCC/DSS/SmartCity/DependSys)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 273–280.
- [505] P. K. Mannam, "Optimizing software release management with gpt-enabled log anomaly detection," in *2023 26th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [506] H. Li and L. Shan, "Llm-based vulnerability detection," in *2023 International Conference on Human-Centered Cognitive Systems (HCCS)*, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [507] H. Lai, "Intrusion detection technology based on large language models," in *2023 International Conference on Evolutionary Algorithms and Soft Computing Techniques (EASCT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [508] A. Khediri, H. Slimi, A. Yahiaoui, M. Derdour, H. Bendjenna, and C. E. Ghenai, "Enhancing machine learning model interpretability in intrusion detection systems through SHAP explanations and LLM-generated descriptions," in *2024 6th International Conference on Pattern Analysis and Intelligent Systems (PAIS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [509] T. Ouyang, H.-Q. Nguyen-Son, H. H. Nguyen, I. Echizen, and Y. Seo, "Quality assurance of a GPT-based sentiment analysis system: Adversarial review data generation and detection," in *2023 30th Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference (APSEC)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 450–457.
- [510] H. Wang, J. Qin, A. Bastola, X. Chen, J. Suchanek, Z. Gong, and A. Razi, "VisionGPT: LLM-assisted real-time anomaly detection for safe visual navigation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12415*, 2024.
- [511] Z. Gu, B. Zhu, G. Zhu, Y. Chen, M. Tang, and J. Wang, "Anomalygpt: Detecting industrial anomalies using large vision-language models," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 3, 2024, pp. 1932–1940.
- [512] T. W. Teo, H. N. Chua, M. B. Jasser, and R. T. Wong, "Integrating large language models and machine learning for fake news detection," in *2024 20th IEEE International Colloquium on Signal Processing & Its Applications (CSPA)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 102–107.
- [513] H. Huang, H.-T. Peng, and H.-K. Pao, "Fake news detection via sentiment neutralization," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 5780–5789.
- [514] G. Wu, W. Wu, X. Liu, K. Xu, T. Wan, and W. Wang, "Cheap-fake detection with LLM using prompt engineering," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo Workshops (ICMEW)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 105–109.
- [515] N. Ayoobi, S. Shahriar, and A. Mukherjee, "The looming threat of fake and LLM-generated linkedin profiles: Challenges and opportunities for detection and prevention," in *Proceedings of the 34th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media*, 2023, pp. 1–10.
- [516] J. Henno, H. Jaakkola, and J. Mäkelä, "What do we know about learning—conversations with chatGPT," in *2023 46th MIPRO ICT and Electronics Convention (MIPRO)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 572–577.
- [517] D. Sudharson, K. T. Vaishnavi, S. Hariprakash, B. Abiram, K. Saranya, and P. Tanwar, "An abstractive summarization and conversation bot using T5 and its variants," in *2023 International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICCIT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 432–437.
- [518] R. Raj and D. E. Costa, "The role of library versions in developer-ChatGPT conversations," in *2024 IEEE/ACM 21st International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 172–176.
- [519] E. A. AlOmar, A. Venkatakrisnan, M. W. Mkaouer, C. D. Newman, and A. Ouni, "How to refactor this code? an exploratory study on developer-chatgpt refactoring conversations," in *2024 IEEE/ACM 21st International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 202–206.
- [520] T. Xiao, C. Treude, H. Hata, and K. Matsumoto, "Devgpt: Studying developer-chatgpt conversations," in *2024 IEEE/ACM 21st International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 227–230.

- [521] S. Mohamed, A. Parvin, and E. Parra, "Chatting with AI: Deciphering developer conversations with ChatGPT," in *2024 IEEE/ACM 21st International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 187–191.
- [522] P. Pereira, R. Ribeiro, H. Moniz, L. Coheur, and J. P. Carvalho, "Fuzzy fingerprinting transformer language-models for emotion recognition in conversations," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [523] R. C. K. Eagalapati, M. C. Chowdary, A. S. Sasank, B. Monish, and P. R. Kumar, "Enriching conversations: Empowering ChatGPT with image caption generation," in *2024 IEEE 9th International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–5.
- [524] O. Ukirde, T. Veer, A. Rathod, V. Mane, and S. Mumbaikar, "English conversation partner finder using MLP based fluency classifier and linguamatch algorithm," in *2023 3rd Asian Conference on Innovation in Technology (ASIANCON)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [525] G. Song and W. Ma, "Innovative study of japanese speech teaching models in ChatGPT application scenarios," in *2024 IEEE 7th Eurasian Conference on Educational Innovation (ECEI)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 250–253.
- [526] S. Selitskiy and C. Inoue, "Yet another example of ChatGPT's evasive tactics during long conversations: Japanese rock song lyrics case," in *2024 IEEE Conference on Cognitive and Computational Aspects of Situation Management (CogSIMA)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 132–136.
- [527] T. Liu, L. Zhang, F. Alqahtani, A. Tolba *et al.*, "A transformer-BERT integrated model-based automatic conversation method under English context," *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [528] S. Deo, D. Hinge, O. S. Chavan, Y. O. Wang, and M. W. Mkaouer, "Analyzing developer-ChatGPT conversations for software refactoring: An exploratory study," in *2024 IEEE/ACM 21st International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 207–211.
- [529] N. Sathe, V. Deodhe, Y. Sharma, and A. Shinde, "A comprehensive review of AI in healthcare: Exploring neural networks in medical imaging, LLM-based interactive response systems, NLP-based EHR systems, ethics, and beyond," in *2023 International Conference on Advanced Computing & Communication Technologies (ICACCTech)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 633–640.
- [530] S. Shankar *et al.*, "Deep learning-based method for detecting parkinson using 1D convolutional neural networks and improved jellyfish algorithms," *International journal of electrical and computer engineering systems*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 515–522, 2024.
- [531] M. Kuzlu, Z. Xiao, S. Sarp, F. O. Catak, N. Gurler, and O. Guler, "The rise of generative artificial intelligence in healthcare," in *2023 12th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing (MECO)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [532] M. A. Rahman, "A survey on security and privacy of multi-modal LLMs-connected healthcare perspective," in *2023 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1807–1812.
- [533] T. Alam, M. Househ, and Z. Shah, "ChatGPT and large language models in Healthcare: Opportunities and risks."
- [534] S. P. Mohammed and G. Hossain, "ChatGPT in education, healthcare, and cybersecurity: Opportunities and challenges," in *2024 IEEE 14th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 0316–0321.
- [535] D. P. Panagoulas, F. A. Palamidis, M. Virvou, and G. A. Tsihrintzis, "Evaluating the potential of LLMs and ChatGPT on medical diagnosis and treatment," in *2023 14th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems & Applications (IISA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–9.
- [536] F. Mosaiyebzadeh, S. Pouriyeh, R. M. Parizi, M. Han, N. Dehbozorgi, M. Dorodchi, and D. M. Batista, "Empowering healthcare professionals and patients with ChatGPT: Applications and challenges," in *2023 Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing (CSCE)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 01–07.
- [537] Y. Dou, X. Zhao, H. Zou, J. Xiao, P. Xi, and S. Peng, "Shennonggpt: A tuning chinese LLM for medication guidance," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Medical Artificial Intelligence (MedAI)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 67–72.
- [538] S. Montagna, G. Aguzzi, S. Ferretti, M. F. Pengo, L. C. Klopfenstein, M. Ungolo, and M. Magnini, "LLM-based solutions for healthcare chatbots: a comparative analysis," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops and other Affiliated Events (PerCom Workshops)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 346–351.
- [539] S. A. Gebreab, K. Salah, R. Jayaraman, M. H. ur Rehman, and S. Ellaham, "Llm-based framework for administrative task automation in healthcare," in *2024 12th International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–7.
- [540] I. Malkiel, U. Alon, Y. Yehuda, S. Keren, O. Barkan, R. Ronen, and N. Koenigstein, "SEGLLM: Topic-oriented call segmentation via LLM-based conversation synthesis," in *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 11 361–11 365.
- [541] Y. Natarajan, S. P. KR, S. Pandian, S. U. Geetha, and S. Senthilkumar, "Enhancing medical information retrieval with a language model," in *2024 International Conference on Cognitive Robotics and Intelligent Systems (ICC-ROBINS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 437–441.
- [542] M. Angel, A. Patel, A. Alachkar, and P. Baldi, "Clinical knowledge and reasoning abilities of large language models in pharmacy: A comparative study on the NAPLEX exam," in *2023 Tenth International Conference on Social Networks Analysis, Management and Security (SNAMS)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [543] I. Ibrahim, A. N. Altahtah, K. Ali, A. Ateeq, and M. A. Alaghbari, "How Does Chat GPT influence human capital development amongst malaysian undergraduate students?" in *2024 ASU International Conference in Emerging Technologies for Sustainability and Intelligent Systems (ICETISIS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 213–219.
- [544] O. Ergene and B. C. Ergene, "AI ChatBots' solutions to mathematical problems in interactive e-textbooks: Affordances and constraints from the eyes of students and teachers," *Education and Information Technologies*, pp. 1–37, 2024.
- [545] B. Memarian and T. Doleck, "ChatGPT in education: Methods, potentials, and limitations," *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 100022, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2949882123000221>
- [546] P. Singh, N. Phutela, P. Grover, D. Sinha, and S. Sinha, "Student's perception of chat gpt," in *2023 International Conference on Electrical, Communication and Computer Engineering (ICECCE)*, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [547] F. J. Khoso, N. Ali, and N. Aslam, "Use of Chat-GPT and AI tools by undergraduates: Students and teachers' perspective," *Spry Contemporary Educational Practices*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2023.
- [548] L. L. Najah and I. Ghozali, "The improving descriptive writing by using Chat-GPT in university," *Jurnal Educazione: Jurnal Pendidikan, Pembelajaran dan Bimbingan dan konseling*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 87–97, 2024.
- [549] E. Hidayatullah, "Evaluating the effectiveness of ChatGPT to improve english students' writing skills," in *Humanities, Education, Applied Linguistics, and Language Teaching: Conference Series*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2024, pp. 14–19.
- [550] T. N. Fitria, "Artificial intelligence (AI) technology in OpenAI ChatGPT application: A review of ChatGPT in writing english essay," in *ELT Forum: Journal of English Language Teaching*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2023, pp. 44–58.
- [551] Z. H. Sain, R. Serban, N. B. Abdullah, and C. C. Thelma, "Benefits and drawbacks of leveraging ChatGPT to enhance writing skills in secondary education," *At-Tadzkir: Islamic Education Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 40–52, 2025.

- [552] C. Ma, Z. Wu, J. Wang, S. Xu, Y. Wei, Z. Liu, F. Zeng, X. Jiang, L. Guo, X. Cai *et al.*, "An iterative optimizing framework for radiology report summarization with ChatGPT," *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.
- [553] X. Yang, Y. Li, X. Zhang, H. Chen, and W. Cheng, "Exploring the limits of chatgpt for query or aspect-based text summarization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08081*, 2023.
- [554] H. Zhang, X. Liu, and J. Zhang, "Summit: Iterative text summarization via chatgpt," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14835*, 2023.
- [555] R. Tariq, S. Malik, M. Roy, M. Z. Islam, U. Rasheed, J. Bian, K. Zheng, and R. Zhang, "Assessing ChatGPT for text summarization, simplification and extraction tasks," in *2023 IEEE 11th International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 746–749.
- [556] M. Gao, J. Ruan, R. Sun, X. Yin, S. Yang, and X. Wan, "Human-like summarization evaluation with chatgpt," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02554*, 2023.
- [557] B. Zierock and A. Jungblut, "Leveraging prompts for improving AI-powered customer service platforms: a case study of ChatGPT and midjourney," *Learning*, vol. 116, pp. 63–76, 2023.
- [558] A. Haleem, M. Javaid, and R. P. Singh, "Exploring the competence of ChatGPT for customer and patient service management," *Intelligent Pharmacy*, 2024.
- [559] P. Limna and T. Kraiwanit, "The role of chatgpt on customer service in the hospitality industry: An exploratory study of hospitality workers' experiences and perceptions," *Tourism and hospitality management*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 583–592, 2023.
- [560] C. P. Ezenkwu, "Towards expert systems for improved customer services using ChatGPT as an inference engine," in *2023 International Conference on Digital Applications, Transformation & Economy (ICDATE)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [561] S. Sutrisno, "Exploring the potential of ChatGPT to improve customer service in MSMEs," *Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 653–664, 2023.
- [562] F. Sudirjo, K. Diantoro, J. A. Al-Gasawneh, H. K. Azzaakiyyah, and A. M. A. Ausat, "Application of ChatGPT in improving customer sentiment analysis for businesses," *Jurnal Teknologi Dan Sistem Informasi Bisnis*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 283–288, 2023.
- [563] N. Wake, A. Kanehira, K. Sasabuchi, J. Takamatsu, and K. Ikeuchi, "Chatgpt empowered long-step robot control in various environments: A case application," *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [564] M. Yang, "The future of the smart home: A study of AI-based automation for home robots," in *2024 International Conference on Mechanics, Electronics Engineering and Automation (ICMEEA 2024)*. Atlantis Press, 2024, pp. 675–687.
- [565] M. Giudici, L. Padalino, G. Paolino, I. Paratici, A. I. Pascu, and F. Garzotto, "Designing home automation routines using an LLM-based chatbot," *Designs*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 43, 2024.
- [566] J. Azar, T. Khoury, A. Makhoul, and R. Couturier, "Home automation system with iot stack and chatgpt for people with reduced mobility," in *2023 IEEE 4th International Multidisciplinary Conference on Engineering Technology (IMCET)*, 2023, pp. 44–49.
- [567] J. Jeon, S. Lee, and H. Choe, "Beyond ChatGPT: A conceptual framework and systematic review of speech-recognition chatbots for language learning," *Computers & Education*, p. 104898, 2023.
- [568] K. Gabor-Siatkowska, M. Sowański, R. Rzatkiwicz, I. Stefaniak, M. Kozłowski, and A. Janicki, "AI to train AI: Using ChatGPT to improve the accuracy of a therapeutic dialogue system," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 22, p. 4694, 2023.
- [569] G. Poornima, C. R. Q. Lezama, D. Gowda, S. Kumari, T. Kashni, and N. Dadwal, "Improving multimodal interactions with ChatGPT by integrating machine learning with text, image, and speech processing," in *2024 8th International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud)(I-SMAC)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 780–786.
- [570] A. Kuzdeuov, O. Mukayev, S. Nurgaliyev, A. Kunbolsyn, and H. A. Varol, "ChatGPT for visually impaired and blind," in *2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Information and Communication (ICAIIIC)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 722–727.
- [571] A. Anjum, Y. Li, N. Law, M. Charity, and J. Togelius, "The ink splotch effect: A case study on chatgpt as a co-creative game designer," in *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games*, 2024, pp. 1–15.
- [572] D. Yang, E. Kleinman, and C. Hartevelde, "GPT for games: A scoping review (2020-2023)," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.17794*, 2024.
- [573] C. F. Tsai, X. Zhou, S. S. Liu, J. Li, M. Yu, and H. Mei, "Can large language models play text games well? current state-of-the-art and open questions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02868*, 2023.
- [574] A. M. Grow and F. Khosmood, "ChatGPT gamejam: Unleashing the power of large language models for game jams," in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Game Jams, Hackathons and Game Creation Events*, 2023, pp. 51–54.
- [575] J. Matthews and C. R. Volpe, "Academics' perceptions of ChatGPT-generated written outputs: A practical application of turing's imitation game," *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 82–100, 2023.
- [576] P. Taveekitworachai, M. C. Gursesli, F. Abdullah, S. Chen, F. Cala, A. Guazzini, A. Lanata, and R. Thawonmas, "Journey of ChatGPT from prompts to stories in Games: the positive, the negative, and the neutral," in *2023 IEEE 13th International Conference on Consumer Electronics-Berlin (ICCE-Berlin)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 202–203.
- [577] B. Zhao, W. Jin, J. Del Ser, and G. Yang, "ChatAgri: Exploring potentials of ChatGPT on cross-linguistic agricultural text classification," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 557, p. 126708, 2023.
- [578] Y. Shi, H. Ma, W. Zhong, Q. Tan, G. Mai, X. Li, T. Liu, and J. Huang, "Chatgraph: Interpretable text classification by converting chatgpt knowledge to graphs," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 515–520.
- [579] L. Loukas, I. Stogiannidis, P. Malakasiotis, and S. Vassos, "Breaking the bank with ChatGPT: few-shot text classification for finance," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14634*, 2023.
- [580] M. Soni and V. Wade, "Comparing abstractive summaries generated by chatgpt to real summaries through blinded reviewers and text classification algorithms," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17650*, 2023.
- [581] M. V. Reiss, "Testing the reliability of chatgpt for text annotation and classification: A cautionary remark," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11085*, 2023.
- [582] S. Si, Y. Wu, L. Tang, Y. Zhang, and J. Wosik, "Evaluating the performance of ChatGPT for spam email detection," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.15337>
- [583] S. de Rosa, F. Gringoli, and G. Bellicini, "Hey ChatGPT, is this message phishing?" in *2024 22nd Mediterranean Communication and Computer Networking Conference (MedComNet)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–10.
- [584] M. Josten and T. Weis, "Investigating the effectiveness of bayesian spam filters in detecting llm-modified spam mails," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14293*, 2024.
- [585] M. Franco, O. Gaggi, and C. E. Palazzi, "Analyzing the use of large language models for content moderation with chatgpt examples," in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Open Challenges in Online Social Networks*, 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [586] L. Li, L. Fan, S. Atreja, and L. Hemphill, "'HOT' ChatGPT: The promise of ChatGPT in detecting and discriminating hateful, offensive, and toxic comments on social media," *ACM Transactions on the Web*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1–36, 2024.
- [587] N. Aldahoul, M. J. T. Tan, H. R. Kasireddy, and Y. Zaki, "Advancing content moderation: Evaluating large language models for detecting sensitive content across text, images, and videos," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.17123*, 2024.
- [588] H. Ma, C. Zhang, H. Fu, P. Zhao, and B. Wu, "Adapting large language models for content moderation: Pitfalls in data engineering and supervised fine-tuning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03400*, 2023.
- [589] S. Yuan and M. Färber, "Evaluating generative models

- for graph-to-text generation,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.14712>
- [590] T. Lancaster, “Artificial intelligence, text generation tools and ChatGPT—does digital watermarking offer a solution?” *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 10, 2023.
- [591] I. Jahan, M. T. R. Laskar, C. Peng, and J. X. Huang, “A comprehensive evaluation of large language models on benchmark biomedical text processing tasks,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 171, p. 108189, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010482524002737>
- [592] Q. Chen, H. Sun, H. Liu, Y. Jiang, T. Ran, X. Jin, X. Xiao, Z. Lin, H. Chen, and Z. Niu, “An extensive benchmark study on biomedical text generation and mining with chatgpt,” *Bioinformatics*, vol. 39, no. 9, p. btad557, 09 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btad557>
- [593] O. Banimelhem and W. Amayreh, “The performance of ChatGPT in emotion classification,” in *2023 14th International Conference on Information and Communication Systems (ICICS)*, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [594] K. Schaaff, C. Reinig, and T. Schlippe, “Exploring ChatGPT’s empathic abilities,” in *2023 11th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*, 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [595] P. Barra, Z. Mnasri, and D. Greco, “Multimodal emotion recognition from voice and video signals,” in *IEEE EUROCON 2023 - 20th International Conference on Smart Technologies*, 2023, pp. 169–174.
- [596] T. Feng and S. Narayanan, “Foundation model assisted automatic speech emotion recognition: Transcribing, annotating, and augmenting,” in *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2024, pp. 12 116–12 120.
- [597] V. Yang, A. Srivastava, Y. Etesam, C. Zhang, and A. Lim, “Contextual emotion estimation from image captions,” in *2023 11th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*, 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [598] W.-C. Lin, S. Ghaffarzaghan, L. Bondi, A. Kumar, S. Das, and H.-H. Wu, “CLAP4Emo: ChatGPT-assisted speech emotion retrieval with natural language supervision,” in *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2024, pp. 11 791–11 795.
- [599] T. Sievers and N. Russwinkel, “Interacting with a sentimental robot – making human emotions tangible for a social robot via chatgpt*,” in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Robotics and Its Social Impacts (ARSO)*, 2024, pp. 182–187.
- [600] S. J. Lee, H. Tony Lee, and K. Lee, “Enhancing emotion detection through ChatGPT-augmented text transformation in social media text,” in *2024 33rd IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (ROMAN)*, 2024, pp. 872–879.