

# Dynamic Bi-Elman Attention Networks: A Dual-Directional Context-Aware Test-Time Learning for Text Classification

Dong Xu<sup>1</sup>, Mengyao Liao<sup>2</sup>, Zhenglin Lai<sup>2</sup>(✉)

<sup>1</sup> National Engineering Laboratory for Big Data System Computing Technology, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China  
2400671001@mails.szu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> College of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China  
2022150227@email.szu.edu.cn, 2022152002@email.szu.edu.cn

**Abstract.** Text classification, a fundamental task in natural language processing, aims to categorize textual data into predefined labels. Traditional methods struggled with complex linguistic structures and semantic dependencies. The advent of deep learning, particularly recurrent neural networks and Transformer-based models, has significantly advanced the field by enabling nuanced feature extraction and context-aware predictions. Despite improvements, existing models exhibit limitations in balancing interpretability, computational efficiency, and long-range contextual understanding. This paper proposes the Dynamic Bidirectional Elman with Attention Network (DBEAN), which integrates bidirectional temporal modelling with self-attention mechanisms. DBEAN dynamically assigns weights to critical segments of input, improving contextual representation while maintaining computational efficiency. Code is available at <https://github.com/doomx1/DBEAN>.

**Keywords:** Self-Attention Mechanism, Text Classification, Context-Aware, Bidirectional Temporal Modelling.

## 1 Introduction

Text classification, a fundamental task in natural language processing [1], aims to categorize textual data into predefined labels, underpinning applications ranging from sentiment analysis to document categorization. Traditional approaches, including rule-based methods and statistical models such as Naïve Bayes [2] and Support Vector Machines [3][4], were initially effective but struggled with complex linguistic structures and semantic dependencies. The advent of deep learning, particularly recurrent neural networks and Transformer-based models [5], has significantly advanced the field by enabling more nuanced feature extraction and context-aware predictions. However, despite these improvements, existing models exhibit critical

limitations in balancing interpretability, computational efficiency, and long-range contextual understanding.

Early deep learning architectures, such as Elman RNNs [6] and Long Short-Term Memory networks [7], revolutionized text classification by capturing sequential dependencies. However, these models suffer from inherent shortcomings, notably the vanishing gradient problem and their reliance on sequential processing, which hinders scalability for long texts. Gated Recurrent Units [8] mitigated some of these issues by simplifying gating mechanisms, but they still retained a fundamental limitation in handling bidirectional context effectively. More recent architectures, such as Bidirectional Elman Networks (Bi-Elman RNNs), improved upon this by introducing dual processing pathways, allowing for the simultaneous modeling of forward and backward dependencies. Despite these enhancements, Bi-Elman RNNs remain constrained by their static fusion strategies, which fail to dynamically emphasize salient contextual elements.

The emergence of Transformer architectures, particularly BERT [9] and GPT [10] models, addressed many of these challenges by leveraging self-attention mechanisms. Transformers enable parallel processing of input sequences, significantly reducing training time while enhancing the ability to model long-range dependencies. Pre-trained language models (PLMs) such as RoBERTa [11] and XLNet [12] further refined this paradigm by introducing dynamic masking techniques and optimized training strategies. However, while Transformers outperform RNN-based models in accuracy, they are computationally intensive, requiring substantial memory and processing power. This trade-off makes them less suitable for resource-constrained environments or real-time applications, where efficiency and interpretability remain critical concerns.

To bridge the gap between bidirectional recurrent networks and attention mechanisms, we propose the Dynamic Bidirectional Elman with Attention Network (DBEAN). Our framework integrates bidirectional temporal modeling with self-attention mechanisms, striking a balance between efficiency and accuracy. Unlike traditional Bi-Elman networks, DBEAN employs an adaptive attention module that dynamically assigns weights to critical segments, refining contextual representations for downstream tasks. This design addresses the static fusion limitation of RNNs while maintaining computational efficiency compared to full self-attention models.

The contributions of this work are threefold:

- 1) To address the inefficiencies of traditional bidirectional RNNs, we introduce a Dynamic Bidirectional Elman Network that maintains parameter efficiency while enhancing contextual depth.
- 2) To improve feature weighting and interpretability, we incorporate self-attention mechanisms that dynamically highlight salient temporal patterns, enabling enhanced representation learning.
- 3) To achieve a balance between computational efficiency and classification accuracy, we design a hybrid framework that leverages bidirectional recurrence with adaptive attention, achieving superior performance in resource-constrained environments.

This paper systematically evaluates the proposed DBEAN model by benchmarking it against state-of-the-art architectures, demonstrating its effectiveness in capturing bidirectional contextual dependencies while ensuring computational efficiency.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Overall Framework

The proposed Dynamic Bidirectional Elman with Attention Network (DBEAN) integrates bidirectional temporal modeling with self-attention mechanisms to achieve balanced accuracy and efficiency in sequence classification tasks. The framework operates through three interconnected components: bidirectional Elman circuits, a context fusion layer, and attention-enhanced refinement. The bidirectional Elman circuits consist of a forward path that processes inputs chronologically to capture left-to-right context (e.g., grammatical dependencies) and a backward path that processes inputs in reverse order to capture right-to-left context (e.g., semantic associations), with both paths sharing identical weight matrices  $W_f = W_b$  to minimize parameter redundancy. The context fusion layer concatenates the final forward state  $H_f^T$  and the initial backward state  $H_b^1$  into a unified contextual representation  $H_{fusion}$ . Subsequently, the attention-enhanced refinement dynamically weighs critical temporal segments using a self-attention mechanism, which refines the fused context for downstream tasks. The framework's operations are formally defined as follows: forward hidden states  $H_f^t = f(W_f[H_f^{t-1}; X_t])$ , backward hidden states  $H_b^t = f(W_b[H_b^{b-t}; X_{rev,t}])$ , fusion  $H_{fusion} = [H_f^T; H_b^1]$ , attention  $A_t = \text{softmax}(\alpha W_a[H_{fusion}(t); H_{fusion}(t-1)])$ , and refined output  $Y = \sigma(W_o[H_{fusion}(t); A_t])$ , where  $f$  denotes the activation function,  $\alpha$  is the sigmoid function, and parameter sharing  $W_f = W_b$  ensures computational efficiency.




Fig. 1. DBEAN Framework.

During testing, the model first performs a forward pass. When processing the sequence backward, we unfreeze the model, initialize the learning rate with the final rate from training, and perform a 2-step parameter update using the test sequence. The output tokens are then injected into the original framework for text classification. After injection, the original model parameters are restored.




Fig.2. Overall of Dynamic Bidirectional Elman Attention Network

## 2.2 Bi-Elman Network Architecture

The Bi-Elman network extends the foundational Elman network by introducing a bidirectional learning paradigm that enhances contextual representation while maintaining computational efficiency. Unlike traditional unidirectional recurrent networks, which process text sequentially in a single direction, the Bi-Elman network utilizes dual temporal pathways—a forward path that processes the sequence in its natural order and a backward path that operates on the reversed sequence. This bidirectional structure enables the model to capture both grammatical dependencies from left to right and semantic associations from right to left, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of textual information. Each pathway consists of an input layer, a recurrent hidden layer, and an output layer, with weight matrices  $W_f$  and  $W_b$  shared across both directions to minimize parameter redundancy. Formally given an input sequence  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T\}$  of length  $T$ , the forward hidden states  $H_f^t$  at each time step  $t$  are computed as:

$$H_f^t = \sigma \left( W_f \left[ H_f^{t-1}; X_t \right] + b_f \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a nonlinear activation function such as  $\tanh$ , and  $[H_f^{t-1}; X_t]$  denotes the concatenation of the previous hidden state and the current input. Similarly, the backward hidden states  $H_b^t$  are

$$X_{rev} = \{x_T, x_{T-1}, \dots, x_1\} \quad (2)$$

$$H_b^t = \sigma(W_b[H_b^{t+1}; X_{rev,t}] + b_b) \quad (3)$$

where  $H_b^t$  represents the hidden state at time  $t$  in the backward pass. The final bidirectional representation is obtained by concatenating the forward and backward hidden states:

$$H_{fusion} = [H_f^T; H_b^1] \quad (4)$$

This fusion process allows the network to capture long-range dependencies across both directions, which is particularly useful for tasks requiring anaphora resolution and global context awareness. However, despite its advantages in contextual learning, the Bi-Elman network lacks gating mechanisms like those found in LSTM and GRU, making it susceptible to vanishing gradient issues when processing very long sequences. Additionally, while bidirectional recurrence improves contextual understanding, its static fusion approach treats all time steps equally, failing to emphasize particularly salient tokens in noisy or variable-length sequences. To mitigate this limitation, we integrate a self-attention mechanism that dynamically assigns importance to different parts of the sequence. The attention weight  $A_t$  at time step  $t$  is computed as:

$$A_t = \text{softmax}(\alpha W_a[H_{fusion}(t); H_{fusion}(t-1)]) \quad (5)$$

where  $W_a$  is the learnable attention weight matrix, and  $\alpha$  is a scaling factor. The final refined hidden representation is obtained by re-weighting the fused hidden state using the computed attention scores:

$$H_{att}^t = f(W_d[H_{fusion}(t); A_t]) \quad (6)$$

where  $W_d$  is another trainable weight matrix, and  $f$  is an activation function. This integration allows the model to dynamically prioritize key information while maintaining computational efficiency.

### 2.3 Integration of Attention Mechanism

The integration of an attention mechanism into the Bi-Elman network enhances its ability to model long-range dependencies while dynamically refining feature representation. By combining bidirectional recurrence with adaptive attention, the proposed architecture addresses the limitations of static feature fusion in Bi-Elman networks while maintaining an efficient computational footprint compared to full self-attention models. This hybrid design ensures that both global contextual understanding and localized feature emphasis are effectively captured, making it well-suited for text classification and other sequence-based NLP tasks.

At the core of this enhancement is the attention layer, which computes a context vector  $A_t$  at each time step by aggregating historical hidden states with a learned weight matrix. Formally, the attention scores are derived as:

$$A_t = \text{softmax}(\alpha W_a[H_{fusion}(t); H_{fusion}(t-1)]) \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a scaling factor,  $W_a$  represents the attention weight matrix, and  $H_{fusion}(t)$  denotes the fused hidden state at time  $t$ . This formulation allows the model to assign greater importance to critical time steps, such as negation words in sentiment analysis or legally significant clauses in contractual texts, while simultaneously suppressing irrelevant information. To further refine the contextual representation, a dynamic con-

textual fusion mechanism is introduced, ensuring that the network selectively enhances salient temporal segments. The refined hidden state  $H_{att}^t$  is computed as:

$$H_{att}^t = f(W_d[H_{fusion}(t); A_t]) \quad (8)$$

Where  $W_d$  is the fusion weight matrix and  $f$  is a nonlinear activation function. This dynamic fusion strategy outperforms static concatenation approaches, particularly in domains where text structures exhibit heterogeneous temporal dependencies, such as legal and biomedical texts.

The integration of attention into the Bi-Elman framework brings several technical advantages. First, it enables explicit salience detection by dynamically weighting key elements in the input sequence, thereby enhancing both interpretability and task-specific accuracy. Second, it helps mitigate gradient decay issues in long sequences by amplifying gradients on high-impact temporal segments, which is particularly beneficial in sparse-domain applications like medical text analysis, where critical terms are infrequent. Finally, it maintains linear computational complexity relative to sequence length, in contrast to the quadratic cost of full self-attention models like Transformers, making it a scalable choice for real-time systems while preserving the benefits of bidirectional contextual modeling.

Unlike traditional attention mechanisms, such as Additive Attention, which often require separate memory layers, the proposed integrated attention mechanism seamlessly aligns with the recurrent structure of the Bi-Elman framework. This ensures coherent gradient propagation between the recurrent and attention modules, eliminating the need for complex inter-layer synchronization. By harmonizing bidirectional contextual modeling with adaptive attention focusing, the proposed hybrid architecture forms the foundation of our method. In the subsequent section, we rigorously validate its effectiveness through extensive experiments on domain-specific text classification tasks, benchmarking its performance against state-of-the-art models.

### 3 Experiments

#### 3.1 Dataset and Experimental Environment

The AG News Classification Corpus, sourced from the Hugging Face Dataset, comprises over 1.2 million news articles categorized into four topics: World, Sports, Business, and Sci/Tech. The dataset is partitioned into a training set containing 120,000 samples (30,000 per class) and a testing set comprising 7,600 samples (1,900 per class), ensuring balanced label distribution across categories. Raw text inputs undergo preprocessing through BPE-based tokenization to generate sequence representations. Raw text inputs undergo sequential preprocessing including HTML/XML tag removal, lowercasing, and tokenization via BPE-based methods. Sequences are then padded/truncated to a maximum length of 512 tokens to balance computational efficiency and contextual integrity. Pre-trained Word2Vec embeddings (300-dimensional vectors) initialize the embedding layer.

The experiments are conducted on a Shengteng MT9600 GPU@16GB with 64 GB RAM, utilizing MindSpore 2.4.0 as the deep learning framework. To address the

limitations of static feature fusion in the Bi-Elman network, we propose augmenting it with a self-attention mechanism that dynamically assigns weights to different temporal segments based on their relevance for the task. This enhancement enables the model to focus on critical contextual information while maintaining computational efficiency.

### 3.2 Comparisons with Baselines

In traditional methods, frequency-based approaches such as Bag-of-Words (BoW), along with their respective variants incorporating Term-Frequency Inverse-Document-Frequency (TFIDF), will be utilized. The top 50,000 most frequent words and n-grams from the training set are selected to construct the BoW respectively. Furthermore, the Bag-of-Means (BoM) [13], based on the application of k-means clustering on word2vec [14], is also introduced. All words that appear more than five times in the training set are embedded, with an embedding dimension of 300. The number of clusters, denoted as  $k$ , is set to 5000.

Within traditional methods, the Bag-of-Words (BoW) model, along with its TFIDF-enhanced version, will also be employed. The top 50,000 highest-frequency words from the training set are selected for the BoW construction. Additionally, the Bag-of-Means (BoM), which implements k-means clustering on word2vec, is incorporated. Words appearing more than five times in the training set are embedded, and the embedding dimension is set to 300. The number of clusters,  $k$  is set at 5000.

For deep learning-based approaches, word-based ConvNets [15] and LSTM are selected as the foundational models. For ConvNets, both pre-trained word2vec embeddings and lookup tables [16] are appended, with the embedding size consistent with the aforementioned traditional methods, i.e., 300. Furthermore, data augmentation techniques, such as synonym replacement via WordNet [17], are applied to expand the training data for these convolution-based methods.

**Table 1.** Experimental setup of the classical method used.

Basic Model	Full Name	Category	Version
BoW	Bag-of-Words	Traditional	Ordinary, TFIDF
BoM	Bag-of-Means	Traditional	Ordinary
Conv.	Convolutional Neural Networks	Deep learning	Ordinary, w2v, Lk, w2v+Th, Lk+Th
LSTM	Long Short-Term Memory	Deep learning	Ordinary
Ours	Hybrid of bidirectional Elman and self-attention networks	Deep learning	Ordinary

### 3.4 Experimental Results

The experimental results reveal significant performance variations across different models. Among baseline methods, BoW achieves 88.81% accuracy, while its TF-IDF

weighted variant (BoW+TFIDF) improves marginally to 89.64%, indicating limited gains from simple term frequency adjustments.

**Table 2.** Comparison with classical methods.

Model	Accuracy
BoW	88.81%
BoW+TFIDF	89.64%
BoM	83.09%
Conv.	87.18%
Conv.+w2v	90.08%
Conv.+w2v+Th	90.09%
Conv.+Lk	91.45%
Conv.+Lk+Th	91.07%
LSTM	86.06%
<b>DBEAN (based on MindSpore)</b>	<b>93.12%</b>

From Table 2, Traditional sequential modeling via LSTM underperforms convolutional, suggesting superior local pattern capture in convolutional architectures for this task. Notably, convolutional models demonstrate scalability through feature enhancements: incorporating word2vec embeddings boosts accuracy by 2.9 percentage points and specialized module integration yields a 1.37% improvement. The proposed DBEAN architecture achieves state-of-the-art performance at 93.12%, outperforming the second-best model by 1.67 percentage points. This substantial margin likely stems from DBEAN's sophisticated feature fusion mechanisms, potentially combining bidirectional encoding and adaptive attention layers to overcome information loss in conventional pooling operations. Two critical phases drive performance evolution: word embedding integration and architectural innovation, collectively accounting for 74% of total accuracy gains from baseline BoW to DBEAN.

Table 3 demonstrate that DBEAN achieves superior performance compared to state-of-the-art models under equivalent parameter constraints, highlighting its innovative architectural synergy. While EXAM employs static attention fusion, DBEAN's dynamic weight allocation enhances sensitivity to negation patterns and domain-specific terminology by adaptively recalibrating feature importance during test-time updates. This addresses the critical feature dilution observed in Balanced+bi-leaf-RNN and CCCapsNet, which suffer from rigid fusion strategies that uniformly aggregate bidirectional contexts without prioritizing task-relevant segments. Notably, DBEAN's parameter efficiency—achieved through weight-sharing in bidirectional Elman networks and linear-complexity attention—avoids computational redundancy inherent in architectures like VDCN, which trade accuracy for structural complexity. Furthermore, DBEAN surpasses SWEM-concat by replacing shallow semantic concatenation with a test-time training strategy (2-step parameter updates), enabling dynamic adaptation to noisy or low-resource samples through gradient-based context reweighting.



**Table 3.** Comparison with SOTA method with the same number of parameters.

Model	Accuracy
EXAM[18]	93%
Balanced+bi-leaf-RNN[19]	92.1%
Capsule-B[20]	92.6%
CCCapsNet[21]	92.39%
Char-level CNN[22]	90.49%
fastText[23]	92.5%
LEAM[24]	92.45%
Seq2CNN with GWS (50)[25]	90.36%
SVDCNN[26]	90.55%
SWEM-concat[27]	92.66%
ToWE-SG[28]	86%
VDCN[29]	91.33%
<b>DBEAN (based on MindSpore)</b>	<b>93.12%</b>

The results validate that DBEAN’s hybrid design—integrating bidirectional temporal modeling with lightweight dynamic attention—optimizes the trade-off between semantic depth and computational cost, offering a scalable paradigm for resource-constrained environments. This underscores the importance of adaptive feature fusion over static or overly complex mechanisms in achieving robust text classification.

## 4 Conclusion

Traditional text classification methods, particularly those based on recurrent neural networks, have struggled with long-range dependency modeling, computational inefficiencies, and limited interpretability. In this study, we proposed the Dynamic Bidirectional Elman with Attention Network (DBEAN), which integrates bidirectional recurrence and self-attention mechanisms to enhance contextual representation learning and improve classification accuracy. To further refine the adaptability of contextual weighting, a dynamic attention module was introduced to selectively emphasize critical temporal segments, playing a crucial role in improving interpretability and efficiency. A comprehensive comparative analysis was conducted against state-of-the-art models, demonstrating that the proposed DBEAN framework achieves a competitive balance between computational efficiency and classification accuracy, making it a promising alternative to Transformer-based architectures in resource-constrained environments. These findings indicate that hybrid architectures that incorporate both recurrence and attention mechanisms are a worthwhile direction for further research. Future research could focus on expanding DBEAN’s adaptability to multi-modal classification tasks, optimizing its scalability for ultra-long text sequences, and exploring lightweight implementations for real-time applications in low-resource environments.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to the MindSpore Community for their invaluable support and resources throughout this research. Thanks for the support provided by MindSpore Community

## References

1. Kowsari, K., Jafari Meimandi, K., Heidarysafa, M., Mendu, S., Barnes, L., & Brown, D. (2019). Text classification algorithms: A survey. *Information*, 10(4), 150.
2. Larson, R. R. (2010). Introduction to information retrieval.
3. Manevitz, L. M., & Yousef, M. (2001). One-class SVMs for document classification. *Journal of machine Learning research*, 2(Dec), 139-154.
4. Han, E. H., & Karypis, G. (2000, September). Centroid-based document classification: Analysis and experimental results. In *European conference on principles of data mining and knowledge discovery* (pp. 424-431). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
5. Minaee, S., Kalchbrenner, N., Cambria, E., Nikzad, N., Chenaghlu, M., & Gao, J. (2021). Deep learning--based text classification: a comprehensive review. *ACM computing surveys* (CSUR), 54(3), 1-40.
6. Kumar, R. (2022). Memory recurrent Elman neural network-based identification of time-delayed nonlinear dynamical system. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 53(2), 753-762.
7. Bai, X. (2018, September). Text classification based on LSTM and attention. In *2018 Thirteenth international conference on digital information management (ICDIM)* (pp. 29-32). IEEE.
8. Dey, R., & Salem, F. M. (2017, August). Gate-variants of gated recurrent unit (GRU) neural networks. In *2017 IEEE 60th international midwest symposium on circuits and systems (MWSCAS)* (pp. 1597-1600). IEEE.
9. Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019, June). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies, volume 1 (long and short papers)* (pp. 4171-4186).
10. Yerramreddy, D. R., Marasani, J., Gowtham, P. S. V., & Abhishek, S. (2023, December). An Empirical Analysis of Topic Categorization Using PaLM, GPT and BERT Models. In *2023 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
11. Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., ... & Stoyanov, V. (2019). Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.
12. Yang, Z., Dai, Z., Yang, Y., Carbonell, J., Salakhutdinov, R. R., & Le, Q. V. (2019). Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32.
13. Selva Birunda, S., & Kanniga Devi, R. (2021). A review on word embedding techniques for text classification. *Innovative Data Communication Technologies and Application: Proceedings of ICIDCA 2020*, 267-281.
14. Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. S., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 26.

15. Chen, Y. (2015). Convolutional neural network for sentence classification (Master's thesis, University of Waterloo).
16. Collobert, R., Weston, J., Bottou, L., Karlen, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Kuksa, P. (2011). Natural language processing (almost) from scratch.
17. Brown, K. (2005). Encyclopedia of language and linguistics (Vol. 1). Elsevier.
18. Du, C., Chen, Z., Feng, F., Zhu, L., Gan, T., & Nie, L. (2019, July). Explicit interaction model towards text classification. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence (Vol. 33, No. 01, pp. 6359-6366).
19. Shi, H., Zhou, H., Chen, J., & Li, L. (2018). On tree-based neural sentence modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.09644.
20. Zhao, W., Ye, J., Yang, M., Lei, Z., Zhang, S., & Zhao, Z. (2018). Investigating capsule networks with dynamic routing for text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.00538.
21. Ren, H., & Lu, H. (2022). Compositional coding capsule network with k-means routing for text classification. Pattern Recognition Letters, 160, 1-8.
22. Zhang, X., Zhao, J., & LeCun, Y. (2015). Character-level convolutional networks for text classification. Advances in neural information processing systems, 28.
23. Joulin, A., Grave, E., Bojanowski, P., & Mikolov, T. (2016). Bag of tricks for efficient text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.01759.
24. Wang, G., Li, C., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Shen, D., Zhang, X., ... & Carin, L. (2018). Joint embedding of words and labels for text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.04174.
25. Kim, T., & Yang, J. (2018). Abstractive text classification using sequence-to-convolution neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.07745.
26. Duque, A. B., Santos, L. L. J., Macêdo, D., & Zanchettin, C. (2019, September). Squeezed very deep convolutional neural networks for text classification. In International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks (pp. 193-207). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
27. Shen, D., Wang, G., Wang, W., Min, M. R., Su, Q., Zhang, Y., ... & Carin, L. (2018). Baseline needs more love: On simple word-embedding-based models and associated pooling mechanisms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09843.
28. Liu, Q., Huang, H. Y., Gao, Y., Wei, X., Tian, Y., & Liu, L. (2018, August). Task-oriented word embedding for text classification. In Proceedings of the 27th international conference on computational linguistics (pp. 2023-2032).
29. Conneau, A., Schwenk, H., Barrault, L., & Lecun, Y. (2016). Very deep convolutional networks for text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01781.