MSCA-Net: Multi-Scale Context Aggregation Network for Infrared Small Target Detection

Xiaojin Lu, Taoran Yue, Jiaxi Cai, Yuanping Chen, Shibing Chu*

Abstract-Detecting infrared small targets in complex backgrounds remains a challenging task because of the low contrast and high noise levels inherent in infrared images. These factors often lead to the loss of crucial details during feature extraction. Moreover, existing detection methods have limitations in adequately integrating global and local information, which constrains the efficiency and accuracy of infrared small target detection. To address these challenges, this paper proposes a novel network architecture named MSCA-Net, which integrates three key components: Multi-Scale Enhanced Detection Attention mechanism(MSEDA), Positional Convolutional Block Attention Module (PCBAM), and Channel Aggregation Block (CAB). Specifically, MSEDA employs a multi-scale feature fusion attention mechanism to adaptively aggregate information across different scales, enriching feature representation. PCBAM captures the correlation between global and local features through a correlation matrix-based strategy, enabling deep feature interaction. Moreover, CAB redistributes input feature channels, facilitating the efficient transmission of beneficial features and further enhancing the model's detection capability in complex backgrounds. The experimental results demonstrate that MSCA-Net achieves outstanding small target detection performance in complex backgrounds. Specifically, it attains mIoU scores of 78.43%, 94.56%, and 67.08% on the NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRTSD-1K datasets, respectively, underscoring its effectiveness and strong potential for real-world applications.

Index Terms—Infrared small target detection, Deep learning, Attention mechanism, Multi-Scale

I. INTRODUCTION

Infrared remote sensing technology plays a critical role in various applications, including military early warning [1], disaster early warning [2], secure night surveillance [3], traffic monitoring [4], aerospace imaging [5] and agriculture [6]. In the military domain, it facilitates the rapid identification of enemy targets, enhances battlefield situational awareness, and enables the early detection of potential threats, thereby improving the accuracy and efficiency of strategic decision-making [7]. In disaster rescue operations, infrared remote sensing precisely locates trapped individuals, supporting swift search and rescue efforts, significantly enhancing rescue efficiency and reducing casualties [8]. In environmental monitoring, this technology is employed for meteorological observations, atmospheric pollution detection, early warning of forest fires, and other applications. This allows for the timely detection of potential hazards and provides accurate data support to relevant agencies, ensuring that disaster response measures are both prompt and effective [9]. In the field of agriculture,

*Corresponding author.

infrared remote sensing is equipped with unmanned aerial vehicles to acquire remote sensing data of rice and wheat, and combined with a neural network model, provides intelligent solutions for optimizing crop harvesting schemes and monitoring diseases and pests [10], [11]. Moreover, owing to its passive operation, robust target recognition capabilities, and all-weather functionality, infrared remote sensing also holds significant value in small target detection.

However, despite its considerable application potential, infrared small target detection still faces numerous practical challenges. First, in long-range imaging, small targets typically occupy only a minute fraction of the image—with contrast levels below 0.15, signal-to-noise ratios under 1.5, and overall pixel coverage of less than 0.15% [12]—resulting in a lack of distinct visual features such as color and texture, which makes segmentation particularly challenging in complex backgrounds. Furthermore, under the interference of complex, dynamically changing backgrounds and noise from wave and cloud effects, these small targets are often prone to blurring or occlusion, further complicating detection. Consequently, effectively suppressing background noise, enhancing the model's feature extraction capabilities, and achieving multi-scale detection of small targets remain challenging tasks.

To address these issues, we propose the MSCA-Net model and introduce a multi-scale attention mechanism (MSEDA) to increase the model's capacity for multi-scale feature extraction while fostering deep interactions between local and global information. In addition, a Positional Convolutional Block Attention Module (PCBAM) [13] is incorporated to strengthen contextual information extraction. To further augment the model's representational capability, a channel aggregation module (CAB) [14] is also introduced. Our goal is to enhance multi-scale feature extraction, facilitate the integration of local and global information, and improve the overall feature expression of the model, thereby tackling the challenge of precise target segmentation amid background noise and complex interference.

Our study demonstrates that the proposed MSCA-Net model significantly improves the segmentation accuracy for infrared small targets, providing valuable insights for the application of deep learning in the field of infrared imaging. Specifically, the main contributions of this work are as follows:

(1) We propose an infrared small target detection network, MSCA-Net, which integrates multi-scale feature detection and contextual information interaction mechanisms. This network adaptively extracts critical features across different scales while facilitating cross-layer feature fusion, significantly improving target detection performance and segmentation accu-

The authors are with School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Jiangsu University, China.(Corresponding author e-mail:c@ujs.edu.cn)

racy in complex backgrounds.

(2) We design a Multi-Scale Attention Module (MSEDA), which employs a multi-scale feature fusion attention mechanism to adaptively aggregate information across different scales. This mechanism enriches feature representation by highlighting key information, thereby enhancing the model's adaptability to complex scenarios and improving detection accuracy.

(3) We introduce the PCBAM module and the Channel Aggregation Block (CAB). PCBAM combines the Position Attention Module (PAM) and the cross-space channel interaction mechanism (CBAM) to achieve cross-layer feature fusion effectively, thereby enhancing the model's focus on key regions. Moreover, CAB strengthens contextual information interaction through channel aggregation, facilitating efficient transmission of beneficial features and further improving the model's target detection capability in complex backgrounds.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related previous work. Section 3 details the specifics of our detection network. Section 4 describes the experimental setup, results, and analysis. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

We can broadly classify existing infrared small target detection methods into two paradigms: model-driven approaches centered on physical feature modeling and data-driven approaches using deep learning [15]. This section briefly reviews the application of traditional model-driven methods as well as current data-driven methods in the segmentation of infrared small targets, focusing particularly on the significant research progress achieved by the U-Net architecture and its variants in this field.

A. Model-driven approaches

Over the past several decades, researchers have proposed a variety of model-driven infrared small target detection (IRSTD) algorithms. These methods encompass techniques inspired by the human visual system (HVS) [16], [17], lowrank approximation approaches [18]-[20], top-hat filtering [21], and local contrast-based algorithms [22]. They typically rely on explicit mathematical models and assumptions, demonstrating excellent performance when there is a pronounced contrast between the target and its background. However, these traditional approaches exhibit certain limitations, especially when targets are embedded in complex backgrounds or when loud background noise is present. Specifically, when targets are interfered with by intricate backgrounds or high-contrast noise, the detection performance of conventional methods often deteriorates markedly, leading to a high rate of false alarms. This degradation arises because model-driven methods usually assume a distinct contrast difference between the target and the background-a condition that is frequently violated in real-world scenarios. Consequently, in dynamic and complex environments, particularly in practical applications such as military reconnaissance and security surveillance, these traditional methods often fail to achieve the required accuracy.

B. Data-driven approaches

To overcome the limitations of conventional model-driven approaches, researchers have shifted their focus toward datadriven deep learning methods. Compared with traditional techniques, these methods exhibit a distinct advantage in suppressing background noise and have achieved promising results in infrared small target detection.

Researchers initially introduced convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [23] for infrared small target detection, significantly enhancing detection performance because of their powerful feature extraction capabilities. This breakthrough preliminarily validated the immense potential of data-driven models in this domain. However, the conventional CNN downsampling process, while high-level features are extracted, also results in a gradual loss of spatial information, posing a substantial challenge for the precise localization of small targets. Moreover, target segmentation plays a pivotal role in infrared small target detection. Unlike simple target detection tasks, segmentation requires not only the identification of the target but also the accurate delineation of its boundaries, which is crucial for the effective recognition and tracking of infrared small targets. Owing to their lack of pixel-level prediction mechanisms, traditional CNNs models and standard classification networks are often inadequate in meeting the stringent requirements for precise contour extraction inherent in target segmentation tasks.

To overcome these issues, researchers have adopted the encoder-decoder U-Net architecture to address the shortcomings of conventional CNNs in small target detection. Originally introduced by Ronneberger et al. [24] for medical image segmentation, U-Net's distinctive encoder-decoder design excels in precise segmentation tasks. By merging high-level feature maps with low-level ones through skip connections, the architecture effectively restores the spatial details of targets. This capability enables U-Net to accurately delineate small target regions in infrared images and maintain robust performance against complex backgrounds. Consequently, U-Net has gradually become the predominant approach in infrared small target detection, and its numerous variants (e.g., 3D-U-Net [25] and Attention U-Net [26]) have further enhanced both detection and segmentation performance. For example, in 2019, Wang et al. [27] proposed MDvsFA-cGAN, an infrared small target segmentation framework based on a generative adversarial network (GAN) paradigm that balances the tradeoff between missed detections and false alarms. In 2021, Dai et al. [28] designed an asymmetric contextual modulation (ACM) module specifically for infrared small target detection to supplement bottom-up modulation channels. In the same year, Dai et al. [29] introduced ALCNet, which reconstructs traditional local contrast measurement methods into an endto-end network incorporating attention modulation. In 2022, Zhang et al. [30] developed the edge block aggregator network ISNet, which is aimed at enhancing edge information across multiple layers to improve the contrast between background and targets.

Nevertheless, a standalone U-Net architecture still struggles with segmentation accuracy in infrared small target detection. To address this limitation, researchers have combined U-Net with advanced attention mechanisms, thereby further boosting detection performance and segmentation precision by enhancing the model's focus on key regions. For example, Ren D et al. [31] proposed DNANet, a densely nested attention network based on the U-Net++ architecture that recovers clear images directly from blurred inputs via a novel connection topology. Similarly, Wu X et al. [32] refined U-Net to develop a "U-Net within U-Net" framework (UIU-Net), which embeds a smaller U-Net into a larger U-Net backbone and incorporates both channel and spatial attention for multi-level, multi-scale object representation learning. In addition, Sun et al. [33] designed the RDIAN network, which integrates receptive field and direction-guided attention mechanisms; it extracts features from various regions through convolutional layers with different receptive fields while using a multidirectional attention mechanism to enhance target information in low-level feature maps. Hou et al. [34] introduced ISTDU-Net, an infrared small target detection network that converts single-frame infrared images into pixel-wise target probability maps. To strengthen the representation of small target features, the network incorporates feature map groups during downsampling; fully connected layers, added within skip connections, effectively suppress background interference, improving target-background contrast. Xu et al. [35] further contributed to SCTransNet, a single-branch real-time segmentation network that employs a transformer to align semantic information with CNNs' features; this network maintains the fast inference of lightweight single-branch CNNs while achieving high precision.

In addition to U-Net-based architectures, other network models have also played pivotal roles in infrared small target detection. For example, Zhao et al. [36] proposed APLCNet, a detection network model based on attention and partially learnable convolution. By designing a projection global selfattention module (PGSAM) that leverages IMT projection saliency, the model effectively encodes long-range features to capture contextual relationships between targets and their backgrounds, thereby increasing detection accuracy. Ma et al. [37] introduced MDCENet, a multi-dimensional crossenhancement network that employs a multi-dimensional complementary transformer (MDCT) module to fuse local convolutional features with global transformer features. They also developed residual omni dimensional convolutional blocks (RODCBs) and a global composite feature fusion (GCFF) module to capture subtle target details and integrate contextual information from encoding, decoding, and shallow layers. In addition, Cao et al. [38] presented the YOLO-TSL model, which improves detection accuracy by incorporating a ternary attention-based backbone, and reduces computational complexity via a Slim-Neck structure combined with a VoV-GSCSP module. This method further optimizes bounding box regression through an internal MPDIoU loss, achieving more efficient infrared target detection while enhancing overall performance.

In summary, integrating U-Net with a multi-scale attention mechanism can enhance feature representation, thereby improving segmentation performance. Moreover, cross-layer feature fusion is crucial for optimizing model performance, as it effectively integrates semantic information across different layers and strengthens the perception of infrared small targets. Therefore, exploring an effective approach to integrate the U-Net architecture, multi-scale attention mechanisms, and crosslayer feature fusion remains highly valuable for improving feature extraction accuracy and target perception capability.

III. METHODOLOGY

Figure 1 illustrates the overall architecture of the MSCA-Net model. The U-Net baseline forms the foundation of the model, which also incorporates the MSEDA, PCBAM, and CAB modules. Initially, the MSEDA module introduces a multi-scale attention mechanism to bolster feature extraction capabilities. Moreover, the integration of the PCBAM module allows for more precise capture of small target positional information in images, while also enhancing the extraction of contextual information to achieve deep interactions between global and local features. Finally, the application of the CAB module augments the model's decoding ability by mapping feature maps through channel concatenation and 1×1 convolution layers, thereby facilitating accurate pixel-wise classification.

A. Multi-scale enhanced detection attention module

Small targets in images often exhibit low contrast and subtle features, rendering conventional convolutional neural networks ineffective at extracting their information. To address this challenge, we drew inspiration from the transformer paradigm to redesign the basic convolutional block for feature extraction. Building on this foundation, we incorporated the core concepts of MAB [39] and MSDA [40] into the proposed MSEDA module, specifically optimizing it for the characteristics of infrared small targets. Leveraging hierarchical feature interactions alongside an adaptive weight allocation mechanism effectively enhances both the representation and fusion efficiency of cross-scale features. This approach substantially improves the model's accuracy in capturing complex pattern features, offering a novel architectural optimization for multiscale feature extraction tasks. As shown in Figure 2, the MSEDA module employs a hybrid strategy that integrates multi-scale large kernel attention embedding with dilated convolutions, further increasing its ability to capture multiscale information.

Given a feature map X, we apply layer normalization(LN) to standardize the features, enhancing training stability and accelerating model convergence. After normalization, we employ three convolutions with distinct parameter settings to extract features at specific scales or orientations. The first convolution uses a small kernel to capture local fine-grained details, whereas the second adopts a larger kernel to focus on global contextual information. The third operation incorporates dilated convolutions with varying dilation rates to expand the receptive field, thereby balancing global and local feature representations.

The extracted features from these three convolutional branches are subsequently fused and fed into an embedding



Fig. 1: MSCA-Net overall architecture diagram.

layer to enrich feature diversity and semantic representation. The output feature map X is then processed through additional convolutions to generate the corresponding q, k, and v (query, key, and value), with feature channels split across three attention heads. Self-attention is applied within each group, while different dilation rates are used to refine feature representations across groups. The aggregated features from all the groups are then concatenated to facilitate intergroup information exchange. Finally, a 1×1 convolution layer is employed to project the refined feature representations. Specifically, the MSEDA module is formally defined as follows:

For a given input feature X, the whole process of MSEDA can be described as follows:

$$N = \text{LN}(X)$$

$$f(N) = \text{Conv}_1(\text{Conv}_2(N))) \otimes \text{Conv}_2(N)$$

$$X = X + \lambda_1 \text{Conv}_1(\text{Conv}_1(N) \otimes \text{Conv}_1(f_1(N), f_2(N)))$$

$$N = \text{LN}(X)$$

$$X = X + \lambda_2 \text{Conv}_1(\text{Conv}_1(N) \otimes \text{Conv}_1(\text{Conv}_2(N)))$$

$$Output = \text{Embedding}(X)$$
(1)

where $LN(\cdot)$ and λ are layer normalization and learnable scaling factors, respectively. \otimes Represents element-by-element multiplication that preserves the dimension, \oplus represents element-by-element addition(all the occurrences of \otimes and \oplus below mean the same thing).

$$h_i = SA(Q_i, K_i, V_i, r_i), 1 \le i \le n$$

$$X = Conv(Concat[h_1, \dots, h_n])$$
(2)

Where r_i is the expansion rate of the *i*-th head, Q_i , K_i , and V_i each represent a slice of the feature map fed to the *i*-th head. The outputs of all heads, $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$, are spliced together and then fed into the convolution layer for feature aggregation. At the default setting, we use a 3×3 convolution kernel with expansion rates r = 1, 2, 3, and the receptive field sizes of different attention heads are $3 \times 3, 5 \times 5$, and 7×7 , respectively.

B. Positional convolutional block attention module

Given the inherent limitations of existing small-target detection methods in integrating global semantics and achieving cross-layer feature fusion, this paper introduces the PCBAM module. Its design is inspired by the cross-modal interaction paradigm proposed in [13] and has been optimized to better suit infrared small-target detection tasks. PCBAM deeply integrates the position attention mechanism (PAM) with the channel-spatial attention module CBAM [41] through an adaptive recalibration strategy and a dual-branch parallel architecture. By employing a dynamic weight allocation mechanism, PCBAM ensures the precise alignment of multi-granularity features, establishing global contextual dependencies along the channel dimension while leveraging spatial attention to guide geometric associations among local features. This ultimately forms a parameter-adaptive feature enhancement pathway. The experimental results demonstrate that PCBAM offers significant advantages in optimizing feature weight distributions and facilitating deep interactions between global and local information. To further explore the specific operational principles of the PCBAM module, we next provide a detailed mathematical analysis of its processing of the input feature F.

For a given input feature F with dimensions $C \times H \times W$, where C, H, and W denote the number of channels, height, and width, respectively. The PCBAM process is as follows. Initially, F undergoes transformation within the channel attention module (CAM) to obtain F_C .

$$F_C = \sigma(\operatorname{mlp}(\operatorname{gap}(F)) + \operatorname{mlp}(\operatorname{gmp}(F)))$$
(3)

In Equation (3), σ represents the sigmoid activation function, while gap and gmp denote the global average pooling layer and global max pooling layer, respectively. The term mlp refers to a multilayer perceptron composed of two fully connected layers, where the first dense layer contains *C*-th channel units, and the second dense layer consists of $\frac{C}{8}$ -th channel units. Subsequently, $F'_C = F_C \otimes F$ is passed into the spatial attention module (SAM), where \otimes represents element-

Fig. 2: MSEDA module architecture.

Conv_1

Conv_1

Element-wise Addition

wise matrix multiplication. After processing through the SAM layer, F'_C is transformed into F_S .

Input X

Conv 2

Conv_1

Conv 1

Concat

Conv 1

Conv_1

$$F_S = f^{7 \times 7} \left[\text{DL}(\text{gap}(F'_C)); \text{DL}(\text{gmp}(F'_C)) \right]$$
(4)

In Equation (4), $f^{7\times7}$ denotes a convolutional operation with a kernel size of 7×7 and a dilation rate of 4. DL represents a dense layer, whereas ; signifies the concatenation operation.

Next, we discuss the positional attention module. Given an input feature map $F \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$, the processing steps within this module are as follows. The input passes through a convolutional layer, generating three new feature maps B, Z, and D, each with a dimensionality of $\mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$. These feature maps are then reshaped into $\mathbb{R}^{N \times C}$ (where $N = H \times W$). Next, matrix multiplication is performed between the transpose of Z and B, followed by the application of a softmax layer, obtaining a spatial attention feature map $S \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. D is subsequently reshaped back to $\mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$. Finally, an elementwise summation is applied between F and the processed feature map, yielding the output $F_P \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$. The entire computation is formulated as shown in Equations (5) and (6).

$$s_{ji} = \frac{\exp(B_i \cdot Z_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(B_i \cdot Z_j)}$$
(5)

$$F_{P_j} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ji} \cdot D_i + F_j \tag{6}$$

The term s_{ji} quantifies the influence of position *i* on position *j*, whereas α represents a scaling parameter initialized to zero and progressively learned and adjusted during training. Ultimately, the overall formulation of the PCBAM module is expressed as follows, with its detailed architecture illustrated in Figure 3.

$$F_{\text{PCBAM}} = F_C' + F_S + F_P \tag{7}$$

C. Channel aggregation block module

Element-wise multiplication

Concat Conv

Output Feature

Existing small-target detection models often struggle with inadequate target feature representation, particularly in complex backgrounds or multi-scale scenarios, where background information overwhelms or diminishes target features. To address this challenge, the Channel Aggregation Block (CAB) [14] is introduced to effectively mitigate the limitations in feature representation. As illustrated in Figure 4, CAB functions primarily by aggregating information across different channels, thereby enhancing the expression of critical features while suppressing redundant features. Specifically, it strengthens channel-wise relationships by assigning higher weights to essential channels, improving the model's sensitivity to small targets and its robustness against complex backgrounds. Beyond enhancing feature distinguishability, CAB facilitates deep multi-scale information fusion, providing more precise feature representations for small-target detection tasks. Additionally, during the decoding process, CAB reweights key features, directing the model's focus toward salient target characteristics and improving infrared small-target segmentation accuracy. Moreover, it preserves global contextual information while refining local feature capture, ensuring more reliable support for the final segmentation results. To further explore the specific role of the CAB, the following section presents a mathematical analysis of the processes of the input feature X.

For a given input feature X, CAB is defined as follows:

$$Y = \operatorname{GELU}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{3\times 3}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{1\times 1}\left(\operatorname{LN}(X)\right)\right)\right) \tag{8}$$

$$CA = Y + \gamma_C \otimes \left(Y - \operatorname{GELU}\left(\operatorname{Conv}_{1 \times 1}(Y)\right)\right) \tag{9}$$

Specifically, $CAB(\cdot)$ performs channel information collection and redistribution via the GELU from $R^{C \times HW} \rightarrow R^{1 \times HW}$. The channel scaling factor γ_C , initialized to zero, redistributes the channel features through the complementary interaction $Y - GELU(Conv_{1 \times 1}(Y))$. The overall representation of the CAB formula is shown below.

$$CAB(X) = X + Conv_{1 \times 1}(CA(Y))$$
(10)



Fig. 3: Feature fusion process with MSFA module.



Fig. 4: Dyhead structure diagram.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

This section validates the effectiveness of the proposed method through extensive experiments. Section 4.1 introduces the public dataset used in this study and describes its partitioning strategy. Section 4.2 details the training and testing procedures, including hyperparameter settings and hardware configurations. Section 4.3 presents the evaluation metrics used to ensure the scientific rigor and reliability of the comparative analysis. Section 4.4 conducts a comprehensive comparison between the proposed method and other stateof-the-art infrared small target detection networks from both qualitative and quantitative perspectives to assess the performance advantages. Section 4.5 systematically analyzes the role and contribution of each module within the framework through ablation studies, further verifying their critical impact on overall performance enhancement. Section 4.6 presents experimental results that intuitively illustrate the detection effectiveness of different methods, strongly supporting the experimental conclusions.

A. Dataset preparation

To evaluate the performance of the proposed model in infrared small target detection, we conducted experiments on three public data sets: NUAA-SIRST [28], NUDT-SIRST [31] and IRSTD-1K [30]. Specifically, NUAA-SIRST contains 427

infrared images, NUDT-SIRST includes 1,327 images, and IRSTD-1K contains 1,001 images. All three datasets were divided into the training and test sets at a 7:3 ratio. These datasets cover infrared images captured at short, medium, and 950nm wavelengths, covering a wide range of remote sensing backgrounds, including the sky, ground, buildings, and oceans. In addition, the dataset contains multiple target types, including military targets such as drones and ships, further enriching the diversity of test scenarios. At the same time, these datasets also contain many challenging scenes, such as low contrast and complex backgrounds, ensuring a comprehensive assessment of model detection capabilities.

B. Implementation details

To ensure the rigor of the comparative experiment, we adopted unified experimental datasets. First, all deep learning models were retained based on the training data set used by MSCA-Net to ensure the consistency of data division and training samples; Secondly, in the model reasoning stage, the traditional algorithm strictly follows the parameter configuration suggested in the original text. For the method requiring threshold segmentation, we fixed the threshold parameters recommended in various literature to avoid the influence of artificial adjustment on the results. https://github.com/XinyiYing/ BasicIRSTD provides open-source implementations of most techniques.

In terms of experimental details, all the experiments were conducted on an Intel Xeon Platinum 8481 CPU with a Linux operating system and 24.0 GB of RAM. All the deep learning models were implemented via the torch framework. To accelerate training, an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 4090 D GPU with CUDA 11.8 was utilized. The Adam optimizer with a smoothing constant of 0.9 was employed to optimize the MSE loss function. The initial learning rate was set to 10^{-3} , with a minimum value of 10^{-5} . We trained the model for 1,000 epochs, automatically adjusting the learning rate using a cosine annealing strategy during training. The batch size is set to 16, and the IoU threshold for NMS is set to 0.5.

C. Evaluation metrics

Segmentation methods based on U-Net primarily employ pixel-level evaluation metrics, including the IoU, nIoU, P_d , and F_a . These measures focus on assessing the shape characteristics of the detected targets.

(1)IoU: The IoU (intersection over union) is a pixel-level

TABLE I: Comparison with other prior methods

Method	NUAA-SIRST			NUDT-SIRST			IRSTD-1K					
	mIoU	nIoU/%	P_d	F_a	mIoU	nIoU/%	P_d	F_a	mIoU	nIoU/%	P_d	F_a
Top-Hat [19]	7.143	18.27	79.84	1012	20.72	28.98	78.41	166.7	10.06	7.74	75.11	1432
WSLCM [22]	1.158	6.835	77.95	5446	2.283	3.865	56.82	1309	3.452	0.678	72.44	6619
IPI [18]	25.67	50.17	84.63	16.67	17.76	15.42	74.49	41.23	27.92	20.46	81.37	16.18
ACM [28]	68.93	69.18	91.63	15.23	61.12	64.40	94.18	34.61	59.23	57.03	93.27	65.28
ALCNet [29]	70.83	71.05	94.30	36.15	64.74	67.20	94.18	34.61	60.60	57.14	92.98	58.80
RDIAN [33]	68.72	75.39	93.54	43.29	76.28	79.14	95.77	34.56	56.45	59.72	88.55	26.63
ISTDU-Net [34]	75.52	79.73	96.58	14.54	89.55	90.48	97.67	13.44	66.36	63.86	93.60	53.10
IAANet [42]	74.22	75.58	93.53	22.70	90.22	92.04	97.26	8.32	66.25	65.77	93.15	14.20
DNANet [31]	75.80	79.20	95.82	8.78	88.19	88.58	98.83	9.00	65.90	66.38	90.91	12.24
UIU-Net [32]	75.75	71.50	95.82	14.13	93.48	93.89	98.31	7.79	66.15	66.66	93.98	22.07
MSCA-Net(Ours)	78.43	79.91	96.96	16.80	94.56	94.36	98.52	5.86	67.08	67.15	94.12	17.02

evaluation metric designed to measure an algorithm's ability to delineate target contours. It quantifies model performance by computing the ratio between the intersection and union of the predicted and ground truth regions. The definition is as follows:

$$IoU = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TP[i]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (T[i] + P[i] - TP[i])}$$
(11)

(2)nIoU: nIoU is a standardized version of the IoU specifically designed for infrared small target segmentation. Its primary objective is to provide a more precise assessment of small target segmentation performance while mitigating the influence of large targets on the results.

$$nIoU = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{TP[i]}{T[i] + P[i] - TP[i]}$$
(12)

(3) P_d : P_d is defined as the ratio of correctly predicted targets N_{pred} to the total number of targets N_{all} , expressed as:

$$P_d = \frac{N_{\text{pred}}}{N_{\text{all}}} \tag{13}$$

(4) F_a is defined as the ratio of falsely predicted target pixels N_{false} to the total number of pixels in the image P_{all} , expressed as:

$$F_a = \frac{N_{\text{false}}}{P_{\text{all}}} \tag{14}$$

In addition to the evaluation methods based on fixed thresholds, we also utilize the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for a comprehensive assessment of the model. The ROC curve illustrates how the true positive rate (P_d) changes as the false positive rate (F_a) varies.

D. Detection performance comparison

To validate the superiority of our method, we compared it with several advanced approaches and conducted experiments on three publicly available datasets: NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K. For the NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K datasets, the mIoU reached 78.43%, 94.56%, and 67.08%, respectively, whereas the nIoU reached 79.91%, 94.36%, and 67.15%, respectively. Table I shows the detailed quantitative results.

The experimental results demonstrate that model-driven approaches generally perform worse than data-driven methods do, particularly with a notable gap in terms of the IoU. This is because model-driven methods focus on the overall position of the target rather than precise shape segmentation. However, in complex and dynamic backgrounds, model-driven methods often overlook small targets and their boundaries, leading to poor performance in small target detection tasks.

Our proposed method outperforms other approaches across all three datasets, confirming its effectiveness and advantages in the IRSTD task. Compared to other methods, our MSCA-Net model is more efficient in multi-scale feature extraction, enhancing the ability to capture features. Additionally, our approach has a clear advantage in extracting contextual information and achieving deep interaction between global and local features. Although DNA-Net showed lower falsepositive rates (F_a) on NUAA-SIRST and IRSTD-1K datasets, our approach significantly outperformed DNA-Net in detection accuracy and segmentation accuracy (P_d improved by 1.2-3.5% and IoU improved by 1.8-3.5%). For the NUDT-SIRST dataset, although the detection probability (P_d) of DNA-Net is only 0.2% higher, our method reduces the false alarm rate (F_a) to 1.5 times, achieving better detection reliability. This performance balance across data sets shows that our method is more robust between accuracy and false alarm suppression, and is especially suitable for infrared small target detection in complex background.

Figure 5 presents the ROC curves of several competitive algorithms. The ROC curve of the MSCA-Net algorithm outperforms those of the other methods. By appropriately selecting the segmentation threshold, MSCA-Net achieves the highest detection accuracy on the NUAA-SIRST and IRSTD-1K datasets, while maintaining the lowest false positives on the NUDT-SIRST and IRSTD-1K datasets.

E. Ablation study

In this section, we validate the effectiveness of the MSCA-Net model through baseline experiments. Table II shows the ablation study results for the proposed MSCA-Net model. We progressively added the MSEDA, PCBAM, and CA modules to the baseline model. The experiment was conducted on the NUAA-SIRST dataset.

The experimental results show that the MSEDA module



Fig. 5: ROC curves of different methods on the NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K datasets. (a) NUAA-SIRST. (b) NUST-SIRST. (c) IRSTD-1K.

TABLE II: Ablation results of MSCA-Net

MSEDA	PCBAM	CAB	mIoU(%)	nIoU(%)	$P_d(\%)$	$F_a(10^{-6})$
×	×	X	75.23	76.32	94.57	31.83
1	×	X	76.71	78.84	95.44	24.63
1	1	X	77.64	79.51	95.06	20.92
1	1	1	78.43	79.91	96.96	16.80

enhances the cross-level representation ability of small targets through a multi-scale feature fusion strategy, whereas the PCBAM module effectively focuses on key regions via a channel-space-location attention collaborative mechanism. The CAB module significantly improves the discriminative expression of features through global channel interaction. When these three components work together, they form a progressive optimization system involving scale adaptation, spatial localization, and channel enhancement. This synergy generates collaborative effects across three critical stages, i.e., feature extraction, attention selection, and feature enhancement, ultimately constructing a multi-level feature expression network with strong discriminative power for infrared small targets.

(1) Impact of the MSEDA module: The MSEDA module is designed for multi-scale feature extraction, thereby enhancing the overall feature representation of small targets. To validate its effectiveness, we compared the MSEDA module with two other multi-scale modules, SCTB and ASSA. The experimental results, shown in Table III, demonstrate that MSEDA outperforms both SCTB and the ASSA in improving the feature representation of small targets, leading to a significant increase in detection accuracy.

TABLE III: Comparative experiment of the MSEDA module in MSCA-Net

Module name	mIoU(%)	nIoU(%)	$P_d(\%)$	$F_a(10^{-6})$
SCTB [35]	75.53	76.47	94.88	30.22
ASSA [43]	76.07	76.19	96.93	22.71
MSEDA	76.71	78.84	95.44	24.63

(2) Impact of the PCBAM module: To validate its effectiveness, we compared it with two other attention modules, CFN and CBAM. The experimental results, presented in Table IV, indicate that the PCBAM module outperforms the other two modules in constructing contextual information and capturing the local feature space, thereby effectively improving the model's detection accuracy.

TABLE IV: Comparative experiment of the PCBAM module in MSCA-Net

Module name	mIoU(%)	nIoU(%)	$P_d(\%)$	$F_a(10^{-6})$
CFN [35]	76.98	79.03	95.88	23.43
CBAM [41]	77.02	78.88	96.03	23.11
PCBAM	77.64	79 51	95.06	20.92

(3) Impact of the CAB module: To verify its effectiveness, we compared it with two other feature expression modules, the CCA and the FAM. The experimental results, shown in Table V, demonstrate that CAB outperforms the other two modules in aggregating information across different channels, enhancing the expression of critical features, and suppressing the interference of redundant features, thereby effectively improving the segmentation performance of the model.

TABLE V: Comparative experiments of CAB modules in MSCA-Net

Module name	mIoU(%)	nIoU(%)	$P_d(\%)$	$F_a(10^{-6})$
CCA [35]	77.83	78.53	95.46	19.83
FAM [44]	78.01	78.94	96.24	19.24
CAB	78.43	79.91	96.96	16.80

F. Visual results

On the NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K datasets, qualitative comparative analysis of seven mainstream infrared small target segmentation algorithms demonstrates that the method proposed in this study shows significant advantages under different clutter backgrounds and noise interference. This is attributed to the synergistic effect of our multiscale feature extraction and position-channel-space attention mechanism, which effectively enhances the edge response features of small targets.

As shown in Figures 6 and 7, we selected six images with complex backgrounds and considerable interference for testing. The blue boxes indicate correct detections, the yellow boxes indicate false detections, and the red boxes indicate missed detections. The experimental results indicate that, compared with other algorithms, our method successfully detects every target, whereas other methods result in some missed and false detections. For example, as shown in Figure 6 (5), all



Fig. 6: Visual results were obtained by different IRSTD methods on the NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K datasets. The circles in blue, red, and yellow represent correctly detected targets, missed detections, and false alarms, respectively. (a) Input. (b) ACM. (c) ALCNet. (d)RDIAN. (e) DNA-Net. (f) ISTDU-Net. (g) UIU-Net. (h) MSCA-Net. (i) GT.



Fig. 7: 3-D visualization of the saliency maps of different methods on six test images. (a) Input. (b) ACM. (c) ALCNet. (d)RDIAN. (e) DNA-Net. (f) ISTDU-Net. (g) UIU-Net. (h) MSCA-Net. (i) GT.

methods, except for our method and DNA-Net, produced false alarms. This issue can be attributed to these methods relying solely on local contrast information and lacking the ability to model long-range dependencies in the image, making them prone to false positives in complex backgrounds. As shown in Figure 6 (6), our method successfully segmented and localized the target, whereas other deep learning methods failed to detect it. Figure 7 presents a 3D visualization of the saliency maps generated by different methods on the six test images.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study proposes a multi-scale perception-based infrared small target detection algorithm, MSCA-Net, which is built upon the U-Net architecture and employs a triple-coordinated optimization mechanism to overcome performance bottlenecks. Specifically, in the encoder stage, a multiscale enhanced dual attention mechanism (MSEDA) is introduced, combined with a cascaded dilated convolution module to adaptively aggregate multi-scale information, thereby enhancing the model's feature extraction capability. Moreover, a positionaware cross-layer attention block (PCBAM) is incorporated to refine target features across the spatial, channel, and positional dimensions, facilitating deep interactions between global and local information. In the decoder stage, a Channel Aggregation Block (CAB) is further integrated to optimize the allocation of input feature channels, enabling the efficient transmission of critical features and improving the model's robustness in complex backgrounds. The experimental results demonstrate that MSCA-Net achieves outstanding detection performance on three benchmark datasets—NUAA-SIRST, NUDT-SIRST, and IRSTD-1K—where quantitative evaluations strongly validate its effectiveness and superiority.

REFERENCES

- N. P. Bertrand, J. Lee, K. F. Prussing, S. Shapero, and C. J. Rozell, "Infrared search and track with unbalanced optimal transport dynamics regularization," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 2072–2076, 2020.
- [2] W. Zhang and S. Liu, "Applications of the small satellite constellation for environment and disaster monitoring and forecasting," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, vol. 1, pp. 9–16, 2010.
 [3] H. Deng, X. Sun, M. Liu, C. Ye, and X. Zhou, "Small infrared
- [3] H. Deng, X. Sun, M. Liu, C. Ye, and X. Zhou, "Small infrared target detection based on weighted local difference measure," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 4204–4214, 2016.
- [4] Y. Sun, J. Yang, and W. An, "Infrared dim and small target detection via multiple subspace learning and spatial-temporal patch-tensor model," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 3737–3752, 2020.
- [5] A. Karim and J. Y. Andersson, "Infrared detectors: Advances, challenges and new technologies," in *IOP Conference series: materials science and engineering*, vol. 51, no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2013, p. 012001.
- [6] M. Awais, W. Li, S. Hussain, M. J. M. Cheema, W. Li, R. Song, and C. Liu, "Comparative evaluation of land surface temperature images from unmanned aerial vehicle and satellite observation for agricultural areas using in situ data," *Agriculture*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 184, 2022.
- [7] M. Zeng, J. Li, and Z. Peng, "The design of top-hat morphological filter and application to infrared target detection," *Infrared physics & technology*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 67–76, 2006.
- [8] A. Fekete, K. Tzavella, I. Armas, J. Binner, M. Garschagen, C. Giupponi, V. Mojtahed, M. Pettita, S. Schneiderbauer, and D. Serre, "Critical data source; tool or even infrastructure? challenges of geographic information systems and remote sensing for disaster risk governance," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1848–1869, 2015.
- [9] S. Asadzadeh, W. J. de Oliveira, and C. R. de Souza Filho, "Uavbased remote sensing for the petroleum industry and environmental monitoring: State-of-the-art and perspectives," *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 208, p. 109633, 2022.
- [10] C. Cong, C. Guangqiao, L. Yibai, L. Dong, M. Bin, Z. Jinlong, L. Liang, and H. Jianping, "Research on monitoring methods for the appropriate rice harvest period based on multispectral remote sensing," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2022, no. 1, p. 1519667, 2022.
- [11] W. Zhu, Z. Feng, S. Dai, P. Zhang, and X. Wei, "Using uav multispectral remote sensing with appropriate spatial resolution and machine learning to monitor wheat scab," *Agriculture*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 1785, 2022.
- [12] P. B. Chapple, D. C. Bertilone, R. S. Caprari, S. Angeli, and G. N. Newsam, "Target detection in infrared and sar terrain images using a non-gaussian stochastic model," in *Targets and backgrounds: characterization and representation V*, vol. 3699. SPIE, 1999, pp. 122–132.
- [13] P. Pramanik, A. Roy, E. Cuevas, M. Perez-Cisneros, and R. Sarkar, "Daunet: Dual attention-aided u-net for segmenting tumor in breast ultrasound images," *Plos one*, vol. 19, no. 5, p. e0303670, 2024.
- [14] S. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Liu, C. Tan, H. Lin, D. Wu, Z. Chen, J. Zheng, and S. Z. Li, "Moganet: Multi-order gated aggregation network," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2211.03295, 2022.
- [15] F. Zhang, S. Lin, X. Xiao, Y. Wang, and Y. Zhao, "Global attention network with multiscale feature fusion for infrared small target detection," *Optics & Laser Technology*, vol. 168, p. 110012, 2024.
- [16] C. P. Chen, H. Li, Y. Wei, T. Xia, and Y. Y. Tang, "A local contrast method for small infrared target detection," *IEEE transactions on* geoscience and remote sensing, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 574–581, 2013.
- [17] S. Kim and J. Lee, "Scale invariant small target detection by optimizing signal-to-clutter ratio in heterogeneous background for infrared search and track," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 393–406, 2012.
- [18] C. Gao, D. Meng, Y. Yang, Y. Wang, X. Zhou, and A. G. Hauptmann, "Infrared patch-image model for small target detection in a single image," *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 4996–5009, 2013.
- [19] H. Zhu, S. Liu, L. Deng, Y. Li, and F. Xiao, "Infrared small target detection via low-rank tensor completion with top-hat regularization," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 1004–1016, 2019.

- [20] J. Zhao, J. Chen, Y. Chen, H. Feng, Z. Xu, and Q. Li, "Sparserepresentation-based automatic target detection in infrared imagery," *Infrared Physics & Technology*, vol. 56, pp. 85–92, 2013.
- [21] X. Bai and F. Zhou, "Analysis of new top-hat transformation and the application for infrared dim small target detection," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2145–2156, 2010.
- [22] J. Han, S. Moradi, I. Faramarzi, H. Zhang, Q. Zhao, X. Zhang, and N. Li, "Infrared small target detection based on the weighted strengthened local contrast measure," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1670–1674, 2020.
- [23] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 25, 2012.
- [24] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18.* Springer, 2015, pp. 234–241.
- [25] Ö. Çiçek, A. Abdulkadir, S. S. Lienkamp, T. Brox, and O. Ronneberger, "3d u-net: learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2016: 19th International Conference, Athens, Greece, October 17-21, 2016, Proceedings, Part II 19.* Springer, 2016, pp. 424–432.
- [26] O. Oktay, J. Schlemper, L. L. Folgoc, M. Lee, M. Heinrich, K. Misawa, K. Mori, S. McDonagh, N. Y. Hammerla, B. Kainz *et al.*, "Attention u-net: Learning where to look for the pancreas," *arXiv preprint arXiv*:1804.03999, 2018.
- [27] H. Wang, L. Zhou, and L. Wang, "Miss detection vs. false alarm: Adversarial learning for small object segmentation in infrared images," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer* vision, 2019, pp. 8509–8518.
- [28] Y. Dai, Y. Wu, F. Zhou, and K. Barnard, "Asymmetric contextual modulation for infrared small target detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision*, 2021, pp. 950–959.
- [29] —, "Attentional local contrast networks for infrared small target detection," *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 9813–9824, 2021.
- [30] M. Zhang, R. Zhang, Y. Yang, H. Bai, J. Zhang, and J. Guo, "Isnet: Shape matters for infrared small target detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 877–886.
- [31] B. Li, C. Xiao, L. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Lin, M. Li, W. An, and Y. Guo, "Dense nested attention network for infrared small target detection," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 32, pp. 1745–1758, 2022.
- [32] X. Wu, D. Hong, and J. Chanussot, "Uiu-net: U-net in u-net for infrared small object detection," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 32, pp. 364–376, 2022.
- [33] H. Sun, J. Bai, F. Yang, and X. Bai, "Receptive-field and direction induced attention network for infrared dim small target detection with a large-scale dataset irdst," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [34] Q. Hou, L. Zhang, F. Tan, Y. Xi, H. Zheng, and N. Li, "Istdu-net: Infrared small-target detection u-net," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 19, pp. 1–5, 2022.
- [35] S. Yuan, H. Qin, X. Yan, N. Akhtar, and A. Mian, "Sctransnet: Spatialchannel cross transformer network for infrared small target detection," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2024.
- [36] E. Zhao, L. Dong, X. Chu, and M. Wang, "Infrared maritime small target detection network based on attention and partial learning convolution," *Infrared Physics & Technology*, p. 105748, 2025.
- [37] T. Ma, K. Cheng, T. Chai, S. Prasad, D. Zhao, J. Li, and H. Zhou, "Mdcenet: Multi-dimensional cross-enhanced network for infrared small target detection," *Infrared Physics & Technology*, vol. 141, p. 105475, 2024.
- [38] L. Cao, Q. Wang, Y. Luo, Y. Hou, J. Cao, and W. Zheng, "Yolo-tsl: A lightweight target detection algorithm for uav infrared images based on triplet attention and slim-neck," *Infrared Physics & Technology*, vol. 141, p. 105487, 2024.
- [39] Y. Wang, Y. Li, G. Wang, and X. Liu, "Multi-scale attention network for single image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 5950–5960.
- [40] J. Jiao, Y.-M. Tang, K.-Y. Lin, Y. Gao, A. J. Ma, Y. Wang, and W.-S. Zheng, "Dilateformer: Multi-scale dilated transformer for visual

recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 25, pp. 8906–8919, 2023.

- [41] S. Woo, J. Park, J.-Y. Lee, and I. S. Kweon, "Cbam: Convolutional block attention module," in *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 3–19.
- [42] K. Wang, S. Du, C. Liu, and Z. Cao, "Interior attention-aware network for infrared small target detection," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–13, 2022.
 [43] S. Zhou, D. Chen, J. Pan, J. Shi, and J. Yang, "Adapt or perish:
- [43] S. Zhou, D. Chen, J. Pan, J. Shi, and J. Yang, "Adapt or perish: Adaptive sparse transformer with attentive feature refinement for image restoration," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 2952–2963.
- [44] B. Ren, Y. Li, N. Mehta, R. Timofte, H. Yu, C. Wan, Y. Hong, B. Han, Z. Wu, Y. Zou et al., "The ninth ntire 2024 efficient super-resolution challenge report," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 6595–6631.