

# ON THE HODGE AND $V$ -FILTRATIONS OF MIXED HODGE MODULES

DOUGAL DAVIS AND RUIJIE YANG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove a filtered version of Beilinson-type formula for the  $V$ -filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange for any  $\mathcal{D}$ -module underlying a complex mixed Hodge module along a hypersurface, using Hodge filtrations on the localization.

We give some applications to the theory of higher multiplier and Hodge ideals. The main result is that the higher multiplier ideals can be deduced directly from the Hodge ideals by taking a suitable limit. As a corollary, we conclude that the Hodge ideals are left semi-continuous if and only if they coincide with the higher multiplier ideals.

In an appendix, we make some general observations about Hodge and higher multiplier ideals. We observe that results of Saito and Chen-Mustața give a birational formula for higher multiplier ideals, answering a question of Schnell and the second author, and that the Kodaira vanishing theorem for twisted Hodge modules gives a short proof of the vanishing theorem for Hodge ideals, strengthening a result of B. Chen.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we give a general expression for the  $V$ -filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange in terms of the Hodge filtration in Saito’s theory of mixed Hodge modules. We give some illustrative applications of these methods to the theory of higher multiplier ideals and Hodge ideals in birational geometry, including a precise comparison between the two.

Saito’s theory of mixed Hodge modules [Sai88, Sai90] extends the theory of variations of Hodge structure to a six functor formalism in the sense of Grothendieck. A mixed Hodge module in Saito’s sense consists of a regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a Hodge filtration, a weight filtration and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure, satisfying some rather stringent conditions. A central point in the theory is to specify how these data should behave under direct and inverse image functors, the most subtle case being the extension of the Hodge filtration along an open immersion. This is controlled in Saito’s theory using an auxiliary ingredient: the *Kashiwara-Malgrange  $V$ -filtration*, an important object in  $\mathcal{D}$ -module theory in its own right.

Conversely, we show that  $V$ -filtrations on mixed Hodge modules can be recovered from the six functor formalism, or more precisely, from the behaviour of Hodge filtrations under open immersions. Our result is most conveniently stated in the language of *complex* mixed Hodge modules of Sabbah and Schnell [SS24], a generalisation of Saito’s theory without  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structures. From now on, by “mixed Hodge modules” we will always mean complex mixed Hodge modules. We refer the reader who would prefer to work directly in Saito’s theory to §1.2 below.

---

*Date:* March 24, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14J17, 14D07, 14F10, Secondary: 14F18.

*Key words and phrases.* Kashiwara-Malgrange  $V$ -filtrations, Beilinson’s nearby cycle formula, twisted differential operators, complex Hodge modules, Higher multiplier ideals, Hodge ideals.

Our main theorem is as follows. Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety<sup>1</sup> and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a regular function. Denote by  $j : U = f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow X$  the open embedding and  $D = f^{-1}(0)$ . For a holonomic (left)  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on which  $f$  acts bijectively, we may form the  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}[s]f^s$  on the one hand and the pushforward  $\iota_+\mathcal{M}$  under the graph embedding  $\iota : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}$  on the other hand. It was shown by Malgrange [Mal83] (see also [MP20]) that there is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_X\langle s, t \rangle$ -modules

$$(1.1) \quad \rho : \mathcal{M}[s]f^s \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_+\mathcal{M},$$

where the coordinate  $t$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  acts on the left by  $s \mapsto s + 1$  and the formal variable  $s$  acts on the right by  $-\partial_t$ . Our main result refines this when  $\mathcal{M}$  is a mixed Hodge module.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  underlies a mixed Hodge module. Then for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the inverse systems*

$$\left\{ F_p j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ F_p j_! \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \right\}_{n \geq 0}$$

are constant for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $F_\bullet$  denotes the Hodge filtration on the  $!$  and  $*$  extensions of the mixed Hodge modules  $j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s / (s + \alpha)^n$ . Moreover, (1.1) induces isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_p \varprojlim_n F_p j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}, \\ \bigcup_p \varprojlim_n F_p j_! \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} V^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha \geq 0$  then these isomorphisms respect the Hodge filtrations up to an index shift by 1:

$$\varprojlim_n F_p j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{p+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M},$$

and similarly for  $j_!$  and  $V^{>\alpha}$ .

Here we note that  $j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s / (s + \alpha)^n$  is the tensor product of  $j^* \mathcal{M}$  with an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure on  $U$ , so it naturally inherits the structure of a mixed Hodge module.

For an arbitrary holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on which  $f$  acts bijectively, there is a well-known isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \mathrm{gr}_V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{coker} \left( \varprojlim_n j_! \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]f^s}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \right).$$

The right hand side is Beilinson's expression for the nearby cycles functor [Bei87], while the isomorphism is essentially due to Kashiwara [Kas83] (see also [MM04] and [DV22, Lemma 6.2]). Theorem 1.1 lifts (1.2) to  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  if  $\mathcal{M}$  underlies a mixed Hodge module.

Consider the following map induced by the evaluation map and (1.1):

$$(1.3) \quad \mathrm{ev}_{s=-\alpha} : \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} \mathcal{M}[s]f^s \xrightarrow{s=-\alpha} \mathcal{M} \cdot f^{-\alpha}.$$

By construction, we have short exact sequences of mixed Hodge modules

$$0 \rightarrow j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]}{(s + \alpha)^{n-1}} \right) (1) \xrightarrow{s+\alpha} j_* \left( \frac{j^* \mathcal{M}[s]}{(s + \alpha)^n} \right) \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{M} \cdot f^{-\alpha}) \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $n$ , and similarly for  $j_!$ . Hence, Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary.

<sup>1</sup>All of our results can, with appropriate care, be extended to the setting of complex manifolds and holomorphic functions: we leave the details to the interested reader.

**Corollary 1.2.** *For any  $\mathcal{M}$  as above and any  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the morphism*

$$s + \alpha : V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}\{-1\}$$

*is strict with respect to the Hodge filtrations, where  $F_k \mathcal{N}\{-1\} = F_{k+1} \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have short exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow F_k V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s+\alpha} F_{k+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{ev_{s=-\alpha}} F_k(\mathcal{M} \cdot f^{-\alpha}) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow F_k V^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s+\alpha} F_{k+1} V^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{ev_{s=-\alpha}} F_k(j_!(j^* \mathcal{M} \cdot f^{-\alpha})) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

*and hence a strict filtered surjection*

$$(1.4) \quad V^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M}\{-1\} \twoheadrightarrow j_{!*}(j^* \mathcal{M} \cdot f^{-\alpha}).$$

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simple regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module, (1.4) is proved (without Hodge filtrations) using a  $b$ -function argument in [DLY24, Proposition 5.11].

**1.1. Higher multiplier ideals as limits of Hodge ideals.** Now let  $D$  be an effective divisor on a smooth variety  $X$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . The *Hodge ideals*  $I_k(\alpha D)$  [MP19] and *higher multiplier ideals*  $\mathcal{I}_{k,-\alpha}(D)$  [Sai16, SY23a] are two families of ideals introduced recently, which both recover the classical multiplier ideal  $\mathcal{J}((\alpha - \epsilon)D)$  if  $k = 0$ . They are known to encode similar information about the singularities of  $D$ : for example, both can be used to determine Saito's minimal exponent in the same way that the multiplier ideals determine the log canonical threshold [MP20, SY23a].

Suppose locally that  $D = \text{div}(f)$  and write  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) := \mathcal{I}_{k,-\alpha}(D)$ . By definition,

$$\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) \otimes \partial_t^k \cong \text{gr}_{k+1}^F V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X.$$

On the other hand, in Lemma 3.1, we prove that the Hodge ideals can be defined by

$$(1.5) \quad I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \cdot f^{-\alpha} = F_k j_*(\mathcal{O}_U \cdot f^{-\alpha}).$$

Then Corollary 1.2 gives

$$(1.6) \quad I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \cdot f^{-\alpha} = ev_{s=-\alpha}(F_{k+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X),$$

which recovers the main result of Mustașă and Popa [MP20, Theorem A] and a result of Mingyi Zhang [Zha21, Proposition 3.1]. Corollary 1.2 also implies a weighted version of (1.6), which is obtained independently by Dakin [Dak25, Theorem 1.6]. Note that the equation (1.6) implies that

$$I_k(\alpha D) = \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) \pmod{\mathcal{I}_D}.$$

If  $\alpha = 1$ , this was proved by Saito [Sai16].

However, these two ideals are not equal in general. For example, let  $D = (x^2 + y^3 = 0)$  and  $5/6 \leq \alpha < 1$ , then [MP19, Example 10.5] and [Zha21, Example 3.5] give

$$(1.7) \quad I_2(\alpha D) = (x^3, x^2 y^2, xy^3, y^4 - (2\alpha + 1)x^2 y) \neq (x^3, x^2 y^2, xy^3, y^5, x^2 y) = \tilde{I}_2(\alpha D).$$

Finding the precise relation between the two ideals remained a folklore question. We solve this mystery by showing that one can recover the higher multiplier ideal from the set of all Hodge ideals as follows. We say  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$  is a *family of ideals over a scheme*  $S$  if the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathcal{I}$  is flat over  $S$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *For each  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unique family of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}$  over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that*

$$I_k(\beta D) = \mathcal{I}|_{X \times \{\beta\}} \quad \text{if } \beta = \alpha - \epsilon, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon \ll 1.$$

*The higher multiplier idea  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$  is given by evaluating this family at infinity:*

$$\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) = \mathcal{I}|_{X \times \{\infty\}}.$$

To illustrate, consider  $D = (x^2 + y^3 = 0)$  and  $\alpha \in [5/6, 1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is the algebraic family defined by

$$\mathcal{I}|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \times \{\beta\}} = (x^3, x^2y^2, xy^3, y^4 - (2\beta + 1)x^2y), \quad \text{for } \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Taking the limit as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  in the Hilbert scheme of finite length ideals in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \times \{\infty\}} &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} (x^3, x^2y^2, xy^3, y^4 - (2\beta + 1)x^2y) \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \left( x^3, x^2y^2, xy^3, y^5, \frac{1}{2\beta + 1}y^4 - x^2y \right) \\ &= (x^3, x^2y^2, xy^3, y^5, x^2y) \\ &= \tilde{I}_2(\alpha D). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that the higher multiplier ideals  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$  are decreasing in  $\alpha$  and left continuous:  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) \supseteq \tilde{I}_k(\beta D)$ , whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , and  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) = \tilde{I}_k((\alpha - \epsilon)D)$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . These are not true for the Hodge ideals, i.e. they are incommensurable and not left continuous (see (1.7) for example). This gives another reason why  $I_k(\alpha D)$  and  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$  cannot be equal in general. However, Theorem 1.3 implies that this is the only obstruction.

**Corollary 1.4.** *We have  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) = I_k(\alpha D)$  if and only if the Hodge ideals are left continuous at  $\alpha$ , i.e.*

$$I_k(\alpha D) = I_k((\alpha - \epsilon)D), \quad \text{for } 0 < \epsilon \ll 1.$$

This relates the two features of the example (1.7):  $I_2(\alpha D) \neq \tilde{I}_2(\alpha D)$  because  $I_2(\alpha D)$  is not left continuous for  $\alpha \in [5/6, 1)$ . The example  $D = (x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$  in [Pop18, Remark 9.8] where  $I_2(D) \neq \tilde{I}_2(D)$  can be explained in a similar fashion. These results allow us to obtain new computation of higher multiplier ideals using the corresponding Hodge ideals. For example, [MP19, Example 11.7] implies the following.

**Corollary 1.5.** *If  $x \in D$  is an ordinary singularity of multiplicity  $m$  and  $\dim X = km + r$  for a unique  $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ , then*

$$\tilde{I}_k \left( \frac{j+r}{m} \cdot D \right)_x = \mathfrak{m}_x^j, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \min(m-r, m-1).$$

**1.2. Remarks on the foundations.** In this paper, we have made free use of the theory of complex mixed Hodge modules. Since the foundations for this theory [SS24] are unfinished at the time of writing, we briefly describe how to prove the same results in the framework of Saito [Sai88, Sai90].

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety and write  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  for Saito's  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear category of mixed Hodge modules on  $X$ . If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is any algebraic field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we may formally define a  $K$ -linear category  $\text{MHM}_K(X)$  of  $K$ -mixed Hodge modules as follows. First, form the category  $\text{Ind MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  of ind-objects. Since  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is tensored over finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces,  $\text{Ind MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is tensored over  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces of arbitrary dimension. We write  $\text{Mod}_K(\text{Ind MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X))$  for the category of  $K$ -modules in this category, i.e., objects  $M \in \text{Ind MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  equipped with an action  $K \otimes M \rightarrow M$  satisfying the usual axioms for a module over a ring. This is a  $K$ -linear abelian category. Finally, we let

$$\text{MHM}_K(X) \subseteq \text{Mod}_K(\text{Ind MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X))$$

be the smallest abelian subcategory containing the free objects  $K \otimes M$  for  $M \in \text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ .

Since it is defined by a formal categorical construction, the category  $\text{MHM}_K(X)$  inherits the six operations from those on  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ . Moreover, we have a “forgetful functor” from  $\text{MHM}_K(X)$  to the category of filtered regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules defined as

follows. Every  $M \in \text{MHM}_K(X)$  has an underlying ind-filtered  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $(\mathcal{M}^{big}, F_\bullet)$ , equipped with an action of the algebra  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ . We set

$$(\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet \mathcal{M}) = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}^{big}, \mathbb{C} \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}} F_\bullet \mathcal{M}^{big}).$$

When  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite, this is a direct summand of  $(\mathcal{M}^{big}, F_\bullet)$ . By reduction to this case, it is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet)$  is a filtered regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module and that the functor  $M \mapsto (\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet)$  is exact and faithful, commutes with proper pushforwards etc.

All the results of this paper hold with the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear category  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  as a stand-in for the category  $\text{MHM}(X)$  of complex mixed Hodge modules, with the caveat that we must restrict to  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  in Theorem 1.1 and its consequences (this is no great loss, as all objects in  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  have  $V$ -filtrations indexed by  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Indeed, the only property we need from complex mixed Hodge modules that does not already hold in  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is that the local system  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} t^\alpha$  should lift to an object in  $\text{MHM}(\mathbb{C}^*)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\alpha = n/d \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have such a lift in  $\text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , given by the eigenspace

$$\pi_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^H[1])_{T=e^{-2\pi i \alpha}},$$

where  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is  $z \mapsto z^d$  and  $T$  is the automorphism induced by the deck transformation  $z \mapsto e^{-2\pi i/d} z$ . This idea is similar to [MP19, §2].

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Christian Schnell and Mircea Mustața for useful discussions, and especially Bradley Dirks for detailed comments of the manuscript. R.Y. would like to thank Christian Sevenheck for questions which eventually led to Theorem 1.3. This project began when both authors were visiting the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics at Stony Brook during the 2024 winter school “New applications of mixed Hodge modules”. We would like to thank Christian Schnell and Bradley Dirks for organising this excellent event and for the invitation to attend.

## 2. A BEILINSON-TYPE FORMULA FOR THE $V$ -FILTRATION

**2.1. The  $V$ -filtration along a smooth divisor.** In this subsection, we recall the definition of the  $V$ -filtration of a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module along a smooth divisor. Roughly speaking, this attempts to capture the notion of “order of vanishing” in purely  $\mathcal{D}$ -module-theoretic terms.

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety,  $D \subseteq X$  a smooth divisor and  $\mathcal{M}$  a holonomic left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. For convenience, we fix a local equation  $t = 0$  for  $D$  and a vector field  $\partial_t$  such that  $[\partial_t, t] = 1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The  $V$ -filtration of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is the decreasing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed filtration given by

$$V^n \mathcal{D}_X = \{P \in \mathcal{D}_X \mid Pt^m \in (t^{m+n}) \text{ for all } m \geq 0\}.$$

A  $V$ -filtration on  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -indexed filtration  $\{V^\alpha \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$  such that

- (I)  $V^\bullet \mathcal{M}$  is exhaustive, decreasing and left continuous (i.e.  $V^{\alpha-\epsilon} \mathcal{M} = V^\alpha \mathcal{M}$ ),
- (II) the set  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid V^\alpha \mathcal{M} \neq V^{>\alpha} \mathcal{M}\}$  is discrete,
- (III) we have  $V^n \mathcal{D}_X \cdot V^\alpha \mathcal{M} \subseteq V^{\alpha+n} \mathcal{M}$ ,
- (IV) the operator

$$t: V^\alpha \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V^{\alpha+1} \mathcal{M}$$

is an isomorphism for  $\alpha \gg 0$ ,

- (V) each  $V^\alpha \mathcal{M}$  is a coherent  $V^0 \mathcal{D}_X$ -module,
- (VI) for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the operator

$$\alpha - \partial_t t: \text{gr}_V^\alpha \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{gr}_V^\alpha \mathcal{M}$$

is nilpotent.

Note that, given the last condition, the remaining conditions are equivalent to requiring that  $V^\bullet \mathcal{M}$  be decreasing, exhaustive and finitely generated over  $V^\bullet \mathcal{D}_X$ . This definition agrees with the one in [MP20] and differs from the one in [DV23], for example, by a shift by 1 in indexing. Intuitively,  $V^\alpha \mathcal{M}$  can be thought of as the set of sections vanishing to order at least  $\alpha - 1$  along  $D$ .

The  $V$ -filtration is unique if it exists, which it always does when  $\mathcal{M}$  underlies a mixed Hodge module. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -indexed  $V$ -filtration as in Definition 2.1 need not exist for a general holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module (although there is always a  $\mathbb{C}$ -indexed one [Sab87]).

**2.2. Extension of the Hodge filtration.** The  $V$ -filtration is used to define the extension of the Hodge filtration of a mixed Hodge module across a principal divisor. We recall how this works in this subsection.

First, recall that any mixed Hodge module has an underlying filtered left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. By convention, we will denote the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module by the same letter as the mixed Hodge module, and the Hodge filtration by  $F_\bullet$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety,  $D \subseteq X$  a divisor,  $U = X \setminus D$ , and  $j : U \rightarrow X$  the inclusion. The six functor formalism for mixed Hodge modules provides two extension functors

$$j_!, j_* : \text{MHM}(U) \rightarrow \text{MHM}(X),$$

which are respectively the left and right adjoint to the restriction functor. Since we work with algebraic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, the functor  $j_*$  is given simply by sheaf-theoretic pushforward at the level of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. This is not the correct functor at the level of filtered  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules: if, for  $\mathcal{N} \in \text{MHM}(U)$ , we set

$$F_p^{\text{naive}} j_* \mathcal{N} = j_*(F_p \mathcal{N}),$$

then  $F_p^{\text{naive}} j_* \mathcal{N}$  need not be coherent over  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , since sections are allowed to have poles of arbitrary order along  $D$ . To rectify this, the theory places bounds on these poles using the  $V$ -filtration as follows.

Assume for simplicity that the divisor  $D$  is smooth. Then we may form the  $V$ -filtration  $V^\bullet j_* \mathcal{N}$  along  $D$  as in the previous subsection. Intersecting with  $V^\alpha j_* \mathcal{N}$  gives a filtration  $F_\bullet^{\text{naive}} V^\alpha \mathcal{N}$ . The Hodge filtration  $F_\bullet j_* \mathcal{N}$  is defined by

$$F_p j_* \mathcal{N} = \sum_k F_{p-k} \mathcal{D}_X \cdot (F_k^{\text{naive}} V^0 j_* \mathcal{N}).$$

It follows (see [SS24, Proposition 6.14.2], c.f. [Sai88, 3.2.2]) that

$$(2.1) \quad F_p V^\alpha j_* \mathcal{N} = F_p^{\text{naive}} V^\alpha j_* \mathcal{N} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq 0.$$

For the dual extension  $j_! \mathcal{N}$ , one can show that the natural map  $j_! \mathcal{N} \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{N}$  induces an isomorphism

$$V^{>0} j_! \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{>0} j_* \mathcal{N}$$

and thus a filtration  $F_\bullet^{\text{naive}} V^{>0} j_! \mathcal{N}$ . The Hodge filtration on  $j_! \mathcal{N}$  is defined similarly by

$$F_p j_! \mathcal{N} = \sum_k F_{p-k} \mathcal{D}_X \cdot (F_k^{\text{naive}} V^{>0} j_! \mathcal{N}).$$

**2.3. The main theorem.** Fix as before a smooth complex variety  $X$  and a (possibly singular) divisor  $D \subseteq X$  with complement  $U = X \setminus D$  and inclusion  $j : U \rightarrow X$ . We assume that  $D$  is given by a local equation  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with graph embedding  $\iota : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$  sending  $x$  to  $(x, f(x))$ . We fix a mixed Hodge module  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  on which  $f$  acts bijectively, or equivalently, so that  $\mathcal{M} = j_* \mathcal{N}$  for some  $\mathcal{N} \in \text{MHM}(U)$ .

Our aim is to write an expression for the  $V$ -filtration on  $\iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  along the smooth divisor  $\{t = 0\}$  in terms of the Hodge filtrations on extension functors. The starting point is the following result of Malgrange (due in this form to Mustața and Popa).

Consider the  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}[s]f^s$  given by  $\mathcal{M}[s]f^s = \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X[s]$ -module, with  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -action defined by

$$\xi \cdot (us^j f^s) = \left( \xi(u)s^j + \frac{\xi(f)}{f} us^{j+1} \right) f^s$$

for a vector field  $\xi \in T_X$  and  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . The formula makes sense since  $f$  acts invertibly on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We equip  $\mathcal{M}[s]f^s$  with the structure of a  $\mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*} = \mathcal{D}_X \langle t, t^{-1}, \partial_t \rangle$ -module by setting  $s = -\partial_t t$  and

$$t \cdot (us^j f^s) = u(s+1)^j f^{s+1} = fu(s+1)^j f^s.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** [MP20, Proposition 2.5] *The morphism*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{M}[s]f^s \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_+ \mathcal{M}, \quad us^j f^s \mapsto u \otimes (-\partial_t t)^j,$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules with the inverse

$$(2.3) \quad u \otimes \partial_t^j \mapsto \frac{u}{f^j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (-s+i) f^s.$$

Here we have used the explicit description of the graph embedding as

$$\iota_+ \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{M} \otimes \partial_t^k.$$

Now consider the restrictions to  $U$ . Endow  $\mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  and  $\iota_+ \mathcal{N}$  with the filtrations

$$F_p \mathcal{N}[s]f^s = \sum_{j+k=p} F_j \mathcal{N} s^k f^s \quad \text{and} \quad F_p \iota_+ \mathcal{N} = \sum_{j+k=p-1} F_j \mathcal{N} \otimes \partial_t^k.$$

The latter is simply the Hodge filtration for the mixed Hodge module  $\iota_+ \mathcal{N}$  on  $U \times \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The isomorphism of Proposition 2.2 defines a filtered isomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{N}[s]f^s, F_\bullet) \cong (\iota_+ \mathcal{N}, F_{\bullet+1}).$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from the construction since  $1/f$  is a regular function on  $U$ .  $\square$

Now, the key observation is that the (non-holonomic) filtered  $\mathcal{D}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  can be approximated by mixed Hodge modules as follows. For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can write

$$\mathcal{N}f^{-\alpha} := \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)} = \mathcal{N} \otimes f^* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} t^{-\alpha}).$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} t^{-\alpha}$  is a unitary local system on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , hence a complex Hodge module [SS24, Theorem 16.2.1], so this defines a mixed Hodge module structure on  $\mathcal{N}f^{-\alpha}$ . More generally, for any  $n > 0$ , the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}[s]t^s/(s+\alpha)^n$  underlies an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure, with Hodge and weight filtrations given by

$$F_p \left( \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}[s]t^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right) = \sum_{j \leq p} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} s^j t^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \quad \text{and} \quad W_w \left( \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}[s]t^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right) = \sum_{2k \geq -w} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} (s+\alpha)^k t^s}{(s+\alpha)^n}.$$

This is the standard ‘‘nilpotent orbit’’ tensored with the unitary local system  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*} t^{-\alpha}$ . So we have a natural mixed Hodge module structure on

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} = \mathcal{N} \otimes f^* \left( \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}[s]}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right).$$

Note that this comes equipped with a (nilpotent) morphism of mixed Hodge modules

$$s + \alpha : \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n}(1) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n}$$

whose cokernel is  $\mathcal{N}[s]f^s/(s+\alpha)^{n-1}$ . Moreover, since the Hodge filtration on  $\mathcal{N}$  is bounded below, we have

$$(2.4) \quad F_p \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right) = F_p \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \quad \text{for } n \gg 0.$$

Taking the union over all  $p$ , we recover the entire filtered  $\mathcal{D}_U[s]$ -module  $\mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  from the inverse system of mixed Hodge modules  $\{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s/(s+\alpha)^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

The so far seems fairly trivial. However, applying the functors  $j_!$  and  $j_*$ , we get something quite non-trivial. Following [DV23, §3.3], we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_p j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s &:= \varprojlim_n F_p j_* \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right), & j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s &:= \bigcup_p F_p j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s, \\ F_p j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s &:= \varprojlim_n F_p j_! \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}[s]f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right), & j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s &:= \bigcup_p F_p j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4), the inverse limits are constant for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence, each  $j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  and  $j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  is a quasi-coherent filtered  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -module whose restriction to  $U$  is  $(\mathcal{N}[s]f^s, F_\bullet)$ . In particular, we have tautological filtered morphisms

$$(2.5) \quad (j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s, F_\bullet) \rightarrow (j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s, F_\bullet) \rightarrow (j_* \mathcal{N}[s]f^s, j_* F_\bullet) = (\iota_+ \mathcal{M}, F_{\bullet+1}^{naive}),$$

where the last equality is Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The morphisms (2.5) are strict and injective, and induce identifications*

$$j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \cong V^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \cong V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $F_\bullet^{naive} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} = F_\bullet V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$  by (2.1), this implies Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* It was shown in [DV23, Lemma 3.5] that the morphisms (2.5) are strict and injective and that

$$U^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} := j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \subseteq \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$$

is a decreasing, exhaustive, left-continuous filtration such that

$$U^{>\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M} = j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s.$$

The proof of this is not difficult: it reduces to a straightforward calculation in the case when  $D$  is smooth using the definition of  $j_!$  and  $j_*$  in terms of the  $V$ -filtration. It therefore remains to check that  $U^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  satisfies conditions (II)-(VI) of Definition 2.1.

For (II), by construction,  $j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \neq j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  if and only if  $j_! \mathcal{N} f^{-\alpha} \neq j_* \mathcal{N} f^{-\alpha}$ . This is a discrete set by, for example, [DV23, Proposition 3.3].

For (III) and (IV), we note that the isomorphism  $t : \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \cong \mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  descends to an isomorphism of mixed Hodge modules  $\mathcal{N}[s]f^s/(s+\alpha)^n \cong \mathcal{N}[s]f^s/(s+\alpha+1)^n$  for all  $n$ . It follows that

$$t : U^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{\alpha+1} \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \quad \text{for all } \alpha,$$

proving (IV). Since  $U^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} \subseteq \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -submodule by construction and  $V^\bullet \mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$  is generated over  $\mathcal{D}_X$  by  $t$  in degree 1 and  $\partial_t t = s$  in degree 0, this also implies (III).

For (V), we need to show that  $j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X \langle s, t \rangle$ -module. In fact, we show that it is a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -module. Recall that  $(j_* (\mathcal{N} \cdot f^{-\alpha}), F_\bullet)$  is a coherent filtered  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module so that we may choose local generators  $u_i \in F_{p_i} j_* (\mathcal{N} \cdot f^{-\alpha})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . The map

$$ev_{s=-\alpha} : j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s]f^s \rightarrow j_* (\mathcal{N} \cdot f^{-\alpha})$$



is filtered surjective; let us choose pre-images  $\tilde{u}_i \in F_{p_i} j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s$  of the  $u_i$ . We prove by induction on  $n$  that the morphism

$$\bigoplus_i F_{p-p_i} \frac{\mathcal{D}_X[s]}{(s+\alpha)^n} \cdot \tilde{u}_i \rightarrow F_p j_* \frac{\mathcal{N}[s] f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n}$$

is surjective for all  $n$  and all  $p$ . Since  $F_p j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s = F_p j_* \mathcal{N}[s] f^s / (s+\alpha)^n$  for  $n \gg 0$ , this implies that

$$\bigoplus_i F_{p-p_i} (\mathcal{D}_X[s]) \cdot \tilde{u}_i \rightarrow F_p j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s$$

is surjective for all  $p$ , and hence that the  $\tilde{u}_i$  generate  $j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s$  as a filtered  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -module. This gives the coherence over  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ .

The base case is  $n = 1$ , which is true by construction. For  $n > 1$ , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i F_{p-p_i-(n-1)} \mathcal{D}_X u_i & \xrightarrow{(s+\alpha)^{n-1}} & \bigoplus_i F_{p-p_i} \frac{\mathcal{D}_X[s]}{(s+\alpha)^n} \tilde{u}_i & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i F_{p-p_i} \frac{\mathcal{D}_X[s]}{(s+\alpha)^{n-1}} \tilde{u}_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{p-(n-1)} j_*(\mathcal{N} \cdot f^\alpha) & \xrightarrow{(s+\alpha)^{n-1}} & F_p j_* \frac{\mathcal{N}[s] f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} & \longrightarrow & F_p j_* \frac{\mathcal{N}[s] f^s}{(s+\alpha)^{n-1}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. By construction and induction, the first and third column are surjective, respectively. Therefore by five lemma, the second morphism is also surjective.

Finally, to prove (VI), recall that, by the  $b$ -function lemma, the cokernel

$$\mathcal{C}_n := \text{coker} \left( j_! \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}[s] f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right) \rightarrow j_* \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}[s] f^s}{(s+\alpha)^n} \right) \right)$$

stabilises for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence,

$$\text{gr}_U^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M} = \text{coker}(j_!^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s \rightarrow j_*^{(-\alpha)} \mathcal{N}[s] f^s) = \mathcal{C}_n$$

for  $n \gg 0$ . But  $(s+\alpha)^n$  clearly annihilates  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , so this proves (VI).  $\square$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a mixed Hodge module on  $U$ , then  $V^\alpha \iota_+ j_* \mathcal{N}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -coherent. In fact, this is true for any regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. We include the proof here for the lack of suitable references.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on a complex manifold  $X$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on  $X$ . Then  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$ -coherent for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D = \text{div}(f) \subseteq X$ . Clearly the proposition holds on the complement of  $D$  by Proposition 2.2, so it suffices to check the statement in a neighbourhood of  $D$ . By [SS24, Proposition 10.7.13], for example,  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_X[t]$ -coherent near  $D$ ; we claim that this implies that it is even  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -coherent here. To see this, choose local generators  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  for  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{D}_X[t]$ . Since  $\iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  is supported on the graph of  $f$ , there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $(t-f)^m v_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . So  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{M}$  is locally generated over  $\mathcal{D}_X$  by the sections  $t^j v_i$  for  $j < m$ . It is therefore coherent over  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and hence  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$  as claimed.  $\square$

### 3. APPLICATIONS TO HIGHER MULTIPLIER AND HODGE IDEALS

In this section, we give an illustrative application of our main theorem to the theory of higher multiplier and Hodge ideals by proving a precise comparison between the two. We also take the opportunity to explain how these fit neatly into the framework of complex Hodge modules, and to clarify some points in the literature.

Throughout the section, we fix a smooth complex variety  $X$  and a effective divisor  $D \subseteq X$  and write  $j : U = X \setminus D \hookrightarrow X$  for the inclusion of the complement. We assume for simplicity that  $D$  is reduced and given as the divisor of a regular function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We refer the reader to Appendix B for a discussion of the global case where such an  $f$  does not exist.

**3.1. Higher multiplier and Hodge ideals.** The higher multiplier and Hodge ideals are defined as follows.

Denote by  $\iota : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}$  the graph embedding of  $f$ . Then, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the associated *higher multiplier ideal*  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$ <sup>2</sup> is defined by [SY23a, Definition 5.3] (which is equivalent to the microlocal multiplier ideals in [Sai16])

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) \otimes \partial_t^k = \mathrm{gr}_{k+1}^F V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \mathrm{gr}_{k+1}^F \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \partial_t^k,$$

where

$$F_{k+1} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq k} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \partial_t^\ell, \quad F_{k+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X = F_{k+1} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X \cap V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X,$$

It is manifest from this definition that  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$  is a sheaf of ideals.

Similarly, when  $D$  is reduced, the *Hodge ideal*  $I_k(\alpha D)$  is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) f^{-\alpha} = F_k j_*(\mathcal{O}_U f^{-\alpha}) =: F_k \mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . One way to see that  $I_k(\alpha D)$  is indeed an ideal is to note that, under the isomorphism of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$(3.3) \quad F_{k+1} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \mathcal{O}_X(\ell D) \binom{s}{\ell} f^s.$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X(*D) = V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X$ . So Corollary 1.2 implies that

$$F_k \mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha} = \mathrm{ev}_{s=-\alpha}(F_{k+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X(*D)) \subseteq \mathrm{ev}_{s=-\alpha}(F_{k+1} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X(kD) f^{-\alpha}$$

and hence  $I_k(\alpha D) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  is an ideal. This formula for the Hodge filtration on  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha}$  is originally due to Mustaș and Popa [MP20, Theorem A].

Strictly speaking, (3.2) is different from the original definition of the Hodge ideals, which did not use the language of complex mixed Hodge modules. The two definitions nevertheless agree.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Definition (3.2) agrees with the definition of Hodge ideals in [MP19, §4].*

*Proof.* The Hodge ideal in [MP19] is defined by

$$I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) f^{-\alpha} = F'_k \mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha},$$

where the filtration  $F'_\bullet$  is defined as follows. Write  $\alpha = \frac{m}{n}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Extracting an  $n$ th root of  $f$ , we get an  $n$ -fold cyclic covering  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with Galois group  $\mu_n$  so that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha} \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\pi^{-1}(D))$$

is the summand on which  $\zeta \in \mu_n$  acts by  $\zeta^{-m}$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\pi^{-1}(D))$  underlies the (rational) mixed Hodge module  $\pi_* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}^H[\dim X]$ , where  $\tilde{j} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is the inclusion, so it comes with a Hodge filtration  $F_\bullet$ . The filtration  $F'_\bullet$  is defined as the restriction of this Hodge filtration to  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D) f^{-\alpha}$ . But (3.4) is an inclusion of complex mixed Hodge modules, so this agrees with the Hodge filtration  $F_\bullet$  appearing in (3.2), and hence the two definitions coincide.  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>As in the introduction, we choose this notation instead of the corresponding  $\mathcal{I}_{k,-\alpha}(D)$  in [SY23a].

**Remark 3.2.** For  $D$  reduced, the weighted Hodge ideals  $I_k^{W\bullet}(D)$ , as a filtration of the Hodge ideal  $I_k(D)$ , have been introduced in [Ola23]. By Lemma 3.1, we can use (3.2) to define weighted multiplier ideals for arbitrary  $D$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  by

$$W_\ell I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \cdot f^{-\alpha} = F_k W_{\dim X + \ell} j_* (\mathcal{O}_U f^{-\alpha}), \quad \forall \ell \geq 0.$$

One can prove that they generalize many properties of  $I_k^{W\bullet}(D)$  as in [Ola23]. However we have chosen not to include them in this note. For a systematic treatment from this perspective, see Dakin's independent work [Dak25].

**3.2. Comparison between higher multiplier and Hodge ideals.** We now give the proof of Theorem 1.3 that the higher multiplier ideals can be recovered from the family of Hodge ideals by taking a limit. The proof is based on the observation above that both ideals can be written in terms of the same sheaf  $F_{k+1} V^\alpha \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X$ .

We begin with some basic lemmas about families of ideals over curves. Recall from the introduction that if  $X$  and  $S$  are schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then a *family of ideals* over  $S$  is a sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$  such that the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}$  is flat over  $S$ . When  $X$  is projective, this is the same data as a morphism from  $S$  to the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}(X)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $S$  a smooth curve and  $U \subseteq S$  a dense open subset. Then any family of ideals  $\mathcal{I}_U$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  over  $U$  extends uniquely to a family over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $S = \text{Spec } R$  and  $U = \text{Spec } R'$  are affine. Note also that since  $S$  is a smooth curve, a module over  $R$  (or its localisation  $R'$ ) is flat if and only if it is torsion-free; in particular every submodule of a flat module is flat. Now, to prove existence, let us write

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_U \cap (A \otimes R) \subseteq (A \otimes R),$$

where we regard  $\mathcal{I}_U$  as an ideal in  $A \otimes R' \supseteq A \otimes R$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_U$  is flat over  $R'$ , it is flat over  $R$  and hence so is its submodule  $\mathcal{I}$ . So  $\mathcal{I}$  defines an extension to a family of ideals over  $S$  as desired. To prove uniqueness, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}'$  is another such extension of  $\mathcal{I}_U$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . So

$$\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}' \subseteq (A \otimes R) / \mathcal{I}'$$

is an  $R$ -submodule supported on the complement of  $U$ . Since the  $R$ -module  $R / \mathcal{I}'$  is torsion-free, we therefore have  $\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}' = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $S$  a smooth curve and  $Z \subseteq X$  a Zariski-dense subset. If  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}'$  are families of ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  over  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_s = \mathcal{I}'_s$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Consider the quotients  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}'$ . By generic freeness, there exists a dense open subset  $U \subseteq S$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}|_U$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}'|_U$  are free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules. We claim that the set

$$W = \{s \in U \mid \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}\}$$

is closed in  $U$ . Indeed,  $W$  is the vanishing locus of the map of free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} / \mathcal{I}'|_U,$$

which, as the intersection of the vanishing loci of the matrix coefficients, is manifestly closed. Since  $Z \cap U \subseteq W$  and  $Z$  is Zariski-dense, we conclude that  $W = U$ , i.e., that  $\mathcal{I}'|_U \subseteq \mathcal{I}|_U$ . Interchanging the roles of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}'$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{I}|_U = \mathcal{I}'|_U$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$  by applying Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

We will also use the following flatness criterion.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $S$  a smooth curve and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$  a sheaf of ideals. Then  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathcal{I}$  is  $S$ -flat at  $s \in S$  if and only if the morphism*

$$\mathcal{I}|_{X \times \{s\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{s\}}$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* The sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathcal{I}$  is  $S$ -flat at  $s$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_s}(\mathcal{O}_s, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathcal{I}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Since  $S$  is a smooth curve and  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -torsion-free,  $\mathcal{I}$  itself is flat over  $S$ . The lemma now follows from the long exact sequence associated to

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Uniqueness follows from Lemma 3.4 since every interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

We next prove existence. To simplify the notation, write

$$V^\gamma := V^\gamma \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $V^\alpha \subseteq \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X$ , so from the formula (3.3), we have

$$(3.5) \quad F_{k+1}V^\alpha \subseteq F_{k+1}\iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]_{\leq k} f^s,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[s]_{\leq k}$  is the space of polynomials in  $s$  of degree at most  $k$ . The idea of the construction is to define a family of ideals by taking the image of this subspace under evaluation at different values of  $s$ . More precisely, note that

$$\mathbb{C}[s]_{\leq k} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(k \cdot \infty)),$$

where we identify  $-s$  with the coordinate  $z$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . So (3.5) provides a morphism

$$(3.6) \quad F_{k+1}V^\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-k(D \times \mathbb{P}^1) - k(X \times \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}$  be the image of (3.6). By generic flatness, there exists a dense open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$  over which  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}/\mathcal{I}'$  is flat. We let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the unique extension of  $\mathcal{I}'|_{X \times U}$  to  $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$  provided by Lemma 3.3.

We next check that the restriction of  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $z = \beta$  is  $I_k(\beta D)$  for  $\beta = \alpha - \epsilon$ ,  $0 \leq \epsilon \ll 1$ : note that  $V^\beta = V^\alpha$  in this range. By construction,

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\beta\}} = \frac{F_{k+1}V^\beta}{F_{k+1}V^\beta \cap (s + \beta)\mathbb{C}[s] \cdot F_{k+1}V^\beta} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-kD).$$

Now, by Corollary 1.2, the morphism  $s + \beta: V^\beta \rightarrow V^\beta\{-1\}$  is strict, so

$$F_{k+1}V^\beta \cap (s + \beta)V^\beta = (s + \beta)F_kV^\beta.$$

Hence, the denominator of (3.7) satisfies

$$(s + \beta)F_kV^\beta \subseteq F_{k+1}V^\beta \cap (s + \beta)\mathbb{C}[s] \cdot F_{k+1}V^\beta \subseteq F_{k+1}V^\beta \cap (s + \beta)V^\beta = (s + \beta)F_kV^\beta.$$

So by Corollary 1.2, (3.7) becomes

$$\mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\beta\}} = \frac{F_{k+1}V^\beta}{(s + \beta)F_kV^\beta} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-kD) = I_k(\beta D).$$

Since  $I_k(\beta D)$  injects into  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}/\mathcal{I}'$  is flat at  $z = \beta$ , and hence that

$$\mathcal{I}|_{X \times \{\beta\}} = \mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\beta\}} = I_k(\beta D) \quad \text{for } \beta = \alpha - \epsilon, 0 \leq \epsilon \ll 1.$$

Finally, we show that the fibre of  $\mathcal{I}$  at  $z = \infty$  coincides with the higher multiplier ideal  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$ . We have

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\infty\}} = \frac{F_{k+1}V^\alpha}{F_{k+1}V^\alpha \cap s^{-1}\mathbb{C}[s^{-1}] \cdot F_{k+1}V^\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-kD)s^{-k}.$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$F_{k+1}V^\alpha = V^\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]_{\leq k} f^s.$$

So

$$F_{k+1}V^\alpha \cap s^{-1}\mathbb{C}[s^{-1}] \cdot F_{k+1}V^\alpha \subseteq V^\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]_{\leq k-1} = F_kV^\alpha.$$

Conversely,  $sF_kV^\alpha \subseteq F_{k+1}V^\alpha$ , so

$$F_{k+1}V^\alpha \cap s^{-1}\mathbb{C}[s^{-1}] \cdot F_{k+1}V^\alpha = F_kV^\alpha.$$

Plugging into (3.8), we get

$$\mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\infty\}} = \mathrm{gr}_{k+1}^F V^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-kD)s^{-k} = \mathrm{gr}_{k+1}^F V^\alpha \otimes \partial_t^{-k} = \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$$

Since  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$  injects into  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , we conclude as above that

$$\mathcal{I}|_{X \times \{\infty\}} = \mathcal{I}'|_{X \times \{\infty\}} = \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**3.3. A new proof of a result of Budur-Saito.** We use Theorem 1.1 to give a new proof the following result by Budur-Saito [BS05].

**Corollary 3.6.** *For  $\alpha > 0$ , one has  $F_1V^{>\alpha}\iota_+\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{J}(f^\alpha)$ , the multiplier ideal of  $f^\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that locally sections of  $\mathcal{J}(f^\alpha)$  are those  $g$  such that  $|g|^2|f|^{-2\alpha}$  is locally integrable. By the version of [SY23b, Theorem A] for complex Hodge modules (see also the proof of [DLY24, Theorem 3.4]), one has

$$\mathcal{J}(f^\alpha) = F_0j_{!*}(\mathcal{O}_U \cdot f^{-\alpha}).$$

By Theorem 2.4,  $j_!^{(-\alpha)} \hookrightarrow j_*^{(-\alpha)}$  is a filtered inclusion. Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$F_0j_{!*}(\mathcal{O}_U \cdot f^{-\alpha}) = F_0j_!(\mathcal{O}_U \cdot f^{-\alpha}) = F_0j_!^{(-\alpha)}\mathcal{O}_U = F_1V^{>\alpha}\iota_+\mathcal{O}_X. \quad \square$$

## APPENDIX A. FUNCTORIALITY FOR HIGHER MULTIPLIER IDEALS

In this section, we observe that the functoriality for mixed Hodge modules gives, in principle, a method for computing higher multiplier ideals from a log resolution. This resolves some questions of Schnell and the second-named author [SY23a, Question 10.1 and Problem 10.2].

Recall that if  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a projective morphism, then the direct image of a mixed Hodge module  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $Y$  is given at the level of filtered  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules by Laumon's formula

$$(A.1) \quad (\pi_*\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet) := \mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, F_\bullet) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{(\mathcal{D}_Y, F_\bullet)} (\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet)),$$

as an object in the filtered derived category of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. Here we give the bimodule  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \leftarrow X}$  the filtration by order of differential operator starting in degree  $\dim X - \dim Y$ . It is an important theorem of Saito (see [Sai88, Théorème 1], [Sai90, Theorem 2.14] and [SS24, Theorem 14.3.1]), essential for the construction of the six functor formalism, that this filtered complex is *strict*, i.e., the associated spectral sequence degenerates at the first page.

Now suppose that  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a log resolution of  $(X, D)$  and write  $\tilde{D} = \pi^*(D)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)f^{-\alpha} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\tilde{D})f^{-\alpha}$  as mixed Hodge modules. So (A.1) gives

$$(A.2) \quad (\mathcal{O}_X(*D)f^{-\alpha}, F_\bullet) = \mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow \tilde{X}}, F_\bullet) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}}, F_\bullet)} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\tilde{D})f^{-\alpha}, F_\bullet)).$$

In [MP19, §6], Mustață and Popa use the fact that  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  has simple normal crossings to write down an explicit resolution of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\tilde{D})f^{-\alpha}, F_\bullet)$  by locally free filtered  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}}$ -modules. Combining with (A.2), this gives an explicit complex computing the Hodge ideals  $I_k(\alpha D)$  [MP19, Theorem 8.1].

In [SY23a, Problem 10.2], it was asked by Schnell and the second-named author whether one has a similar birational description of the higher multiplier ideals  $\tilde{I}_k(\alpha D)$ . We note here that this is indeed the case. The main ingredient is the following result of Saito.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a projective morphism and  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{MHM}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)$ . Abusing notation, write  $\iota$  for the graph embeddings of both  $f$  and  $f \circ \pi$ . Then the complex*

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, F_{\bullet}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{D}_Y, F_{\bullet})} (V^{\bullet} \iota_+ \mathcal{M}, F_{\bullet}))$$

*is bi-strict with respect to the filtrations  $F_{\bullet}$  and  $V^{\bullet}$ , and*

$$(V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{H}^i(\pi_* \mathcal{M}), F_{\bullet}) = \mathcal{H}^i(\mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, F_{\bullet}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{D}_Y, F_{\bullet})} (V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{M}, F_{\bullet})))$$

*for all  $i$  and all  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* In [Sai88, Proposition 3.3.17], Saito proves this statement assuming only that the filtered  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \times \mathbb{C}}$ -module  $(\iota_+ \mathcal{M}, F_{\bullet})$  is quasi-unipotent and regular along  $Y \times \{0\}$  and that

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, F_{\bullet}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{D}_Y, F_{\bullet})}^{\mathbf{L}} (\text{gr}_V^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}, F_{\bullet}))$$

is strict for all  $\alpha$ . The first of these conditions holds by definition for any mixed Hodge module and the second by the direct image theorem.  $\square$

We note that for  $\alpha > 0$ , Proposition A.1 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 and the strictness of (A.1). This argument is circular, however, since Proposition A.1 is used to construct the six functor formalism for mixed Hodge modules in the first place.

Applying Proposition A.1 to a log resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of  $(X, D)$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(*\tilde{D})$ , we get

$$(V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X, F_{\bullet}) = \mathbf{R}\pi_*((\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow \tilde{X}}, F_{\bullet}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}}, F_{\bullet})} (V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, F_{\bullet}))$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ . Taking associated gradeds, we get a graded isomorphism

$$(A.3) \quad \bigoplus_k \text{gr}_{k+1}^F V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X = \mathbf{R}\pi_* \left( \omega_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \text{Sym}(\pi^* T_X) \otimes_{\text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}})}^{\mathbf{L}} \left( \bigoplus_k \text{gr}_{k+1}^F V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \right) \right),$$

where  $\pi^* T_X$  and  $T_{\tilde{X}}$  have graded degree 1.

Equation (A.3) gives an immediate answer to [SY23a, Question 10.1].

**Corollary A.2.** *We have*

$$\pi_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \tilde{I}_k(\alpha \tilde{D})) \subseteq \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D).$$

*Proof.* The tautological morphism  $\text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\pi^* T_X)$  of  $\text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}})$ -modules defines a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_k \pi_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \tilde{I}_k(\alpha \tilde{D})) \otimes \partial_t^k &= \pi_* \left( \omega_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}}) \otimes_{\text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}})}^{\mathbf{L}} \left( \bigoplus_k \tilde{I}_k(\alpha \tilde{D}) \otimes \partial_t^k \right) \right), \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* \left( \omega_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \text{Sym}(\pi^* T_X) \otimes_{\text{Sym}(T_{\tilde{X}})}^{\mathbf{L}} \left( \bigoplus_k \tilde{I}_k(\alpha \tilde{D}) \otimes \partial_t^k \right) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_k \tilde{I}_k(\alpha D) \otimes \partial_t^k, \end{aligned}$$

which is the identity outside  $D$ . This gives the desired inclusion of ideals for all  $k$ .  $\square$

The formula (A.3) gives in principle a solution to [SY23a, Problem 10.2]. This can be made more explicit, using a recent result by Chen-Mustață [CM25, Theorem 4.1] where they find an explicit filtered resolution for  $(V^{\alpha} \iota_+ \mathcal{O}_X, F_{\bullet})$  in the normal crossings case.

## APPENDIX B. GLOBAL HODGE IDEALS VIA TWISTED MIXED HODGE MODULES

Let us now drop the assumption that  $D$  is given as the divisor of a global function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In this setting, the higher multiplier and Hodge ideals do not come directly from the Hodge filtrations on any mixed Hodge modules. They do, however, come from Hodge filtrations on *twisted* mixed Hodge modules. As this theory is not as well-known to singularity theorists as it could be, we include a brief discussion below.

For any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , there is a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$  on  $X$ , called the sheaf of  $\lambda\mathcal{L}$ -*twisted differential operators*, constructed as follows. First, form the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor

$$p : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times = \mathrm{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \right) \rightarrow X,$$

with  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action defined so that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  has degree  $n$ . The sheaf of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -invariant differential operators

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}} := p_*(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times})^{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

is a quasi-coherent sheaf of algebras on  $X$ , with centre  $\mathbb{C}[\theta]$  generated by the derivative  $\theta$  of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action (acting on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  by multiplication by  $n$ ). The sheaf of twisted differential operators is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\theta],\lambda} \mathbb{C} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}} / (\theta - \lambda).$$

Any trivialisation of  $\mathcal{L}$  determines an isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{D}_X[\theta]$  and hence  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathcal{D}_X$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$  is locally isomorphic to the sheaf of differential operators, but not necessarily globally. The definition is cooked up so that  $\mathcal{L}$  itself is canonically a  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\mathcal{L}}$ -module, even though it need not admit any structure of a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module.

One can define  $\lambda\mathcal{L}$ -twisted Hodge modules by copying the definition of ordinary complex Hodge modules with  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$  in place of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . This works because  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$  is locally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and the definition of Hodge module is local. See, for example, [SY23a, §3] for the polarized case and also [SV11]. Alternatively, one can construct the twisted theory inside the untwisted theory as follows.

By descent, the category  $\mathrm{QCoh}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}})$  of quasi-coherent  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}}$ -modules is equivalent to the category  $\mathrm{QCoh}^{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times})$  of quasi-coherent  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant modules over the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times}$  (this is sometimes called “weak” equivariance, as it is weaker than the usual notion of equivariance for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules). The functor in one direction is pullback  $p^*$  and in the other is  $p_*(-)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ . A less obvious fact (see, e.g., [BB91, Lemma 2.5.4]) is that the pullback functor

$$(B.1) \quad p^* : \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}) \subseteq \mathrm{QCoh}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{X,\mathcal{L}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times})$$

is also fully faithful, without any equivariance on the target. In other words, for fixed  $\lambda$ , there is at most one  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $p_*(\mathcal{M})^{\mathbb{G}_m}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$ -module.

This motivates the definition of twisted mixed Hodge module below.

**Definition B.1.** A  $\lambda\mathcal{L}$ -*twisted mixed Hodge module* on  $X$  is a mixed Hodge module on  $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times$  whose underlying  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times}$ -module lies in the image of (B.1). We write  $\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}})$  for the category of such things.

This is the definition used in [DV23], for example. The category  $\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}})$  is zero unless  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  (e.g., [DV23, Proposition 2.6]). By [DV23, Proposition 2.9], for example, the Hodge filtration on the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times}$ -module underlying an object in  $\mathrm{MHM}(\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}})$  is preserved by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action, and hence descends to a Hodge filtration  $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$  on the associated  $\mathcal{D}_{X,\lambda\mathcal{L}}$ -module.

Now let us relate twisted mixed Hodge modules to global Hodge ideals. Let  $D$  be an effective divisor on  $X$  with a section  $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a mixed Hodge module where local equations of  $D$  act bijectively. The tautological section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  defines a function

$$f : \text{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

on which  $\mathbb{G}_m$  (and hence  $\theta$ ) acts with weight 1. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the tautological  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on the  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times}$ -module  $p^*\mathcal{M}$  gives a weak  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $p^*\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta$  such that  $\theta$  acts on

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta := p_*(p^*\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

by  $\beta$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_{X, \beta\mathcal{L}}$ -module. Note that any local equation  $g$  for  $D$  determines a trivialisation  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  sending  $f$  to  $g$  and the  $\mathcal{D}_{X, \beta\mathcal{L}}$ -module  $\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta$  to the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M} \cdot g^\beta$ , so this agrees with the construction in [SY23a, §3]. Since  $p^*\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta$  underlies a mixed Hodge module on  $\text{Tot}(\mathcal{L})^\times$ , we deduce:

**Proposition B.2.**  *$\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta$  underlies a  $\beta\mathcal{L}$ -twisted mixed Hodge module.*

In particular, we have an associated Hodge filtration

$$F_\bullet(\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta) := p_*(F_\bullet(p^*\mathcal{M} \cdot f^\beta))^{\mathbb{G}_m}.$$

It follows that the Hodge ideal  $I_k(\alpha D)$  can be defined by a global version of (1.5):

$$I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \cong I_k(\alpha D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(kD) \cdot f^{-\alpha} := F_k(\mathcal{O}_X(*D) \cdot f^{-\alpha}), \quad \forall \alpha > 0,$$

where in the first isomorphism we identify  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D) \cdot f^{-\alpha}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$  as a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$  by ignoring  $f^{-\alpha}$ .

In [Che23], Bingyi Chen introduces

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\alpha D) := \frac{\omega_X(kD) \otimes I_k(\alpha D)}{\omega_X((k-1)D) \otimes I_{k-1}(\alpha D)},$$

and a Spencer complex  $\text{Sp}_k(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(D))$ . It is direct to see that

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\alpha D) = \omega_X \otimes \text{gr}_k^F(\mathcal{O}_X(*D) \cdot f^{-\alpha}), \quad \text{Sp}_k(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(D)) = \text{gr}_k^F \text{DR}(\mathcal{O}_X(*D) \cdot f^{-\alpha}),$$

where  $\text{gr}_k^F \text{DR}(\mathcal{M})$  stands for the graded piece of the de Rham complex of a twisted  $\mathcal{D}$ -module (see e.g. [SY23a, §3.7]). The following results strengthen the main vanishing theorem for Hodge ideals [Che23, Theorem 1.1].

**Corollary B.3** ([Che23, Theorem 1.2(1)]). *If  $X$  is projective and  $B - \alpha D$  is ample, then*

$$H^i(X, \text{Sp}_k(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(B)) = 0, \quad \text{whenever } i > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(*D) \cdot f^{-\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(B)$  is  $(B - \alpha D)$ -twisted by Proposition B.2, what we want follows from the twisted Kodaira vanishing [DV23, Theorem 7.2] (see also [SY23a, Theorem 1.7]).  $\square$

**Corollary B.4.** *Suppose that  $X$  is projective and either:*

- (1) *The tangent bundle of  $T_X$  is trivial and  $B - \alpha D$  is ample,*
- (2)  *$X$  is a homogeneous variety and  $B - \alpha D + \frac{1}{2}K_X$  is semi-ample, or*
- (3)  *$X = \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $B - \alpha D$  is ample.*

*Then*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{M}_k(\alpha D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(B)) = 0, \quad \text{whenever } i > 0.$$

*Proof.* The first case follows by the same argument as the proof of [SY23a, Theorem 4.7]. The second case is a special case of [DV23, Theorem 1.3] (when  $X$  is a full flag variety) or [DMB25, Theorem 1.11] (when  $X$  is a partial flag variety). The third case follows from Corollary B.3 using the Euler sequence as in the case of untwisted Hodge modules [Sai90, Remark 2.34, 4)].  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [BB91] Alexander Beilinson and Joseph Bernstein. A proof of Jantzen conjectures. *Advances in Soviet Mathematics*, 16(1):1–50, 1991.
- [Bei87] Alexander Beilinson. How to glue perverse sheaves. In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, volume 1289 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 42–51. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [BS05] Nero Budur and Morihiko Saito. Multiplier ideals,  $V$ -filtration, and spectrum. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14(2):269–282, 2005.
- [Che23] Bingyi Chen. Vanishing for Hodge ideals of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (1):185–209, 2023.
- [CM25] Qianyu Chen and Mircea Mustața. A birational description of the minimal exponent. *arxiv 2502.07233*, 2025.
- [Dak25] Henry Dakin. Weight filtration and generating level, 2025. [arXiv 2503.14216](#).
- [DLY24] Dougal Davis, András C. Lőrincz, and Ruijie Yang. Archimedean zeta functions, singularities, and Hodge theory, 2024. [arXiv 2412.07849](#).
- [DMB25] Dougal Davis and Lucas Mason-Brown. Hodge theory, intertwining functors, and the Orbit Method for real reductive groups, 2025. [arXiv 2503.14794](#).
- [DV22] Dougal Davis and Kari Vilonen. Mixed Hodge modules and real groups, 2022. [arXiv:2309.08797](#).
- [DV23] Dougal Davis and Kari Vilonen. Unitary representations of real groups and localization theory for Hodge modules, 2023. [arXiv 2309.13215](#).
- [Kas83] Masaki Kashiwara. Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations. In *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 134–142. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [Mal83] Bernard Malgrange. Polynômes de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescence. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 101-102 of *Astérisque*, pages 243–267. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.
- [MM04] Philippe Maisonbe and Zoghman Mebkhout. Le théorème de comparaison pour les cycles évanescents. *Sémin. Congr.*, 8:311–389, 2004.
- [MP19] Mircea Mustața and Mihnea Popa. Hodge ideals for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors: birational approach. *Journal de l'École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, 6:283–328, 2019.
- [MP20] Mircea Mustața and Mihnea Popa. Hodge ideals for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors,  $V$ -filtration, and minimal exponent. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 8:Paper No. e19, 41, 2020.
- [Ola23] Sebastián Olano. Weighted Hodge ideals of reduced divisors. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 11:Paper No. e51, 28, 2023.
- [Pop18] Mihnea Popa.  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules in birational geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. II. Invited lectures*, pages 781–806. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [Sab87] Claude Sabbah. Proximité évanescence, I. La structure polaire d'un  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. *Comp. Math.*, 62:283–328, 1987.
- [Sai88] Morihiko Saito. Modules de Hodge polarisables. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 24(6):849–995, 1988.
- [Sai90] Morihiko Saito. Mixed Hodge modules. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 26(2):221–333, 1990.
- [Sai16] Morihiko Saito. Hodge ideals and microlocal  $V$ -filtration. *arXiv 1612.08667*, 2016.
- [SS24] Claude Sabbah and Christian Schnell. Mixed Hodge Module Project. <https://perso.pages.math.cnrs.fr/users/claude.sabbah/MHMPProject/mhm.pdf>, 2024.
- [SV11] Wilfried Schmid and Kari Vilonen. *Hodge Theory and Unitary Representations of Reductive Lie Groups*, pages 397–420. International Press, 2011.
- [SY23a] Christian Schnell and Ruijie Yang. Higher multiplier ideals. *arXiv 2309.16763*, 2023.
- [SY23b] Christian Schnell and Ruijie Yang. Hodge modules and singular Hermitian metrics. *Math. Z.*, 303(2):Paper No. 28, 20, 2023.
- [Zha21] Mingyi Zhang. Hodge filtration and Hodge ideals for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors with weighted homogeneous isolated singularities or convenient non-degenerate singularities. *Asian J. Math.*, 25(5):641–664, 2021.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE, VIC, 3010,  
AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* [dougal.davis1@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:dougal.davis1@unimelb.edu.au)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 1450 JAYHAWK BLVD, LAWRENCE, KS  
66045, UNITED STATES

*E-mail address:* [ruijie.yang@ku.edu](mailto:ruijie.yang@ku.edu)