COMMUTANTS OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

AISSA BOUHALI, ISSAM LOUHICHI, AND ABDEL RAHMAN YOUSEF

ABSTRACT. A major open problem in the Theory of Toeplitz operators on the analytic Bergman space over the unit disk is the characterization of the commutant of a given Toeplitz operator–that is, the set of all bounded Toeplitz operators that commute with it. In this paper, we provide a complete description of bounded Toeplitz operators T_f , where the symbol f has a truncated polar decomposition, that commute with a Toeplitz operator whose symbol is the sum of a quasihomogeneous function and a bounded analytic function.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the complex plane \mathbb{C} , let \mathbb{D} denote the open unit disk, and consider the normalized Lebesgue measure $dA = r dr \frac{d\theta}{\pi}$ on \mathbb{D} , where (r, θ) are the polar coordinates. The space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ consists of all square-integrable functions on \mathbb{D} with respect to this measure.

The classical unweighted Bergman space, denoted by $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$, is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ comprising all functions that are analytic in \mathbb{D} . The set $\{z^k : k = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ forms an orthogonal basis for $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$. Since this space is closed, there exists a well-defined orthogonal projection P from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ onto $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$, commonly referred to as the Bergman projection. For a comprehensive treatment of Bergman spaces and their associated projections, readers may refer to [8].

Given a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA)$, the Toeplitz operator T_f acting on $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by $T_f(g) = P(fg)$, provided that $fg \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$. Here, f is referred to as the symbol of the Toeplitz operator T_f . This definition implies that any bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} belongs to the domain of T_f , ensuring that T_f is densely defined. Moreover, if the symbol f is bounded on \mathbb{D} , the Toeplitz operator T_f is also bounded, with the norm satisfying $||T_f|| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$. Nevertheless, an unbounded symbol f belonging to $L^1(\mathbb{D}, DA)$ may still generate a bounded Toeplitz operator T_f . In fact, if f is integrable and remains bounded on the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{D} :$ $0 < r < |z| < 1\}$ for some 0 < r < 1, then f can be decomposed into the sum of two functions: one that is integrable with compact support and another that is bounded. Consequently, T_f remains bounded. Such symbols are referred to as "nearly bounded symbols" [1, p.204]. From now on, we will consider any symbol that does not belong $L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ as inadmissible for a bounded Toeplitz operator, meaning such a symbol cannot define a bounded Toeplitz operator.

A function f is called quasihomogeneous of degree p, where p is an integer, if it can be expressed in the form $f(re^{i\theta}) = e^{ip\theta}\phi(r)$, where ϕ is a radial function.

Date: March 19, 2025.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B35; Secondary 47L80.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operators, quasihomogeneous symbol, Mellin transform.

The Toeplitz operator T_f associated with such a symbol is then referred to as a quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator of degree p (see [7, 14]). The study of these operators is motivated by the structural decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$, which can be written as $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\theta} \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} denotes the space of square-integrable radial functions on [0, 1) with respect to the measure r dr. This decomposition implies that any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ admits a polar expansion $f(z) = f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\theta} f_k(r)$, where each $f_k(r)$ is a radial function. The subclass of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators provides a natural framework for understanding the behavior of operators that respect this decomposition (see [5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18]).

Studying this family of operators offers insight into the commutant of Toeplitz operators associated with more general symbols. By focusing on quasihomogeneous symbols, we aim to uncover structural properties and extend our understanding of operator commutativity in the Bergman space setting.

Over the past several decades, Toeplitz operators have been a central object of study, particularly in terms of their algebraic and functional properties. One of the most intriguing open problems involves characterizing the commutant of a Toeplitz operator, i.e., determining the set of all Toeplitz operators that commute with a given one under composition. Despite substantial progress, little is known about the commutativity of Toeplitz operators for general symbols f and g. For an overview of known results on the commutativity of Toeplitz operators in $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ and specific cases where progress has been made, see [2, 3, 6, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19].

2. Tools

The Mellin transform $\widehat{\phi}$ of a radial function $\phi \in L^1([0,1), rdr)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\phi}(z) = \int_0^1 \phi(r) r^{z-1} dr$$

It is well-known that for such functions, the Mellin transform is bounded on the right-halfplane $\{z : \Re z \ge 2\}$ and is analytic on $\{z : \Re z > 2\}$.

The following lemma gives the action of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the vectors of the orthogonal basis of $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$.

Lemma 1. [14, Lemma 5.3, p.531] Let $k, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and let ϕ be a radial function in $L^1([0,1), rdr)$. Then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\phi}(z^k) = 2(k+p+1)\widehat{\phi}(2k+p+2)z^{k+p}$$

and

$$T_{e^{-ip\theta}\phi}(z^k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \mbox{if} \ \ 0 \leq k \leq p-1, \\ 2(k-p+1)\widehat{\phi}(2k-p+2)z^{k-p} & \mbox{if} \ \ k \geq p. \end{array} \right.$$

The Mellin transform of a function is uniquely determined by its values on any arithmetic sequence of integers. In fact we have the following classical theorem [16, p.102].

Theorem 1. Suppose that f is a bounded analytic function on the right-half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > 0\}$ that vanishes at a set of pairwise distinct points d_1, d_2, \cdots , where

(i) $\inf\{|d_n|\} > 0$, and (ii) $\sum_{n \ge 1} \Re(\frac{1}{d_n}) = \infty$. Then f vanishes identically on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > 0\}$.

We shall often use the following classical lemma (see also [9, Lemma 7, p.1727]).

Lemma 2. If a meromorphic function in a right half plane belonging to the Nevanlinna class is periodic, then it is constant.

Remark 1. 1) A direct calculation shows that $\widehat{r^n}(z) = \frac{1}{z+n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- 2) As for Theorem 1, we will apply it in the following setting: let $(n_k)_k$ is an arithmetic sequence of positive integers, and suppose that for a certain radial function ϕ , we have $\hat{\phi}(n_k) = 0$ for all k. By Theorem 1, this implies that $\hat{\phi}$ is identically zero on the right-half plane. Consequently, ϕ must also vanish on the right-half plane.
- 3) We frequently rely on Lemma 2 in our arguments. In fact, in our proofs, we often encounter equations of the form

$$F(z+p) - F(z) = G(z+p) - G(z),$$

where $\Re(z) > 0$, p is an integer, and F and G are bounded analytic functions on the right half-plane. By applying Lemma 2, we can deduce that F(z) = c + G(z) for some constant c.

3. Main theorem

Given a symbol

$$g(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l \bar{z}^l, \ a_l \in \mathbb{C}, \ \text{ where } a_l \neq 0 \text{ for at least one } l \geq 5,$$

we aim to characterize all symbols of the form (i.e., symbols whose polar decomposition is truncated above)

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N} e^{in\theta} f_n(r), \ N \ge 1,$$

for which the associated Toeplitz operators T_f commute with T_g . It is understood here that $f_N \neq 0$. We recall that T_f commutes with T_g if and only if

$$T_f T_g(z^k) = T_g T_f(z^k)$$

for all vectors z^k in the orthogonal basis of $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$. Equivalently, using the notion of commutator of two operators T and S, namely [T, S] = TS - ST, this condition can be expressed as

(1)
$$[T_f, T_g](z^k) = T_f T_g(z^k) - T_g T_f(z^k) = 0, \text{ for all } k \ge 0.$$

Our main theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2. Let g be a symbol of the form $g(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l \bar{z}^l$, where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $a_l \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_l \neq 0$ for at least one $l \geq 5$. If there exists a nonzero function f of the form $f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N} e^{in\theta} f_n(r)$, with $N \geq 1$, such that the commutator $[T_f, T_g] = 0$, then T_f is a polynomial of degree at most one in T_g . In other words, there exist constants C_1, C_0 such that $T_f = C_1T_g + C_0I$, where I denotes the identity operator.

It is important to highlight our motivation for choosing the term $e^{i\theta}r^3$ in the symbol g. In the work of Le and Akakai [9, Theorem 3, p.1725], the analytic polynomial part of the symbol is replaced by a quasihomogeneous symbol. While this is not the most general choice, it is also not selected merely to simplify our arguments. Notably, a Toeplitz operator with the symbol $e^{i\theta}r^3$ always has powers, making the characterization of the commutant of T_g significantly more challenging, as we will demonstrate in the final section. We are firmly convinced that our approach extends to more general quasihomogeneous symbols. However, such generalizations would lead to considerably more intricate calculations, which, while feasible, can become cumbersome and lengthy. We hope our choice convinces readers of the significance of our result and the arguments, which differ entirely from those used in the proof of [9, Theorem 3, p.1725]. In fact, the latter relies on techniques that cannot be directly applied to our case, necessitating a completely different approach.

4. CALCULATIONS

Lemma 3. If equation (1) is satisfied, then

$$T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N} = C_N (T_{e^{i\theta}r^3})^N$$
 and $T_{e^{i(N-1)\theta}f_{N-1}} = C_{N-1} (T_{e^{i\theta}r^3})^{N-1}$

for some constants C_N and C_{N-1} .

Proof. In equation (1), the term z^{k+N+1} comes only from

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}(z^k) = T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}(z^k), \text{ for all } k \ge 0.$$

Thus $T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}$ commutes with $T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}$. Hence

$$T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}(z^k) = 2(k+N+1)f_N(2k+N+2)z^{k+N}$$

= $C_N(T_{e^{i\theta}r^3})^N(z^k)$
= $C_N\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\frac{2(k+j+2)}{(2k+2j+6)}z^{k+N}$
= $C_N\frac{2k+4}{2k+2N+4}z^{k+N}$,

for some constant C_N . Here the first equality results from Lemma 1, the second from [10, Proposition 7, p.1469], and the third from [10, Lemma 3, p.1467].

By similar argument we prove that $T_{e^{i(N-1)\theta}f_{N-1}} = C_{N-1}(T_{e^{i\theta}r^3})^{N-1}$, for some constant C_{N-1} .

Lemma 4. If equation (1) is satisfied, then

$$\widehat{f_{N-2}}(z+N) = B_{N,N-2} \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N)} + a_1 C_N \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{z+2i}{z+2i+4},$$

for some constant $B_{N,N-2}$.

Proof. In equation (1), the term z^{k+N-1} comes from

(2)
$$\left[T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{i(N-2)\theta}f_{N-2}}\right](z^k) = \left[T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}, T_{a_1\overline{z}}\right](z^k), \text{ for } k \ge 0.$$

It is understood here that $a_1 \neq 0$. Thus, using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2k+2N-2)\widehat{f_{N-2}}(2k+N)\frac{2k+2N}{2k+2N+2} &-\frac{2k+4}{2k+6}(2k+2N)\widehat{f_{N-2}}(2k+N+2) \\ &= a_1\frac{2k}{2k+2}(2k+2N)\widehat{f_N}(2k+N) - a_1\frac{2k+2N}{2k+2N+2}(2k+2N+2)\widehat{f_N}(2k+N+2) \\ &= a_1C_N\frac{2k(2k+2)}{(2k+2)(2k+2N+2)} - a_1C_N\frac{(2k+2N)(2k+4)}{(2k+2N+2)(2k+2N+4)}. \end{aligned}$$

We complexify the equation above by taking z = 2k, we use Remark 1, and we multiply both sides by $\frac{z+2N+2}{z+4}$ to obtain

$$(z+2N-2)\widehat{f_{N-2}}(z+N)\frac{z+2N}{z+4} - \frac{z+2N+2}{z+6}(z+2N)\widehat{f_{N-2}}(z+N+2)$$

= $a_1C_N\frac{z}{z+4} - a_1C_N\frac{z+2N}{z+2N+4}$
= $a_1C_N\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\frac{z+2i}{z+2i+4} - a_1C_N\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{z+2i}{z+2i+4}.$

Using Remark 1 again, we conclude that there exists a constant $B_{N,N-2}$ such that

$$\widehat{f_{N-2}}(z+N) = B_{N,N-2} \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N)} + a_1 C_N \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{z+2i}{z+2i+4}.$$

Similarly, we state the following lemma, omitting the proof.

i) By considering the term z^{k+N-2} that comes from Lemma 5.

(3)
$$\left[T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{i(N-3)\theta}f_{N-3}}\right](z^k) = \left[T_{e^{iN\theta}f_N}, T_{a_2\overline{z}^2}\right](z^k) + \left[T_{e^{i(N-1)\theta}f_{N-1}}, T_{a_1\overline{z}}\right](z^k)$$

and assuming that a_2, a_1 are both nonzero, we obtain that

$$\widehat{f_{N-3}}(z+N-1) = B_{N,N-3} \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N-4)} + \frac{a_2 C_N(z+4)}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N-4)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(z+2i-2)(z+2i)}{(z+2i+2)(z+2i+4)} + a_1 C_{N-1} \frac{z+4}{(z+2N-2)(z+2N-4)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{(z+2i)}{(z+2i+4)},$$

for some constant $B_{N,N-3}$. ii) By considering the term z^{k+N-3} that comes from

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i\theta}r^{3}}, T_{e^{i(N-4)\theta}f_{N-4}} \end{bmatrix} (z^{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{iN\theta}f_{N}}, T_{a_{3}\overline{z}^{3}} \end{bmatrix} (z^{k}) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i(N-1)\theta}f_{N-1}}, T_{a_{2}\overline{z}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} (z^{k})$$

$$(4) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i(N-2)\theta}f_{N-2}}, T_{a_{1}\overline{z}} \end{bmatrix} (z^{k})$$

and assuming that none of the a_3, a_2, a_1 is zero, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f_{N-4}}(z+N-2) &= B_{N,N-4} \frac{(z+4)}{(z+2N-6)(z+2N-4)} \\ &+ \frac{a_3 C_N(z+4)}{(z+2N-6)(z+2N-4)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(z+2i-2)(z+2i-4)}{(z+2i+2)(z+2i+4)} \\ &+ \frac{a_2 C_{N-1}(z+4)}{(z+2N-6)(z+2N-4)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{(z+2i-2)(z+2i)}{(z+2i+2)(z+2i+4)} \\ &+ \frac{a_1 B_{N,N-2}(z+4)}{(z+2N-6)(z+2N-4)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-3} \frac{(z+2i)}{(z+2i+4)} \\ &+ \frac{a_1 a_1 C_N(z+4)}{(z+2N-6)(z+2N-4)} \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-2-j} \frac{(z+2i)(z+2i-2+2j)}{(z+2i+4)(z+2i+2+2j)}, \end{split}$$

for some constant $B_{N,N-4}$.

Remark 2. We draw the reader's attention to the fact that if a_i is zero, then the corresponding symbol $a_i \bar{z}^i$ is zero, and consequently, any commutator involving this term is also zero. Thus, by assuming that these a_i 's are nonzero, we are considering the most general case without simplifying the problem.

5. Proof of the main theorem

Lemmas 3, 4, and 5 will guide us through the steps toward proving the main result. In the following three propositions, we will show that if equation (1) hold, then the value N in the symbol f must equal the quasihomogeneous degree of $e^{i\theta}r^3$ in the symbol g, which is 1.

Proposition 1. If equation (1) is satisfied, then $N \leq 4$.

Proof. Suppose $N \ge 5$. By applying partial fraction decomposition to the term on the right-hand side of the expression for $\widehat{f_{N-3}}$ in Part "i)" of Lemma 5 and using Remark 1, we see that the radial function f_{N-3} is a polynomial in r and contains the term

(5)
$$a_2 C_N \frac{8}{(2N-4)(2N-6)} r^{-N+3}.$$

Since $N \ge 5$, it follows that $-N + 3 \le -2$. Consequently, the term in (5) belongs to $L^1([0,1), rdr)$ only if $a_2C_N = 0$. Given that we assume $a_2 \ne 0$, we must have $C_N = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $f_N \ne 0$. Therefore, we conclude that N < 5, meaning $N \le 4$.

Proposition 2. If equation (1) is satisfied, then $N \leq 3$.

Proof. Suppose N = 4. By taking k = 0 in equation (4), we obtain

$$2\widehat{f}_0(2) - 4\widehat{f}_0(4) = -a_3C_4\frac{1}{5} - a_2C_3\frac{3}{10} - a_1B_{4,2}\frac{1}{2} - a_1a_1C_4\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3\frac{2i}{2i+4}.$$

On the other hand, from Part "ii)" of Lemma 5, we have

$$2\widehat{f}_{0}(2) = B_{4,0} + a_{3}C_{4}\sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{(2i-2)(2i-4)}{(2i+2)(2i+4)} + a_{2}C_{3}\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{2i(2i-2)}{(2i+2)(2i+4)} + a_{1}B_{4,2}\sum_{i=0}^{1} \frac{2i}{2i+4} + a_{1}a_{1}C_{4}\sum_{j=0}^{2}\sum_{i=0}^{2-j} \frac{2i(2i-2+2j)}{(2i+4)(2i+2+2j)}.$$

and

$$4\widehat{f}_{0}(4) = B_{4,0} + a_{3}C_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{(2i-2)(2i-4)}{(2i+2)(2i+4)} + a_{2}C_{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{2i(2i-2)}{(2i+2)(2i+4)} + a_{1}B_{4,2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2i}{2i+4} + a_{1}a_{1}C_{4}\sum_{j=0}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3-j} \frac{2i(2i-2+2j)}{(2i+4)(2i+2+2j)}.$$

Thus, the left-hand simplifies to

$$2\widehat{f}_{0}(2) - 4\widehat{f}_{0}(4) = a_{3}C_{4} - a_{3}C_{4}\frac{1}{5} - a_{2}C_{3}\frac{3}{10} - a_{1}B_{4,2}\frac{1}{2} - a_{1}a_{1}C_{4}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{2}\frac{6-2j}{10-2j}.$$

Equating both sides, we conclude that $a_3C_4 = 0$. Since we are assuming $a_3 \neq 0$, it follows that $C_4 = 0$. Hence, $N \leq 3$.

Proposition 3. If equation (1) is satisfied, then $N \leq 2$.

Proof. Suppose N = 3. By considering the term z^{k-1} that arises from

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{-2i\theta}f_{-2}} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{3i\theta}f_3}, T_{a_4\overline{z}^4} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{2i\theta}f_2}, T_{a_3\overline{z}^3} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i\theta}f_1}, T_{a_2\overline{z}^2} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{f_0}, T_{a_1\overline{z}} \end{bmatrix} (z^k),$$

and using Remark 1, Lemmas 3, 4 and 5, while assuming that none of the a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1 is zero, we obtain

$$\widehat{f_{-2}}(z) = B_{3,-2} \frac{(z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} + a_4 C_3 \frac{(z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{(z+2i-6)}{(z+2i+2)} \frac{(z+2i-4)}{(z+2i+4)} + a_3 C_2 \frac{(z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{(z+2i-4)}{(z+2i+2)} \frac{(z+2i-2)}{(z+2i+4)} + \frac{a_2 B_{3,1}}{(z+2)} + \frac{a_1 a_1 C_2}{(z+2)} + \frac{a_1 a_2 C_3 (z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} \sum_{j=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{1-j} \frac{(z+2i)(z+2i-2+2j)(z+2i-4+2j)}{(z+2i+4)(z+2i+2+2j)(z+2i+2j)} + \frac{a_1 a_2 C_3 (z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} \sum_{j=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{1-j} \frac{(z+2i)(z+2i-2)(z+2i-4+2j)}{(z+2i+4)(z+2i+2+2j)(z+2i+2j)},$$

for some constant $B_{3,-2}$. Applying partial fraction decomposition to the term on the right-hand side of equation (7) and using Remark 1, we observe that the radial function f_{-2} contains the term $(3B_{3,-2} + a_4C_3)r^{-2}$. This term belongs to $L^1([0,1), rdr)$ only if $3B_{3,-2} = -a_4C_3$. Now, by taking k = 1 in equation (6), we obtain

$$\widehat{f_{-2}}(4) = a_4 C_3 \frac{1}{15} + a_3 C_2 \frac{1}{10} + a_2 B_{3,1} \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} a_1 a_1 C_2 + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{12}) a_1 a_2 C_3.$$

On the other hand, equation (7) implies

$$\widehat{f_{-2}}(4) = B_{3,-2} + a_4 C_3 \frac{1}{15} + a_3 C_2 \frac{1}{10} + a_2 B_{3,1} \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} a_1 a_1 C_2 + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{12}) a_1 a_2 C_3$$

Thus, we must have $B_{3,-2} = 0$. Since $3B_{3,-2} = -a_4C_3$ and we are assuming $a_4 \neq 0$, it follows that $C_3 = 0$. Hence, $N \leq 2$.

Proposition 4. If equation (1) is satisfied, then $N \leq 1$. Moreover, under the assumption that $N \geq 1$, we conclude that N = 1.

Proof. Suppose N = 2. In this case, Part "i)" of Lemma 5 implies that

$$\widehat{f_{N-3}}(z+N-1) = \widehat{f_{-1}}(z+1) = B_{2,-1}\frac{z+4}{z(z+2)} + \frac{z-2}{(z+2)(z+2)} + \frac{a_2C_2}{z+6} + \frac{a_1C_1}{z+2}$$

Now, by taking k = 0 in equation (3), we obtain

$$\widehat{f_{-1}}(3) = a_2 C_2 \frac{1}{8} + a_1 C_1 \frac{1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, equation (8) yields

$$\widehat{f_{-1}}(3) = B_{2,-1}\frac{3}{4} + a_2C_2\frac{1}{8} + a_1C_1\frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus, we must have $B_{2,-1} = 0$. Next, we analyze the term z^{k-1} , which arises from

(9)
$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{-2i\theta}f_{-2}} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{2i\theta}f_2}, T_{a_3\overline{z}^3} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{e^{i\theta}f_1}, T_{a_2\overline{z}^2} \end{bmatrix} (z^k) + \begin{bmatrix} T_{f_0}, T_{a_1\overline{z}} \end{bmatrix} (z^k).$$

Assuming that none of the a_3, a_2, a_1 is zero, Remark 1 and Lemmas 3 and 4 imply

$$\widehat{f_{-2}}(z) = B_{2,-2}\frac{(z+4)}{(z-2)(z)} + \frac{a_3C_2(z+4)}{(z-2)(z)}\sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{(z+2i-2)(z+2i-4)}{(z+2i+2)(z+2i+4)} \\
+ \frac{a_2C_1}{(z+2)} + \frac{a_1a_1C_2}{(z+2)},$$

for some constant $B_{2,-2}$. By applying partial fraction decomposition to the term on the right-hand side of the equation above and using Remark 1, we see that the radial function f_{-2} contains the term $3B_{2,-2}r^{-2}$. Therefore, for f_{-2} to be in $L^1([0,1), rdr)$, we must have $B_{2,-2} = 0$. Finally, we consider the term z^{k-3} , which comes from

$$\begin{split} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{-4i\theta}f_{-4}} \Big](z^k) &= \Big[T_{e^{2i\theta}f_2}, T_{a_5\overline{z}^5} \Big](z^k) + \Big[T_{e^{i\theta}f_1}, T_{a_4\overline{z}^4} \Big](z^k) \\ &+ \Big[T_{f_0}, T_{a_3\overline{z}^3} \Big](z^k) + \Big[T_{e^{-i\theta}f_{-1}}, T_{a_2\overline{z}^2} \Big](z^k) \\ &+ \Big[T_{e^{-2i\theta}f_{-2}}, T_{a_1\overline{z}} \Big](z^k). \end{split}$$

8

Assuming none of the a_5, a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1 is zero, Remark 1 and Lemmas 3, 4 and 5 imply

$$\widehat{f_{-4}}(z-2) = B_{2,-4} \frac{(z+4)}{(z-6)(z-4)} + a_5 C_2 \frac{(z+4)}{(z-6)(z-4)} \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{(z+2i-8)}{(z+2i+2)} \frac{(z+2i-6)}{(z+2i+4)} + \frac{a_4 C_1}{(z+2)} + 2 \frac{a_1 a_3 C_2}{(z+2)} + \frac{a_2 a_2 C_2}{(z+2)},$$

for some constant $B_{2,-4}$. By applying partial fraction decomposition to the term on the right-hand side of the equation above and using Remark 1, we clearly see that the radial function f_{-4} contains the terms $5B_{2,-4}r^{-4}$ and $(-4B_{2,-4} - \frac{2}{3}a_5C_2)r^{-2}$. Therefore, for f_{-4} to be in $L^1([0,1), rdr)$, we must have $B_{2,-4} = 0$ and $-4B_{2,-4} - \frac{2}{3}a_5C_2 = 0$. Consequently, $a_5C_2 = 0$. Since we are assuming $a_5 \neq 0$, it follows that $C_2 = 0$. Hence, $N \leq 1$.

The following lemma will be used to explicitly determine the radial functions f_n in the symbol f, for $n \leq -1$.

Lemma 6. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume

(10)
$$\left[T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{-il\theta}f_{-l}}\right](z^k) = \left[cT_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{a_l\overline{z}^l}\right](z^k),$$

for some constant c. Then $T_{e^{-il\theta}f_{-l}} = cT_{a_l\overline{z}^l}$.

Proof. If equation (10) holds, then for all $k \ge l$, we have

$$(2k-2l+2)\widehat{f_{-l}}(2k-l+2)\frac{(2k-2l+4)}{(2k-2l+6)} - \frac{(2k+4)}{(2k+6)}(2k-2l+4)\widehat{f_{-l}}(2k-l+4)$$
$$= ca_l\frac{(2k-2l+2)}{(2k+2)}\frac{(2k-2l+4)}{(2k-2l+6)} - ca_l\frac{(2k+4)}{(2k+6)}\frac{(2k-2l+4)}{(2k+4)}.$$

We complexify the equation above by taking z = 2k. Using Remark 1, we multiply both sides by $\frac{(z-2l+6)}{(z+4)}$ to obtain

$$(z-2+2)\widehat{f_{-l}}(z-l+2)\frac{(z-2l+4)}{(z+4)} - \frac{(z-2l+6)}{(z+6)}(z-2l+4)\widehat{f_{-l}}(z-l+4)$$
$$= ca_l\frac{(z-2l+2)(z-2l+4)}{(z+2)(z+4)} - ca_l\frac{(z-2l+4)(z-2l+6)}{(z+4)(z+6)}.$$

Using Remark 1 again, we find that there exists a constant A_l such that

(11)
$$\widehat{f_{-l}(z-l+2)} = A_l \frac{(z+4)}{(z-2l+4)(z-2l+2)} + ca_l \frac{1}{(z+2)}.$$

We now consider the following cases:

Case $l \ge 2$. By applying partial fraction decomposition to the term on the right-hand side of equation (11) and using Remark 1, we see that the radial function f_{-l} contains the term $A_l(l+1)r^{-l}$. Since $-l \le -2$, the function f_{-l} belongs to $L^1([0, 1), rdr)$ only if $A_l = 0$. Hence, $f_{-l}(r) = ca_l r^l$.

Case l = 1. By taking k = 0 in the equation (10), we obtain

$$\widehat{f}_1(3) = ca_1 \frac{1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, equation (11) implies

$$\widehat{f}_1(3) = A_1 \frac{3}{4} + ca_1 \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $A_1 = 0$, which implies that $f_{-1}(r) = ca_1 r$.

Finally, we reach the last step of the proof, which is to reconstruct the symbol f.

Proposition 5. If equation (1) holds, then $f(re^{i\theta}) = C_1g(re^{i\theta}) + C_0$, for some constants C_1 and C_0 .

Proof. If the equation (1) holds, then Proposition 4 implies that

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{1} e^{in\theta} f_n.$$

From Lemma 3, we have that $f_1(r) = C_1 r^3$ and $f_0 = C_0$. Next, for all integers $l \ge 1$, the term z^{k-l} comes only from

$$\left[T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{e^{-il\theta}f_{-l}}\right](z^k) = \left[C_1 T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}, T_{a_l\overline{z}^l}\right](z^k).$$

Thus, Lemma 6 implies that $f_{-l} = C_1 r^l$. Finally, by combining those results, we obtain

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}f_1(r) + f_0(r) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} e^{in\theta}f_n(r)$$

= $C_1e^{i\theta}r^3 + C_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} C_1a_le^{-il\theta}r^l$
= $C_1g(re^{i\theta}) + C_0.$

Using the fact that Toeplitz operators are linear with respect to their symbols, we conclude that

$$T_f = C_1 T_g + C_0 I.$$

6. Special case

In this section, we consider the case where the infinite anti-analytic power series in the symbol g from Theorem 2 is replaced by a polynomial in \bar{z} .

Lemma 7. Let $g(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l e^{-il\theta}r^l$. The the product T_g^2 is Toeplitz operator if and only if $m \leq 4$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} T_g^2 &= T_{e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l e^{-il\theta}r^l} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l e^{-il\theta}r^l} \\ &= T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}^2 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{e^{i\theta}r^3} T_{\overline{z}^l} + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{\overline{z}^l} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3} + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{\overline{z}^l} T_{\overline{z}^l} \\ &= T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}^2 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{e^{i\theta}r^3} T_{\overline{z}^l} + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{\overline{z}^l} T_{e^{i\theta}r^3} + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l T_{\overline{z}^{2l}}. \end{split}$$

It is well-known (see [4, Example 3.8, p.1057]) that $T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}^n$ is always a Toeplitz operator for all $n \geq 1$. Because \bar{z}^l is an anti-analytic symbol, $T_{\bar{z}^l}T_{e^{i\theta}r^3} = T_{e^{i\theta}-l_r^{3+l}}$ for all $l \geq 1$. Thus, we focus on the product $T_{e^{i\theta}r^3}T_{\bar{z}^l}$. For all $k \geq l$, we have

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^{3}}T_{\overline{z}^{l}}(z^{k}) = \frac{(2k-2l+2)}{(2k+2l+2)}\frac{(2k-2l+4)}{(2k-2l+6)}z^{k+1-l}$$
$$= (2k-2l+4)\widehat{h}(2k-l+3)z^{k+1-l},$$

where the radial function h is defined as follows:

- $h(r) = \frac{l}{l-1}r^{3l-1} + \frac{1}{1-l}r^{3-l}$ if $l \ge 2$. In this case, h belongs to $L^1([0,1), rdr)$ if and only if $3 l + 1 \ge 0$, which simplify to $l \le 4$.
- $h(r) = r^2 + 4r^2 \log r$ if l = 1. Here, h is in $L^1([0, 1), rdr)$.

Therefore, for $m \leq 4$, T_q^2 remains a Toeplitz operators, completing the proof. \Box

The above lemma allows us to replace the anti-analytic part of the symbol g in Theorem 2 by a polynomial in \bar{z} of degree at most 4, yielding the following result. We provide a brief proof, as the calculations are nearly identical to those in the proof of our main theorem in the previous sections.

Theorem 3. Let g be a symbol of the form $g(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}r^3 + \sum_{l=1}^m a_l \bar{z}^l$, where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $a_l \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \leq 4$. If there exists a nonzero function f of the form $f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^N e^{in\theta} f_n(r)$, with $N \geq 1$, such that the commutator $[T_f, T_g] = 0$, then $T_f = P(T_g)$, where P is a polynomial of degree at most two.

Proof. Following the same argument as in the previous sections, we deduce that if $[T_f, T_g] = 0$, then $N \leq 2$. Finally, using the same techniques of the proof of [13, Theorem 2, p. 886], we conclude that T_f is a polynomial of degree at most two in T_g .

References

- P. Ahern and Ž. Čučković, A theorem of Brown-Halmos type for Bergman space Toeplitz operators, J. Funct. Anal. 187 (2001), 200-210.
- [2] Al Sabi, Hashem and Louhichi, Issam, On the commutativity of Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols, Oper. Matrices 12 (2018), no. 4, 1159-1176.
- [3] Aqel, Khitam and Louhichi, Issam, On the commutativity of sums of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Grad. J. Math. 2 (2017), no. 2, 51-58.
- [4] Bouhali, Aissa, Zohra Bendaoud, and Issam Louhichi, On the powers of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 71 (2021): 1049-1061.
- Bouhali, Aissa and Louhichi, Issam, Commutants of the sum of two quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators, Concrete Operators, vol. 11, no. 1, 2024, pp. 20240005. https://doi.org/10.1515/conop-2024-0005
- [6] Axler, Sheldon; Čučković, Željko; and Rao, N. V., Commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 7, 1951-1953.

- [7] Ž. Čučković and N. V. Rao, Mellin transform, monomial symbols, and commuting Toeplitz operators, J. Funct. Anal. 154 (1998), no. 1, 195-214.
- [8] Hedenmalm, Haakan; Korenblum, Boris; and Zhu, Kehe, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 199. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. x+286 pp. ISBN: 0-387-98791-6
- [9] Le, Trieu and Tikaradze, Akaki, Commutants of Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols, New York J. Math. 23 (2017), 1723-1731.
- [10] Louhichi, Issam, Powers and roots of Toeplitz operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 5, 1465-1475.
- [11] Louhichi, Issam and Rao, N. V., Roots of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Pacific J. Math. 252 (2011), no. 1, 127-144.
- [12] Louhichi, I. and Rao, N. V., Bicommutants of Toeplitz operators, Arch. Math. (Basel) 91 (2008), no. 3, 256-264.
- [13] Louhichi, Issam; Rao, Nagisetti V.; and Yousef, Abdel, Two questions on products of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 3 (2009), no. 4, 881-889.
- [14] I. Louhichi, E. Strouse, and L. Zakariasy, Products of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 54 No. 4, p 525-539, 2006.
- [15] Louhichi, Issam and Zakariasy, Lova, Quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space, Arch. Math. (Basel) 98 (2012), no. 1, 49-60.
- [16] R. Remmert, Classical Topics in complex function theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 172, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [17] Rozenblum, G., Vasilevski, N., Commutative Algebras of Toeplitz Operators on the Bergman Space Revisited: Spectral Theorem Approach, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 94, 27 (2022).
- [18] Strouse, Elizabeth and Zakariasy, Lova, Quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators, Harmonic analysis, function theory, operator theory, and their applications, 251-260, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 19, Theta, Bucharest, 2017.
- [19] Yousef, A. and Al-Naimi, R., On Toeplitz Operators with Biharmonic Symbols, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 43, 1647–1659 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-019-00763-3

Département de Mathématiques, École Normale Supérieure de Laghouat; Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Laghouat; Applied Sciences and Didactics Laboratory, ENS of Laghouat, Algeria.

Email address: aissa.bouhali@ens-lagh.dz

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P.O.BOX 2666, SHARJAH, UAE. Email address: ilouhichi@aus.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P.O.BOX 2666, SHARJAH, UAE.

Email address: afyousef@aus.edu