

# k-connectivity threshold for superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs

Daumilas Ardickas, Mindaugas Bloznelis, Rimantas Vaicekauskas

Institute of Computer Science, Vilnius University  
Didlaukio 47, LT-08303 Vilnius, Lithuania

## Abstract

Let  $G_1, \dots, G_m$  be independent identically distributed Bernoulli random subgraphs of the complete graph  $\mathcal{K}_n$  having vertex sets of random sizes  $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and random edge densities  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in [0, 1]$ . Assuming that each  $G_i$  has a vertex of degree 1 with positive probability, we establish the  $k$ -connectivity threshold as  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$  for the union  $\cup_{i=1}^m G_i$  defined on the vertex set of  $\mathcal{K}_n$ .

## 1 Introduction

Connectivity is a basic graph property. The strength of connectedness was addressed in the fundamental paper by Menger [18] as early as 1927. Connectivity strength of a random graph, where a given number of edges is inserted uniformly at random, has been studied in the seminal papers by Erdős and Rényi [10, 11]. We recall that a graph is called  $k$  vertex (edge) connected if the removal of any  $k - 1$  vertices (edges) does not disconnect it. Let  $p_{n,m,k}$  denote the probability that the Erdős-Rényi random graph on  $n$  vertices with  $m$  randomly inserted edges is  $k$  (vertex) connected. It follows from the results of [11] that as  $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$  the probability  $p_{n,m,k}$  undergoes a fast growth in the range  $m = \frac{n}{2} (\ln n + (k - 1) \ln \ln n + c_n)$ . For  $c_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$  the probability  $p_{n,m,k} \rightarrow 0.5 \pm 0.5$ ; for  $c_n \rightarrow c$  the probability  $p_{n,m,k} \rightarrow \exp\{-e^{-c}/(k - 1)!\}$ . In the literature this phenomenon is referred to as  $k$ -connectivity threshold. It is important to mention that for  $c_n \rightarrow -\infty$  the minimal degree of the Erdős-Rényi random graph is at most  $k - 1$  with probability tending to 1 [11] (this in turn implies  $p_{n,m,k} = o(1)$ ). In the subsequent literature the  $k$ -connectivity property has been studied for various random graph models: regular graphs [8], [22], geometric graphs [19], inhomogeneous binomial graphs [9], [21], random intersection graphs [25], [7], see also [12], [17], [15] and references therein.

In the present paper we establish the  $k$ -connectivity threshold for superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs. We now introduce the random graph model in detail. Let  $(X, Q), (X_1, Q_1), \dots, (X_m, Q_m), \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed bivariate random variables taking values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \times [0, 1]$ . Given  $n$  and  $m$ , let  $G_1 = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{E}_1), \dots, G_m = (\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{E}_m)$  be independent Bernoulli random subgraphs of the complete graph  $\mathcal{K}_n$  having random vertex sets  $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$  and random edge sets  $\mathcal{E}_i$ . Here  $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \dots, n\} =: [n]$  denotes the vertex set of  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . Each  $G_i = (\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$  is obtained by firstly sampling  $(X_i, Q_i)$  and secondly by selecting a subset of vertices  $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$  of size  $|\mathcal{V}_i| = \min\{X_i, n\}$  uniformly at random

from the class of subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$  of size  $\min\{X_i, n\}$  and retaining edges between selected vertices independently at random with probability  $Q_i$ . In particular,  $G_i$  is a random graph on  $\min\{X_i, n\}$  vertices, where every pair of vertices is linked by an edge independently at random with probability  $Q_i$ . Note that given  $i$  random variables  $X_i$  and  $Q_i$  do not need to be independent. We study the union graph  $G_{[n,m]} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$  with the vertex set  $\mathcal{V} = [n]$  and the edge set  $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{E}_i$ .

Our motivation for studying the random graph  $G_{[n,m]}$  is based on two observations. Firstly,  $G_{[n,m]}$  is a natural generalisation of the Erdős-Rényi graph  $G[n, m]$ , the random graph on  $n$  vertices with  $m$  randomly inserted edges [10]. Indeed, similarly to  $G[n, m]$  our graph  $G_{[n,m]}$  is obtained by inserting  $m$  bunches of edges  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m$  (instead of  $m$  single edges), which, in addition, may overlap. Secondly,  $G_{[n,m]}$  represents a null model of the community affiliation graph of [23, 24] that has attracted considerable attention in the literature. Community affiliation graph is a union of independent Bernoulli random graphs (communities), where the overlaps of the vertex sets of contributing communities are arranged by design. Therefore,  $G_{[n,m]}$  represents a random network of overlapping communities  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ . We also mention related random graph models of overlapping community networks [16] and [20].

In the parametric regime  $m = \Theta(n)$  as  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$  the random graph  $G_{[n,m]}$  admits an asymptotic degree distribution and non-vanishing global clustering coefficient [5]. The clustering property of  $G_{[n,m]}$  indicates the abundance of small dense subgraphs. Asymptotic distributions of respective subgraph counts are studied in [4]. The effect of clustering on the component structure and percolation was studied in [5]. Letting  $m/n \rightarrow +\infty$  at the rate  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$  one can make  $G_{[n,m]}$  connected with a high probability. The connectivity threshold (under various conditions on the distribution of  $(X, Q)$ ) was studied in [1, 2], [6], [13].

Before formulating our result, we introduce some notation. Given integer  $x \geq 0$  and number  $q \in [0, 1]$  we denote by  $G(x, q)$  the Bernoulli random graph with the vertex set  $[x] = \{1, \dots, x\}$  and with the edge probability  $q$  (any pair of vertices is declared adjacent independently at random with probability  $q$ ).  $G(0, q)$  refers to the empty graph having no vertices. We denote

$$h(x, q) = 1 - (1 - q)^{(x-1)_+}$$

the probability that vertex 1 is not isolated in  $G(x, q)$ . We write for short  $(x)_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$  and assign value 1 to the expression  $0^0$ . Note that  $h(1, q) = h(0, q) = 0$  for any  $q \in [0, 1]$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  the indicator function of an event (set)  $\mathcal{A}$ . We denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  the event complement to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbf{E}(Q \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}}), & \kappa^* &= \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q)), & \tau^* &= \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q(1 - Q)^{X-2}), \\ \lambda^* &= \lambda_{n,m,k}^* = \ln n + (k - 1) \ln \frac{m}{n} - \frac{m}{n} \kappa^*. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Assume that  $m = m(n) = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . Assume that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\tau^* > 0$  and*

$$\mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q) \ln(1 + X)) < \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X \min\{1, XQ\} \ln(1 + X)) < \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X^j Q^{j-1}) < \infty, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k. \quad (4)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m]} \text{ is vertex } k\text{-connected}\} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } \lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m]} \text{ is edge } k\text{-connected}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6)$$

We remark that since the vertex  $k$ -connectivity implies edge  $k$ -connectivity, the dichotomy (5), (6) extends to either sort of  $k$ -connectivity (edge and vertex connectivity).

We comment on the conditions of Theorem 1. Condition  $\alpha > 0$  excludes the trivial case where  $G_{[n,m]}$  is empty. Indeed,  $\alpha = 0$  implies  $\mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m]} \text{ has no edges}\} = 1$ . Furthermore,

condition  $\tau^* > 0$  excludes the case, where each community  $G_i$  is either empty or is a clique of (random) size of at least 3. It also implies that  $G_i$  has a vertex of degree 1 with positive probability. The condition  $\tau^* > 0$  plays important role in the proof of (6), where we show that  $G_{[n,m]}$  has a vertex of degree at most  $k-1$  with high probability. In particular, under the assumption that  $\tau^* > 0$  the  $k$ -connectivity threshold for community affiliation graph with randomly assigned community memberships follows a pattern similar to that of the Erdős-Rényi random graph described in the seminal paper [10]: an obstacle to  $k$ -connectivity is a vertex of degree at most  $k-1$ . The case where  $\tau^* = 0$  (i.e., the case of clique communities of sizes  $\geq 3$ ) needs a different approach and will be considered elsewhere. Conditions (3), (4) are technical and can probably be relaxed.

We note that for  $\mathbf{E}(X^2Q) < \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$  the parameter  $\kappa^*$  (that enters  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^*$ ) is well defined and it is bounded away from zero. Indeed, we show in Fact 3 (Section 2 below) that

$$\mathbf{E}((X)_2Q) \geq \kappa^* \geq \alpha. \quad (7)$$

We also discuss condition  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . We impose this condition to exclude sequences  $m = m(n)$  satisfying  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  and such that  $m(n) = o(n)$  because for such sequences (5) may fail. For example, for bounded  $X$  and for  $m = o(n)$  the number of isolated vertices is at least  $n - \sum_{i=1}^m |\mathcal{V}_i| \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i = n - O_P(m) = O_P(n)$ . An example of such a sequence is  $m = m(n) = \lfloor n^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}} \ln^{-1} n \rfloor$ .

Finally, we note that the validity of (5) extends to the case where  $n \ln n = o(m)$ . Similarly, the validity of (6) extends to the case  $m = o(n \ln n)$ . To see this we combine Theorem 1 with the coupling argument: given two sequences  $m_- = m_-(n)$  and  $m_+ = m_+(n)$  such that  $m_- \leq m_+$  there is a natural coupling of  $G_{[n,m_-]}$  and  $G_{[n,m_+]}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m_-]} \subset G_{[n,m_+]}\} = 1$  (we obtain  $G_{[n,m_-]}$  from  $G_{[n,m_+]}$  by removing  $m_+ - m_-$  layers). Clearly,  $k$ -connectivity of  $G_{[n,m_-]}$  implies  $k$ -connectivity of  $G_{[n,m_+]}$ . Similarly, if  $G_{[n,m_+]}$  fails to be  $k$ -connected then so does  $G_{[n,m_-]}$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of two parts. We prove (6) in Lemma 1 and (5) in Lemma 2. For convenience we first formulate Lemmas 1 and 2. Then we prove Theorem 1. Proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 are postponed until after the proof of Theorem 1. We begin with notation and auxiliary facts.

*Notation.* We write, for short,  $G = G_{[n,m]}$ . Given  $S \subset \mathcal{V} = [n]$ , we denote by  $G^{(-S)}$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the vertex set  $\mathcal{V} \setminus S$ . For integer  $k \geq 2$ , introduce event

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \left\{ \exists S \subset \mathcal{V} : |S| \leq k-1, G^{(-S)} \text{ has a component on } r \text{ vertices for some } 2 \leq r \leq \frac{n-|S|}{2} \right\}.$$

We denote by  $d(v)$  (respectively  $d_i(v)$ ) the degree of  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  in  $G$  (respectively  $G_i$ ). We put  $d_i(v) = 0$  for  $v \notin \mathcal{V}_i$ . Let

$$N_t = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{I}_{\{d(v)=t\}}$$

be the number of vertices of degree  $t$  in  $G$ . We write  $\tilde{X} = \min\{X, n\}$  and  $\tilde{X}_i = \min\{X_i, n\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and denote  $\eta_j = \mathbf{E}(X^j Q^{j-1})$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \kappa_n = \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X} h(\tilde{X}, Q)), & \tau &= \tau_n = \mathbf{E}((\tilde{X})_2 Q (1-Q)^{\tilde{X}-2}), \\ \mu &= \mathbf{E}(X h(X, Q) \ln(1+X)), & \mu' &= \mathbf{E}(X \min\{1, XQ\} \ln(1+X)), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda_{n,m,k} = \ln n + (k-1) \ln \frac{m}{n} - \frac{m}{n} \kappa. \quad (9)$$

We note that quantities  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  depend on  $n$  and tend to  $\kappa^*$  and  $\tau^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For a sequence of random variables  $\{\zeta_n, n \geq 1\}$  we write  $\zeta_n = o_P(1)$  if  $\mathbf{P}\{|\zeta_n| > \varepsilon\} = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We write  $\zeta_n = O_P(1)$  if  $\lim_{C \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_n \mathbf{P}\{|\zeta_n| > C\} = 0$ .

**Fact 1.** Assume that  $\mu < \infty$ . Then  $0 \leq \kappa^* - \kappa \leq \frac{\mu}{\ln(1+n)}$ . Moreover, for  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$  we have  $0 \leq \lambda_{n,m,k} - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof of Fact 1.* Denote  $\mu_n = \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q)\ln(1+X)\mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^* - \kappa &= \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q)\mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) - \mathbf{E}(nh(n, Q)\mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q)\mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \leq \frac{\mu_n}{\ln(1+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right side of the first identity is non-negative because  $x \rightarrow h(x, q)$  is nondecreasing. Hence  $0 \leq \kappa^* - \kappa$ . Furthermore, the inequality  $\mu_n \leq \mu$  implies  $\kappa^* - \kappa \leq \frac{\mu}{\ln(1+n)}$ . Moreover,  $\mu < \infty$  implies  $\mu_n = o(1)$ . Hence

$$\lambda_{n,m,k} - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* = \frac{m}{n}(\kappa^* - \kappa) \leq \frac{m}{n} \frac{\mu_n}{\ln(1+n)} = o\left(\frac{m}{n} \frac{1}{\ln(1+n)}\right) = o(1). \quad \square$$

**Fact 2.** We have  $|\tau - \tau^*| \leq \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}})$ . Consequently,  $\eta_2 < \infty$  implies  $|\tau - \tau^*| = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof of Fact 2.* We obtain  $|\tau - \tau^*| \leq \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}})$  from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^* - \tau &= \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q(1-Q)^{X-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) - \mathbf{E}((n)_2 Q(1-Q)^{n-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q(1-Q)^{X-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \leq \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}), \\ \tau - \tau^* &= \mathbf{E}((n)_2 Q(1-Q)^{n-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) - \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q(1-Q)^{X-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}((n)_2 Q(1-Q)^{n-2} \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}) \leq \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbf{I}_{\{X>n\}}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Fact 3.** Assume that  $\mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q) < \infty$ . Then (7) holds.

*Proof of Fact 3.* For integer  $x \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq q \leq 1$  we have

$$q(x-1) = q \sum_{i=0}^{x-2} 1 \geq q \sum_{i=0}^{x-2} (1-q)^i = h(x, q) \geq h(2, q) = q.$$

Now inequalities  $q(x-1) \geq h(x, q) \geq q$  for  $x \geq 2$  imply  $(x)_2 q \geq xh(x, q) \geq xq \geq q$ . Consequently, we have

$$\mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}}) \geq \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q) \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}}) \geq \mathbf{E}(Q \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}}).$$

Invoking identities  $\mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q) = \mathbf{E}((X)_2 Q \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}})$  and  $\mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q)) = \mathbf{E}(Xh(X, Q) \mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 2\}})$  (the latter one follows from the identities  $h(1, q) = h(0, q) = 0$ ) we obtain (7).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Assume that  $m = m(n) = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\tau^* > 0$ ,  $\eta_2 < \infty$ , and  $\mu' < \infty$ . For  $k \geq 3$  we assume, in addition, that  $\eta_3 < \infty$ . Then for  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow +\infty$  we have  $\mathbf{P}\{N_{k-1} \geq 1\} \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow -\infty$  we have  $N_t = o_P(1)$  for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Assume that  $m = m(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\mu < \infty$  and  $\eta_j < \infty$ , for  $2 \leq j \leq k$ . Assume that  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $|\lambda_{n,m,k}^*| = o(\ln \ln n)$ . Then

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_k\} = o(1). \quad (10)$$

Proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 are postponed until after the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* In view of Fact 1 we have  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow \pm\infty \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

Let us show (6). By Lemma 1, with probability tending to 1 there exists a vertex of degree  $k-1$ . Hence by removing  $k-1$  edges one can make  $G_{[n,m]}$  disconnected.

Let us show (5). We claim that it suffices to show (5) in the case where  $|\lambda_{n,m,k}^*| = o(\ln \ln n)$ . To see why this is true consider two sequences  $m' = m'(n)$  and  $m'' = m''(n)$  such that  $m', m'' = \Theta(n \ln n)$ ,  $\lambda_{n,m',k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\lambda_{n,m'',k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $|\lambda_{n,m',k}^*| = o(\ln \ln n)$ . Put  $m_1 = m' \wedge m''$  and  $m_2 = m' \vee m''$ . Analysis of the function  $m \rightarrow \lambda_{n,m,k}^*$  defined in (1) shows that (for sufficiently large  $n$ ) we have  $m_1 \leq m_2 \Leftrightarrow |\lambda_{n,m_1,k}^*| \leq |\lambda_{n,m_2,k}^*|$ . Furthermore, the coupling argument (see discussion after Theorem 1) implies

$$\mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m_1]} \text{ is vertex } k\text{-connected}\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{G_{[n,m_2]} \text{ is vertex } k\text{-connected}\}.$$

Consequently, the asymptotic connectivity (5) of  $G_{[n,m_1]}$  implies that of  $G_{[n,m_2]}$ . Hence it suffices to show (5) in the case where  $|\lambda_{n,m,k}^*| = o(\ln \ln n)$ .

For  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  Lemma 1 shows that with probability tending to 1 there is no vertex of degree less than  $k$ . Furthermore, for  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  satisfying  $|\lambda_{n,m,k}^*| = o(\ln \ln n)$ , Lemma 2 shows that (with probability tending to 1) removal of any (non-empty) set  $S \subset \mathcal{V} = [n]$  of vertices of size at most  $k - 1$  does not create a component of size  $r \in \left[2, \frac{n-|S|}{2}\right]$  (in a subgraph of  $G_{[n,m]}$  induced by the vertex set  $\mathcal{V} \setminus S$ ). An immediate consequence of these two lemmas is that  $G_{[n,m]}$  is vertex  $k$ -connected with probability tending to 1.  $\square$

## 2.1 Proof of Lemma 2

Before the proof of Lemma 2 we introduce some notation and establish auxiliary results stated Lemmas 3, 4 below.

Let  $A_x \subset [n]$  be a subset sampled uniformly at random from the class of subsets of size  $x$ . Let  $q \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $G_x = (A_x, \mathcal{E}_x)$  be Bernoulli random graph with the vertex set  $A_x$  and with edge probability  $q$ . That is, given  $A_x$ , every pair  $\{u, v\} \subset A_x$  is declared adjacent at random with probability  $q$  independently of the other pairs. Here  $\mathcal{E}_x$  denotes the collection of pairs  $\{u, v\}$  of adjacent vertices. For  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote the set  $(i, j]_{\mathbb{N}} = \{i+1, i+2, \dots, j\}$ . For integers  $r \geq 1$  and  $s \geq 0$  introduce event

$$\mathcal{B}_{r,s} = \left\{ \forall \{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_x \text{ we have either } \{u, v\} \cap (s, s+r]_{\mathbb{N}} = \emptyset \text{ or } \{u, v\} \cap (s+r, n]_{\mathbb{N}} = \emptyset \right\}.$$

$\mathcal{B}_{r,s}$  means that none of the edges of  $G_x$  connect subsets  $(s, s+r]_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(s+r, n]_{\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{V} = [n]$ . We denote

$$q_{r,s}(x, q) = q_{r,s,n}(x, q) = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\}$$

and put  $q_{r,s}(x, q) = 1$  for  $x = 0, 1$ . Furthermore, we denote

$$\hat{q}_{r,s} = \mathbf{E}q_{r,s}(\tilde{X}, Q).$$

It is easy to see that that

$$\hat{q}_{r,s} = \mathbf{P}\{\tilde{X} \leq 1\} + \mathbf{E}(q_{r,s}(\tilde{X}, Q) \mathbb{I}_{\{\tilde{X} \geq 2\}}).$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $s \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq (n-s)/2$ ,  $2 \leq x \leq n$  and  $0 \leq q \leq 1$  we have

$$q_{r,s}(x, q) \leq 1 - 2q \frac{r(n-s-r)}{(n-s)(n-s-1)} + \frac{(s+1)_2}{n}, \quad (11)$$

$$q_{r,s}(x, q) \leq 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{rx}{n-s}} - R_{1,s}\right) h(x, q) + \frac{sr x^2}{n(n-s)} h(x, q). \quad (12)$$

Here  $R_{1,s} = \frac{r^2}{(n-s-r)^2}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.* For  $s = 0$  inequalities

$$q_{r,0}(x, q) \leq 1 - 2q \frac{r(n-r)}{n(n-1)}, \quad (13)$$

$$q_{r,0}(x, q) \leq 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{rx}{n}} - \frac{r^2}{(n-r)^2}\right) h(x, q) \quad (14)$$

have been shown in Lemma 1 of [1]. Here we show (11), (12) for  $s \geq 1$ .

Introduce hypergeometric random variable  $H = |A_x \cap [s]|$  (= the number of elements of  $A_x$  that belong to  $[s] = [1, \dots, s]$ ). In the proof we use inequalities (see, e.g. Lemma 6 in [3])

$$\mathbf{P}\{H \geq t\} \leq (x)_t (s)_t / ((n)_t t!), \quad t = 1, 2, \dots \quad (15)$$

and the identity (which follows by the total probability formula)

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\} = \sum_{i=0}^{x \wedge s} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \mathbf{P}\{H = i\}. \quad (16)$$

Proof of (11). For  $x - i \geq 2$  inequality (13) implies

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \leq 1 - 2q \frac{r(n-s-r)}{(n-s)(n-s-1)}. \quad (17)$$

Here the right side upper bounds the probability that the subgraph of  $G_x$  induced by the vertex set  $A_x \cap (s, n]_{\mathbb{N}}$  has no edge connecting sets  $(s, s+r]_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(s+r, n]_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Combining this inequality with (15), (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\} &\leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq x-2} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \mathbf{P}\{H = i\} + \mathbf{P}\{H \geq x-1\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\{H \leq x-2\} \left(1 - 2q \frac{r(n-s-r)}{(n-s)(n-s-1)}\right) + \frac{(s)_{x-1} (x)_{x-1}}{(n)_{x-1} (x-1)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We note that the last term vanishes for  $s < x-1$ . For  $s \geq x-1$  the last term

$$\frac{(s)_{x-1} (x)_{x-1}}{(n)_{x-1} (x-1)!} = \frac{(s)_{x-1} x}{(n)_{x-1}} \leq \frac{sx}{n} \leq \frac{s(s+1)}{n}.$$

Invoking this bound in (18) and using  $\mathbf{P}\{H \leq x-2\} \leq 1$  we obtain (11).

Proof of (12). We write (16) in the form,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{x \wedge s} (\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} - 1) \mathbf{P}\{H = i\} \quad (19)$$

and proceed similarly as in (17). For  $x - i \geq 2$  we apply (14) to upper bound the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \leq 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{r}{n-s}(x-i)} - R_{1,s}\right) h(x-i, q).$$

Note that this inequality holds for  $x - i \leq 1$  as well, because we have  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \leq 1$  and  $h(1, q) = h(0, q) = 0$ . Next, denoting  $\xi_i = (1 - e^{-\frac{r}{n-s}(x-i)}) h(x-i, q)$  and using  $0 \leq h(x-i, q) \leq h(x, q)$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s} | H = i\} \leq 1 - \xi_i + R_{1,s} h(x, q). \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, invoking (20) in (19) we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\} \leq 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{x \wedge s} \xi_i \mathbf{P}\{H = i\} + R_{1,s} h(x, q) \leq 1 - \xi_0 \mathbf{P}\{H = 0\} + R_{1,s} h(x, q). \quad (21)$$

In the last inequality we used  $\xi_i \geq 0$ . Next, we lower bound  $\mathbf{P}\{H = 0\}$  using (15),

$$\mathbf{P}\{H = 0\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{H \geq 1\} \geq 1 - \frac{sx}{n}.$$

Invoking this inequality in (21) we obtain (12):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{r,s}\} &\leq 1 - \xi_0 + \xi_0 \frac{sx}{n} + R_{1,s}h(x, q) \\ &\leq 1 - \xi_0 + \frac{rsx^2}{n(n-s)}h(x, q) + R_{1,s}h(x, q).\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we applied inequality  $1 - e^{-a} \leq a$  to  $a = \frac{r}{n-s}x$  and estimated

$$\xi_0 = (1 - e^{-\frac{r}{n-s}x})h(x, q) \leq \frac{rx}{n-s}h(x, q).$$

□

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  be an integer. Assume that  $\mu < \infty$ . For any  $0 < \beta < 1$  there exists  $n_* > 0$  depending on  $s, \beta$  and the probability distribution of  $(X, Q)$  such that for  $n > n_*$  we have for each  $2 \leq r \leq n^\beta$*

$$\hat{q}_{r,s} \leq 1 - \frac{r}{n-s}\kappa^* + (2 + \mu(s+1))\frac{r}{(n-s)\ln n}. \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* Proof of (22). Fix  $0 < \beta < 1$ . We write (12) in the form

$$q_{r,s}(x, q) \leq 1 - \left( \frac{rx}{n-s} - R_{1,s} - R_{2,s}(r, x) \right) h(x, q) + \frac{srx^2}{n(n-s)}h(x, q), \quad (23)$$

where  $R_{2,s}(r, x) = e^{-\frac{rx}{n-s}} - 1 + \frac{rx}{n-s}$ . Then we plug  $(\tilde{X}, Q)$  in (23) (in the place of  $(x, q)$ ) and take expected values of both sides. We evaluate the expectation of each term (on the right of (23)) separately.

We start with  $\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{r}{n-s}\tilde{X}h(\tilde{X}, Q)\right)$ . We have

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{r}{n-s}\tilde{X}h(\tilde{X}, Q)\right) = \frac{r}{n-s}\kappa_n \geq \frac{r}{n-s}\left(\kappa^* - \frac{\mu}{\ln(1+n)}\right). \quad (24)$$

In the last step we invoked inequality  $\kappa_n \geq \kappa^* - \mu \ln^{-1}(1+n)$  of Fact 1.

Next, we note that  $h(x, q) \leq 1$  implies  $\mathbf{E}(R_{1,s}h(\tilde{X}, Q)) \leq R_{1,s}$ . For  $r \leq n^\beta$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}(R_{1,s}h(\tilde{X}, Q)) \leq R_{1,s} \leq \frac{rn^\beta}{(n - n^\beta - s)^2} \leq \frac{r}{n \ln n}, \quad (25)$$

where the last inequality holds for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Furthermore, we claim that there exists  $n_0 > 0$  (depending on  $s, \beta$  and the distribution of  $(X, Q)$ ) such that for each  $r \leq n^\beta$  we have

$$\mathbf{E}(R_{2,s}(r, \tilde{X})h(\tilde{X}, Q)) \leq \frac{r}{n \ln n}. \quad (26)$$

This inequality is shown in formula (26) of [1] for  $s = 0$ . The same proof yields (26) for arbitrary, but fixed  $s$ .

Finally, we upper bound the expected value of the last term on the right of (23). We split

$$I := \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}^2h(\tilde{X}, Q)) = \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}^2h(\tilde{X}, Q)\mathbb{I}_{\{X \leq n\}}) + \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}^2h(\tilde{X}, Q)\mathbb{I}_{\{X > n\}}) =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Combining identity  $h(x, q) = 0$ , for  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ , with inequality

$$x^2 = x \ln(1+x) \frac{x}{\ln(1+x)} \leq x \ln(1+x) \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)},$$

which holds for  $2 \leq x \leq n$ , we upper bound

$$I_1 = \mathbf{E}(X^2h(X, Q)\mathbb{I}_{\{2 \leq X \leq n\}}) \leq \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)} \mathbf{E}(X \ln(1+X)h(X, Q)\mathbb{I}_{\{2 \leq X \leq n\}}).$$

Next, using the fact that  $x \rightarrow h(x, q)$  is nondecreasing and  $n \ln(1+n) \leq x \ln(1+x)$  for  $x > n$  we upper bound

$$I_2 = \mathbf{E}(n^2 h(n, Q) \mathbb{I}_{\{X > n\}}) \leq \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)} \mathbf{E}(X h(X, Q) \ln(1+X) \mathbb{I}_{\{X > n\}}).$$

We conclude that  $I = I_1 + I_2 \leq \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)} \mu$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{E} \left( \frac{sr \tilde{X}^2}{n(n-s)} h(\tilde{X}, Q) \right) \leq \frac{\mu sr}{(n-s) \ln n}. \quad (27)$$

Combining (23) with (24), (25), (26), (27) we obtain (22).  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We first derive a convenient asymptotic formula for  $m/n$ . Using  $|\lambda_{n,m,k}| = o(\ln \ln n)$  and  $m = O(n \ln n)$  we obtain by iterating (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{n} &= \frac{1}{\kappa^*} \left( \ln n + (k-1) \ln \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^*} \left( \ln n + (k-1) \ln \frac{m}{n} - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* \right) \right) - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^*} \left( \ln n + (k-1) \ln \ln n - (k-1) \ln \kappa^* - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* + O \left( \frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln n} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Next we observe that for any integer  $t$  and all sufficiently large  $n$  there exists a constant  $C_t$  (independent on  $r, n$  and  $m$ ) such that for each  $0 \leq h \leq t$  we have

$$\hat{q}_{r,s}^{m-h} \leq \hat{q}_{r,s}^{m-t} \leq e^{-r(\ln n + (k-1) \ln \ln n - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* + C_t)}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq n^\beta. \quad (29)$$

The first inequality is obvious as  $\hat{q}_{r,s} \leq 1$ . Let us show the second inequality. For  $t = 0$  and  $2 \leq r \leq n^\beta$  we obtain from (22) using  $1 + a \leq e^a$  that

$$\hat{q}_{r,s}^m \leq e^{-m \left( \frac{r}{n} \kappa^* - (2 + \mu(s+1)) \frac{r}{(n-s) \ln n} \right)}.$$

Then we invoke (28) and write the argument of the exponent in the form

$$-r(\ln n + (k-1) \ln \ln n - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* + O(1)). \quad (30)$$

In this way we obtain (29) for  $t = 0$ . Now we show (29) for arbitrary but fixed  $t$ . We have

$$\hat{q}_{r,s}^{m-t} = \hat{q}_{r,s}^{m(1-tm^{-1})} \leq e^{-r(\ln n + (k-1) \ln \ln n - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* + C_0)(1-tm^{-1})}.$$

A calculation shows that the argument of the exponent satisfies (30). Hence (29) holds.

Let us prove (10). We use the fact (shown in [1]) that for  $\lambda_{n,m,1} \rightarrow -\infty$  the probability that  $G_{[n,m]}$  is connected tends to 1. In view of the inequality  $\lambda_{n,m,1} < \lambda_{n,m,k}$  our condition  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow -\infty$  implies that  $G_{[n,m]}$  is connected with probability  $1 - o(1)$ . In particular, to prove (10) it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}'_k\} = o(1)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}'_k = \mathcal{B}_k \cap \{G_{[n,m]} \text{ is connected}\}$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{B}'_k$  occurs. Then there exists a pair of subsets  $(S, A_r)$  such that  $S \subset \mathcal{V}$  is of size  $s := |S| \in [k-1]$ ,  $A_r \subset \mathcal{V} \setminus S$  is of size  $r := |A_r| \in [2, (n-s)/2]$ , and  $A_r$  induces a connected component in  $G_{[n,m]}^{(-S)}$ . Moreover, if we choose a pair with the smallest possible set  $S$  then each  $v \in S$  is linked to some vertex  $u = u(v) \in A_r$  in  $G_{[n,m]}$ .

Let  $p_{s,r}$  denote the probability that  $[s+r] \setminus [s]$  induces a component in  $G_{[n,m]}^{-[s]}$  and every  $i \in [s]$  is linked to some vertex from  $[s+r] \setminus [s]$  in  $G_{[n,m]}$  (recall that vertex set of  $G_{[n,m]}^{-[s]}$  is  $[n] \setminus [s]$  and vertex set of  $G_{[n,m]}$  is  $[n]$ ). Let  $p_{s,r}^*$  denote the probability that  $G_{[n,m]}^{-[s]}$  has no edges connecting  $[s+r] \setminus [s]$  and  $[n] \setminus [s+r]$ . Note that  $p_{s,r} \leq p_{s,r}^*$ .

We have, by the union bound and symmetry

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}'_k\} \leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{2 \leq r \leq (n-s)/2} \binom{n-s}{r} p_{s,r} \leq S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{2 \leq r \leq n^\beta} \binom{n-s}{r} p_{s,r}, \quad S_2 = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{n^\beta < r \leq (n-s)/2} \binom{n-s}{r} p_{s,r}^*.$$

We choose  $\beta = \max\{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\kappa^*}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Recall that  $\kappa^* \geq \alpha$ , see (7). To prove the lemma we show that  $S_i = o(1)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof of  $S_1 = o(1)$ .* Given  $s$  and  $r$  we evaluate the probability  $p_{s,r}$ . Denote  $S = [s]$ ,  $U = [s+r] \setminus [s]$ . Let  $F = (S \cup U, \mathcal{E}_F)$  be a bipartite graph with the bipartition  $S \cup U$  such that each  $i \in S$  has degree one. Here  $\mathcal{E}_F$  denotes the edge set of  $F$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{E}_F| = s$ .

Fix an integer  $1 \leq h \leq s$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F = (\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(h)})$  be an ordered partition of the set  $\mathcal{E}_F$  (every set  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$  is nonempty,  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(j)} = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\cup_{i=1}^h \mathcal{E}^{(i)} = \mathcal{E}_F$ ). Let  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_h) \in [m]^h$  be a vector with integer valued coordinates satisfying  $t_1 < \dots < t_h$ . Given a pair  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})$  denote the event that  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \subset \mathcal{E}_{t_i}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . The event means that the edges of  $F$  are covered by the edges of  $G_{t_1}, \dots, G_{t_h}$  so that for every  $i$  the edge set  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$  belongs to the edge set  $\mathcal{E}_{t_i}$  of  $G_{t_i}$  (we say that  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$  receives label  $t_i$ ). Let  $H_{\tilde{t}} = [m] \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_h\}$  and let  $\mathcal{I}(S, U, H_{\tilde{t}})$  be the event that none of the graphs  $G_j$ ,  $j \in H_{\tilde{t}}$  has an edge connecting some  $v \in U$  and  $w \in \mathcal{V} \setminus (S \cup U)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_s$  denote the set of bipartite graphs with the bipartition  $S \cup U$  where each  $i \in S$  has degree one. Note that  $|\mathbb{F}_s| = r^s$ . We have, by the union bound and independence of  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ , that

$$p_{s,r} \leq \sum_{F \in \mathbb{F}_s} \sum_{(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})\} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{I}(S, U, H_{\tilde{t}})\}. \quad (31)$$

Here the second sum runs over all possible pairs  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})$ .

Let us estimate the double sum on the right. We first estimate the probability  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{I}(S, U, H_{\tilde{t}})\}$  in (31). By the independence of  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ , we obtain from (29) that

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{I}(S, U, H_{\tilde{t}})\} = \hat{q}_{r,s}^{m-h} \leq \hat{q}_{r,s}^{m-(k-1)} \leq e^{-r(\ln n + (k-1) \ln \ln n - \lambda_{n,m,k}^* + C)} =: p'_r. \quad (32)$$

Here we write  $C$  instead of  $C_{k-1}$  (see (29)). Combining (31) and (32) we have

$$p_{s,r} \leq p'_r \sum_{F \in \mathbb{F}_s} S_F, \quad \text{where} \quad S_F := \sum_{(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})\}. \quad (33)$$

Now we estimate the sum  $S_F$ . In doing so we use the inequality shown below

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})\} \leq \eta^h n^{-h-s}, \quad \text{where} \quad \eta := \max\{\eta_j, 2 \leq j \leq k\}. \quad (34)$$

For  $1 \leq h \leq s$  and a vector  $(e_1, \dots, e_h)$  with integer valued coordinates satisfying  $e_1 + \dots + e_h = s$  and  $e_i \geq 1 \forall i$ , there are  $\frac{s!}{e_1! \dots e_h!}$  ordered partitions  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F = (\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(h)})$  of  $\mathcal{E}_F$  in  $h$  non empty parts of sizes  $|\mathcal{E}^{(1)}| = e_1, \dots, |\mathcal{E}^{(h)}| = e_h$ . Therefore we have

$$S_F \leq \sum_{h=1}^s \binom{m}{h} \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_h = s} \frac{s!}{e_1! \dots e_h!} \frac{\eta^h}{n^{s+h}} \leq \sum_{h=1}^s \binom{m}{h} \frac{\eta^h}{n^{s+h}} h^s.$$

Here  $\binom{m}{h}$  counts various labelings  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_h)$ . The sum  $\sum'_{e_1 + \dots + e_h = s+r-1}$  runs over the set of vectors  $(e_1, \dots, e_h)$  having integer valued coordinates  $e_i \geq 1$  satisfying  $e_1 + \dots + e_h = s$ . In the second inequality we used

$$\sum'_{e_1 + \dots + e_h = s} \frac{s!}{e_1! \dots e_h!} \leq (1 + \dots + 1)^s = h^s.$$

Invoking inequalities  $\binom{m}{h} \leq \left(\frac{me}{h}\right)^h$  and  $\frac{m}{n \ln n} = \frac{1+o(1)}{\kappa^*} \leq \frac{2}{\kappa^*}$  (the last inequality holds for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $m$ , see (28)) we obtain

$$S_F \leq \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{h=1}^s \left(\frac{2e\eta}{\kappa^*}\right)^h h^{s-h} \ln^h n \leq \left(\frac{2e\eta s}{\kappa^*}\right)^s \frac{\ln^s n}{n^s}.$$

In the last step we used the fact that  $\frac{2e\eta}{\kappa^*} > 1$ , see (7), and inequality  $\sum_{h=1}^s h^{s-h} \leq s^s$ . Invoking this bound in (33) and using  $|\mathbb{F}_s| \leq r^s$  we obtain

$$p_{s,r} \leq p'_r r^s \left(\frac{2e\eta s}{\kappa^*}\right)^s \frac{\ln^s n}{n^s}. \quad (35)$$

Finally, using  $\binom{n}{s} \leq n^s$  and  $\binom{n-s}{r} \leq \binom{n}{r} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{r}\right)^r$ , and invoking (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} n^s \sum_{2 \leq r \leq n^\beta} \left(\frac{ne}{r}\right)^r p_{s,r} \\ &\leq \sum_{2 \leq r \leq n^\beta} \left(\frac{ne}{r}\right)^r p'_r r^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \left(\frac{2e\eta s}{\kappa^*}\right)^s \ln n^s \\ &\leq C'' \sum_{2 \leq r \leq n^\beta} \left(\frac{ne}{r}\right)^r p'_r r^{k-1} \ln^{k-1} n. \end{aligned}$$

Here we upper bounded  $\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \left(\frac{2e\eta s}{\kappa^*}\right)^s \ln n^s \leq C'' \ln^{k-1} n$ , where  $C''$  does not depend on  $r, n, m$ . Invoking expression (32) of  $p'_r$  we obtain

$$S_1 \leq C'' \sum_{2 \leq r \leq n^\beta} e^{r(\lambda_{n,m,k}^* + O(1))} = o(1)$$

since  $\lambda_{n,m,k}^* \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof of (34).* Given a bipartite graph  $F = (S \cup U, \mathcal{E}_F)$  and (ordered) partition  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F = (\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(h)})$ , let  $V^{(i)}$  be the set of vertices incident to the edges from  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ . We denote  $e_i = |\mathcal{E}^{(i)}|$  and  $v_i = |V^{(i)}|$ . For any labeling  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_h)$  that assigns labels  $t_1, \dots, t_h$  to the sets  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(h)}$  we have, by the independence of  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})\} = \prod_{i=1}^h \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_{t_i})_{v_i}}{\binom{n}{v_i}} Q_{t_i}^{e_i} \right).$$

We note that the fraction  $\frac{(\tilde{X}_{t_i})_{v_i}}{\binom{n}{v_i}}$  is a decreasing function of  $v_i$  and it is maximized by  $\frac{(\tilde{X}_{t_i})_{e_i+1}}{\binom{n}{e_i+1}}$  since we always have  $v_i \geq e_i + 1$ . Indeed, given  $|\mathcal{E}^{(i)}| = e_i$  the smallest possible set of vertices  $V^{(i)}$  corresponds to the configuration of edges of  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$  that creates a tree subgraph of  $F$ . Hence  $v_i \geq e_i + 1$ . It follows that

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_F, \tilde{t})\} \leq \prod_{i=1}^h \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_{t_i})_{e_i+1}}{\binom{n}{e_i+1}} Q_{t_i}^{e_i} \right). \quad (36)$$

We evaluate the product in (36). Since  $e_i \leq s$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ , we upper bound each factor on the right of (36) as follows

$$\mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_{t_i})_{e_i+1}}{\binom{n}{e_i+1}} Q_{t_i}^{e_i} \right) \leq \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{\tilde{X}_{t_i}^{e_i+1}}{n^{e_i+1}} Q_{t_i}^{e_i} \right) \leq \frac{\eta_{e_i+1}}{n^{e_i+1}} \leq \frac{\eta}{n^{e_i+1}}. \quad (37)$$

Hence the product in (36) is upper bounded by  $\eta^h n^{-h-s}$  (recall that  $e_1 + \dots + e_h = s$ ). We obtain (34).

*Proof of  $S_2 = o(1)$ .* Given  $s$  and  $r$  we evaluate the probability  $p_{s,r}^*$  for  $r$  satisfying  $n^\beta \leq r \leq (n-s)/2$ . We upper bound  $q_{r,s}(\tilde{X}, Q)$  in the expectation below using (11),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{q}_{r,s} &= \mathbf{P}\{X \leq 1\} + \mathbf{E}\left(q_{r,s}(\tilde{X}, Q)\mathbb{I}_{\{\tilde{X} \geq 2\}}\right) \\ &\leq 1 - 2\alpha r \frac{n-s-r}{(n-s)(n-s-1)} + \frac{(s+1)_2}{n} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{\alpha r}{n-s-1} + \frac{(s+1)_2}{n},\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used  $2\frac{n-s-r}{n-s} \geq 1$  for  $r \leq (n-s)/2$ . Furthermore, using  $1+a \leq e^a$  we estimate

$$p_{s,r}^* = \hat{q}_{r,s}^m \leq e^{-m\left(\frac{\alpha r}{n-s-1} - \frac{(s+1)_2}{n}\right)} \leq e^{-\alpha r \frac{m}{n} + (s+1)_2 \frac{m}{n}}. \quad (38)$$

Next, we upper bound the binomial coefficient for  $n^\beta \leq r \leq n/2$

$$\binom{n}{r} \leq \frac{n^n}{r^r (n-r)^{n-r}} = e^{n \ln\left(\frac{n}{n-r}\right) + r \ln\left(\frac{n-r}{r}\right)} \leq e^{2r + (1-\beta)r \ln n}. \quad (39)$$

The last inequality is shown in the proof of Proposition 1 of [1]. We omit its proof here.

Finally, combining (38), (39) and using  $\binom{n}{s} \leq n^s = e^{s \ln n}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{n}{s} \binom{n}{r} p_{s,r}^* &\leq e^{2r + (1-\beta)r \ln n - \alpha r \frac{m}{n} + (s+1)_2 \frac{m}{n} + s \ln n} \\ &= e^{-r\left(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa^*} - (1-\beta) + O(r^{-1})\right) \ln n + O(r)}.\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used (28). We note that the contribution of  $s \ln n + (s+1)_2 \frac{m}{n}$  is accounted in the term  $O(r^{-1})$  in the brackets. Hence

$$S_2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k-1} \sum_{n^\beta \leq r \leq (n-s)/2} e^{-r\left(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa^*} - (1-\beta) + O(r^{-1})\right) \ln n + O(r)}.$$

Our choice of  $\beta \geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\kappa^*}$  yields  $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa^*} - (1-\beta) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2\kappa^*}$ . Hence  $S_2 = o(1)$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Proof of Lemma 1

We begin with an outline of the proof. We say that  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$  are linked by community  $G_i = (\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$  if  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}_i$  and  $\mathcal{E}_i$  contains the edge connecting  $u$  and  $v$  (denoted  $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_i$ ). The idea of the proof is based on the observation that (in the range of  $m, n$  considered) given a vertex of degree  $k-1$  it is likely that all of its neighbours are linked to this vertex by different communities. Motivated by this observation, for  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , we introduce events

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = \{S(v) = k-1, d_i(v) \in \{0, 1\} \forall i \in [m]\}, \quad \text{where } S(v) = \sum_{i \in [m]} d_i(v).$$

We say that the vertex  $v$  has property  $\mathcal{D}$  if the event  $\mathcal{D}(v)$  occurs. Note that a vertex with property  $\mathcal{D}$  has degree (in  $G$ ) at most  $k-1$ . Let

$$N'_{k-1} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}(v)}$$

denote the number of vertices having property  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We prove Lemma 1 in two steps. We firstly approximate  $N_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1))N'_{k-1}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and show that  $\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow +\infty$ , see Lemma 5 below. Then we establish the concentration  $N'_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1))\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}$ , see Lemma 6. The proof of Lemma 1 is given at the very end of the section.

In the proof below we use the following simple observations. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\{d_1(1) = 0\} &= \mathbf{P}\{1 \notin \mathcal{V}_1\} + \mathbf{P}\{1 \in \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(v_1) = 0\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{E}\frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n} + \mathbf{E}\frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n}(1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1-1} = 1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

We similarly show that

$$\mathbf{P}\{d_1(1) = 1\} = \frac{\tau}{n}.$$

We observe that these identities imply  $\kappa \geq \tau$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Assume that  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . Assume that  $\tau^* > 0$  and  $\eta_2 < \infty$ . For  $k \geq 3$  we assume, in addition, that  $\eta_3 < \infty$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{(\tau^*)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{\lambda_{n,m,k}}, \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{E}|N_{k-1} - N'_{k-1}| = o(e^{\lambda_{n,m,k}}) + o(1). \quad (41)$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.* Note that random variables  $d(v)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  are identically distributed and the probabilities  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\}$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , are all equal. Hence

$$\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} = n\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}|N_{k-1} - N'_{k-1}| \leq n\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}_{\{d(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(1)}|. \quad (42)$$

We obtain (40) from the first identity of (42) and the asymptotic formula

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(1)\} = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(\tau^*)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\tau^*)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{\lambda_{n,m,k}}. \quad (43)$$

Similarly, we derive (41) from the second inequality of (42) and inequalities

$$\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}_{\{d(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}}| = O\left(\frac{m^4}{n^6}\right) + O\left(\frac{m^k}{n^{k+1}} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right), \quad (44)$$

$$\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(1)}| = O\left(\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-2} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right). \quad (45)$$

We note that since  $\tau^* > 0$  bound (45) combined with the first relation of (43) implies

$$\mathbf{P}\{S(1) = k-1\} = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(\tau^*)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}. \quad (46)$$

In the remaining part of the proof we show (43), (44), (45). Before the proof we introduce some notation. For  $K \subset [m]$  we denote  $S_K = \sum_{i \in K} d_i(1)$  and introduce events

$$\mathcal{A}_K = \{d_i(1) \geq 1, \forall i \in K\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_K = \{S_K = k-1\} \cap \mathcal{A}_K \cap \{S_{[m] \setminus K} = 0\}.$$

Proof of (43). Event  $\mathcal{D}(1)$  is the union of mutually disjoint events

$$\mathcal{D}(1) = \bigcup_{K: |K|=k-1} \mathcal{L}_K.$$

We have, by symmetry and independence and identical distribution of  $d_1(1), \dots, d_m(1)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(1)\} &= \binom{m}{k-1} \mathbf{P}\{S_{\{1, \dots, k-1\}} = k-1, \mathcal{A}_{\{1, \dots, k-1\}}\} \mathbf{P}\{S_{\{k, \dots, m\}} = 0\} \\ &= \binom{m}{k-1} (\mathbf{P}\{d_1(v_1) = 1\})^{k-1} (\mathbf{P}\{d_1(v_1) = 0\})^{m-k+1} \\ &= \binom{m}{k-1} \left(\frac{\tau}{n}\right)^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-k+1}.\end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Invoking in (47) approximations  $\binom{m}{k-1} = \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}(1 + o(1))$ ,  $\tau = \tau^* + o(1)$ , see Fact 2, and the identity, where we use  $\ln(1-x) = -x + O(x^2)$  for  $x = o(1)$ ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-k+1} = e^{(m-k+1)\ln(1-\frac{\kappa}{n})} = e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa + O(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n^2})} \quad (48)$$

we obtain the first relation of (43). The second one follows from the identity  $\frac{m^{k-1}}{n^{k-2}} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa} = e^{-\lambda_{n,m,k}}$ .

Proof of (45). We represent  $\{S(1) = k-1\}$  by unions of mutually disjoint events

$$\{S(1) = k-1\} = \bigcup_{K \subset [m]: 1 \leq |K|=k-1} \mathcal{L}_K = \mathcal{D}(1) \cup \mathcal{R}(1),$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(1) = \bigcup_{h=1}^{k-2} \bigcup_{K \subset [m], |K|=h} \mathcal{L}_K.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(1)} \leq \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}(1)}. \quad (49)$$

Using symmetry and the independence of  $d_1(1), \dots, d_m(1)$  we evaluate the expectation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}(1)} &= \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{R}(1)\} = \sum_{h=1}^{k-2} \binom{m}{h} \mathbf{P}\{S_{[h]} = k-1, \mathcal{A}_{[h]}\} \mathbf{P}\{S_{[m]\setminus[h]} = 0\} \\ &\leq \sum_{h=1}^{k-2} \binom{m}{h} \left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^h \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-h}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Here  $[h]$  stands for the set  $\{1, \dots, h\}$ . In the last inequality we invoked identity

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_{[m]\setminus[h]} = 0\} = \prod_{i \in [m]\setminus[h]} \mathbf{P}\{d_i(1) = 0\} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-h}$$

and used inequalities

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_{[h]} = k-1, \mathcal{A}_{[h]}\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_{[h]}\} = \prod_{i \in [h]} \mathbf{P}\{d_i(1) \geq 1\} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^h.$$

Using  $\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-h} \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa}{n}(m-h)} = (1 + o(1))e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}$  and the fact that  $n = o(m)$  we upper bound the quantity in (50) by  $O\left(\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-2} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right)$ . Now (45) follows from (49), (50).

Proof of (44). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the event that vertex 1 is adjacent to some  $u \in \mathcal{V}$  in two communities simultaneously,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{E}_j \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\} \text{ and some } i < j\}.$$

We observe that on the complement event  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  we have  $d(1) = S(1)$ . Hence

$$d(1) = (\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}} + \mathbf{I}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}})d(1) = \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}}d(1) + \mathbf{I}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}S(1) = S(1) - R_1, \quad R_1 := \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}}(S(1) - d(1)).$$

Furthermore, since  $R_1 = 0$  implies  $d(1) = S(1)$  we have

$$|\mathbf{I}_{\{d(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}}| \leq \mathbf{I}_{\{d(1)=k-1\}}\mathbf{I}_{\{R_1 \geq 1\}} + \mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}}\mathbf{I}_{\{R_1 \geq 1\}}.$$

Taking the expected values of both sides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E} |\mathbf{I}_{\{d(1)=k-1\}} - \mathbf{I}_{\{S(1)=k-1\}}| \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\{d(1) = k-1, R_1 \geq 1\} + \mathbf{P}\{S(1) = k-1, R_1 \geq 1\} =: p_1 + p_2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (44) we show that

$$p_i = O\left(\frac{m^4}{n^6}\right) + O\left(\frac{m^k}{n^{k+1}}e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (51)$$

We only prove (51) for  $i = 1$ . For  $i = 2$  the proof is much the same.

Let  $N(1)$  denote the set of neighbours of vertex 1 in  $G$ . For  $d(1) = k - 1$  we have  $|N(1)| = k - 1$ . Let  $N^*(1) = (u_1^*, \dots, u_{k-1}^*)$  be a random permutation of elements of  $N(1)$ . Then  $N(1) = \{u_1^*, \dots, u_{k-1}^*\}$ . Let  $\gamma_r = \sum_{i \in [m]} \mathbf{I}_{\{\{1, u_r^*\} \in \mathcal{E}_i\}}$  be the number of communities  $G_i$  where 1 and  $u_r^*$  are adjacent. For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  introduce events

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \{\gamma_r \leq 2\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{r,2} = \{\gamma_r = 2\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{r*} = \mathcal{H}_{r,2} \cap \{\gamma_j = 1, \forall j \in [k-1] \setminus \{r\}\}.$$

Using the fact that events  $\bigcap_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_r$  and  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{k-1} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_r$  are complement to each other, we write

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \mathbf{P} \left\{ d(1) = k - 1, R_1 \geq 1, \bigcap_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_r \right\} + \mathbf{P} \left\{ d(1) = k - 1, R_1 \geq 1, \bigcup_{r=1}^{k-1} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_r \right\} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that event  $\{R_1 \geq 1\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_r\right)$  occurs. Then either there is a single  $\gamma_r$  attaining value 2 (while remaining  $\gamma_j$ , with  $j \neq r$ , attain value 1) or there are (at least) two  $\gamma$ 's, say  $\gamma_s$  and  $\gamma_t$ , attaining value 2. Note that the second alternative only makes sense for  $k \geq 3$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \mathbf{P} \left\{ d(1) = k - 1, \bigcup_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_{r*} \right\} + \mathbf{P} \left\{ d(1) = k - 1, \bigcup_{\{s,t\} \subset [k-1]} \mathcal{H}_{s,2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t,2} \right\} \\ &=: I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_4 = 0$  for  $k = 2$ . Let us upper bound  $I_2, I_3, I_4$ . We have, by the union bound and symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \bar{\mathcal{H}}_r\} = (k - 1)I'_2, \quad I'_2 := \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \gamma_1 \geq 3\}, \\ I_3 &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \mathcal{H}_{r*}\} = (k - 1)I'_3, \quad I'_3 := \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \mathcal{H}_{1*}\}, \\ I_4 &\leq \sum_{\{s,t\} \subset [k-1]} \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \mathcal{H}_{s,2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t,2}\} = \binom{k-1}{2} I'_4, \\ I'_4 &:= \mathbf{P} \{d(1) = k - 1, \mathcal{H}_{1,2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{2,2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

To show (51) we upper bound probabilities  $I'_2, I'_3$  and  $I'_4$ . The bound (51) follows from respective bounds (53), (58) and (59) shown below.

Let us estimate  $I'_2$ . The event  $\{d(1) = k - 1, \gamma_1 \geq 3\}$  implies that for some  $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\}$  and some  $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\} \subset [m]$  we have  $\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_{j_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{j_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{j_3}$ . We have, by the union bound,

$$I'_2 \leq (n - 1) \binom{m}{3} \mathbf{P} \{\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_{j_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{j_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{j_3}\} \quad (52)$$

$$= (n - 1) \binom{m}{3} \left( \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X})_2}{\binom{n}{2}} Q \right) \right)^3 = O\left(\frac{m^3}{n^5}\right) = o\left(\frac{m^4}{n^6}\right). \quad (53)$$

Let us estimate  $I'_3$ . Recall that  $d_1(1)$  and  $d_2(1)$  denote the degrees of vertex 1 in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Given  $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\}$ , integers  $s, t \geq 0$ , and  $\{i, j\} \subset [m]$ , introduce events

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\gamma_r = 1, 2 \leq r \leq k-1\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{i,j}(v) &= \{u_1^* = v, \{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{E}_j, \{1, v\} \notin \mathcal{E}_h \forall h \in [m] \setminus \{i, j\}\} \cap \mathcal{C}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{s,t}^*(v) &= \{d(1) = k-1, \mathcal{B}_{1,2}(v), d_1(1) = 1+s, d_2(1) = 1+t\} \cap \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\}$ . We have, by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} I'_3 &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\}} \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset [m]} \mathbf{P}\{d(1) = k-1, \mathcal{B}_{i,j}(v)\} \\ &= (n-1) \binom{m}{2} \mathbf{P}\{d(1) = k-1, \mathcal{B}_{1,2}(u)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Let us evaluate the probability on the right

$$\mathbf{P}\{d(1) = k-1, \mathcal{B}_{1,2}(u)\} = \sum_{(s,t): 0 \leq s+t \leq k-2} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^*(u)\}. \quad (55)$$

Consider the graph  $G^{-\{1,2\}}$  with vertex set  $\mathcal{V}$  and edge set  $\cup_{j=3}^m \mathcal{E}_j$ . Assume that event  $\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^*(u)$  occurs. Then the degree of vertex 1 in  $G^{-\{1,2\}}$  (denoted  $d^{-\{1,2\}}(1)$ ) equals  $k-2-s-t$ . Moreover, we have  $d^{-\{1,2\}}(1) = \sum_{j=3}^m d_j(1)$  (since  $\gamma_r = 1$  for  $2 \leq r \leq k-1$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^*(u)\} &\leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2, \sum_{j=3}^m d_j(1) = k-2-s-t\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\{\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2\} \mathbf{P}\left\{\sum_{j=3}^m d_j(1) = k-2-s-t\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Here we used the independence of the random sets  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m$ . The first probability of (56)

$$\mathbf{P}\{\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2\} = \left(\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{(\tilde{X})_2}{\binom{n}{2}} Q\right)\right)^2 = O(n^{-4}). \quad (57)$$

For  $k-2-s-t \geq 1$  the second probability of (56) is evaluated in the same way as the probability  $\mathbf{P}\{S(1) = k-1\}$  in (46). Now we have  $S'(1) := \sum_{j=3}^m d_j(1)$  instead of  $S(1) = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j(1)$  and we have  $h = k-2-s-t$  instead of  $k-1$ . For  $h = 1, \dots, k-2$  the argument of the proof of (46) applies to  $\mathbf{P}\{S'(1) = h\}$  and we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{S'(1) = h\} = (1+o(1)) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^h \frac{(\tau^*)^h}{h!} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}.$$

Furthermore, for  $h = 0$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{S'(1) = 0\} = (\mathbf{P}\{d_3(1) = 0\})^{m-2} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{m-2} = (1+o(1))e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}.$$

Next, using the fact that  $\max_{0 \leq h \leq k-2} \frac{m^h}{n^h} = \frac{m^{k-2}}{n^{k-2}}$  we obtain the bound

$$\mathbf{P}\{S'(1) = h\} = O\left(\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-2} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right), \quad h = 0, 1, \dots, k-2.$$

Hence the second probability of (56) is  $O\left(\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k-2} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right)$ . Combining this bound with (57) we obtain  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^*(u)\} = O\left(\frac{m^{k-2}}{n^{k+2}} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right)$ . Now (55) implies the bound

$$\mathbf{P}\{d(1) = k-1, \mathcal{B}_{1,2}(u)\} = O\left(\frac{m^{k-2}}{n^{k+2}} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right).$$

Finally, (54) implies the bound

$$I'_3 = O\left(\frac{m^k}{n^{k+1}} e^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa}\right). \quad (58)$$

Let us estimate  $I'_4$ . Assume that event  $\{d(1) = k-1\} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1,2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{2,2}$  occurs. Then for some  $\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V} \setminus \{1\}$  one of the following alternatives holds:

$\mathcal{A}_1$ : for some  $i_1 \neq i_2$  we have  $\{1, u\}, \{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{i_2}$ ;

$\mathcal{A}_2$ : for some  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3$  we have  $\{1, u\}, \{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_1}$  and  $\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_2}$ , and  $\{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_3}$ ;

$\mathcal{A}_3$ : for some  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3 \neq i_4$  we have  $\{1, u\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{i_2}$  and  $\{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_3} \cap \mathcal{E}_{i_4}$ .

Given  $\{u, v\}$ , we estimate the probabilities  $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , using the union bound and symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_1\} &\leq \binom{m}{2} \mathbf{P}\{\{1, u\}, \{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_1}\} \mathbf{P}\{\{1, u\}, \{1, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_{i_2}\} \\ &= \binom{m}{2} \left( \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X})_3}{(n)_3} Q^2 \right) \right)^2, \\ \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_2\} &\leq (m)_3 \left( \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X})_3}{(n)_3} Q^2 \right) \right) \left( \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X})_2}{(n)_2} Q \right) \right)^2, \\ \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_3\} &\leq \binom{m}{2} \binom{m-2}{2} \left( \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X})_2}{(n)_2} Q \right) \right)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking into account that there are  $(n-1)_2$  ways to choose vertices  $u \neq v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'_4 &\leq (n-1)_2 (\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_1\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_2\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_3\}) \\ &= O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^4} + \frac{m^3}{n^5} + \frac{m^4}{n^6}\right) = O\left(\frac{m^4}{n^6}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The latter bound combined with (52) and (58) yields (51).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Assume that  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$ . Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\tau^* > 0$ ,  $\eta_2 < \infty$ ,  $\mu' < \infty$ . Assume that  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then  $N'_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1)) \mathbf{E} N'_{k-1}$ .*

In the proof of Lemma 6 we use the following fact.

**Fact 3.** Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . For  $m = \Theta(n \ln n)$  condition  $\mu' < \infty$  implies

$$\mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X})_2 \left( 1 - (1-Q)^{\tilde{X}-1} \right) \right) = o(n^2/m). \quad (60)$$

*Proof of Fact 3.* Inequalities  $1 - (1-q)^x \leq 1$  and  $1 - (1-q)^x \leq qx$  imply inequality  $(1 - (1-q)^x) \leq \min\{1, qx\}$ . From the latter inequality we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X})_2 \left( 1 - (1-Q)^{\tilde{X}-1} \right) \right) \leq \mathbf{E} (\tilde{X}^2 \min\{1, \tilde{X}Q\}) =: I.$$

We will show that  $I = o(n/\ln n)$ . We split

$$I = \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X}^2 \min\{1, \tilde{X}Q\} \mathbf{I}_{\{X < \sqrt{n}\}} \right) + \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X}^2 \min\{1, \tilde{X}Q\} \mathbf{I}_{\{X \geq \sqrt{n}\}} \right) =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Using  $x/\ln(1+x) \leq \sqrt{n}/\ln(1+\sqrt{n})$  for  $x < \sqrt{n}$  and  $x/\ln(1+x) \leq n/\ln(1+n)$  for  $x \leq n$  we upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln(1+\sqrt{n})} \mathbf{E} (X \min\{1, XQ\} \ln(1+X) \mathbf{I}_{\{X < \sqrt{n}\}}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln(1+\sqrt{n})} \mu', \\ I_2 &\leq \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)} \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X} \min\{1, \tilde{X}Q\} \ln(1+\tilde{X}) \mathbf{I}_{\{X \geq \sqrt{n}\}} \right) \leq \frac{n}{\ln(1+n)} I'_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I'_2 = \mathbf{E} \left( X \min\{1, XQ\} \ln(1 + X) \mathbf{I}_{\{X \geq \sqrt{n}\}} \right).$$

Our condition  $\mu' < \infty$  implies  $I'_2 = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence

$$I \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln(1 + \sqrt{n})} \mu' + \frac{n}{\ln(1 + n)} I'_2 = o(n/\ln n). \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.* To show the concentration of  $N'_{k-1}$  around the mean value  $\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}$  we upper bound the variance of  $N'_{k-1}$ . To this aim we evaluate the covariances  $\mathbf{Cov}(I_{\mathcal{D}(v)}, I_{\mathcal{D}(u)})$ . Given vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and set  $K \subset [m]$  of size  $|K| = k - 1$  denote the event

$$\mathcal{D}_K(v) = \{d_i(v) = 1 \ \forall i \in K \text{ and } d_j(v) = 0 \ \forall j \in [m] \setminus K\}.$$

Note that for  $K \neq K'$  events  $\mathcal{D}_K(v), \mathcal{D}_{K'}(v)$  are mutually disjoint. Hence

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(v)} = \sum_{K \subset [m], |K|=k-1} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_K(v)}.$$

For  $h = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$  we denote  $K(h) = \{h + 1, \dots, h + k - 1\}$ . Observe that  $K(0)$  and  $K(h)$  share  $|K(0) \cap K(h)| = k - 1 - h$  common elements. We have, by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(v)} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(u)}) &= \binom{m}{k-1} \mathbf{E} \left( \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v)} \sum_{K \subset [m], |K|=k-1} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_K(u)} \right) \\ &= \binom{m}{k-1} \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{k-1-h} \binom{m-k+1}{h} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v)} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)}). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Let us evaluate  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v)} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)}) = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v) \cap \mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)\}$ . To this aim we write event  $\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v) \cap \mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)$  in the form

$$\mathcal{X}_{K(0) \cap K(h)} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{[m] \setminus (K(0) \cup K(h))} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{K(0) \setminus K(h)} \cap \mathcal{W}_{K(h) \setminus K(0)},$$

where for any  $A \subset [m]$  we denote events

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_A &= \{d_i(v) = d_i(u) = 1 \ \forall i \in A\}, & \mathcal{Y}_A &= \{d_i(v) = d_i(u) = 0 \ \forall i \in A\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_A &= \{d_i(v) = 1, d_i(u) = 0 \ \forall i \in A\}, & \mathcal{W}_A &= \{d_i(v) = 0, d_i(u) = 1 \ \forall i \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the independence and identical distribution of  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v) \cap \mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)\} &= \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{X}_{K(0) \cap K(h)}\} \times \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{Y}_{[m] \setminus (K(0) \cup K(h))}\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{Z}_{K(0) \setminus K(h)}\} \times \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{W}_{K(h) \setminus K(0)}\} \\ &= q_1^{k-1-h} q_2^{m-k-h+1} q_3^{2h}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Here we denote

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \mathbf{P}\{d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 1\}, & q_2 &= \mathbf{P}\{d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 0\}, \\ q_3 &= \mathbf{P}\{d_1(v) = 1, d_1(u) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We show below that

$$q_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X}_1^2 Q_1 \right), \quad q_3 \leq \frac{\tau}{n}, \quad q_2 = 1 - 2 \frac{\kappa}{n} + \frac{\Delta_2}{(n)_2}, \quad (63)$$

where  $\Delta_2$  satisfies  $0 \leq \Delta_2 \leq \mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X}_1)_2 \left( 1 - (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} \right) \right)$ .

Using (63) we upper bound the product in (62). Firstly, combining  $1 + a \leq e^a$ , (63) and (60) we estimate

$$q_2^m \leq \left( e^{-2\frac{\kappa}{n} + \frac{\Delta_2}{(n)_2}} \right)^m = e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\Delta_2 m}{(n)_2} \right) \right) = (1 + o(1)) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}}.$$

This bound extends to  $q_2^{m-t}$  for small  $t$ . In particular, for  $0 \leq t \leq 2k - 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2^{m-t} &= (q_2^m)^{1 - \frac{t}{m}} \leq (1 + o(1)) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}(1 - \frac{t}{m})} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(n^{-1})) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Now from (63), (64) and (62) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v) \cap \mathcal{D}_{K(k-1)}(u)\} &= q_2^{m-2k+2} q_3^{2k-2} \leq (1 + o(1)) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}} \left( \frac{\tau}{n} \right)^{2k-2}, \\ \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}_{K(0)}(v) \cap \mathcal{D}_{K(h)}(u)\} &= O(n^{1-k-h}) e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}}, \quad h = 0, 1, \dots, k-2. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking these bounds in (61) and using  $\frac{m^h}{n^h} = o\left(\frac{m^{k-1}}{n^{k-1}}\right)$  for  $0 < h \leq k-2$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(v)} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(u)}) &\leq (1 + o(1)) \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{m-k+1}{k-1} e^{-2\kappa\frac{m}{n}} \left( \frac{\tau}{n} \right)^{2k-2} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) (\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\})^2. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

In the last step we used  $\tau = (1 + o(1))\tau^*$  and (43). It follows from (65) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var} N'_{k-1} &= \mathbf{E}(N'_{k-1})^2 - (\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1})^2 \\ &= n\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\} + (n)_2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(v)} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{D}(u)}) - (n\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\})^2 \\ &\leq n\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\} + o(1) (n\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{D}(v)\})^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} + o(\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case where  $\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} \rightarrow +\infty$  we obtain  $\mathbf{Var}N'_{k-1} = o(\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1})^2$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Now Chebyshev's inequality shows for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}\{|N'_{k-1} - \mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}| > \varepsilon \mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}\} \leq \frac{\mathbf{Var}N'_{k-1}}{(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}N'_{k-1})^2} = \frac{o(1)}{\varepsilon^2} = o(1).$$

Hence  $N'_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1))\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}$ .

It remains to show (63). Let  $\mathbf{P}_X$  denote the conditional probability given  $X_1$ . Let us estimate  $q_1$ . Identities  $d_1(v) = 1, d_1(u) = 1$  imply  $\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1$ . In particular, we have  $q_1 \leq \mathbf{P}\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} = (n)_2^{-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}_1)_2$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 1, \{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 1 | \{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \mathbf{P}_X\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left( (Q_1(1 - Q_1)^{2(\tilde{X}_1 - 2)} + (1 - Q_1)((\tilde{X}_1 - 2)Q_1(1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 3})^2) \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term  $Q_1(1 - Q_1)^{2(\tilde{X}_1 - 2)}$  refers to the event  $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}_1$ . The second term refers to the complement event  $\{u, v\} \notin \mathcal{E}_1$ .

Next for  $q \in [0, 1]$  and  $x = 2, 3, \dots$  we apply inequalities  $(x - 2)q(1 - q)^{x-3} \leq 1$  and  $1 - q \leq 1$  and derive the inequality

$$q(1 - q)^{2(x-2)} + (1 - q)((x - 2)q(1 - q)^{x-3})^2 \leq q + (x - 2)q = (x - 1)q.$$

Invoking this inequality in the formula for  $q_1$  above we obtain

$$q_1 \leq (n)_2^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X}_1 - 1)(\tilde{X}_1)_2 Q_1 \right) \leq n^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X}_1^2 Q_1 \right).$$

Let us evaluate  $q_2$ . We split

$$q_2 = q_{2,1} + q_{2,2} + q_{2,3} + q_{2,4}, \quad (66)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2,1} &= \mathbf{P}\{\{u, v\} \cap \mathcal{V}_1 = \emptyset\}, & q_{2,2} &= \mathbf{P}\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 0\}, \\ q_{2,3} &= \mathbf{P}\{v \in \mathcal{V}_1, u \notin \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(v) = 0\}, & q_{2,4} &= \mathbf{P}\{v \notin \mathcal{V}_1, u \in \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(u) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and calculate the probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2,1} &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\{\{u \in \mathcal{V}_1\} \cup \{v \in \mathcal{V}_1\}\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{u \in \mathcal{V}_1\} - \mathbf{P}\{v \in \mathcal{V}_1\} + \mathbf{P}\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \\ &= 1 - 2 \frac{\mathbf{E}\tilde{X}_1}{n} + \frac{\mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2,2} &= \mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}_X\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 0\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = d_1(u) = 0 | \{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \mathbf{P}_X\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\}) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left( (1 - Q_1)^{2\tilde{X}_1 - 3} \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \right), \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2,3} = q_{2,4} &= \mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}_X\{v \notin \mathcal{V}_1, u \in \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(u) = 0\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(u) = 0 | v \notin \mathcal{V}_1, u \in \mathcal{V}_1\} \mathbf{P}_X\{v \notin \mathcal{V}_1, u \in \mathcal{V}_1\}) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n} \right) \frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left( \tilde{X}_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{(n)_2} \mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X}_1)_2 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Invoking these expressions for  $q_{2,1}, q_{2,2}, q_{2,3}, q_{2,4}$  in (66) we obtain  $q_2 = 1 - 2\frac{\kappa}{n} + \frac{\Delta_2}{(n)_2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &:= \mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X}_1)_2 \left( 1 - 2(1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} + (1 - Q_1)^{2\tilde{X}_1 - 3} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E} \left( (\tilde{X}_1)_2 \left( 1 - (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us evaluate  $q_3$ . We split  $q_3 = q_{3,1} + q_{3,2}$ , where

$$q_{3,1} = \mathbf{P}\{d_1(v) = 1, u \notin \mathcal{V}_1\}, \quad q_{3,2} = \mathbf{P}\{d_1(v) = 1, u \in \mathcal{V}_1, d_1(u) = 0\},$$

and calculate the probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} q_{3,1} &= \mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = 1, u \notin \mathcal{V}_1, v \in \mathcal{V}_1\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = 1 | u \notin \mathcal{V}_1, v \in \mathcal{V}_1\} \mathbf{P}_X\{u \notin \mathcal{V}_1, v \in \mathcal{V}_1\}) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 2} (\tilde{X}_1 - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n} \right) \frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n - 1} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 2} (\tilde{X}_1 - 1) \left( \frac{\tilde{X}_1}{n} - \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_{3,2} &= \mathbf{E}\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = 1, d_1(u) = 0, \{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}_X\{d_1(v) = 1, d_1(u) = 0 | \{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\} \mathbf{P}_X\{\{u, v\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1\}) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{2\tilde{X}_1 - 4} (\tilde{X}_1 - 2) \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$q_3 = \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{n} Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 2} \right) - \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \theta \right) = \frac{\tau}{n} - \mathbf{E} \left( \frac{(\tilde{X}_1)_2}{(n)_2} \theta \right),$$

where  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  stands for the difference of two probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 2} (\tilde{X}_1 - 1) - Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{2\tilde{X}_1 - 4} (\tilde{X}_1 - 2) \\ &= \mathbf{P}_X \{d_1(v) = 1\} - \mathbf{P}_X \{d_1(v) = 1, d_1(u) = 0\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}_X \{d_1(v) = 1\} = Q_1 (1 - Q_1)^{\tilde{X}_1 - 2} (\tilde{X}_1 - 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 1.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* For  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow +\infty$  Lemmas 5 and 6 imply  $\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1} \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$N_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1))N'_{k-1} = (1 + o_P(1))\mathbf{E}N'_{k-1}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{P}\{N_{k-1} \geq 1\} \rightarrow 1$ .

Assume now that  $\lambda_{n,m,k} \rightarrow -\infty$ . Then  $\lambda_{n,m,t} \rightarrow -\infty$  for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ . For  $h = 1, \dots, k-1$  relations (40), (41) of Lemma 5 imply that

$$\mathbf{E}N'_h = o(1), \quad \mathbf{E}|N_h - N'_h| = o(1).$$

We have  $|\mathbf{E}N_h| \leq \mathbf{E}|N_h - N'_h| + |\mathbf{E}N'_h| = o(1)$  and hence  $N_h = o_P(1)$ .

For  $h = 0$  the bound  $N_h = o_P(1)$  follows from the fact that  $\lambda_{n,m,1} \rightarrow -\infty$  implies that  $G_{[n,m]}$  is connected with high probability, see [1].  $\square$

## References

- [1] Ardickas, D., Bloznelis, M.: Connectivity threshold for superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs. arXiv:2306.08113v2 (2023)
- [2] Bergman, E., Leskelä, L.: Connectivity of random hypergraphs with a given hyperedge size distribution. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **357**(15), 1–13 (2024)
- [3] Bloznelis, M.: Degree and clustering coefficient in sparse random intersection graphs, *The Annals of Applied Probability* **23**, 1254–1289 (2013)
- [4] Bloznelis, M., Karjalainen J., and Leskelä, L.: Normal and stable approximation to subgraph counts in superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs. *Journal of Applied Probability* **61**, 401–419 (2024)
- [5] Bloznelis, M., Leskelä, L.: Clustering and percolation on superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms* **63**(2), 283–342 (2023)
- [6] Bloznelis, M., Marma, D., Vaicekauskas, R.: Connectivity threshold for superpositions of Bernoulli random graphs. II. arXiv:2311.09317 (2023)
- [7] Bloznelis, M., Rybarczyk, K.: k-connectivity of uniform s-intersection graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* **333**, 94–100 (2014)
- [8] Bollobás, B.: Random graphs. In *Combinatorics* (ed. H. N. V. Temperley), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **52**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 80–102 (1981)
- [9] Devroye, L., Fraiman, N.: Connectivity of inhomogeneous random graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms* **45**, 408–420 (2014)
- [10] Erdős, P., Rényi, A.: On random graphs. I. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **6**, 290–297 (1959)
- [11] Erdős, P., Rényi, A.: On the strength of connectedness of a random graph, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **12**, 261–267 (1961)

- [12] Frieze, A., Karoński, M.: Introduction to Random Graphs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015).
- [13] Godehardt, E., Jaworski, J., Rybarczyk, K.: Random intersection graphs and classification. In: Decker, R., Lenz, H.J. (eds.) Advances in Data Analysis, pp. 67–74. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2007)
- [14] Gröhn, T., Karjalainen, J., Leskelä, L.: Clique and cycle frequencies in a sparse random graph model with overlapping communities. Stochastic models (2024)
- [15] van der Hofstad, R.: Random graphs and complex networks. Vol. 1. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017)
- [16] van der Hofstad, R., Komjáthy, J., Vadon, V.: Random intersection graphs with communities. Adv. in Appl. Probab. **53**, 1061–1089 (2021)
- [17] Janson, S., Luczak, T., Ruciński, A.: Random Graphs. Wiley, New York (2000)
- [18] Menger, K.: Zur allgemeinen Kurventheorie. Fund. Math. **10**, 96–115 (1927)
- [19] Penrose, M. D.: On  $k$ -connectivity for a geometric random graph. Random Structures Algorithms. **15**, 145–164 (1999)
- [20] Petti, S., Vempala, S.S.: Approximating sparse graphs: The random overlapping communities model. Random Structures & Algorithms **61**, 844–908 (2022)
- [21] Shang, Y.: On connectivity and robustness of random graphs with inhomogeneity. J. Appl. Probab. **60**, 284–294 (2023)
- [22] Wormald, N. C.: The asymptotic connectivity of labelled regular graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B **31**, 156–167 (1981)
- [23] Yang, J., Leskovec, J.: Community-affiliation graph model for overlapping network community detection. In IEEE 12th International Conference on Data Mining, pages 1170–1175. IEEE (2012)
- [24] Yang, J., Leskovec, J.: Structure and overlaps of ground-truth communities in networks. ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. **5**(2), 1–35 (2014)
- [25] Zhao, J., Yağan, O., Gligor, V.: On connectivity and robustness in random intersection graphs. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **62**, 2121–2136 (2017)