

# QUANTIZED VOLUME COMPARISON FOR FANO MANIFOLDS

KEWEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. A result of Kento Fujita says that the volume of a Kähler–Einstein Fano manifold is bounded from above by the volume of the projective space. In this short note we establish quantized versions of Fujita’s result.

## 1. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $X$  be a Fano manifold of dimension  $n$ .

It is shown by K. Fujita [Fuj18] that if  $X$  admits Kähler–Einstein metric, then it satisfies the volume inequality:

$$\mathrm{vol}(-K_X) \leq \mathrm{vol}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = (n+1)^n,$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ . Here we recall that the volume  $\mathrm{vol}(-K_X)$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{vol}(-K_X) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H^0(X, -mK_X)}{m^n/n!},$$

which is also equal to the intersection number  $(-K_X)^n$ . In differential geometric sense, it is also equal to the volume  $\int_X \omega^n$  for any Kähler form  $\omega \in c_1(-K_X)$ .

Fujita actually proved something stronger in [Fuj18]. The same result holds if  $X$  is only K-semistable, an algebro-geometric notion going back to [Tia97, Don02] that is slightly weaker than the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric. See [Liu18, Zha22] for further developments in this direction.

The first result of this note is a quantized version of Fujita’s volume comparison for K-semistable Fano manifolds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $X$  is a K-semistable Fano manifold, then there exists  $m_0 > 0$  depending only on  $n$  such that*

$$\dim H^0(X, -mK_X) \leq \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \text{ for all } m \geq m_0.$$

*If the equality holds for some  $m \geq m_0$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

This is likely well-known to experts. See §2 for a short proof that builds on [KMM92] and [Fuj18].

The above result motivates the following:

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a K-semistable Fano manifold. Then*

$$\dim H^0(X, -mK_X) \leq \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \text{ for any } m \geq 1.$$

*If the equality holds for some  $m$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

At the moment the author is not aware of any example that could violate this conjecture. On the other hand, it is easy to show that this conjecture holds when  $n \leq 3$  by Riemann–Roch (see §2). However for higher dimensions it seems that

substantial new ideas are needed to attack this conjecture. Even in the toric case this seems to be a tough problem.

Next, we present another quantized version of Fujita's volume comparison theorem. In fact, what Fujita actually proved in [Fuj18] is that

$$\mathrm{vol}(-K_X) \leq \mathrm{vol}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \text{ if } \delta(-K_X) \geq 1,$$

where  $\delta(-K_X)$  denotes the delta invariant of  $-K_X$  introduced in [FO18, BJ20]. By the Fujita–Li criterion [Li17, Fuj19],  $X$  is  $K$ -semistable if and only if  $\delta(-K_X) \geq 1$ . This invariant also has its quantized version,  $\delta_m(-K_X)$ , that was also introduced in [FO18]. We will recall the definitions of these invariants in §3.

The second result of this note is a quantized volume comparison theorem for Fano manifolds in terms of the  $\delta_m$ -invariant.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Fano manifold. Assume that for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $\delta_m(-K_X) \geq 1$ . Then*

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}),$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .

Actually in §3 we will prove a stronger result (Theorem 3.3) that only involves the local  $\delta_m$ -invariant at a point (cf. [AZ22, §2.2]). Our proof, although follows the same strategy as in [Fuj16], are presented in a more elementary way that only uses basic linear algebra. Our approach also reveals some new information about linear systems of a line bundle that could be of independent interest.

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to Chen Jiang for helpful discussions. The author is supported by NSFC grants 12271038 and 12271040.

## 2. QUANTIZED VOLUME COMPARISON FOR $K$ -SEMISTABLE FANOS

First, we give a quick proof for Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $X$  is a  $K$ -semistable Fano manifold, then there exists  $m_0 > 0$  depending only on  $n$  such that*

$$\dim H^0(X, -mK_X) \leq \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \text{ for all } m \geq m_0.$$

If the equality holds for some  $m \geq m_0$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Proof.* By the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch formula,

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(X) m^i, \text{ for all } m \geq 0.$$

Here  $a_i(X)$  are topological constants depending only on the Chern classes of the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T_X$ . Some coefficients have simple expressions:

$$a_n(X) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(-K_X)}{n!}, \quad a_{n-1} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(-K_X)}{2(n-1)!}, \quad a_0(X) = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1.$$

The other coefficients are more complicated to express. However, thanks to the boundedness of Fano manifolds [KMM92], they are all bounded:

$$|a_i(X)| \leq A,$$

where  $A > 0$  depends only on  $n$ . So  $\mathrm{vol}(-K_X)$ , as an integer, only takes finitely many different values.

According to K. Fujita's estimate [Fuj18], the leading coefficient satisfies

$$a_n(X) \leq a_n(\mathbb{P}^n),$$

with the equality taking place only when  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ . So it suffices to deal with the case where

$$a_n(X) < a_n(\mathbb{P}^n).$$

Then we can find a sufficiently large integer  $m_0 > 0$ , depending only on  $n$ , such that

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) < h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$$

for all  $m \geq m_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *A similar result holds for  $K$ -semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties as well. But in this note we shall simply content ourselves with the smooth setting.*

Next, we show that Conjecture 1.2 holds for  $n \leq 3$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable Fano manifold with dimension  $n \leq 3$ , then*

$$\dim H^0(X, -mK_X) \leq \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \text{ for any } m \geq 1.$$

*If the equality holds for some  $m$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

*Proof.* When  $n = 1$  there is nothing to argue. For  $n = 2$ , the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch formula gives

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \text{vol}(-K_X) + 1, \quad m \geq 1.$$

For Fano 3-folds, combining the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch formula with the basic identity  $c_1(X)c_2(X) = 24$  one has

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) = \frac{m(2m+1)(m+1)}{12} \text{vol}(-K_X) + 2m + 1, \quad m \geq 1.$$

So we conclude by [Fuj18].  $\square$

The above proof breaks down when  $n \geq 4$ . For instance, the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch formula for Fano 4-fold gives

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) = \frac{m^4 + 2m^3 + m^2}{24} \text{vol}(-K_X) + \frac{m^2 + m}{24} c_1(X)^2 \cdot c_2(X) + 1.$$

The term  $c_1(X)^2 \cdot c_2(X)$  does not have explicit control. However, if one further assumes that  $X$  has Picard number 1, then estimates in [Hwa03] show that Conjecture 1.2 holds as well for  $n = 4$ .

For higher dimensions, more complicated Chern numbers will be involved in the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch formula, so probably a different approach is needed to attack the conjecture.

## 3. THE QUANTIZED DELTA INVARIANT

We now recall the definition of  $\delta$ -invariant, following [FO18, BJ20, AZ22].

Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$ . For  $m \geq 1$ , we let

$$d_m := h^0(X, mL) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, mL)$$

be the dimension of the space of global holomorphic sections of  $mL$ . Assume that  $d_m > 0$  and let  $\{s_1, \dots, s_{d_m}\}$  be a basis of  $H^0(X, mL)$  and let

$$D = \frac{1}{md_m} \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} (s_i = 0)$$

be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor associated with this basis, which will be called an  $m$ -basis divisor of  $L$ . For any point  $p \in X$ , define

$$\delta_{m,p}(L) := \inf\{\text{lct}_p(X, D) \mid D \text{ is an } m\text{-basis divisor}\}.$$

Here  $\text{lct}_p(X, D)$  denotes the log canonical threshold of  $D$  at  $p$ . In analytic terms,

$$\text{lct}_p(X, D) = \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d_m} |s_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{-\lambda}{md_m}} \text{ is integrable near } p \right\}.$$

If the vanishing order of  $D$  at  $p$  is larger than  $n$ , then  $\text{lct}_p(X, D) < 1$  (see [Kol97, Lemma 8.10]), meaning that the pair  $(X, D)$  is not log canonical at  $p$ .

The  $\delta_m$ -invariant of  $L$  is then defined as

$$\delta_m(L) = \inf_{p \in X} \delta_{m,p}(L).$$

If  $X$  is projective and  $L$  is a big line bundle on  $X$ , then we further put

$$\delta(L) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_m(L).$$

Note that the above limit exists by Blum–Jonsson [BJ20].

Now we move on to the following estimate.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $\delta_{m,p}(L) \geq 1$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \in X$ . Then*

$$h^0(X, mL) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}).$$

*Proof.* The original idea is contained in [Fuj18, Theorem 2.3]. Here we present a proof that uses only linear algebra. For  $j \geq 1$  let  $\mathfrak{m}_p^j$  be the ideal sheaf generated by holomorphic functions with vanishing order at least  $j$  at  $p$ .

First, observe that

$$(1) \quad h^0(X, mL) - h^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_p^j \otimes mL) \leq \binom{n+j-1}{n}.$$

Indeed,  $H^0(X, mL)/H^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_p^j \otimes mL)$  is spanned by sections of  $mL$  with vanishing order at  $p$  less than  $j$ . By considering Taylor expansions of these sections at  $p$ , the dimension of this quotient space cannot be bigger than the dimension of the space of  $n$ -variable polynomials with degree less than  $j$ . So the assertion follows.

To show that  $h^0(X, mL) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ , we argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise that

$$h^0(X, mL) > h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \binom{n+m(n+1)}{n}.$$

This together with (1) then imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m(n+1)+1} h^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_p^j \otimes mL)}{mh^0(X, mL)} &\geq \frac{mn + m + 1}{m} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m(n+1)+1} \binom{n+j-1}{n}}{mh^0(X, mL)} \\
 &= n + 1 + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{\binom{m(n+1)+n+1}{n+1}}{mh^0(X, mL)} \\
 &> n + 1 + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{\binom{m(n+1)+n+1}{n+1}}{m \binom{m(n+1)+n}{n}} \\
 &= n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using linear algebra as in [FO18, Lemma 2.2] and [CRZ19, Lemma 2.7] then yields an  $m$ -basis divisor  $D$  of  $L$  such that its vanishing order at  $p$  is larger than  $n$ . So the pair  $(X, D)$  cannot be log canonical at  $p$ . Thus,  $\delta_{m,p}(L) < 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

From the above proof, one can also easily characterize the equality case.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume that  $\delta_{m,p}(L) \geq 1$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \in X$ . If it happens that*

$$h^0(X, mL) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \binom{m(n+1)+n}{n},$$

then the linear system  $|mL|$  separates  $m(n+1)$ -jets at  $p$ . More precisely, we can find a holomorphic coordinate system  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  around  $p$  and a basis  $\{s_\alpha\}$  of  $H^0(X, mL)$  such that the local expressions of  $s_\alpha$  are monomials modulo higher order terms:

$$s_\alpha(z) = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n} + o(|z|^{m(n+1)}),$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  runs through all the indices such that

$$\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq m(n+1).$$

*Proof.* From the proof of Proposition 3.1, the equality case will force (1) to be an equality for all  $1 \leq j \leq m(n+1) + 1$ . Namely,

$$h^0(X, mL) - h^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_p^j \otimes mL) = \binom{n+j-1}{n}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m(n+1) + 1.$$

So in particular,

$$h^0(X, \mathfrak{m}_p^{m(n+1)+1} \otimes mL) = 0,$$

meaning that the vanishing order at  $p$  of any nonzero section of  $mL$  is less than  $m(n+1) + 1$ . Since  $h^0(X, mL) = \binom{m(n+1)+n}{n}$  is exactly equal to the dimension of the space of  $n$ -variable polynomials with degree less than  $m(n+1) + 1$ , we conclude.  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following quantized volume comparison theorem, which implies Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Fano manifold. Assume that for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \in X$  we have  $\delta_{m,p}(-K_X) \geq 1$ . Then*

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}),$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 3.1, it suffices to deal with the equality case.

If  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ , then  $\delta_m(-K_X) = \delta_{m,p}(-K_X)$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , as  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is homogeneous. Moreover, by [RTZ21, Corollary 7.2] (see also [JR25, Corollary A.8]), we have  $\delta_m(-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 1$ . So  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  indeed attains the equality case.

Now suppose that  $h^0(X, -mK_X) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ . By Proposition 3.2, the linear system  $| -mK_X |$  generates  $m(n+1)$ -jets at  $p$ . By [BS09, Theorem 1], the Seshadri constant of  $-K_X$  at  $p$  is no less than  $n+1$ , so  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  by [BS09, Theorem 2].  $\square$

Replacing [BS09] with the more general characterization of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  due to Liu–Zhuang [LZ18], one can actually prove the following.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety with klt singularities. Let  $m \geq 1$  be such that  $-mK_X$  is Cartier. Assume that for some smooth point  $p \in X$  we have  $\delta_{m,p}(-K_X) \geq 1$ . Then*

$$h^0(X, -mK_X) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, -mK_{\mathbb{P}^n}),$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .

We omit the proof since it is the same as the one for Theorem 3.3.

#### REFERENCES

- [AZ22] Hamid Abban and Ziquan Zhuang. K-stability of Fano varieties via admissible flags. *Forum Math. Pi*, 10:43, 2022. Id/No e15.
- [BJ20] Harold Blum and Mattias Jonsson. Thresholds, valuations, and K-stability. *Adv. Math.*, 365:107062, 57, 2020.
- [BS09] Thomas Bauer and Tomasz Szemberg. Seshadri constants and the generation of jets. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 213(11):2134–2140, 2009.
- [CRZ19] Ivan A. Cheltsov, Yanir A. Rubinstein, and Kewei Zhang. Basis log canonical thresholds, local intersection estimates, and asymptotically log del Pezzo surfaces. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25(2):25:34, 2019.
- [Don02] S. K. Donaldson. Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties. *J. Differential Geom.*, 62(2):289–349, 2002.
- [FO18] Kento Fujita and Yuji Odaka. On the K-stability of Fano varieties and anticanonical divisors. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 70(4):511–521, 2018.
- [Fuj16] Kento Fujita. On  $K$ -stability and the volume functions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 113(5):541–582, 2016.
- [Fuj18] Kento Fujita. Optimal bounds for the volumes of Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 140(2):391–414, 2018.
- [Fuj19] Kento Fujita. A valuative criterion for uniform K-stability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 751:309–338, 2019.
- [Hwa03] Jun-Muk Hwang. On the degrees of Fano four-folds of Picard number 1. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 556:225–235, 2003.
- [JR25] Chenzi Jin and Yanir A. Rubinstein. Asymptotics of quantized barycenters of lattice polytopes with applications to algebraic geometry. *Math. Z.*, 309(3):41, 2025. Id/No 49.
- [KMM92] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 36(3):765–779, 1992.
- [Kol97] János Kollár. Singularities of pairs. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 221–287. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Li17] Chi Li. K-semistability is equivariant volume minimization. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(16):3147–3218, 2017.
- [Liu18] Yuchen Liu. The volume of singular Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 154(6):1131–1158, 2018.
- [LZ18] Yuchen Liu and Ziquan Zhuang. Characterization of projective spaces by Seshadri constants. *Math. Z.*, 289(1-2):25–38, 2018.

- [RTZ21] Yanir A. Rubinstein, Gang Tian, and Kewei Zhang. Basis divisors and balanced metrics. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 778:171–218, 2021.
- [Tia97] Gang Tian. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):1–37, 1997.
- [Zha22] Kewei Zhang. On the optimal volume upper bound for Kähler manifolds with positive Ricci curvature (with an appendix by Yuchen Liu). *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2022(8):6135–6156, 2022.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

*Email address:* kwzhang@bnu.edu.cn