

# NONLINEAR STABILITY OF COMPRESSIBLE VORTEX SHEETS IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELASTODYNAMICS

ROBIN MING CHEN, FEIMIN HUANG, DEHUA WANG, AND DIFAN YUAN

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate the nonlinear stability and existence of compressible vortex sheet solutions for three-dimensional isentropic elastic flows. This problem involves a nonlinear hyperbolic system with a characteristic free boundary. Compared to the two-dimensional case, the additional spatial dimension introduces intricate frequency interactions between elasticity and velocity, significantly complicating the stability analysis. Building upon previous results on the weakly linear stability of elastic vortex sheets [19], we perform a detailed study of the roots of the Lopatinskii determinant and identify a geometric stability condition associated with the deformation gradient.

To address the challenges of the variable-coefficient linearized problem, we employ an upper triangularization technique that isolates the outgoing modes into a closed system, where they appear only at the leading order. This enables us to derive energy estimates despite derivative loss. The major novelty of our approach includes the following two key aspects: (1) For the three-dimensional compressible Euler vortex sheets, the front symbol exhibits degenerate ellipticity in certain frequency directions, which makes it challenging to ensure the front's regularity using standard energy estimates. Our analysis reveals that the non-parallel structure of the deformation gradient tensor plays a crucial role in recovering ellipticity in the front symbol, thereby enhancing the regularity of the free interface. (2) Another significant challenge in three dimensions arises from the strong degeneracy caused by the collision of repeated roots and poles. Unlike in two dimensions, where such interactions are absent, we encounter a co-dimension one set in frequency space where a double root coincides with a double pole. To resolve this, we refine Coulombel's diagonalization framework [21] and construct a suitable transformation that reduces the degeneracy order of the Lopatinskii matrix, enabling the use of localized Gårding-type estimates to control the characteristic components. Finally, we employ a Nash-Moser iteration scheme to establish the local existence and nonlinear stability of vortex sheets under small initial perturbations, showing stability within a subsonic regime.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. History Review	3
1.2. Classical Challenges and Resolutions in 2D	4
1.3. New Challenges from Dimension Increase and Resolutions in 3D	4
2. Formulation, Notations and Main Result	6
2.1. Statement for the Vortex Sheet Problem	6
2.2. Main Result and Discussion	9
2.3. Functional Spaces	10
3. Variable Coefficient Linearized Problem	11
3.1. Reduction of the System	15
3.2. Some Results on Paradifferential Calculus	17
3.3. Paralinearization	18

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q51, 35Q35, 74F10, 76E17, 76N99.

*Key words and phrases.* Vortex sheets, Elastodynamics, Contact discontinuities, Linear stability, Nonlinear stability, Para-differential calculus, Nash-Moser iteration.

3.4. Microlocalization	24
3.5. Estimates in Each Case	29
3.6. Case 1: Points in $\Upsilon_p^{(1)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)}$	29
3.7. Case 2: Points in $\Upsilon_r^{(2)}$	44
3.8. Case 3: Points in $\Upsilon_p^{(2)}$	47
3.9. Other Case	48
3.10. Proof of Theorem 3.1	49
4. Well-posedness of the Linearized Problem	49
4.1. Variable Coefficient Linearized Problem	49
4.2. Well-Posedness in $L^2$	52
4.3. Tangential Derivatives	53
4.4. Normal Derivatives of the Noncharacteristic Variables	55
4.5. Divergences	57
4.6. Vorticities	58
4.7. Proof of Theorem 4.1	59
5. Compatibility Conditions and Approximate Solutions	60
5.1. Compatibility Conditions	60
5.2. Approximate Solutions	61
6. Nash–Moser Iteration	63
6.1. Iterative Scheme	63
6.2. Estimate of the Quadratic and First Substitution Error Terms	68
6.3. Construction and Estimate of the Modified State	70
6.4. Estimate of the Second Substitution and Last Error Terms	73
6.5. Proof of Theorem 2.1	74
Acknowledgments	76
References	76

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Vortex sheets are interfaces between two inviscid incompressible or compressible flows, characterized by a contact discontinuity in the fluid velocity. Across these interfaces, the tangential velocity field exhibits a jump discontinuity, while the normal component of the flow velocity remains continuous. Vortex sheets arise from various physical phenomena in fluid mechanics, including oceanography, plasma physics, astrophysics, elastodynamic and aerodynamics. In compressible flows, they are one of the fundamental wave types, along with shock waves and rarefaction waves, in multi-dimensional (M-D) hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. Studying the existence and stability of compressible vortex sheets can give a better understanding of M-D Riemann problems and the behavior of entropy solutions; see Chen-Feldman [11] and Dafermos [26].

In this paper, we focus on studying the vortex sheets in 3D compressible inviscid flows in elastodynamics: (*cf.* [29, 33] for the physical background):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \\ (\rho \mathbf{v})_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla p = \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top), \\ (\rho \mathbf{F}_j)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F}_j \otimes \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \otimes \rho \mathbf{F}_j) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\rho$  denotes the density,  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3$  the velocity,  $\mathbf{F}_j$  is the  $j$ th column of deformation gradient  $\mathbf{F} = (F_{ij}) \in \mathbf{M}^{3 \times 3}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $p$  for the pressure with  $p = p(\rho)$  a

smooth strictly increasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ . We also introduce Mach number  $M = \frac{|\mathbf{v}|}{c}$ , where

$$c := c(\rho) = \sqrt{p'(\rho)}, \text{ for } \rho > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The fluids occupy in 3D space and the interfaces are 2D embedded inside the fluid.

Note that by taking divergence of the third equations in (1.1), we end up with

$$\partial_t(\operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F}_j)) = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

In column-wise components, we can write the *intrinsic property* (involution condition for the elastic flow, refer to [26]) as follows:

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F}_j) = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.3)$$

This intrinsic property holds at any time throughout the flow if it is initially satisfied.

**1.1. History Review.** The study of compressible vortex sheets has a long and rich history, originating from the seminal works of Miles [41, 42] and Fejer-Miles [27]. These early studies established that in the two-dimensional compressible Euler flow, vortex sheets exhibit violent instability when the Mach number  $M < \sqrt{2}$ , an effect analogous to the Kelvin–Helmholtz instability in incompressible fluids. Later, Artola–Majda [3–5] investigated the interaction between vortex sheets and highly oscillatory waves, demonstrating that global-in-time nonlinear instability persists for  $M > \sqrt{2}$ , making global existence results challenging in the multidimensional settings.

A major breakthrough in the mathematical analysis of compressible vortex sheets came from Coulombel and Secchi [24, 25], who employed microlocal analysis and the Nash–Moser iteration technique to establish the local-in-time nonlinear stability of 2D compressible vortex sheets under small perturbations. Their results were restricted to the supersonic regime  $M > \sqrt{2}$ , relying on stability conditions akin to those used for shock waves by Majda [36, 37] and Coulombel [20, 21]. Extensions of these results to non-isentropic Euler flows [23, 45, 46] further demonstrated how entropy variations influence stability. More recently, research has been carried out to steady three-dimensional compressible vortex sheets [53, 55, 56] and relativistic vortex sheets [12], providing insights into broader applications and mathematical structures.

In three-dimensional flows, the dynamics become substantially more intricate. Miles [42] observed that disturbances propagating at large angles to the undisturbed flow amplify instability, and Serre [50] later demonstrated through normal mode analysis that 3D compressible vortex sheets remain unstable for all Mach numbers, mirroring the Kelvin–Helmholtz instability in incompressible fluids. This suggests that additional physical mechanisms – such as external forces, surface tension, or viscosity – are required to stabilize the interface.

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) provides one such stabilizing effect. Chen–Wang [10] and Trakhinin [52] independently proved that non-parallel magnetic fields stabilize compressible current-vortex sheets, a result that was also obtained for 2D MHD current-vortex sheets [44, 54]. Another stabilizing mechanism arises from viscoelastic effects; numerical simulations and theoretical studies [6, 31] suggest that viscoelasticity counteracts vortex sheet instabilities. Huilgol [31, 32] studied vortex sheet formation in viscoelastic fluids, showing that unsteady shearing motions can induce vortex sheet structures, while Hu–Wang [30] investigated singularity formation in viscoelastic flows. The stabilization by surface tension was confirmed in the work of Stevens [51], where the local existence and structural stability for 3D compressible Euler vortex sheets were obtained.

Significant effort has been devoted to understanding the influence of elasticity on vortex sheet stability. Linear and nonlinear stability for 2D compressible elastic vortex sheets has been rigorously established in [14–18]. More recently, Chen–Huang–Wang–Yuan [19] investigated the

weakly linear stability of 3D compressible elastic vortex sheets, deriving necessary and sufficient conditions for stability through spectral analysis and *a priori* estimates.

**1.2. Classical Challenges and Resolutions in 2D.** One of the fundamental difficulties in analyzing vortex sheets stems from the characteristic nature of the free boundary, which limits control over the trace of characteristic components [7, 24, 34, 38]. Specifically, the failure of the uniform Kreiss–Lopatinskiĭ (UKL) condition leads to a loss of tangential derivatives in estimating the solutions in terms of source terms in the linearized problem [24], making standard approaches insufficient for proving stability results. Additionally, the presence of elasticity complicates the root structure of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant, making it difficult to directly apply classical Kreiss symmetrization techniques.

A recent development in overcoming these difficulties was introduced in [14] in the study of 2D rectilinear compressible elastic vortex sheets, where the authors proposed an upper triangularization technique to isolate outgoing modes from the system at all points in the frequency space. This method effectively separates the system into a closed form where the outgoing modes can be proved to be zero, simplifying the analysis to estimating only the incoming modes, which can be derived directly from the Lopatinskiĭ determinant. As a result, the linear stability was achieved. This approach was further extended by Chen–Huang–Wang–Yuan [19] to analyze 3D linear stability for rectilinear compressible elastic fluids, providing a crucial step toward the nonlinear dynamics.

Linearizing around a non-constant background state introduces spatially dependent coefficients in the system, leading to additional complications in controlling the behavior of solutions. One convenient way to derive energy-type estimates is to use paradifferential calculus of Bony [8]. A particularly difficult issue in the parilinearization approach is that the Lopatinskiĭ determinant may vanish at certain frequencies (called *roots*). Coulombel [20, 21] and Coulombel–Secchi [24] developed a bicharacteristic extension method to construct weight functions that mitigate this degeneracy. However, this method relies on the assumption that the leading order symbol matrix for the parilinearized system of the non-characteristic form remains diagonalizable along bicharacteristic curves. This assumption fails when the roots coincide with the points where the system cannot be reduced to a non-characteristic form – these points are referred to as *poles*. This breakdown has been a significant obstacle in applying classical energy methods.

To overcome this, a new approach was designed in [15], based on a refined upper triangularization of the para-linearized system. Instead of relying on bicharacteristic curves, this method constructs weight functions that depend solely on the background state variables. This avoids discrepancies between bicharacteristic extensions and pole distributions, allowing for a more robust stability analysis. A key advantage of this approach is that it provides a framework to ensure improved regularity of the outgoing mode even in the variable-coefficient case, which plays a crucial role in compensating the loss of higher regularity for the characteristic components near the poles. This is particularly important when extending stability results to nonlinear settings, where controlling derivative loss is essential for proving local well-posedness [16].

**1.3. New Challenges from Dimension Increase and Resolutions in 3D.** In addition to the above challenges, the transition from two-dimensional to fully three-dimensional vortex sheets introduces new analytical and structural challenges. The additional spatial dimension not only increases the degrees of freedom in frequency space but also creates more potential instability directions, making frequency interactions significantly more intricate. Unlike in 2D, where instabilities are constrained to a plane, 3D vortex sheets exhibit a broader range of possible resonance mechanisms. However, in the case of elastic vortex sheets, the additional elasticity

components in 3D play a stabilizing role by restricting the growth of unstable perturbations, provided that certain geometric conditions on the deformation gradient are satisfied.

1.3.1. *Enhanced ellipticity from elasticity.* As is mentioned in Section 1.2, the energy estimates suffer a loss of tangential derivative due to the failure of the UKL condition. Since the wave front appears only in the boundary conditions of the vortex sheet system, one key strategy is to ensure that instabilities can only arise from the traces of solutions to the interior dynamical system rather than from the front symbol. In other words, the boundary conditions for the front need to satisfy certain *ellipticity* condition.

For 2D compressible Euler vortex sheets, this ellipticity is achieved because the front symbol is homogeneous and does not vanish on the closed hemisphere in the frequency space; see [24, Lemma 4.1]. This property allows for the recovery of one derivative in the regularity estimates of the vortex sheet front. Furthermore, it enables the elimination of the front from the system, reducing the problem to a standard boundary value problem with a symbolic boundary condition, similar to the case of shock waves [37]. The introduction of elasticity preserves the essential algebraic structure, ensuring that the ellipticity condition and subsequent reduction of the system remain valid [14].

However, increasing the spatial dimension introduces additional tangential components, significantly complicating frequency interactions and resonance effects. In particular, for the 3D compressible Euler vortex sheets, the front symbol exhibits *degenerate ellipticity* along certain frequency directions, which makes it more difficult to control the regularity of the front using standard energy estimates. A key discovery in our analysis is that elasticity provides an *ellipticity enhancement* mechanism that counteracts this degeneracy. More precisely, we show that if the deformation gradient on the free boundary satisfies the geometric condition

$$\mathbf{F}_1 \times \mathbf{F}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \mathbf{F}_1 \not\parallel \mathbf{F}_2, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_1$  and  $\mathbf{F}_2$  denote the first two rows of the deformation gradient (see (2.18)), then boundary ellipticity is restored, allowing for the recovery of one derivative for the free interface regularity; see (3.24). Another fundamental difference between the 2D and 3D elastic vortex sheet problems lies in the elimination of the front from the boundary conditions. In two dimensions, there exists a natural projection that removes the front-related terms from the boundary conditions, leaving the remaining system non-singular and ensuring that the normal component of the unknown function can be controlled by its non-characteristic part. In contrast, in 3D, no obvious projection structure is available due to the increased complexity of frequency interactions. Nevertheless, by exploiting the non-parallel structural property of elasticity in (1.4), we construct a suitable projection matrix that facilitates the elimination of the front, thus preserving the essential structure needed for stability estimates; see (3.26).

1.3.2. *Resolving higher-order singularities in the Lopatinskiĭ condition.* As is explained in Section 1.2, the presence of roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant leads to a loss of derivatives in the energy estimates, while at each pole, the para-linearized system cannot be reduced to a non-characteristic form. In the case of 2D elastic vortex sheets, the method developed in [15] effectively treats the scenario where a simple root coincides with a simple pole.

In 3D, the situation is considerably more complex. Specifically, there exists a co-dimension one set in frequency space where a double pole, arising from the left and right states of the two-phase system, collides with a double root. Since the system cannot be directly transformed into a non-characteristic form, we employ the refined upper triangularization technique of [15] to separate the outgoing modes into a closed form, allowing us to derive improved regularity estimates. These estimates are then used to analyze the coupling between the characteristic part and the outgoing mode, ultimately enabling control of the characteristic components. The

final step is to estimate the (boundary trace of) incoming modes in terms of the outgoing modes and source terms.

A crucial factor in determining whether the boundary estimates can be closed is the behavior of the restriction of the boundary symbol  $\beta$  to the stable subspace  $\text{span}\{E^r, E^l\}$  of the linearized system, which corresponds to the Lopatinskiĭ matrix  $L := \beta(E^r \ E^l)$ . As noted earlier,  $L$  is not invertible, and direct computation reveals that  $L$  has a one-dimensional kernel at the roots. This singularity leads to the failure of UKL, resulting in derivative loss. The important work of Coulombel [21] on weakly stable shock waves developed a framework to handle cases where the boundary symbol  $\beta$  vanishes at first order at the roots. This technique has been successfully applied to the study of 2D compressible Euler vortex sheets [24], 2D compressible elastic vortex sheets [15, 16], and 2D relativistic vortex sheets [13]. However, for 3D elastic vortex sheets, a double root may appear, leading to a higher-order degeneracy where  $\beta$  vanishes at second order at the double root. To resolve this issue, we extend Coulombel's argument by constructing two invertible mappings  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  near the double root, which are symbols of type  $\Gamma_2^0$  (degree 0 and regularity 2; see Definition 3.1). Under these transformations, the Lopatinskiĭ matrix  $L$  is transformed to

$$\beta_{\text{in}} := P_1 L P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda^{-2}(\gamma + i\sigma_1)(\gamma + i\sigma_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Lambda, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma_2^1$  are real-valued scalar symbols. The second-order vanishing of  $\beta$  is captured by the symbol  $(\gamma + i\sigma_1)(\gamma + i\sigma_2)$ . We show that this construction ensures  $\beta_{\text{in}} \in \Gamma_2^0$ , which brings the problem into the framework of [21], allowing us to use localized Gårding's inequality to compensate for the loss of derivatives; see Section 3.6. We would like to comment that this diagonalization of  $L$  into  $\beta_{\text{in}}$  and the associated reduction in the degree of the double roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant are expected to be useful for other models with similar algebraic structures, such as contact discontinuities in relativistic vortex sheets, non-isentropic Euler equations, and multidimensional shock waves in non-characteristic free boundary problems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate 3D nonlinear problem of vortex sheets, fix the free boundary, linearize the system around a given constant solution, introduce the function spaces and useful lemmas, and state our main result, Theorem 2.1. In Section 3, we introduce the effective linear problem and its formulation with variable coefficients. In Section 4, we prove a well-posedness result of the effective linear problem in the usual Sobolev space  $H^m$  with  $m$  large enough. In Section 5, we transform the original nonlinear problem into the case with zero initial data. We construct approximate solutions to incorporate the initial data into the interior equations. The necessary compatibility conditions are imposed on the initial data for the construction of smooth approximate solutions. Finally, we show the existence and stability results of solutions to the reduced problem and conclude the main result in Section 6 by using Nash-Moser iteration.

## 2. FORMULATION, NOTATIONS AND MAIN RESULT

In this section, we will derive the governing dynamics of vortex sheets from the elastic equation (1.1), linearize them around a planar vortex sheet, and state our main result.

**2.1. Statement for the Vortex Sheet Problem.** Recall the definition of vortex sheet solutions for (1.1). Let  $U(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\rho, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{F})(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a solution to system (1.1) which is piecewise smooth on the both sides of a smooth hypersurface

$$\Gamma = \{x_3 = \psi(t, x_1, x_2)\}.$$

Denote  $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , for the partial derivatives, normal vector  $\nu = (-\partial_1\psi, -\partial_2\psi, 1)$  on  $\Gamma$  and

$$U(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} U^+(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } x_3 > \psi(t, x_1, x_2), \\ U^-(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } x_3 < \psi(t, x_1, x_2), \end{cases}$$

where  $U^\pm = (\rho^\pm, \mathbf{v}^\pm, \mathbf{F}^\pm)(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . The solution  $U$  satisfies the Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations at each point on  $\Gamma$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\psi[\rho] - [\rho\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu] &= 0, \\ \partial_t\psi[\rho\mathbf{v}] - [(\rho\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu)\mathbf{v}] - [p]\nu + [\rho\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^\top\nu] &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \partial_t\psi[\rho\mathbf{F}_j] - [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu)\rho\mathbf{F}_j] + [(\rho\mathbf{F}_j \cdot \nu)\mathbf{v}] &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where we write  $[f]$  as the jump of the quantity  $f$  across the hypersurface  $\Gamma$ . For a vortex sheet (contact discontinuity), we require

$$[\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{v}] \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{and } \psi_t = \mathbf{v}^\pm \cdot \nu \Big|_\Gamma. \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore the jump conditions reduce to

$$\rho^+ = \rho^-, \quad \psi_t = \mathbf{v}^+ \cdot \nu = \mathbf{v}^- \cdot \nu, \quad \mathbf{F}_j^+ \cdot \nu = \mathbf{F}_j^- \cdot \nu. \quad (2.3)$$

It is crucial that in the derivation of Rankine-Hugoniot condition, we need to regard

$$\rho^\pm \mathbf{F}_j^\pm \cdot \nu = 0$$

as an *intrinsic property*. Therefore, we also have

$$\mathbf{F}_j^\pm \cdot \nu = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t). \quad (2.4)$$

To flatten and fix the free boundary  $\Gamma$ , we need to introduce the function  $\Phi(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  to set the variable transformation  $\Phi^\pm(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  as follows. We first consider the class of functions  $\Phi(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  such that  $\inf\{\partial_3\Phi\} > 0$ . Then we define

$$U_\#^\pm = (\rho_\#^\pm, \mathbf{v}_\#^\pm, \mathbf{F}_\#^\pm)(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (\rho, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{F})(t, x_1, x_2, \Phi(t, x_1, x_2, \pm x_3)), \quad (2.5)$$

for  $x_3 \geq 0$ . In the following argument, we drop the index  $\#$  for notation simplicity. Define  $\Phi^\pm(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) := \Phi(t, x_1, x_2, \pm x_3)$ . Inspired by [24, 28], it is natural to require  $\Phi^\pm$  satisfying the eikonal equation

$$\partial_t\Phi^\pm + v_1^\pm\partial_1\Phi^\pm + v_2^\pm\partial_2\Phi^\pm - v_3^\pm = 0, \quad \pm\partial_3\Phi^\pm \geq \kappa > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

when  $x_3 \geq 0$ , and

$$\Phi^+ = \Phi^- = \psi, \quad \text{if } x_3 = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

for some constant  $\kappa > 0$ .

Through this variable transformation, equations (1.1) become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U^\pm + A_1(U^\pm)\partial_1 U^\pm + A_2(U^\pm)\partial_2 U^\pm \\ + \frac{1}{\partial_3\Phi^\pm} (A_3(U^\pm) - \partial_t\Phi^\pm I - \partial_1\Phi^\pm A_1(U^\pm) - \partial_2\Phi^\pm A_2(U^\pm))\partial_3 U^\pm = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for  $x_3 > 0$  with free boundary  $x_3 = 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(U) &:= \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \rho & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{p'}{\rho} & v_1 & 0 & 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & -F_{12} & 0 & 0 & -F_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & -F_{12} & 0 & 0 & -F_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & -F_{12} & 0 & 0 & -F_{13} \\ 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{11} & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
A_2(U) &:= \begin{bmatrix} v_2 & 0 & \rho & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & -F_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{p'}{\rho} & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & -F_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & -F_{23} \\ 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{21} & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\text{and } A_3(U) &:= \begin{bmatrix} v_3 & 0 & 0 & \rho & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & -F_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & -F_{33} & 0 \\ \frac{p'}{\rho} & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & -F_{33} \\ 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{31} & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -F_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_3 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

It is noted that this choice simplify the expression of the nonlinear problem in the fixed domain and guarantees the constant rank property of boundary matrix in the whole domain.

It is obvious that system of conservation laws (1.1) admits trivial vortex sheets solutions consisting of two constant states separated by a planar front as follows:

$$U(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (\bar{\rho}, \bar{v}, 0, 0, \bar{F}_{11}^+, \bar{F}_{21}^+, 0, \bar{F}_{12}^+, \bar{F}_{22}^+, 0, \bar{F}_{13}^+, \bar{F}_{23}^+, 0) & \text{if } x_3 > 0, \\ (\bar{\rho}, -\bar{v}, 0, 0, \bar{F}_{11}^-, \bar{F}_{21}^-, 0, \bar{F}_{12}^-, \bar{F}_{22}^-, 0, \bar{F}_{13}^-, \bar{F}_{23}^-, 0) & \text{if } x_3 < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Every planar elastic vortex sheet (namely piecewise-constant vortex sheet) is of this form through the Galilean transformation. For simplicity we assume that  $\bar{F}_{ij}^+ = -\bar{F}_{ij}^- = \bar{F}_{ij}$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Then we need to solve the following initial-boundary value problem for  $U_{\sharp}^{\pm}$  in a fixed domain:

$$\mathbb{L}(U^{\pm}, \Phi^{\pm}) := L(U^{\pm}, \Phi^{\pm})U^{\pm} = \mathbf{0}, \quad x_3 > 0, \tag{2.11a}$$

$$\mathbb{B}(U^+, U^-, \psi)|_{x_3=0} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{2.11b}$$

$$(U^+, U^-, \psi)|_{t=0} = (U_0^+, U_0^-, \psi_0), \tag{2.11c}$$

where we have dropped the index “ $\sharp$ ” for convenience,  $L(U, \Phi)$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are given by

$$L(U, \Phi) := I\partial_t + A_1(U)\partial_1 + A_2(U)\partial_2 + \tilde{A}_3(U, \Phi)\partial_3, \tag{2.12}$$



$$\mathbb{B}(U^+, U^-, \psi) := \begin{bmatrix} [v_1]\partial_1\psi + [v_2]\partial_2\psi - [v_3] \\ \partial_t\psi + v_1^+|_{x_3=0}\partial_1\psi + v_2^+|_{x_3=0}\partial_2\psi - v_3^+|_{x_3=0} \\ [\rho] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

with

$$\tilde{A}_3(U, \Phi) := \frac{1}{\partial_3\Phi} (A_3(U) - \partial_t\Phi I - \partial_1\Phi A_1(U) - \partial_2\Phi A_2(U)).$$

By (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain that the boundary matrix of problem (2.11), *i.e.*,

$$\text{diag}(-\tilde{A}_3(U^+, \Phi^+), -\tilde{A}_3(U^-, \Phi^-)),$$

has constant rank on  $\{x_3 \geq 0\}$  if and only if

$$F_{3j}^\pm = F_{1j}^\pm\partial_1\Phi^\pm + F_{2j}^\pm\partial_2\Phi^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{if } x_3 \geq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

In the new variables, (1.3) become

$$\partial_\ell^{\Phi^\pm}(\rho^\pm F_{\ell j}^\pm) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{if } x_3 > 0, \quad (2.15)$$

where we denote the partial differentials with respect to the lifting function  $\Phi$  by

$$\partial_t^\Phi := \partial_t - \frac{\partial_t\Phi}{\partial_3\Phi}\partial_2, \quad \partial_1^\Phi := \partial_1 - \frac{\partial_1\Phi}{\partial_3\Phi}\partial_3, \quad \partial_2^\Phi := \partial_2 - \frac{\partial_2\Phi}{\partial_3\Phi}\partial_3, \quad \partial_3^\Phi := \frac{1}{\partial_3\Phi}\partial_3. \quad (2.16)$$

The following proposition shows that identities (2.14)–(2.15) are involutions for vortex sheet problem (2.6)–(2.11). The proof follows from a straightforward computation and hence is omitted.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every sufficiently smooth solution of problem (2.6)–(2.11) on time interval  $[0, T]$ , constraints (2.14)–(2.15) hold for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , if they are satisfied initially.*

**2.2. Main Result and Discussion.** In the straightened variables, the piecewise constant vortex sheet (2.10) corresponds to the stationary solution of (2.11a)–(2.11c) and (2.14), (2.15) as follows:

$$\bar{U}^\pm := (\bar{\rho}, \pm\bar{v}, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{11}, \pm\bar{F}_{21}, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{12}, \pm\bar{F}_{22}, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{13}, \pm\bar{F}_{23}, 0)^\top, \quad \bar{\varphi} := 0, \quad \bar{\Phi}^\pm := \pm x_3. \quad (2.17)$$

For  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we denote

$$F_j := \text{the } j\text{th row of the deformation matrix } \mathbf{F}^r. \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.17) we know that  $F_3 = \mathbf{0}$ . We further define the vector projections (see Fig. 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_a(b) &:= \text{the parallel projection of } b \text{ onto } a, \\ \Pi_a^\perp(b) &:= b - \Pi_a(b) = \text{the perpendicular projection of } b \text{ onto } a. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$




FIGURE 1. Vector projections

In order to prove the nonlinear stability of elastic vortex sheets, we only need to show the existence of solutions to problem (2.6)–(2.11) on account of transform (2.5). The main result of this paper is stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $s_0 \geq 14$  be an integer. Suppose that the background state (2.17) satisfies  $F_1 \times F_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and the following stability conditions:*

$$\bar{v}^2 < \frac{|\Pi_{F_2}^\perp(F_1)|^2}{4}, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\bar{v}^2 < G(F_1, F_2), \quad (2.21)$$

where  $G(F_1, F_2)$  is defined in (3.39). Suppose further that the initial data  $U_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  satisfy constraints (2.14)–(2.15) and the compatibility conditions up to order  $s_0$  (cf. Definition 5.1), and that  $(U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm, \varphi_0) \in H^{s_0+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3) \times H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  has a compact support. Then there exists a positive constant  $\epsilon$  such that, if

$$\|U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm\|_{H^{s_0+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon,$$

then problem (2.6)–(2.11) admits a solution  $(U^\pm, \Phi^\pm, \varphi)$  on the time interval  $[0, T]$  satisfying

$$(U^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm, \Phi^\pm - \bar{\Phi}^\pm) \in H^{s_0-8}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^3), \quad \varphi \in H^{s_0-7}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2).$$

**2.3. Functional Spaces.** Now we introduce some necessary functional spaces, *i.e.*, weighted Sobolev spaces in preparation for our main theorem. Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  denote the distributions and define

$$H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) : e^{-\gamma t} u(t, x_1, x_2) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\},$$

$$H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}_+^4) := \{v(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^4) : e^{-\gamma t} v(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^4)\},$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \geq 1$ , with equivalent norms

$$\|u\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \|e^{-\gamma t} u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \|v\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}_+^4)} := \|e^{-\gamma t} v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^4)},$$

respectively, where

$$\mathbb{R}_+^4 := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_3 > 0\}.$$

We define the norm

$$\|u\|_{s, \gamma}^2 := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\gamma^2 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad \text{for any } u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

with  $\widehat{u}(\xi)$  being the Fourier transform of  $u$  with respect to  $(t, x_1, x_2)$ . Setting  $\tilde{u} = e^{-\gamma t} u$ , we see that  $\|u\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  and  $\|\tilde{u}\|_{s, \gamma}$  are equivalent, denoted by  $\|u\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \simeq \|\tilde{u}\|_{s, \gamma}$ . Now, we can define the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^s)}^2 := \int_0^{+\infty} \|v(\cdot, x_3)\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dx_3.$$

We also have

$$\|v\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^s)}^2 \simeq \|\tilde{v}\|_{s, \gamma}^2 := \int_0^{+\infty} \|\tilde{v}(\cdot, x_3)\|_{s, \gamma}^2 dx_3.$$

It is easy to see that when  $s = 0$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_0 := \|\cdot\|_{0, \gamma} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0, \gamma}$  ( $\|\cdot\|_0$  for simplicity) is the usual norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^4)$ . We denote  $\nabla := (\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2)$  when applying it to functions of  $(t, x_1, x_2)$ . For multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ , we define  $\partial^\alpha := \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \partial_3^{\alpha_3}$  and  $|\alpha| := \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote  $\nabla^m := \{\partial^\alpha : |\alpha| = m\}$ .

Moreover,

$$H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T) := \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T) : e^{-\gamma t} u \in H^m(\Omega_T)\}$$

is introduced with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \gamma^{m-|\alpha|} \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

Similarly, the space  $H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)$  and its norm are defined. Furthermore, we abbreviate  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H_\gamma^m(\omega_T))$  to  $L^2(H_\gamma^m(\omega_T))$ , which is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^m(\omega_T))} := \sum_{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq m} \gamma^{m-\alpha_0-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

and  $L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T) := L^2(H_\gamma^0(\omega_T))$ ,  $\|u\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} = \|e^{-\gamma t} u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$ .

We use the following notation:  $A \lesssim B$  ( $B \gtrsim A$ ) if  $A \leq CB$  ( $B \geq CA$ ) holds uniformly for some positive constant  $C$  that is *independent* of  $\gamma$ .

In the following, we present the Moser-type calculus inequalities in weighted Sobolev spaces, which will be used in proving the higher-order tame estimates and convergence of the Nash–Moser iterative scheme.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u, v \in H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ . Let  $b$  denote a  $C^\infty$ -function defined in a neighborhood of the origin.*

(a) *If  $|\beta_1 + \beta_2| \leq m$  and  $b(0) = 0$ , then*

$$\|\partial^{\beta_1} u \partial^{\beta_2} v\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} + \|uv\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \|v\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\|b(u)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}) \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)}; \quad (2.23)$$

(b) *If  $|\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3| \leq m$ , then*

$$\|\partial^{\beta_1} [\partial^{\beta_2}, b(u)] \partial^{\beta_3} v\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}) \left( \|v\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \right). \quad (2.24)$$

*Moreover, if  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)$ , then*

$$\|\partial^{\beta_1} [\partial^{\beta_2}, b(u)] \partial^{\beta_3} v\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C(\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)}) \left( \|v\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\Omega_T)} + \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \right). \quad (2.25)$$

Here  $\beta_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are multi-indices,  $[a, b]c := a(bc) - b(ac)$  represents the standard commutator, and the increasing function  $C$  is independent of  $u, v, \gamma$ , and  $T$ . The same conclusions remain valid when  $\Omega_T$  is replaced by  $\omega_T$ .

We refer the proof of the inequalities (2.22) and (2.23) to [39, Section 4.5] and [25, Appendix C]. We also omit the proof of the inequalities (2.24) and (2.25), which can be proved by (2.22) and (2.23) through a direct calculation.

### 3. VARIABLE COEFFICIENT LINEARIZED PROBLEM

In this section we introduce the effective linear problem and its formulation with variable coefficients. We first write (2.11a) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}(U^\pm, \Phi^\pm) &:= \partial_t U^\pm + A_1(U^\pm) \partial_1 U^\pm + A_2(U^\pm) \partial_2 U^\pm \\ &+ \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^\pm} (A_3(U^\pm) - \partial_t \Phi^\pm I - \partial_1 \Phi^\pm A_1(U^\pm) - \partial_2 \Phi^\pm A_2(U^\pm)) \partial_3 U^\pm = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for  $x_3 > 0$ . Using Rankine-Hugoniot conditions, we derive that

$$\mathbb{B}(U|_{x_3=0}, \psi) = \begin{cases} (v_1^+ - v_1^-) \partial_1 \psi + (v_2^+ - v_2^-) \partial_2 \psi - (v_3^+ - v_3^-) = 0, \\ \partial_t \psi + v_1^+ \partial_1 \psi + v_2^+ \partial_2 \psi - v_3^+ = 0, \\ \rho^+ - \rho^- = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\Phi^\pm = \psi$  at  $x_3 = 0$ . We also have that

$$\begin{cases} (F_{11}^+ - F_{11}^-)\partial_1\psi + (F_{21}^+ - F_{21}^-)\partial_2\psi - (F_{31}^+ - F_{31}^-) = 0, \\ F_{11}^+\partial_1\psi + F_{21}^+\partial_2\psi - F_{31}^+ = 0, \\ (F_{12}^+ - F_{12}^-)\partial_1\psi + (F_{22}^+ - F_{22}^-)\partial_2\psi - (F_{32}^+ - F_{32}^-) = 0, \\ F_{12}^+\partial_1\psi + F_{22}^+\partial_2\psi - F_{32}^+ = 0, \\ (F_{13}^+ - F_{13}^-)\partial_1\psi + (F_{23}^+ - F_{23}^-)\partial_2\psi - (F_{33}^+ - F_{33}^-) = 0, \\ F_{13}^+\partial_1\psi + F_{23}^+\partial_2\psi - F_{33}^+ = 0, \end{cases}$$

Now, we consider the following background states:

$$\begin{aligned} U^{r,l} &= (\rho^{r,l}, v_1^{r,l}, v_2^{r,l}, v_3^{r,l}, F_{11}^{r,l}, F_{21}^{r,l}, F_{31}^{r,l}, F_{12}^{r,l}, F_{22}^{r,l}, F_{32}^{r,l}, F_{13}^{r,l}, F_{23}^{r,l}, F_{33}^{r,l})^\top = \bar{U}^{r,l} + \dot{U}^{r,l} \\ &= (\bar{\rho}, \pm\bar{v}, 0, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{11}, \pm\bar{F}_{21}, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{12}, \pm\bar{F}_{22}, 0, \pm\bar{F}_{13}, \pm\bar{F}_{23}, 0)^\top + \\ &\quad (\dot{\rho}^{r,l}, \dot{v}_1^{r,l}, \dot{v}_2^{r,l}, \dot{v}_3^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{11}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{21}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{31}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{12}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{22}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{32}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{13}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{23}^{r,l}, \dot{F}_{33}^{r,l})^\top, \\ \Phi^{r,l}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &:= \pm x_3 + \dot{\Phi}^{r,l}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $U^{r,l}$  and  $\Phi^{r,l}$  represent the states and changes of variables on each side of vortex sheets separately.  $\bar{\rho} > 0$ ,  $\bar{v}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{11}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{21}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{12}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{22}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{13}$ ,  $\bar{F}_{23}$  are constants.  $\dot{U}^{r,l}$  and  $\dot{\Phi}^{r,l}$  are functions which denotes the perturbation around the constant states. We assume the perturbation of the background states satisfying

$$\dot{U}^{r,l} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega), \quad \dot{\Phi}^{r,l} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega), \quad \|\dot{U}^{r,l}\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\dot{\Phi}^{r,l}\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq K. \tag{3.4}$$

Here  $K$  is a positive constant.  $\dot{U}^{r,l}$  and  $\dot{\Phi}^{r,l}$  have compact support in the domain

$$\Omega := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_3 > 0\}.$$

We also require the perturbed states (3.3) to satisfy the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions:

$$\begin{cases} (v_1^r - v_1^l)\partial_1\psi + (v_2^r - v_2^l)\partial_2\psi - (v_3^r - v_3^l) = 0, \\ \partial_t\psi + v_1^r\partial_1\psi + v_2^r\partial_2\psi - v_3^r = 0, \\ \rho^r - \rho^l = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

on  $x_3 = 0$ , where  $\psi = \Phi^r|_{x_3=0} = \Phi^l|_{x_3=0}$ .

Now, we assume that the following conditions on the perturbed states (3.3) holds:

$$\partial_t\Phi^{r,l} + v_1^{r,l}\partial_1\Phi^{r,l} + v_2^{r,l}\partial_2\Phi^{r,l} - v_3^{r,l} = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\partial_3\Phi^r \geq \kappa_0, \quad \partial_3\Phi^l \leq -\kappa_0, \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega$  and some positive constant  $\kappa_0$ . We also assume from initial data that

$$\begin{cases} F_{11}^{r,l}\partial_1\Phi^{r,l} + F_{21}^{r,l}\partial_2\Phi^{r,l} - F_{31}^{r,l} = 0, \\ F_{12}^{r,l}\partial_1\Phi^{r,l} + F_{22}^{r,l}\partial_2\Phi^{r,l} - F_{32}^{r,l} = 0, \\ F_{13}^{r,l}\partial_1\Phi^{r,l} + F_{23}^{r,l}\partial_2\Phi^{r,l} - F_{33}^{r,l} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Now, we linearize the (3.1) around the basic states (3.3) and denote by  $(V^\pm, \Psi^\pm)$  the perturbation of the states  $(U^{r,l}, \Phi^{r,l})$ . Then, the linearized equations are

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t V^\pm + A_1(U^{r,l})\partial_1 V^\pm + A_2(U^{r,l})\partial_2 V^\pm \\ & + \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} (A_3(U^{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi^{r,l} I - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} A_1(U^{r,l}) - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} A_2(U^{r,l})) \partial_3 V^\pm \\ & + [dA_1(U^{r,l})V^\pm] \partial_1 U^{r,l} + [dA_2(U^{r,l})V^\pm] \partial_2 U^{r,l} \\ & - \frac{\partial_3 \Psi^\pm}{(\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l})^2} (A_3(U^{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi^{r,l} I - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} A_1(U^{r,l}) - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} A_2(U^{r,l})) \partial_3 U^{r,l} \\ & + \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} (dA_3(U^{r,l})V^\pm - \partial_t \Psi^\pm I - \partial_1 \Psi^\pm A_1(U^{r,l}) - \partial_2 \Psi^\pm A_2(U^{r,l}) \\ & - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} dA_1(U^{r,l})V^\pm - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} dA_2(U^{r,l})V^\pm) \partial_3 U^{r,l} = f, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_3 > 0$ . We define the first-order linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} L(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})V^\pm & := \partial_t V^\pm + A_1(U^{r,l})\partial_1 V^\pm + A_2(U^{r,l})\partial_2 V^\pm \\ & + \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} (A_3(U^{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi^{r,l} I - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} A_1(U^{r,l}) - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} A_2(U^{r,l})) \partial_3 V^\pm, \end{aligned}$$

and introduce the Alinhac's "good unknown" [1]:

$$\dot{V}^\pm = (\dot{\rho}^\pm, \dot{v}_1^\pm, \dot{v}_2^\pm, \dot{v}_3^\pm, \dot{F}_{11}^\pm, \dot{F}_{21}^\pm, \dot{F}_{31}^\pm, \dot{F}_{12}^\pm, \dot{F}_{22}^\pm, \dot{F}_{32}^\pm, \dot{F}_{13}^\pm, \dot{F}_{23}^\pm, \dot{F}_{33}^\pm)^\top := V^\pm - \frac{\Psi^\pm}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} \partial_3 U^{r,l}.$$

Then, we can rewrite the above equations as

$$L(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm + C(U^{r,l}, \nabla U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm + \frac{\Psi^\pm}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} \partial_3 [L(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})U^{r,l}] = f^{r,l},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(U^{r,l}, \nabla U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm & := [dA_1(U^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm] \partial_1 U^{r,l} + [dA_2(U^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm] \partial_2 U^{r,l} \\ & + \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} [dA_3(U^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} dA_1(U^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} dA_2(U^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm] \partial_3 U^{r,l}. \end{aligned}$$

Neglecting the zero-th order terms of  $\Psi^\pm$  and considering the following equations:

$$L'_{r,l}\dot{V}^\pm := L(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm + C(U^{r,l}, \nabla U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l})\dot{V}^\pm = f^{r,l}.$$

Since  $U^{r,l} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ , we have  $L(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $C(U^{r,l}, \nabla U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . Now, we linearize the boundary conditions around the same perturbed states and obtain that

$$\begin{cases} (v_1^r - v_1^l)\partial_1 \varphi + (v_1^+ - v_1^-)\partial_1 \psi + (v_2^r - v_2^l)\partial_2 \varphi + (v_2^+ - v_2^-)\partial_2 \psi - (v_3^+ - v_3^-) = g_1, \\ \partial_t \varphi + v_1^r \partial_1 \varphi + v_1^+ \partial_1 \psi + v_2^r \partial_2 \varphi + v_2^+ \partial_2 \psi - v_3^+ = g_2, \\ \rho^+ - \rho^- = g_3, \end{cases}$$

at  $x_3 = 0$ ,  $\varphi = \Psi^+|_{x_3=0} = \Psi^-|_{x_3=0}$ . We also have that

$$\begin{cases} (F_{11}^r - F_{11}^l)\partial_1 \varphi + (F_{11}^+ - F_{11}^l)\partial_1 \psi + (F_{21}^r - F_{21}^l)\partial_2 \varphi + (F_{21}^+ - F_{21}^-)\partial_2 \psi - (F_{31}^+ - F_{31}^-) = g_4, \\ F_{11}^r \partial_1 \varphi + F_{11}^+ \partial_1 \psi + F_{21}^r \partial_2 \varphi + F_{21}^+ \partial_2 \psi - F_{31}^+ = g_5, \\ (F_{12}^r - F_{12}^l)\partial_1 \varphi + (F_{12}^+ - F_{12}^l)\partial_1 \psi + (F_{22}^r - F_{22}^l)\partial_2 \varphi + (F_{22}^+ - F_{22}^-)\partial_2 \psi - (F_{32}^+ - F_{32}^-) = g_6, \\ F_{12}^r \partial_1 \varphi + F_{12}^+ \partial_1 \psi + F_{22}^r \partial_2 \varphi + F_{22}^+ \partial_2 \psi - F_{32}^+ = g_7, \\ (F_{13}^r - F_{13}^l)\partial_1 \varphi + (F_{13}^+ - F_{13}^l)\partial_1 \psi + (F_{23}^r - F_{23}^l)\partial_2 \varphi + (F_{23}^+ - F_{23}^-)\partial_2 \psi - (F_{31}^+ - F_{33}^-) = g_8, \\ F_{13}^r \partial_1 \varphi + F_{13}^+ \partial_1 \psi + F_{23}^r \partial_2 \varphi + F_{23}^+ \partial_2 \psi - F_{33}^+ = g_9. \end{cases}$$



determined by particular solution, such that for all  $\dot{V}$  and  $\psi$  and all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \left\| \left\| \dot{V} \right\| \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^0)}^2 + \|\dot{V}^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ & \leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \left\| \left\| L' \dot{V} \right\| \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1)}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|B'(\dot{V}, \psi)\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $L' \dot{V} := (L'_r \dot{V}^+, L'_l \dot{V}^-)$ .

**3.1. Reduction of the System.** In this section, we will transform the system (3.10) into an ODEs. This is achieved through linear transformation on the unknown variables and a parilinearization on the equations of the transformed variables. For the system (3.10), we can find the symmetrizer

$$S^{r,l} := \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{p'(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}}, \rho^{r,l}, \rho^{r,l}, \rho^{r,l}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right\}.$$

We multiply  $(S^{r,l} \dot{V}^\pm)^\top$  to the interior equations of (3.10) and then integrate by parts to obtain the following Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There are two positive constants  $C$  and  $\gamma_1 \geq 1$  such that for any  $\gamma \geq \gamma_1$ , the following estimate holds:*

$$\gamma \left\| \left\| \dot{V}^\pm \right\| \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^0)}^2 \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| \left\| L'_{r,l} \dot{V}^\pm \right\| \right\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^4)}^2 + \|\dot{V}^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right).$$

First, we transform the linearized problem (3.10) into a problem with a constant and diagonal boundary matrix. This is essential since the boundary matrix has constant rank on the whole half-plane  $x_3 \geq 0$ .

$$\tilde{A}_3^{r,l} := \frac{1}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}} \left( A_3(U^{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi^{r,l} I - \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} A_1(U^{r,l}) - \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} A_2(U^{r,l}) \right).$$

We consider the following transformation

$$T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle & \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & \frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & \frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & \frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} & -\frac{c(\rho^{r,l})}{\rho^{r,l}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} & \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle := \sqrt{1 + (\partial_1 \Phi^{r,l})^2 + (\partial_2 \Phi^{r,l})^2}$ . Then, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \tilde{A}_3^{r,l} T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \\ & = \text{diag} \left\{ 0, 0, \frac{c(\rho^{r,l}) \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}}, -\frac{c(\rho^{r,l}) \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle}{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above by the following matrix:

$$A_0^{r,l} := \text{diag} \left\{ 1, 1, \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}}{c(\rho^{r,l}) \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle}, -\frac{\partial_3 \Phi^{r,l}}{c(\rho^{r,l}) \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^{r,l} \rangle}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

we obtain that

$$I_2 := \text{diag}\{0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The interior equations of (3.10) for the new unknowns  $W^\pm := T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \dot{V}^\pm$  are

$$A_0^{r,l} \partial_t W^\pm + A_1^{r,l} \partial_1 W^\pm + A_2^{r,l} \partial_2 W^\pm + I_2 \partial_3 W^\pm + A_0^{r,l} C^{r,l} W^\pm = F^{r,l}, \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{r,l} &= A_0^{r,l} T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) A_1(U^{r,l}) T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}), \\ A_2^{r,l} &= A_0^{r,l} T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) A_2(U^{r,l}) T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}), \\ C^{r,l} &= T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \partial_t T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) + T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) A_1(U^{r,l}) \partial_1 T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \\ &\quad + T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) A_2(U^{r,l}) \partial_2 T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \\ &\quad + T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) C(U^{r,l}, \nabla U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \\ &\quad + T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) \tilde{A}_3^{r,l} \partial_3 T(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}), \\ F^{r,l} &= A_0^{r,l} T^{-1}(U^{r,l}, \nabla \Phi^{r,l}) f^{r,l}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We consider the weighted unknown  $\tilde{W}^\pm = e^{-\gamma t} W^\pm$  and rewrite (3.16) as

$$\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma \tilde{W}^\pm := \gamma A_0^{r,l} \tilde{W}^\pm + A_0^{r,l} \partial_t \tilde{W}^\pm + A_1^{r,l} \partial_1 \tilde{W}^\pm + A_2^{r,l} \partial_2 \tilde{W}^\pm + I_2 \partial_3 \tilde{W}^\pm + A_0^{r,l} C^{r,l} \tilde{W}^\pm = e^{-\gamma t} F^{r,l},$$

where  $A_j^{r,l} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $C^{r,l} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then, we obtain the equivalent form of the boundary condition (3.10):

$$\underline{\mathbf{b}} \nabla \varphi + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_3 U^r}{\partial_3 \Phi^r} \\ \frac{\partial_3 U^l}{\partial_3 \Phi^l} \end{bmatrix} \varphi + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} T(U^r, \nabla \Phi^r) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & T(U^l, \nabla \Phi^l) \end{bmatrix} W|_{x_3=0} = g. \quad (3.18)$$

Note that  $\tilde{W} = e^{-\gamma t} W$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} = e^{-\gamma t} \varphi$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}^\gamma(\tilde{W}|_{x_3=0}, \tilde{\varphi}) \\ &:= \gamma \mathbf{b}_0 \tilde{\varphi} + \underline{\mathbf{b}} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_3 U^r}{\partial_3 \Phi^r} \\ \frac{\partial_3 U^l}{\partial_3 \Phi^l} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} T(U^r, \nabla \Phi^r) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & T(U^l, \nabla \Phi^l) \end{bmatrix} \tilde{W}|_{x_3=0} = e^{-\gamma t} g, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_0$  is the first column of  $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$ . Then, we have  $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $T \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ , and

$$\check{\mathbf{b}} := \underline{\mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_3 U^r}{\partial_3 \Phi^r} \\ \frac{\partial_3 U^l}{\partial_3 \Phi^l} \end{bmatrix} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega).$$

We first denote the ‘‘noncharacteristic’’ components of  $\tilde{W}$ , which will be used in Section 3.3:

$$\tilde{W}^{nc}|_{x_3=0} := (\tilde{W}_3, \tilde{W}_4, \tilde{W}_{16}, \tilde{W}_{17}). \quad (3.19)$$

Then, we denote the normal components of  $\tilde{W}$  by checking the matrix coefficient in front of  $\tilde{W}$  in the boundary conditions,

$$\tilde{W}^n|_{x_3=0} := (\tilde{W}_3, \tilde{W}_4, \tilde{W}_7, \tilde{W}_{10}, \tilde{W}_{13}, \tilde{W}_{16}, \tilde{W}_{17}, \tilde{W}_{20}, \tilde{W}_{23}, \tilde{W}_{26}). \quad (3.20)$$

Thus, we write the boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{W}^n|_{x_3=0}, \tilde{\varphi}) = e^{-\gamma t} g,$$



By defining  $\tilde{F}^{r,l} = e^{-\gamma t} F^{r,l}$  and  $\tilde{g} = e^{-\gamma t} g$ , the system (3.18) can be re-written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma \tilde{W}^\pm = \tilde{F}^{r,l}, \\ \mathcal{B}^\gamma(\tilde{W}^n|_{x_3=0}, \tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{g}. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

We are going to perform the parilinearization of the interior equations and the boundary conditions for (3.21).

**3.2. Some Results on Paradifferential Calculus.** For the sake of self-containedness, we present some necessary definitions and results concerning paradifferential calculus with parameters, as utilized in this paper. For rigorous proofs, refer to [7, Appendix C] and the references therein.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the following notions:

- (i) A function  $a(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  is called a paradifferential symbol of degree  $m$  and regularity  $k$  if  $a$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $\xi$  and satisfies

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi, \gamma)\|_{W^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_\alpha \lambda^{m-|\alpha|,\gamma}(\xi),$$

for all  $(\xi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [1, \infty)$ , where  $\lambda^{s,\gamma}(\xi) := (\gamma^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $C_\alpha$  is a constant.

- (ii) The set of paradifferential symbols of degree  $m$  and regularity  $k$  is denoted by  $\Gamma_k^m$ .  
(iii) A family of operators  $\{\mathcal{P}^\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1}$  is said to be of order  $\leq m$  if, for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $C(s, m)$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{P}^\gamma u\|_{s,\gamma} \leq C(s, m) \|u\|_{s+m,\gamma},$$

for all  $u \in H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . A generic family of such operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_m$ .

- (iv) For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the operator  $\Lambda^{s,\gamma}$  by

$$\Lambda^{s,\gamma} u(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} \lambda^{s,\gamma}(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}$  (the Schwartz class).

- (v) To any symbol  $a \in \Gamma_0^m$ , we associate a family of paradifferential operators  $\{T_a^\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1}$ , defined by

$$T_a^\gamma u(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} K^\psi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \xi, \gamma) a(\mathbf{y}, \xi, \gamma) \hat{u}(\xi) d\mathbf{y} d\xi,$$

where  $K^\psi(\cdot, \xi, \gamma)$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $\psi(\cdot, \xi, \gamma)$ . The function  $\psi$  is defined as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \chi(2^{2-q}\mathbf{x}, 0) \phi(2^{-q}\xi, 2^{-q}\gamma),$$

where  $\phi(\xi, \gamma) := \chi(2^{-1}\xi, 2^{-1}\gamma) - \chi(\xi, \gamma)$ , and  $\chi$  being a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  satisfying

$$\chi(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\mathbf{z}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |\mathbf{z}| \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \chi(\mathbf{z}) \geq \chi(\mathbf{z}'), \text{ if } |\mathbf{z}| \leq |\mathbf{z}'|.$$

We have the following properties for the paradifferential calculus:

**Lemma 3.2.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i) If  $a \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then

$$\gamma \|au - T_a^\gamma u\|_0 + \|a\partial_j u - T_{i\xi_j a}^\gamma u\|_0 + \|au - T_a^\gamma u\|_{1,\gamma} \lesssim \|a\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_0;$$

- (ii) If  $a \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then

$$\gamma \|au - T_a^\gamma u\|_{1,\gamma} + \|a\partial_j u - T_{i\xi_j a}^\gamma u\|_{1,\gamma} \lesssim \|a\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_0;$$

(iii) If  $a \in \Gamma_k^m$ , then  $T_a^\gamma$  is of order  $\leq m$ . In particular, if  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and is independent of  $\xi$ , then

$$\|T_a^\gamma u\|_{s,\gamma} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{s,\gamma}, \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3);$$

- (iv) If  $a \in \Gamma_1^m$  and  $b \in \Gamma_1^{m'}$ , then  $ab \in \Gamma_1^{m+m'}$ , the family  $\{T_a^\gamma T_b^\gamma - T_{ab}^\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1}$  is of order  $\leq m + m' - 1$ , and the family  $\{(T_a^\gamma)^* - T_{a^*}^\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1}$  is of order  $\leq m - 1$ ;  
(v) If  $a \in \Gamma_2^m$  and  $b \in \Gamma_2^{m'}$ , then  $\{T_a^\gamma T_b^\gamma - T_{ab}^\gamma - T_{-i \sum_j \partial_{\xi_j} a \partial_{x_j} b}\}_{\gamma \geq 1}$  is of order  $\leq m + m' - 2$ ;  
(vi) *Gårding's inequality*: If  $a \in \Gamma_1^{2m}$  is a square matrix symbol satisfying

$$\Re a(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) \geq c(\gamma^2 + |\xi|^2)^m I \quad \text{for all } (\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \times [1, \infty),$$

for some constant  $c$ , then there exists  $\gamma_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$\Re \langle T_a^\gamma u, u \rangle \geq \frac{c}{4} \|u\|_{m,\gamma}^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \gamma \geq \gamma_0;$$

(vii) *Microlocalized Gårding's inequality*: Let  $a \in \Gamma_1^{2m}$  be a square matrix symbol and  $\chi \in \Gamma_1^0$ . If there exist a scalar real symbol  $\tilde{\chi} \in \Gamma_1^0$  and a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\tilde{\chi} \geq 0$ ,  $\chi \tilde{\chi} \equiv \chi$ , and

$$\tilde{\chi}^2(\mathbf{x}, \chi, \gamma) \Re a(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) \geq c \tilde{\chi}^2(\mathbf{x}, \chi, \gamma) (\gamma^2 + |\xi|^2)^m I$$

for all  $(\mathbf{x}, \xi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \times [1, \infty)$ , then there exist  $\gamma_0 \geq 1$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\Re \langle T_a^\gamma T_\chi^\gamma u, T_\chi^\gamma u \rangle \geq \frac{c}{2} \|T_\chi^\gamma u\|_{m,\gamma}^2 - C \|u\|_{m-1,\gamma}^2$$

for all  $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . Here,  $\Re B := \frac{B+B^*}{2}$  for any complex square matrix  $B$ ,  $B^*$  denotes its conjugate transpose.

We remark that the proof of Lemma 3.2 (vii) can be found in [40, Theorem B.18].

Similar to the constant coefficient case, the key idea in proving Theorem 3.1 is to transform the variable-coefficient linear problem (3.21) into an ODE. However, instead of applying a Fourier transform as in the constant-coefficient case, we employ parilinearization for (3.21). In the following section, we derive the para-linearized form of (3.21) and estimate the errors introduced by replacing the original system with its para-linearized counterpart.

**3.3. Parilinearization.** For the frequency space defined in the variable coefficient case:

$$\Pi := \{(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : \tau = \gamma + i\delta \in \mathbb{C}, \eta, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}, |\tau|^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \neq 0, \Re \tau \geq 0\},$$

where  $\delta, \eta, \tilde{\eta}$  represents the Fourier variables with respect to  $t, x_1, x_2$  separately. Using the homogeneity structure of the system, we will focus on the following unit hemisphere in the frequency space

$$\Sigma = \{(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : |\tau|^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2 = 1, \Re \tau \geq 0\}.$$



Next, for the interior differential equations, using Lemma 3.2 (i)-(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left\| \gamma A_0^r W^+ - T_{\gamma A_0^r}^\gamma W^+ \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0^2 &= \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 \|A_0^r W^+(\cdot, x_3) - T_{A_0^r}^\gamma W^+(\cdot, x_3)\|_{1,\gamma}^2 dx_3 \\
&\leq C \|A_0^r\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}^2 \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0^2 \leq C \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0^2, \\
\left\| \left\| A_0^r \partial_t W^+ - T_{i\delta A_0^r}^\gamma W^+ \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0 &\leq C \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0, \\
\left\| \left\| A_1^r \partial_1 W^+ - T_{i\eta A_1^r}^\gamma W^+ \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0 &\leq C \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0, \\
\left\| \left\| A_2^r \partial_2 W^+ - T_{i\tilde{\eta} A_2^r}^\gamma W^+ \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0 &\leq C \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0, \\
\left\| \left\| A_0^r C^r W^+ - T_{A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W^+ \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0 &\leq C \left\| \|W^+\|_0 \right\|_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates also holds for  $W^-$ . Hence, we obtain that

$$\left\| \left\| \mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm - T_{\tau A_0^{r,l} + i\eta A_1^{r,l} + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^{r,l} + A_0^{r,l} C^{r,l}}^\gamma W^\pm - I_2 \partial_3 W^\pm \right\|_{1,\gamma} \right\|_0 \leq C \left\| \|W^\pm\|_0 \right\|_0. \quad (3.23)$$

Now, we start to derive the specific expression for the parilinearized system. We write

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} i\eta(v_1^r - v_1^l) + i\tilde{\eta}(v_2^r - v_2^l) \\ \tau + i\eta v_1^r + i\tilde{\eta} v_2^r \\ 0 \\ i\eta(F_{11}^r - F_{11}^l) + i\tilde{\eta}(F_{21}^r - F_{21}^l) \\ i\eta F_{11}^r + i\tilde{\eta} F_{21}^r \\ i\eta(F_{12}^r - F_{12}^l) + i\tilde{\eta}(F_{22}^r - F_{22}^l) \\ i\eta F_{12}^r + i\tilde{\eta} F_{22}^r \\ i\eta(F_{13}^r - F_{13}^l) + i\tilde{\eta}(F_{23}^r - F_{23}^l) \\ i\eta F_{13}^r + i\tilde{\eta} F_{23}^r \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} ib \\ a \\ 0 \\ iF_a \\ iF_b \\ iF_c \\ iF_d \\ iF_e \\ iF_f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the fact that  $F_1 \times F_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbf{b}(t, x_1, x_2, \delta, \eta, \tilde{\eta}, \gamma)|^2 \\
&= |\eta(v_1^r - v_1^l)|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}(v_2^r - v_2^l)|^2 + \gamma^2 + |\delta + \eta v_1^r + \tilde{\eta} v_2^r|^2 \\
&\quad + |\eta(F_{11}^r - F_{11}^l) + \tilde{\eta}(F_{21}^r - F_{21}^l)|^2 + |\eta F_{11}^r + \tilde{\eta} F_{21}^r|^2 \\
&\quad + |\eta(F_{12}^r - F_{12}^l) + \tilde{\eta}(F_{22}^r - F_{22}^l)|^2 + |\eta F_{12}^r + \tilde{\eta} F_{22}^r|^2 \\
&\quad + |\eta(F_{13}^r - F_{13}^l) + \tilde{\eta}(F_{23}^r - F_{23}^l)|^2 + |\eta F_{13}^r + \tilde{\eta} F_{23}^r|^2 \\
&\geq C(\gamma^2 + \delta^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2), \quad (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C$ . Then, using Gårding's inequality (Lemma 3.2 (vi)), we obtain that

$$\Re \langle T_{\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \frac{c}{2} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma}^2,$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , where  $\gamma_0$  depends only on  $K$ . Using the properties of paradifferential operators (Lemma 3.2 (iv)), we obtain that  $T_{\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{b}}^\gamma = (T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma)^* T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma + \mathcal{R}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is an operator of order 1, then we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} \leq C \|T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi\|_0,$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ . Using (3.22) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} &\leq C \left( \|T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi + T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 + \|T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi + T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) - T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi - T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Now, we want to analyze the part of the boundary condition where the front function  $\varphi$  is not involved. We write

$$a_1 := \tau + iv_1^r \eta + iv_2^r \tilde{\eta}, \quad b_1 := \eta(v_1^r - v_1^l) + \tilde{\eta}(v_2^r - v_2^l).$$

It worth to point out that  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  could equal to 0 for 3D elastic flow, due to the possible frequency interactions. However, in 2D elastic flow, it is natural to obtain the ellipticity for the front symbol and no such kind of degeneracy of  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  happen. Now, we define the following orthogonal projector matrix:

$$\mathbb{P}(t, x_1, x_2, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & -ib_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_a & 0 & 0 & b_2 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_5 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_6 & 0 \\ -\tilde{F}_f & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_7 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.26}$$

for  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \Sigma$ , and extend it as a homogeneous mapping of degree of 0 with respect to  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta})$ . It is easy to check that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ i(a_1 b - ab_1) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_a b + F_a b_2 + F_b \alpha) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_b b + F_b b_3) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_c b + F_c b_4) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_d b + F_d b_5) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_e b + F_e b_6) \\ i(-\tilde{F}_f b + F_f b_7) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since at least one of the  $F_a, F_c, F_e$  is non-zero as long as  $(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) \neq (0, 0)$  by using the fact that  $F_1 \times F_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $F_a \neq 0$ , then we can define

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &:= a, & b_1 &:= b, & b_2 &:= \frac{\tilde{F}_a}{F_a} b - \frac{F_b}{F_a} \alpha, & \tilde{F}_b &:= F_b, & \tilde{F}_c &:= F_c, \\
\tilde{F}_d &:= F_d, & \tilde{F}_e &:= F_e, & \tilde{F}_f &:= F_f, & b_3 &= b_4 = b_5 = b_6 = b_7 &:= b
\end{aligned}$$

such that  $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a fixed positive constant and  $\tilde{F}_a$  is a given function. For  $(\eta, \tilde{\eta}) = (0, 0)$ , the analysis is similar and omitted it. Simple calculation yields that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} k & k & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k & -k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(a-ib)m_r & (a-ib)m_r & 0 & 0 & 0 & am_l & -am_l & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{F}_a m_r & -\tilde{F}_a m_r & -(b_2+\alpha)k^2 & 0 & 0 & -\tilde{F}_a m_l & \tilde{F}_a m_l & b_2 k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ F_b m_r & -F_b m_r & bk^2 & 0 & 0 & -F_b m_l & F_b m_l & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_c m_r & -F_c m_r & 0 & -bk^2 & 0 & -F_c m_l & F_c m_l & 0 & bk^2 & 0 \\ F_d m_r & -F_d m_r & 0 & -bk^2 & 0 & -F_d m_l & F_d m_l & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_e m_r & -F_e m_r & 0 & 0 & -bk^2 & -F_e m_l & F_e m_l & 0 & 0 & bk^2 \\ F_f m_r & -F_f m_r & 0 & 0 & -bk^2 & -F_f m_l & F_f m_l & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \Sigma$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{M}$  is homogenous of degree 0 with respect to  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta})$ .

We denote the last six rows in  $\mathbb{P}$  by  $\mathbb{P}_6$ . Then we can separate the  $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{M}$ . We have

$$T_{\mathbb{P}_6\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0} = T_A^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} + T_B^\gamma (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top|_{x_3=0},$$

where  $B$  an invertible matrix defined in the whole domain and is homogeneous of degree 0. For simplicity, we write

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -(b_2 + \alpha) & 0 & 0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can check that

$$|B(t, x_1, x_2)|^2 = \text{tr}(BB^*) = (b_2 + \alpha)^2 + b_2^2 + 7b^2 \geq 2\left(b_2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2},$$

where  $B^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $B$ .

Using the Gårding's inequality (Lemma 3.2 (vi)), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\langle T_{B^*B}^\gamma (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top, (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} \\ & \geq \frac{c}{2} \left\| (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ .

Then, using (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 \\ & \leq C \left\| T_B^\gamma (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 \\ & \leq C \left( \left\| T_{\mathbb{P}_6\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 + \left\| T_A^\gamma W^{nc} \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and (3.22), we can also obtain the estimate for the front function  $\varphi$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T_{\mathbb{P}_6\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 & \leq \left\| T_{\mathbb{P}_6}^\gamma \mathcal{B}^\gamma (W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) - T_{\mathbb{P}_6}^\gamma T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n \Big|_{x_3=0} - T_{\mathbb{P}_6}^\gamma T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi \right\|_0 \\ & \quad + \left\| T_{\mathbb{P}_6}^\gamma \mathcal{B}^\gamma (W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) \right\|_0 + \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{-1,\gamma} + \|\varphi\|_0 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| \mathcal{B}^\gamma (W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) - T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n \Big|_{x_3=0} - T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi \right\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| \mathcal{B}^\gamma (W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) \right\|_{1,\gamma} \\ & \quad + \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{-1,\gamma} + \|\varphi\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0.$$

Taking  $\gamma$  sufficiently large, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 \\ & \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Then, collecting (3.25) and (3.28), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 + \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} \\ & \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the estimate of  $(W_7, W_{10}, W_{13}, W_{20}, W_{23}, W_{26})^\top|_{x_3=0}$  and  $\varphi$  by estimating the source terms and the non-characteristic components  $W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}$ .

Denote that

$$k_1^{r,l} := \tau + i\eta v_1^{r,l} + i\tilde{\eta} v_2^{r,l}. \quad (3.29)$$

Now, we need to estimate  $W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}$ , we need to use the other part of boundary conditions,

$$T_\beta^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} = \tilde{G},$$

where

$$\beta := \begin{bmatrix} k & k & -k & -k \\ -\frac{c^r}{\rho^r} k^2 k_1^l & \frac{c^r}{\rho^r} k^2 k_1^l & \frac{c^l}{\rho^l} k^2 k_1^r & -\frac{c^l}{\rho^l} k^2 k_1^r \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.30)$$

It is homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta})$ .  $\beta \in \Gamma_2^0$ . We can define the following para-linearized system as

$$\begin{cases} T_{\tau A_0^r + i\eta A_1^r + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^r + A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W^+ + I_2 \partial_3 W^+ = \tilde{F}^+, \\ T_{\tau A_0^l + i\eta A_1^l + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^l + A_0^l C^l}^\gamma W^- + I_2 \partial_3 W^- = \tilde{F}^-, \\ T_\beta^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} = \tilde{G}. \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

We remain to prove the following estimate:

$$\|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|\tilde{F}^\pm\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\tilde{G}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \quad (3.32)$$

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm - T_{\tau A_0^{r,l} + i\eta A_1^{r,l} + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^{r,l} + A_0^{r,l} C^{r,l}}^\gamma W^\pm - I_2 \partial_3 W^\pm \right\|_{1,\gamma} \leq C \|W^\pm\|_0, \\ & \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) - T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi - T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} \leq C \left( \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \right), \\ & \|\tilde{G}\|_{1,\gamma} = \|T_\beta^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} = \|T_{\mathbb{P}_2 \mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} = \|T_{\mathbb{P}_2 \mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi + T_{\mathbb{P}_2 \mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have  $\mathbb{P}_2$  denotes the first two rows of  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{G}\|_{1,\gamma} &\leq \|T_{\mathbb{P}_2}^\gamma (T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi + T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^{nc}|_{x_3=0})\|_{1,\gamma} + \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi) - T_{\mathbf{b}}^\gamma \varphi - T_{\mathbf{M}}^\gamma W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma} + \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0 \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0 + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0 + \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{F}^\pm\|_{1,\gamma} &= \|\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma} + \|\tilde{F}^\pm - \mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma} + C\|W^\pm\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 &\leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|W^\pm\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (3.32) and (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma}^2 &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|W^\pm\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \|W^n|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|\mathcal{L}_{r,l}^\gamma W^\pm\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \|W\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \|\mathcal{B}^\gamma(W^n|_{x_3=0}, \varphi)\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the key step is to obtain (3.32) from (3.45). Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain Theorem 3.1.

**3.4. Microlocalization.** For simplicity, we concentrate our analysis on the unit hemisphere  $\Sigma = \{(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : |\tau|^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2 = 1 \text{ and } \Re\tau > 0\}$ .

3.4.1. *Poles.* Considering the following differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} (\tau A_0^r + i\eta A_1^r + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^r)W^+ + I_2 \partial_3 W^+ = \mathbf{0}, \\ (\tau A_0^l + i\eta A_1^l + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^l)W^- + I_2 \partial_3 W^- = \mathbf{0}, \\ \beta W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

where  $A_0^{r,l}, A_1^{r,l}, A_2^{r,l}, I_2, \beta$  are defined in (3.14), (3.15), (3.17), and (3.30). Denote

$$k_2^{r,l} := (\eta F_{11}^{r,l} + \tilde{\eta} F_{21}^{r,l})^2 + (\eta F_{12}^{r,l} + \tilde{\eta} F_{22}^{r,l})^2 + (\eta F_{13}^{r,l} + \tilde{\eta} F_{23}^{r,l})^2. \quad (3.34)$$



Now, we consider the algebraic equations  $\mathcal{T}W^+ = \mathbf{0}$  for  $W^+$ , where

$$\mathcal{T} := \begin{bmatrix} k_1^r & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,3} & \mathcal{T}_{1,4} & \mathcal{T}_{1,5} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,8} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1^r & \mathcal{T}_{2,3} & \mathcal{T}_{2,4} & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,6} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,9} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,12} & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} & \mathcal{T}_{3,2} & \mathcal{T}_{3,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,7} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,10} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,13} \\ \mathcal{T}_{4,1} & \mathcal{T}_{4,2} & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,4} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,7} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,10} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,13} \\ \mathcal{T}_{5,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{6,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{7,3} & \mathcal{T}_{7,4} & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{8,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{9,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{10,3} & \mathcal{T}_{10,4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{11,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{12,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{13,3} & \mathcal{T}_{13,4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{1,3} &= \mathcal{T}_{1,4} = \frac{c^2}{\rho^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \{i\eta [(\partial_2 \Phi^r)^2 + 1] - i\tilde{\eta} \partial_1 \Phi^r \partial_2 \Phi^r\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{2,3} &= \mathcal{T}_{2,4} = \frac{c^2}{\rho^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \{-i\eta \partial_1 \Phi^r \partial_2 \Phi^r + i\tilde{\eta} [(\partial_1 \Phi^r)^2 + 1]\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{1,5} &= \mathcal{T}_{2,6} = \mathcal{T}_{5,1} = \mathcal{T}_{6,2} = -i\eta F_{11}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{21}^r, \\ \mathcal{T}_{1,8} &= \mathcal{T}_{2,9} = \mathcal{T}_{8,1} = \mathcal{T}_{9,2} = -i\eta F_{12}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{22}^r, \\ \mathcal{T}_{1,11} &= \mathcal{T}_{2,12} = \mathcal{T}_{11,1} = \mathcal{T}_{12,2} = -i\eta F_{13}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{23}^r, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,7} &= \mathcal{T}_{4,7} = -\frac{\rho^r}{2(c^r)^2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \mathcal{T}_{1,5}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,10} &= \mathcal{T}_{4,10} = -\frac{\rho^r}{2(c^r)^2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \mathcal{T}_{1,8}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,13} &= \mathcal{T}_{4,13} = -\frac{\rho^r}{2(c^r)^2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \mathcal{T}_{1,11}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{7,3} &= -\mathcal{T}_{7,4} = \frac{c^r}{\rho^r} \mathcal{T}_{1,5}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{10,3} = -\mathcal{T}_{10,4} = \frac{c^r}{\rho^r} \mathcal{T}_{1,8}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{13,3} = -\mathcal{T}_{13,4} = \frac{c^r}{\rho^r} \mathcal{T}_{1,11}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} &= -\mathcal{T}_{4,1} = \frac{\rho \partial_3 \Phi^r i\eta}{2c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{3,2} = -\mathcal{T}_{4,2} = \frac{\rho \partial_3 \Phi^r i\tilde{\eta}}{2c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,3} &= \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \tau + i\eta \partial_3 \Phi^r \left( \frac{-\partial_1 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} + \frac{v_1}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \right) + i\tilde{\eta} \partial_3 \Phi^r \left( \frac{-\partial_2 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} + \frac{v_2}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \right), \\ \mathcal{T}_{4,4} &= \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \tau + i\eta \partial_3 \Phi^r \left( \frac{-\partial_1 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} - \frac{v_1}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \right) + i\tilde{\eta} \partial_3 \Phi^r \left( \frac{-\partial_2 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} - \frac{v_2}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar equations also hold for  $W^-$ . Similar to the constant coefficient case, if  $(k_1^r)^7((k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r) \neq 0$ , we can solve  $W_1, W_2, W_5, \dots, W_{13}$  by  $W_3, W_4$ . Then, using differential equations (3.33), we can obtain the differential equations only involve  $W_3$  and  $W_4$ ,

$$\partial_3 \begin{bmatrix} W_3 \\ W_4 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{A}^r \begin{bmatrix} W_3 \\ W_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^r &:= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^r & -m^r \\ m^r & -\mu^r \end{bmatrix} + i\eta \frac{\partial_1 \Phi^r \partial_3 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + i\tilde{\eta} \frac{\partial_2 \Phi^r \partial_3 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mu^r &= -\frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r k_1^r}{c^r \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle} - \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r k_2^r}{2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle c^r k_1^r} - \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r c^r k_1^r [(\eta \partial_2 \Phi^r - \tilde{\eta} \partial_1 \Phi^r)^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2]}{2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^3 [(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r]}, \\ m^r &= -\frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r k_2^r}{2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle c^r k_1^r} + \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r c^r k_1^r [(\eta \partial_2 \Phi^r - \tilde{\eta} \partial_1 \Phi^r)^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2]}{2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^3 [(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r]}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments hold for  $W^-$ . Then, for the points in frequency space that cannot reduce the system into the non-characteristic form are the points:

$$(k_1^{r,l})^7[(k_1^{r,l})^2 + k_2^{r,l}] = 0, \quad (3.36)$$

where  $k_1^{r,l}$  and  $k_2^{r,l}$  are defined in (3.29) and (3.34) respectively. These points are exactly the poles of the system (3.33) and we denote

$$\Upsilon_p := \left\{ (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Sigma : (k_1^{r,l})^7[(k_1^{r,l})^2 + k_2^{r,l}] = 0 \right\}.$$

**3.4.2. Roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant.** Now, we derive the Lopatinskiĭ determinant. We write the eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{A}^r$  with a real negative real part by  $\omega^r + i \frac{\partial_1 \Phi^r \partial_3 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \eta + i \frac{\partial_2 \Phi^r \partial_3 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \tilde{\eta}$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^r)^2 &= (\mu^r)^2 - (m^r)^2 \\ &= \frac{(\partial_3 \Phi^r)^2}{(c^r)^2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^4} \left\{ \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2 ((k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r) + (c^r)^2 [(\eta \partial_2 \Phi^r - \tilde{\eta} \partial_1 \Phi^r)^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding eigenvector is

$$E^r = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha^r (\mu^r + \omega^r) \\ -\alpha^r m^r \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha^r = k_1^r [(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r].$$

The case is similar for  $W^-$ . Denote the eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{A}^l$  with a real negative real part by  $\omega^l + i \frac{\partial_1 \Phi^l \partial_3 \Phi^l}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^l \rangle^2} \eta + i \frac{\partial_2 \Phi^l \partial_3 \Phi^l}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^l \rangle^2} \tilde{\eta}$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^l)^2 &= (\mu^l)^2 - (m^l)^2 \\ &= \frac{(\partial_3 \Phi^l)^2}{(c^l)^2 \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^l \rangle^4} \left\{ \langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^l \rangle^2 ((k_1^l)^2 + k_2^l) + (c^l)^2 [(\eta \partial_2 \Phi^l - \tilde{\eta} \partial_1 \Phi^l)^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding eigenvector is

$$E^l = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha^l (\mu^l + \omega^l) \\ -\alpha^l m^l \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha^l = k_1^l [(k_1^l)^2 + k_2^l].$$

The above eigenvalues and eigenvectors are well-defined and smooth on the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \Sigma$  using similar calculation in the constant coefficient case. Hence, the Lopatinskiĭ determinant given below is well-defined for all the points in the frequency space,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \beta \begin{bmatrix} E^r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E^l \end{bmatrix} \right) \Big|_{x_3=0} &= \frac{c^4 k^2}{\rho} k_1^r k_1^l \cdot \left[ \frac{k^4}{\iota_3^r \iota_3^l} \omega^r \omega^l + (\eta \iota_2 - \tilde{\eta} \iota_1)^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \right] \left( \frac{\omega^r}{\iota_3^r} - \frac{\omega^l}{\iota_3^l} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \frac{\iota_3^r}{kc} ((k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r) - k_1^r \omega^r \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{\iota_3^l}{kc} ((k_1^l)^2 + k_2^l) + k_1^l \omega^l \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

It is homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta})$ , where

$$\iota_1 = \partial_1 \psi = \partial_1 \Phi^{r,l} \Big|_{x_3=0}, \quad \iota_2 = \partial_2 \psi = \partial_2 \Phi^{r,l} \Big|_{x_3=0}, \quad \iota_3^{r,l} = \partial_3 \Phi^{r,l} \Big|_{x_3=0}, \quad c = c^r \Big|_{x_3=0} = c^l \Big|_{x_3=0}.$$

It is noted that the last two factors in (3.37) are never equal to 0, and the first two factors correspond to the roots  $\tau = -iv_1^{r,l} \eta - iv_2^{r,l} \tilde{\eta}$  respectively. Therefore, we need to discuss the roots of the third and fourth factors. All the coefficients in the factors of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant are continuous with respect to the background state  $U|_{x_3=0} := (U^r|_{x_3=0}, U^l|_{x_3=0})$  and  $\Phi|_{x_3=0} :=$

$(\Phi^r|_{x_3=0}, \Phi^l|_{x_3=0})$ . These factors reduce to the corresponding factors in the constant coefficient case, if the perturbation in (3.3) is zero. Assuming that  $K$  in (3.4) is small enough and using a continuity argument, we obtain that the number of the roots in the third and fourth factors in (3.37) is the same as the number of the roots in the corresponding factors of the constant coefficient case. Hence, there are two roots in the third factors and we denote  $\tau = iV_1\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}$  and  $\tau = iV_2\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* The terms on the right-hand side of  $\omega^r$ ,  $(\eta\partial_2\Phi^r - \tilde{\eta}\partial_1\Phi^r)^2$ , is small under the small perturbation assumption. Same argument holds for  $\omega^l$ .

It is easy to see that  $V_1(U|_{x_3=0}, \nabla\Phi|_{x_3=0})$  and  $V_2(U|_{x_3=0}, \nabla\Phi|_{x_3=0})$  are real and depend continuously on the background states  $U|_{x_3=0}$  and  $\nabla\Phi|_{x_3=0}$ . The roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinants can be written by the following set according to the information provided by the boundary:

$$\Upsilon_r^0 := \{(t, x_1, x_2, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Sigma : \Re\tau = 0 \text{ and } \sigma = 0\}, \quad (3.38)$$

where

$$\sigma := \frac{(\delta + v_1^r|_{x_3=0}\eta + v_2^r|_{x_3=0}\tilde{\eta})(\delta + v_1^l|_{x_3=0}\eta + v_2^l|_{x_3=0}\tilde{\eta})(\delta - V_1\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2})(\delta - V_2\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2})}{(\delta^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

on  $\Sigma$ . We can extend the set  $\Upsilon_r^0$  and  $\sigma$  defined by the data of the boundary into the interior of the domain  $x_3 = 0$ . The coefficients in  $\sigma$  for  $x_3 > 0$  can be defined by continuity of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  on the background state  $U$  and  $\nabla\Phi$ . Denote the extended set

$$\Upsilon_r := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Sigma : \Re\tau = 0 \text{ and } \sigma = 0\}.$$

Similar to the poles, the roots of  $\sigma$  can be viewed as the strip in the frequency space  $\Sigma$  parameterized by  $x_3$ . It originates from the boundary  $x_3 = 0$  and propagates into the interior domain  $x_3 > 0$ . Moreover, we need that the roots of the eigenvalues  $\omega^r = 0$  and  $\omega^l = 0$  do not coincide with the poles and the roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant.

For simplicity, we write

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}}, \quad \sin\theta = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}}, \quad \text{for } (\eta, \tilde{\eta}) \neq (0, 0),$$

and define

$$g_{r,l}(\theta) = \left(\cos\theta F_{11}^{r,l} + \sin\theta F_{21}^{r,l}\right)^2 + \left(\cos\theta F_{12}^{r,l} + \sin\theta F_{22}^{r,l}\right)^2 + \left(\cos\theta F_{13}^{r,l} + \sin\theta F_{23}^{r,l}\right)^2.$$

Now, we consider the following frequency sets:

- (1)  $\Upsilon_p^{(1)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)} := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : \tau = -iv_1^{r,l}\eta - iv_2^{r,l}\tilde{\eta}\}$ ,
- (2)  $\Upsilon_r^{(2)} := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : \tau = iV_1\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}, \tau = iV_2\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}\}$ ,
- (3)  $\Upsilon_p^{(2)} := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : \tau = -i(v_1^{r,l}\eta + v_2^{r,l}\tilde{\eta} \pm \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)(g_{r,l}(\theta) + c^2)})\}$ .

Here, (1) denotes the roots of the first factor in  $\Upsilon_p$  and the roots of the first two factors of  $\sigma$  in  $\Upsilon_r$ ; (2) represents the last two factors of  $\sigma$  in  $\Upsilon_r$ ; and (3) represents the roots of second factors in  $\Upsilon_p$ .

Note that  $\Upsilon_p = \Upsilon_p^{(1)} \cup \Upsilon_p^{(2)}$  and  $\Upsilon_r = \Upsilon_r^{(1)} \cup \Upsilon_r^{(2)}$ . As mentioned before, we write

$$\Upsilon_\omega := \{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) : \omega^{r,l} = 0\},$$

which clearly does not intersect with  $\Upsilon_p$  and  $\Upsilon_r$ , *i.e.*,  $\Upsilon_\omega \cap (\Upsilon_p \cup \Upsilon_r) = \emptyset$ .

Under the assumption (2.21),  $\bar{v}^2 < G(F_1, F_2)$ , where

$$G(F_1, F_2) = \frac{1}{4} \inf_{\cos \theta \neq 0} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} \left( \sqrt{g(\theta) + c^2} - \sqrt{g(\theta)} \right)^2, \quad (3.39)$$

we obtain that

$$\Upsilon_p^{(2)} \cap \Upsilon_\omega = \emptyset.$$

Notice that

$$\frac{g(\theta)}{\cos^2 \theta} = |F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 \tan^2 \theta + 2(F_1 \cdot F_2) \tan \theta.$$

We can introduce

$$t := \frac{|F_2|}{|F_1|}, \quad \alpha := \text{the angle between } F_1 \text{ and } F_2.$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= \cos^2 \theta [ |F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 \tan^2 \theta + 2(F_1 \cdot F_2) \tan \theta ] \\ &= \cos^2 \theta |F_1|^2 (1 + t^2 \tan^2 \theta + 2t \cos \alpha \tan \theta) \\ &= \cos^2 \theta |F_1|^2 [\sin^2 \alpha + (\cos \alpha + t \tan \theta)^2] \\ &\leq |F_1|^2 [\sin^2 \alpha + (\cos \theta \cos \alpha + t \sin \theta)^2] \\ &\leq |F_1|^2 (1 + t^2) \leq |F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G(F_1, F_2) &= \frac{1}{4} \inf_{\cos \theta \neq 0} \frac{g(\theta)}{\cos^2 \theta} \frac{c^4}{g(\theta) \left( \sqrt{g(\theta) + c^2} + \sqrt{g(\theta)} \right)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_{\cos \theta \neq 0} \frac{g(\theta)}{\cos^2 \theta} \frac{c^4}{(|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2) \left( \sqrt{|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 + c^2} + \sqrt{|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2} \right)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{|\Pi_{F_2}^\perp(F_1)|^2}{4} \frac{c^4}{(|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2) \left( \sqrt{|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 + c^2} + \sqrt{|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2} \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the condition

$$\bar{v}^2 < \frac{|\Pi_{F_2}^\perp(F_1)|^2}{4}$$

guarantees that

$$\Upsilon_p^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_p^{(2)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_p^{(2)} = \Upsilon_p^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_\omega = \Upsilon_r^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_\omega = \Upsilon_p^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_r^{(2)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)} \cap \Upsilon_r^{(2)} = \emptyset.$$

Note that

$$\Upsilon_p^{(2)} \cap \Upsilon_r^{(2)} = \Upsilon_r^{(2)} \cap \Upsilon_\omega = \emptyset$$

holds with no restriction on the background solutions. Hence, except for the special case  $\Upsilon_p^{(1)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)}$  in which there are always interaction between the poles (roots), any of rest of two strips in  $\Upsilon_p, \Upsilon_r, \Upsilon_\omega$  do not intersect with each other in the whole domain  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \Sigma$ , unless they are identical.

**3.5. Estimates in Each Case.** In this section, we derive the estimates for each case and obtain the desired estimate for the parilinearized system. The relation among  $\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}$  corresponds to a strip on  $\Sigma$  with fixed  $(\tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta})$ . Now, we focus on the situation where these strips do not intersect with each other and construct neighbourhoods around them, except for Case 1, in which the poles and roots always intersect.

Up to shrinking these neighborhood, these neighborhood do not intersect with each other and do not contain any point in  $\Upsilon_\omega$ . Denote, on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -iv_1^r \eta - iv_2^r \tilde{\eta}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{p_1}^l &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -iv_1^l \eta - iv_2^l \tilde{\eta}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^1 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -i \left( v_1^r \eta + v_2^r \tilde{\eta} + \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_r(\theta)} \right), \\ \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^2 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -i \left( v_1^r \eta + v_2^r \tilde{\eta} - \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_r(\theta)} \right), \\ \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^3 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -i \left( v_1^l \eta + v_2^l \tilde{\eta} + \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_l(\theta)} \right), \\ \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^4 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = -i \left( v_1^l \eta + v_2^l \tilde{\eta} - \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_l(\theta)} \right), \\ \mathcal{V}_r^1 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = iV_1 \sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}, \\ \mathcal{V}_r^2 &:= \text{the open neighborhood around } \tau = iV_2 \sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.2.* Due to the the stability condition imposed on the background solutions for non-parallel elastic deformation gradients, certain neighborhoods remain disjoint. For example, the neighborhood of  $\tau = -iv_1^r \eta - iv_2^r \tilde{\eta}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r$ , cannot intersect with the neighborhood of  $\tau = -i(v_1^r \eta + v_2^r \tilde{\eta} + \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_r(\theta)})$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{V}_{p_2}^1$ . This separation indicates the stabilizing effect of elasticity, which is consistent with the linear analysis of constant coefficients, see [19].

**3.6. Case 1: Points in  $\Upsilon_p^{(1)} = \Upsilon_r^{(1)}$ .** We consider the kind of frequencies that are both poles and roots of the Lopatinskii determinant. Consider  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r$  as an example, since the other cases can be discussed similarly.

Different from 2D case,  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r$  not only contains the poles of the equations  $W^+$ , but also contains the poles for  $W^-$  in (3.33). Hence we derive the estimates for  $W^+$ . The estimates for  $W^-$  will follow the same way. Introducing the smooth cut-off function  $\chi_{p_1}$  whose range is  $[0, 1]$ . On  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \Sigma$ , the support of  $\chi_{p_1}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r$  and equals to 1 on a smaller neighborhood of the strip satisfying  $\tau = -iv_1^r \eta - iv_2^r \tilde{\eta}$ . We can extend  $\chi_{p_1}$  by homogeneity of degree 0 with respect to  $(\tau, \tilde{\eta}, \eta)$  into the whole domain  $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Pi$ . We know that  $\chi_{p_1} \in \Gamma_k^0$  for any integer  $k$ . Define

$$W_{p_1}^+ := T_{\chi_{p_1}}^\gamma W^+.$$

From (3.33), we have

$$I_2 \partial_3 W_{p_1}^+ = I_2 T_{\partial_3 \chi_{p_1}}^\gamma W^+ + T_{\chi_{p_1}}^\gamma F^+ - T_{\chi_{p_1}}^\gamma T_{\tau A_0^r + i\eta A_1^r + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^r + A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W^+.$$

Then

$$T_{\mathcal{A}^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_{A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + I_2 \partial_3 W_{p_1}^+ = T_{\chi_{p_1}}^\gamma F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+, \quad (3.40)$$



where  $\xi = \alpha^r m^r$  which equals the determinant of  $Q_0^r$ . Here  $\bar{W}_1^r, \bar{W}_2^r, \bar{W}_5^r, \dots, \bar{W}_{13}^r$  are defined to be homogenous of degree 0. Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{W}_1^r \\ \bar{W}_2^r \\ \frac{\alpha^r m^r}{\xi} \\ -\frac{\alpha^r(\mu^r + \omega^r)}{\xi} \\ \bar{W}_5^r \\ \bar{W}_6^r \\ \bar{W}_7^r \\ \bar{W}_8^r \\ \bar{W}_9^r \\ \bar{W}_{10}^r \\ \bar{W}_{11}^r \\ \bar{W}_{12}^r \\ \bar{W}_{13}^r \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} k_1^r & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,5} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,8} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1^r & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,6} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,9} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,12} & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} & \mathcal{T}_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,7} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,10} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{3,13} \\ \mathcal{T}_{4,1} & \mathcal{T}_{4,2} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,7} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,10} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{4,13} \\ \mathcal{T}_{5,1} & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{6,2} & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{8,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{9,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{11,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{12,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

It follows that  $\chi_1 R_0^r \in \Gamma_2^0$ . We can finally get the first-order symbol for the uppertriangularization.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^r &:= R_0^r \mathcal{A}^r Q_0^r \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} k_1^r & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & \mathcal{T}_{1,5} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,8} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1^r & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,6} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{2,9} & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1,12} & 0 \\ \Theta_1 & \Theta_1 & \tilde{A}_{3,3}^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{A}_{4,4}^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{5,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{6,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{8,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{9,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{11,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{T}_{12,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{3,3}^r &= -\omega^r - \frac{i\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_1 \Phi^r \eta}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} - \frac{i\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_2 \Phi^r \tilde{\eta}}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2}, \\ \tilde{A}_{4,4}^r &= \omega^r - \frac{i\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_1 \Phi^r \eta}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} - \frac{i\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_2 \Phi^r \tilde{\eta}}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\Theta_1 \in \Gamma_2^1$  whose exact expression is not important for our analysis.

The following Lemma 3.3, which plays a key role in paradifferential calculus, can be proved similarly to the approach in [20].

**Lemma 3.3.** *With the appropriate choice of  $Q_{-1}^r$  and  $R_{-1}^r$  in  $\Gamma_1^{-1}$ , there is a symbol  $D_0 = (d_{i,j})_{13 \times 13}$  in  $\Gamma_1^0$  satisfying  $d_{3,4} = d_{4,3} = 0$ , such that*

$$R_{-1}^r R_0^{r-1} \tilde{A}^r - \tilde{A}^r Q_{-1}^r Q_0^r - (\partial_3 Q_0^{r-1} - R^r A_0^r C^r - [R^r, \chi_2 A^r] + [\chi_2 A^r, Q^r]) Q_0^r - D_0$$

is a symbol in  $\Gamma_1^{-1}$  on  $\chi_2 = 1$ ; moreover,

$$R_{-1}^r I_2 = I_2 Q_{-1}^r,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is defined as

$$[A, B] := \frac{1}{i} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial \delta} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_2} \right)$$

for any symbols  $A$  and  $B$ .

Now we set

$$Z^+ = T_{\chi_1(Q_0^{r-1}+Q_{-1}^r)}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+.$$

Define  $Q^r = Q_0^{r-1} + Q_{-1}^r$  and  $R^r = R_0^r + R_{-1}^r$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ &= I_2 T_{(\partial_3 \chi_1) Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + I_2 T_{\chi_1 Q^r}^\gamma \partial_3 W_{p_1}^+ \\ &= I_2 T_{(\partial_3 \chi_1) Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_{\chi_1 R^r}^\gamma I_2 \partial_3 W_{p_1}^+. \end{aligned}$$

$\partial_3 \chi_1$  is supported where  $\chi_1 \in (0, 1)$  and it is disjoint with the support of  $\chi_{p_1}$ . Then, from asymptotic expansion of the symbols, we have

$$T_{(\partial_3 \chi_1) Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ = \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+.$$

Using (3.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ &= I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q_0^{r-1}}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{[\chi_1 R^r, \chi_2 A^r]}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ \\ &\quad + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \\ &= I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q_0^{r-1}}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_{\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r}^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r}^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ \\ &\quad - T_{[\chi_1 R^r, \chi_2 A^r]}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \\ &= -T_{\chi_1 (R_{-1}^r R_0^{r-1} \tilde{A}^r - \tilde{A}^r Q_{-1}^r Q_0^r)}^\gamma Z^+ - T_{\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r}^\gamma Z^+ + I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q_0^{r-1} Q_0^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A_0^r C^r}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ \\ &\quad - T_{\chi_1 ([R^r, \chi_2 A^r] - [\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r, Q^r])}^\gamma W_{p_1}^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ &= -T_{\chi_1 (R_{-1}^r R_0^{r-1} \tilde{A}^r - \tilde{A}^r Q_{-1}^r Q_0^r)}^\gamma Z^+ - T_{\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r}^\gamma Z^+ + I_2 T_{\chi_1 \partial_3 Q_0^{r-1} Q_0^r}^\gamma Z^+ - T_{\chi_1 R^r A_0^r C^r Q_0^r}^\gamma Z^+ \\ &\quad - T_{\chi_1 ([R^r, \chi_2 A^r] - [\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r, Q^r])}^\gamma Q_0^r Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we know

$$I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ = -T_{\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r}^\gamma Z^+ + T_{D_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+. \quad (3.42)$$

Since the support of the Fourier transform of  $Z^+$  is in the support of  $\chi_{p_1}$ , we have

$$I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ = -T_{\tilde{D}_1}^\gamma Z^+ + T_{\tilde{D}_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+, \quad (3.43)$$

where  $\tilde{D}_1$  is the same as  $\tilde{A}^r$  except replacing  $\omega^r$  in each element by  $\tilde{\omega}^r$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}^r \in \Gamma_2^1$ . It equals to  $\omega^r$  on the support of  $\chi_2$ ,  $\tilde{D}_0$  is an extension of  $D_0$  with  $d_{3,4} = d_{4,3} = 0$  to the whole space. Moreover, we see that  $\omega^r \geq c\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r$ , where  $\Lambda \in \Gamma_2^1$  is defined as

$$\Lambda := \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \delta^2 + \eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}. \quad (3.44)$$

This suggests that we can extend as  $\tilde{\omega}^r \geq c\Lambda$  to the whole space. For simplicity, we will write  $\omega^r$  instead of  $\tilde{\omega}^r$  in later arguments.

Denote  $Z^+ := (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{13})^\top$ . From the fourth equation in (3.43) we find

$$\partial_3 Z_4 = T_{-\omega^r + i\tilde{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_4 + T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+,$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}^r = \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_1 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \eta + \frac{\partial_3 \Phi^r \partial_2 \Phi^r}{\langle \partial_{\tan} \Phi^r \rangle^2} \tilde{\eta}.$$



Consider two symmetrizers  $(T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $(T_\Lambda^\gamma)^* T_\sigma^\gamma$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined in  $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Sigma$  by (3.38) and extended to  $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Pi$  by homogeneity of degree 1. Thus  $\sigma \in \Gamma_2^1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle \\ &= \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r} Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (3.45), using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r} Z_4 \rangle = \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_{\frac{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_1 Z_4 \rangle.$$

From the extension of  $\omega^r$ , we obtain that

$$\Re \frac{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda} \geq c,$$

for some positive  $c$  depending on the background states. Using Gårding's inequality (Lemma 3.2(vi)), we have

$$\Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_{\frac{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \geq c \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 = c \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 (iii)-(iv), for the rest of the terms on the right-hand side of (3.45),

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_1 Z_4 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_i \rangle \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right), \\ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|W^+\|_0^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is taken to be small enough.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3 \Re \langle Z_4, (T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &= \Re \partial_3 \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \\ &= \Re \langle T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

For the first three terms on the right-hand side of (3.46),

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_0 T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The terms  $\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle$ ,  $\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_0 T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle$  can be treated similarly. Summing up (3.45) and (3.46) and integrating with respect to  $x_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} \right\| &\lesssim \left( C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \| \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \| \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| \|W^+\|_0^2 + \| \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that

$$\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} = \Re\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \Re\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4, \mathcal{R}_0 T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \Big|_{x_3=0},$$

we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \| \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \| \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \left( C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \| \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \| \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| \|W^+\|_0^2 + \| \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

In the following we apply the second symmetrizer  $(T_\Lambda^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma$  to have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3 \Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &= 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle \\ &= 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + 2 \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle + 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle + 2\Re\langle T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma F^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \| \|Z_4\|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 &\lesssim C \| \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \|Z_4\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \| \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \left( \| \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| \|W^+\|_0^2 + \| \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Then, we consider 1st, 2nd, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 11th, 12th of the system (3.43) which can be written as

$$T_{\mathbf{a}}^\gamma (Z_1, Z_2, Z_5, Z_6, Z_8, Z_9, Z_{11}, Z_{12})^\top + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 + T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ = \mathcal{R}_0 F^+, \quad (3.49)$$

where  $\Theta_1$  is an  $8 \times 1$  matrix symbol and belongs to  $\Gamma_2^1$ ,  $\Theta_0$  is an  $8 \times 13$  matrix symbol and belongs to  $\Gamma_1^0$ , and  $\mathbf{a} \in \Gamma_2^1$  is an  $8 \times 8$  matrix symbol given as follows

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1^r & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{1,3} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{1,5} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{1,7} & 0 \\ 0 & k_1^r & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2,4} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2,6} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2,8} \\ \mathbf{a}_{3,1} & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{4,2} & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_{5,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{6,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_{7,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{8,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1^r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, we denote that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a}_{1,3} &= \mathbf{a}_{2,4} = \mathbf{a}_{3,1} = \mathbf{a}_{4,2} = -i\eta F_{11}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{21}^r, \\ \mathbf{a}_{1,5} &= \mathbf{a}_{2,6} = \mathbf{a}_{5,1} = \mathbf{a}_{6,2} = -i\eta F_{12}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{22}^r, \\ \mathbf{a}_{1,7} &= \mathbf{a}_{2,8} = \mathbf{a}_{7,1} = \mathbf{a}_{8,2} = -i\eta F_{13}^r - i\tilde{\eta} F_{23}^r.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply the symbol  $\frac{\mathbf{a}^*}{\Lambda^5} \in \Gamma_2^0$  to (3.49) with  $\mathbf{a}^*$  the adjoint of  $\mathbf{a}$ . Hence, we obtain that

$$T_a^\gamma Z_j + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_i T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ = \mathcal{R}_0 F^+,$$

where  $j = 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12$  and  $a = (k_1^r)^4 \frac{(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r}{\Lambda^5}$ . From the definition of cut-off function,  $\frac{(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r}{\Lambda^5}$  is non-zero in the support of  $\chi_2$ . Hence, we have

$$a = (1 - \chi_2)a + \chi_2 (k_1^r)^4 \frac{(k_1^r)^2 + k_2^r}{\Lambda^5}.$$

Since  $Z^+ = T_{\chi_1(Q_0^r + Q_{-1}^r)}^\gamma W_{p1}^+$ , we have

$$T_{(1-\chi_2)a}^\gamma Z_j = T_{(1-\chi_2)a}^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q_j^r}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p2}}^\gamma W^+ = \mathcal{R}_{-1} T_{\chi_{p2}}^\gamma W^+.$$

Here, the support of  $(1 - \chi_2)a$  is disjoint with  $\chi_1 Q_j^r$ 's.  $\sigma = 0$  holds at the frequency points where  $k_1^r = 0$  (also possibly  $k_1^i = 0$ ) in the support of  $\chi_2$ . We can write  $\chi_2 a = \chi_2 \Theta_0 \times (\gamma + i\sigma)$ , and hence

$$T_{\chi_2 \Theta_0 \times (\gamma + i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_i T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ = \mathcal{R}_0 F^+. \quad (3.50)$$

Applying the symmetrizer  $(T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_\sigma^\gamma$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_2 \Theta_0 \times (\gamma + i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \sum_i \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle \\ + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle = \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Now, using Lemma 3.2 (iii)-(iv), we estimate the above terms one by one,

$$\begin{aligned}\Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\frac{\Theta_1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_1 Z_4 \rangle \\ &\leq \varepsilon \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_i \rangle \\ &\leq \varepsilon \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|Z_i\|_0^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|W^+\|_0^2, \\ \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the left-hand side of (3.51), we obtain that

$$\Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_2 \Theta_0 \times (\gamma + i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle = \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_2 \Theta_0}^\gamma T_{\gamma + i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_j \rangle.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_j \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_j\|_0^2, \\ \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle &\leq C \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is note that

$$\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle = \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle. \quad (3.52)$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (3.52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle &= \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_\gamma^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &\leq \varepsilon\gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|T_\gamma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + C \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2.\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.52) can be written into

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle &= \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_\gamma^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{i\sigma}^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &= \gamma\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma \mathcal{R}_0 Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma T_{\gamma+i\sigma}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &= \gamma\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0}^\gamma \mathcal{R}_0 Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0(\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

The first and third terms can be estimated by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for the second term

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0(\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle &= \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2a}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &= \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle,\end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  is the extension of  $\chi_2a$  to the whole space with  $|\Re\tilde{a}| \geq c\gamma$ , for some fixed positive constant  $c$ . For the second term on the right-hand side of (3.54),

$$\begin{aligned}T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j &= T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q_j^\gamma}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ \\ &= T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q_j^\gamma}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ + T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{O_0}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ \\ &\quad + T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{O_{-1}}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ + T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-2} T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+,\end{aligned}$$

where  $O_0$  and  $O_{-1}$  are only supported on the support of  $\chi_1$  which is disjoint with the support of  $(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}$ . Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{O_0}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ &= \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+, \\ T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{O_{-1}}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ &= \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+, \\ T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_{\chi_1 Q_j^\gamma}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+ &= \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain that

$$\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{(\chi_2-1)\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle \leq \varepsilon\gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|W^+\|_0^2. \quad (3.55)$$

Note that  $|\Re\tilde{a}| \geq c\gamma$ , we have

$$|\Re\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j, T_{\tilde{a}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \rangle| \geq c\gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2. \quad (3.56)$$

Estimates (3.54)-(3.56) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + C \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2) \\ &\quad + \sum_i \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12$ .

Similar to the outgoing mode  $Z_4$ , we apply symmetrizer  $T_\Lambda^\gamma$  to (3.50),

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \sum_i \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle + \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle = \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma F^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the first term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle &= \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \langle Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle \\ &= \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, \mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}} Z_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \langle Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle$ , we can split into two terms:

$$\Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle = \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_a^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{(\chi_2-1)a}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle.$$

We can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_{(\chi_2-1)a}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle &\leq C \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \varepsilon\gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|W^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2, \\ \left| \Re \langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j, T_a^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_j \rangle \right| &\geq c\gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, \mathcal{R}_0 T_{\chi_2\Theta_0 \times (\gamma+i\sigma)}^\gamma Z_j \rangle &\leq C \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma^2 \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma^2} \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma^2 \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma^2} \|W^+\|_0^2, \\ \Re \langle Z_j, T_\Lambda^\gamma F^+ \rangle &\leq \varepsilon\gamma^2 \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma^2} \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 &\leq C \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \sum_i \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma^2} (\|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12$ , by taking  $\varepsilon$  small enough.

For  $j = 7, 10, 13$  in (3.43), we have

$$T_{\tau+iv_1^r\eta+iv_2^r\bar{\eta}}^\gamma Z_j + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_i T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1}W^+ = \mathcal{R}_0F^+.$$

Following the same estimates for  $Z_j$  with  $j = 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + C \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2) \\ &\quad + \sum_i \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} (\|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 &\leq C \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \sum_i \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma^2} (\|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

for  $j = 7, 10, 13$ . Note from (3.57)–(3.58) and (3.59)–(3.60) that the estimates for the terms with  $j = 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13$  are exactly the same.

For the incoming mode  $Z_3$  of (3.43),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3 Z_3 &= T_{\omega^r+i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_1 + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_2 + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_7 + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_{10} + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_{13} \\ &\quad + T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_3 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1}W^+ + F^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

First, we apply symmetrizer  $(T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma$  to (3.61),

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3 \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\omega^r+i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \sum_{j=1,2,7,10,13} \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_j \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \right\rangle + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1}W^+ \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case for the outgoing modes, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_3 \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3 \right\rangle, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_3 \rangle, \\
\Re \left\langle T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_{\partial_3 \sigma}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3, T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma \partial_3 Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-2} T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \rangle, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &\leq -c \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2, \\
\Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_3 \rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_j \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \right\rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_j \rangle, \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_0^2, \quad j = 1, 2, 7, 10, 13, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &= \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + \Re \langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-1} Z_3 \rangle \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_{-1, \gamma}^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{-1, \gamma}^2, \quad i \neq 3, 4, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_0^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|W^+\|_{-1, \gamma}^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma F^+ \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|F^+\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 &\leq \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1,2,7,10,13} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \|Z_j\|_0^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 + \|Z_3\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 \right) + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 + \|Z_i\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_0^2 + \|W^+\|_{-1, \gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_0^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

Now, we apply symmetrizer 1 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_3 \Re \langle Z_3, Z_3 \rangle &= 2\Re \langle Z_3, \partial_3 Z_3 \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 \rangle + \sum_{j=1,2,7,10,13} 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_3 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\Re \langle Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, F^+ \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It is noted that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_3 \Re \langle Z_3, Z_3 \rangle &= 2\Re \langle Z_3, \partial_3 Z_3 \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 \rangle + \sum_{j=1,2,7,10,13} 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_j \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_3 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\Re \langle Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, F^+ \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Re \langle Z_3, T_{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_3 \rangle &= 2\Re \left\langle Z_3, (T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma)^* T_{\frac{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_3 \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \left\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle + 2\Re \left\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_3 \right\rangle + 2\Re \langle Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re \left\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}}^\gamma T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle &\leq -c \|Z_3\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2, \\
\Re \left\langle T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma Z_3, \mathcal{R}_{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_3 \right\rangle &\leq \varepsilon \|Z_3\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2, \\
\Re \langle Z_3, \mathcal{R}_0 Z_3 \rangle &\leq C \|Z_3\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \| Z_3 \|_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 &\leq \| Z_3 |_{x_3=0} \|_0^2 + \left( C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \| Z_3 \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1,2,7,10,13} \| \| Z_j \|_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \| Z_i \|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \| T_r^\gamma W^+ \|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 + \| \| W^+ \|_{-\frac{3}{2}, \gamma}^2 + \| \| F^+ \|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 \right). \tag{3.63}
\end{aligned}$$



Considering (3.47),(3.48),(3.57),(3.58),(3.62),(3.63), dividing them by the appropriate power of  $\gamma$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4 \right\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \left(C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right), \\
& \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \lesssim C \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right), \\
& \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 \leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \|Z_j\|_0^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|Z_3\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 \right) + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|Z_i\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_0^2 + \|W^+\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_0^2 \right), \\
& \gamma^2 \|Z_3\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \leq \gamma^2 \|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \left(C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \gamma^2 \|Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_{-\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $j \neq 3, 4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + C \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_i \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right), \\
& \gamma^2 \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \leq C \gamma \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above estimates and taking  $\gamma$  sufficiently large, we have for  $1 \leq j \leq 13$  and  $j \neq 4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \right) + \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \gamma^2 \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \\
& \leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \gamma^2 \|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_i \left( \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right) \\
& \leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \gamma^2 \|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

We remark that the extra degree of freedom can cause complicated interaction between the poles of  $W^+$  and  $W^-$ . Hence,  $\tau = -iv_1^r \eta - iv_2^l \tilde{\eta}$  is also the pole of the differential equation for  $W^-$  in (3.33), as long as  $(v_1^r - v_1^l, v_2^r - v_2^l) \cdot (\eta, \tilde{\eta}) = 0$ . This is a key point in 3D analysis, since it is possible that the poles for the two equations coincide. In a similar way as before, we obtain for  $14 \leq j \leq 26$  and  $j \neq 17$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \left\| T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{17} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{17}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \right) + \left\| Z_{17} \right\|_{\frac{3}{2}, \gamma}^2 + \left\| Z_{17}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_{1, \gamma}^2 \\ & \quad + \gamma \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j \right\|_0^2 + \gamma^2 \left\| Z_j \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \gamma}^2 \\ & \leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16} \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \gamma^2 \left\| Z_{16}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \left\| T_r^\gamma W^- \right\|_{1, \gamma}^2 + \left\| W^- \right\|_0^2 + \left\| F^- \right\|_{1, \gamma}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

where  $Z^- = (Z_{14}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top := T_{\chi_1 Q^l}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_1}}^\gamma W^-$  and  $Q^l$  is the transformation matrix for  $W^-$ , which is defined in a similarly way as for  $Q^r$ . We write  $Z_4$  and  $Z_{17}$  for the outgoing modes and  $Z_3$  and  $Z_{16}$  for the incoming modes. Then we have

$$Z_{\text{in}} = (Z_3, Z_{16})^\top \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\text{out}} = (Z_4, Z_{17})^\top.$$

So the last step is to use the boundary conditions in (3.31) to estimate the terms  $\left\| Z_3|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2$ ,  $\left\| Z_{16}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2$ ,  $\gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0}$ , and  $\gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16} \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} & \lesssim \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2, \\ \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16} \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} & \lesssim \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we only need to estimate the boundary terms  $T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}$  and  $Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}$ . The goal is to use the boundary conditions (3.31) to prove the following estimate:

$$\gamma^2 \left\| Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \lesssim \left\| G \right\|_{1, \gamma}^2 + \left\| Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_{1, \gamma}^2 + \left\| W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2. \tag{3.66}$$

Let us rewrite the Lopatinskiĭ matrix as

$$\beta \begin{bmatrix} E^r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E^l \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \varsigma_1 & \varsigma_2 \\ \varsigma_3 & \varsigma_4 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.67}$$

We calculate its determinant at  $x_3 = 0$  to satisfy

$$\varsigma_1 \varsigma_4 - \varsigma_2 \varsigma_3 = k_1^r k_1^l h(t, x_1, x_2, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}), \quad \text{where} \quad h(t, x_1, x_2, \tau, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \neq 0$$

in a neighborhood of  $(-iv_1^r \eta - iv_2^r \tilde{\eta}, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \Sigma$  and in a neighborhood of  $(-iv_1^l \eta - iv_2^l \tilde{\eta}, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \Sigma$ . Similar to the constant-coefficient case [19, Lemma 3.6], let us assume without loss of generality that  $\varsigma_1 \neq 0$ .

Define the following matrices in a suitably small neighborhood of  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r \cup \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^r$ :

$$P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\varsigma_1} & 0 \\ -\frac{\varsigma_3}{\varsigma_1 h \Lambda^2} & \frac{1}{h \Lambda^2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varsigma_2 \\ 0 & \varsigma_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.68}$$

It is easily seen that  $P_1, P_2 \in \Gamma_2^0$ . Shrinking further  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r \cup \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^r$  if necessary, we have

$$\beta_{\text{in}} := P_1 \beta \begin{bmatrix} E^r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E^l \end{bmatrix} P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda^{-2}(\gamma + i\sigma_1)(\gamma + i\sigma_2) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.69}$$

with  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma_2^1$  being some real-valued scalar symbols, whose explicit forms are not important for our analysis. We now fix the four cut-off functions  $\tilde{\chi}_1, \tilde{\chi}_2, \tilde{\chi}_3$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\chi}_1 &\equiv 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \text{supp}\chi_1 \cap \{x_3 = 0\}. \\ \tilde{\chi}_j &\equiv 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \text{supp}\tilde{\chi}_{j-1}, \text{ for } j = 2, 3, 4. \\ \text{supp}\tilde{\chi}_4 &\subseteq \mathcal{V}_{p_1}^r \cup \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^r \cap \{x_3 = 0\} \times \Sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Following the argument of [20, Section 3.4.3], we can obtain the following estimate by using the localized Gårding's inequality:

$$\left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda \beta_{\text{in}}}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0 \lesssim \|G\|_{1,\gamma} + \|Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0. \quad (3.70)$$

Now, we use the special structure of  $\beta_{\text{in}}$  to obtain a lower bound for the term on the left-hand side of (3.70). Define

$$(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)^\top := T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}. \quad (3.71)$$

From (3.69), we have

$$\left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda \beta_{\text{in}}}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 = \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_0^2 + \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Theta_0(\gamma+i\sigma_3)}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_2 \right\|_0^2, \quad (3.72)$$

where  $\Theta_0 \in \Gamma_2^0$ , and  $\sigma_3$  is a scalar real symbol in  $\Gamma_2^1$ . Applying the localized Gårding's inequality (see Lemma 3.2 (vii)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_0^2 &= \left\langle (T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda}^\gamma)^* T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1, T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\rangle \\ &\geq \Re \left\langle T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda^2}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1, T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\rangle - C \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_0 \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_{1,\gamma} \\ &\geq c \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_{1,\gamma}^2 - C \|\vartheta_1\|_0^2 - C \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_1 \right\|_0^2 \\ &\gtrsim \|\vartheta_1\|_{1,\gamma}^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \\ &\gtrsim \gamma^2 \|\vartheta_1\|_0^2 + \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma \vartheta_1\|_0^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2,\end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

for sufficiently large  $\gamma$ . Similarly, we can obtain that, for sufficiently large  $\gamma$ ,

$$\left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Theta_0(\gamma+i\sigma_3)}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma \vartheta_2 \right\|_0^2 \geq \gamma^2 \|\vartheta_2\|_0^2 + \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma \vartheta_2\|_0^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2.$$

Inserting the above two estimates into (3.72), we have

$$\left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_2 \Lambda \beta_{\text{in}}}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \gtrsim \gamma^2 \|(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)\|_0^2 + \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)\|_0^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2. \quad (3.74)$$

Using the fact that  $\tilde{\chi}_3 \chi_1 \equiv \chi_1$ , we obtain that

$$T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}} = T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}} + \mathcal{R}_0 Z_{\text{in}} = T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}} + \mathcal{R}_0 Z_{\text{in}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) &= T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} + \mathcal{R}_0 Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \\ &= T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} + \mathcal{R}_0 Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the ellipticity of  $(P_2^{-1})^*P_2^{-1}$  on the support of  $\tilde{\chi}_4$  and that  $\sigma_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we apply the localized Gårding's inequality (Lemma 3.2 (vii)) to obtain that, for sufficiently large  $\gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)\|_0^2 \\ & \gtrsim \left\langle (T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma)^* T_{\tilde{\chi}_4 P_2^{-1}}^\gamma T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}, T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\rangle - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \\ & \gtrsim \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 - C \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 - C \left\| T_{\tilde{\chi}_3}^\gamma T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for sufficiently large  $\gamma$ , we have

$$\|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)\|_0^2 \gtrsim \|T_{\sigma_3}^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}\|_0^2. \quad (3.75)$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)\|_0^2 & \gtrsim \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 - C \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 \\ & \gtrsim \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 - \frac{C}{\gamma^2} \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Combining (3.70),(3.74)-(3.76), and taking  $\gamma$  sufficiently large, we derive (3.66), which is crucial.

From (3.48), we have

$$\|Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \lesssim \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^-\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^-\|_0^2 + \|F\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \quad (3.77)$$

Combining (3.64)-(3.66),(3.77), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{out}}\|_0^2 + \|Z_{\text{out}}\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_c\|_0^2 + \gamma^2 \|Z_c\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}\|_0^2 \\ & + \gamma^2 \|Z_{\text{in}}\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0} \right\|_0^2 \\ & + \|Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma^2 \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \\ & \leq \|G\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W\|_0^2 + \|F\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

where  $Z_c = (Z_1, Z_2, Z_5, \dots, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{18}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top$ .

**3.7. Case 2: Points in  $\Upsilon_r^{(2)}$ .** We need to estimate the part of  $W^\pm$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{V}_r^1, \mathcal{V}_r^2$ . For simplicity, we discuss the differential equations for  $W^+$  in  $\mathcal{V}_r^1$ . The remaining neighborhood of  $\mathcal{V}_r^2$  and the discussion for  $W^-$ , we obtain the same estimates. Now consider the cut-off function  $\chi_{rt}$  in  $\Gamma_k^0$  for any integer  $k$ , whose support on  $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Sigma$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_r^1$ , and is equal to 1 in a smaller neighborhood of the strip where  $\tau = iV_1\sqrt{\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2}$ . Denote

$$W_{rt}^+ := T_{\chi_{rt}}^\gamma W^+.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$T_{rA_0^\tau + i\eta A_1^\tau + i\tilde{\eta} A_2^\tau}^\gamma W_{rt}^+ + T_{A_0^\tau C^\tau}^\gamma W_{rt}^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + I_2 \partial_3 W_{rt}^+ = T_{\chi_{rt}}^\gamma F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+.$$

Here,  $r$  is in  $\Gamma_1^0$ , bounded and supported only in the set where  $\chi_{rt} \in (0, 1)$ . Then, we take two cut-off functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  in the class  $\Gamma_k^0$  for any integer  $k$ . Both of the functions are supported in  $\mathcal{V}_{rt}$ ,  $\chi_1 = 1$  on the support of  $\chi_{rt}$  and  $\chi_2 = 1$  on the support of  $\chi_1$ . Similar to the previous discussion, after applying the cut-off symbol, we can find transformation matrices  $Q_0^r$  and  $Q_{-1}^r$  and symmetrizers  $R_0^r$  and  $R_{-1}^r$  to obtain

$$I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ = -T_{\chi_2 \bar{A}^r}^\gamma Z^+ + T_{D_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+,$$

where  $\tilde{A}^r$  is the same as in (3.42) in previous case,  $\chi_1 Q_0^r$  and  $\chi_1 R_0^r$  are invertible symbols in  $\Gamma_2^0$ , and  $Q_{-1}^r, R_{-1}^r \in \Gamma_1^{-1}$ . Define

$$Z^+ = T_{\chi_1(Q_0^{r-1} + Q_{-1}^r)}^\gamma W_{rt}^+.$$

After same argument for  $\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r$  and  $D_0$ , we obtain that

$$I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ = -T_{\tilde{D}_1}^\gamma Z^+ + T_{\tilde{D}_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+. \quad (3.79)$$

In  $\tilde{D}_1$  we have  $\omega^r \in \Gamma_2^1$ ,  $\omega^r \geq c\Lambda$ , and in  $\tilde{D}_0$  we have  $d_{3,4} = d_{4,3} = 0$ . Denote

$$Z^+ = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{13})^\top.$$

It follows that

$$\partial_3 Z_4 = T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_4 + T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+.$$

Applying the two symmetrizers  $(T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $(T_\Lambda^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \left\| \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \right\|_0^2 \right. \\ & \lesssim \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \left( C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_0^2 + \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right), \\ & \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\ & \lesssim C \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \|Z_i\|_0^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant do not coincide with the poles of the differential equations. Thus we can estimate  $Z_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 5, 7, \dots, 13$  in the same way. Multiplying (3.79) with some appropriate chosen matrix symbol in  $\Gamma_1^0$ , we obtain that

$$T_a^\gamma Z_j + T_{\Theta_1}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_i T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ = \mathcal{R}_0 F^+. \quad (3.80)$$

Here,  $|\Re a| \geq c\Lambda$  on the support of  $\chi_2$ . We can extend  $a$  into a new symbol  $\tilde{a}$  satisfying  $|\Re \tilde{a}| \geq c\Lambda$ . Applying the two symmetrizers  $(T_\sigma^\gamma)^* T_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $T_\Lambda^\gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 & \leq C \|Z_j\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \sum_i \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \right), \\ \|Z_j\|_{1,\gamma}^2 & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_4\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_i \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_0^2 + \|W^+\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_0^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 &\leq \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_0^2 + \|Z_j\|_0^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|Z_3\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 \right) + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_i\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|Z_i\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_0^2 + \|W^+\|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_0^2 \right), \\
\|Z_3\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 &\leq \|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \left( C + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \|Z_3\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Z_i\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_{-\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|F^+\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates and dividing by  $\gamma$  to an appropriate power and then taking  $\gamma$  large enough, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4\|_0^2 + \|Z_4\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|Z_j\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|Z_3\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|Z_4|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
&\leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^+\|_0^2 + \|F^+\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

For  $Z^- = (Z_{14}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top := T_{\chi_1(Q_0^{l-1}+Q_{l-1})}^\gamma T_{\chi_{rt}}^\gamma W^-$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{17}\|_0^2 + \|Z_{17}\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_j\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|Z_j\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|Z_{16}\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{17}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|Z_{17}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
&\leq \gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16} \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0} \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|Z_{16}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W^-\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^-\|_0^2 + \|F^-\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

Similar to Case 1, the boundary terms in (3.33) can be used to estimate  $\|Z_3|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2$  and  $\|Z_{16}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2$ , and  $\gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_3 \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0}$  and  $\gamma \Re \left\langle T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16}, T_{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{16} \right\rangle \Big|_{x_3=0}$ . Using (3.81) and (3.82), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{out}}\|_0^2 + \|Z_{\text{out}}\|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_c\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \gamma \|Z_c\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}\|_0^2 + \gamma^2 \|Z_{\text{in}}\|_{\frac{1}{2},\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\gamma T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \|T_\sigma^\gamma Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \\
&\lesssim \|G\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \|T_r^\gamma W\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \|W\|_0^2 + \|F\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

where  $Z_c = (Z_1, Z_2, Z_5, \dots, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{18}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top$ .

**3.8. Case 3: Points in  $\Upsilon_p^{(2)}$ .** In this section, we discuss the poles that are not the roots of Lopatinskiĭ determinant. Our discussion focuses on the neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V}_{p_2}^1, \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^2, \mathcal{V}_{p_2}^3$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{p_2}^4$ . As an example, consider  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^1$ . This neighborhood contains a strip in the frequency space where  $\tau = -i(v_1^r \eta + v_2^r \tilde{\eta} + \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \tilde{\eta}^2)g_r(\theta)})$ . Here  $\tau$  represents a pole of the differential equations for  $W^+$ , but not for  $W^-$ . In this case, the equation for  $W^-$  can be reduced to a non-characteristic one. The arguments apply to both  $W^+$  and  $W^-$ , provided that the points where  $\omega^{r,l} = 0$  are excluded from the neighborhood. For simplicity, we restrict our discussion to the case of  $W^+$ . First, we introduce the cut-off functions  $\chi_{p_2}, \chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ , which are defined in the pole case. Using the matrices  $Q_0^r$  and  $Q_{-1}^r$  and symmetrizers  $R_0^r$  and  $R_{-1}^r$ , along with appropriate adjustments to  $\chi_2 \tilde{A}^r$  and  $D_0$ , we derive the following equation:

$$I_2 \partial_3 Z^+ = -T_{D_1}^\gamma Z^+ + T_{D_0}^\gamma Z^+ + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+, \quad (3.84)$$

where

$$Z^+ = T_{\chi_1(Q_0^{r-1} + Q_{-1}^r)}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^+.$$

The symbols in this equation are consistent with those introduced in the previous cases. The equation for  $Z_4$  yields

$$\partial_3 Z_4 = T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_4 + T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i + T_r^\gamma W^+ + \mathcal{R}_0 F^+ + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+.$$

Consider the symmetrizer  $(T_\Lambda^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma \partial_3 Z_4 \rangle &= \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{-\omega^r + i\bar{\omega}^r}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_4 \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_{\Theta_0}^\gamma Z_i \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma T_r^\gamma W^+ \rangle \\ &+ \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma \mathcal{R}_{-1} W^+ \rangle + \Re \langle T_\Lambda^\gamma T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_4, T_\Lambda^\gamma F^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \| Z_4 \| \|_{2,\gamma}^2 + \| \| Z_4 |_{x_3=0} \| \|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ &\lesssim \| \| Z_4 |_{x_3=0} \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + C \right) \| \| Z_4 \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \| Z_i \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \| T_r^\gamma W^+ \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| \| W^+ \| \|_0^2 + \| \| F^+ \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $j = 1, 2, 5 \dots, 13$  in (3.84), applying the symmetrizer  $(T_\Lambda^\gamma)^* T_\Lambda^\gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \| \| Z_j \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 &\leq C \| \| Z_j \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \| Z_4 \| \|_{2,\gamma}^2 \\ &+ \sum_i \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \| Z_i \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \| \| T_r^\gamma W^+ \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| \| W^+ \| \|_0^2 + \| \| F^+ \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the incoming mode  $Z_3$ , we take the symmetrizer  $T_\Lambda^\gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \| Z_3 \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 &\leq \Re \langle Z_3, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_3 \rangle |_{x_3=0} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \| Z_3 \| \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \| Z_j \| \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \| Z_i \| \|_0^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \| \| T_r^\gamma W^+ \| \|_0^2 + \| \| W^+ \| \|_{-1,\gamma}^2 + \| \| F \| \|_0^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\| Z_4 \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_j \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_3 \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \| Z_4|_{x_3=0} \|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ & \lesssim \gamma \Re \langle Z_3, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_3 \rangle |_{x_3=0} + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\| T_r^\gamma W^+ \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W^+ \|_0^2 + \| F^+ \|_{1,\gamma}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For  $Z^- = (Z_{14}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top := T_{\chi_1 Q^i}^\gamma T_{\chi_{p_2}}^\gamma W^-$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\| Z_{17} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_j \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_{16} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \| Z_{17}|_{x_3=0} \|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 \\ & \lesssim \gamma \Re \langle Z_{16}, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_{16} \rangle |_{x_3=0} + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\| T_r^\gamma W^- \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W^- \|_0^2 + \| F^- \|_{1,\gamma}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate  $\gamma \Re \langle Z_3, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_3 \rangle |_{x_3=0}$  and  $\gamma \Re \langle Z_{16}, T_\Lambda^\gamma Z_{16} \rangle |_{x_3=0}$ . These terms can be controlled by  $\| Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \|_{1,\gamma}^2$ . Using the boundary conditions (3.33) and using the fact that the Lopatinskiĭ determinant has a positive lower bound in the open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^1$ , we have

$$\| Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \lesssim \| G \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} \|_0^2.$$

Putting together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\| Z_{\text{out}} \|_{2,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_c \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \| Z_{\text{in}} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \| Z_{\text{out}}|_{x_3=0} \|_{\frac{3}{2},\gamma}^2 + \| Z_{\text{in}}|_{x_3=0} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\ & \lesssim \| G \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\| T_r^\gamma W \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W \|_0^2 + \| F \|_{1,\gamma}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

where  $Z_c = (Z_1, Z_2, Z_5, \dots, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{18}, \dots, Z_{26})^\top$ .

**3.9. Other Case.** The remaining points are those where the Lopatinskiĭ determinant is non-zero, allowing the system to be reduced into a non-characteristic form. In this case, a Kreiss's symmetrizer can be constructed. This corresponds to the *good frequency* case in [24]. Consider the cut-off symbol  $\chi_{re} = 1 - \bar{\chi}_{p_1} - \bar{\chi}_{p_2} - \bar{\chi}_{rt}$  in  $\Gamma_k^0$  for any integer  $k$ , where  $\bar{\chi}_{p_1}$  is the sum of four cut-off functions  $\chi_{p_1}$  for four neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_{p_1}^i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $\bar{\chi}_{p_2}$  is the sum of two cut-off functions  $\chi_{p_2}$  for two neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_{p_2}^1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{p_2}^2$  and  $\bar{\chi}_{rt}$  is the sum of two cut-off functions  $\chi_{rt}$  for the two neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_{rt}^i, i = 1, 2$ .  $\chi_{re}$  is also the cut-off function which is 0 near the roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant  $\Upsilon_r$  and  $\Upsilon_p$ . We can construct an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_{re}$  that contains the support of  $\chi_{re}$  but does not contain a small neighborhood of  $\Upsilon_r$  and  $\Upsilon_p$ . Denote that

$$W_{re}^\pm := T_{re}^\gamma W^\pm, \text{ and } W_{re} := (W_{re}^+, W_{re}^-)^\top.$$

Following the approach in [24], we can eliminate all components of  $W_{re}^\pm$  in the kernel of  $I_2$ . This leads to a differential equation for  $W_{re}^{nc} := T_{\chi_{re}}^\gamma W^{nc}$  of the form

$$\partial_3 W_{re}^{nc} = T_{\chi_{2\mathbb{A}}}^\gamma W^{nc} + T_{\mathbb{E}}^\gamma W^{nc} + T_r^\gamma W + \mathcal{R}_0 F + \mathcal{R}_{-1} W, \quad (3.86)$$

where  $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}\{\mathbb{A}^r, \mathbb{A}^l\}$  and  $\mathbb{E}, r \in \Gamma_1^0$  which are supported in the place where  $\chi_{re} \in (0, 1)$ . Using (3.31), similar to [20, 24], we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \|\| W_{re} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W_{re}^{nc}|_{x_3=0} \|_{1,\gamma}^2 \\ & \lesssim \| G \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W^{nc}|_{x_3=0} \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\| F \|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \| W \|_0^2 + \| T_r^\gamma W \|_{1,\gamma}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$



### 3.10. Proof of Theorem 3.1.

*Proof.* We now summarize all the estimates from the four cases discussed above. Taking  $\gamma$  sufficiently large and summing up (3.78), (3.83), (3.85), (3.87), we have that the left-hand side of the sum is bounded by

$$\gamma^3 \|W\|_0^2 + \gamma^2 \|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2.$$

The support of  $r$  is contained in the following set:

$$\{(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, \delta, \eta, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \Pi : \bar{\chi}_{p_1} \in (0, 1) \text{ or } \bar{\chi}_{p_2} \in (0, 1) \text{ or } \bar{\chi}_{rt} \in (0, 1) \text{ or } \bar{\chi}_{re} \in (0, 1)\}.$$

Note that  $\bar{\chi}_{p_1} + \bar{\chi}_{p_2} + \bar{\chi}_{rt} + \bar{\chi}_{re} = 1$ . Then  $r = 0$  when  $\bar{\chi}_{p_1}, \bar{\chi}_{p_2}, \bar{\chi}_{rt}$ , or  $\bar{\chi}_{re}$  equals 1. We also have that  $\sigma$  vanishes only at some points where  $\bar{\chi}_{p_1} = 1$  or  $\bar{\chi}_{rt} = 1$ . Thus  $\sigma$  has a lower bound on the support of  $r$  and we write

$$r = a_{p_2} \bar{\chi}_{p_2} + a_{re} \bar{\chi}_{re} + a_{p_1} \sigma \chi_1^{p_1} \begin{bmatrix} Q^r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Q^l \end{bmatrix} \bar{\chi}_{p_1} + a_{rt} \sigma \chi_1^{rt} \begin{bmatrix} Q^r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Q^l \end{bmatrix} \bar{\chi}_{rt},$$

where  $a_{p_2}, a_{re}, a_{p_1}, a_{rt}$  all have block diagonal structures in  $\Gamma_1^0$ . Let  $\chi_1^{p_1}$  and  $\chi_1^{rt}$  denote the corresponding cut-off functions in Case 1 and 2. So the term  $\frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_r^\gamma W\|_{1,\gamma}^2$  can be absorbed by

$$\gamma \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\bar{\chi}_{p_1}}^\gamma W \right\|_0^2 + \gamma \left\| T_\sigma^\gamma T_{\bar{\chi}_{rt}}^\gamma W \right\|_0^2 + \gamma \left\| T_{\bar{\chi}_{p_2}}^\gamma W \right\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \gamma \left\| T_{\bar{\chi}_{re}}^\gamma W \right\|_{1,\gamma}^2.$$

This term can also be controlled by the left-hand side of the sum of (3.78), (3.83), (3.85), (3.87). Hence it follows that

$$\|W^{nc}|_{x_3=0}\|_0^2 \leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \left\| \tilde{F} \right\|_{1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left\| \tilde{G} \right\|_{1,\gamma}^2 \right),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## 4. WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE LINEARIZED PROBLEM

In this section we analyze the linearized problem for (2.11) and establish the well-posedness of solutions in the standard Sobolev spaces  $H^m$  for all integers  $m$ .

**4.1. Variable Coefficient Linearized Problem.** We begin by linearizing problem (2.11) around a given basic state  $(\check{U}^\pm, \check{\Phi}^\pm)$ . We suppose that

$$\text{supp}(\check{V}^\pm, \check{\Psi}^\pm) \subset \{-T \leq t \leq 2T, x_3 \geq 0, |x| \leq 2\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\|\check{V}^\pm\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\check{\Psi}^\pm\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq K, \quad (4.2)$$

for  $\check{V}^\pm := \check{U}^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm$  and  $\check{\Psi}^\pm := \check{\Phi}^\pm - \bar{\Phi}^\pm$ , where  $T$  and  $K$  are positive constants, and  $(\bar{U}^\pm, \bar{\Phi}^\pm)$  is the background state given by (2.17). Moreover, we assume the basic state  $(\check{U}^\pm, \check{\Phi}^\pm)$  satisfies (2.6), (2.11b), and (2.14), *i.e.*,

$$\pm \partial_3 \check{\Phi}^\pm \geq \kappa_0 > 0 \quad \text{if } x_3 \geq 0, \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\partial_t \check{\Phi}^\pm + \check{v}_1^\pm \partial_1 \check{\Phi}^\pm + \check{v}_2^\pm \partial_2 \check{\Phi}^\pm - \check{v}_3^\pm = 0 \quad \text{if } x_3 \geq 0, \quad (4.3b)$$

$$\check{F}_{3j}^\pm = \check{F}_{1j}^\pm \partial_1 \check{\Phi}^\pm + \check{F}_{2j}^\pm \partial_2 \check{\Phi}^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{if } x_3 \geq 0, \quad (4.3c)$$

$$\check{\Phi}^+ = \check{\Phi}^- = \check{\varphi} \quad \text{if } x_3 = 0, \quad (4.3d)$$

$$\mathbb{B}(\check{U}^+, \check{U}^-, \check{\varphi}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{if } x_3 = 0, \quad (4.3e)$$

for some constant  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . Constraints (4.3b) and (4.3c) ensure that the rank of the boundary matrix for the linearized problem remains constant on the domain  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Denote  $\check{U} := (\check{U}^+, \check{U}^-)^\top$ ,  $\check{V} := (\check{V}^+, \check{V}^-)^\top$ ,  $\check{\Phi} := (\check{\Phi}^+, \check{\Phi}^-)^\top$ , and  $\check{\Psi} := (\check{\Psi}^+, \check{\Psi}^-)^\top$  for simplicity.





In (4.16b), the coefficients  $\check{b}$  and  $\check{b}_q$  are defined by (4.7) and (4.11) respectively. The matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{B}(t, x_1, x_2) := \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} -\frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 & \frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 & \frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 & -\frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 \\ -\frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 & \frac{c(\check{\rho})}{\check{\rho}} \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle & \langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle & -\langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle & -\langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\varphi} \rangle \end{array} \right]_{x_3=0}, \quad (4.17)$$

and  $W^{\text{nc}} := (W_+^{\text{nc}}, W_-^{\text{nc}})^\top$  represents the non-characteristic part of  $W := (W^+, W^-)^\top$  with  $W_\pm^{\text{nc}} := (W_3, W_4, W_{16}, W_{17})^\top$ . It is evident that  $\mathcal{A}_0^\pm$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1^\pm$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2^\pm$  are smooth functions of  $(\check{V}^\pm, \nabla \check{\Psi}^\pm)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_4^\pm$  are smooth matrix-functions of  $(\check{V}^\pm, \nabla \check{V}^\pm, \nabla \check{\Psi}^\pm, \nabla^2 \check{\Psi}^\pm)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$  is a smooth matrix-function of  $(\check{V}|_{x_3=0}, \nabla \check{\varphi})$ .

We are now prepared to state the following theorem. The proof of the theorem will comprise the rest of the section.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 2$  being fixed. Suppose that the background state (2.17) satisfies (3.12) and (3.13), and that  $(\check{V}^\pm, \check{\Psi}^\pm)$  belong to  $H_\gamma^{m+3}(\Omega_T)$  for all  $\gamma \geq 1$ , and satisfy (4.1)–(4.3) and*

$$\|(\check{V}^\pm, \check{\Psi}^\pm)\|_{H_\gamma^6(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}^\pm, \check{\Psi}^\pm)\|_{H_\gamma^5(\omega_T)} \leq K. \quad (4.18)$$

Suppose further that the source terms  $(f, g) \in H^{m+1}(\Omega_T) \times H^{m+1}(\omega_T)$  vanish in the past. Then there exist constants  $K_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma_0 \geq 1$  such that, if  $K \leq K_0$  and  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , the problem (4.10) has a unique solution  $(\dot{V}^\pm, \psi) \in H^m(\Omega_T) \times H^{m+1}(\omega_T)$  vanishing in the past and satisfying the tame estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\dot{V}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\mathbb{P}(\check{\varphi})\dot{V}^\pm\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} + \|g\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} + \left( \|f\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} + \|g\|_{H_\gamma^3(\omega_T)} \right) \|(\check{V}^\pm, \check{\Psi}^\pm)\|_{H_\gamma^{m+3}(\Omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

When  $f$  and  $g$  vanish in the past (which is equivalent to zero initial data), Theorem 4.1 applies. The case of general initial data will be addressed in Section 5, where approximate solutions are constructed prior to applying the Nash-Moser iteration scheme.

**4.2. Well-Posedness in  $L^2$ .** We recall the following  $L^2$  a priori energy estimate for the linearized problem (4.10), as derived in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose that the background state  $(\bar{U}^\pm, \bar{\Phi}^\pm)$  defined by (2.17) satisfies (3.12) and (3.13), and the basic state  $(\check{U}^\pm, \check{\Phi}^\pm)$  satisfies (4.1)–(4.3). Then there exist constants  $K_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma_0 \geq 1$  such that, if  $K \leq K_0$  and  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \|\dot{V}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}(\check{\varphi})\dot{V}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \gamma^{-3} \left\| \mathbb{L}'_e(\check{U}^\pm, \check{\Phi}^\pm) \dot{V}^\pm \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1)}^2 + \gamma^{-2} \left\| \mathbb{B}'_e(\check{U}, \check{\Phi})(\dot{V}, \psi) \right\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for all  $(\dot{V}, \psi) \in H_\gamma^2(\Omega) \times H_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , where the operators  $\mathbb{P}(\check{\varphi})$ ,  $\mathbb{L}'_e$ , and  $\mathbb{B}'_e$  are defined by (4.12), (4.10a), and (4.10b), respectively.

System (4.10a) is symmetrizable hyperbolic, with coefficients satisfying the regularity assumptions by Coulombel [22]. Consequently, it is necessary to construct a dual problem that satisfies an appropriate energy estimate. To this end, we define



$$+ \gamma^{-1} \|g\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} + \gamma^{-1} \|(W^{\text{nc}}, \psi)\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\omega_T)}, \quad (4.24)$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$  and solutions  $(W, \psi) \in H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T) \times H_\gamma^{m+2}(\omega_T)$  to the problem (4.16).

*Proof.* We will follow the approach of [25, Proposition 1] to consider the enlarged system. However, for estimating the source terms, we will use the Moser-type calculus inequalities (2.22)–(2.25) instead of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg and Hölder inequalities used in [25, Proposition 1].

Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\alpha| = \ell$  so that  $\partial^\alpha = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2}$  is a tangential derivative satisfying  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \ell$ . We then apply the operator  $\partial^\alpha$  to (4.16a) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_0^\pm \partial_t \partial^\alpha W^\pm + \mathcal{A}_1^\pm \partial_1 \partial^\alpha W^\pm + \mathcal{A}_2^\pm \partial_2 \partial^\alpha W^\pm + \mathcal{I}_2 \partial_3 \partial^\alpha W^\pm + \mathcal{A}_4^\pm \partial^\alpha W^\pm \\ & + \sum_{|\beta|=1, \beta \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha, \beta} (\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_0^\pm \partial_t \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm + \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_1^\pm \partial_1 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm + \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_2^\pm \partial_2 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm) = \mathcal{F}_\pm^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\pm^\alpha & := \partial^\alpha F^\pm + \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha, \beta} \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4^\pm \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm \\ & + \sum_{|\beta| \geq 2, \beta \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha, \beta} (\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_0^\pm \partial_t \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm + \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_1^\pm \partial_1 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm + \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_2^\pm \partial_2 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (4.16b) we have

$$\check{b} \nabla \partial^\alpha \psi + \check{b}_\sharp \partial^\alpha \psi + \mathbf{B} \partial^\alpha W^{\text{nc}} = \mathcal{G}^\alpha \quad \text{on } \omega_T, \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^\alpha := \partial^\alpha g - [\partial^\alpha, \check{b}] \nabla \psi - [\partial^\alpha, \check{b}_\sharp] \psi - [\partial^\alpha, \mathbf{B}] W^{\text{nc}}.$$

Since the terms involving tangential derivatives of order  $\ell$  in (4.25) do not solely contain  $\partial^\alpha W^\pm$ , as in [25, Proposition 1], we write an enlarged system that accounts for all the tangential derivatives of order  $\ell$ . This allows us to apply the  $L^2$  *a priori* estimate of Theorem 4.2. It is important to note that the last term on the left-hand side of (4.25) cannot be treated simply as source terms due to the loss of derivatives in (4.20). We define

$$W_\pm^{(\ell)} := \{\partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} W^\pm : \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \ell\}, \quad \psi^{(\ell)} := \{\partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \psi : \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \ell\},$$

and from (4.25)–(4.26), we obtain the following system:

$$\mathcal{A}_0^\pm \partial_t W_\pm^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{A}_1^\pm \partial_1 W_\pm^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{A}_2^\pm \partial_2 W_\pm^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{I} \partial_3 W_\pm^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{C}^\pm W_\pm^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{F}_\pm^{(\ell)}, \quad (4.27a)$$

$$\mathcal{B} \nabla \psi^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{B}_\sharp \psi^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{M} W_{\text{nc}}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}, \quad (4.27b)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_0^\pm$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1^\pm$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2^\pm$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are block diagonal matrices with blocks  $\mathcal{A}_0^\pm$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1^\pm$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2^\pm$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , respectively. Matrices  $\mathcal{C}^\pm$  belong to  $W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ . The source terms  $\mathcal{F}_\pm^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}$  consist of  $\mathcal{F}_\pm^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha$  for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)$  with  $|\alpha| = \ell$ , respectively. The enlarged problem (4.27) satisfies an energy estimate similar to (4.22), *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^{1/2} \|W^{(\ell)}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} + \|W_{\text{nc}}^{(\ell)}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} + \|\psi^{(\ell)}\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)} \\ & \lesssim \gamma^{-3/2} \left\| \left\| \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)} \right\| \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} + \gamma^{-1} \|\mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Now, by using Moser-type calculus inequalities (2.22)–(2.25), we estimate the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_\pm^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}$ . First, we have from definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial^\alpha F\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} \lesssim \|(\gamma \partial^\alpha F, \partial_t \partial^\alpha F, \partial_1 \partial^\alpha F, \partial_2 \partial^\alpha F)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T))}, \\ & \|\partial^\alpha g\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)} \lesssim \|g\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ , we obtain

$$\|\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)} \lesssim \|(\gamma \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W, \nabla_{t,x_1,x_2}(\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W))\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Applying Moser-type calculus inequality (2.22) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} &= \|\partial^{\beta-\beta'} (\partial^{\beta'} \mathcal{A}_4) \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial^{\beta'} \mathcal{A}_4\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell-1}(\omega_T)} + \|\partial^{\beta'} \mathcal{A}_4\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell-1}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell-1}(\omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+2}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where  $\beta' \leq \beta$  with  $|\beta'| = 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|\nabla_{t,x_1,x_2}(\partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} \lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^\ell(\omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+3}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}.$$

Combining (4.29) and (4.30), we have

$$\left\| \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_4 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} \lesssim \|W\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^\ell(\omega_T))} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+3}(\Omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \quad (4.31)$$

For  $\beta \leq \alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ , similar to (4.31), we use (2.22) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_0 \partial_t \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} + \left\| \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_1 \partial_1 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} + \left\| \partial^\beta \mathcal{A}_2 \partial_2 \partial^{\alpha-\beta} W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^\ell(\omega_T))} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+3}(\Omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Combining (4.3), (4.31), and (4.32) leads to

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)} \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^1(\omega_T))} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^\ell(\omega_T))} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+3}(\Omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \quad (4.33)$$

Using (2.24)–(2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\partial^\alpha, \check{b}] \nabla \psi\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)} &\lesssim \gamma \|[\partial^\alpha, \check{b}] \nabla \psi\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} + \sum_{|\beta|=1} \left\| \partial^\beta [\partial^\alpha, \check{b}] \nabla \psi \right\|_{L_\gamma^2(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T)} + \|\check{c}_0\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+2}(\omega_T)} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+2}(\omega_T)} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Moser-type calculus inequalities (2.24)–(2.25) to the other terms in  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}\|_{H_\gamma^1(\omega_T)} &\lesssim \|g\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T)} + \|W^{\text{nc}}\|_{H_\gamma^\ell(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+1}(\omega_T)} \\ &\quad + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{\ell+2}(\omega_T)} \|(W^{\text{nc}}, \psi)\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Substitute equations (4.33) and (4.34) into (4.28), multiply the resulting estimate by  $\gamma^{m-\ell}$ . By choosing  $\gamma$  large enough, we conclude the desired tame estimate (4.24). This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**4.4. Normal Derivatives of the Noncharacteristic Variables.** Following [16], we compensate for the loss of normal derivatives by utilizing the estimates of the linearized divergences and vorticities. From equation (4.16a), we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_3 W_\pm^{\text{nc}} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = F^\pm - \mathcal{A}_0^\pm \partial_t W^\pm - \mathcal{A}_1^\pm \partial_1 W^\pm - \mathcal{A}_2^\pm \partial_2 W^\pm - \mathcal{A}_4^\pm W^\pm. \quad (4.35)$$

This leads to

$$\|\partial_3 W^{\text{nc}}\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T))} \lesssim \|(F, \check{c}_1 \partial_t W, \check{c}_1 \partial_1 W, \check{c}_1 \partial_2 W, \check{c}_2 W)\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T))}.$$

It follows from (2.22)–(2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_2 W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} &\lesssim \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_2\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} + \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_2\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\check{\mathcal{C}}_1 W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_1 \nabla_{t,x_1,x_2} W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} &\lesssim \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_1 W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\nabla_{t,x_1,x_2} \check{\mathcal{C}}_1 W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_1 W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\check{\mathcal{C}}_2 W\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_3 W^{\text{nc}}\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m-1}(\omega_T))} &\lesssim \|F\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\Omega_T)} + \|W\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^m(\omega_T))} \\ &\quad + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T))} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Next, we introduce the linearized divergences and vorticities, whose estimates allow us to recover the normal derivatives of the characteristic variables

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (1 + (\partial_2 \Phi)^2) \dot{v}_1 - (\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi) \dot{v}_2 + \partial_1 \Phi \dot{v}_3 \right], \\ W_2 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (-\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi) \dot{v}_1 + (1 + (\partial_1 \Phi)^2) \dot{v}_2 + \partial_2 \Phi \dot{v}_3 \right], \\ W_5 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (1 + (\partial_2 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{11} - (\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi) \dot{F}_{21} + \partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{31} \right], \\ W_6 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ ((-\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi) \dot{F}_{11} - (1 + (\partial_1 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{21} + \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{31}) \right], \\ W_7 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} (-\partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{11} - \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{21} + \dot{F}_{31}), \\ W_8 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (1 + (\partial_2 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{12} - \partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{22} + \partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{32} \right], \\ W_9 &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (-\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi) \dot{F}_{12} + (1 + (\partial_1 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{22} + \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{32} \right], \\ W_{10} &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} (-\partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{12} - \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{22} + \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{32}), \\ W_{11} &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ (1 + (\partial_2 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{13} - \partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{23} + \partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{33} \right], \\ W_{12} &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} \left[ -\partial_1 \Phi \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{13} + (1 + (\partial_1 \Phi)^2) \dot{F}_{23} + \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{33} \right], \\ W_{13} &= \frac{1}{\langle \partial_{\tan \Phi} \rangle^2} (-\partial_1 \Phi \dot{F}_{13} - \partial_2 \Phi \dot{F}_{23} + \dot{F}_{33}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

according to the transformation given in (4.15).



4.5. **Divergences.** Inspired by the involutions in (2.15), we introduce the linearized divergences  $\zeta_j^\pm$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  as follows:

$$\zeta_j^\pm := \partial_i^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \left( \check{\rho}_\pm \check{F}_{ij}^\pm + \check{F}_{ij}^\pm \check{\rho}^\pm \right), \quad (4.38)$$

where the partial derivatives  $\partial_i^{\check{\Phi}^\pm}$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are defined in (2.16). We now present the following estimates for  $\zeta_1^\pm$ ,  $\zeta_2^\pm$  and  $\zeta_3^\pm$ .

**Lemma 4.2** (Estimates for the divergences). *If the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 hold, then there exists a constant  $\gamma_m \geq 1$ , independent of  $T$ , such that*

$$\gamma \|(\zeta_1^\pm, \zeta_2^\pm, \zeta_3^\pm)\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|(W, f)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T)} \|(W, f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}, \quad (4.39)$$

for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$  and solutions  $(W, \psi) \in H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T) \times H_\gamma^{m+2}(\omega_T)$  to the problem (4.16).

*Proof.* The equations for  $\check{F}_{ij}$  in (4.10a) can be written as

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \check{F}_{ij} - \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \check{v}_i = \check{c}_0 f + \check{c}_1 \check{V}. \quad (4.40)$$

Using equations (4.23) and (4.40), we apply the operator  $\partial_i^{\check{\Phi}}$  and use

$$\check{\rho} \check{F}_{\ell 1} \partial_i^{\check{\Phi}} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \check{v}_i - \check{\rho} \check{F}_{i 1} \partial_i^{\check{\Phi}} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \check{v}_\ell = \check{\rho} \check{F}_{i 1} [\partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}, \partial_i^{\check{\Phi}}] \check{v}_\ell = \check{c}_2 \nabla \check{V}$$

to obtain that

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \zeta_j = \check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W. \quad (4.41)$$

Applying operator  $e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m-1$  to (4.41) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) (e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \zeta_j) + \gamma e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \zeta_j \\ &= e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha (\check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W) - e^{-\gamma t} [\partial^\alpha, \partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \zeta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last identity by  $e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \zeta_j$  and integrating over  $\Omega_T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|\partial^\alpha \zeta_j\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} &\lesssim \|\partial^\alpha (\check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \\ &\quad + \|[\partial^\alpha, \partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \zeta_j\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

for  $\gamma \geq 1$  sufficiently large, where we have used the constraints (4.3b) and

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) = \partial_t + \check{v}_1 \partial_1 + \check{v}_2 \partial_2 \quad \text{if } x_3 \geq 0.$$

Using Moser-type calculus inequality (2.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha (\check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} &\lesssim \|(\check{c}_1 \partial^\alpha \nabla f, \check{c}_1 \partial^\alpha f)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} + \|([\partial^\alpha, \check{c}_1] \nabla f, [\partial^\alpha, \check{c}_1] f)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H_\gamma^{|\alpha|+1}(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{|\alpha|+2}(\Omega_T)} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Notice that  $\zeta_j = \check{c}_1 W + \check{c}_1 \nabla W$ , we apply Moser-type calculus inequalities (2.24)–(2.25) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial^\alpha (\check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} + \|[\partial^\alpha, \partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \zeta_j\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \\ & \lesssim \|(\check{c}_2 \partial^\alpha \nabla W, \check{c}_2 \partial^\alpha W, [\partial^\alpha, \check{c}_2] W, [\partial^\alpha, \check{c}_2] \nabla W, [\partial^\alpha, \check{c}_1] \nabla^2 W)\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \\ & \lesssim \|W\|_{H_\gamma^{|\alpha|+1}(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{|\alpha|+3}(\Omega_T)} \|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Substituting (4.43) and (4.44) into (4.42) yields the following estimate:

$$\gamma^{m-|\alpha|} \|\partial^\alpha \zeta_j\|_{L_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|(W, f)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T)} \|(W, f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)},$$

from which we obtain estimate (4.39) and complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

4.6. **Vorticities.** The linearized vorticities  $\xi_j^\pm$  for the velocities  $v^\pm$ , and the linearized vorticities  $\eta_j^\pm$  for the columns of the deformation gradient, are defined as follows:

$$\xi_1^\pm := \partial_2^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_3^\pm - \partial_3^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_2^\pm, \quad (4.45)$$

$$\xi_2^\pm := \partial_3^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_1^\pm - \partial_1^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_3^\pm, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\xi_3^\pm := \partial_1^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_2^\pm - \partial_2^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} v_1^\pm, \quad (4.47)$$

$$\eta_1^\pm := \partial_2^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{3j}^\pm - \partial_3^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{2j}^\pm, \quad (4.48)$$

$$\eta_2^\pm := \partial_3^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{1j}^\pm - \partial_1^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{3j}^\pm, \quad (4.49)$$

$$\eta_3^\pm := \partial_1^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{2j}^\pm - \partial_2^{\check{\Phi}^\pm} \dot{F}_{1j}^\pm, \quad (4.50)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . The estimates of  $\xi_j^\pm, \eta_j^\pm$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  are provided by the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3** (Estimates for the vorticities). *If the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 hold, then there exists a constant  $\gamma_m \geq 1$ , independent of  $T$ , such that*

$$\gamma \|(\xi_j^\pm, \eta_j^\pm)\|_{H_\gamma^{m-1}(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|(W, f)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T)} \|(W, f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}, \quad (4.51)$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$  and solutions  $(W, \psi) \in H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T) \times H_\gamma^{m+2}(\omega_T)$  of problem (4.16).

*Proof.* The equations for  $\dot{v}_1, \dot{v}_2$ , and  $\dot{v}_3$  in (4.10a) are given by

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \dot{v}_i - \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \dot{F}_{ij} + \frac{c(\check{\rho})^2}{\check{\rho}} \partial_i^{\check{\Phi}} \dot{\rho} = \check{c}_0 f + \check{c}_1 \dot{V}, \quad (4.52)$$

which leads to the transport equation

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \xi_j - \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \eta_j = \check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W, \quad (4.53)$$

and similarly from (4.40), we have

$$(\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \eta_j - \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} \xi_j = \check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W. \quad (4.54)$$

Next, apply the operator  $e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m - 1$  to (4.53) (resp. (4.54)) and multiply the resulting identity by  $e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \xi_j$  (resp.  $e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \eta_j$ ) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 |e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \xi_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 |e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \eta_j|^2 \right\} \\ & - \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} (e^{-2\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \xi_j \partial^\alpha \eta_j) + \gamma \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 |e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \xi_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 |e^{-\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \eta_j|^2 \right\} \\ & = e^{-2\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \xi_j \left\{ \partial^\alpha (\check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W) - [\partial^\alpha, \partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \xi_j \right\} \\ & + e^{-2\gamma t} \partial^\alpha \eta_j \left\{ \partial^\alpha (\check{c}_1 \nabla f + \check{c}_1 f + \check{c}_2 \nabla W + \check{c}_2 W) - [\partial^\alpha, \partial_t^{\check{\Phi}} + \check{v}_\ell \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \eta_j \right\} \\ & + e^{-2\gamma t} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \xi_j [\partial^\alpha, \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \eta_j + \partial^\alpha \eta_j [\partial^\alpha, \check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}}] \xi_j \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

It follows from the constraints in (4.3c) that

$$\check{F}_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^{\check{\Phi}} = \check{F}_{1j} \partial_1 + \check{F}_{2j} \partial_2, \quad x_3 \geq 0.$$

We now integrate the identity (4.55) over  $\Omega_T$  and perform a similar analysis as for  $\zeta_j$  in Lemma 4.2 to obtain the desired estimates (4.51). The proof of the lemma is thus complete.  $\square$

**4.7. Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Thanks to Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we can derive the estimates for the normal derivative of characteristic variables defined by (4.37). More precisely, in view of (4.37), (4.45) and (4.46), and (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1^\pm &= -\frac{1}{\partial_3 \check{\Phi}^\pm} \partial_3 (\langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\Phi}^\pm \rangle^2 W_1^\pm) + \check{c}_1 \partial_1 W + \check{c}_1 \partial_2 W + \check{c}_2 W, \\ \xi_2^\pm &= -\frac{1}{\partial_3 \check{\Phi}^\pm} \partial_3 (\langle \partial_{\tan} \check{\Phi}^\pm \rangle^2 W_2^\pm) + \check{c}_1 \partial_1 W + \check{c}_1 \partial_2 W + \check{c}_2 W,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\partial_3 W_{1,2}^\pm = \check{c}_1 \xi_j^\pm + \check{c}_1 \partial_1 W + \check{c}_2 \partial_2 W + \check{c}_2 W. \quad (4.56)$$

Similarly, it follows from (4.38) and (4.48) that

$$\partial_3 \dot{F}_{ij}^\pm = \check{c}_1 \zeta_j^\pm + \check{c}_1 \eta_j^\pm + \check{c}_1 \partial_1 W + \check{c}_1 \partial_2 W + \check{c}_2 W, \quad (4.57)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ . Using identities (4.56)–(4.57), we apply Moser-type calculus inequalities (2.22)–(2.25) and use (4.36), (4.39), and (4.51) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \partial_3^k W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m-k}(\omega_T))} &\lesssim \|W\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^m(\omega_T))} + \gamma^{-1} \|(W, f)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ &\quad + \gamma^{-1} \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T)} \|(W, f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}\end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

holds for  $k = 1$ .

Using identities (4.35), (4.56), and (4.57), we can combine estimates (4.38) and (4.51) to prove (4.58) by finite induction in  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Since

$$\|W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \sim \sum_{k=0}^m \left\| \partial_3^k W \right\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m-k}(\omega_T))},$$

we utilize (4.24) and (4.58) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma^{1/2} \|W\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|W^{\text{nc}}|_{x_3=0}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \\ &\lesssim \gamma^{-1/2} \|f\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \gamma^{-3/2} \|f\|_{L^2(H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T))} + \gamma^{-1} \|g\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \\ &\quad + \gamma^{-1} \|(W, f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+3}(\Omega_T)} + \gamma^{-1} \|(W^{\text{nc}}, \psi)\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\omega_T)},\end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

for  $\gamma$  sufficiently large.

Theorem 4.3 establishes the well-posedness of the effective linear problem (4.10) for the source terms  $(f^\pm, g) \in L^2(H^1(\omega_T)) \times H^1(\omega_T)$  vanishing in the past. Building on the results in [9, 47], we can use the tame estimate (4.59) to reformulate Theorem 4.3 as a well-posedness statement for (4.10) in  $H^m$ . Specifically, as shown in Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique solution  $(\dot{V}^\pm, \psi) \in H^m(\Omega_T) \times H^{m+1}(\omega_T)$ , which vanishes in the past and satisfies (4.59) for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma_m$ .

The tame estimate (4.19) can be derived as follows. By applying the Sobolev embedding inequalities,  $\|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \|W\|_{H^3(\Omega_T)}$  and  $\|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^3(\omega_T)}$ , as well as (4.59) with  $m = 3$ , we obtain:

$$\|W\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)} \leq C_{T,\gamma} \left( \|f\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} + \|g\|_{H_\gamma^3(\omega_T)} \right). \quad (4.60)$$

Substituting (4.60) into (4.59) gives the tame estimate (4.19), thus completing the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

## 5. COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS AND APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

To apply Theorem 4.1 in the general setting, we follow the approach in [25], and transform the original nonlinear problem (2.6)–(2.11) into a form with zero initial data. To achieve this, we introduce approximate solutions that incorporate the initial data into the interior equations. The construction of smooth approximate solutions imposes necessary compatibility conditions on the initial data.

**5.1. Compatibility Conditions.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 3$ . Assume that the initial data  $(U_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  satisfy  $\tilde{U}_0^\pm := U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm \in H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  and  $\varphi_0 \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $(\tilde{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  has the following compact support,

$$\text{supp } \tilde{U}_0^\pm \subset \{x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 1\}, \quad \text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]. \quad (5.1)$$

Using the trace theorem, we can construct  $\tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm = \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm \in H^{m+3/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  satisfying

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm|_{x_3=0} = \varphi_0, \quad \text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm \subset \{x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 2\}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm\|_{H^{m+3/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Define  $\Phi_0^\pm := \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm + \bar{\Phi}_0^\pm$ , which represents the initial data for the problem (2.6),

$$\Phi^\pm|_{t=0} = \Phi_0^\pm. \quad (5.4)$$

By (5.3) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\pm \partial_3 \Phi_0^\pm \geq 7/8 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3, \quad (5.5)$$

for sufficiently small  $\varphi_0$  in  $H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Let the perturbation be denoted by  $(\tilde{U}^\pm, \tilde{\Phi}^\pm) := (U^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm, \Phi^\pm - \bar{\Phi}^\pm)$ , and define the traces of the  $k$ -th order time derivatives at  $\{t = 0\}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{U}_{(k)}^\pm := \partial_t^k \tilde{U}^\pm|_{t=0}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^\pm := \partial_t^k \tilde{\Phi}^\pm|_{t=0} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.6)$$

Note that  $\tilde{U}_{(0)}^\pm = \tilde{U}_0^\pm$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{(0)}^\pm = \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}^\pm := (\tilde{U}^\pm, \nabla_x \tilde{U}^\pm, \nabla_x \tilde{\Phi}^\pm)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{42}$ , then the first equation in (2.6) and the equation (2.11a) can be written as

$$\partial_t \tilde{\Phi}^\pm = \mathbf{G}_1(\mathcal{W}^\pm), \quad \partial_t \tilde{U}^\pm = \mathbf{G}_2(\mathcal{W}^\pm), \quad (5.7)$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_1$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2$  are two  $C^\infty$  functions vanishing at the origin. Next, we apply  $\partial_t^k$  to (5.7), take the initial traces, and use the generalized Faà di Bruno's formula (see [43, Theorem 2.1]) to obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{(k+1)}^\pm = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^{42}, |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_k| = k} D^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k} \mathbf{G}_1(\mathcal{W}_{(0)}^\pm) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{k!}{\alpha_i!} \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{(i)}^\pm}{i!} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\tilde{U}_{(k+1)}^\pm = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^{42}, |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_k| = k} D^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k} \mathbf{G}_2(\mathcal{W}_{(0)}^\pm) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{k!}{\alpha_i!} \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{(i)}^\pm}{i!} \right)^{\alpha_i}, \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_{(i)}^\pm$  denote the traces  $(\tilde{U}_{(i)}^\pm, \nabla_x \tilde{U}_{(i)}^\pm, \nabla_x \tilde{\Phi}_{(i)}^\pm)$ . This leads to the following lemma (*cf.* [39, Lemma 4.2.1]).

**Lemma 5.1.** *If (5.1)–(5.5) hold, then relations (5.8) and (5.9) determine  $\tilde{U}_{(k)}^\pm \in H^{m+1/2-k}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^\pm \in H^{m+3/2-k}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m+1$ , which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \tilde{U}_{(k)}^\pm &\subset \{x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 1\}, \quad \text{supp } \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^\pm \subset \{x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 2\}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^m \|\tilde{U}_{(k)}^\pm\|_{H^{m+1/2-k}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \|\tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^\pm\|_{H^{m+3/2-k}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \\ &\leq C \left( \|\tilde{U}_0^\pm\|_{H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  depending solely upon  $\|(\tilde{U}_0^\pm, \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}$  and  $m$ .

To guarantee the smoothness of the approximate solutions, the initial data must satisfy the following compatibility conditions.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_0^\pm := U_0^\pm - \bar{U}_0^\pm \in H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  and  $\varphi_0 \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfy (5.1). The initial data  $U_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  are said to be compatible up to order  $m$  if there exist functions  $\tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm \in H^{m+3/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  satisfying (5.2)–(5.5) and

$$F_{3j,0}^\pm = F_{1j,0}^\pm \partial_1 \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm + F_{2j,0}^\pm \partial_2 \tilde{\Phi}_0^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (5.11)$$

such that functions  $\tilde{U}_{(0)}^\pm, \dots, \tilde{U}_{(m)}^\pm, \tilde{\Phi}_{(0)}^\pm, \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{(m+1)}^\pm$  determined by (5.6) and (5.8)–(5.9) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^+ - \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^-)|_{x_3=0} &= 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m, \\ (\tilde{\rho}_{(k)}^+ - \tilde{\rho}_{(k)}^-)|_{x_3=0} &= 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m-1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\tilde{\Phi}_{(m+1)}^+ - \tilde{\Phi}_{(m+1)}^-|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \frac{dx_3}{x_3} &< \infty, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\tilde{\rho}_{(m)}^+ - \tilde{\rho}_{(m)}^-|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \frac{dx_3}{x_3} &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**5.2. Approximate Solutions.** Following the approach in [25], we now introduce approximate solutions that satisfy the problem (2.6)–(2.11) in the sense of Taylor's expansions at  $t = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 3$ . Assume that  $\tilde{U}_0^\pm := U_0^\pm - \bar{U}_0^\pm \in H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  and  $\varphi_0 \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfy (5.1), and that initial data  $U_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  are compatible up to order  $m$ . If  $\tilde{U}_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  are sufficiently small, then there exist functions  $U^{a\pm}, \Phi^{a\pm}$ , and  $\varphi^a$  such that  $\tilde{U}^{a\pm} := U^{a\pm} - \bar{U}^\pm \in H^m(\Omega)$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm} := \Phi^{a\pm} - \bar{\Phi}^\pm \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi^a \in H^{m+3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , and*

$$\partial_t^j \mathbb{L}(U^{a\pm}, \Phi^{a\pm})|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, m-2, \quad (5.14a)$$

$$\partial_t \Phi^{a\pm} + v_1^{a\pm} \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} + v_2^{a\pm} \partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm} - v_3^{a\pm} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (5.14b)$$

$$\pm \partial_3 \Phi^{a\pm} \geq 3/4 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (5.14c)$$

$$\Phi^{a+} = \Phi^{a-} = \varphi^a \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (5.14d)$$

$$\mathbb{B}(U^{a+}, U^{a-}, \varphi^a) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (5.14e)$$

$$F_{3j}^{a\pm} = F_{1j}^{a\pm} \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} + F_{2j}^{a\pm} \partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm} \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5.14f)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{U}^{a\pm}, \tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm}) \subset \{t \in [-T, T], x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 3\}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{U}^{a\pm}\|_{H^m(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm}\|_{H^{m+2}(\Omega)} + \|\varphi^a\|_{H^{m+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ & \leq \varepsilon_0 \left( \|\tilde{U}_0^\pm\|_{H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where we write  $\varepsilon_0(\cdot)$  as a generic function that tends to zero as its argument tends to zero.

*Proof.* The proof is divided into four steps.

*Step 1.* First we consider  $\tilde{\rho}^{a-}, \tilde{v}_1^{a\pm}, \tilde{v}_2^{a\pm} \in H^{m+1}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}^{a-} \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t^k \tilde{\rho}^{a-}, \partial_t^k \tilde{v}_1^{a\pm}, \partial_t^k \tilde{v}_2^{a\pm})|_{t=0} &= (\tilde{\rho}_{(k)}^-, \tilde{v}_{1(k)}^\pm, \tilde{v}_{2(k)}^\pm), & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \partial_t^k \tilde{\Phi}^{a-}|_{t=0} &= \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^-, & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m+1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_{(k)}^-, \tilde{v}_{1(k)}^\pm, \tilde{v}_{2(k)}^\pm$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^-$  are constructed in Lemma 5.1. Utilizing the compatibility conditions (5.12)–(5.13), we apply the lifting result from [35, Theorem 2.3] to select  $\tilde{\rho}^{a+} \in H^{m+1}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}^{a+} \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^k \tilde{\rho}^{a+}|_{t=0} &= \tilde{\rho}_{(k)}^+, & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \partial_t^k \tilde{\Phi}^{a+}|_{t=0} &= \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^+, & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m+1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[\tilde{\rho}^a] = 0, \quad [\tilde{\Phi}^a] = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Furthermore,  $\tilde{\rho}^{a\pm}, \tilde{v}_1^{a\pm}, \tilde{v}_2^{a\pm}$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm}$  can be chosen to satisfy (5.15), since  $(\tilde{U}_{(k)}^\pm, \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^\pm)$  have a compact support.

*Step 2.* Now, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a &= \tilde{\Phi}^{a+}|_{x_3=0} = \tilde{\Phi}^{a-}|_{x_3=0} \in H^{m+3/2}(\partial\Omega), \\ \tilde{v}_3^{a\pm} &= \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm} + (\tilde{v}_1^{a\pm} \pm \bar{v}) \partial_1 \tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm} + (\tilde{v}_2^{a\pm} \pm \bar{v}) \partial_2 \tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm} \in H^{m+1}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that the functions  $\tilde{v}_3^{a\pm}$  satisfy (5.15), and (5.14b), (5.14d), and (5.14e) hold.

*Step 3.* Since  $\tilde{v}^{a\pm} \in H^{m+1}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm} \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$  are already specified, we take  $\tilde{F}_{ij}^{a\pm} \in H^m(\Omega)$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , as the unique solution of the transport equation

$$(\partial_t^{\Phi^{a\pm}} + v_\ell^{a\pm} \partial_\ell^{\Phi^{a\pm}}) \tilde{F}_{ij}^{a\pm} - F_{\ell j}^{a\pm} \partial_\ell^{\Phi^{a\pm}} v_i^{a\pm} = 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}, \quad (5.17)$$

supplemented with the initial data:

$$\tilde{F}_{ij}^{a\pm}|_{t=0} = \tilde{F}_{ij(0)}^\pm \in H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3). \quad (5.18)$$

From equations (5.11) and (5.18), it follows that the constraints (5.14f) are satisfied at the initial time. Consequently, as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we deduce that (5.14f) holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Step 4.* Equations (5.8)–(5.9) imply (5.14a). The estimate (5.16) is derived from (5.10) and the continuity of the lifting operator. Using (5.16) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we deduce (5.14c), provided that the initial perturbations are sufficiently small. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We define  $U^a := (U^{a+}, U^{a-})^\top$  and  $\Phi^a := (\Phi^{a+}, \Phi^{a-})^\top$  for simplicity. The vector  $(U^a, \Phi^a)$  constructed in Lemma 5.2 serves as an *approximate solution* to (2.6)–(2.11). From (5.14d) and

(5.15), it is clear that  $\varphi^a$  is supported within the region  $\{-T \leq t \leq T, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 3\}$ . Applying (5.16) and the Sobolev embedding theorem yields the following estimate:

$$\|\tilde{U}^{a\pm}\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm}\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_0 \left( \|\tilde{U}_0^\pm\|_{H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right)$$

for any integer  $m \geq 4$ .

Next, we rewrite the system (2.6)–(2.11) as a problem with zero initial data. Define the function  $f^a$  as follows:  $f^a = -\mathbb{L}(U^a, \Phi^a)$  for  $t > 0$ , and  $f^a = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t < 0$ . Thus,  $f^a \in H^{m-1}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{supp} f^a \subset \{0 \leq t \leq T, x_3 \geq 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 3\}$ , as implied by (5.14a), (5.15), and  $(\tilde{U}^{a\pm}, \nabla \tilde{\Phi}^{a\pm}) \in H^m(\Omega)$ . Using Moser-type calculus inequalities and (5.16), we obtain:

$$\|f^a\|_{H^{m-1}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_0 \left( \|\tilde{U}_0^\pm\|_{H^{m+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

Finally, based on (5.14), the solution to the original problem (2.6)–(2.11) on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+^3$  is expressed as  $(U, \Phi) = (U^a, \Phi^a) + (V, \Psi)$ , where  $V = (V^+, V^-)^\top$  and  $\Psi = (\Psi^+, \Psi^-)^\top$  solve the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(V, \Psi) := \mathbb{L}(U^a + V, \Phi^a + \Psi) - \mathbb{L}(U^a, \Phi^a) = f^a & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathcal{E}(V, \Psi) := \partial_t \Psi + (v_1^a + v_1) \partial_1 \Psi + v_1 \partial_1 \Phi^a + (v_2^a + v_2) \partial_2 \Psi + v_2 \partial_2 \Phi^a - v_3 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathcal{B}(V, \psi) := \mathbb{B}(U^a + V, \varphi^a + \psi) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \Psi^+ = \Psi^- = \psi & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ (V, \Psi) = \mathbf{0} & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

Thus, solving the problem (5.20) on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+^3$  completes the problem.

## 6. NASH–MOSER ITERATION

In this section, we analyze the problem (5.20) through a suitable modification of the Nash–Moser iteration scheme. First, we outline the iterative scheme for problem (5.20) and present the corresponding inductive hypothesis. We then complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 by demonstrating that the inductive hypothesis holds for all integers. It is worth noting that this section follows closely the standard procedure outlined in [16, 25], also see [2, 12, 48].

**6.1. Iterative Scheme.** We start by recalling the following result from [25, Proposition 4].

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $T > 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 4$ . Then there exists a family of smoothing operators  $\{\mathcal{S}_\theta\}_{\theta \geq 1}$  such that*

$$\mathcal{S}_\theta : \mathcal{F}_\gamma^3(\Omega_T) \times \mathcal{F}_\gamma^3(\Omega_T) \longrightarrow \bigcap_{s \geq 3} \mathcal{F}_\gamma^s(\Omega_T) \times \mathcal{F}_\gamma^s(\Omega_T),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^s(\Omega_T) := \{u \in H_\gamma^s(\Omega_T) : u = 0 \text{ if } t < 0\}$  for  $s \geq 0$ . These operators satisfy the following estimates:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\theta u\|_{H_\gamma^k(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \theta^{(k-j)_+} \|u\|_{H_\gamma^j(\Omega_T)} \quad \text{for } j, k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (6.1a)$$

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\theta u - u\|_{H_\gamma^k(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \theta^{k-j} \|u\|_{H_\gamma^j(\Omega_T)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq j \leq m, \quad (6.1b)$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathcal{S}_\theta u \right\|_{H_\gamma^k(\Omega_T)} \lesssim \theta^{k-j-1} \|u\|_{H_\gamma^j(\Omega_T)} \quad \text{for } j, k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (6.1c)$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\theta u - \mathcal{S}_\theta w\|_{H_\gamma^k(\omega_T)} \lesssim \theta^{(k+1-j)_+} \|u - w\|_{H_\gamma^j(\omega_T)} \quad \text{for } j, k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (6.2)$$

where  $j$  and  $k$  are integers, and  $(k - j)_+ := \max\{0, k - j\}$ . In particular, if  $u = w$  on  $\omega_T$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_\theta u = \mathcal{S}_\theta w$  on  $\omega_T$ . Furthermore, smoothing operators can also be constructed for functions defined on  $\omega_T$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_\theta$  for simplicity), which satisfy the inequalities (6.1), with norms  $\|\cdot\|_{H_\gamma^s(\omega_T)}$ .

The following lemma establishes a lifting operator that will be used in constructing the iterative scheme and the modified state (see [28, Chapter 5] and [25] for the proof).

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $T > 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . Then there exists a continuous operator  $\mathcal{R}_T$  mapping  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^s(\omega_T)$  to  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{s+1/2}(\Omega_T)$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{R}_T u)|_{x_3=0} = u$  when  $u \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma^s(\omega_T)$  for all  $s \in [1, m]$ .*

Following [12, 25], we now describe the iteration scheme for problem (5.20). Let  $N \geq 1$  be a given integer.

We begin by setting  $(V_0, \Psi_0, \psi_0) = \mathbf{0}$  and assume that  $(V_n, \Psi_n, \psi_n)$  is given and satisfies

$$(V_n, \Psi_n, \psi_n)|_{t < 0} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \Psi_n^+|_{x_3=0} = \Psi_n^-|_{x_3=0} = \psi_n \quad \text{for } n = 0, \dots, N. \quad (6.3)$$

Next, we define the iteration scheme:

$$V_{N+1} = V_N + \delta V_N, \quad \Psi_{N+1} = \Psi_N + \delta \Psi_N, \quad \psi_{N+1} = \psi_N + \delta \psi_N, \quad (6.4)$$

where the increments  $\delta V_N$ ,  $\delta \Psi_N$ , and  $\delta \psi_N$  are determined by the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}'_e(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})\delta \dot{V}_N = f_N & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta \dot{V}_N, \delta \psi_N) = g_N & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ (\delta \dot{V}_N, \delta \psi_N) = \mathbf{0} & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

Here, the operators  $\mathbb{L}'_e$  and  $\mathbb{B}'_e$  are defined in (4.10a) and (4.10b), respectively. The pair  $(V_{N+1/2}, \Psi_{N+1/2})$  represents a modified state such that  $(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})$  satisfies constraints (4.2)–(4.3). The source term  $(f_N, g_N)$  will be determined later on. For a detailed construction of the modified state, see Section 6.3. Following (4.9), we write

$$\delta \dot{V}_N := \delta V_N - \frac{\partial_3(U^a + V_{N+1/2})}{\partial_3(\Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})} \delta \Psi_N. \quad (6.6)$$

Then, we set  $f_0 := \mathcal{S}_{\theta_0} f^a$  and  $(e_0, \tilde{e}_0, g_0) := \mathbf{0}$  for sufficiently large  $\theta_0 \geq 1$ . Let  $(f_n, g_n, e_n, \tilde{e}_n)$  be given and vanish in the past for  $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ . The terms  $f_N$  and  $g_N$  are determined by the equations

$$\sum_{n=0}^N f_n + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} E_N = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} f^a, \quad \sum_{n=0}^N g_n + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \tilde{E}_N = \mathbf{0}, \quad (6.7)$$

where

$$E_N := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e_n \in \mathbb{R}^{26}, \quad \tilde{E}_N := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{e}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (6.8)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}$  are the smoothing operators from Proposition 6.1, with  $\{\theta_N\}$  defined as

$$\theta_0 \geq 1, \quad \theta_N = \sqrt{\theta_0^2 + N}. \quad (6.9)$$

As a consequence, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to solve  $(\delta \dot{V}_N, \delta \psi_N)$  for problem (6.5).

Noticing (6.6), we need to construct functions  $\delta \Psi_N^+$  and  $\delta \Psi_N^-$  such that  $\delta \Psi_N^\pm|_{x_3=0} = \delta \psi_N$ . From the boundary conditions in (6.5) (cf. (4.7), (4.8), and (4.11)), we obtain that  $\delta \psi_N$  satisfies

$$\partial_t(\delta \psi_N) + U_{N+1/2,2}^+ \partial_1(\delta \psi_N) + U_{N+1/2,3}^+ \partial_2(\delta \psi_N)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left( \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,2}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,3}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} - \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,4}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} \right) \delta\psi_N \\
& \quad + \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \delta\dot{V}_{N,2}^+ + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \delta\dot{V}_{N,3}^+ - \delta\dot{V}_{N,4}^+ = g_{N,2} \quad \text{on } \omega_T, \\
& \partial_t(\delta\psi_N) + U_{N+1/2,2}^- \partial_1(\delta\psi_N) + U_{N+1/2,3}^- \partial_2(\delta\psi_N) \\
& + \left( \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,2}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,3}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} - \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,4}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} \right) \delta\psi_N \\
& \quad + \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \delta\dot{V}_{N,2}^- + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \delta\dot{V}_{N,3}^- - \delta\dot{V}_{N,4}^- = g_{N,2} - g_{N,1} \quad \text{on } \omega_T,
\end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$U_{N+1/2}^\pm := U^{a\pm} + V_{N+1/2}^\pm, \quad \Phi_{N+1/2}^\pm := \Phi^{a\pm} + \Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm$$

for simplifying the presentation. In accordance with the identities above, we take  $\delta\Psi_N^+$  and  $\delta\Psi_N^-$  as the solutions to the transport equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t(\delta\Psi_N^+) + U_{N+1/2,2}^+ \partial_1(\delta\Psi_N^+) + U_{N+1/2,3}^+ \partial_2(\delta\Psi_N^+) \\
& + \left( \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,2}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,3}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} - \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,4}^+}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+} \right) \delta\Psi_N^+ \\
& \quad + \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \delta\dot{V}_{N,2}^+ + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^+ \delta\dot{V}_{N,3}^+ - \delta\dot{V}_{N,4}^+ = \mathcal{R}_T g_{N,2} + h_N^+, \quad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t(\delta\Psi_N^-) + U_{N+1/2,2}^- \partial_1(\delta\Psi_N^-) + U_{N+1/2,3}^- \partial_2(\delta\Psi_N^-) \\
& + \left( \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,2}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,3}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} - \frac{\partial_3 U_{N+1/2,4}^-}{\partial_3 \Phi_{N+1/2}^-} \right) \delta\Psi_N^- \\
& \quad + \partial_1 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \delta\dot{V}_{N,2}^- + \partial_2 \Phi_{N+1/2}^- \delta\dot{V}_{N,3}^- - \delta\dot{V}_{N,4}^- = \mathcal{R}_T(g_{N,2} - g_{N,1}) + h_N^-. \quad (6.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{R}_T$  is the lifting operator from Lemma 6.1, and the source terms  $h_N^\pm$  will be chosen via a decomposition of the operator  $\mathcal{E}$ , as defined in (5.20).

Finally, we set  $(h_0^+, h_0^-, \hat{e}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ , and assume that  $(h_n^+, h_n^-, \hat{e}_n)$  are given and vanish in the past for  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ . Under these conditions, we determine  $h_N^+$  and  $h_N^-$  using the equations

$$\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \left( \widehat{E}_N^+ - \mathcal{R}_T \widetilde{E}_{N,2} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^N h_n^+ = 0, \quad (6.12a)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \left( \widehat{E}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T \widetilde{E}_{N,2} + \mathcal{R}_T \widetilde{E}_{N,1} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^N h_n^- = 0, \quad (6.12b)$$

where

$$\widehat{E}_N = (\widehat{E}_N^+, \widehat{E}_N^-)^\top = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{e}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (6.13)$$

and  $h_N^\pm = 0$  for  $t < 0$ . As in [28], we can show that the traces of  $h_N^\pm$  on  $\omega_T$  vanish. Consequently, it follows that  $\delta\Psi_N^\pm = 0$ , for  $t < 0$  and  $\delta\Psi_N^\pm|_{x_3=0} = \delta\psi_N$ . These are the unique smooth

solutions satisfying transport equations (6.10)–(6.11). Hence,  $\delta V_N$  can be derived from (6.6) and  $(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})$  can be derived from (6.4).

From (6.8)–(6.7) and (6.12)–(6.13), it suffices to define the error terms  $e_N$ ,  $\tilde{e}_N$ , and  $\hat{e}_N$ . To this end, by an analogous argument in [12, 25], we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{L}(V_N, \Psi_N) \\ &= \mathbb{L}'_e(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})\delta\dot{V}_N + e'_N + e''_N + e'''_N + D_{N+1/2}\delta\Psi_N \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_N, \psi_N) \\ &= \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta\dot{V}_N, \delta\psi_N) + \tilde{e}'_N + \tilde{e}''_N + \tilde{e}'''_N, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e'_N &:= \mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{L}(V_N, \Psi_N) - \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N), \\ e''_N &:= \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N) - \mathbb{L}'(U^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \Phi^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N), \\ e'''_N &:= \mathbb{L}'(U^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \Phi^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N) - \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N), \\ D_{N+1/2} &:= (\partial_3(\Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}))^{-1} \partial_3 \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}'_N &:= \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_N, \psi_N) - \mathbb{B}'(U^a + V_N, \varphi^a + \psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\psi_N), \\ \tilde{e}''_N &:= \mathbb{B}'(U^a + V_N, \varphi^a + \psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\psi_N) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{B}'(U^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \varphi^a + (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)|_{x_3=0})(\delta V_N, \delta\psi_N), \\ \tilde{e}'''_N &:= \mathbb{B}'(U^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \varphi^a + (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)|_{x_3=0})(\delta V_N, \delta\psi_N) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta\dot{V}_N, \delta\psi_N). \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$e_N := e'_N + e''_N + e'''_N + D_{N+1/2}\delta\Psi_N, \quad \tilde{e}_N := \tilde{e}'_N + \tilde{e}''_N + \tilde{e}'''_N. \quad (6.17)$$

As for error term  $\hat{e}_N$ , we decompose

$$\mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N) = \mathcal{E}'(V_{N+1/2}, \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N) + \hat{e}'_N + \hat{e}''_N + \hat{e}'''_N, \quad (6.18)$$

and set

$$\hat{e}_N := \hat{e}'_N + \hat{e}''_N + \hat{e}'''_N, \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}'_N &:= \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N) - \mathcal{E}'(V_N, \Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N), \\ \hat{e}''_N &:= \mathcal{E}'(V_N, \Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N) - \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N), \\ \hat{e}'''_N &:= \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}V_N, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\Psi_N)(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N) - \mathcal{E}'(V_{N+1/2}, \Psi_{N+1/2})(\delta V_N, \delta\Psi_N). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.14b) that

$$\mathcal{E}(V, \Psi) = \partial_t(\Phi^a + \Psi) + (v_1^a + v_1)\partial_1(\Phi^a + \Psi) + (v_2^a + v_2)\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi) - (v_3^a + v_3).$$

Then we derive from (6.10)–(6.11) and (6.18) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) - \mathcal{E}(V_N^+, \Psi_N^+) \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) - \mathcal{E}(V_N^-, \Psi_N^-) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_T g_{N,2} + h_N^+ + \hat{e}_N^+ \\ \mathcal{R}_T (g_{N,2} - g_{N,1}) + h_N^- + \hat{e}_N^- \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, by  $\mathcal{E}(V_0, \Psi_0) = 0$ , one has

$$\mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) = \mathcal{R}_T \left( \sum_{n=0}^N (g_{n,2} - g_{n,1}) \right) + \sum_{n=0}^N h_n^- + \widehat{E}_{N+1}^-. \quad (6.20)$$

Moreover, from (6.5) and (6.15), we have

$$g_N = \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_N, \psi_N) - \tilde{e}_N. \quad (6.21)$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_j$  the  $j$ th component of the vector  $\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . From (5.20) and (2.13),

$$\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_2 = \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) |_{x_3=0} = \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) |_{x_3=0} + \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_1. \quad (6.22)$$

Using (6.21), we have

$$g_{N,2} - g_{N,1} = \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) |_{x_3=0} - \mathcal{E}(V_N^-, \Psi_N^-) |_{x_3=0} - \tilde{e}_{N,2} + \tilde{e}_{N,1}. \quad (6.23)$$

Then, (6.23) and (6.20) yield

$$\mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) = \mathcal{R}_T \left( \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) |_{x_3=0} - \tilde{E}_{N+1,2} + \tilde{E}_{N+1,1} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^N h_n^- + \widehat{E}_{N+1}^-, \quad (6.24)$$

and similarly,

$$\mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) = \mathcal{R}_T \left( \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) |_{x_3=0} - \tilde{E}_{N+1,2} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^N h_n^+ + \widehat{E}_{N+1}^+. \quad (6.25)$$

From (6.14) and (6.21), together with (6.5) and (6.7), one has

$$\mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) = \sum_{N=0}^N f_N + E_{N+1} = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} f^a + (I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}) E_N + e_N, \quad (6.26)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1}) = \sum_{N=0}^N g_N + \tilde{E}_{N+1} = (I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}) \tilde{E}_N + \tilde{e}_N. \quad (6.27)$$

Substituting (6.12) into (6.24)–(6.25) and using (6.22), we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) = \mathcal{R}_T (\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_2 - \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_1) \\ \quad + (I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}) (\widehat{E}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T (\tilde{E}_{N,2} - \tilde{E}_{N,1})) \\ \quad + \hat{e}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T (\tilde{e}_{N,2} - \tilde{e}_{N,1}), \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) = \mathcal{R}_T (\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1})_2) \\ \quad + (I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}) (\widehat{E}_N^+ - \mathcal{R}_T \tilde{E}_{N,2}) + \hat{e}_N^+ - \mathcal{R}_T \tilde{e}_{N,2}. \end{cases} \quad (6.28)$$

From  $\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \rightarrow Id$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that if the error terms  $(e_N, \tilde{e}_N, \hat{e}_N)$  tend to zero, then

$$(\mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}), \mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}, \psi_{N+1}), \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1})) \rightarrow (f^a, \mathbf{0}, 0),$$

thus, the solution to (5.20) can be obtained formally.

In order to estimate the error terms, we need to introduce the *inductive hypothesis* as follows. Let us take an integer  $\mu \geq 4$ , a small number  $\epsilon > 0$ , and another integer  $\tilde{\mu} > \mu$ , which will be determined later. Suppose that we have the estimate

$$\|\tilde{U}^a\|_{H_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\tilde{\mu}+4}(\Omega_T)} + \|\tilde{\Phi}^a\|_{H_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\tilde{\mu}+5}(\Omega_T)} + \|\varphi^a\|_{H_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\tilde{\mu}+9/2}(\omega_T)} + \|f^a\|_{H_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\tilde{\mu}+3}(\Omega_T)} \leq \epsilon, \quad (6.29)$$

then our inductive hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$  consists of the following four parts:

- (i)  $\|(\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\delta \psi_n\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_n^{m-\mu-1} \Delta_n, \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1, m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu},$
- (ii)  $\|\mathcal{L}(V_n, \Psi_n) - f^a\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq 2\epsilon \theta_n^{m-\mu-1}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1, m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1,$
- (iii)  $\|\mathcal{B}(V_n, \psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_n^{m-\mu-1}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1, m = 3, \dots, \mu,$
- (iv)  $\|\mathcal{E}(V_n, \Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_n^{2-\mu}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1,$

where  $\theta_n$  is given in (6.9) and  $\Delta_n := \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n$  decreases to zero with

$$\frac{1}{3\theta_n} \leq \Delta_n := \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n = \sqrt{\theta_n^2 + 1} - \theta_n \leq \frac{1}{2\theta_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6.30)$$

We will show that for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  and  $f^a$ , and for sufficiently large  $\theta_0 \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_0$  is true and  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$  implies  $\mathbf{H}_N$ , thus  $\mathbf{H}_N$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which will allow us to prove Theorem 2.1 completely.

Now we assume that  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$  holds, hence have the following estimates as in [25, Lemmas 6–7].

**Lemma 6.2.** *If  $\theta_0$  is sufficiently large, then*

$$\|(V_n, \Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\psi_n\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \begin{cases} \epsilon \theta_n^{(m-\mu)+}, & \text{if } m \neq \mu, \\ \epsilon \log \theta_n, & \text{if } m = \mu, \end{cases} \quad (6.31)$$

$$\|((I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n})V_n, (I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n})\Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon \theta_n^{m-\mu}, \quad (6.32)$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , and  $m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}$ . Furthermore,

$$\|(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}V_n, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}\Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq \begin{cases} C\epsilon \theta_n^{(m-\mu)+}, & \text{if } m \neq \mu, \\ C\epsilon \log \theta_n, & \text{if } m = \mu, \end{cases} \quad (6.33)$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , and  $m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 5$ .

**6.2. Estimate of the Quadratic and First Substitution Error Terms.** First, we rewrite quadratic error terms  $e'_n$ ,  $\tilde{e}'_n$ , and  $\hat{e}'_n$ , from (6.14), (6.15), and (6.18) respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_n &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}''(U^a + V_n + \tau \delta V_n, \Phi^a + \Psi_n + \tau \delta \Psi_n)((\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n), (\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n))(1 - \tau) d\tau, \\ \tilde{e}'_n &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{B}''(U^a + V_n + \tau \delta V_n, \varphi^a + \psi_n + \tau \delta \psi_n)((\delta V_n, \delta \psi_n), (\delta V_n, \delta \psi_n))(1 - \tau) d\tau, \\ \hat{e}'_n &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}''(V_n + \tau \delta V_n, \Psi_n + \tau \delta \Psi_n)((\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n), (\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n))(1 - \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{L}''$ ,  $\mathbb{B}''$ , and  $\mathcal{E}''$  are the second derivatives of operators  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  respectively. More precisely, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}'(\check{U}, \check{\Phi})((V, \Psi), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})) &:= \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{L}'(\check{U} + \theta \tilde{V}, \check{\Phi} + \theta \tilde{\Psi})(V, \Psi) \Big|_{\theta=0}, \\ \mathbb{B}'(\check{U}, \check{\varphi})((V, \psi), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi})) &:= \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{B}'(\check{U} + \theta \tilde{V}, \check{\varphi} + \theta \tilde{\psi})(V, \psi) \Big|_{\theta=0}, \\ \mathcal{E}'(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})((V, \Psi), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})) &:= \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathcal{E}'(\check{V} + \theta \tilde{V}, \check{\Psi} + \theta \tilde{\Psi})(V, \Psi) \Big|_{\theta=0}, \end{aligned}$$

where operators  $\mathbb{L}'$  and  $\mathbb{B}'$  are given in (4.4)–(4.5), and  $\mathcal{E}'$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}'(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})(V, \Psi) := \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathcal{E}'(\check{V} + \theta V, \check{\Psi} + \theta \Psi) \Big|_{\theta=0}.$$

In fact, in our case, we have the following:

$$\mathbb{B}''(\check{U}, \check{\varphi})((V, \psi), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi})) = \begin{bmatrix} [\tilde{v}_1] \partial_1 \psi + [\tilde{v}_2] \partial_2 \psi + \partial_1 \tilde{\psi} [v_1] + \partial_2 \tilde{\psi} [v_2] \\ \tilde{v}_1^+ |_{x_3=0} \partial_1 \psi + \tilde{v}_2^+ |_{x_3=0} \partial_2 \psi + \partial_1 \tilde{\psi} v_1^+ |_{x_3=0} + \partial_2 \tilde{\psi} v_2^+ |_{x_3=0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6.34)$$

$$\mathcal{E}''(\check{V}, \check{\Psi})((V, \Psi), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})) = \tilde{v}_1^+ \partial_1 \Psi + \partial_1 \tilde{\Psi} v_1^+ + \tilde{v}_2^+ \partial_2 \Psi + \partial_2 \tilde{\Psi} v_2^+. \quad (6.35)$$

A straightforward computation with an application of the Moser-type calculus inequality (2.22) yields the next proposition (see [25, Proposition 5]).

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 2$ . If  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})$  belongs to  $H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)$  for all  $\gamma \geq 1$  and satisfies  $\|(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq \tilde{K}$  for some positive constant  $\tilde{K}$ , then there exist two constants  $\tilde{K}_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$ , independent of  $T$  and  $\gamma$ , such that, if  $\tilde{K} \leq \tilde{K}_0$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{L}''(\bar{U} + \tilde{V}, \bar{\Phi} + \tilde{\Psi})((V_1, \Psi_1), (V_2, \Psi_2))\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ & \leq C \| (V_1, \Psi_1) \|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \| (V_2, \Psi_2) \|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \| (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi}) \|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i \neq j} \| (V_i, \Psi_i) \|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \| (V_j, \Psi_j) \|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{E}''(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Psi})((V_1, \Psi_1), (V_2, \Psi_2))\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ \|V_i\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \|\Psi_j\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} + \|V_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \|\Psi_j\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{B}''(\bar{U} + \tilde{V}, \bar{\psi})((W_1, \psi_1), (W_2, \psi_2))\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ \|W_i\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \|\psi_j\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)} + \|W_i\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|\psi_j\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(V_i, \Psi_i) \in H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)$  and  $(W_i, \psi_i) \in H_\gamma^m(\omega_T) \times H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , symbol  $\tilde{\psi}$  denotes the trace of  $\tilde{\Psi}$  on  $\omega_T$ , and  $(\bar{U}, \bar{\Phi})$  represents the background state defined by (2.17).

In light of (6.29)–(6.31) and the assumption  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$ , as shown in [25, Lemma 8] or [12, Lemma 8.3], we can apply Proposition 6.2, the Sobolev embedding theorem, and the trace estimate to derive the following estimate.

**Lemma 6.3.** *If  $\mu \geq 4$ , then there exist suitably small  $\epsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  such that*

$$\|(e'_n, \hat{e}'_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\tilde{e}'_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon^2 \theta_n^{\ell_1(m)-1} \Delta_n,$$

for  $m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}-1$ , and  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ , where  $\ell_1(m) := \max\{(m+1-\mu)_+ + 4 - 2\mu, m+2-2\mu\}$ .

For the first substitution error terms  $e''_n$ ,  $\tilde{e}''_n$ , and  $\hat{e}''_n$  defined in (6.14), (6.15), and (6.18), as in [25, Lemma 9] or [12, Lemma 8.4], we can apply Proposition 6.2 and use (6.29), (6.32)–(6.33), hypothesis  $(H_{n-1})$ , and the trace theorem to derive the next lemma.

**Lemma 6.4.** *If  $\mu \geq 4$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e''_n, \hat{e}''_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} & \leq C \epsilon^2 \theta_n^{\ell_2(m)-1} \Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}-1, \\ \|\tilde{e}''_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} & \leq C \epsilon^2 \theta_n^{\ell_2(m)-1} \Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}-2, \end{aligned}$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ , where

$$\ell_2(m) := \max\{(m+1-\mu)_+ + 6 - 2\mu, m+5-2\mu\}.$$

We emphasize that Proposition 6.2 reduces the estimate for  $\|\tilde{e}_n''\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)}$  to that for the terms involving  $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n})\Psi_n\|_{H_\gamma^{m+2}(\Omega_T)}$ , which requires condition  $m \leq \tilde{\mu} - 2$  in order to apply inequality (6.32).

**6.3. Construction and Estimate of the Modified State.** To control the remaining error terms, we construct and analyze the modified state  $(V_{N+1/2}, \Psi_{N+1/2}, \psi_{N+1/2})$ , as described in the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** *If  $\mu \geq 5$ , then there exist functions  $V_{N+1/2}$ ,  $\Phi_{N+1/2}$ , and  $\psi_{N+1/2}$ , which vanish in the past, such that  $(U^a + V_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}, \varphi^a + \psi_{N+1/2})$  satisfies (4.3b)–(4.3c), where  $(U^a, \Phi^a)$  is the approximate solution constructed in Lemma 5.2. Moreover,*

$$\Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_N^\pm, \quad \psi_{N+1/2} = (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_N^\pm)|_{x_3=0}, \quad (6.36)$$

$$v_{N+1/2,1}^\pm = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} v_{N,1}^\pm, \quad v_{N+1/2,2}^\pm = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} v_{N,2}^\pm, \quad (6.37)$$

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_N - V_{N+1/2}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon\theta_n^{m+2-\mu} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 3. \quad (6.38)$$

*Proof.* We divide the proof into four steps.

*Step 1.* It follows from (6.2)–(6.3) that  $(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_N^+)|_{x_3=0} = (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_N^-)|_{x_3=0}$ , and hence we can define  $\Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm$ ,  $\psi_{N+1/2}$ , and  $v_{N+1/2,1}^\pm, v_{N+1/2,2}^\pm$  by (6.36)–(6.37). Thanks to (5.14d), constraint (4.3d) holds for  $(\Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}, \varphi^a + \psi_{N+1/2})$ . As in [25, Proposition 7], we define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{N+1/2}^\pm &:= \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_T ((\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N^+)|_{x_3=0} - (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N^-)|_{x_3=0}), \\ v_{N+1/2,3}^\pm &:= \partial_t \Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm + \left(v_1^{a\pm} + v_{N+1/2,1}^\pm\right) \partial_1 \Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm + v_{N+1/2,1}^\pm \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} \\ &\quad + \left(v_2^{a\pm} + v_{N+1/2,2}^\pm\right) \partial_2 \Psi_{N+1/2}^\pm + v_{N+1/2,2}^\pm \partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $[\rho^a + \rho_{N+1/2}] = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and constraints (4.3b), (4.3e) hold for

$$(v^a + v_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}, \varphi^a + \psi_{N+1/2}),$$

using (5.14e), Lemma 6.1, and (5.14b).

*Step 2.* Utilizing (6.4), the trace theorem, and the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_N^+ - \rho_N^-\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} &\leq \|\rho_{N-1}^+ - \rho_{N-1}^-\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + \|\delta\rho_{N-1}^+ - \delta\rho_{N-1}^-\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{B}(V_{N-1}, \psi_{N-1})\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} + C\|\delta\rho_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C\epsilon\theta_N^{m-\mu-1} \quad \text{for } m \in [3, \mu]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

Then we use Lemma 6.1, (6.2), and (6.39) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{N+1/2} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} &\leq C\|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N^+ - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \rho_N^-\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C\|\rho_N^+ - \rho_N^-\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon\theta_N^{m-\mu}, & \text{if } 2 \leq m \leq \mu - 1, \\ C\theta_N^{m+1-\mu}\|\rho_N^+ - \rho_N^-\|_{H_\gamma^\mu(\omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon\theta_N^{m-\mu}, & \text{if } m \geq \mu. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

*Step 3.* Using (6.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{N+1/2,3} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} v_{N,3} &= \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N) + [\partial_t + v_1^a \partial_1, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}] \Psi_N + [\partial_1 \Phi^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}] v_{N,1} \\ &\quad + [\partial_t + v_2^a \partial_2, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}] \Psi_N + [\partial_2 \Phi^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n}] v_{N,2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_{N,1} \partial_1 \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} (v_{N,1} \partial_1 \Psi_N) \\
& + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_{N,2} \partial_2 \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} (v_{N,2} \partial_2 \Psi_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

Decomposing

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N) &= \mathcal{E}(V_{N-1}, \Psi_{N-1}) + \partial_t(\delta \Psi_{N-1}) + (v_1^a + v_{N-1,1}) \partial_1(\delta \Psi_{N-1}) \\
& + (v_2^a + v_{N-1,2}) \partial_2(\delta \Psi_{N-1}) + \delta v_{N-1,1} \partial_1(\Phi^a + \Psi_N) \\
& + \delta v_{N-1,2} \partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_N) - \delta v_{N-1,3},
\end{aligned}$$

the Moser-type calculus inequality (2.22), hypothesis  $(H_{N-1})$ , and (6.31) implies

$$\|\mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{2-\mu},$$

which together with (6.1a) yields that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 2. \tag{6.42}$$

The rest of terms on the right-hand side of (6.41) consist entirely of commutators. Let us detail the estimate of  $[v_1^a \partial_1, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N$ . Using (2.22), the Sobolev embedding theorem, (6.1a), (6.29), and (6.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[v_1^a \partial_1, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} &\leq \|v_1^a \partial_1(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}(v_1^a \partial_1 \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} + C \|\tilde{v}_1^a\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} \\
&\quad + C \theta_N^{m-\mu} \|v_1^a \partial_1 \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^\mu(\Omega_T)} \\
&\leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+1} \quad \text{for } \mu + 1 \leq m \leq \tilde{\mu} + 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\|[v_2^a \partial_2, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+1} \quad \text{for } \mu + 1 \leq m \leq \tilde{\mu} + 4.$$

If  $2 \leq m \leq \mu$ , it follows from (6.1b) and (6.31)–(6.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[v_1^a \partial_1, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} &\leq \|v_1^a \partial_1((\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} - I) \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N})(v_1^a \partial_1 \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\
&\leq C \|(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} - I) \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} + C \theta_N^{m-\mu} \|v_1^a \partial_1 \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^\mu(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|[v_2^a \partial_2, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+1}.$$

Applying the same analysis to the other commutators in (6.41) and using (6.42), we obtain

$$\|v_{N+1/2,3} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_{N,3}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+1} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 4. \tag{6.43}$$

*Step 4.* Now, we construct and estimate  $\mathbf{F}_{N+1/2}$ , following the approach of Secchi–Trakhinin [49, Proposition 28]. As outlined in Step 1, the functions  $v_{N+1/2}$  and  $\Psi_{N+1/2}$  have already been specified. Next, we define  $\mathbf{F}_{N+1/2}$  as the unique solution, vanishing in the past, of the linear equations

$$\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N+1/2}, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{6.44}$$

where  $\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}$  represents the component of operator  $\mathbb{L}$  corresponding to  $F_{ij}$ , defined as:

$$\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v, \mathbf{F}, \Phi) := (\partial_t^\Phi + v_\ell \partial_\ell^\Phi) F_{ij} - F_{\ell j} \partial_\ell^\Phi v_i. \tag{6.45}$$

Since  $(v^a + v_{N+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2})$  satisfies (4.3b), the equations (6.44) do not require additional boundary condition.

To estimate  $\mathbf{F}_{N+1/2} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N$ , we apply standard energy method. From (6.44), we deduce:

$$\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N+1/2}, \mathbf{F}_{N+1/2} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}) = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{H}_3, \quad (6.46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &:= -\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N+1/2}, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_N, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N), \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &:= -\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_N, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_N, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_N), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_3 := -\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_N, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_N)$ . From (6.36), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &= (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_{N,\ell} - v_{N+1/2,\ell}) \partial_\ell^{\Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}} (F_{ij}^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} F_{N,ij}) \\ &\quad - (F_{ij}^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} F_{N,ij}) \partial_\ell^{\Phi^a + \Psi_{N+1/2}} (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_{N,i} - v_{N+1/2,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Moser-type calculus inequality (2.22), the Sobolev embedding theorem, (6.36)–(6.37), (6.43), (6.29), and (6.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_1\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} &\leq C \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_N - v_{N+1/2}\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} \|(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N, \tilde{\Phi}^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \\ &\quad + C \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} v_N - v_{N+1/2}\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+2} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 3. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

For  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , we follow the same strategy used to estimate  $[v_1^a \partial_1, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}] \Psi_N$  in Step 3 and obtain:

$$\|\mathcal{H}_2\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+2} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 3. \quad (6.48)$$

Regarding  $\mathcal{H}_3$ , from (6.1a), (5.17), and the inductive hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N-1}, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_{N-1}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N-1})\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C \theta_N^{m-2} \|\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N-1}, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_{N-1}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N-1})\|_{H_\gamma^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu-1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 2$ . Using (6.1a), (2.22), hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{N-1}$ , and (6.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} (\mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_N, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_N, \Phi^a + \Psi_N) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{L}_{F_{ij}}(v^a + v_{N-1}, \mathbf{F}^a + \mathbf{F}_{N-1}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{N-1}))\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 2$ . Combining these estimates with (6.47)–(6.48), we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\mathcal{H}_\ell\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+2}, \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 3. \quad (6.49)$$

Applying a standard energy argument to equations (6.46) and using estimate (6.49), we conclude

$$\|\mathbf{F}_{N+1/2} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} \mathbf{F}_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu+2} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 3. \quad (6.50)$$

Finally, estimate (6.38) follows from (6.37), (6.40), (6.43), and (6.50). This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.1.* Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, (6.29), (6.33), and (6.38), we obtain constraint (4.2). Constraint (4.3a) can be satisfied by choosing  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small. Meanwhile, constraint (4.1) is ensured by applying an appropriate cut-off function, so that the terms  $(V_{N+1/2}, \Psi_{N+1/2}, \psi_{N+1/2})$  can be truncated.



**6.4. Estimate of the Second Substitution and Last Error Terms.** The following lemma provides the estimates for the second substitution error terms  $e_n'''$ ,  $\tilde{e}_n'''$ , and  $\hat{e}_n'''$ , as defined in (6.14), (6.15), and (6.18), respectively.

**Lemma 6.6.** *If  $\mu \geq 5$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$(\tilde{e}_n''', \hat{e}_n''') = \mathbf{0}, \quad \|e_n'''\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{\ell_3(m)-1}\Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1,$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ , where  $\ell_3(m) := \max\{(m + 1 - \mu)_+ + 9 - 2\mu, m + 6 - 2\mu\}$ .

*Proof.* From (6.34) and (6.36)–(6.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n''' &= \mathbb{B}'(U^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_n, \varphi^a + (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_n)|_{x_3=0})(\delta V_n, \delta \psi_n) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{B}'(U^a + V_{n+1/2}, \varphi^a + (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_n)|_{x_3=0})(\delta V_n, \delta \psi_n) = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6.35)–(6.37), we deduce that  $\hat{e}_n''' = \mathbf{0}$ . Thanks to (6.36), the error term  $e_n'''$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} e_n''' &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}''(U^a + V_{n+1/2} + \tau(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_n - V_{n+1/2}), \Phi^a + \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_n) \\ &\quad ((\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n), (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_n - V_{n+1/2}, 0)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the Sobolev embedding theorem, (6.29), (6.33), and (6.38), we find that

$$\|(\tilde{U}^a, V_{n+1/2}, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_n - V_{n+1/2}, \tilde{\Phi}^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_n)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon,$$

allowing us to use Proposition 6.2 for  $\epsilon$  suitably small. Furthermore, from (6.29)–(6.31) and (6.38), we have

$$\|(\tilde{U}^a, V_{n+1/2}, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} V_n, \tilde{\Phi}^a, \mathcal{S}_{\theta_n} \Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon \left( \theta_n^{(m+1-\mu)_++1} + \theta_n^{m+3-\mu} \right)$$

for  $2 \leq m \leq \tilde{\mu} - 1$ . Using Proposition 6.2, hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{n-1}$ , and (6.38), we obtain the estimate for term  $e_n'''$ , thereby completing the proof of lemma.  $\square$

For the last error term (6.16),

$$D_{n+1/2}\delta\Psi_n = \frac{\delta\Psi_n}{\partial_3(\Phi^a + \Psi_{n+1/2})} R_n, \quad \text{where } R_n := \partial_3\mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{n+1/2}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{n+1/2}),$$

we first observe that

$$|\partial_3(\Phi^{a\pm} + \Psi_{n+1/2}^\pm)| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

as deduced from (5.14c), (6.36), and (6.33) for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ . Consequently, we arrive at the following lemma, analogous to [25, Lemma 8.6] or [12, Lemma 12]. The proof is omitted here for brevity.

**Lemma 6.7.** *If  $\mu \geq 5$  and  $\tilde{\mu} > \mu$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$\|D_{n+1/2}\delta\Psi_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{\ell_4(m)-1}\Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1, \quad (6.51)$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ , where

$$\ell_4(m) := \max\{(m + 2 - \mu)_+ + 8 - 2\mu, (m + 1 - \mu)_+ + 9 - 2\mu, m + 6 - 2\mu\}.$$

Lemmas 6.3–6.7 provide the following estimates for  $e_n$ ,  $\tilde{e}_n$ , and  $\hat{e}_n$  defined in (6.17) and (6.19).

**Corollary 6.1.** *If  $\mu \geq 5$  and  $\tilde{\mu} > \mu$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$\|e_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{\ell_4(m)-1}\Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1, \quad (6.52)$$

$$\|\hat{e}_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{\ell_2(m)-1}\Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1, \quad (6.53)$$

$$\|\tilde{e}_n\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{\ell_2(m)-1}\Delta_n \quad \text{if } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 2, \quad (6.54)$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ , where  $\ell_2(m)$  and  $\ell_4(m)$  are defined in Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.7, respectively.

**6.5. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We first prove the following lemma for accumulated error terms  $E_n$ ,  $\tilde{E}_n$ , and  $\hat{E}_n$  that are defined in (6.8) and (6.13).

**Lemma 6.8.** *If  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + 3$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$\|E_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+2}(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_N, \quad (6.55)$$

$$\|\tilde{E}_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\omega_T)} + \|\hat{E}_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2. \quad (6.56)$$

*Proof.* Following [12, 25], we first note that  $\ell_4(\mu + 2) \leq 1$  when  $\mu \geq 7$ . From (6.52), one has

$$\|E_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+2}(\Omega_T)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|e_n\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+2}(\Omega_T)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} C\epsilon^2\Delta_n \leq C\epsilon^2\theta_N,$$

for  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\mu + 2 \leq \tilde{\mu} - 1$ . Since  $\ell_2(\mu + 1) = 6 - \mu \leq -1$  for  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\mu + 1 \leq \tilde{\mu} - 2$ , from (6.53)–(6.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{E}_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\omega_T)} + \|\hat{E}_N\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \|\tilde{e}_n\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\omega_T)} + \|\hat{e}_n\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} C\epsilon^2\theta_n^{-2}\Delta_n \leq C\epsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized (6.9) and (6.30) to obtain the last inequality. The minimal possible  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $\mu + 3$ . The proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

Using the lemma above, we have the estimates for  $f_N$ ,  $g_N$ , and  $h_N^\pm$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** *If  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + 3$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  large enough such that*

$$\|f_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\Delta_N \left\{ \theta_N^{m-\mu-2} \left( \|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} + \epsilon^2 \right) + \epsilon^2\theta_N^{\ell_4(m)-1} \right\}, \quad (6.57)$$

$$\|g_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\Delta_N \left( \theta_N^{m-\mu-2} + \theta_N^{\ell_2(m)-1} \right), \quad (6.58)$$

for  $m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} + 1$ , and

$$\|h_N^\pm\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq C\epsilon^2\Delta_N \left( \theta_N^{m-\mu-2} + \theta_N^{\ell_2(m)-1} \right) \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}. \quad (6.59)$$

*Proof.* Using  $\theta_{N-1} \leq \theta_N \leq \sqrt{2}\theta_{N-1}$  and  $\Delta_{N-1} \leq 3\Delta_N$ , from (6.1a), (6.1c), (6.52), and (6.55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} &\leq \|(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{N-1}})f^a - (\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{N-1}})E_{N-1} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}e_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C\Delta_N\theta_N^{m-\mu-2} \left( \|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} + \theta_N^{-1}\|E_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+2}(\Omega_T)} \right) + \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}e_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\Delta_N \left\{ \theta_N^{m-\mu-2} (\|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} + \epsilon^2) + \epsilon^2 \theta_N^{\ell_4(m)-1} \right\}.$$

By using (6.54) and (6.56), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_N\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} &\leq \|(\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_{N-1}})\tilde{E}_{N-1} - \mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\tilde{e}_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C\Delta_N \theta_N^{m-\mu-2} \|\tilde{E}_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} + \|\mathcal{S}_{\theta_N}\tilde{e}_{N-1}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \Delta_N (\theta_N^{m-\mu-2} + \theta_N^{\ell_2(m)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain (6.59) for  $h_N^\pm$  from (6.53) and (6.56). The proof of Lemma 6.9 is completed.  $\square$

In the following lemma, we obtain the estimate of differences  $\delta V_N$ ,  $\delta \Psi_N$ , and  $\delta \psi_N$ , by using the tame estimate (4.19). See [25, Lemma 16] or [12, Lemma 8.10] for the proof.

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + 3$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)}/\epsilon$  are suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  is large enough, then*

$$\|(\delta V_N, \delta \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\delta \psi_N\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu-1} \Delta_N \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}. \quad (6.60)$$

Lemma 6.10 establishes the first part of the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_N$ . The following lemma addresses the remaining components of  $\mathbf{H}_N$ .

**Lemma 6.11.** *Let  $\mu \geq 7$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + 3$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)}/\epsilon$  are suitably small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  is large enough, then*

$$\|\mathcal{L}(V_N, \Psi_N) - f^a\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} \leq 2\epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu-1} \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, \tilde{\mu} - 1, \quad (6.61)$$

$$\|\mathcal{B}(V_N, \psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_N^{m-\mu-1} \quad \text{for } m = 3, \dots, \mu, \quad (6.62)$$

$$\|\mathcal{E}(V_N, \Psi_N)\|_{H_\gamma^3(\Omega_T)} \leq \epsilon \theta_N^{2-\mu}. \quad (6.63)$$

We refer to [25, Lemmas 17–18] or [12, Lemma 8.11] for the proof of Lemma 6.11. Let us assume  $\mu \geq 7$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + 3$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)}/\epsilon$  sufficiently small. Additionally, let  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  be large enough to satisfy the assumptions of Lemmas 6.10–6.11, from which the inductive hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_N$  follows. Then, as shown in [25, Lemma 19] or [12, Lemma 8.12], it can be proved that the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_0$  holds.

**Lemma 6.12.** *If  $\|f^a\|_{H_\gamma^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)}/\epsilon$  is small enough, then the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_0$  holds.*

With this, we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. Our proof closely follows the idea in [12, 25], but is included here for completeness.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\tilde{\mu} := s_0 - 4 \geq 10$  and  $\mu := \tilde{\mu} - 3 \geq 7$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, the initial data  $(U_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  are compatible up to order  $s_0 = \tilde{\mu} + 4$ . If  $(\tilde{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  is sufficiently small in  $H^{s_0+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3) \times H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\tilde{U}_0^\pm := U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm$ , then the assumption (6.29) and all the requirements of Lemmas 6.10–6.12 are satisfied, due to (5.16) and (5.19). Thus,  $\mathbf{H}_N$  holds for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \|(\delta V_n, \delta \Psi_n)\|_{H_\gamma^m(\Omega_T)} + \|\delta \psi_n\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\omega_T)} \right) \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n^{m-\mu-2} < \infty, \quad 3 \leq m \leq \mu - 1,$$

we conclude that  $(V_n, \Psi_n)$  converges to some  $(V, \Psi)$  in  $H_\gamma^{\mu-1}(\Omega_T)$ , and  $\psi_n$  converges to some  $\psi$  in  $H_\gamma^\mu(\Omega_T)$ . Taking the limit in (6.61)–(6.62) for  $m = \mu - 1 = s_0 - 8$ , and in (6.63), we obtain that  $(V, \Psi)$  satisfies (5.20). Consequently,  $(U, \Phi) = (U^a + V, \Phi^a + \Psi)$  is a solution to (2.6)–(2.11) in  $\Omega_T^+$ . The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R. M. Chen was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-2205910. F. Huang was supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China, grant No. 2021YFA1000800, and the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China, grant No. 12288201. D. Wang was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1907519 and DMS-2219384. D. Yuan was supported by EPSRC grant EP/V051121/1, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No. 2233100021 and No. 2233300008.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. ALINHAC, *Existence d'ondes de raréfaction pour des systèmes quasi-linéaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 14 (1989), pp. 173–230.
- [2] S. ALINHAC AND P. GÉRARD, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels et théorème de Nash-Moser*, Savoirs Actuels. [Current Scholarship], InterEditions, Paris; Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Meudon, 1991.
- [3] M. ARTOLA AND A. J. MAJDA, *Nonlinear development of instabilities in supersonic vortex sheets. I. The basic kink modes*, Phys. D, 28 (1987), pp. 253–281.
- [4] ———, *Nonlinear development of instabilities in supersonic vortex sheets. II. Resonant interaction among kink modes*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 49 (1989), pp. 1310–1349.
- [5] ———, *Nonlinear kink modes for supersonic vortex sheets*, Phys. Fluids A, 1 (1989), pp. 583–596.
- [6] J. AZAIEZ AND G. M. HOMSY, *Linear stability of free shear flow of viscoelastic liquids*, J. Fluid Mech., 268 (1994), pp. 37–69.
- [7] S. BENZONI-GAVAGE AND D. SERRE, *Multi-dimensional hyperbolic partial differential equations: First-order Systems and Applications*, OUP Oxford, 2006.
- [8] J.-M. BONY, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 14 (1981), pp. 209–246.
- [9] J. CHAZARAIN AND A. PIRIOU, *Introduction to the theory of linear partial differential equations*, vol. 14 of Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1982. Translated from the French.
- [10] G.-Q. CHEN AND Y.-G. WANG, *Existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 187 (2008), pp. 369–408.
- [11] G.-Q. G. CHEN AND M. FELDMAN, *The mathematics of shock reflection-diffraction and von Neumann's conjectures*, vol. 197 of Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2018.
- [12] G.-Q. G. CHEN, P. SECCHI, AND T. WANG, *Nonlinear stability of relativistic vortex sheets in three-dimensional Minkowski spacetime*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 232 (2019), pp. 591–695.
- [13] ———, *Nonlinear stability of relativistic vortex sheets in three-dimensional Minkowski spacetime*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 232 (2019), pp. 591–695.
- [14] R. M. CHEN, J. HU, AND D. WANG, *Linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two-dimensional elastodynamics*, Adv. Math., 311 (2017), pp. 18–60.
- [15] ———, *Linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in 2D elastodynamics: variable coefficients*, Math. Ann., 376 (2020), pp. 863–912.
- [16] R. M. CHEN, J. HU, D. WANG, T. WANG, AND D. YUAN, *Nonlinear stability and existence of compressible vortex sheets in 2D elastodynamics*, J. Differential Equations, 269 (2020), pp. 6899–6940.
- [17] R. M. CHEN, F. HUANG, D. WANG, AND D. YUAN, *On the stability of two-dimensional nonisentropic elastic vortex sheets*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 20 (2021), pp. 2519–2533.
- [18] ———, *On the vortex sheets of compressible flows*, Commun. Appl. Math. Comput., 5 (2023), pp. 967–986.
- [19] ———, *Stabilization effect of elasticity on three-dimensional compressible vortex sheets*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 172 (2023), pp. 105–138.
- [20] J.-F. C. COULOMBEL, *Weak stability of nonuniformly stable multidimensional shocks*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 34 (2002), pp. 142–172.
- [21] ———, *Weakly stable multidimensional shocks*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 21 (2004), pp. 401–443.
- [22] ———, *Well-posedness of hyperbolic initial boundary value problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 84 (2005), pp. 786–818.
- [23] J.-F. C. COULOMBEL AND A. MORANDO, *Stability of contact discontinuities for the nonisentropic Euler equations*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.), 50 (2004), pp. 79–90.

- [24] J.-F. C. COULOMBEL AND P. SECCHI, *The stability of compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53 (2004), pp. 941–1012.
- [25] ———, *Nonlinear compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 41 (2008), pp. 85–139.
- [26] C. M. DAFERMOS, *Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics*, vol. 325 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [27] J. A. FEJER AND J. W. MILES, *On the stability of a plane vortex sheet with respect to three-dimensional disturbances*, J. Fluid Mech., 15 (1963), pp. 335–336.
- [28] J. FRANCHETEAU AND G. MÉTIVIER, *Existence de chocs faibles pour des systèmes quasi-linéaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels*, Astérisque, (2000), pp. viii+198.
- [29] M. E. GURTIN, *An introduction to continuum mechanics*, vol. 158 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1981.
- [30] X. HU AND D. WANG, *Formation of singularity for compressible viscoelasticity*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 32 (2012), pp. 109–128.
- [31] R. HUILGOL, *Propagation of a vortex sheet in viscoelastic liquids—the rayleigh problem*, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics, 8 (1981), pp. 337–347.
- [32] R. R. HUILGOL AND G. C. GEORGIU, *Fluid mechanics of viscoplasticity*, Springer, Cham, second ed., [2022] ©2022.
- [33] D. D. JOSEPH, *Fluid dynamics of viscoelastic liquids*, vol. 84 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [34] P. D. LAX AND R. S. PHILLIPS, *Local boundary conditions for dissipative symmetric linear differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 13 (1960), pp. 427–455.
- [35] J.-L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. II*, vol. Band 182 of Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. Translated from the French by P. Kenneth.
- [36] A. MAJDA, *The existence of multidimensional shock fronts*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1983), pp. v+93.
- [37] ———, *The stability of multidimensional shock fronts*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 41 (1983), pp. iv+95.
- [38] A. MAJDA AND S. OSHER, *Initial-boundary value problems for hyperbolic equations with uniformly characteristic boundary*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 28 (1975), pp. 607–675.
- [39] G. MÉTIVIER, *Stability of multidimensional shocks*, in Advances in the theory of shock waves, vol. 47 of Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001, pp. 25–103.
- [40] G. MÉTIVIER AND K. ZUMBRUN, *Large viscous boundary layers for noncharacteristic nonlinear hyperbolic problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 175 (2005), pp. vi+107.
- [41] J. W. MILES, *On the reflection of sound at an interface of relative motion*, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., 29 (1957), pp. 226–228.
- [42] ———, *On the disturbed motion of a plane vortex sheet*, J. Fluid Mech., 4 (1958), pp. 538–552.
- [43] R. L. MISHKOV, *Generalization of the formula of Faa di Bruno for a composite function with a vector argument*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 24 (2000), pp. 481–491.
- [44] A. MORANDO, P. SECCHI, P. TREBESCHI, AND D. YUAN, *Nonlinear stability and existence of two-dimensional compressible current-vortex sheets*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 247 (2023), pp. Paper No. 50, 83.
- [45] A. MORANDO AND P. TREBESCHI, *Two-dimensional vortex sheets for the nonisentropic Euler equations: linear stability*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 5 (2008), pp. 487–518.
- [46] A. MORANDO, P. TREBESCHI, AND T. WANG, *Two-dimensional vortex sheets for the nonisentropic Euler equations: nonlinear stability*, J. Differential Equations, 266 (2019), pp. 5397–5430.
- [47] J. B. RAUCH AND F. J. MASSEY, III, *Differentiability of solutions to hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 189 (1974), pp. 303–318.
- [48] P. SECCHI, *On the Nash-Moser iteration technique*, in Recent developments of mathematical fluid mechanics, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2016, pp. 443–457.
- [49] P. SECCHI AND Y. TRAKHININ, *Well-posedness of the plasma-vacuum interface problem*, Nonlinearity, 27 (2014), pp. 105–169.
- [50] D. SERRE, *Systems of conservation laws. 2*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. Geometric structures, oscillations, and initial-boundary value problems, Translated from the 1996 French original by I. N. Sneddon.
- [51] B. STEVENS, *Short-time structural stability of compressible vortex sheets with surface tension*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 222 (2016), pp. 603–730.

- [52] Y. TRAKHININ, *The existence of current-vortex sheets in ideal compressible magnetohydrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 191 (2009), pp. 245–310.
- [53] Y.-G. WANG AND F. YU, *Stability of contact discontinuities in three-dimensional compressible steady flows*, J. Differential Equations, 255 (2013), pp. 1278–1356.
- [54] ———, *Stabilization effect of magnetic fields on two-dimensional compressible current-vortex sheets*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 208 (2013), pp. 341–389.
- [55] ———, *Structural stability of supersonic contact discontinuities in three-dimensional compressible steady flows*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 47 (2015), pp. 1291–1329.
- [56] Y.-G. WANG AND H. YUAN, *Weak stability of transonic contact discontinuities in three-dimensional steady non-isentropic compressible Euler flows*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), pp. 341–388.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA.  
*Email address:* `mingchen@pitt.edu`

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA.  
*Email address:* `fhuang@amt.ac.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA.  
*Email address:* `dwang@math.pitt.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY AND LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, CHINA.  
*Email address:* `yuandf@amss.ac.cn`