ACTION ACCESSIBLE AND WEAKLY ACTION REPRESENTABLE VARIETIES OF ALGEBRAS

X. GARCÍA-MARTÍNEZ 💿 AND M. MANCINI 💿

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this article is to investigate the relationship between action accessibility and weak action representability in the context of varieties of non-associative algebras over a field. Specifically, using an argument of J. R. A. Gray in the setting of groups, we prove that the varieties of k-nilpotent Lie algebras ($k \ge 3$) and the varieties of n-solvable Lie algebras ($n \ge 2$) do not form weakly action representable categories. These are the first known examples of action accessible varieties of non-associative algebras that fail to be weakly action representable, establishing that a subvariety of a (weakly) action representable variety of non-associative algebras needs not be weakly action representable. Eventually, we refine J. R. A. Gray's result by proving that the varieties of k-nilpotent groups ($k \ge 3$) and that of 2-solvable groups are not weakly action representable.

INTRODUCTION

Internal actions were defined in [1] by F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly with the aim of extending the correspondence between actions and split extensions from the context of groups to arbitrary semi-abelian categories [11]. In some of those categories, internal actions are exceptionally well behaved, in the sense that the actions on each object X are representable: this means that there exists an object [X] such that the functor $Act(-, X) \cong SplExt(-, X)$ which sends an object B to the set of actions of B on X (isomorphisms classes of split extensions of B by X) is naturally isomorphic to the functor Hom(-, [X]). The notion of representability of actions in a semi-abelian category is further studied in [2], where it is explained for instance that the category of commutative associative algebras over a field is not action representable. Later it was shown in [8] that the only action representable varieties of non-associative algebras over an infinite field of characteristic different from 2 are the category Lie of Lie algebras and the category AbAlg of abelian algebras. The relative strength of the notion naturally led to the definition of closely related weaker notions.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 08A35; 08C05; 16W25; 17A36; 18E13.

Key words and phrases. Action representable category, action accessible category, amalgamation property, split extension, non-associative algebra, Lie algebra.

The first author is supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) with grant number PID2021-127075NA-I00. The second author is supported by the University of Palermo; by the "National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications" (GNSAGA – INdAM); by the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4, Component 2, Investment 1.1, Call for tender No. 1409 published on 14/09/2022 by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR), funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU – Project Title Quantum Models for Logic, Computation and Natural Processes (QM4NP) – CUP: B53D23030160001 – Grant Assignment Decree No. 1371 adopted on 01/09/2023 by the Italian Ministry of Ministry of University and Research (MUR); by the SDF Sustainability Decision Framework Research Project – MISE decree of 31/12/2021 (MIMIT Dipartimento per le politiche per le imprese – Direzione generale per gli incentivi alle imprese) – CUP: B79J23000530005, COR: 14019279, Lead Partner: TD Group Italia Srl, Partner: University of Palermo; and he is also a postdoctoral researcher of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–FNRS.

In [3] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze introduced the notion of *action accessible* category in order to include relevant examples that do not fit into the frame of action representable categories (such as rings, associative algebras and Leibniz algebras [16]). A. Montoli proved in [19] that any *category of interest* in the sense of Orzech [21] is action accessible, while in [5] the authors showed that a weaker notion of representing object (which they name as the *universal strict general actor*) is available for any Orzech category of interest.

Recently, G. Janelidze introduced in [10] the concept of weakly action representable category. Instead of asking that for any object X in a semi-abelian category \mathscr{C} there is an object [X] and a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Act}(-, X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(-, [X])$, we require the existence of a weakly representing object T = T(X) and a natural monomorphism of functors

$$\tau \colon \operatorname{Act}(-, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(-, T).$$

When each X admits such an object T, \mathscr{C} is said to be *weakly action representable*. This is the case for instance of the category of associative algebras [10], the category of commutative associative algebras [4], or the category of Leibniz algebras [7].

In [10] the author proved that any weakly action representable category is action accessibile. However, J. R. A. Gray observed in [9] that the converse of this implication is not true: he showed that the notion of weak action representability is connected to the existence of a so-called *amalgam* [12], which already appeared in [2] in relations with action representability, and he proved that the varieties of *n*-solvable groups $(n \ge 3)$ are not weakly action representable.

The notion of weakly representable action was then studied in [4] in the context of varieties of non-associative algebras over a field, where the authors provided new examples of weakly action representable categories, such as the variety of commutative associative algebras and those of 2-nilpotent (commutative, anti-commutative and non-commutative) algebras.

Nevertheless, the authors were not able to find an example of an action accessible variety which is not weakly action representable and they ended up with the following open questions:

(1) Does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of non-associative algebras over a field?

(2) How does the condition of weakly representable actions behave under taking subvarieties? Specifically, if a variety of non-associative algebras \mathscr{V} is weakly action representable, does it follow that every subvariety of \mathscr{V} is also weakly action representable?

The main aim of this article is to show that the answer to these questions is "no". We use Proposition 2.2. of [9] to prove that the varieties $\operatorname{Nil}_k(\operatorname{Lie})$ of k-nilpotent Lie algebras and $\operatorname{Sol}_n(\operatorname{Lie})$ of n-solvable Lie algebras, which are subvarieties of Lie, are not weakly action representable for any $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2$. As an additional result, we use similar methods to refine J. R. A. Gray's result [9] by proving that the varieties of k-nilpotent groups $(k \ge 3)$ and that of 2-solvable groups are not weakly action representable.

1. Preliminaries

Let $\mathscr C$ be a semi-abelian category [11] and let B, X be objects of a $\mathscr C$. We recall that a *split extension* of B by X is a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{k} A \xleftarrow{\alpha}{\longleftarrow} B \longrightarrow 0$$

in \mathscr{C} such that $\alpha \circ \beta = \mathrm{id}_B$ and (X, k) is a kernel of α .

For any object X of \mathscr{C} , one may define the functor

$$\operatorname{SplExt}(-, X) \colon \mathscr{C}^{op} \to \operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$$

which maps any object B of \mathscr{C} to the set $\operatorname{SplExt}(B, X)$ of isomorphism classes of split extensions of B by X, and any arrow $f: B' \to B$ to the *change of base* morphism $f^*: \operatorname{SplExt}(B, X) \to \operatorname{SplExt}(B', X)$ given by pulling back along f.

Given a semi-abelian category, one may define the notion of internal action [1]. Internal actions on an object X give rise to a functor

$$\operatorname{Act}(-,X) \colon \mathscr{C}^{op} \to \operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$$

and one may prove there exists a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Act}(-, X) \cong \operatorname{SplExt}(-, X)$ (see [2]). Here we don't describe explicitly internal actions, since split extensions are more handy to work with, especially in the context of non-associative algebras. This justifies the terminology in the definition that follows.

Definition 1.1 ([2]). A semi-abelian category \mathscr{C} is said to be *action representable* if for any object X in \mathscr{C} , the functor SplExt(-, X) is representable. This means that there exists an object [X] of \mathscr{C} and a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\operatorname{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(-, [X]).$$

The prototype examples of action representable categories are the category **Grp** of groups and the category **Lie** of Lie algebras over a commutative unitary ring. In the first case, it is well known that every action of B by X is represented by a group homomorphism $B \to \operatorname{Aut}(X)$, where $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is the group of automorphisms of X. In the second case, any split extension of B by X is represented by a Lie algebra homomorphism $B \to \operatorname{Der}(X)$, where $\operatorname{Der}(X)$ is the Lie algebra of derivations of X.

However the notion of action representable category has proven to be quite restrictive: for instance, in [8] the authors proved that the only examples of action representable varieties of non-associative algebras (over an infinite field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2) are the category **AbAlg** of abelian algebras and the category **Lie** of Lie algebras.

Definition 1.2 ([10]). A semi-abelian category \mathscr{C} is said to be *weakly action representable* if for every object X in \mathscr{C} , the functor SplExt(-, X) admits a weak representation. This means that there exist an object T = T(X) of \mathscr{C} and a natural monomorphism of functors

 $\tau \colon \operatorname{SplExt}(-, X) \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(-, T).$

A morphism $(\varphi: B \to T) \in \text{Im}(\tau_B)$ is called *acting morphism*.

Examples of weakly action representable category include the variety **Assoc** of associative algebras [10], where T = Bim(X) is the associative algebra of *bimultipliers* of X [17]; the variety **Leib** of Leibniz algebras, where T = Bim(X) is the Leibniz algebra of *biderivations* of X [16, 18]; the varieties of 2-nilpotent (commutative, anti-commutative and non-commutative) algebras (see [4, 13, 14, 15]). Note that in these mentioned examples, the weakly representing object is quite easy to consider. However, this is not always the case. The variety **CAssoc** of commutative associative algebras is also weakly action representable, but the algebra that could be expected to be a weakly representing object is not commutative. Nevertheless, in [4] a big colimit merged with the amalgamation property is used to naturally construct a weakly representing object.

Another important observation made by G. Janelidze in [10] is that every weakly action representable category is action accessible. We thus have that

action representability \Rightarrow weak action representability \Rightarrow action accessibility.

The author ended up with an open question, whether reasonably mild conditions may be found on a semi-abelian category under which the second implication may be reversed.

This question was very interestingly addressed by J. R. A. Gray, who proved in [9] that the varieties of *n*-solvable groups are not weakly action representable for any $n \ge 3$. He showed that the notion of weak action representability is connected with the so-called *amalgamation property* (AP) [12].

We recall that a span of monomorphisms $m: S \to B$ and $m': S \to B'$ in a category \mathscr{C} admits an amalgam in \mathscr{C} if there exist two monomorphisms $u: B \to D$ and $u': B' \to D'$ in \mathscr{C} such that um = u'm'. The relations between the representability of actions and the (AP) were firstly investigated in [2], where it was proved that for any Orzech category of interest, action representability is equivalent to the (AP) for *protosplit* monomorphisms, i.e., monomorphisms which form the kernel part of a split extension.

Using the (AP), J. R. A. Gray gave sufficient conditions under which a Birkhoff subcategory of an action representable category is not weakly action representable.

Proposition 1.3 ([9]). Let \mathscr{C} be an action representable category and let \mathscr{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathscr{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \to B$, $m': S \to B'$ in \mathbb{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \to D$, $u': B' \to D$ in \mathscr{C} , an object X of \mathscr{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \to [X]$ such that

- (a) m and m' cannot be amalgamated in \mathscr{X} ;
- (b) um = u'm';
- (c) the split extensions with kernel X corresponding to vu and vu' are in \mathscr{X} .

 \square

Then, the category \mathscr{X} is not weakly action representable.

Thanks to a B. H. Neumann's example of an abelian group S, a 2-nilpotent group B and two monomorphisms $m, m': S \rightarrow B$ which cannot be amalgamated in any solvable group D (see [20]), it is possible to apply Proposition 1.3 in order to prove that the varieties of n-solvable groups are not weakly action representable for any $n \ge 3$.

2. NILPOTENT AND 2-SOLVABLE GROUPS

In this section we adapt to k-nilpotent $(k \ge 3)$ and 2-solvable groups the proof stating that the categories of n-solvable groups $(n \ge 3)$ are not weakly action representable.

Let us first recall the concrete example the example of B. H. Neumann that is used in J. R. A. Gray's proof. Consider the following groups in the form of generators and relations:

$$\begin{split} S &= \langle a, b \mid [a, b] = a^5 = b^5 = 1 \rangle, \\ B &= \langle x, a, b \mid [x, a] = b^{-1}, [x, b] = x^5 = a^5 = b^5 = 1 \rangle, \\ B' &= \langle y, a, b \mid [y, b] = a^{-1}, [y, a] = y^5 = a^5 = b^5 = 1 \rangle. \end{split}$$

It was proved in [20] that the obvious inclusions of S inside B and B' cannot be amalgamated in any solvable group, and it was observed in [9] that there exist a group X and two monomorphisms $\psi: B \to \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and $\psi': B' \to \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ agreeing on S, such that the corresponding split extensions are 3-solvable.

We aim now to show that it is possible to choose X, ψ and ψ' in such a way the split extensions corresponding to ψ and ψ' are 3-nilpotent. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_5^3$ be the abelian group formed by 3 copies of \mathbb{Z}_5 . We consider the group monomorphisms $\psi \colon B \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and $\psi' \colon B' \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ defined by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \psi(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\psi(a) = \psi'(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \psi(b) = \psi'(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is immediate to see that the relations are preserved. To finish the proof, we just need to check that the induced split extensions are 3-nilpotent.

Let $B_{\psi} = B \ltimes_{\psi} X$ and $B_{\psi'} = B \ltimes_{\psi'} X$ be the semi-direct products induced by ψ and ψ' respectively. We have to check that they are 3-nilpotent groups. Recall that the multiplication in B_{ψ} is defined by

$$(b, x)(b', x') = (bb', x\psi(g)(x')),$$

for any $b, b' \in B$ and $x, x' \in X$. Since B is already 2-nilpotent and X is abelian, it is immediate to see that any nested commutators of length three must vanish. Thus, B_{ψ} is a 3-nilpotent group. The same result can be obtained for the semi-direct product $B_{\psi'}$ and we can state the following.

Theorem 2.1. The variety of k-nilpotent groups is not weakly action representable for any $k \ge 3$.

Since 3-nilpotent implies 2-solvable, the same example allows to prove the following.

Corollary 2.2. The variety of 2-solvable groups is not weakly action representable. \Box

3. Subvarieties of Lie Algebras

The aim of this section is to prove that, over a field \mathbb{F} , the varieties of k-nilpotent Lie algebras $(k \ge 3)$ and the varieties of n-solvable Lie algebras $(n \ge 2)$ are not weakly action representable.

Recall that, given any Lie algebra L, its lower central series is defined as

$$L^0 = L, \quad L^k = [L, L^{k-1}],$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Lie algebra L is said to be k-nilpotent if $L^{k-1} \neq 0$ and $L^k = 0$. In addition, its derived series is defined as

$$L^{(0)} = L, \quad L^{(n)} = [L^{(n-1)}, L^{(n-1)}],$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Lie algebra L is said to be n-solvable if $L^{(n-1)} \neq 0$ and $L^{(n)} = 0$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Nil}_k(\operatorname{Lie})$ and $\operatorname{Sol}_n(\operatorname{Lie})$ the subvarieties of Lie consisting of all Lie algebras that are *s*-nilpotent and *t*-solvable, respectively, for some $s \leq k$ and $t \leq n$. Note that the classes of all nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras do not, in general, form varieties; however, they do when the degree of solvability or nilpotency is bounded.

Inspired by B. H. Neumann's proof for solvable groups [20], we show that there exist an abelian algebra S, and two monomorphisms $m: S \to B$ and $m': S \to B'$, where B and B' are solvable, which cannot be amalgamated in any solvable Lie algebra.

Let $S = \mathbb{F}\{a, b\}$ be the 2-dimensional abelian algebra and consider two copies B, B' of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra. More explicitly, $B = \mathbb{F}\{x, a, b\}$

with bracket [x, a] = b, and $B' = \mathbb{F}\{y, a, b\}$ with bracket [y, b] = a, where the mononomorphisms are the obvious inclusions.

Now, suppose there exists a solvable Lie algebra D and two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrow D$ and $u': B' \rightarrow D$, such that they agree on S.

Consider the Lie subalgebra P of D generated by u(B) and u'(B'). Since S is an ideal of B and B', then U = u(S) = u'(S) is ideal of P. This gives us the adjoint map

$$\operatorname{ad}: P \to \operatorname{Der}(U), \quad p \mapsto \operatorname{ad}_p,$$

where $\operatorname{ad}_p = [p, -]$ and $\operatorname{Der}(U) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{F})$.

Since P is solvable, $ad(P) \cong P/ker(ad)$ is also solvable. Nevertheless, ad(P) is the Lie algebra generated by

$$\operatorname{ad}_{u(x)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\operatorname{ad}_{u'(y)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Hence, $\operatorname{ad}(P)$ is isomorphic to the special linear algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F})$, which is a simple Lie algebra, and we get a contradiction. Thus, m and m' cannot be amalgamated in any solvable Lie algebra. Since nilpotency implies solvability, this argument shows that the monomorphisms $m: S \to B$ and $m': X \to B'$ cannot be amalgamated in any nilpotent Lie algebra. To conclude the proof, we observe that

(i) Lie has the amalgamation property [12]. Thus, there exist a Lie algebra L and two monomorphisms $\psi: B \to L$ and $\psi': B' \to L$ agreeing on S. For instance, we may consider $L = \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{F})$ and the two faithful representations defined by

$$\psi(x) = -e_{23}, \quad \psi(a) = e_{12}, \quad \psi(b) = e_{13}$$

and

 $\psi'(y) = -e_{32}, \quad , \psi'(a) = e_{12} \quad , \psi'(b) = e_{13},$

where e_{ij} is the 3×3 matrix which has 1 in the entry (i, j) and 0 elsewhere. It is immediate to check that the subalgebras $\psi(B)$ and $\psi'(B')$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(3,\mathbb{F})$ are both isomorphic to the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra.

(ii) Let X be the 3-dimensional abelian algebra and let $v = \operatorname{id}_L$ be the canonical isomorphism $L \cong \operatorname{Der}(X)$. We aim to prove that the split extension of B by X corresponding to $v \circ \psi = \psi$ and that of B' by X corresponding to $v \circ \psi' = \psi'$ are in $\operatorname{Nil}_k(\operatorname{Lie})$, for any $k \ge 3$. To get the result, we need to explicitly check that the semi-direct products $B_{\psi} = B \ltimes_{\psi} X$ and $B'_{\psi'} = B \ltimes_{\psi'} X$, induced by ψ and ψ' respectively, are 3-nilpotent Lie algebras. In fact, the semi-direct product B_{ψ} may be described as the Lie algebra with basis $\{x, a, b, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and Lie brackets

$$[x, a] = b, \quad [x, e_3] = -e_2, \quad [a, e_2] = [b, e_3] = e_1.$$

One may easily check that $[B_{\psi}, B_{\psi}] = \mathbb{F}\{b, e_1, e_2\}, [B_{\psi}, [B_{\psi}, B_{\psi}]] = \mathbb{F}\{e_1\}$ and $[B_{\psi}, [B_{\psi}, [B_{\psi}, B_{\psi}]]] = 0$, which means that B_{ψ} is 3-nilpotent. This can be done similarly in the case of $B'_{\psi'}$.

Summarising, the hypothesis of Proposition 1.3 are verified and we can conclude with the following.

Theorem 3.1. The variety $Nil_k(Lie)$ is not weakly action representable for any $k \ge 3$.

Note that the same argument does not apply to the case k = 2 since the semidirect products B_{ψ} and $B_{\psi'}$ are not 2-nilpotent algebras.

Finally, since any 3-nilpotent Lie algebras is also 2-solvable, we get the following.

Corollary 3.2. The variety $\mathbf{Sol}_n(\mathbf{Lie})$ is not weakly action representable for any $n \ge 2$.

References

- F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, *Internal object actions*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 46 (2005), no. 2, 235–255.
- [2] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, On the representability of actions in a semi-abelian category, Theory and Applications of Categories 14 (2005), no. 11, 244–286.
- [3] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze, Centralizers in action accessible categories, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques 50 (2009), no. 3, 211–232.
- [4] J. Brox, X. García-Martínez, M. Mancini, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, Weak representability of actions of non-associative algebras, Journal of Algebra 669 (2025), no. 18, 401–444.
- [5] J. M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Universal strict general actors and actors in categories of interest, Applied Categorical Structures 18 (2010), 85–114.
- [6] A. S. Cigoli, J. R. A. Gray and T. Van der Linden, Algebraically coherent categories, Theory and Applications of Categories 30 (2015), no. 54, 1864–1905.
- [7] A. S. Cigoli, M. Mancini and G. Metere, On the representability of actions of Leibniz algebras and Poisson algebras, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society 66 (2023), no. 4, 998–1021.
- [8] X. García-Martínez, M. Tsishyn, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, Algebras with representable representations, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society 64 (2021), no. 2, 555–573.
- [9] J. R. A. Gray, A note on the relationship between action accessible and weakly action representable categories, Theory and Applications of Categories 44 (2025), no. 8, 272–276.
- [10] G. Janelidze, Central extensions of associative algebras and weakly action representable categories, Theory and Applications of Categories 38 (2022), no. 36, 1395–1408.
- [11] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, Semi-abelian categories, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 168 (2002), no. 2, 367–386.
- [12] E. W. Kiss, L. Márki, P. Pröhle and W. Tholen, Categorical algebraic properties. A compendium on amalgamation, congruence extension, epimorphisms, residual smalness, and injectivity, Studia Scientiarun Mathematicarum Hungarica 18 (1983), 79–141.
- [13] G. La Rosa and M. Mancini, Two-step nilpotent Leibniz algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications 637 (2022), no. 7, 119–137.
- [14] G. La Rosa and M. Mancini, Derivations of two-step nilpotent algebras, Communications in Algebra 51 (2023), no. 12, 4928–4948.
- [15] G. La Rosa, M. Mancini and G. P. Nagy, Isotopisms of nilpotent Leibniz algebras and Lie racks, Communications in Algebra 52 (2024), no. 9, 3812–3825.
- [16] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, L'Enseignement Mathématique 39 (1993), no. 3-4, 269–293.
- [17] S. Mac Lane, Extensions and obstructions for rings, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 2 (1958), no. 3, 316–345.
- [18] M. Mancini, Biderivations of Low-Dimensional Leibniz Algebras, Non-Associative Algebras and Related Topics II, NAART 2020 (H. Albuquerque, J. Brox, C. Martínez, P. Saraiva, eds.), Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 427, no. 8, Springer, Cham, 2023, pp. 127–136.
- [19] A. Montoli, Action accessibility for categories of interest, Theory and Applications of Categories 23 (2010), no. 1, 7–21.
- [20] B. H. Neumann, Permutational products of groups, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society 1 (1960), no. 3, 299–310.
- [21] G. Orzech, Obstruction theory in algebraic categories I and II, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 2 (1972), no. 4, 287–314 and 315–340.
- [22] Ü. Reimaa, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, Associativity and the cosmash product in operadic varieties of algebras, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 67 (2023), no 3, 563–59.
- [23] T. Van der Linden, Non-associative algebras, New Perspectives in Algebra, Topology and Categories (M. M. Clementino, A. Facchini, M. Gran, eds.), Coimbra Mathematical Texts, vol. 1, Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 225–258.

Email address: xabier.garcia.martinez@uvigo.gal Email address: manuel.mancini@unipa.it; manuel.mancini@uclouvain.be

(Xabier García-Martínez) CITMAGA & Universidade de Vigo, Departamento de Matemáticas, Esc. Sup. de Enx. Informática, Campus de Ourense, E-32004 Ourense, Spain. (Manuel Mancini) Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy.

(Manuel Mancini) Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université catholique de Louvain, chemin du cyclotron 2 bte L7.01.02, B–1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.