

COMPLEX ABSTRACT WIENER SPACES

TESS J. VAN LEEUWEN AND WIOLETTA M. RUSZEL

ABSTRACT. Real abstract Wiener spaces (AWS) were originally defined by Gross in [Gro67] using measurable norms, as a generalisation of the theory of advanced integral calculus in infinite dimensions as introduced by Cameron and Martin. In this paper we present a rigorous, complete and self-contained general framework for \mathbb{K} -AWS, where $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ using the language of characteristic functions instead of measurable norms. In particular, we will prove that X is a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field over \mathbb{K} iff the covariance function can be written in terms of some non-negative, self-adjoint trace class operator, and that the existence and uniqueness of X is equivalent to the \mathbb{K} -AWS. Finally we will relate the \mathbb{C} -AWS to the \mathbb{R} -AWS by way of a real structure, which is a real linear, complex anti-linear involution on a complex vector space. This allows for a commutative relation between the real and complex Gaussian fields and the real and complex abstract Wiener spaces. We will construct specific examples which fall under this framework like the complex Brownian motion, complex Feynman-Kac formula and complex fractional Gaussian fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Abstract Wiener spaces (AWS) provide a natural generalization of classical probability spaces for describing Gaussian measures. An AWS is a triple (H, B, ν) consisting of a separable Hilbert space H , a separable Banach space B (densely containing H), and a Gaussian probability measure ν on B whose covariance structure is very similar to the covariance of a standard Gaussian random element on H . The challenge in defining the latter for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space arises from the fact that, if expressed as

$$Z = e_1 Z_1 + e_2 Z_2 + \dots + e_n Z_n + \dots,$$

where $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables and $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis an infinite sum of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables Z_k , the resulting random element Z is almost surely infinite. As an alternative we thus consider a Gaussian random element defined not on the Hilbert space H , but on a slightly larger Banach space B , such that its covariance structure is determined by H .

Real abstract Wiener spaces were originally defined by Gross in [Gro67] as a generalisation of the theory of advanced integral calculus in infinite dimensions as introduced by Cameron and Martin in [CM44, CM45]. They considered the probability measure ν generating the Brownian motion, defined on the underlying Banach space B of continuous functions $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, starting in zero. Only from work by Segal [Seg56, Seg58] it became clear that the essential element for the formulas developed by Cameron and Martin was the Euclidean structure of the Hilbert space H of $(1, 2)$ -Sobolev functions $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ starting in the origin. Gross then generalised this concept of a triple (H, B, ν) for any separable real Hilbert space H .

In an earlier article [Gro62], Gross introduced measurable norms. In [Gro67] the key insight was that if B is the Banach space completion of a Hilbert space H endowed with a measurable norm (necessarily weaker than the H -norm), then the Gaussian distribution on B becomes countably additive. Properties of Gaussian measures on Banach spaces and AWS are further discussed in [Kuo75, Str23]. Classical examples for which the AWS are the natural underlying probability spaces include (fractional) Brownian motion, (fractional) Gaussian fields, or space-time white noise, as detailed in [Fin75, CF24] and the references therein. The simplest case of Brownian motion is precisely the example introduced by Cameron and Martin. AWS are still an active area of research. In fact, in the recent paper [CF24], the authors enhance the AWS formalism to cover key results, such as large deviations, Malliavin calculus, and Fernique's concentration of measure theorem, within the context of Gaussian rough paths and regularity structures.

UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, BUDAPESTLAAN 6, 3584 CD UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS

E-mail addresses: t.j.vanleeuwen@uu.nl, w.m.ruszel@uu.nl.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G07, 60G15, 60G60, 30A99, 32A70, 46F25, 81T99.

Key words and phrases. (complex) abstract Wiener spaces, real structures, (complex) fractional Gaussian fields, Feynman-Kac formula, quantum free fields.

From the mathematical physics perspective, there is an interesting link between abstract Wiener spaces and (free) Euclidean field theory, see e.g. Section 4.3 in [Str23]. Important are Hilbert spaces which are invariant under the Euclidean group. In fact, fields are formulated as probability measures on function spaces, particularly measures on Schwartz distributions over the Euclidean space. The path integral formulation requires defining a probability measure on a space of fields, often modelled as a Gaussian measure with additional interactions. The construction of a free Euclidean field is directly linked to an AWS, where the underlying Hilbert space is the space of solutions to a covariance operator. The AWS formalism provides a rigorous approach to Wiener integration in field theory. This mathematical framework plays a crucial role in ensuring the well-defined nature of the Euclidean path integral (in the non-interacting case) and provides a probabilistic interpretation of field theory in terms of stochastic processes.

Complex Gaussian random elements are important objects in many areas beyond mathematics. For example, they can be used to model quantum harmonic oscillators or electromagnetic fields in physics [Jao23], signals in sonar or radar systems in engineering such as signal processing [Lap17], or signals in machine learning reconstruction algorithms. In random matrix theory, Ginibre ensembles are matrices with i.i.d. complex Gaussian entries, see e.g. [AGZ09].

Extending the framework of real abstract Wiener spaces (\mathbb{R} -AWS) to the complex setting when working with complex Gaussians can be done in two different ways. In [Tan96, KT93, Shi91], the authors respectively consider holomorphic functions, pseudo-convex domains and Itô calculus on so-called *almost* complex abstract Wiener spaces (\mathbb{C} -AWS). An almost \mathbb{C} -AWS is essentially an \mathbb{R} -AWS with an additional linear operator $J : H \rightarrow H$ such that $J^2 = -\text{id}$, mimicking multiplication with the complex number i on H without assuming that H is intrinsically complex. In some sense J is a complex structure added on top of the real space. Almost \mathbb{C} -AWS are natural spaces for modelling intrinsic real Gaussian fields or measures which exhibit complex-like structures. For example, one may consider integration in Euclidean quantum field theory for those fields which are intrinsically real. There Wick rotation introduces complex structures giving rise to such an almost complex linear operator J .

The other approach, which we consider in this work, is to directly define complex abstract Wiener spaces. The Hilbert and Banach spaces are assumed to be complex, and ν is a measure which is defined over the complex space B . The \mathbb{C} -AWS is a natural framework when working with truly complex fields which appear for example in the studies of charged particles in quantum field theories or the Fock space representation of quantum free fields (also known as the complex Gaussian free field).

The aim of this paper is firstly to present a rigorous, complete and self-contained general framework for \mathbb{K} -AWS, where $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. In particular, we want to study the complex case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, and extend Fernique's theorem to hold for the complex case. While Gross' original article defines the AWS using measurable norms, we follow the definition by way of the characteristic function as given in [Str23]. Even more than in [Str23], we focus on the perspective of Gaussian fields to highlight the connection with integral calculus. In fact, if H is a \mathbb{K} -Hilbert space, B is a \mathbb{K} -Banach space, and ν is a probability measure on B we show that:


Theorem (Covariance as trace class operator). *X is a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field over \mathbb{K} iff the covariance function can be written in terms of some non-negative, self-adjoint trace class operator.*

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness). *For any H there exist B and ν such that (H, B, ν) is a \mathbb{K} -AWS. Conversely, if ν is a centred resp. proper Gaussian measure on B , then there exists a unique H such that (H, B, ν) is a \mathbb{K} -AWS.*

Essentially, this result says that Gaussian fields are in some sense equivalent to abstract Wiener spaces. For our third and final result we will relate the \mathbb{C} -AWS to the \mathbb{R} -AWS by way of a real structure, which is a real linear, complex anti-linear involution on a complex vector space. If there is an isometric real structure σ on a complex Banach space B , then B can be written as the vector space direct sum $B_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{+} iB_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the invariant set under σ endowed with the B -norm, and furthermore the topology on B is equivalent to the product topology on $B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}$. Assuming σ is an isometric real structure on both B and H , we show that:

Theorem. *$Z \sim \nu$ on the \mathbb{C} -AWS iff $X = \sqrt{2}\text{Re } Z$ and $Y = \sqrt{2}\text{Im } Z$ are i.i.d. on some \mathbb{R} -AWS.*

In fact our third result leads to the following commutative relation between the real and complex Gaussian fields and the real and complex abstract Wiener spaces.



Furthermore we will construct concrete examples which fall into our framework of \mathbb{C} -AWS. First we use \mathbb{C} -AWS to construct complex Brownian motion and we will show a complex version of the Feynman-Kac formula. Finally we construct the complex fractional Gaussian fields. The complex Feynman-Kac formula and complex fractional Gaussian fields do not appear earlier in the literature to the best of the authors knowledge. It would be interesting to develop fundamental results in this setting like Schilder's theorem, large (moderate) deviation results, and differential Malliavin calculus in the future.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we will define all objects, prove simple properties and discuss how real structures are to complex spaces what complexification is to real spaces. Section 3 contains the precise results which we will prove in Section 4. Finally Section 5 is devoted to our two examples and a complex version of the Feynman-Kac formula.

2. PREREQUISITES

In this section we will define Banach space valued random elements. As the Banach space in question may be complex, we first discuss complex random variables in Section 2.1. Then we will speak about the measurability and (Bochner) integrability of a Banach space valued function in Section 2.2. In Section 2.3 the underlying measure space will be a probability space, and we will give some results on Gaussian fields. This includes a modified proof of Fernique's Theorem 2.14 to hold also for the complex case. Section 2.4 defines abstract Wiener spaces, and finally in Section 2.5 we will introduce the necessary structure to compare complex Gaussian fields to real ones.

Most of the time we will not explicitly talk about the underlying probability space. We will assume it to be large enough to support our random elements. It is denoted $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with integral $\mathbb{E} \cdot = \int_{\Omega} \cdot d\mathbb{P}$. With \mathbb{K} we mean either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , in the sense that the statement in question holds for both fields. For example, for $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^d$ with $d \geq 1$ the Euclidean inner product is denoted $x \cdot y = x \bar{y}^T$ and the Euclidean norm is denoted $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$.

If B is a Banach space, its norm is denoted $\|\cdot\|_B$ unless specified otherwise. Furthermore, the topological dual to B is denoted B' , with norm

$$\|f\|_{B'} := \|f\|_{\text{op}} := \sup_{x \in B \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|_B} = \sup_{x \in B: \|x\|_B=1} |f(x)|$$

for $f \in B'$. This is the *operator norm*, defined in general for linear operators from one Banach space to another.

2.1. Complex random elements. We define finite-dimensional complex random fields, i.e., complex random variables, and their defining properties. More details can be found in [Lap17].

Definition 2.1. A *complex random variable* is a Borel measurable map Z from a probability space to \mathbb{C} , which by the identity

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$$

may be defined as the complex linear sum $X + iY$, where X and Y are real random variables. \diamond

Aside from the *mean* and *variance*,

$$\mathbb{E} Z := \mathbb{E} X + i \mathbb{E} Y \quad \text{Var } Z := \mathbb{E} \left[|Z - \mathbb{E} Z|^2 \right]$$

we define also the *pseudo-variance*

$$\text{PVar } Z := \mathbb{E} \left[(Z - \mathbb{E} Z)^2 \right].$$

This takes the covariance between the real and imaginary components of Z into account. Using respectively the complex and real polarisation identities one can obtain the *covariance* $\text{cov}(Z)$ and *pseudo-covariance* $\text{pcov}(Z)$. Finally, the *characteristic function* of a complex random variable Z is given by

$$\varphi_Z(w) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left(i \text{Re} (w \cdot Z) \right), \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Remark 2.2. We can relate any complex random variable Z to the real random vector $(\operatorname{Re} Z, \operatorname{Im} Z)$. The mean, variance, and pseudo-variance of Z contain precisely the same information as the mean vector and covariance matrix of $(\operatorname{Re} Z, \operatorname{Im} Z)$. Similarly, the characteristic functions satisfy $\varphi_Z(a+ib) = \varphi_{(X,Y)}(a,b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. In this way, everything we know about two-dimensional real random vectors translates to complex random variables. For example, two complex random variables Z and W are said to be *independent* if their associated real random vectors $(\operatorname{Re} Z, \operatorname{Im} Z)$ and $(\operatorname{Re} W, \operatorname{Im} W)$ are independent. Furthermore, as in the real case, the characteristic function of a complex random variable completely determines its distribution.

Conversely, any real random variable X can be thought of as complex by defining $\tilde{X} := X + 0i$. This associated complex random variable then has first and second moments

$$\mathbb{E} \tilde{X} = \mathbb{E} X, \quad \operatorname{Var} \tilde{X} = \operatorname{Var} X, \quad \operatorname{PVar} \tilde{X} = \operatorname{Var} X,$$

and characteristic function $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\cdot) = \varphi_X(\operatorname{Re} \cdot)$. In this way the space of real random variables can be embedded in the space of complex random variables. \heartsuit

In the spirit of this remark, a complex random variable Z is said to be *Gaussian* if and only if its real and imaginary components are real Gaussian random variables. It is a straightforward exercise to show that the characteristic function of a complex Gaussian random variable Z is given by

$$w \mapsto \exp \left(i \operatorname{Re} (w \cdot \mathbb{E} Z) - \frac{1}{4} [|w|^2 \operatorname{Var} Z + \operatorname{Re} (w^2 \cdot \operatorname{PVar} Z)] \right), \quad w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

An important class we consider is the class of *proper* complex random variables, defined to be complex random variables with zero mean, finite variance, and zero pseudo-variance.

Example 2.3. Suppose that $Z = X + iY$ is a proper complex Gaussian random variable. Then

$$0 = \operatorname{PVar} Z = \operatorname{Var} X + 2i \operatorname{cov}(X, Y) - \operatorname{Var} Y,$$

so X and Y are independent and identically distributed centred, non-degenerate real Gaussian random variables. This does not hold in dimensions higher than 1. A complex random vector is simply a Borel measurable map $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, or equivalently, $Z = X + iY$ with X and Y real random vectors. Suppose now that $Z = X + iY$ is a proper complex Gaussian random vector. Then $\mathbb{E} Z = 0$, and so

$$0 = \operatorname{PCov} Z = \mathbb{E} [ZZ^T] = \mathbb{E} [XX^T + iXY^T + iYX^T - YY^T].$$

Hence the cross-covariance matrix $\mathbb{E}[XY^T]$ is only skew-symmetric, not necessarily zero, and thus X and Y are not necessarily zero. However, as X and Y have the same covariance matrix, they are identically distributed. \triangle

In Remark 2.2 we saw that any real random variable X can be interpreted as the complex random variable $\tilde{X} := X + 0i$. However, if we further assume that \tilde{X} is proper, then $\mathbb{E} X = \mathbb{E} \tilde{X} = 0$ and $\operatorname{Var} X = \operatorname{PVar} \tilde{X} = 0$, so $X \equiv 0$ almost everywhere.

Example 2.4. Suppose that X is a real random variable. Take $Y \sim X$ to be independent and identically distributed, and define

$$Z = \frac{X + iY}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then $\mathbb{E} Z = e^{i\pi/4} \mathbb{E} X$, $\operatorname{Var} Z = \operatorname{Var} X$, and $\operatorname{PVar} Z = 0$. As X and Y are independent and identically distributed, the characteristic function of Z satisfies

$$\varphi_Z(\sqrt{2}(t + is)) = \mathbb{E} \exp(itX + isY) = \varphi_X(t)\varphi_X(s)$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. In this sense any real random variable can be seen in a different way as a complex random variable, up to some scaling and phase. Notice in particular that a centred real random variable is immediately associated to a proper complex random variable.

This extension works out particularly well for Gaussian random variables. Indeed, if Z is a proper complex Gaussian we have by Example 2.3 that $\operatorname{Re}(Z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(Z)$ are i.i.d. centred real Gaussians. Thus for Gaussian random variables there is a bijection between the centred real case and the proper complex case. \triangle

From now on, we will continue in the language of \mathbb{K} -random variables, with $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. In general, we will assume a truly real random variable to have a zero complex component as in Remark 2.2. If we say that a \mathbb{K} -random variable X is centred resp. proper, we mean that it is centred if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and proper if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

2.2. Measurable functions and Bochner integration. We give a brief overview of Banach space valued measurable functions and the Bochner integral. A more complete discussion can be found in [TJML16], which is also where the following results are proved. For this section, let B be a separable Banach space over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, and let (S, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space.

Definition 2.5. A function $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is said to be *measurable* if $\xi^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(B)$. Equivalently, $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is measurable if and only if $s \mapsto f \circ \xi(s)$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K})$ measurable for every $f \in B'$, the topological dual to B . \diamond

Remark 2.6. Notice that the second characterisation is technically a weak form of measurability. For a separable Banach space the two concepts are indeed equivalent, as is thoroughly discussed in [TJML16]. This is because in the case of a separable Banach space B , the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(B)$ is precisely the smallest σ -algebra making all $f \in B'$ measurable functions $B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. For non-separable B , the Borel σ -algebra is too large. \heartsuit

A function $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is called *simple* if it can be written for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\xi = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k},$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are elements of B , and $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ have finite measure. Note that a simple function is always measurable.

Lemma 2.7. Let B be a separable Banach space and let (S, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. If $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is measurable, then it is the μ -almost everywhere limit of a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple functions $S \rightarrow B$.

For a simple function ξ we define the B -valued integral by

$$\int_S \xi \, d\mu := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \mu(A_k).$$

Though there might be multiple different ways of expressing ξ as a simple function, each representation results in the same element $\int_S \xi \, d\mu \in B$. This integral is a \mathbb{K} -linear operator on the space of simple functions $S \rightarrow B$, and it satisfies $\|\int_S \xi \, d\mu\|_B \leq \int_S \|\xi\|_B \, d\mu$.

Definition 2.8. A measurable function $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is called *Bochner integrable* if there exists a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple functions $S \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \|\xi_n - \xi\|_B \, d\mu = 0.$$

In this case, the integrals $\int_S \xi_n \, d\mu$ form a Cauchy sequence in B , so there exists some limit

$$\int_S \xi \, d\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \xi_n \, d\mu$$

called the *Bochner integral* of ξ . \diamond

The following lemma gives an equivalent characterisation of Bochner integrability for separable Banach spaces.

Lemma 2.9. Let B be a separable Banach space and let (S, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. A measurable function $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ is Bochner integrable if and only if $s \mapsto \|\xi(s)\|_B$ is an integrable function $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In either case,

$$f \left(\int_S \xi \, d\mu \right) = \int_S f(\xi) \, d\mu$$

for any $f \in B'$.

With this result we can define the Bochner L^p spaces. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ we define $L^p(S; B)$ to be the space of (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $\xi : S \rightarrow B$ for which

$$\int_S \|\xi\|_B^p \, d\mu < \infty.$$

We may also define $L^\infty(S; B)$ to be the space of (equivalence classes of) μ -almost surely bounded measurable functions $f : S \rightarrow B$. With the respective norms

$$\|\xi\|_{p,B} := \left[\int_S \|\xi\|_B^p \, d\mu \right]^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi\|_{\infty,B} := \inf \left\{ r \geq 0 : \mu(\{\|\xi\|_B \geq r\}) = 0 \right\}$$

the spaces $L^p(S; B)$ and $L^\infty(S; B)$ are Banach spaces.

Notice that $\xi \in L^p(S; B)$ if and only if $\|\xi\|_B \in L^p(S; \mathbb{R})$, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. With this one can see that the L^p spaces are nested when μ is a finite measure.

2.3. Gaussian fields. We continue in the set-up of the previous section, though we now assume the σ -finite measure space is in fact a probability space, denoted $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. As always, the Banach space B remains separable, and unless stated otherwise it is assumed to be a \mathbb{K} vector space.

Definition 2.10. A map $X : \Omega \rightarrow B$ is called a *B-valued random field* on Ω if it is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(B)$ measurable. Equivalently, X is a B -valued random field if $f(X)$ is a random variable for every $f \in B'$. \diamond

The equivalence is again due to the separability of B . We will rely heavily on the weaker form of measurability. The random variable $f(X)$ is in general a \mathbb{K} -valued random variable, so we will say that X is a \mathbb{K} -random field.

From now on we will drop the explicit denotation of the underlying probability space. The Bochner L^p spaces are denoted $L^p(B) := L^p(\Omega; B)$, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{p,B}$.

Remark 2.11. Many results of classical measure and integration theory can also be obtained for Bochner integrals over separable Banach spaces. Often the proof is a one to one generalisation of the classical proof. For completeness, we list the following here, as they are to be used later. Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be B -valued random fields.

- If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability, then $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution.
- $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 if and only if $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable and $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.
- If C is another separable Banach space and $g : B \rightarrow C$ is a continuous function, then $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability implies $g(X_n) \rightarrow g(X)$ in probability, and $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution implies $g(X_n) \rightarrow g(X)$ in distribution. (Continuous mapping theorem) \heartsuit

To a B -valued random field X we associate its law or distribution, the pushforward measure $\nu_X := \mathbb{P} \circ X^{-1}$. This is a probability measure on the measurable space $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$. Any such probability measure ν on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$ is completely determined by its characteristic function

$$\varphi_\nu : B' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto \int_B \exp(i \operatorname{Re}(f) + i \operatorname{Im}(f)) d\nu.$$

Often, an easy way to check whether two random fields X and Y have the same distribution is to calculate their characteristic functions and see if they coincide. In that case, we write $X \sim Y$. Notice also that $\varphi_X(f) = \varphi_{f(X)}(1 + i)$ for all $f \in B'$.¹

Like the characteristic function, the first and second moments of a random field X are defined weakly. In particular, the *mean*, *covariance*, and *pseudo-covariance* functions are given by

$$m_X(f) = \mathbb{E} f(X), \quad c_X(f, g) = \operatorname{cov}(f(X), g(X)), \quad p_X(f, g) = \operatorname{pcov}(f(X), g(X)),$$

for all $f, g \in B'$. These functions are linear, sesquilinear, and bilinear, respectively. A random field X is said to be *centred* when $m_X \equiv 0$, *non-degenerate* when c_X is positive definite, and *proper* when $m_X \equiv 0$ and $p_X \equiv 0$. Equivalently, the random field X is centred, non-degenerate, or proper if the same can be said of $f(X)$, for every $f \in B'$. Again, if we say that \mathbb{K} -random field is centred resp. proper, we mean that it is centred if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and proper if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

A B -valued *Gaussian random field* is a B -valued random field X for which $f(X)$ is a Gaussian random variable for all $f \in B'$. Recall that a Gaussian random variable is determined by its first and second moments. The characteristic function of a B -valued Gaussian field X can be shown to satisfy

$$\varphi_X(f) = \exp\left(i \operatorname{Re} m_X(f) + i \operatorname{Im} m_X(f) - \frac{1}{2} c_X(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} p_X(f, f)\right), \quad f \in B',$$

so a Gaussian field is also determined completely by its first and second moments.

Example 2.12. If B is a real Banach space, then $f(X)$ is a real random variable for each $f \in B'$. Furthermore, $m_X(f)$ and $p_X(f)$ real-valued functions, so we may write

$$\varphi_X(f) = \mathbb{E} \exp(if(X)) = \exp\left(im_X(f) - \frac{1}{2} c_X(f, f)\right), \quad f \in B'.$$

This is the expression for the characteristic function of a real Gaussian field with which the reader may be more familiar. \triangle

¹See Example 2.4 what this means when $f(X)$ is a real random variable.

Two B -valued random fields X and Y are said to be *independent* if $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are independent for all $f, g \in B'$. For $B = \mathbb{R}^d$ we obtain the well-known independence of random vectors. In the case of Gaussian fields, we can use the characteristic function to determine independence.

Example 2.13. Let X and Y be two B -valued Gaussian fields. For $f, g \in B'$ we can write

$$\varphi_{(X,Y)}(f, g) = \varphi_{f(X)+g(Y)}(1+i) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_X(f)\varphi_Y(g) = \varphi_{f(X)}(1+i)\varphi_{g(Y)}(1+i).$$

Thus X and Y are independent if and only if the characteristic function factorises, i.e., $\varphi_{(X,Y)}(f, g) = \varphi_X(f)\varphi_Y(g)$ for all $f, g \in B'$. Note that while sufficiency holds for any type of random field, necessity is only true when both X and Y are Gaussian. \triangle

In particular, a Gaussian field is a measurable map, so we might wonder if it is Bochner integrable. The following theorem implies that a Gaussian random field in fact belongs to $L^p(B)$, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$. It was shown by Xavier Fernique in 1970 for real Gaussian fields. A proof of the real case can be found in [Str23]. We will sketch this proof, in particular where edits need to be made to include the complex case.

Theorem 2.14 (Fernique's Theorem). *Let B be a real or complex separable Banach space and let ν be a centred Gaussian measure on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$. There exist constants $\alpha, K \in (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\int_B \exp\left(\alpha \|x\|_B^2\right) d\nu(x) \leq K.$$

Proof sketch. As in [Str23], let X and X' be independent and identically distributed with law ν , and define

$$Y := \frac{X + X'}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y' := \frac{X - X'}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Fix $f, g \in B'$ and write $\eta := (f + g)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\zeta := (f - g)/\sqrt{2}$, then $\eta, \zeta \in B'$. Now $f(Y) + g(Y') = \eta(X) + \zeta(X')$, so by independence of X and X' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(Y,Y')}(f, g) &= \int_B \exp\left[i \operatorname{Re}\left(f(Y) + g(Y')\right) + i \operatorname{Im}\left(f(Y) + g(Y')\right)\right] d\nu \\ &= \int_B \exp\left[i \operatorname{Re}\left(\eta(X) + \zeta(X')\right) + i \operatorname{Im}\left(\eta(X) + \zeta(X')\right)\right] d\nu \\ &= \varphi_{(X,X')}(\eta, \zeta) = \varphi_X(\eta)\varphi_{X'}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

As $X, X' \sim \nu$, they have the same sesquilinear covariance function c and bilinear pseudo-covariance function p , so by a straightforward calculation

$$c(\eta, \eta) + c(\zeta, \zeta) = c(f, f) + c(g, g) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} p(\eta, \eta) + \operatorname{Im} p(\zeta, \zeta) = \operatorname{Im} p(f, f) + \operatorname{Im} p(g, g).$$

We may now write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(Y,Y')}(f, g) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c(\eta, \eta) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} p(\eta, \eta)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c(\zeta, \zeta) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} p(\zeta, \zeta)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c(f, f) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} p(f, f)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c(g, g) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} p(g, g)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\varphi_{(Y,Y')} = \varphi_{(X,X')}$, and thus $(Y, Y') \sim (X, X')$. From here the rest of the proof is identical to that given in [Str23]. \square

As a result of Fernique's Theorem, we see that any centred Gaussian field is an element of $L^p(B)$, so in particular each centred Gaussian field is Bochner integrable. Furthermore, any Gaussian field with continuous mean function is Bochner integrable.

2.4. Abstract Wiener spaces. From here on out we will limit our discussion to centred resp. proper \mathbb{K} -Gaussian fields. A prime example of such a field is the standard Gaussian field, defined over a Banach space B by the characteristic function

$$\varphi(f) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{B'}^2\right), \quad f \in B'.$$

We will see that a standard Gaussian field on a Hilbert space H is almost surely infinite. As an alternative, we consider the abstract Wiener space (H, B, ν) . Here the Hilbert space H is embedded into a slightly larger Banach space B , on which we are able to define a Gaussian measure ν that looks like it would have, had it been the standard Gaussian measure on H .

Remark 2.15. Consider a Hilbert space H over \mathbb{K} . By the Riesz Representation Theorem, any $f \in H'$ may be identified with some $h_f \in H$ such that $f(x) = (x, h_f)_H$ for all $x \in H$. As $(\cdot, y)_H$ for fixed y is a continuous linear function $H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we see that this map $\iota_H : f \mapsto h_f$ is a bijection. Notice that it is real linear, but complex anti-linear. For this reason, we will keep denoting the dual space of H by H' , and not notationally identify it with H as is often done in other literature. Finally, remark that due to the anti-linearity, $(f, g)_{H'} = (h_g, h_f)_H$ for all $f, g \in H'$. \heartsuit

In the following definition we will assume that H and B are Hilbert and Banach spaces over \mathbb{K} , respectively, such that $H \subseteq B$ algebraically, densely, and topologically. This means that H is a linear subspace of B , that H lies dense in B , and that the H -norm is stronger than the B -norm. In particular, this implies that any $f \in B'$ restricted to H is a continuous, linear map $f|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Thus $f|_H \in H'$, and so $\iota_H(f|_H) \in H$. In this sense, ι_H can be defined as a map from B' to H .

Definition 2.16. Let H be a separable Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} and let B be a separable Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Assume that $H \subseteq B$ algebraically, densely, and topologically. If ν is a probability measure on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$ with characteristic function

$$\varphi_\nu : f \mapsto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{H'}^2\right), \quad f \in B',$$

then we say that (H, B, ν) is an *abstract Wiener space*. \diamond

Notice that if $X \sim \nu$ with (H, B, ν) an abstract Wiener space, then X is a centred resp. proper B -valued Gaussian field with covariance function $(f, g) \mapsto (f, g)_{H'} = (\iota_H g, \iota_H f)_H$. The following lemma shows that while H completely determines the covariance structure (and hence all) of X , the space H is in fact a ν -null set. This will allow us to show that an H -valued standard Gaussian field cannot exist when H is infinite-dimensional.

Lemma 2.17. *Suppose that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space with H infinite-dimensional. Then $\nu(H) = 0$.*

The following proof is based on an argument given in Section 3.1 of [Str23]. For completeness, we state it within our complex framework.

Proof. Notice first that for any $x \in B$ we have $x \in H$ if and only if $\|x\|_H < \infty$. Setting $\|x\|_H := \infty$ for $x \in B \setminus H$, the function $\|\cdot\|_H : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous. In particular, this implies $H \in \mathcal{B}(B)$, see Chapter 7 in [KZ05].

As H is a separable Hilbert space that lies dense in B , the dual B' lies dense in the separable Hilbert space H' . Now there exists an orthonormal basis $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B'$ of H' , which by Riesz Representation Theorem induces the orthonormal basis $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H defined by $h_n = \iota_H(f_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space and define the random field $X : B \rightarrow B$ by $X(x) = x$. Then X is a B -valued Gaussian field with law ν . Defining $X_n = f_n(X)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent standard normal random variables $B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. One may then calculate that $\mathbb{E} \exp(-|X_n|^2) = a^{-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a = \sqrt{3}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $a = 2$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Either way, $a > 1$, so

$$\int_B \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n(x)|^2\right) d\nu(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{n=1}^m \exp\left(-|X_n|^2\right) \right] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a^{-m} = 0$$

by independence and monotone convergence. This implies that $\sum_n |X_n(x)|^2 = \infty$ for ν -almost all $x \in B$. However, for any $h \in H$ we know that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |X_n(h)|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(h)|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(h, h_n)_H|^2 = \|h\|_H^2 < \infty,$$

so H must be a subset of the ν -null set $\{x \in B : \sum_n |X_n(x)|^2 < \infty\}$. \square

Suppose now that ν is a standard Gaussian measure on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H . Then (H, H, ν) is an abstract Wiener space, so by the lemma $\nu(H) = 0$. However, we also have $\nu(H) = 1$ because ν is a probability measure on H , which is a contradiction. Hence if H is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, there exists no H -valued standard Gaussian field.

Remark 2.18. In general, abstract Wiener spaces are constructed by making use of so-called measurable norms. These were introduced by Gross in [Gro62], and in [Gro67] it was shown that they can be used to construct an abstract Wiener space. Though we will not give a formal definition here, we will explain the concept.

Consider a real, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H . A measurable norm on H is weaker than the H -norm, and it satisfies some particular conditions concerning finite-dimensional projections. The idea is then that if B is the Banach space completion of H under the measurable norm, then there exists a countably additive Gaussian measure ν on B making (H, B, ν) into an abstract Wiener space. Gross further showed that any norm defined by

$$\|x\| := \sqrt{(Ax, x)_H}, \quad x \in H$$

is measurable if A is an injective trace class operator on H . This is a very useful result, especially in light of the connection between trace class and Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Note that this theory has only been defined for real spaces.

An in-depth discussion of measurable norms requires many more prerequisites, so we will leave it here. All our further results on abstract Wiener spaces will be in terms of Gaussian fields as in [Str23], though the proof referenced in Section 5.1 relies on measurable norms. \heartsuit

2.5. Real structures. Remember that a complex random variable Z can be written as $X + iY$, where X and Y are real random variables. The same can be done for a complex Banach space valued random element, provided the Banach space has a so-called real structure.

Definition 2.19. A *real structure* on a complex vector space B is an anti-linear involution $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$. The invariant set under σ is called the *real part* $B_{\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma} := \{x \in B : \sigma(x) = x\}$ of B . The maps

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\sigma} : B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{x + \sigma(x)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}_{\sigma} : B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{x - \sigma(x)}{2i}$$

project B onto $B_{\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma}$. \diamond

Notice that multiple real structures can exist on the same complex vector space, see Example 2.21. When there is no confusion about which real structure is meant, we leave out the explicit denotation by σ .

Remark 2.20. A complex vector space has a real structure if and only if it is the complexification of some real vector space, see Section 18.3 in [Gor16]. In this case the real vector space is precisely the real part of the complex vector space. This means that a complex vector space B endowed with a real structure can be written as the vector space direct sum

$$B = B_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{+} iB_{\mathbb{R}} \cong B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}. \quad \heartsuit$$

The above holds for complex vector spaces in general, from now we restrict our focus to complex Banach spaces. If B is a complex Banach space with a real structure, we equip $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the B -norm. Then $B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be equipped with the product topology, so we define the product topology norm on B byⁱ

$$(1) \quad \|z\|_{\text{PT}} := \sqrt{\|\operatorname{Re} z\|_B^2 + \|\operatorname{Im} z\|_B^2}, \quad z \in B.$$

We can now compare the norm topology on B with the product topology on $B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e., we can see if the B -norm and the PT-norm are equivalent on B . Notice that if they are equivalent, then the real structure is bounded. As the following example illustrates, this is not always the case.

Example 2.21. Let B be an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space and let $\{x_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$ be a Hamel basis for B , where \mathcal{J} is a necessarily uncountable index set. Without loss of generality assume that $\|x_j\|_B = 1$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$. Consider a countable subset of \mathcal{J} and identify it with \mathbb{N} , so $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Define now the map $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$ on the Hamel basis by

$$\sigma(x_j) = \begin{cases} \ell x_{2\ell} & \text{if } j = 2\ell - 1 \in \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{1}{\ell} x_{2\ell-1} & \text{if } j = 2\ell \in \mathbb{N} \\ x_j & \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and extend it anti-linearly in the sense that

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{j_k} x_{j_k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{c_{j_k}} \sigma(x_{j_k})$$

for all $x \in B$. Then σ is an unbounded real structure on B .

Consider now the example $B = \ell^1(\mathbb{C})$, the space of absolutely summable sequences in \mathbb{C} . This is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, so we can define the unbounded real structure σ on B as described above. However, there also exists a bounded real structure on B , namely coordinate-wise complex

ⁱThis norm might be denoted $\|\cdot\|_2$, but to avoid confusion with the $L^2(B)$ norm we write $\|\cdot\|_{\text{PT}}$.

conjugation. Thus not only can multiple real structures exist on the same Banach space, but some of them may be bounded while at the same time others are unbounded. \triangle

We give a couple of equivalent statements about the comparison of the topologies. More results on the comparison of norms can be found in [MMPS22], though that is in the language of complexifications as opposed to real structures.

Proposition 2.22. *Let B be a complex Banach space with a real structure σ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The map $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$ is bounded.*
- (2) *The projection $\text{Re} : B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}}$ is continuous.*
- (3) *The map*

$$\rho : B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad z \mapsto (\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z)$$

is a topological vector space isomorphism.

- (4) *The B -norm and the PT-norm are equivalent on B .*

Proof. (1) \implies (2). Assume first that σ is bounded. Then

$$\|\text{Re } x\|_B = \left\| \frac{x + \sigma(x)}{2} \right\|_B \leq \frac{1 + \|\sigma\|_{\text{op}}}{2} \|x\|_B$$

for all $x \in B$, so Re is bounded and hence continuous.

(2) \implies (3). When Re is continuous, the map $\text{Im} : z \mapsto \text{Re}(-iz)$ is also continuous. Defining

$$\tau : B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow B, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x + iy$$

we see that both ρ and τ are continuous. Furthermore, they are injective and linear, and satisfy $\rho^{-1} = \tau$. Thus ρ is a topological vector space isomorphism from B to $B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}$.

(3) \implies (4). Suppose that ρ is a topological vector space isomorphism. By the previous we know that ρ and ρ^{-1} are injective and linear, so

$$\|z\|_B = \|\rho^{-1}(\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z)\|_B \leq \|\rho^{-1}\|_{\text{op}} \|(\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z)\|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^2} = \|\rho^{-1}\|_{\text{op}} \|z\|_{\text{PT}}$$

for all $z \in B$. On the other hand,

$$\|z\|_{\text{PT}} = \|(\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z)\|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^2} = \|\rho(z)\|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^2} \leq \|\rho\|_{\text{op}} \|z\|_B$$

for all $z \in B$, so the B -norm and the PT-norm are indeed equivalent.

(4) \implies (1). If $\|\cdot\|_B$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{PT}}$ are equivalent, then there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \|\sigma(z)\|_B \leq \|\sigma(z)\|_{\text{PT}} = \sqrt{\|\text{Re } z\|_B^2 + \|-\text{Im } z\|_B^2} = \|z\|_{\text{PT}} \leq C_2 \|z\|_B$$

for all $z \in B$. Hence σ is bounded. \square

Notice in particular that the real structure is *isometric* with respect to the PT-norm. This is a useful property, especially in the consideration of Hilbert spaces. Indeed, if σ is an isometric real structure on a complex Hilbert space H , then for all $x, y \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$(x, y)_H = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|x + i^k y\|_H^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\|x + y\|_H^2 - \|x - y\|_H^2 \right).$$

This implies that $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real Hilbert space. For this reason, we will from now on assume real structures to be isometric.

Remark 2.23. Suppose that B is a complex Banach space with an isometric real structure. Notice that for $h \in B'$ the map $\rho \circ h \circ \rho^{-1} : B_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow B$ is continuous, but not necessarily real linear. However, we may extend any $f \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ complex linearly to obtain an element of B' . Thus $B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be naturally embedded into B' . \heartsuit

One might think that given a complex Banach space B , the dual space B' is immediately endowed with the real structure that is pointwise complex conjugation. However, this is not the case, since \bar{f} for $f \in B'$ is complex antilinear, so in general $\bar{f} \notin B'$.

If B is equipped with an isometric real structure σ , then one can show that $f \mapsto \overline{f \circ \sigma}$ is an isometric real structure on B' , and furthermore that $B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be thought of as the real part of B' . We will need only the following simple result.

Lemma 2.24. *Let B be a complex Banach space with an isometric real structure. Then for any $h \in B'$ there exist unique $f, g \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $h = f + ig$.*

Proof. Fix $h \in B'$. Notice that for $z \in B$ we can write

$$h(z) = \operatorname{Re}(h(\operatorname{Re} z)) + i \operatorname{Im}(h(\operatorname{Re} z)) + i \operatorname{Re}(h(\operatorname{Im} z)) - \operatorname{Im}(h(\operatorname{Im} z)).$$

If we define $f, g : B_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f := \operatorname{Re} \circ h|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and $g := \operatorname{Im} \circ h|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}$, then $f, g \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ by continuity of the real structure, and $h = f + ig$ (when f and g are extended complex linearly to B). \square

Suppose now that B is a complex Banach space with an isometric real structure and that Z is a B -valued random field. Then the projections $\operatorname{Re}, \operatorname{Im} : B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}}$ are continuous, so $X := \operatorname{Re} Z$ and $Y := \operatorname{Im} Z$ are $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued real random fields. In fact, as the real and imaginary parts of a complex Gaussian random variable are real Gaussian variables, we have the following.

Lemma 2.25. *Let B be a complex Banach space with an isometric real structure. If Z is a B -valued Gaussian field, then $X := \operatorname{Re} Z$ and $Y := \operatorname{Im} Z$ are $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued Gaussian fields.* \square

The converse holds as well. If X and Y are $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued (Gaussian) fields for a real Banach space $B_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $Z := X + iY$ is a (Gaussian) field taking values in the complex Banach space $B := B_{\mathbb{R}} + iB_{\mathbb{R}}$ endowed with the PT-norm.

3. RESULTS

In this section we will present our results. Note that Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are stated in general terms of $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. However for Gaussian fields the language is not shared, a true real field will be considered to be centred and a true complex field will be considered to be proper. To keep our setting general we will say that a random field X is centred resp. proper.

Let H be an infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space. It turns out that the space of H -valued centred resp. proper Gaussian fields can be completely characterised. Note that such a field is completely determined by its covariance function. The following theorem is a more detailed version of Theorem 3.2.8 in [Str23], and it is extended to hold in general for \mathbb{K} -Gaussian fields.

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} .*

- (i) *If X is a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field, then its covariance function is given by $(f, g) \mapsto (Af, g)_{H'}$ for some non-negative, self-adjoint, trace class operator A on H' .*
- (ii) *If A is a non-negative, self-adjoint, trace class operator on H' , then there exists a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field X with covariance function $(f, g) \mapsto (Af, g)_{H'}$.*

In either case, X is non-degenerate if and only if A is positive.

The next theorem describes an equivalence between centred resp. proper Gaussian fields and \mathbb{K} -abstract Wiener spaces. It extends Theorem 3.3.2 in [Str23] to hold in general for \mathbb{K} -vector spaces. Part (i) is restated to highlight the non-uniqueness of the Banach space, and the relation with Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2.

- (i) *Let H be a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} . For any positive, self-adjoint, Hilbert-Schmidt operator A on H' , there exists a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space B over \mathbb{K} and a probability measure ν on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$ such that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space.*
- (ii) *Let B be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over \mathbb{K} with ν a probability measure on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$. Then ν is a centred resp. proper Gaussian measure if and only if there is a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H over \mathbb{K} for which (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space. In either case, H is unique.*

Finally, we have a result on the relation between real and complex abstract Wiener spaces, provided that the complex Banach and Hilbert spaces share an isometric real structure. This theorem is the most original result of our paper.

Theorem 3.3. *Let B be a complex Banach space and $H \subseteq B$ a complex Hilbert space. Assume that there exists a real structure on B such that its restriction to H is an isometric real structure on H . Suppose that Z is a B -valued random field and write*

$$X := \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} Z \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} Z.$$

Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) *Z is distributed by ν with (H, B, ν) a complex abstract Wiener space.*
- (ii) *X and Y are independent and identically distributed by $\nu_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $(H_{\mathbb{R}}, B_{\mathbb{R}}, \nu_{\mathbb{R}})$ a real abstract Wiener space.*

4. PROOFS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. The last statement is an immediate result from the form of the covariance function in this set-up. This theorem is extended from Theorem 3.2.8 in [Str23] to include the complex case. We follow the proof given there.

Proof of part (i). Let X be a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field, so its distribution is completely determined by its covariance function, which by definition is symmetric, non-negative definite, and sesquilinear. By Fernique's Theorem 2.14,

$$|c_X(f, g)| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[|(X, h_f)_H \overline{(X, h_g)_H}| \right] \leq \|h_f\|_H \|h_g\|_H \mathbb{E} \left[\|X\|_H^2 \right] = \|f\|_{H'} \|g\|_{H'} \|X\|_2^2 < \infty$$

for all $f, g \in H'$, so c_X is a bounded, symmetric, non-negative definite sesquilinear form $H' \times H' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Then there exists a bounded, self-adjoint, non-negative linear operator $A : H' \rightarrow H'$ such that

$$c_X(f, g) = (Af, g)_H \quad \text{for all } f, g \in H'.$$

Left is to show that A is trace class. As H is an infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space, there exists an orthonormal basis $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H . Denote by $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the associated basis of H' , so x_n is the Riesz representative of f_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may now calculate by monotone convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } A &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Af_n, f_n)_{H'} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_X(f_n, f_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[|f_n(X)|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(X, x_n)_H|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \|X\|_H^2 = \|X\|_2^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so A is indeed trace class. \square

For the proof of part (ii) we will need the following lemma. This is a more detailed version of Corollary 3.2.7 in [Str23] extended to hold in general for \mathbb{K} -Gaussian fields.

Lemma 4.1. *Let B be a separable Banach space and let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of centred Gaussian fields. If $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in probability to some centred B -valued random field X , then also $X_n \rightarrow X$ in $L^p(B)$ for all $p \geq 1$. Furthermore, X is Gaussian, and its (pseudo-)covariance function is the uniform limit of the (pseudo-)covariance function of X_n .*

Proof. Assume that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability. Then $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution also, which means that the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight. By the proof of Fernique's Theorem in [Str23] there exist $\alpha, K \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\alpha \|X_n\|_B^2 \right) < K$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $p \geq 1$ the collection $\{\|X_n - X\|_B^p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly integrable, and so $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^p .

Next, for $f, g \in B'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_X(f, g) - c_{X_n}(f, g)| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[f(X) \overline{(g(X) - g(X_n))} + (f(X_n) - f(X)) \overline{g(X_n)} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{B'} \|g\|_{B'} \|X - X_n\|_2 (\|X\|_2 + \|X_n\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $L^2(B)$. Since $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^2 the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ must be bounded in $L^2(B)$, so c_{X_n} converges uniformly to c_X . The same argument can be made for the pseudo-covariance functions.

Finally, fix $f \in B'$, then $f(X_n) \rightarrow f(X)$ in distribution by the continuous mapping theorem, see Remark 2.11. This implies a convergence of characteristic functions., so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X(f) &= \varphi_{f(X)}(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{f(X_n)}(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} c_{X_n}(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } p_{X_n}(f, f) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} c_X(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } p_X(f, f) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The distribution of a random field is completely determined by its characteristic function, so we conclude that X is a centred B -valued Gaussian field. \square

Proof of part (ii). Let $A : H' \rightarrow H'$ be a non-negative, self-adjoint, trace class operator. In particular, A is compact, so by the Hilbert-Schmidt Spectral Theorem there exists a sequence of eigenfunctions $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of A that forms an orthonormal basis of H' . Furthermore, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated basis of H , so x_n is the Riesz representative of f_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is real, and even non-negative by the non-negativity of A .

Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent standard \mathbb{K} -valued Gaussian random variables.ⁱ Define for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the H -valued random field

$$S_m := \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} X_n x_n,$$

By independence of the X_n ,

$$\varphi_{S_m}(f) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left(i \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} X_n (f, f_n)_{H'} \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n |(f, f_n)_{H'}|^2 \right)$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in H'$. Furthermore,

$$c_{S_m}(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} [X_n \overline{X_k}] (f, f_n)_{H'} \overline{(g, f_k)_{H'}} = \sum_{n=1}^m \alpha_n (f, f_n)_{H'} \overline{(g, f_n)_{H'}}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, g \in H'$. This implies that $(S_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of Gaussian fields. Notice now that for $k < m$,

$$\|S_m - S_k\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=k+1}^m \alpha_n |X_n|^2 = \sum_{n=k+1}^m \alpha_n \leq \sum_{n>k} \alpha_n.$$

As A is trace class, the sum $\sum_n \alpha_n$ converges and so the tail goes to zero. Thus $(S_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $L^2(H)$, so there is a limit $S \in L^2(H)$. By Lemma 4.1 we see that S is a centred resp. proper H -valued Gaussian field with covariance function

$$c_S(f, g) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_{S_m}(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (f, f_n)_{H'} \overline{(g, f_n)_{H'}} = (Af, g)_{H'}, \quad f, g \in H',$$

i.e., covariance function determined by A . □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Part (ii) can be proven by following the proof of Theorem 3.3.2 in [Str23], and making appropriate changes to account for complex values as is done in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of part (i). First we need to find some Banach space B that contains H algebraically, densely, and topologically. Then we need to show that there exists a proper B -valued Gaussian field with covariance function given by the H' -inner product. We will define B by setting its dual to be the image of A in H' . Then B will turn out to be a Hilbert space, so we may apply Theorem 3.1(ii) to A as an operator on B' .

By its positivity, A is a bijection onto $R(A)$, so $A^{-1} : R(A) \rightarrow H'$ is well defined. If we set $V = R(A)$ and

$$(u, v)_V = (A^{-1}u, A^{-1}v)_{H'}, \quad u, v \in V,$$

then V is an inner product space. Suppose that $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in V , then $(A^{-1}u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H' . Thus there exists some $f \in H'$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Af - u_n\|_V = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - A^{-1}u_n\|_{H'} = 0,$$

so V is in fact a Hilbert space. Furthermore,

$$\|u\|_{H'} = \|AA^{-1}u\|_{H'} \leq \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|A^{-1}u\|_{H'} = \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|u\|_V$$

for any $u \in V$, so $V \subseteq H'$ algebraically and topologically. Set $B := V'$, then B is a Hilbert space and $H \subseteq B$ algebraically and topologically. From now we will write B' as opposed to V .

Suppose that H is not dense in B . By the Hahn-Banach Theorem there must exist some $u \in B' \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u(h) = 0$ for all $h \in H$. However, then $(A^{-1}u)(h) = 0$ for all $h \in H$, which contradicts the fact that $u \neq 0$. Thus we may conclude that H lies dense in B .

Consider now A as an operator on B' . For $u, v \in B'$ there exist $f, g \in H'$ such that $u = Af$ and $v = Ag$. Hence

$$(Au, v)_{B'} = (u, A^{-1}v)_{H'} = (Af, g)_{H'} = (f, Ag)_{H'} = (u, Av)_{H'},$$

from which it follows that A is self-adjoint and positive on B' .

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for B' and set $f_n := A^{-1}u_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal system in H' . For $f \in H$ we have

$$f = A^{-1}Af = A^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n (Af, u_n)_{B'} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n (f, f_n)_{H'}$$

ⁱCentred resp. proper Gaussians with variance one.

by continuity and linearity of A and A^{-1} . This means that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in fact an orthonormal basis for H' . Furthermore, $\|A u_n\|_{B'} = \|A f_n\|_{H'}$, so A has the same Hilbert-Schmidt norm on H' as it does on B' . This implies that A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on B' as well, and hence $A^2 = A^* A$ is a positive, self-adjoint trace class operator on B' . By Theorem 3.1(ii) there exists a centred resp. proper B -valued Gaussian field with covariance function $(u, v) \mapsto (A^* A u, v)_{B'} = (A u, A v)_{B'} = (u, v)_{H'}$. Denoting the distribution of this Gaussian field by ν , we see that ν is a probability measure on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$ with characteristic function

$$\varphi_\nu : B' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad u \mapsto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H'}^2\right).$$

As $H \subseteq B$ algebraically, densely, and topologically, we conclude that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 4.2. *Let B be a complex Banach space and $H \subseteq B$ a complex Hilbert space. Assume that there exists an isometric real structure on B such that its restriction to H is an isometric real structure on H . Then $H \subseteq B$ algebraically, densely, and topologically if and only if $H_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq B_{\mathbb{R}}$ algebraically, densely, and topologically.*

Proof. Suppose first that $H \subseteq B$ algebraically, densely, and topologically. As the projection Re is real linear and $H_{\mathbb{R}} = B_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H$, we see that $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real linear subspace of $B_{\mathbb{R}}$. For density, notice that for any sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ approximating some $x \in B_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq B$ it follows by continuity of the real projection Re that $(\text{Re}(z_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H_{\mathbb{R}}$ converges in $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ to x . Finally, $H_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq B_{\mathbb{R}}$ topologically because $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{R}}$ inherit the H - and B -norms, respectively.

For the converse, suppose that $H_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq B_{\mathbb{R}}$ algebraically, densely, and topologically. As vector spaces, H and B are the complexifications of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively, so $H \subseteq B$ algebraically. For $z = x + iy \in B$ there exist sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ converging in B to x and y , respectively. By the triangle inequality $(x_n + iy_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ converges in B to z . Finally, $H \subseteq B$ topologically by another application of the triangle inequality. \square

(i) \implies (ii). Denote by ν the distribution of Z , and assume that (H, B, ν) is a complex abstract Wiener space. For any $f \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ we know that

$$f(Z) = \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{f(Y)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

is a proper complex Gaussian random variable with variance $\|f\|_{H'}^2$, so by Example 2.3 we see that $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ are centred real Gaussian random variables with variance $\|f\|_{H'}^2$. Hence X and Y are identically distributed with characteristic function

$$\varphi_X(f) = \varphi_Y(f) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{H'}^2\right), \quad f \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Take now $f, g \in B'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define

$$h(z) := \frac{f(z) + g(z)}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{f(z) - g(z)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

for $z \in B$. Then $h \in B'$, and for $z = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2}$ we may write

$$\text{Re}(h(z)) + \text{Im}(h(z)) = f(x) + g(y).$$

By the parallelogram law,

$$\|h\|_{H'}^2 = \left\| \frac{f+g}{\sqrt{2}} \right\|_{H'}^2 + \left\| \frac{f-g}{\sqrt{2}} \right\|_{H'}^2 = \|f\|_{H'}^2 + \|g\|_{H'}^2.$$

Putting this all together we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(X,Y)}(f, g) &= \mathbb{E} \exp\left(i f(X) + i g(Y) \right) = \mathbb{E} \exp\left(i \text{Re}(h(Z)) + i \text{Im}(h(Z)) \right) = \varphi_Z(h) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{H'}^2\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{H'}^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{H'}^2\right) = \varphi_X(f) \varphi_Y(g), \end{aligned}$$

so in fact X and Y are independent and identically distributed. Note that this holds only because they are Gaussian, see Example 2.13. Denote the shared distribution of X and Y by $\nu_{\mathbb{R}}$, then by Lemma 4.2 and the fact that $\varphi_{\nu_{\mathbb{R}}} = \varphi_X = \varphi_Y$ we may conclude that $(H_{\mathbb{R}}, B_{\mathbb{R}}, \nu_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an abstract Wiener space. \square

(ii) \implies (i). Assume now that X and Y are independent and identically distributed by $\nu_{\mathbb{R}}$, and that $(H_{\mathbb{R}}, B_{\mathbb{R}}, \nu_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an abstract Wiener space. Fix $h \in B'$ and write $f := \operatorname{Re}(h), g := \operatorname{Im}(h)$. Using the fact that X and Y are independent and identically distributed together with the parallelogram law, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_Z(h) &= \mathbb{E} \exp \left(i\sqrt{2}[f(X) - g(Y) + f(Y) + g(X)] \right) = \varphi_X(\sqrt{2}(f+g))\varphi_Y(\sqrt{2}(f-g)) \\ &= \exp \left(- \left[\|f+g\|_{H'}^2 + \|f-g\|_{H'}^2 \right] \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{H'}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this it is clear that Z is a proper B -valued Gaussian field with covariance $(f, g) \mapsto (f, g)_{H'}$, so by Lemma 4.2 we may conclude that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space. \square

5. EXAMPLES

In what follows we will give two examples of complex Gaussian fields constructed via abstract Wiener spaces. These examples are well-known, see for example Chapter I in [Kuo75] and Chapter 6 in [Sil17] in the real case. In our case the Gaussian fields in question are in fact complex.

In this section we will discuss complex function spaces, i.e., spaces of functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the domain D some subset of \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{C}^d , for some $d \geq 1$. Note that we will write $L^2(D)$ as short for $L^2(D, \mathbb{C})$ contrary to what we did in Section 2.2 where we used $L^2(B)$ as a short notation for $L^2(S, B)$. Other spaces of interest are the fractional Sobolev spaces $H^s(D)$ and the space of continuous functions $C(D)$.

All these spaces have an intrinsic real structure, namely pointwise complex conjugation. They are all embedded in $\mathcal{D}'(D)$, the space of distributions, defined to be the topological dual to $C_0^\infty(D)$, the space of test functions. The action of a distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(D)$ on a test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ is denoted by $u(\varphi) = \langle u, \varphi \rangle$. We assume knowledge of these spaces and their norms and/or topologies, including the Fourier transform. A recommended source is the first five chapters of [Gru09] for a thorough discussion.

5.1. The complex Wiener measure. One of the main examples of an abstract Wiener space is the so-called classical Wiener space. In this section we will construct a complex version, and show how it induces a complex Brownian motion.

Fix $T > 0$. Let $H \subseteq H^1([0, T])$ be the subspace of Sobolev functions $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $z(0) = 0$. This is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$(z, w)_H := (\partial z, \partial w)_{L^2}, \quad z, w \in H.$$

It may be embedded algebraically, densely, and topologically into the Banach space B of continuous functions $[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ starting in zero, equipped with the sup norm. Note that both H and B are separable.

There is an inherent isometric real structure on these function spaces, namely the (pointwise) complex conjugation. We see that

$$B_{\mathbb{R}} = B \cap \mathbb{R}^{[0, T]} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathbb{R}} = H \cap \mathbb{R}^{[0, T]} = H \cap B_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The following result is well known, see for example Theorem 5.3 in [Kuo75] for a full proof. Note that the proof uses the theory of measurable norms, which is not discussed in this article.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a probability measure $\nu_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $(B_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{B}(B_{\mathbb{R}}))$ such that $(H_{\mathbb{R}}, B_{\mathbb{R}}, \nu_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an abstract Wiener space.*

By Theorem 3.3 we know that there exists a measure ν on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$ such that (H, B, ν) is an abstract Wiener space. More specifically, take $X, Y \sim \nu_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be independent and define $Z := (X + iY)/\sqrt{2}$, then Z has law ν . Then for any $f, g \in B'$ the random variables $f(Z)$ and $g(Z)$ are proper Gaussians with covariance

$$c_Z(f, g) = \mathbb{E} [f(Z)\overline{g(Z)}] = (f, g)_{H'}.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ denote the underlying probability space of Z and define the stochastic process $W = \{W_t : t \in [0, T]\}$ associated to Z by setting $W_t(\omega) := Z(\omega)(t)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

For any $t \in [0, T]$ define a function $B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$x \mapsto x(t) = \int_{[0, T]} x \, d\delta_t, \quad x \in B,$$

where δ_t is the Dirac point measure in t . This function is continuous and linear, so in this way we may identify the set of Dirac point measures $\{\delta_t : t \in [0, T]\}$ with a subset of B' . By a slight abuse of notation, we denote the function above by δ_t . We may now write $W_t = \delta_t(Z)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Let us investigate how such a functional behaves on H . Define for $t \in [0, T]$ the function

$$d_t : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad s \mapsto \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \leq t \\ t & \text{if } s > t. \end{cases}$$

Since $\partial d_t = \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}$ we have $d_t \in H$, and furthermore,

$$\delta_t(h) = h(t) = \int_0^t \partial h(s) \, ds = \int_{[0, T]} \partial h \, \partial d_t = (h, d_t)_H$$

for all $h \in H$. By the Riesz Representation Theorem we obtain $\|\delta_t\|_{H'} = \|d_t\|_H = \sqrt{t}$.

We may now observe some properties of the process W . By definition of the space B we know that \mathbb{P} -almost surely, $t \mapsto W_t$ is continuous and starts in zero. Next, for any $t \in [0, T]$ the random variable $W_t = \delta_t(Z)$ is a proper complex Gaussian with variance $\|\delta_t\|_{H'}^2 = t^2$. Finally, fix $0 \leq s_1 < t_1 \leq s_2 < t_2 \leq T$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} [(W_{t_2} - W_{s_2})(\overline{W_{t_1} - W_{s_1}})] = (\delta_{t_2} - \delta_{s_2}, \delta_{t_1} - \delta_{s_1})_{H'} = (d_{t_1} - d_{s_1}, d_{t_2} - d_{s_2})_H = 0,$$

so W has independent increments. Summarising these properties, we see that W is the complex Brownian motion.

Proposition 5.2. *There exists a B -valued Gaussian field Z such that the stochastic process $W_t := \int Z \, d\delta_t$ is the complex Brownian motion.* \square

Fix now $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 =: t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$, and define the complex random vectors

$$X := (W_{t_1}, W_{t_2}, \dots, W_{t_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y := (W_{t_1}, W_{t_2} - W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n} - W_{t_{n-1}}).$$

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative measurable function. Then

$$\int_B f(z(t_1), \dots, z(t_n)) \, d\nu(z) = \mathbb{E} [f(X)] = \mathbb{E} [f(Y_1, Y_1 + Y_2, \dots, Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)]$$

As W is the complex Brownian motion, Y is a vector of independent proper complex Gaussians with $\text{Var } Y_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If κ is the relevant normalisation constant, we obtain by a change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [f(X)] &= \kappa \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(y_1, y_1 + y_2, \dots, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|y_k|^2}{t_k - t_{k-1}}\right) dy_n \cdots dy_1 \\ &= \kappa \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k - x_{k-1}|^2}{t_k - t_{k-1}}\right) dx_n \cdots dx_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we take $x_0 := 0$.

Fix now some arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and denote by $C_{x_0}([0, T])$ the space of continuous functions $[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $x(0) = x_0$. This is not a vector space, but equipped with the sup norm it has a topology and hence a Borel σ -algebra.

Defining the bijective shift $s_{x_0} : B \rightarrow C_{x_0}([0, T])$ by $z \mapsto z + x_0$, we see that $s_{x_0}(Z)$ is measurable with respect to the Borel σ -algebra of $C_{x_0}([0, T])$. We may now conclude the following.

Proposition 5.3. *Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T > 0$. Then there is a unique measure ν_{x_0} on the Borel σ -algebra of $C_{x_0}([0, T])$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 =: t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$ and each non-negative measurable function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\int_{C_{x_0}([0, T])} f(x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) \, d\nu_{x_0}(x) = \kappa \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k - x_{k-1}|^2}{t_k - t_{k-1}}\right) dx.$$

Proof. Existence follows from the previous argument, where $\nu_{x_0} = \nu \circ s_{x_0}^{-1}$, and uniqueness follows by uniqueness of the abstract Wiener space (H, B, ν) and the fact that W completely determines Z and vice versa. \square

This is a complex, one-dimensional version of Theorem 20.2 in [Hal13]. The full version can be proven rigorously in a similar manner, though it requires a lot more notation. With that one can prove a complex analogue to an integrated form of the Feynman-Kac formula.

Let $V : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous and bounded, and define the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} := -\Delta + V$, this is a self-adjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{C})$. We consider the operator $\exp(-T\mathcal{H})$ defined by the Trotter product formula (Theorem 20.1 in [Hal13]) to be

$$e^{-T\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\exp\left(\frac{T\Delta}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{TV}{n}\right) \right]^n.$$

Here $\exp(-TV/n)$ is a multiplication operator and

$$\exp\left(\frac{T\Delta}{n}\right) f(x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \exp\left(-\frac{n}{T}|x - x_0|^2\right) dx, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{C},$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Finally, note that the space $C([0, T])$ is Banach when endowed with the supnorm, as $[0, T]$ is compact. Hence we can consider probability measures defined on the Borel σ -algebra of $C([0, T])$.

Theorem 5.4 (Feynman-Kac formula). *There exists a probability measure ν_T on $C([0, T])$ such that*

$$(e^{-T\mathcal{H}} f, g)_{L^2} = \int_{C([0, T])} \overline{g(x(0))} \exp\left(\int_0^T V(x(s)) ds\right) f(x(T)) d\nu_T(x)$$

for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$.

5.2. Complex fractional Gaussian fields. For this section, let $s > 0$ be a positive real number and $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open, bounded, non-empty set.

Proposition 5.5. *There exists a nondecreasing sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and an orthonormal basis $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H_0^1(D) \cap C^\infty(\overline{D})$ of $L^2(D; \mathbb{R})$ such that each w_n is a non-trivial weak solution to*

$$-\Delta w_n = \lambda_n w_n \text{ in } D, \quad w_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial D.$$

Furthermore, the sequence $(n^2 \lambda_n^{-d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some constant $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$.

This set $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then also forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$. For $s > 0$ we define the fractional Laplacian of order s to be the operator in $L^2(D)$ given by

$$(-\Delta)^s u := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n^s (u, w_n)_{L^2} w_n.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We denote the domain of $(-\Delta)^s$ by $\mathcal{L}_s(D) := \{u \in L^2(D) : (-\Delta)^s u \in L^2(D)\}$ and define

$$(u, v)_{\mathcal{L}_s} := ((-\Delta)^s u, (-\Delta)^s v)_{L^2}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{L}_s(D).$$

This is an inner product making $\mathcal{L}_s(D)$ into a complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e^s = (e_n^s)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $e_n^s := \lambda_n^{-s} w_n$.

Remark 5.6. The $\mathcal{L}_s(D)$ spaces concern fractionally differentiable functions, in a similar way to the fractional Sobolev spaces. In particular, one can show that if D is Lipschitz, then $\mathcal{L}_s(D) \subseteq H_0^{2s}(D)$ with equality whenever $s \notin \{\frac{1}{4}k : k \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 1\}$, see Chapter 3 in [McL00]. Furthermore, $C_0^\infty(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_t(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_s(D)$ for any $t \geq s > 0$. \heartsuit

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be some sequence such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n^{-2s} |a_n|^2 < \infty.$$

Then $u := \sum_n a_n w_n \in \mathcal{L}'_s(D) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(D)$ and $(-\Delta)^{-s} u := \sum_n \lambda_n^{-s} a_n w_n \in L^2(D)$. We may now define $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$ to be the space of such distributions u . It is a Hilbert space when equipped with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}} := ((-\Delta)^{-s} u, (-\Delta)^{-s} v)_{L^2}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D),$$

and $e^{-s} = (e_n^{-s})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $e_n^{-s} := \lambda_n^s w_n$ is an orthonormal basis. Setting $\mathcal{L}_0(D) := L^2(D)$ we may write for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$C_0^\infty(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_t(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_s(D) \subseteq L^2(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{-t}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(D).$$

Note in particular that $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{-t}(D)$ algebraically, densely, and topologically, which facilitates the construction an abstract Wiener space. To do this, we apply Theorem 3.2(i).

Proposition 5.7. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open, bounded, non-empty set and fix $s > 0$. For any $t > s + \frac{1}{4}d$ there exists a probability measure ν_t on the complex space $\mathcal{L}_{-t}(D)$ such that $(\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D), \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D), \nu_t)$ is an abstract Wiener space.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Define the linear operator $A : \mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D)$ by $f \mapsto f \circ (-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}$. Notice that $(-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon} : \mathcal{L}_{-s-\varepsilon}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism, so A is well-defined and in fact a Hilbert space isomorphism onto its image $\mathcal{L}'_{-s-\varepsilon}(D)$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D)$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$,

$$(Af)(u) = f((-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}u) = ((-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}u, \bar{a}_f)_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}} = (u, (-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}\bar{a}_f)_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}},$$

where a_f is the Riesz representative of f in $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$. Observe that $(-\Delta)^\varepsilon a_f$ is the Riesz representative of Af in $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$. Furthermore, as $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, $(-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}$ is a positive, self-adjoint linear operator on $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$. This means that A is a positive, self-adjoint linear operator on $\mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $f_n := (\cdot, e_n^-)_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}}$ where $(e_n^-)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$ mentioned above, then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the associated orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D)$. Hence we can write the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A as

$$\|A\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|Af_n\|_{\mathcal{L}'_{-s}}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(-\Delta)^{-\varepsilon}e_n^-\|_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n^{-2\varepsilon},$$

which due to Weyl's law converges if and only if $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{4}d$.

Let us now write $t = s + \varepsilon$, and assume that $t > s + \frac{1}{4}d$. Then the map

$$A : \mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_{-s}(D), \quad f \mapsto f \circ (-\Delta)^{s-t}$$

is a positive, self-adjoint Hilbert Schmidt operator with range $\mathcal{L}'_{-t}(D)$. By Theorem 3.2(i) and its proof we may now conclude that there exists a probability measure ν_t on the Borel σ -algebra of $\mathcal{L}_{-t}(D)$ such that $(\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D), \mathcal{L}_{-t}(D), \nu_t)$ is an abstract Wiener space. \square

For $u = \sum_n a_n w_n \in \mathcal{L}_{-t}(D)$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ we may write

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \langle w_n, \varphi \rangle = \left((-\Delta)^{-t}u, (-\Delta)^t \bar{\varphi} \right)_{L^2} = \left(u, (-\Delta)^{2t} \bar{\varphi} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_{-t}}.$$

For fixed φ this describes a continuous linear function of u , which we denote here by $g_\varphi \in \mathcal{L}'_{-t}(D)$. Denote the restriction of g_φ to $\mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$ by \tilde{g}_φ , then for $u \in \mathcal{L}_{-s}(D)$,

$$\tilde{g}_\varphi(u) = \left((-\Delta)^{-t}u, (-\Delta)^t \bar{\varphi} \right)_{L^2} = \left((-\Delta)^{-s}u, (-\Delta)^s \bar{\varphi} \right)_{L^2} = \left(u, (-\Delta)^{2s} \bar{\varphi} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}}$$

so $\|\tilde{g}_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}'_{-s}} = \|(-\Delta)^{2s}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_s}$. Suppose now that Z_t is an $\mathcal{L}_{-t}(D)$ -valued random field with law ν_t , then

$$\mathbb{E} [\langle Z_t, \varphi \rangle \overline{\langle Z_t, \psi \rangle}] = \mathbb{E} [g_\varphi(Z_t) \overline{g_\psi(Z_t)}] = (\tilde{g}_\varphi, \tilde{g}_\psi)_{\mathcal{L}'_{-s}} = (\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{L}_s}.$$

This covariance structure is precisely the covariance of a fractional Gaussian field. Note that Z_t is a proper Gaussian, so it is completely determined by its covariance function. As we can see, the covariance function restricted to $C_0^\infty(D)$ no longer relies on t . Hence we can define the fractional Gaussian fields in the following way.

Theorem 5.8. *Take $s > 0$ and let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open, bounded, non-empty set. There exists a complex Gaussian field Z such that*

$$\langle Z, \varphi \rangle \sim \mathcal{CN} \left(0, \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^2, 0 \right)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. This field Z is called the complex fractional Gaussian field of order s .

By Remark 5.6 we obtain for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ the definition of a homogeneous Gaussian free field as stated in [CR24].

Funding. T.J.v.L. and W.M.R. are funded by the Vidi grant VI.Vidi.213.112 from the Dutch Research Council.

Acknowledgements. T.J.v.L. thanks Jiedong Jiang for help with Example 2.21, and Joppe Stokvis for our many discussions on all aspects of the article. W.M.R. would also like to thank Vittoria Silvestri for useful discussions about abstract Wiener spaces.

REFERENCES

- [AGZ09] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. *An Introduction to Random Matrices*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [CF24] G. Chiusole and P.K. Friz. Towards abstract wiener model spaces. *arxiv*, 2401.00169, 2024.
- [CM44] R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin. Transformations of wiener integrals under translations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 45:386–396, 1944.
- [CM45] R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin. Transformations of wiener integrals under a general class of linear transformations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 58:184–219, 1945.
- [CR24] Leandro Chiarini and Wioletta M. Ruszel. Stochastic homogenization of gaussian fields on random media. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 25:1869–1895, 2024.
- [Fin75] Henry C. Finlayson. wo classical examples of gross’ abstract wiener measure. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 53(2):337–340, 1975.
- [Gor16] Alexey L. Gorodentsev. *Algebra I*. Springer Cham, 2016.
- [Gro62] Leonard Gross. Measurable functions on hilbert space. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 105:372–390, 1962.
- [Gro67] Leonard Gross. Abstract wiener spaces. *Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, pages 31–42, 1967.
- [Gru09] Gerd Grubb. *Distributions and Operators*, volume 252 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2009.
- [Hal13] Brian C. Hall. *Quantum Theory for Mathematicians*, volume 136 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2013.
- [Jao23] Abdo Abou Jaoudé’. *The Paradigm of Complex Probability and Quantum Mechanics: The Quantum Harmonic Oscillator with Gaussian Initial Condition – The Momentum Wavefunction and the Wavefunction Entropies*. IntechOpen, Rijeka, 2023.
- [KT93] S. Kusuoka and S. Taniguchi. Pseudoconvex domains in almost complex abstract wiener spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 117(1):62–117, 1993.
- [Kuo75] Hui-Hsiung Kuo. *Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, first edition, 1975.
- [KZ05] Andrew J. Kurdila and Michael Zabrankin. *Convex Functional Analysis*. Systems & Control: Foundations & Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, 2005.
- [Lap17] Amos Lapidoth. *A Foundation in Digital Communication*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2017.
- [McL00] William McLean. *Strongly Elliptic Systems and Boundary Integral Equations*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [MMPS22] Moslehian, M.S., Muñoz-Fernández, G.A., Peralta, A.M., and Seoane-Sepúlveda, J. B. Similarities and differences between real and complex banach spaces: an overview and recent developments. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 116(88), 2022.
- [Seg56] I. E. Segal. Tensor algebras over hilbert spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 81:106–134, 1956.
- [Seg58] I. E. Segal. Distributions in hilbert space and canonical systems of operators. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 88:12–41, 1958.
- [Shi91] Ichiro Shigekawa. Itô-wiener expansions of holomorphic functions on the complex wiener space. In Eddy Mayer-Wolf, Ely Merzbach, and Adam Shwartz, editors, *Stochastic Analysis*, pages 459–473. Academic Press, 1991.
- [Sil17] Vittoria Silvestri. Fluctuation results for hastings–levitov planar growth. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 167:417–460, 2017.
- [Str23] Daniel W. Stroock. *Gaussian Measures in Finite and Infinite dimensions*. Universitext. Springer, 2023.
- [Tan96] S. Taniguchi. On almost complex structures on abstarct wiener spaces. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 33:189–206, 1996.
- [TJML16] Tuomas Hytönen, Jan van Neerven, Mark Veraar, and Lutz Weis. *Analysis in Banach Spaces*, volume I: Martingales and Littlewood-Paley Theory. Springer, 2016.