

PLANE CURVE SINGULARITIES VIA DIVIDES

NORBERT A'CAMPO AND PABLO PORTILLA CUADRADO

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Organization of the paper	3
2. Preliminary theory on mapping class groups and plane curves	4
2.1. Mapping class groups	4
2.2. Isolated plane curve singularities	6
2.3. The versal deformation space and the geometric monodromy group	8
3. Definition of divide and some combinatorial properties	9
3.1. Definition of divide	10
3.2. Adapted Morse function f_P on D and its extension θ_P on B_D^4	13
4. General fibration theorem	14
4.1. A connected divide yields a fibered link	14
5. Divides for plane curve singularities	15
5.1. Totally real models of plane curve singularities	16
5.2. Divides from an embedded resolution	16
5.3. Divides from Chebyshev polynomials	27
6. Description of the Milnor fiber	35
6.1. Description of the Milnor fibers over $+1$ and -1	35
6.2. Description of the Milnor fibers over $+i$ and $-i$	37
7. Descriptions of the monodromy	38
7.1. Monodromy as a product of Dehn twists	38
7.2. Other decompositions of monodromy	44
8. Abstract visualization of the Milnor fiber and the monodromy	55
8.1. Visualization of the vanishing cycles for a divide	55
8.2. Visualization of the Milnor fiber associated with a divide	57
8.3. Reduction cycles and reduction tori	57
8.4. Geometric monodromy group and reduction system	64
9. Some other questions and properties	67
9.1. Connected sum and characterization of plane curve divides	67
9.2. Symplectic properties	68
9.3. Presentation of the geometric monodromy group	69

Date: March 14, 2025.

This publication has been partially funded by the grant (holded by the second author) RYC2022-035158-I, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by the FSE+.

ABSTRACT. Generic relative immersions of compact one-manifolds in the closed unit disk, i.e. divides, provide a powerful combinatorial framework, and allow a topological construction of fibered classical links, for which the monodromy diffeomorphism is explicitly given as a product of Dehn twists. Complex isolated plane curve singularities provide a classical fibered link, the Milnor fibration, with its Milnor monodromy, monodromy group, and vanishing cycles. This surveys puts together much of the work done on divides and their role in the topology of isolated plane curve singularities. We review two complementary approaches for constructing divides: one via embedded resolution techniques and controlled real deformations, and another via Chebyshev polynomials, which yield explicit real morsifications. A combinatorial description of the Milnor fiber is developed, leading to an explicit factorization of the geometric monodromy as a product of right-handed Dehn twists. We further explore the structure of reduction curves that arise from the Nielsen description of quasi-finite mapping classes and from iterated cabling operations on divides. The interplay between the geometric and integral homological monodromies is analyzed, with special attention to symmetries induced by complex conjugation and strong invertibility phenomena. In particular, the integral homological monodromy for isolated plane curve singularities can be computed effectively. In contrast, for complex hypersurface singularities in higher dimensions no method of computation of the integral homology monodromy is known. Connections with mapping class groups, contact and symplectic geometry, and Lefschetz fibrations are also discussed. We conclude by outlining several open problems and conjectures related to the characterization of divides among fibered links, the presentation of geometric monodromy groups, and the existence of symplectic fillings compatible with the natural fibration structures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of divides (or *partage* in French) has become a significant tool in the study of isolated plane curve singularities and their associated monodromy groups. Introduced in the 1970s, independently by the first author [A'C75b] and Sabir Gusein-Zade [GZ74a, GZ74b], divides provided a novel combinatorial approach to understanding the topology of singularities and their deformations. Both researchers were deeply influenced by the broader developments in singularity theory initiated by John Milnor's foundational work on the Milnor fibration [Mil68], which showed that isolated hypersurface singularities could be understood through their associated fibration structure. Divides emerged as a geometric tool that could encode the topological behavior of these singularities by associating them with fibered links in three-dimensional spaces.

The first author's pioneering work on divides tied tightly these objects to the monodromy of singularities. His work throughout the years drew a big picture that placed these objects as an useful and novel tool to understand plane curves. In particular, he showed that a divide associated with a plane curve singularity encoded every topological piece of information associated with the plane curve singularity: the link, a model of the Milnor fiber, the geometric monodromy as a product of Dehn twists around vanishing cycles and even the reduction curves of the Nielsen-Thurston decomposition of the monodromy. This work also demonstrated that divides could serve as a bridge between knot theory and the study of singularities, particularly by offering a combinatorial framework

for understanding the monodromy representation of some fibered link that share a lot of similarities with those coming from singularity theory.

In parallel, Gusein-Zade developed an alternative approach to divides, focusing on the real morsifications of singularities and using Chebyshev polynomials to generate divides for specific classes of real plane curves. This approach offered a more algebraic route, allowing the explicit construction of divides from polynomial models. Gusein-Zade's contributions enriched the theory of divides, showing that they could be systematically constructed for a wide variety of singularities, further connecting the geometric properties of divides with algebraic invariants like Puiseux pairs and Newton polygons.

Divides also exhibit strong symplectic properties, connecting them to the study of symplectic geometry and symplectic fillings. Research into the symplectic properties of divides has led to advances in the understanding of how these objects interact with higher-dimensional spaces, further expanding their applications.

This survey explores the modern theory of divides, focusing on their combinatorial and geometric properties, as well as their applications to the study of singularities. We discuss the role of divides in generating fibered links, describe their connections to the Milnor fiber and geometric monodromy, and highlight recent advances in the symplectic and topological study of these objects. Additionally, we state and discuss some open questions, such as the broader symplectic properties of divides.

Through this work, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of the theory of divides, demonstrating its central role in singularity theory, knot theory, and beyond. Much of the content comes directly from the classical work of the first author. Some proofs have been revisited or expanded with some details added and new detailed examples are considered. The order in which the material is told, does not necessarily respect the chronological order in which it appeared but rather an expository one.

Organization of the paper. In Sect. 2 We fix notation and introduce some basic concepts about the theory of mapping class groups and the theory of plane curves. Among others we introduce the Nielsen-Thurston decomposition and the important concepts of geometric vanishing cycle and geometric monodromy group associated with an isolated plane curve singularity.

In Sect. 3 we give the abstract definition of divide and we associate to it a link in the three-sphere. Furthermore, in Lemma 3.2.2 we prove the existence of adapted functions (Morse functions that define the divide) for each divide.

In Sect. 4 we prove a general fibration theorem (Theorem 4.1.1): the link that we associate to each divide is a fibered link. The fibration is given by a very explicit map which can be constructed from an adapted function.

In Sect. 5 we start the study of divides coming from plane curve singularities. In particular we introduce the concept of totally real plane curve singularity and we explain two methods to produce divides for totally real plane curves. The first method, presented in Sect. 5.2, is due to the first author and relies on choosing a resolution and then perform a series of controlled perturbations of the strict transform and contractions of exceptional divisors with self intersection -1 alternately. The second method, explained in Sect. 5.3 is due to Gusein-Zade and it relies on the fact that Chebyshev polynomials can be used to parametrize the 0 set of Brieskorn-Pham polynomials. This fact together with an iterative

technique, allows one to produce divides for any topological type of plane curve realized by a particular real model.

In Sect. 6 we describe combinatorially the Milnor fiber of the function constructed in Sect. 4. This combinatorial description is crucial in the description of the monodromy given in Sect. 7. In the first subsection we describe the monodromy as a product of right handed Dehn twists around the geometric vanishing cycles of a distinguished basis. In the second subsection we deal with special properties of the geometric monodromy associated with a plane curve singularity, more concretely we explore the property of being strongly invertible and we discuss the state of the art in higher dimensions.

In Sect. 8, we explain how one can, from a divide, to easily produce an explicit model for the Milnor fiber together with a set of geometric vanishing cycles associated with a distinguished basis. Furthermore, if the divide is produced by the previously introduced cabling technique, we explain how to visualize in this model, the reduction curves of the geometric monodromy associated with the divide.

Finally, in Sect. 9 we state a few open questions related to divides associated with plane curves together with some other properties that are not fully explored in this work.

2. PRELIMINARY THEORY ON MAPPING CLASS GROUPS AND PLANE CURVES

We turn now our attention to the theory of mapping class groups. The purpose of this section is to collect the results on mapping class group that we use throughout the rest of the text as well as to fix notations and conventions. For more insight on this topic we refer to the book by B. Farb and D. Margalit [FM11].

2.1. Mapping class groups. Let F be a compact oriented connected surface with boundary.

Definition 2.1.1. The *mapping class group* of F is defined as

$$\text{Mod}(F) := \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(F, \partial F)).$$

That is, elements of $\text{Mod}(F)$ are isotopy classes of oriented diffeomorphisms of F that fix the boundary point-wise. Each of these classes is called a *mapping class*.

Relative diffeomorphisms α, β of a surface $(F, \partial F)$ are in fact in the same mapping class if relatively homotopic.

Next we define the basic elements that form the mapping class group: the Dehn twists.

Notation 2.1.2. Given a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma$ disjoint from the boundary on an oriented surface we denote by

$$T_\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$$

a **right-handed Dehn twist** around γ or its mapping class in the mapping class group of Σ relative to its boundary. The support of T_γ is concentrated, by definition, in a tubular neighborhood of γ . Also, the mapping class of T_γ only depends on the isotopy class of the simple closed curve γ .

Now we turn to our attention to another construction, very much related to the one above, that will turn out to be very useful later on this text. We introduce the notions:




Figure 2.1.1. A right-handed Dehn twist acting on a properly embedded segment. The orientation of the annulus is indicated by the curved arrows.

minimal positive pair of Dehn twists, half twist, right half Dehn twist and left half Dehn twist.

Definition 2.1.3. Let F be an oriented surface and let $z : S^1 \rightarrow F$ be a simple closed parametrized curve on F . Let F' be the surface obtained from the surface F by cutting F along the image Z of z and by gluing back with the diffeomorphism that identifies images of opposite points of S^1 ; denote by $Z' \subset F'$ the image of Z ; we call this diffeomorphism a *half twist*. The surfaces F and F' are of course diffeomorphic and $F \setminus Z$ and $F' \setminus Z'$ are equal as sets. A *minimal positive pair of Dehn twists* from F to F' is a pair of diffeomorphisms (p, q) from F to F' such that the following holds:

- (a) The composition $q^{-1} \circ p : F \rightarrow F$ is a right Dehn twist with respect to the orientation of F having the curve Z as core. In addition $p(Z) = q(Z) = Z'$ holds
- (b) There exists a regular collar neighborhood N of Z in F such that both p and q coincide with the identity of $F \setminus Z = F' \setminus Z'$ outside N .
- (c) For some volume form ω on N , which we think of as a symplectic structure, we have $p^*\omega = q^*(\omega) = \omega$, and the sum of the Hofer distances ([HZ94, Chapter 5.]) to the identity of the restrictions of p and q to $N \setminus z$ is minimal.

Minimal positive pairs of Dehn twists exist and are well defined up to isotopy. For a minimal positive pair (p, q) of Dehn twists, the member p is called *positive or right half Dehn twist* and the member q is called *negative or left half Dehn twist*.

Nielsen-Thurston decomposition. The following decomposition result is a landmark in mapping class group theory.

Theorem 2.1.4 (See [Thu88] and Corollary 13.3 from [FM11]). *Let $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism that restricts to the identity on $\partial\Sigma$. Then there exists ϕ' isotopic to ϕ and a collection \mathcal{C} of non-null-homotopic disjoint simple closed curves (called reduction curves) including all boundary components such that:*

- (1) *The collection of curves is invariant by ϕ' , i.e. $\phi'(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.*
- (2) *The homeomorphism ϕ' restricted to the union of components of each ϕ' -orbit of connected components of $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is isotopic either to a periodic or to a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism.*

Definition 2.1.5. Any collection of curves \mathcal{C} satisfying 1 above is called a *reduction system*. That is, a collection of simple closed curves that is invariant (up to isotopy) by ϕ is a reduction system.

The decomposition given by Theorem 2.1.4 is called *Nielsen-Thurston decomposition* and is unique up to isotopy if the reduction system of curves \mathcal{C} is minimal by inclusion. We assume whenever necessary that the representatives of the mapping classes used in this work satisfy 1 and 2 from the previous theorem. This decomposition leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.1.6. Let $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a representative of a mapping class, we say that ϕ is **pseudo-periodic** if only periodic pieces appear in its Nielsen-Thurston decomposition.

Pseudo-periodic homeomorphisms are of special importance in complex singularity theory, as all geometric monodromies of holomorphic germs of functions on isolated complex surface singularities are of this kind. In particular, monodromies of isolated plane curve singularities are of this type. In general, local geometric monodromies of complex hypersurface singularities are dynamically restricted: the geometric monodromy can be realized by a distal map, which topological entropy vanishes. This shows that in the case of curve singularities the reduction of the geometric monodromy does not have pseudo-Anosov components. See also [A'C75a].

2.2. Isolated plane curve singularities. In this section we review some basic notions on plane curve singularities that are mentioned and used throughout this text.

The algebra of convergent power series $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ is a unique factorization domain and so, up to multiplication by a unit, a series f defining an isolated plane curve singularity, can be uniquely expressed as $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ where each f_i is an irreducible convergent power series. Each f_i is called a *branch* of f and if $r = 1$ we say that f is *irreducible*.

The Milnor fibration. In [Mil68], Milnor proved that for a holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with an isolated singularity at the origin,

$$\frac{f}{|f|} : S_\epsilon^{2n+1} \setminus K \rightarrow S^1$$

is a locally trivial fibration. Here $S_\epsilon^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denotes a suitably small sphere and the *link* K is defined by $K := f^{-1}(0) \cap S_\epsilon^{2n+1}$. If we denote by $D_\delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ a small disk of radius δ centered at 0 and by $B_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a ball of radius ϵ , it follows from Ehresmann's fibration lemma that

$$(2.2.1) \quad f|_{f^{-1}(\partial D_\delta) \cap B_\epsilon} : f^{-1}(\partial D_\delta) \cap B_\epsilon \rightarrow \partial D_\delta$$

is also a locally trivial fibration for ϵ small enough and δ small with respect to ϵ . In this case, we say that B_ϵ is a *Milnor ball* and that ϵ is a *Milnor radius*. Milnor proved in [Mil68] that these two fibrations are essentially equivalent.

The fibers of second fibration are connected compact $2n$ -manifolds with non-empty boundary $(F, \partial F)$, and the fibers of first fibration are diffeomorphic to the interior $F \setminus \partial F$ of the fibers of second fibration which carry a complex structure.

Any fiber F of these fibrations is called *the Milnor fiber* of f . When $n = 1$, the second is a connected oriented compact surface with non-empty boundary. The Milnor fiber has r boundary components, where r is the number of branches of f . Its first Betti number $b_1(F)$ coincides with

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}}{(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)}$$

and any of these quantities is called *the Milnor number of f* and denoted by μ_f or simply by μ if there is no ambiguity. The topological information of a plane curve singularity is carried by the r -component oriented classical link $\partial F \subset \partial B_\epsilon$ in its oriented ambient 3-sphere.

Puiseux pairs and intersection multiplicities. There are a lot of different ways of codifying by numerical invariants the topological information of a plane curve singularity. We cite [BK12], [Wal04] or [EN85] as standard references on this topic.

Next, we briefly recall what Puiseux pairs are, since they appear several times on this work. In particular, Puiseux pairs codify topologically uni-branch plane curve singularities. We give an axiomatic approach to this theory.

Definition 2.2.2. A finite sequence Pp of pairs of integers $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k)$ is a sequence of *essential Puiseux pairs* if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\leq a_i < b_i, \\ b_i / (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_i) &< b_{i+1} / (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i+1}) \text{ and} \\ \gcd(b_i, a_1 \cdots a_i) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

A sequence of essential Puiseux pairs Pp defines a family of topologically equivalent singularities. A specific member $f_{Pp}(x, y)$ of this family is obtained from a Puiseux expansion with fractional and strictly increasing exponents

$$y = x^{b_1/a_1} + x^{b_2/a_1 a_2} + \dots + x^{b_k/a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k}$$

by the rule, which takes into account the ramification of $x^{1/a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k}$,

$$f_{Pp}(x, y) = \prod_{\theta} (y - \theta^{a_2 \cdots a_k b_1} - \theta^{a_3 \cdots a_k b_2} - \dots - \theta^{b_k}),$$

where θ runs over the $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$ roots of $z^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k} - x = 0$ in the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}((x))$. The coefficients of the polynomial $f_{Pp}(x, y)$ are integers.

For example, the Puiseux expansion $y = x^{3/2} + x^{7/4}$ with strictly increasing exponents for $Pp = ((2, 3), (2, 7))$ leads to the polynomial $f_{(2,3),(2,7)} = (y^2 - x^3)^2 - 4x^5 y - x^7$ and the Puiseux expansion $y = x^{3/2} + x^{11/6}$ to the polynomial $f_{(2,3),(3,11)} = (y^2 - x^3)^3 - 6x^7 y^2 - 2x^{10} - x^{11}$.

There is a second equivalent set of numerical invariants that are more suitable for certain matters: *Newton pairs*. These are a finite sequence Np of coprime integers (a_i, λ_i) that can be computed from the Puiseux pairs by the recursive formula

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &:= b_1, \\ \lambda_{i+1} &:= b_{i+1} - b_i a_{i+1} + \lambda_i a_{i+1} a_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

Instead of using the above Puiseux expansion one uses for given Newton pairs Np Newton's Ansatz

$$y = x^{\lambda_1/a_1}(1 + x^{\lambda_2/a_1 a_2}(1 + x^{\lambda_3/a_1 a_2 a_3}(\dots)))$$

which expands to the corresponding Puiseux expansion.

Now suppose that $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ is the factorization of a reducible isolated singularity f in branches f_i . In this case, Puiseux or Newton pairs of each of the branches are not enough to determine the topology of the singularity. One need one extra piece of information, for example *intersection multiplicities*. For each pair $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}, i \neq j$, we define the *intersection multiplicity* between the branches f_i and f_j as

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(f_i, f_j)$$

which is in fact the linking number of the oriented knots corresponding to these branches. For an interpretation of the numbers λ_i of eq. 2.2.3 in terms of intersection numbers, see Sect. 5.3.

For instance, Puiseux pairs and Newton pairs can be truncated: denote by Pp_j or $Np_j, 0 \leq j \leq r$, the initial j entries of given equivalent Pp, Np and let $f_{Pp_j}(x, y)$ define a sequence of plain curve singularities. Here, Pp_0, Np_0 will be the empty set of Puiseux pairs, Newton pairs corresponding to $f_{\emptyset}(x, y) = y$. The equations $f_{Pp_j}(x, y) = 0, j = 0, \dots, r$, define oriented knots $K_j \subset S^3_{\epsilon}$. The Newton pairs get a topological interpretation: The knot K_j is the (a_j, λ_j) cable knot on the knot $K_{j-1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. See the book by David Eisenbud and Walter Neumann [EN85] for references and historical remarks.

2.3. The versal deformation space and the geometric monodromy group.

The versal unfolding. We briefly recall here the notion of the *versal unfolding* of an isolated singularity; see [AGZV88, Chapter 3] for more details. Recall the algebra

$$A_f = \frac{\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}}{(\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)};$$

Let

$$g_1, \dots, g_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$$

be polynomials that project to a basis of A_f , assume (we can always do so) that $g_1 = 1$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\mu}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu}$, define the function f_{λ} by

$$f_{\lambda} = f + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \lambda_i g_i.$$

The *base space of the versal unfolding* of f is the parameter space of all λ which is naturally isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^{μ} . The *discriminant locus* is the subset

$$\text{Disc} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu} \mid f_{\lambda}^{-1}(0) \text{ is not smooth}\}.$$

It can be shown that Disc is an algebraic hypersurface. The discriminant locus admits different stratifications; for example, it is stratified according to the sum of the Milnor

numbers of the singular points of the singular fibers lying above. In this sense, the top-dimensional stratum (that is, the smooth part of Disc) parameterizes curves with a single node. Denote by V_f a small closed ball in \mathbb{C}^μ centered at the origin. Define

$$(2.3.1) \quad X_f = \{(\lambda, (x, y)) \mid (x, y) \in f_\lambda^{-1}(0), \lambda \notin \text{Disc}\}.$$

Then, for V_f small enough and after intersecting X_f with a sufficiently small closed poly-disk, this family has the structure of a smooth surface bundle with base $V_f \setminus \text{Disc}$ and fibers diffeomorphic to the Milnor fiber F of eq. 2.2.1. We fix a point in $V_f \setminus \text{Disc}$ and we denote, also by F , the fiber with boundary lying over it.

The geometric monodromy group. Recall that a mapping class (Definition 2.1.1) is an isotopy class of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of F that restricts to the identity on the boundary, where the isotopies are required to fix the boundary *point-wise*. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ define an isolated singularity at the origin, with Milnor fiber F .

Definition 2.3.2. The *geometric monodromy group* is the image in $\text{Mod}(F)$ of the monodromy representation

$$\rho : V_f \setminus \text{Disc} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F)$$

of the universal family X_f of eq. 2.3.1.

Definition 2.3.3. A *quadratic vanishing cycle* or *geometric vanishing cycle* or, for the purposes of this work, simply a *vanishing cycle* is a simple closed curve $c \subset F$ that gets contracted to a point when transported to the nodal curve lying over a smooth point of the discriminant Disc .

Let B_ϵ be a Milnor ball for an isolated plane curve singularity f . Then, for any linear form $\ell : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ generic with respect to f and $\eta > 0$ small enough, the map

$$\tilde{f} := f + \eta\ell : B_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

only has Morse-type singularities and the corresponding critical values c_1, \dots, c_μ are all distinct and close to $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. The holomorphic map \tilde{f} is usually called a *morsification* of f .

Remark 2.3.4. Two isolated plane curve singularities with the same topological type can be connected by a 1-parameter μ -constant family and thus, they have the same geometric monodromy group. This last statement follows from the following: in [GZ74a, Theorem 3] it is proven that two plane curve singularities with the same topological type can be joined by a μ -constant family or, equivalently, by family of singularities with the same topological type. As a consequence, the intersection matrices (of distinguished basis) of these singularities coincide. Also, by a result of Hamm and Lê [HT73], the geometric monodromy group of a plane curve singularity can be computed from any morsification. As a consequence of this discussion, the geometric monodromy group (Definition 2.3.2) of an isolated plane curve singularity is a topological invariant.

3. DEFINITION OF DIVIDE AND SOME COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES

In this section we give the first definitions and properties of divides. In particular, we prove the existence of *adapted functions* to divides (Lemma 3.2.2) which are Morse functions with very particular properties.

3.1. Definition of divide. We start with the definition of the central object of this work.

Definition 3.1.1. A *divide* is a generic relative immersion $\alpha : J \rightarrow D$ of a compact 1-dimensional manifold J into a disk D . Usually D is a disk in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 or the Gaussian plane \mathbb{C} .

Notation 3.1.2. A divide is usually identified with the image of the immersion and denoted by P , that is, with the notation of the above definition $P = \alpha(J)$.

Remark 3.1.3. • *relative* means that $\alpha(\partial J) \subset \partial D$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\partial D) = \partial J$.

- *generic* means that the restriction of α to ∂J is injective, that $\alpha(J)$ is transverse to ∂D , and that the curve $\alpha(J)$ has only ordinary double points in the interior of D (this leads to the following Definition 3.1.4)
- When not important, the radius of the disk will not be specified. If we need to specify the radius of the disk, we will write D_ρ for a disk of radius ρ centered at the origin.
- In certain parts of this survey, we only consider divides which are immersions of disjoint union of segments and, in other parts, we also consider divides which are immersions of disjoint union of segments and circles. It will be pointed out when necessary. If no clarification is made, we assume the second and more general version.

It will be very useful to deal with a more *relaxed* version of the notion of divide which is one where we allow ordinary singularities other than double points.

Definition 3.1.4. A *pre-divide* is a relative immersion $\alpha : J \rightarrow D$ that is generic near ∂D as above and such that for all distinct $p, q \in J$ with $\alpha(p) = \alpha(q)$ the images of the tangent spaces

$$(D\alpha)_p(T_p J) \neq (D\alpha)_q(T_q J)$$

in $T_{\alpha(p)}D$.

Note that the only difference between a divide and a pre-divide is that in a pre-divide we admit singularities which are multiple crossing points (see Fig. 3.1.1).

We need to prepare the construction of a classical link $L(P)$ in the 3-sphere from a divide. Let $D = D_\rho$ be a disk (for convenience centered at the origin) of radius ρ in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 with norm $\|x\|^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2$. We equip the tangent space $T\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the Euclidean norm $\|(x, u)\|^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2$, where we denote the tangent vector $u \in T_x\mathbb{R}^2$ by (x, u) . The 4-ball B_D^4 of radius ρ over D is the subset in TD defined by $B_D^4 = \{(x, u) \in TD \mid \|(x, u)\| \leq \rho\}$. The 3-sphere S_D^3 that will contain the link $L(P)$ is the boundary ∂B_D^4 . Observe that $\partial D_\rho \times \{0\}$ is identified with the set of tangent vectors $\{(x, 0) \in TD_\rho \mid \|x\| = \rho\}$. Let P be a divide or pre-divide in D . We denote by $T_D P$ the set of all vectors $(x, u) \in TD$ with $x \in P, u \neq 0$, or $x \in P \cap \partial D$ that are tangent to one of the branches of P .

In view of the study of complex plane curve singularities, we think $T\mathbb{R}^2$ as the complex plane \mathbb{C}^2 via the identification

$$(x, u) \in T\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x_1 + u_1 i, x_2 + u_2 i) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$




Figure 3.1.1. On the left we see a divide where there are two ordinary singularities of order 3. On the right we see a divide that is obtain from the first one after a small perturbation.




Figure 3.1.2. The figure eight knot.

and choose to orient $T\mathbb{R}^2$ by the “complex” orientation given by the frame $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2})$. As a consequence, the 4-ball B_D^4 and its boundary S_D^3 inherit orientations. Also the smooth part of T_DP becomes an oriented surface.

With this setting we are ready to define the link associated to a given divide.

Definition 3.1.5. Given a divide $P \subset D$ we define the *associated link* $L(P)$ as

$$(3.1.6) \quad L(P) := \{(x, u) \in T_DP \mid \|(x, u)\| = \rho\} = T_DP \cap S_D^3 \subset S_D^3.$$

We will later see that not all links come from divides. We will also see that links that come from divides are fibered and that, even not all fibered links come from divides. For example, in 7.2.8, it is explained why the figure eight knot (Fig. 3.1.2) cannot appear as the knot associated to a divide.

The projection $TD \rightarrow D$ restricts to a map $S_D^3 \rightarrow D$ having a circle as preimage of non boundary points of D . Those preimages shrink to circles of radius 0 as the point moves to the boundary. The restriction to $L(P)$ is generically $2 : 1$ over the smooth points of P not in ∂D and $1 : 1$ above ∂D . It is $4 : 1$ over the double points of P .

As example, let $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow D$ define a divide P in the disk D with end points $A = \gamma(-1), B = \gamma(+1)$ on $\partial D \subset S_D^3$. The divide P is also defined by $\gamma^*(t) = \gamma(-t)$. The




Figure 3.1.3. The movement caused by an admissible isotopy near an intersection point.

knot $L(P)$ is the union of two oriented arcs on S_D^3 , one running from A to B , the other running from B to A . The first arc, using γ is parametrized by

$$t \in [-1, +1] \mapsto (\gamma(t), \frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|} (1 - \|\gamma(t)\|^2)^{1/2}) \in S_D^3$$

The second arc, similarly, uses γ^* . Both oriented arcs A, B fit together as an oriented knot.

A variant is following construction: First extend γ to an immersion $\Gamma : [-\tau, +\tau] \times [-1, +1] \rightarrow D$ of a rectangular thickening of the interval $[-1, +1]$. Next, for small τ' , restrict Γ to a rectangle $S = [-\tau', +\tau'] \times^* [-1 + \tau', +1 - \tau']$ where \times^* means that we smooth the corners. Let $\partial^+ S$ be the boundary of S with any chosen orientation. The restriction $\partial^+ \Gamma$ is a generic immersion of an oriented copy of S^1 into D . The lifting of the oriented speed vector of $\partial^+ \Gamma$ yields an oriented knot which is isotopic to the oriented knot $L(P)$. So knots coming from generic immersed intervals, come also from generically immersed oriented circles. William Gibson and Masaharu Ishikawa [GI02] have proven that every oriented knot can be obtained by lifting a immersion of an oriented circle in D .

Naturally, a small perturbation of a divide, produces an isotopic links in B_D^4 . We can if necessary make a divide by a small isotopy more “Euclidean friendly” without changing its link: for instance we may assume without restricting generality that the divide P is near its double points an orthogonal intersection of segments. Furthermore, we can consider more involved (and no longer necessarily small) perturbations that still produce isotopic links. This leads to the following definition and lemma.

Definition 3.1.7. We say that an isotopy of a divide P is admissible if it is generated by Reidemeister moves of type *III* only. See Fig. 3.1.3.

Lemma 3.1.8. *If P and P' are related by an admissible isotopy, then $L(P)$ and $L(P')$ are isotopic in ∂B_ρ .*

Proof. Following the definition eq. 3.1.6, it is possible to explicitly lift an admissible isotopy as the one described in Fig. 3.1.3 to an isotopy of links of divides as defined by Definition 3.1.5. \square

Example 3.1.9. Note that admissible isotopies do not yield a complete set of moves to go from one divide P to another one P' with $L(P) \sim L(P')$. Indeed it can be verified that the links from the figure yield isotopic knots but one cannot go from one to another only admissible isotopies, see Gibson-Ishikawa, two divides for knot 10_{139} not related by *III*-moves.



Figure 3.1.4. Two divides that yield the same knot but that are not equivalent through admissible isotopies.

Knots or links $L(P)$ for divides P are very special. For instance let $L(P)$ be the knot of a divide $P \subset D$. The symmetry given by the involution $(x, u) \mapsto (x, -u)$ fixes point-wise $\partial D \subset S_D^3$ and preserves $L(P)$ globally, with two fixed point on $L(P)$. So the knot $L(P)$ is strongly invertible, see Makoto Sakuma [Sak86]. Divides links $L(P)$ are closures of quasi positive braids by Tomomi Kawamura's result [Kaw02].

3.2. Adapted Morse function f_P on D and its extension θ_P on B_D^4 .

Definition 3.2.1. Let $P \subset D$ be a divide. We say that a smooth function

$$f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is *adapted* to P if

- (1) f_P is locally generic, i.e. it only has non-degenerate critical points
- (2) each bounded region has exactly one non-degenerate maximum or minimum. in its interior with critical values ± 1
- (3) the saddle points of f_P are exactly at the double points of P and $P = f_P^{-1}(0)$.
- (4) each contractible unbounded region has exactly one non-degenerate maximum or minimum on its intersection with ∂D .
- (5) if $P \cap \partial D = \emptyset$ then the restriction of f_P to ∂D is constantly ± 1 .

Note that f_P is a Morse function in a disk slightly bigger than D where we allow different critical points to have the same critical values.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $P \subset D$ be a connected divide. Then there exist adapted functions $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We may change the divide $P \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by a small isotopy in order to make it “Euclidean friendly”, i.e. at its double points P will consist of a pair of Euclidean orthogonal segments. Every connected component of $D \setminus P$, that we also call region, does not meet ∂D or meets ∂D in a connected set since by hypothesis P is connected. We mark each such connected set by one point if it is a contractible arc in the boundary of D . We color the regions by signs $+$ and $-$ in a chequerboard way, i.e. two regions separated by a subinterval in P will have opposite signs. This is possible since D is simply connected and P as planar graph has only vertices of valency 4. Next, we mark each region that not meets the boundary of D with a point. Let $r > 0$ be a real such that the Euclidean balls of radius r in \mathbb{R}^2 with center a marked point are disjoint from P , from ∂D if its center

is not on ∂D , and from each other. Assume also that the intersection of P with a ball of radius r and center a double point of P is the union of two Euclidean segments. We denote by B_p the ball of radius r with center p a marked point or double point of P .

Now we can construct the function f_P . Define f_P at a marked point p or double point of P to be standard Euclidean expression defining a local maximum, local minimum, or saddle point according sign or to be a double point of P . So on B_p define $f_P(q) = 1 - \|q - p\|^2$ if p is in a $+$ region, $f_P(q) = -1 + \|q - p\|^2$ or $f_P(q) = L(q)K(q)$ where L, K are local signed linear equations of Euclidean norm 1 for the segments of P at p . For a marker point $p \in \partial D$ we define f_P on B_p as a norm 1 linear boundary maximum or minimum with value 1 or -1 . If P does not meet ∂D we define $f_P(q) = \pm 1, q \in \partial D$ according the sign of the adjacent region. Finally put $f_P(q) = 0$ for $q \in P$. The so partially defined function extends in a up to isotopy unique way to a smooth function $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that has no singularities except at the marked points and double points of P . \square

Let $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non negative smooth bump function that evaluates to 1 for $t \in [0, r/2]$ and to 0 for $t \geq r$. Let $\chi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the smooth function that evaluates to 0 on the complement of the union $\cup B_p$ and to $\beta(\|p - q\|), q \in B_p$.

We define a complex function $\theta_{P,\eta} : B_D^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that depends on a real small parameter $\eta > 0$ by

$$(3.2.3) \quad \theta_{P,\eta}(x, u) := f_P(x) + i\eta df_P(x)(u) - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \chi(x) H_{f_P}(x)(u, u)$$

The function $\theta_P = \theta_{P,\eta}$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation at points in D and points in 4-balls of radius $r/2$ in $T\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2$ and center a Morse critical point of f_P . These properties help to prove in next section a fibration theorem and compute the geometric monodromy, mainly following pioneering work of Milnor and Brieskorn.

More precisely, the argument function $\pi_{P,\eta} = \frac{\theta_{P,\eta}}{|\theta_{P,\eta}|}$ defines on S_P^3 an oriented open book structure with smooth binding like the argument function $\frac{f}{|f|}$ does on the Milnor sphere of an isolated hypersurface singularity $f = 0$. More over $\theta_{P,\eta}$ behaves like a morsification of the singularity f , which allows a computation of the monodromy of that open book following:

4. GENERAL FIBRATION THEOREM

4.1. A connected divide yields a fibered link. A main results from [A'C98a] about divides is:

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let P be a connected divide. For $\eta > 0$ and sufficiently small, the map $\pi_P := \pi_{P,\eta}$ induces an oriented open book decomposition of S_P^3 with binding $L(P)$, that is:*

- (1) π_P is a fibration of the complement of $L(P)$ over S^1 ,
- (2) For all $\alpha \in S^1$, the boundary of each fiber (page of the open book) is the binding, i.e., $\partial \pi_P^{-1}(\alpha) = L(P)$

Proof. There exists a regular product tubular neighborhood N of $L(P)$, such that the map $\pi_{P,\eta}$ for any $1 \geq \eta > 0$ is on $N \setminus L(P)$ a fibration over S^1 , for which near $L(P)$ the fibers

look like the pages of a book near its back. It is crucial to observe that in the intersection of the link $L(P)$ with the support of the function

$$(x, u) \in S^3 \mapsto \chi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$$

the kernel of the Hessian of $\theta_{P,\eta}$ and the kernel of the differential of the map

$$(x, u) \in S^3 \mapsto f_P(x) \in \mathbb{R}$$

coincide. For an alternative proof of this step, look at 7.1.3 and the discussion before.

For any $\eta > 0$, the map $\pi_{P,\eta}$ is regular at each point of $U' := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x \in U\}$ where U is a small neighborhood of the critical points of f_P . Take now local real coordinates (x, y, u, v) around a maximum $p \in D$ so that $f_P(x, y) = 1 - x^2 - y^2$ and $p = (0, 0)$. In these coordinates, seeing $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, we have that θ_P looks like

$$\theta_P(x, y, u, v) = ((u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1, -2\eta(ux + vy))$$

and

$$\pi_P(x, y, u, v) = \left(\frac{(u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1}{\sqrt{((u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1)^2 + 4\eta^2(ux + vy)^2}}, \right. \\ \left. - \frac{2(\eta ux + \eta vy)}{\sqrt{((u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1)^2 + 4\eta^2(ux + vy)^2}} \right)$$

Let $(0, 0, u, v)$ be a point in U' that projects to p . Then, the differential of π_P evaluated at that point equals:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2\eta u}{(u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 + 1} & -\frac{2\eta v}{(u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 + 1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the two non-zero entries of the matrix can't be 0 at the same time for a point of the form $(0, 0, u, v) \in S^3$ since $u^2 + v^2 = 1$. This shows that the jacobian of π_P has rank 1 on those points and hence, π_P is a submersion on a neighborhood U' . The computations for a minimum or a saddle point are very similar.

Finally, since the norm of the derivative of χ can be bounded, a similar computation yields that there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that for any η with $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, the map $\pi_{P,\eta}$ is regular on $S^3 \setminus (N \cup U')$. Hence, for η sufficiently small the map $\pi_{P,\eta}$ is a submersion, so since already a fibration near $L(P)$, it is a fibration by Ehresmann fibration theorem. \square

5. DIVIDES FOR PLANE CURVE SINGULARITIES

In this section we construct a divide P associated to a given isolated plane curve singularity in the sense that $L(P)$ is a model for the link of the plane curve singularity. What we actually produce is a *real morsification* of a totally real plane curve singularity; and this real morsification yields a divide. We do so, following two different programs: the first one Sect. 5.2 gives an algorithm to construct such a divide from an embedded resolution of

the plane curve, the second one, in Sect. 5.3 gives a closed formula that produces a divide from a complete set of topological invariants of a plane curve.

5.1. Totally real models of plane curve singularities. For both methods, it is necessary to start with a *totally real* model of our isolated plane curve singularity.

Definition 5.1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ be a converging power series and let $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ be a factorization into irreducible factors. We say that f is *real* or that it defines a *real plane curve singularity* if it is given by a real equation, that is, if $f \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$. We say that f is *totally real* if $f_i \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Equivalently, f is real if the curve $(f^{-1}(0), 0)$ is invariant under complex conjugation and f is totally real if each of its branches is real.

Once one has a real plane curve singularity, one can try to perturb it in a controlled way with the hope that all the critical points (as a complex function) are actually real and as simple as possible: Morse. It turns out that if one is able to do this, the real picture picture of the deformed curve tells us a lot about the complex singularity. Actually, this contains all the topological information of the plane curve singularity. In particular one is able to recover a distinguished basis of geometric vanishing cycles. These special types of deformations are called real morsifications:

Definition 5.1.2. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ define an isolated real plane curve singularity, let $B_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Milnor ball for f and let D_δ be a Milnor disk for f . We say that a family of convergent power series $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ is a *real morsification* of f if

- (1) it is a deformation of f , that is, $f_0 = f$,
- (2) f_t is real for all t ,
- (3) for all $t \in (0, \tau)$ the map f_t only has Morse critical points and they all lie in B_ϵ .
Furthermore, the number of Morse critical points does not depend on t .
- (4) all its critical points are real, that is, they lie in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.
- (5) all saddle points lie over 0.

In the next two sections we prove, in two different ways, that there exist real morsifications for totally real isolated plane curve singularities. It is still an open question if there exist real morsifications for all isolated real plane curve singularities. See [LS18] for a partial solution to this question and see [FPST22] for the implications of a solution of this problem in other conjectures.

Remark 5.1.3. It follows from the topological classification of isolated plane curve singularities that, given a topological type, it is always possible to find a totally real isolated plane curve singularity realising that topological type (see [Zar65a, Zar65b, Zar68] for a classical reference or [Wal04, Corollary 5.3.3 and Lemma 2.3.1] for a more recent and concrete reference). See also the previous related 2.3.4

5.2. Divides from an embedded resolution. In this section we reproduce the proof of one of the main results (Théorème 1.) from [A'C75b] which proves the existence of divides for plane curve singularities. More concretely, the proof gives an algorithm to construct a polynomial real deformation of a plane curve singularity under the hypothesis that the singularity is given by a totally real polynomial.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let $f(x, y)$ be a totally real polynomial defining an isolated plane curve singularity. Then there exists a real polynomial deformation $f(x, y; t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $f(x, y; 0) = f(x, y)$ and that for all $t \neq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and small enough, we have*

- (1) *the real curve $C_t = \{(x, y) \in D_\varepsilon | f(x, y; t) = 0\}$ is a divide with r branches in a small disk D_ε near the origin.*
- (2) *the number k of double points of C_t verifies $2k - r + 1 = \mu$, where μ is the Milnor number of f at O .*

Next we explain the main construction on which its based the proof of Theorem 5.2.1 which consists in iteratively blowing up until, exactly, the strict transform is resolved and consists of smooth branches meeting transversely (but not in normal crossings) the exceptional divisor. At this point, we translate slightly the strict transforms along some exceptional divisor and blow-down back all the exceptional divisors, proving that the translation yields a deformation of the original plane curve in \mathbb{C}^2 . Performing this operation as many times as necessary, yields a pre-divide (recall Definition 3.1.4) which can be further polynomially deformed into an actual divide.

Next we explain this process in more detail. Let $f(x, y)$ be a totally real polynomial defining an isolated plane curve singularity C . Let

$$\pi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N$$

be a sequence of transformations that yield an embedded resolution of C_0 , where

- (1) $X_0 = \mathbb{C}^2$
- (2) $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ is the blow-up at the origin.
- (3) $\pi_k : X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, N-1$ is a blow-up at a point in the exceptional divisor E_{k-1} of $\pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{k-1}$
- (4) $H_{N-1} = \{z \in X_N | f \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{N-1}(z) = 0\}$ is a union of pairwise transversal smooth curves.
- (5) $\pi_N : X_N \rightarrow X_{N-1}$ is a composition of blow ups that resolves all the ordinary singularities of H_{N-1} where two or more components of the strict transform of C meet
- (6) $H_N = \{z \in X_N | f \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_N(z) = 0\}$ is a hypersurface in X_N having as singularities only normal crossings.

In other words, π_1, \dots, π_{N-1} is an usual sequence of blow ups of the minimal resolution of the plane curve defined by f just until the strict transform consists of smooth curves not meeting in normal crossings, and π_N is the composition of all the remaining blow ups that give an embedded resolution of the plane curve.

For $1 \leq n \leq N$, we denote by

$$H_n = \{z \in X_n | f \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_n(z) = 0\}$$

the total transform in X_n , by

$$E_n = \{z \in X_n | \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_n(z) = 0\} \subset H_n \subset X_n$$

the exceptional divisor in X_n , and by B_n the component of E_n , which is the exceptional divisor corresponding to the blow-up π_n .

Let $[x_n, y_n]$ be a homogeneous coordinate system on B_n such that the affine chart $A_n = \{y_n = 1\}$ contains the intersection points of B_n with the other branches of H_n . Let $V_n = \{(\lambda_n, x_n)\}$, $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $x_n \in A_n$ be a chart of X_n such that we have $B_n \cap V_n = A_n$ and $B_n \cap V_n = \{\lambda_n^{m_n} = 0\}$ with m_n the multiplicity of B_n in X_n .

Since $f(x, y)$ is totally real by hypothesis, we can choose the blow-ups $\pi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ for $1 \leq n < N$ having as center a real point of X_{n-1} . So we can also choose the coordinates $[x_n, y_n]$ and (x_n, λ_n) to be real at each step of the resolution process. The function

$$f_{N-1} = f \circ \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{N-1}$$

is written in the chart V_{N-1} like

$$f_{N-1}(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}) = \lambda_{N-1}^{m_{N-1}} \tilde{f}_{N-1}(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}).$$

We decompose $\tilde{f}_{N-1} = h + g$ with h the initial homogeneous part. Assume that B_M , with $1 \leq M \leq N-2$, is the component of E_{N-1} that intersects B_{N-1} . Then, h is of degree $m_{N-1} - m_M$. So the set

$$\{(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}) \in V_{N-1} | h(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}) = 0\}$$

is the union of the tangents to the non-exceptional branches of H_{N-1} at the points of $B_{N-1} \cap H_{N-1}$. For $t_{N-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{N-1}(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}, t_{N-1}) = & h(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1} - t_{N-1}) \\ & \times \left[1 + t_{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l (x_{N-1} - x_i) \cdot \exp(x_{N-1}) \right] \\ & + g(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1} - t_{N-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where x_1, \dots, x_l are the roots of $h(x_{N-1}, 0) = 0$. For $t_{N-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_{N-1} \neq 0$ small enough, the equation

$$\bar{f}_{N-1}(x_{N-1}, 0, t_{N-1}) = 0$$

has exactly $m_{N-1} - m_M$ distinct roots on $B_{N-1} \cap V_{N-1}$ (and not in B_M) and

$$\bar{f}_{N-1}(\infty, 0, t_{N-1}) = \infty \quad \text{at the point } \infty \in B_{N-1} \setminus V_{N-1}.$$

We can see in Fig. 5.2.1 the branches of H_{N-1} that cross B_{N-1} and B_M . For t_{N-1} real, non-zero and small, Fig. 5.2.2 is the actual real drawing of

$$H_{N-1, t_{N-1}} \cap V_{N-1} = \{(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}) \in V_{N-1} | \lambda_{N-1}^{m_{N-1}} \bar{f}_{N-1}(x_{N-1}, \lambda_{N-1}, t_{N-1}) = 0\}$$

Neighbouring points on the B_{N-1} branch are translated out and next to B_{N-1} . So $H_{N-1, t_{N-1}}$ meets B_{N-1} in normal crossings. The presence of the factor $\lambda_{N-1}^{m_{N-1}}$ in $f_{N-1, t_{N-1}}$ allows us to push the function $f_{N-1, t_{N-1}}$ to a function $f(x, y, t_{N-1})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . We observe that by construction,



$$f(x, y; 0) = f(x, y)$$

and for $t_{N-1} \neq 0$, t_{N-1} real and small enough, the hypersurface

$$H_{N-1}(t_{N-1}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | f(x, y, t_{N-1}) = 0\} = \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{N-1}(H_{N-1, t_{N-1}})$$

has in B_ε the singularities:

- a) an isolated singularity in $0 \in B_\varepsilon$,


 Figure 5.2.1. H_{N-1} near B_{N-1} .

 Figure 5.2.2. We can see $H_{N-1,t_{N-1}}$ in the chart V_{N-1}

- b) if $N_i^{N-1}(t_{N-1}), 1 \leq i \leq l_{N-1}$ are the translates of neighbouring points on B_{N-1} ; then $H_{N-1}(t_{N-1})$ has at points

$$w_i^{N-1}(t_{N-1}) = \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{N-1} \left(N_i^{N-1}(t_{N-1}) \right)$$

isolated ordinary singularities. That is, transversal intersections of smooth branches.

The blow-ups π_1, \dots, π_{N-2} resolve the singularity at $0 \in B_\varepsilon$ of $H_{N-1}(t_{N-1})$ so that the branches of $H_{N-1, t_{N-1}} = (\pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{N-2})^{-1} \cdot (H_{N-1}(t_{N-1}))$ meet each other transversely. We can therefore start the construction again and obtain a deformation of $f(x, y, t_{N-1})$.

$$f(x, y, t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}), t_{N-2} \in \mathbb{R}$$

such that the hypersurface $\{f(x, y, t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ has an isolated singularity in $0 \in B_\varepsilon$. In addition, one must take care so that

$$f(x, y, t_{N-1}) \equiv f(x, y, t_{N-1}, t_{N-2})$$

at a high enough order at the points $w_i^{N-1}(t_{N-1}), 1 \leq i \leq l_{N-1}$. This operation can be iterated $N-1$ times to obtain a family of functions on \mathbb{C}^2 .

$$f(x, y, t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}, \dots, t_1), (t_{N-1}, \dots, t_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

We finally define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(x, y, t) &= f(x, y, t, t, \dots, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \bar{H}_t &= \{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \bar{f}(x, y, t) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

From this construction, the following lemma follows:

Lemma 5.2.2. *For $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$ and small enough, the curve $\bar{C}_t = \bar{H}_t \cap D_\varepsilon$ is an immersion $\bar{\alpha} : J \rightarrow D_\varepsilon$ such that*

- a) *The number of components of J equals the number r of branches of f ,*
- b) *$\bar{\alpha}(\partial J) \subset \partial D_\varepsilon$, and the set $\bar{\alpha}(J) \subset D_\varepsilon, \alpha(J)$ is connected.*
- c) *$\bar{\alpha}(J) = \bar{C}_t$ has as its only singularities, ordinary singularities.*

In particular, b) and c) say that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a connected pre-divide (recall Definition 3.1.4).

The number of double points appearing near a multiple point with r_i branches of \bar{C}_t , is

$$\frac{1}{2} r_i (r_i - 1).$$

So the number of double points of any divide $\alpha : J \rightarrow D_\varepsilon$ next to $\bar{\alpha} : J \rightarrow D_\varepsilon$ is

$$(5.2.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} r_i (r_i - 1).$$

In the next theorem we complete the proof of Theorem 5.2.1, by proving that $\bar{\alpha}$ can be polynomially perturbed into a divide and we also show that the δ invariant of the singularity coincides with the number of double points of any divide coming from a totally real singularity is we only need to prove.

Lemma 5.2.4. *Let $\alpha : J \rightarrow D_\varepsilon$ be a divide resulting from a small perturbation of $\bar{\alpha} : J \rightarrow D_\varepsilon$. Then there exists a real polynomial $M(x, y, t)$ so that the function*

$$f(x, y, t) = \bar{f}(x, y, t) + M(x, y, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a deformation of $f(x, y)$, such that its divide $C_t \subset D_\varepsilon$ has the configuration of the divide defined by α and $C_t \subset D_\varepsilon$ is close to the image of $\bar{\alpha}$.

Moreover, if $w_i(t), 1 \leq i \leq l$ are the crossing points (ordinary singularities) of \bar{C}_t , and r_i is the number of branches of \bar{C}_t at points $w_i(t)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} r_i(r_i - 1) - r + 1 = \mu.$$

Equivalently, the number of double points of the divide D coincides with the δ invariant of the singularity and

$$D = \delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} r_i(r_i - 1)$$

Proof. Let $(x_1(t), y_1(t)), \dots, (x_e(t), y_e(t)) \in D_\varepsilon$ the crossing points (double or multiple) of \bar{C}_t . Assume that $w_1(t) = (x_1(t), y_1(t))$ is a multiple crossing point since if there are only double points, the lemma is proven because our deformation \bar{C}_t is given by a polynomial.

Let r_1 be the number of branches at the point $w_1(t)$. Let, for $1 \leq i \leq r_1$,

$$l_i(x, y, t) = 0$$

the equations of the tangents at $w_1(t)$ to the branches of \bar{C}_t . Any configuration of a divide which is close to \bar{C}_t can be described near $w_1(t)$ by an equation

$$L_1(x, y, t) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r_1} t(l_i(x, y, t) - ta_i) = 0$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the equation

$$(5.2.5) \quad \bar{f}(x, y, t) + L_1(x, y, t) = 0$$

gives for $t \neq 0$ and small enough the same configuration. Let $w_{1,1}(t), \dots, w_{1,s}(t)$ be the $s = 1/2r_1(r_1 - 1)$ double points that appear near $w_1(t)$ for the equation eq. 5.2.5. Let $P_1(x, y, t)$ be a polynomial such that for a high enough order we have

$$P_1(w_{1,j}(t); t) \equiv 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s,$$

$$P_1(x_i(t), y_i(t); t) \equiv 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq e.$$

Then

$$\bar{f}_{(1)}(x, y, t) = \bar{f}(x, y, t) + P_1(x, y, t)L_1(x, y, t)$$

is a deformation of $f(x, y)$ such that the curve

$$C_{(1)}(t) = \left\{ (x, y) \in D_\varepsilon \mid \bar{f}_{(1)}(x, y, t) = 0 \right\}$$

has near $w_1(t)$ the desired configuration and $(x_i(t), y_i(t)), 2 \leq i \leq e$, are still multiple points of $\bar{C}_{(1)}(t)$. Repeat the same construction for another multiple crossing point until there are no more. This proves the first part of the statement.




Figure 5.2.3. On the left 5 local branches and on the right the perturbed branches that lead to 10 double points.

The second part follows from the following observation: if one has an ordinary real singularity consisting of r_i branches, after a small perturbation of these branches, there appear $\frac{1}{2}r_i(r_i - 1)$ double points (Fig. 5.2.3). Let's denote

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} r_i(r_i - 1)$$

the number of double points of the divide. We first recall Milnor's formula [Mil68] relating the Milnor number μ , the delta invariant δ and the number of branches $\mu = 2\delta - r + 1$. Let b be the number of bounded regions of the divide. Since on each bounded region there lies a critical point of $f(x, y; t)$ and all the other critical points are the nodes of the divide, we have

$$(5.2.6) \quad b + D = \mu.$$

The connectedness of the divide implies that the union of the closure of the bounded regions is a topological disk, hence a direct calculation of the Euler characteristic yields

$$(5.2.7) \quad b - (2D - r) + D = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad b - D = 1 - r$$

Taking eq. 5.2.6 minus eq. 5.2.7 yields $2D = \mu - 1 + r$ which, after Milnor's formula implies $\delta = D$. \square

Example 5.2.8. This is an example of a divide using a similar technique as the one explained in Theorem 5.2.1. Note that the perturbations chosen here are not exactly the same.

Start with the isolated plane curve singularity defined by the polynomial $f(x, y) = -x^8 - x^7 - 3x^5y + y^3$.

After four blow ups we arrive at the situation of Fig. 5.2.4. In a local chart, near the intersection point of the divisors E_4 and E_2 , the total transform is defined by the expression

$$f_4(x, y) = -(x^2y^2 + 3xy + x - y)x^6y^{14}$$

We can perturb the strict transform by considering the polynomial :

$$f_{4,t}(x, y) = -(x^2y^2 + 3xy + t + x - y)x^6y^{14}$$

which, for $t > 0$ defines the picture of Fig. 5.2.5. Now, we observe that the contraction of E_4 , yields the equation

$$\hat{f}_{4,t}(x, y) = -(x^2y + ty + 3xy - y^2 + x)x^6y^7$$




Figure 5.2.4. Situation after the first 4 blow ups of the minimal embedded resolution.




Figure 5.2.5. Situation after perturbing the strict transform near E_4 and E_2 .

which, for $t > 0$ describes the situation depicted in Fig. 5.2.6 Again, we perturb the strict transform in order to achieve normal crossings by considering the following polynomial

$$f_{3,t}(x, y) = -(x^2y + ty + 3xy - y^2 + t + x)x^6y^7.$$

We arrive to the situation of To avoid cumbersome notation, we have used the same parameter t for the perturbation. One can think of this as two steps: first one considers a perturbation over a two-parameter family; then one considers the perturbation over a




Figure 5.2.6. The divisor E_4 is contracted and the strict transform is only perturbed by the previously defined perturbation. We see that the strict transform and the divisor do not meet in normal crossings.




Figure 5.2.7. After a small perturbation of the strict transform we arrive at a normal crossings situation.

disk contain in this family. By the choice of our perturbations, we are considering the perturbation over the diagonal of this two-parameter family. Note that Theorem 5.2.1 proposes, a priori different perturbations to ensure that they behave well with respect to the blow-down process. In our case, we verify this at each step even though we make




Figure 5.2.8. The divisor E_3 is contracted and the strict transform is perturbed by the previously defined perturbation. In this case we automatically achieve normal crossings.

different choices than the ones proposed by the theorem. In the above equation, $y = 0$ defines locally E_3 and $x = 0$ defines E_2 . After the contraction of E_3 we arrive to the polynomial

$$\hat{f}_{3,t}(x, y) = -(ty^2 - y^3 + x^2 + ty + 3xy + x)x^6$$

which, for $t > 0$ defines the situation depicted in Fig. 5.2.8. In this case, the strict transform already intersects the divisor E_2 transversely. So we don't perform a perturbation at this stage and we directly contract E_2 to arrive to the equation

$$\hat{f}_{2,t}(x, y) = -(x^5 + x^4 + tx^2y + 3x^3y + txy^2 - y^3)x^3.$$

which describes the local situation depicted at Fig. 5.2.9. As we see, the (perturbed) strict transform does not meet in normal crossings the divisor E_1 . So we need to perturb it a little bit. We do so by considering the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,t}(x, y) = & -(t^{10} + 5t^8x + t^8 + 10t^6x^2 + 4t^6x + 10t^4x^3 + 3t^6y + 6t^4 \\ & x^2 + 5t^2x^4 + t^5y + 9t^4xy + 4t^2x^3 + x^5 + 2t^3xy + 9t^2x^2y \\ & + t^3y^2 + x^4 + tx^2y + 3x^3y + txy^2 - y^3)x^3. \end{aligned}$$

which results from substituting x by $x + t^2$ in the strict transform of the equation defined by $\hat{f}_{2,t}(x, y)$. For $t > 0$ the corresponding situation is depicted in Fig. 5.2.10.

We are ready to contract the divisor E_1 to arrive to the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{1,t}(x, y) = & -t^{10}x^3 - 5t^8x^4 - t^8x^3 - 10t^6x^5 - 4t^6x^4 - 10t^4x^6 - 6t^4x^5 \\ & - 5t^2x^7 - 3t^6x^2y - 4t^2x^6 - x^8 - t^5x^2y - 9t^4x^3y - x^7 - 2t^3x^3y \\ & - 9t^2x^4y - tx^4y - 3x^5y - t^3xy^2 - tx^2y^2 + y^3. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5.2.9. The divisor E_2 is contracted.




Figure 5.2.10. A small translation is performed to achieve normal crossings.

This is already a polynomial defined in the (original) \mathbb{C}^2 that is a deformation of $f(x, y) = f_{1,0}(x, y)$ and that defines a pre-divide (recall Definition 3.1.4) which is depicted in Fig. 5.2.11. After performing a generic perturbation as explained in Lemma 5.2.4 we arrive at a divide like the one in Fig. 5.2.12 which is a divide for our original singularity.




Figure 5.2.11. A small translation is performed to achieve normal crossings.




Figure 5.2.12. A small perturbation can be performed to get rid of the ordinary singularities and get only double points.

5.3. Divides from Chebyshev polynomials. In this section we explain a different way to obtain divides for plane curves. This was envisaged by Sabir Gusein-Zade in [GZ74b, GZ74a] and was also further explored by the first author.

It was observed by René Thom that the Chebyshev polynomials $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ up to affine equivalence of functions are precisely the polynomial mappings from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} with two or less critical values and with only quadratic singularities [Tho65]. The standard Chebyshev polynomial $T(p, z)$ is a polynomial in the variable z of degree p and has the following properties:

- (1) its critical values are $+1, -1$,
- (2) it has the symmetry $T(p, z) = (-1)^p T(p, -z)$, and
- (3) the coefficient of z^p is 2^{p-1} .

As a consequence of these properties we find that, for example, the map $T(1, z)$ has no critical values and the map $T(2, z)$ has only the critical value -1 . Furthermore, the Chebyshev polynomial $T(p, z)$ satisfies the identity

$$T(p, \cos(x)) = \cos(px),$$

and its restriction to $[-1, 1]$ is defined by

$$T(p, t) = \cos(p \arccos(t)).$$

Sabir Gusein-Zade [GZ74b, GZ74a] constructed real morsifications for real plane curve singularities with Chebyshev polynomials. The building block for his construction is the real morsification for the map $f(x, y) = 2^{p-1}x^p - 2^{q-1}y^q$ given by

$$f_s(x, y) = s^{pq}(T(p, x/s^q) - T(q, y/s^p)), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

In particular, it is a direct computation that for each $s \in]0, 1]$, the function $f_s : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has $\mu_f = (p-1)(q-1)$ quadratic singularities all at points with real coordinates, its critical values are contained in $\{-2s^{pq}, 0, 2s^{pq}\}$ and

$$\lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow 0 \\ s > 0}} f_s = f.$$

If the exponents p and q are relatively prime to each other, the level set $\{f(x, y) = 0\}$ can be parametrized by the monomial map

$$t \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (t^q/2^{p-1}, t^p/2^{q-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

The Chebyshev composition identity (see [Riv74])

$$T(p, T(q, z)) = T(pq, z),$$

implies that in this case, the Chebyshev polynomials can also be used to parametrize the level sets $\{f_s(x, y) = 0\}$ as well. Indeed, the map

$$t \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (s^q T(q, t/s), s^p T(p, t/s)) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

parametrizes the level set $\{f_s(x, y) = 0\}$. Equivalently, one can verify that

$$(5.3.1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^p T(p, t/s)}{2^{p-1}} = t^q$$

Gusein-Zade method. As a consequence of this, Gusein-Zade [GZ74a] showed that if

$$t \mapsto (t^m, \sum \lambda_k t^k)$$

is a parametrization of a branch with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(5.3.2) \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{s^m T(m, t/s)}{2^{m-1}}, \sum \frac{\lambda_k s^p T(k, t/s)}{2^{k-1}} \right)$$

defines, for $s \neq 0$, a divide for that branch. Observe that any real branch admits a real parametrization.




Figure 5.3.1. Divide for the plane curve defined by $-x^8 - x^7 - 3x^5y + y^3$ using Gusein-Zade method.

Example 5.3.3. We compare now this method with the method described in the previous Sect. 5.2. In particular, we consider the plane curve singularity defined by the polynomial

$$f(x, y) = -x^8 - x^7 - 3x^5y + y^3$$

which is the one used in Example 5.2.8. A direct computation yields that the map

$$(t^3, t^7 + t^8)$$

is a parametrization of the branch $\{f(x, y) = 0\}$ near the origin. And so the formula eq. 5.3.2, yields that the following describes a divide for each $s \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (t, s) &\mapsto \left(\frac{s^3 T(3, t/s)}{2^2}, \frac{s^7 T(7, t/s)}{2^6} + \frac{s^8 T(8, t/s)}{2^7} \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{3}{4} s^2 t + t^3, \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{7}{64} s^6 t + \frac{7}{8} s^4 t^3 - \frac{7}{4} s^2 t^5 + t^7 + \frac{1}{128} s^8 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Where the equality is just the evaluation of the Chebyshev polynomials and simplification of the expressions. For $s \neq 0$ we obtain the divide depicted in Fig. 5.3.1. Compare with that of Fig. 5.2.12




Figure 5.3.2. Divide in box $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ for $2^6 x^7 - 2^4 y^5 = 0$.

It follows from the defining property $T(x, \cos\theta) = \cos(n\theta)$ that the curve defined by the above parametrization has

$$(5.3.4) \quad \delta(P_{p,q}) = (p-1)(q-1)/2$$

double points near the origin. Since f_s has $(p-1)(q-1)$ critical points (all of them Morse), we have proven that the previous parametrization yields a divide. More concretely, for each $s \in]0, 1]$ the intersection

$$P_{p,q;s} := \{f_s(x, y) = 0\} \cap D$$

is a divide for the Brieskorn-Pham singularity defined by the polynomial $2^{p-1}x^p - 2^{q-1}y^q$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$. The curve $P_{p,q} := \{f_1(x, y) = 0\}$ can be drawn in a rectangular box as in Fig. 5.3.2. As a first type of building block we will need the box $B := [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ with the curve $P_{p,q}$. If $(p, q) = 1$ holds, the curve $P_{p,q}$ is the image of

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p,q} : [-1, 1] &\longrightarrow B \\ t &\mapsto (T(p, t), T(q, t)) \end{aligned}$$

which leaves the box through the corners. In general, the immersed curve has several components, which are immersions of the interval or of the circle. At most two components are immersions of the interval, which leave the box through the corners.

Cabling divides. Let P be any divide having one branch given by an immersion $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow D$. We assume, that the speed vector $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ and the position vector $\gamma(t)$ are proportional at $t = \pm 1$, i.e. the divide P meets ∂D at right angles. Let

$$N\gamma : [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow D$$

be an immersion of a rectangular box around P , that is, the restriction

$$N\gamma|_{[-1, 1] \times \{0\}}$$




Figure 5.3.3. On the upper left part we see the divide $P_{2,3}$, on the upper right part the divide $P_{2,9}$ and on the lower part, the divide $P_{2,9} * P_{2,3}$.

is the immersion γ and the image of $N\gamma$ is in a small tubular neighborhood of P . For instance, for a small value of the parameter $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the following expression defines such an immersion $N\gamma : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of the rectangular box $B := [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$:

$$N\gamma(s, t) := \gamma(t) + s\eta \frac{J(\dot{\gamma}(t))}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|},$$

where J is the rotation of \mathbb{R}^2 over $\pi/2$, equivalently, J is multiplication by i if we see the divide as a subset of \mathbb{C} . The four corners $N\gamma(\pm 1, \pm 1)$ are on the circle of radius $\sigma := \sqrt{1 + \eta^2}$. We finally define

$$N\gamma(s, t) := N_\eta\gamma(s, t) := \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\gamma(t) + s\eta \frac{J(\dot{\gamma}(t))}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|} \right),$$

that is an immersion $N\gamma : B \rightarrow D$ mapping the corners of the box B into ∂D .

Definition 5.3.5. Let P be a divide and let p, q be two natural numbers with $\gcd(p, q)$, we denote by

$$P_{p,q} * P$$

the divide in D , which is the image by $N\gamma : B \rightarrow D$ of $P_{p,q} \subset B$. We call $P_{p,q} * P$ the (p, q) -iterated divide around P .

Note that by definition, an iterated $*$ -composition of divides has to be evaluated from the right to the left.

The number of double points $\delta(P_{p,q} * P)$ of $P_{p,q} * P$ is computed inductively from the number of double points $\delta(P)$ of P by:

$$(5.3.6) \quad \delta(P_{p,q} * P) = (p-1)(q-1)/2 + \delta(P)p^2.$$

Indeed, observe that the divide has:

- (1) By construction, at least as many crossings as $P_{p,q}$ had (recall eq. 5.3.4), and hence the first summand.
- (2) Near each intersection point of P , there appear after the cabling operation, p lines crossing with other p lines, and hence the second summand.

Let $R_\eta\gamma$ be the union of the image of $N_\eta\gamma$ with the two chordal caps at the endpoints of γ . One can think of $R_\eta\gamma$ as a thick version of γ . The connected components of $D \setminus R_\eta\gamma$ correspond via inclusion to the connected components of $D \setminus P$. We declare a connected component of $D \setminus P_{p,q} * P$ to be signed by $+$, if the component contains a component of $D \setminus R_\eta\gamma$, that corresponds to a $+$ component of $D \setminus P$. In this case we call the connected component of $D \setminus R_\eta\gamma$ a P_+ -component. Observe that there exists a chess board sign distribution for the components of $D \setminus R_\eta\gamma$ that makes P_+ -components indeed to $+$ components.

The field $\Phi_{p,q}$ of cones on the box $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the subset in the tangent space of TB given by:

$$\Phi_{p,q} := \{(x, u) \in TB \mid | \langle u, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} | \geq \cos(\alpha(x)) \|u\|\}$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and where $\alpha : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, such that for every $(x, u) \in TB$ with $x \in P_{p,q}$ and $u \in T_x P_{p,q}$ we have the equality

$$| \langle u, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} | = \cos(\alpha(x)) \|u\|.$$

Moreover, α has the boundary values $\alpha(\pm 1, t) = 0$ and $\alpha(s, \pm 1) = \pi/2$. We interpolate the function α on B by upper and lower convexity, i.e such that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \alpha < 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \alpha > 0$. The definition of $\alpha(x)$ seems to be conflicting at the double points of the curve $P_{p,q}$; at a double point $x = (x_1, x_2)$ of the curve $P_{p,q}$ the two tangents lines to $P_{p,q}$ have opposite slopes $\tan(\alpha(x))$ and $-\tan(\alpha(x))$, since the curve $P_{p,q}$ is defined by the equation

$$T(q, x_1) - T(p, x_2) = 0$$

that separates the variables. For example, a nice such function α is given by:

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2) := \arctan\left(\frac{q\sqrt{1-x_2^2}}{p\sqrt{1-x_1^2}}\right).$$

The interest of the field $\Phi_{p,q}$ comes from the following lemma, that follows from the definitions.

Lemma 5.3.7. *Let the image of $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow D$ be a divide P , that meets ∂D at right angles. For $\eta > 0$ small enough, the intersection of $S^3 \subset T\mathbb{R}^2$ with the image in $T\mathbb{R}^2$ of the field of sectors $\Phi_{p,q}$ under the differential of $N_\eta\gamma$ is a tubular neighborhood of the knot $L(P)$. The composition of $P_{p,q} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow B := [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ and of $N\gamma : B \rightarrow D$ is again a divide, whose knot is a torus cable knot of type (p, q) of the knot $L(P)$.*

The image of the field of sectors $\Phi_{p,q}$ of B under the differential of $N_\eta\gamma$ will be denoted by $\Phi_{\eta,p,q}\gamma$ and for small η contains those vectors, that have feet near P and form a small angle with the tangent vectors of the divide P .




Figure 5.3.4. The divide $P_{3,14} * P_{2,3}$ for $(y^2 - x^3)^3 - 6x^7y^2 - 2x^{10} - x^{11}$.

Divides for plane branches. Let $\{f_{a,b}(x, y) = 0\}$ be a singularity having one branch and with essential Puiseux pairs (recall Sect. 2.2) $(a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. The theorem of S. Gusein-Zade [GZ74b] very efficiently describes a divide for the singularity $\{f_{a,b}(x, y) = 0\}$ in a closed form, namely the iteratively composed divide

$$P_{a_n, b'_n} * \cdots * P_{a_2, b'_2} * P_{a_1, b_1},$$

where the numbers b'_2, \dots, b'_n can be computed recursively, as we will show here below. We denote by $S_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ the divide

$$P_{a_k, b'_k} * P_{a_{k-1}, b'_{k-1}} \cdots * P_{a_2, b'_2} * P_{a_1, b_1}$$

and let $f_k(x, y)$ be a specific equation for a singularity with essential Puiseux pairs $(a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$.

Remember, that the product $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ is the multiplicity at 0 of the curve $\{f_k(x, y) = 0\}$ and that the linking number λ_k of $L(S_k)$ and $L(S_{k-1})$ in S^3 can be computed recursively by:

$$\lambda_1 = b_1, \lambda_{k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k a_{k+1} + \lambda_k a_k a_{k+1}.$$

The linking number λ_k is equal to the intersection multiplicity

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] / (f_k(x, y), f_{k-1}(x, y))$$

at 0 of the curves $\{f_k(x, y) = 0\}$ and $\{f_{k-1}(x, y) = 0\}$ (recall the definition of Newton pairs eq. 2.2.3). We have also for the linking number λ_k an interpretation in terms of divides (see the next section for the first equality) which leads to the definition of the numbers b'_k :

$$\lambda_k = \#(S_k \cap S_{k-1}) = 2a_k \delta(S_{k-1}) + b'_k.$$

Remembering, that we already have computed recursively the numbers $\delta(S_k)$ and $\#(S_k \cap S_{k-1})$, we conclude that b'_k too can be computed recursively.

For example, for the Puiseux expansion $y = x^{3/2} + x^{7/4}$ we have: $\lambda_1 = 3$, $\lambda_2 = 7 - 3 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 13$, $b'_2 = 13 - 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 9$. Hence, the divide for the irreducible singularity with Puiseux expansion $y = x^{3/2} + x^{7/4}$ is the divide $P_{2,9} * P_{2,3}$, see Fig. 5.3.3. For the Puiseux expansion $y = x^{3/2} + x^{11/6}$ we found: $\lambda_1 = 3$, $\lambda_2 = 11 - 3 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 20$, $b'_2 = 20 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = 14$. Hence, the divide for its singularity $\{(y^2 - x^3)^3 - 6x^7y^2 - 2x^{10} - x^{11} = 0\}$ is $P_{3,14} * P_{2,3}$, see Fig. 5.3.4.

Using the contents of the (independent) Sect. 8 (c.f. [A'C99, A'C98a]), we can read off from this divide the Milnor fibration of the singularity $\{f_{a,b}(x, y) = 0\}$. In particular we can describe the Milnor fiber with a distinguished basis of quadratic vanishing cycles. Using the above iterated cabling construction, we will also be able to read off from the divide, the reduction system (Definition 2.1.5) of the geometric monodromy of an irreducible plane curve singularity (see Sect. 8.3), as described in [A'C73]. For instance, intersection numbers in the sense of Nielsen of quadratic vanishing cycles and reduction cycles can be computed.

Divides for all real plane curve singularities. In general, for an isolated singularity of a real polynomial $f(x, y)$ having several local branches, the divide $\{f_1(x, y) = 0\} \cap D$ of a real morsification $f_t(x, y)$ may have immersed circles as components. The above cabling construction $P_{p,q} * P$ does not work if the divide P consists of an immersed circle. Of course, if one is willing to change the equation of the singularity to an equation, which defines a topologically equivalent singularity and which has only real local branches, one will only have to deal with divides consisting of immersed intervals. If we do not want to change the real equation, we conjecture how a different type of block would produce real morsifications for these real singularities. Note that the question whether any real singularity admits a morsification or not is still open. See [LS18] for some partial results to answer the question in the positive and see [FPST22] for other recent conjectures that rely on this open question.

We conjecture that, if the real plane curve contains pairs of complex conjugate branches, then we could still produce divides using a second type of building blocks for a cabling construction, see Fig. 5.3.5.

These building blocks are the divides $L_{p,q}$ in the annular region $A := \{(x, y) \in D \mid 1/4 \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq 3/4\}$. If for the integers $(p, q) = 1$ holds, the divide $L_{p,q}$ is the Lissajous curve

$$s \in [0, 1] \mapsto (1/2 + 1/4 \sin(2\pi qs))(\sin(2\pi ps), \cos(2\pi ps))$$

in $A \subset D$. The curve $L_{p,q}$ has p -fold rotational symmetry. If $(p, q) = r > 1$ the divide $L_{p,q}$ is defined as the union of r rotated copies of $L_{p/r, q/r}$ with rotations of angles $2\pi k/p, k = 0 \dots r-1$, of D . Again, the system of curves $L_{p,q}$ has a q -fold rotational symmetry.

The star-product $L_{p,q} * P$ can be defined as above if the divide P consists of one immersed circle. The two types of building blocks $P_{p,q}$ and $L_{p,q}$ together with the star-products $P_{p,q} * P$ and $L_{p,q} * P$ will allow one to describe the iterated cablings of real plane curve singularities in general.

Natural orientations. The link of a divide P is naturally oriented by the following recipe. Let $\gamma :]0, 1[\rightarrow D$ be a local regular parametrization of P . The orientation of $L(P)$ is such




Figure 5.3.5. The building block $L_{3,5}$. of Lissajous type

that the map $t \in]0, 1[\mapsto (\gamma(t), \lambda(t)\dot{\gamma}(t)) \in L(P)$ is oriented. Here $\lambda(t)$ is a positive scalar function, which ensures that the map takes its values in $L(P)$. For a connected divide P we orient its fiber surface F_P such that the oriented boundary of $(F_P \cup L(P), L(P))$ coincides with the orientation of $L(P)$.

Vanishing cycles δ_c where c is a critical point of f_P do not carry a natural orientation, since, for example, the third power of the geometric monodromy of the singularity $\{x^3 - y^2 = 0\}$ reverses the orientations on the vanishing cycles.

We orient the tangent space $TD = D \times \mathbb{R}^2$ so that the orientation of its unit sphere S^3 as the boundary of its unit ball gives that the linking numbers of $L(P_1)$ and $L(P_2)$ are positive for generic pairs of divides P_1 and P_2 . In fact, the orientation TD is opposite to its orientation as tangent space. With this convention, we have $Lk_{S^3}(L(P_1), L(P_2)) = \#(P_1 \cap P_2)$, a fact which was already used in the previous section.

6. DESCRIPTION OF THE MILNOR FIBER

In this section, we give a description of the Milnor fibers associated to a divide that lie over the points ± 1 and $\pm i$. The description is done in terms of more simple pieces. This decomposition is useful in the forthcoming sections where we describe the geometric monodromy associated with the divide.

6.1. Description of the Milnor fibers over $+1$ and -1 . Let P be a connected divide and let $\pi_P : S^3 \setminus L(P) \rightarrow S^1$ be its fibration of Theorem 4.1.1. In this subsection, we show how to read off geometrically the fibers $F_1 := \pi_P^{-1}(1)$ and $F_{-1} := \pi_P^{-1}(-1)$. For our construction we assume the disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ oriented. We think of its orientation as an orthogonal complex structure $J : TD \rightarrow TD$. Define

$$P_+ := \{x \in D \setminus \partial D \mid f_P(x) > 0, df_P(x) \neq 0\}$$

The level curves of f_P define a oriented foliation F_+ on P_+ , where a tangent vector u to a level of f_P at $x \in P_+$ is oriented so that $df_P(x)(Ju) > 0$. Put

$$P_{+,+} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x \in P_+, u \in T(F_+)\}$$

and

$$P_{+,-} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x \in P_+, u \in T(F_-)\},$$

where F_- is the foliation with the opposite orientation. Put

$$F_M := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x = M\}$$

for a maximum M , and

$$F_m := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x = m\}$$

for a minimum m of f_P . For saddle points s of f_P (equivalently, double point of P), define

$$F_{s,+} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x = s, H_{f_P}(x)(u, u) < 0\}$$

and

$$F_{s,-} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x = s, H_{f_P}(x)(u, u) > 0\}$$

Observe that the angle in between $u, v \in F_m$ or $u, v \in F_M$ is a natural distance function on F_m or F_M , which allows us to identify F_m and F_M with a circle. Finally, put

$$\partial D_+ := \{x \in \partial D | f_P(x) > 0\}$$

Let $p_{\mathbb{R}} : S^3 \rightarrow D$ be the projection $(x, u) \mapsto x$. The projection $p_{\mathbb{R}}$ maps each of the sets $P_{+,+}$ and $P_{+,-}$ homeomorphically to P_+ . The sets F_m or F_M are homeomorphic to S^1 , if M or m is a maximum or minimum of f_P respectively. And the sets $F_{s,\pm}$ are homeomorphic to a disjoint union of two open intervals if s is a crossing point of P . The set ∂D_+ is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of open intervals. We have the following decomposition of F_1 :

$$F_1 = P_{+,+} \cup P_{+,-} \cup \partial D_+ \cup \bigcup_{s \in P_d} F_{s,+} \cup \bigcup_{M \in P_+} F_M.$$

Where P_d denotes the set of double points of the divide. Observe that for $(x, u) \in P_{+,+} \cup P_{+,-}$ we have $\theta_P(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (recall eq. 3.2.3) since $f_P(x) > 0$, $df_P(x)(u) = 0$ and $\chi(x)H_{f_P}(u, u) \leq 0$. So $P_{+,+} \cup P_{+,-}$ is an open and dense subset in F_1 . Accordingly, with the obvious changes of signs, we get a similar description for F_{-1} :

$$F_{-1} = P_{-,+} \cup P_{-,-} \cup \partial D_- \cup \bigcup_{s \in P_d} F_{s,-} \cup \bigcup_{m \in P_-} F_m.$$

6.1.1. Combinatorial description. Forming the closure of $P_{+,+} \cup P_{+,-}$ in F_1 leads to the following combinatorial description of the above decomposition. First, we add to the open surface F_1 its boundary and get

$$\bar{F}_1 := F_1 \cup L(P).$$

Now let R be a connected component of P_+ . The inverse image $p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(R) \cap \bar{F}_1$ in \bar{F}_1 are two disjoint open cells or cylinders $R_+ \subset P_{+,+}$ and $R_- \subset P_{+,-}$ which are in fact subsets of F_1 . The closure of R_+ in \bar{F}_1 is a surface \bar{R}_+ with boundary and corners. The set F_M is a common boundary component without corners of \bar{R}_+ and \bar{R}_- if M is a maximum in R . If there is no maximum in R the closures \bar{R}_+ and \bar{R}_- meet along the component of ∂D_+ which lies in the closure of R . Let S, R be connected components of P_+ such that




Figure 6.1.1. Gluing of the lifts of R with $+$ and S with $-$ foliation to F_1 .

the closures of R and S have a crossing point s in common. The closures of R_+ and S_- in F_1 meet along one of the components of $F_{s,+}$ and the closures of R_- and S_+ in F_1 meet along the other component of $F_{s,+}$. The closure of $F_{s,+} \cap \bar{R}_+$ in \bar{R}_+ intersects $L(P)$ in 2 corners, that are also corners of the closure of $F_{s,+} \cap \bar{S}_-$ in \bar{S}_- (see Fig. 6.1.1). Notice that the foliation F_+ on P_+ does not lift to a foliation, which extends to an oriented foliation on F_1 .

6.2. Description of the Milnor fibers over $+i$ and $-i$. Now we quickly work out the fibers $F_i := \pi_P^{-1}(i)$ and $F_{-i} := \pi_P^{-1}(-i)$. Observe that F_i and F_{-i} are projected to a subset of $P \cup \text{supp}(\chi)$ by $p_{\mathbb{R}}$. Put

$$F_{i,P} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | x \in P, \chi(x) = 0, df_P(x)(u) > 0\}.$$

For a crossing point c of P we put

$$F_{i,c} := \{(x, u) \in S^3 | \chi(x) > 0, df_P(x)(u) > 0, f_P(x) - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2\chi(x)H_{f_P}(c)(u, u) = 0\}.$$

Observe that

$$f_P(x) - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2\chi(x)H_{f_P}(c)(u, u) = \text{Re}(\theta_{P,\eta}(x, u))$$

and recall (eq. 3.2.3). In order to get nice sets it is necessary to choose a nice bump function χ as before. The set

$$F_{i,P} \cup F_{i,c}$$

is an open and dense subset in F_i and forming its closure results in

$$\bar{F}_i := F_i \cup L(P)$$




Figure 7.1.1. Two tiles with the $J(\ker(df_P))$ foliation.

leads to a combinatorial description of F_i similar to the one given above.

We would like to direct the interested reader to some beautiful pictures in the works of Sebastian Baader, Pierre Dehornoy and Livio Liechti [BD12, DL19] which complement the ones contained in this work and which exemplify how the Milnor fiber(s) are recovered from the divide. The referee called our attention to these works and we are thankful for that.

7. DESCRIPTIONS OF THE MONODROMY

In this section we give a complete description of the geometric monodromy of the fibered link $L(P)$.

In Sect. 7.1 we give the monodromy as a composition of right-handed Dehn twists. This factorization, certainly completely determines the monodromy but it is general not trivial to give the Nielsen-Thurston decomposition from a factorization. In Sect. 7.2 we take care of this and give the minimal reduction system of curves of the Nielsen-Thurston decomposition of the monodromy.

7.1. Monodromy as a product of Dehn twists. We will use the integral curves of the distribution $J(\ker(df_P))$, which pass through the crossing points of the divide P . In a connected component R of $D \setminus P$, those integral curves of $J(\ker(df_P))$ meet at the critical point of f_P in the component R with distinct tangents, or they go to distinct points of ∂D .

We denote by P' the union of the integral curves of $J(\ker(df_P))$, which pass through the crossing points of P . The complement in D of the union $P' \cup P \cup \partial D$ is a disjoint union of tiles, which are homeomorphic to open squares or triangles

Notation 7.1.1. We call a pair (A, B) of tiles *opposite*, if $A \neq B$ and the closures of A and B in D have a segment of P in common. For an opposite pair of tiles (A, B) let $A|B$ be the interior in D of the union of the closures of A and B in D .

The set is foliated by the levels of f_P and also by the integral lines of the distribution $J(\ker(df_P))$. Both foliations are non-singular and meet in a J -orthogonal way (see Fig. 7.1.1).

Notation 7.1.2. For a pair (A, B) of opposite we put,

$$F_{1,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_1 | x \in A|B\}$$

and

$$F_{-1,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_{-1} | x \in A|B\}$$

The sets $F_{1,A|B}$ and $F_{-1,A|B}$ each have two connected components:

$$F_{1,A|B} = F_{1,+,A|B} \cup F_{1,-,A|B}$$

where

$$F_{1,+,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_1 | x \in A|B, df_P(Ju) > 0\}$$

$$F_{-1,+,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_{-1} | x \in A|B, df_P(Ju) > 0\}$$

and

$$F_{1,-,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_1 | x \in A|B, df_P(Ju) < 0\}$$

$$F_{-1,-,A|B} := \{(x, u) \in F_{-1} | x \in A|B, df_P(Ju) < 0\}$$

The closures of $F_{1,\pm,A|B}$ in \bar{F}_1 and of $F_{-1,\pm,A|B}$ in \bar{F}_{-1} are polygons with 6 edges: let M, c, c' be the vertices of the triangle A ; the six edges of the closure H of $F_{1,+,A|B}$ in \bar{F}_1 are

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x, u) \in H \mid x = M\}, & \quad \{(x, u) \in H \mid x \in [c, M]\}, \\ \{(x, u) \in H \mid x = c\}, & \quad \{(x, u) \in H \mid x \in [c, c']\}, \\ \{(x, u) \in H \mid x = c'\}, & \quad \{(x, u) \in H \mid x \in [c', M]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[M, c]$ and $[M, c']$ are segments included in P' and $[c, c']$ is a segment in P . Next, we define two diffeomorphisms

$$S_{i,A|B} : F_{1,A|B} \rightarrow F_{-1,A|B}$$

and

$$S_{-i,A|B} : F_{1,A|B} \rightarrow F_{-1,A|B}$$

for each pair of opposite tiles (A, B) . To do so we choose the adapted function $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (recall Definition 3.2.1 and Lemma 3.2.2) such that the maxima are of value 1 and the minima of value -1 . Moreover, we modify the function f_P at the boundary ∂D such that along each of the integral lines of the foliation given by the distribution $J(\ker(df_P))$ the function f_P takes all values in an interval $[-m, m]$ with $1 \geq m > 0$. The latter modification of f_P is useful if the tile A or B meets ∂D . We also need the rotations $J_\theta : T(D) \rightarrow T(D)$ about the angle $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Recall that the complex structure J is precisely $J_{\pi/2}$.

We are now ready to define the map $S_{i,A|B}$. Let $(x, u) \in F_1$ with $x \in A|B$ and do as follows:

- (1) let $y \in A|B$ be the point in the opposite tile on the integral line of the distribution $J(\ker(df_P))$ with $f_P(x) = -f_P(y)$;
- (2) now move x to y along the integral curve $\gamma_{x,y}(t), t \in [f_P(x), f_P(y)]$ which connects x and y with the parameterization $f_P(\gamma_{x,y}(t)) = t$;

- (3) consider the rotation angle function $\theta(x, t) := \frac{(|f_P(x)| - t)\pi}{2|f_P(x)|}$ and move the vector u along the path

$$(\gamma_{x,y}(t), U_{x,y}(t)) := (\gamma_{x,y}(t), s(x, t)(J_{\theta(x,t)}(|f_P(x)|u_{x,y}(t)/2) + u_{x,y}(t))),$$

where $(\gamma_{x,y}(t), u_{x,y}(t)) \in S^3$ is the continuous vector field along $\gamma_{x,y}(t)$ such that $df_P(u_{x,y}(t)) = 0$, we have the equality $u_{x,y}(f_P(x)) = U_{x,y}(f_P(x)) = u$, and the stretching factor $s(x, t) \geq 1$ is chosen such that $(\gamma_{x,y}(t), U_{x,y}(t)) \in S^3$ holds.

- (4) We finally define

$$S_{i,A|B}((x, u)) := (y, u_{x,y}(f_P(y))) = (y, U_{x,y}(f_P(y)))$$

The definition of $S_{-i,A|B}$ is analogous, but uses rotations in the sense of $-J$.

The names $S_{i,A|B}$ or $S_{-i,A|B}$ indicate that the flow lines $(\gamma_{x,y}(t), u_{x,y}(t))$ pass through the fiber F_i or F_{-i} respectively. The flow lines defining $S_{i,A|B}$ or $S_{-i,A|B}$ are different. However, the maps $S_{i,A|B}$ and $S_{-i,A|B}$ are equal. The system of paths $(\gamma_{x,y}(t), U_{x,y}(t)) \in S^3$ is local near the link $L(P)$, i.e. for every neighborhood N in S^3 of a point $(x', u') \in L(P)$ there exists a neighborhood M of (x', u') in S^3 such that each path $(\gamma_{x,y}(t), U_{x,y}(t))$ with $(x, u) \in F_1 \cap M$ stays in N . It will follow that the flow lines of the monodromy vector field are meridians of the link $L(P)$ in its neighborhood.

Remark 7.1.3. After verifying that the constructed flow lines form a monodromy flow for the π_P , this last fact proves that the map $\pi_{P,\eta}$ is a fibration near the link $L(P)$. Proving this was a step in the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 and this is an alternative proof.

The partially defined diffeomorphisms $S_{i,A|B}$ and $S_{-i,A|B}$ glue to diffeomorphisms

$$S_i, S_{-i} : \bigcup_{A|B} F_{1,A|B} \rightarrow \bigcup_{A|B} F_{-1,A|B}$$

where the sum ranges over all opposite pairs of tiles (A, B) with $A \subset P_+$. The gluing poses no problem since those unions are disjoint, but the diffeomorphisms S_i and S_{-i} do not extend continuously to F_1 . We will see that the discontinuities, which are the obstruction for extending S_i and S_{-i} , can be compensated by a composition of right half Dehn twists (recall Definition 2.1.3).

At a maximum $M \in D$ of f_P each vector $(M, u) \in S^3$ belongs to F_1 . Let a and b be the integral curves of $J(\ker(df_P))$ with one endpoint at M and orthogonal to u . We assume that neither a nor b passes through a crossing point of P (see Fig. 7.1.2) and that a and b belong to different pairs of opposite tiles. A continuous extension of the maps S_i or S_{-i} has to map the vector (M, u) to two vectors based at the other endpoint of a and b . Since these endpoints differ in general, a continuous extension is impossible.

In order to allow a continuous extension at the common endpoint of a and b we make a new surface F'_1 by cutting F_1 along the cycles F_M , where M runs through all the maxima of f_P and by gluing back after a rotation of angle π of each of the cycles F_M . In the analogous manner, we make the surface F'_{-1} in doing the half twist along F_m , where m runs through the minima of f_P . The subsets $F_{1,A|B}$ do not meet the support of the half twists, so they are canonically again subsets of F'_1 , which we denote by $F'_{1,A|B}$. Analogously, we have subsets




Figure 7.1.2. The discontinuity at F_M .

$F'_{-1,A|B}$ in F'_{-1} . A crucial observation is that the partially defined diffeomorphisms

$$S'_i, S'_{-i} : \bigcup_{A|B} F'_{1,A|B} \rightarrow \bigcup_{A|B} F'_{-1,A|B}$$

have less discontinuities, which are the obstruction for a continuous extension. We denote by a' and b' the arcs on F'_1 , which correspond to the arcs a and b on F_1 . Indeed, the continuous extension at the end points of a' and b' is now possible.

Let s be a crossing point of P and let $I_{s,+}$ be the segment of P' , which passes through s and lies in P_+ . The inverse image of $Z_s^\circ := p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}I_{c,+} \cap F_1$ is not a cycle, except if both endpoints of $I_{s,+}$ lie on ∂D . If a maximum M of f_P is an endpoint of $I_{s,+}$, the inverse image $p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(M) \cap F_1$ consists of 2 points on F_M , which are antipodal. On the new surface F'_1 the inverse image $p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(I_{s,+}) \cap F'_{-1}$ is a cycle. An extension of S'_i and S'_{-i} will be discontinuous along this cycle (see Fig. 7.1.3). We now observe that the partially defined diffeomorphisms S'_i and S'_{-i} have discontinuities along the cycle $p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(I_{s,+}) \cap F'_{-1}$, which can be compensated by half twists along the inverse images $p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(I_{s,-}) \cap F'_{-1}$, where s runs through the crossing points of P . Note that for a crossing point s of P the curve $Z'_{s,-1} := p_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(I_{s,-}) \cap F'_{-1}$ is in fact a simply closed curve on F'_{-1} .

For a crossing point s of the divide P we now define a simply closed curve on F_1 , by putting:

$$Z_s := Z_s^\circ \cup \bigcup_{M \in \partial I_{s,+}} F_{s,M}$$

where for an endpoint M of $I_{s,+}$, which is a maximum of f_P , the set $F_{s,M}$ is the simple arc of F_M , which connects the two points of $Z_s^\circ \cap F_M$ and contains an inward tangent vector of $I_{s,+}$ at M . As we already have noticed the set $Z_s^\circ \cap F_M$ has only one element if $M \in \partial D$, so we define $F_{s,M} := \emptyset$ in that case.




Figure 7.1.3. The discontinuity along Z_s .

We have the inclusion $F_m \subset F_{-1}$. We now define the cycle $Z_m \subset F_1$. Define for a minimum m of f_P the region

$$B_m := \bigcup_{A|B, m \in \bar{B}} F_{-1, A|B}$$

Let $B_{m, \epsilon}$ be the level curve

$$B_{m, \epsilon} := \{(x, u) \in B_m | f_P(x) = -\epsilon\}$$

For a small ϵ the set

$$(S_i)^{-1}(B_{m, \epsilon} \cap \bigcup_{A|B} F_{-1, A|B})$$

is a union of copies of an open interval and is not a cycle but nearly a cycle. The union closes up to a cycle by adding small segments which project to the integral lines through the crossing points of P . We denote this cycle by $Z_m \subset F_1$.

We are now able to state the main theorem.

Theorem 7.1.4. *Let P in D be a connected divide. Let $\pi_P : S^3 \setminus L(P) \rightarrow S^1$ be the fibration of Theorem 4.1.1 The counter clockwise monodromy of the fibration π_P is the composition of right handed Dehn twists*

$$T := T_- \circ T \circ T_+ : F_1 \rightarrow F_1,$$

where T_- is the product of the right handed twists along Z_m , m running through the minima of f_P , T is the product of the right handed Dehn twists along the cycles Z_s , s running through the crossing points of P , and T_+ is the product of the right handed twists along F_M , M running through the maxima of f_P .

Proof. We need to introduce one more surface. Let F''_{-1} be the surface obtained from the surface F'_{-1} by cutting F'_{-1} along the cycles $Z'_{s, -1}$ and by gluing back after a half twist along each $Z'_{s, -1}$, with s running through the crossing points of P . We still have partially

defined diffeomorphisms

$$S''_i, S''_{-i} : \bigcup_{A|B} F'_{1,A|B} \rightarrow \bigcup_{A|B} F''_{-1,A|B}$$

since the cutting was done in the complement of $\bigcup_{A|B} F'$. By a direct inspection we see that the diffeomorphisms extend continuously to

$$S''_i, S''_{-i} : F'_1 \rightarrow F''_{-1}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (p_+, q_+) &: F_1 \rightarrow F'_1 \\ (p_-, q_-) &: F''_{-1} \rightarrow F'_{-1} \\ (p_-, q_-) &: F'_{-1} \rightarrow F_{-1} \end{aligned}$$

be minimal positive pairs of Dehn twists (recall Definition 2.1.3). A direct inspection shows that the composition

$$p_+ \circ S'_i \circ p_- \circ p_- \circ (q_+ \circ S'_{-i} \circ q_- \circ q_-)^{-1} : F_1 \rightarrow F_1$$

is the monodromy of the fibration π_P . This composition evaluates to

$$T_- \circ T_- \circ T_+ : F_1 \rightarrow F_1$$

□

Some consequences. Next we state some immediate consequences of the previous constructions.

Remark 7.1.5. We list some special properties of the monodromy of links and knots of divides. The number of Dehn twists of the above decomposition of the monodromy equals the first betti number $\mu = 2\delta - r + 1$ of the fiber, and the total number of intersection points among the core curves of the involved Dehn twists is less than 5δ . This means that the *complexity* of the monodromy is bounded by a function of μ . For instance, the coefficients of the Alexander polynomial of the link of a divide are bounded by a quantity, which depends only on the degree of the Alexander polynomial. This observation suggests the following definition for the complexity C of an element of the mapping class group ϕ of a surface: the minimum of the quantity $L + I$ over all decompositions as product of Dehn twists of ϕ , where L is the number of factors and I is the number of mutual intersections of the core curves. We do not know properties of this exhaustion of the mapping class group. Notice, that the function $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto C(\psi^{-1} \circ \phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ defines a left invariant distance on the mapping class group.

Remark 7.1.6. It can be seen (see next Sect. 7.2) that for any link of a divide the monodromy diffeomorphism and its inverse are conjugate by an orientation reversing element in the mapping class group. In our previous notations this conjugation is given by the map

$$(x, u) \in F_1 \mapsto (x, -u) \in F_1,$$

which moreover realizes geometrically the symmetry of G. Torres [Tor53]

$$t^\mu \chi(1/t) = (-1)^\mu \chi(t)$$

for the Alexander polynomial $\chi(t)$ of knots.

Remark 7.1.7. In fact the proof of Theorem 7.1.4 shows that the fibration of the link of a connected divide P can be filled with a singular fibration in the 4-ball, which has 3 singular fibers with only quadratic singularities, as in the case of a divide of the singularity of a complex plane curve. The filling has only two singular fibers if the function f_P has no maxima or no minima. By this construction from a connected divide we obtain a contractible 4-dimensional piece with a Lefschetz pencil. This is part of what is usually called *Hurwitz equivalence*. For more on this topic, see the classical references by Kas [Kas80] or Matsumoto [Mat96], or the more recent by Baykur and Hayano [BH16].

We make the following important observation that we have not lost generality by considering only divides which are generic immersions of intervals.

Remark 7.1.8. It is important to note that Theorems 4.1.1 and 7.1.4 remain true for generic immersions of disjoint unions of intervals and circles in the 2-disk. It is also possible to start with a generic immersion of a 1-manifold I in an oriented compact connected surface with boundary S . The pair (S, I) defines a link $L(S, I)$ in the 3-manifold

$$M_S := T^+(S)/\text{zip},$$

where $T^+(S)$ is the space of oriented tangent directions of the surface S and where zip is the identification relation, which identifies $(x, u), (y, v) \in T^+(S)$ if and only if $x = y \in \partial S$ or if $(x, u) = (y, v)$. In order to get a fibered link, the topological pair $(R, R \cap \partial S)$ has to be contractible for each connected component R of $S \setminus I$ and moreover, the complement $S \setminus I$ has to allow a chess board coloring in positive and negative regions. For more details on this construction, see [Ish04].

7.2. Other decompositions of monodromy. In this subsection we study yet a decomposition of the *algebraic* monodromy in terms of involutions. The contents of this section come mainly from [A'C03].

Digression in higher dimensions. We start with a discussion about geometric monodromies of isolated hypersurface singularities in general dimension (recall the discussion of the subsection 2.2 on page 6).

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a map defined by a polynomial. We assume that $f(0) = 0$ and that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is an isolated critical point of f . For $p \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ let

$$\|p\| = \sqrt{|z_0(p)|^2 + |z_1(p)|^2 + \cdots + |z_n(p)|^2}.$$

Let B_ϵ be a Milnor ball for the singularity of f and let

$$\text{Tube}_{\epsilon, \delta} := \{p \in B_\epsilon \mid |f(p)| \leq \delta\}, 0 < \delta \ll \epsilon,$$

be a regular tubular neighborhood of $\{p \in B_\epsilon \mid f(p) = 0\}$ in B_ϵ . A *monodromy vector field* X for the singularity is a smooth vector field

$$p \in \text{Tube}_{\epsilon, \delta} \mapsto X_p \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$$

such that we have the following properties for $p \in \text{Tube}_{\epsilon, \delta}$

- $(df)_p(X_p) = 2\pi i f(p)$,
- X_p is tangent to ∂B_ϵ if $p \in \partial B_\epsilon$,

- trajectories of X starting at $p \in \partial B_\epsilon$ are periodic with period 1 and are the boundary of a smooth disk in ∂B_ϵ , that is transversal to the function f . For this property to be satisfied, the hypothesis that f has a critical point is crucial.

Using partition of unity, one can construct monodromy vector fields. The flow at time 1 of a monodromy vector field X defines a monodromy diffeomorphism $T = T_X : F \rightarrow F$, where the manifold with boundary $(F, \partial(F)) := \{p \in B_\epsilon \mid f(p) = \delta\}$ is the Milnor fiber of the singularity. The relative isotopy class of the diffeomorphism T is independent from the chosen monodromy vector field and is called the *geometric monodromy* of the singularity. The geometric monodromy is a topological invariant of the singularity (see [Kin78, Theorem 3] for $n \neq 2$ and [Per85] for $n = 2$).

From now on we will assume in addition, that the polynomial f is real meaning that its coefficients are real numbers. Let $c : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denote the involution on complex space given by the complex conjugation of coordinate values. Hence with the above notations, we have $c(\text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}) = \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}$ and $c(F) = F$. We denote by $c_F : F \rightarrow F$ the restriction of the involution c to F .

Let $X : \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a monodromy vector field for the isolated singularity of f . We may assume that we have constructed the vector field X with more care near the boundary of the Milnor ball in order to achieve that for some $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ we have the symmetry

$$c(X_p) = -c(X_{c(p)}), \text{ for } p \in \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}, \text{ with } \|p\| > \epsilon'.$$

Since f is real, we have

$$c((df)_p(X_p)) = (df)_{c(p)}(c(X_p)) = -2\pi i f(c(p))$$

hence, we see (by substituting q for $c(p)$ and accordingly $c(q)$ for p) that the vector field X^c defined by:

$$q \in \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta} \mapsto X_q^c := -c(X_{c(q)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

is a monodromy vector field too. Let $Y : \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the vector field

$$Y := \frac{X + X^c}{2},$$

which due to the extra care is also a monodromy vector field. We have $Y^c = Y$. The following is an important symmetry of the geometric monodromy:

Lemma 7.2.1. *Let T_Y be a monodromy diffeomorphism, which has been computed with a monodromy vector field Y satisfying $Y^c = Y$. We have the symmetry*

$$c_F \circ T_Y \circ c_F = T_Y^{-1}.$$

The geometric monodromy T satisfies (up to relative isotopy) the symmetry

$$c_F \circ T \circ c_F = T^{-1}.$$

Proof. The restriction of complex conjugation $c_{\text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}} : \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta} \rightarrow \text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}$ maps the monodromy vector field Y to $-Y$ and F to F . Hence, since $c_{\text{Tube}_{\epsilon,\delta}}$ reverses the orientations of the trajectories, we have

$$T_Y^{-1} = (c_F)^{-1} \circ T_Y \circ c_F = c_F \circ T_Y \circ c_F.$$

Since the geometric monodromy T is in the relative mapping class represented by T_Y , we have the symmetry $c_F \circ T \circ c_F = T^{-1}$ at the mapping class group level. \square

Symmetries of monodromies as in the lemma can occur in the more general context of so-called *strongly invertible knots*, see for instance [Tei90, HT78, Kaw96].

See also the work of Sabir Gusein-Zade [GZ84], in which he shows among other results, that the integral homological monodromy of an isolated complex hypersurface singularity with real defining equation f is the composition of two involutions, both conjugated to the action of complex conjugation on the homology of a real regular fiber.

Remark 7.2.2. The symmetry property $c_F \circ T \circ c_F = T^{-1}$ expresses that the geometric monodromy T of a complex hypersurface with real defining equation is conjugate in the mapping class group by an element of order 2 to its inverse T^{-1} . This is a statement in the mapping class group of the Milnor fiber and does not refer to any complex conjugation, so it can be stated for any complex hypersurface singularity with complex defining equation. We say that the singularity is *strongly invertible* if its geometric monodromy diffeomorphism T is conjugate by an element of order 2 in the relative mapping class group of the Milnor fiber to its inverse T^{-1} . Thus, the property of strong invertibility is a topological property for hypersurface singularities.

We can rewrite the symmetry property as follows: $T_Y \circ c_F \circ T_Y \circ c_F = \text{Id}_F$. We see that $T_Y \circ c_F : F \rightarrow F$ is an involution of F . It follows the

Corollary 7.2.3. *The geometric monodromy T of an isolated complex hypersurface singularity, which is defined by a real equation, is the composition of two involutions of the fiber $F_\delta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$, namely: $T = (T \circ c_F) \circ c_F$, where c_F is the restriction of the complex conjugation.*

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we also have the relation $T_Y^k \circ c_F \circ T_Y^k \circ c_F = \text{Id}_F$, which shows that $T_Y^k \circ c_F : F \rightarrow F, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a sequence of involutions of F .

The above observations can be applied to plane curve singularities in general, since it follows from the Theory of Puiseux Pairs that every plane curve singularity is topologically equivalent to a singularity given by a real equation and hence plane curve singularities are strongly invertible.

For complex hypersurface singularities of higher dimension the situation seems to be opposite. In $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, n > 1$, there exist isolated hypersurface singularities which are not topologically equivalent to a singularity with a real defining equation [Tei90]. We expect that in general the geometric monodromy T of a complex hypersurface singularity fails to be strongly invertible.

Mathias Schulze communicated to the first author a proof of strong invertibility of the homological monodromy with real coefficients (this was never published and stayed as a personal communication). The following is a strengthening of his result to the case of homology with rational coefficients.

Theorem 7.2.4. *The rational homological monodromy of a complex hypersurface singularity is strongly invertible.*

Proof. Let $E = \bigoplus_i E_i$ be a finest possible direct sum decomposition in T_* -invariant \mathbb{Q} -subspaces of E . The characteristic polynomial of the restriction $A_i : E_i \rightarrow E_i$ of T_* to a

summand E_i is a power $\psi(t) = \phi(t)^L$ of a cyclotomic polynomial $\phi(t)$. We have $\psi(A_i) = 0$ by the Hamilton-Cayley theorem and we have $\psi(A_i^{-1}) = 0$ since a power of cyclotomic polynomial satisfies $\psi(1/t) = \pm t^{\deg(\psi)} \psi(t)$.

Since the decomposition has no refinement, we may choose a vector $e_1 \in E_i$, that is cyclic for A_i and for A_i^{-1} . The systems

$$e_1, e_2 := A_i(e_1), \dots, e_{\dim E_i} := A_i^{\dim E_i - 1}(e_1),$$

$$f_1 := e_1, f_2 := A_i^{-1}(e_1), \dots, f_{\dim E_i} := A_i^{-\dim E_i + 1}(e_1)$$

are basis for the space E_i . Let $b_i : E_i \rightarrow E_i$ be the linear map defined by $b_i(e_j) = f_j, 1 \leq j \leq \dim E_i$.

We have $A_i^{-1}b_i(e_j) = b_iA_i(e_j), 1 \leq j < \dim E_i$. The polynomial $\psi_1(t) := -\psi(t) + t^{\dim E_i}$ satisfies $\psi_1(A_i^{\pm 1}) = A_i^{\pm \dim E_i}$. Since the degree of the polynomial $\psi_1(t)$ is less than $\dim E_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^{-1}b_i(e_{\dim E_i}) &= A_i^{-\dim E_i}b_i(e_1) = \psi_1(A_i^{-1})b_i(e_1) = \\ &= b_i\psi_1(A_i)(e_1) = b_iA_i^{\dim E_i}(e_1) = b_iA_i(e_{\dim E_i}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $A_i^{-1}b_i = b_iA_i$ and $A_i^{-1} = b_iA_ib_i^{-1}$ hold.

The polynomial $\psi_0(t) := \frac{\psi(t) - \psi(0)}{-t\psi(0)}$ satisfies $A_i^{-1} = \psi_0(A_i)$ and $A_i = \psi_0(A_i^{-1})$. We deduce $b_iA_i^{-1} = b_i\psi_0(A_i) = \psi_0(A_i^{-1})b_i = A_ib_i$ and conclude $A_i^{-1} = b_i^{-1}A_ib_i$. We observe at this point that both the conjugates of A_i by b_i and by b_i^{-1} are equal to the inverse A_i^{-1} .

For $0 \leq j < \dim E_i$ we have (remember $e_1 = f_1 = b_i(e_1) = b_i(f_1)$)

$$b_i(f_{j+1}) = b_iA_i^{-j}(f_1) = A_i^jb_i(f_1) = A_i^j(e_1) = e_{j+1}.$$

Hence b_i is of order two, which shows that A_i is strongly invertible over \mathbb{Q} . The direct sum $b := \bigoplus b_i$ is a rational strong inversion for T_* . \square

Question: Is the integral homological monodromy of a complex hypersurface singularity strongly invertible? For example, take the isolated surface singularity

$$f(x, y, z) = L_1L_2^2L_3^3L_4^4L_5^5L_6^6L_7^7L_8^8L_9^9 + x^{46} + y^{46} + z^{46}$$

where $L_j, 1 \leq j \leq 9$ are linear forms on \mathbb{C}^3 , such that the 9 lines $\{L_j = 0\}$ in the complex projective plane span the 9_3 configuration of flex tangents to a nonsingular cubic. No real equation for this singularity can exist, since the configuration 9_3 cannot be realized in the real projective plane, see [Tei90, HCV32]. Is its monodromy strongly invertible?

Going back to plane curves and divides. Now we focus on the study of complex conjugation on the topology of plane curve singularities via divides. First we show that the fiber of the link of a connected divide carries naturally a cellular decomposition with tri-valent 1-skeleton.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ define an isolated plane curve singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ given by a real convergent power series $f \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$. Let $f = f_1f_2 \cdots f_r$ be the factorization in local branches. The topology of a plane curve singularity f is completely encoded in a divide for f as we have seen in Sect. 4 or in the previous section where we have given a factorization of the monodromy from the divide. (c.f. [A'C99, BK96]), see also [A'C75b, A'C75c, GZ74b].




Figure 7.2.1. The divide corresponding to the singularity $(x^3 - y^2)((x^3 - y^2)^2 - 4x^8y)$.

We state the results more generally for links of connected divides, since the involution given by complex conjugation on real isolated plane curve singularities corresponds to a natural involution of links of divides as explained below, (c.f. [A'C98a, A'C99]).

Denote by δ_P the number of double points of the divide P . The local topology of a plane curve singularity is obtained from a divide for the singularity. More precisely, the link $L_f \subset \partial B_\epsilon$ of an isolated plane curve singularity $\{f = 0\}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$, is equivalent to the link L_P of a divide P , see Sect. 5 (c.f. [A'C99]).

We recall, that for a totally real (Definition 5.1.1) plane curve singularity f one can obtain a divide P by performing a small real deformation f_s , $0 \leq s \leq 1$, of the singularity, where for $0 < s \leq 1$ the restriction of f_s to the euclidean disk $D_\epsilon := B_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ of radius ϵ in \mathbb{R}^2 is a Morse function with $\mu(f)$ critical points and such that the 0-level is connected and contains all the saddle points (this is the content of Theorem 5.2.1). The divide P for f is the curve $\{p \in D_\epsilon \mid f_1(p) = 0\}$, which we rescale by the factor $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ from D_ϵ into the unit disk D .

The homology $H_1(F_P, \mathbb{Z})$ can be described combinatorially in terms of the divide P as a direct sum $H_1(F_P, \mathbb{Z}) = E_- \oplus E_0 \oplus E_+$, where E_- , E_0 and E_+ are the subspaces in $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$, which are freely generated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_+ &:= [\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\mu_+}], \\ E_0 &:= [\delta_{\mu_++1}, \dots, \delta_{\mu_++\mu_0}], \quad \text{and} \\ E_- &:= [\delta_{\mu_++\mu_0+1}, \dots, \delta_{\mu_-+\mu_0+\mu_+}], \end{aligned}$$

where $(\delta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \mu}$ is the oriented system of vanishing cycles of the divide P with positive upper triangular Seifert form

$$S : H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}),$$

see. We define $N := S - \text{Id}$, which is upper-triangular nilpotent matrix. The monodromy $T_* : H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by:

$$T_* = (S^t)^{-1} \circ S.$$




Figure 7.2.2. Divide and graph of divide.

For the rest of this section, recall the construction of the fiber surface F_P of a divide P in the unit euclidian disk D . In particular, recall the notation from Sect. 3 and Sect. 5.

Let S_P be a system of gradient lines of f , which connect saddle points of f with local or relative maxima or minima of f . In fact, near the boundary of D the lines of the system S_P are only gradient-like, but end in a relative critical point of f . We call the set S_P the graph of the divide P . By a slalom construction, see [A'C98b] (not included in this work), one can reconstruct from each of the sets $S_P^\pm := \{x \in S_P \mid \pm f(x) \geq 0\}$ the divide P . We assume that at the maxima and minima of f , that different arcs of S_P have different unoriented tangent directions. Let Σ_P be the following subset of the fiber F_P over 1 of the natural fibration over S^1 of the complement of the link of P : the subset Σ_P is the closure in the tangent space TD of D of

$$\Sigma'_P := \{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in S_P, f(x) > 0, (df)_x(u) = 0, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}.$$

We recall that the union of fiber F_P with the link L_P is the closure in TD of

$$F'_P := \{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in D, f(x) > 0, (df)_x(u) = 0, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\},$$

which is a surface of genus $g(P)$ equal to the number of double points in P .

The system of cycles $\delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq \mu$, can be drawn on Σ_P as follows. The vanishing cycle $\delta_i, \mu_- + \mu_0 < i \leq \mu$, which corresponds to a local maximum M of f is the circle $\{(M, u) \mid u \in T_M D, \|M\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}$ oriented counter clock-wise. The vanishing cycle $\delta_i, \mu_- < i \leq \mu_- + \mu_0$, which corresponds to a saddle point z of f is a curve in the set

$$E_z := \{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in e_z, u \in \text{Ker}(df)_{e_z}, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\},$$

as drawn in Fig. 7.2.3. The curve δ_i is a piecewise smooth embedded copy of S^1 in F_P with image in E_z and with non-constant projection to the disk D . The orientation is chosen such that at both ends the orientation agrees with the oriented vanishing cycle of the maximum. Moreover, the inward tangent vectors (x, u) to e_z at end points $x \in e_z$ do belong to δ_i . The vanishing cycle $\delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq \mu_-$, which corresponds to a local minimum of f projects to an oriented circuit e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k of edges of the graph S_P . The circuit surrounds the region in counter clock-sense to which the minimum corresponds. The




Figure 7.2.3. Vanishing cycle δ_z for a saddle point.




Figure 7.2.4. Vanishing cycle δ_i for a minimum.

circuit is a polygon and bounds a cell in D . The curve δ_i is a subset of $\{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k} e_j, u \in \text{Ker}(df)_x, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}$ and is the image of a piecewise smooth embedding of S^1 as drawn in Fig. 7.2.4. The vectors (x, u) , which belong to δ_i , point out of the cell of the circuit. Smooth representatives for the system of vanishing cycles can be obtained using *tears* as in [A'C01] (see also Sect. 8.1).

The involution $c_{T\mathbb{R}^2} : (x, u) \in T\mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x, -u) \in T\mathbb{R}^2$ induces an involution c_{S^3} on $S^3 \subset T\mathbb{R}^2$ that preserves the link L_P of any divide P and that induces involutions on the fiber surfaces above ± 1 . If the divide P is a divide for a real plane curve singularity f , the

involutions induced by $c_{T\mathbb{R}^2}$ or by the complex conjugation c on the triples (S^3, L_P, F_P) and on $(\partial B_\epsilon, L_f, F)$ correspond to each other by the homeomorphism of pairs of the main theorem of (c.f. [A'C99]).

Theorem 7.2.5. *Let $P \subset D$ be a connected divide consisting of the image of a relative generic immersion of a compact one dimensional manifold in the unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let E_-, E_0 and E_+ be the summands in $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ as above. The involution $c_{F*} : H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ fixes point-wise the summand E_+ , in particular for $\delta_i \in E_+$ we have*

$$c_{F*}(\delta_i) = \delta_i.$$

For $\delta_i \in E_0$ we have

$$c_{F*}(\delta_i) = -\delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mu_+} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle.$$

For $\delta_i \in E_-$ we have

$$c_{F*}(\delta_i) = \delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mu_+} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle - \sum_{\mu_+ < j \leq \mu_+ + \mu_0} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle \delta_j.$$

The trace of the involution $c_{F*} : H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by:

$$\text{Trace}(c_{F*}) = \mu_- - \mu_0 + \mu_+.$$

Proof. A vanishing cycle $\delta_i \in E_+$ corresponds to a maximum $M \in D$ of f , hence as set we have $\delta_i = \{(M, u) \in TD \mid \|M\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}$. The involution $(x, u) \mapsto (x, -u)$ induces on δ_i the antipodal map, which is orientation preserving. It follows $c_{F*}(\delta_i) = \delta_i$ in homology. A vanishing cycle $\delta_i \in E_0$ corresponds to a saddle point $z \in D$ of f . Working with the tear model of (c.f. [A'C01]), see also (the independent) Sect. 8.1, we see that the involution reverses the orientation and that at the endpoints, which are maxima of f , we have outward instead of inward vectors. Hence,

$$c_{F*}(\delta_i) = -\delta_i + \sum m_{i,j} \delta_j,$$

where in the sum j runs through the maxima of f in the interior of D which are connected by gradient lines of S_P to the saddle point i . The coefficient $m_{i,j}$ equals 1 or 2 depending on whether the connection by gradient lines is simple or double. Finally one gets $c_{F*}(\delta_i) = -\delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mu_+} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle$. For a vanishing cycle $\delta_i \in E_-$ one can work with the model of (c.f. [A'C01]) and get $c_{F*}(\delta_i) = \delta_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mu_+} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle - \sum_{\mu_+ < j \leq \mu_+ + \mu_0} \langle N(\delta_j), \delta_i \rangle$. Since N is strictly upper-triangular, the matrix of c_{F*} is upper-triangular with ± 1 on the diagonal. We get $\text{Trace}(c_{F*}) = \mu_- - \mu_0 + \mu_+$. \square

We can present the Seifert form and homological monodromy with block-matrices S, T as in [GZ84]. On $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$, E_-, E_0, E_+ and $H^1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ we work with the basis or dual basis given by the system $\delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq \mu$. The matrix in block form of the Seifert form is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mu_+} & A & G \\ O & \text{Id}_{\mu_0} & B \\ O & O & \text{Id}_{\mu_-} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the block G equals the block matrix product $1/2(A \circ B)$. The matrix coefficients of $A \circ B$ and G have interpretations in terms of the divide P or in terms of the Morse function

f_P on the disk D . The matrix coefficient $(A \circ B)_{(i,j)}$, $1 \leq i \leq \mu_+$, $\mu_+ + \mu_0 < j \leq \mu$, counts the number of sector adjacencies, that has the $+$ -region i of P with the $-$ -region j , while the coefficient $G_{(i,j)}$ counts the number of common boundary segments of the regions i and j . The coefficient $(A \circ B)_{(i,j)}$ also counts the number of saddle connections via gradient lines of f_P in between the minimum j and maximum i , while the coefficient $G_{(i,j)}$ counts the number of components of regular gradient line connections from the minimum j to the maximum i . This explains the above factor $1/2$, since a segment of P is twice incident with a saddle point of f_P .

The matrix of the action of complex conjugation on $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mu_+} & A & G \\ O & -\text{Id}_{\mu_0} & -B \\ O & O & \text{Id}_{\mu_-} \end{pmatrix}$$

and is obtained from the matrix S by multiplying the middle row of blocks by -1 . It is interesting to compute the matrix of the involution $T \circ c_F$ on $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$

$$TC = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mu_+} & O & O \\ -{}^tA & -\text{Id}_{\mu_0} & O \\ {}^tG & {}^tB & \text{Id}_{\mu_-} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix $T \circ C$ is the transgradient of the matrix of C .

It turns out that the combinatorial property $G = 1/2(A \circ B)$ for divides is equivalent to $C \circ C = \text{Id}_\mu$ or $T \circ C \circ T \circ C = \text{Id}_\mu$.

For an isolated plane curve singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, which is given by a real equation $\{f = 0\}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$, $f(0) = 0$, we will denote by $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ the number of double points of a divide P for the singularity. Hence $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ is the maximal number of local real saddle points in some level, that can occur for a small real deformation of f . Observe, that one has $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f) \leq \delta(f)$, where $\delta(f)$ is the maximal number of local critical points in some level, that can occur for a small deformation of f . We recall the formula $\mu(f) = 2\delta(f) - r + 1$ of Milnor [Mil68]. As example for $f = x^4 + Kx^2y^2 + y^4$, $-2 \neq K \neq 2$, one has $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = 4$, $\delta(f) = 6$, $r = 4$ and very surprisingly, Callahan shows that for $-2 < K < 2$ there exists a small real deformation with 5 local minima in the same level [Cal78].

Theorem 7.2.6. *For an isolated plane curve singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, which is given by a real equation $\{f = 0\}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$, $f(0) = 0$, we have*

$$\mu(f) = 2\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f) + \text{Trace}(c_{F*})$$

Proof. We have $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} = \dim E_0 = \mu_0$. Hence $\mu(f) = \mu_- + \mu_0 + \mu_+ = \text{Trace}(c_{F*}) + 2\mu_0 = 2\delta_{\mathbb{R}}(f) + \text{Trace}(c_{F*})$. \square

Curves δ_i that correspond to maxima of f_P are invariant by the involution c . A curve δ_i that corresponds to a saddle point or minimum of f_P is in general not invariant by c . The union Σ_P of the curves δ_i is invariant by c . We get

Theorem 7.2.7. *Let P be a connected divide. The pair (F_P, Σ_P) defines a tri-valent cellular decomposition with $r(P)$ punctured cells of the fiber surface with boundary F_P . The involution $c : (x, u) \rightarrow (x, -u)$ acts on the map (F_P, Σ_P) .*




Figure 7.2.5. Dehn twist as composition of two involutions.

Proof. The union Σ_P of the vanishing cycles δ_i is a tri-valent graph Σ_P that is invariant by c . The inclusion $\Sigma_P \subset F_P$ induces an isomorphism $H_1(\Sigma_P, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(F_P, \mathbb{Z})$, hence, Σ_P is a spine for the surface F_P . \square

Question. In particular if $r(P) = 1$ the triple (F_P, Σ_P, c) is a so-called *maximal unicell map* of genus $g(P)$ with orientation reversing involution c with $r(P) = 1$ fixed points on the graph of the map. The involution c_F has a unique fixed point on Σ_P , which corresponds to the intersection of the folding curve $F_P \cap \partial D$ of c_F with the graph Σ_P . Maximal here means that the number of edges of the graph of the map is maximal, i.e. $3g$.

It would be interesting to compute the generating series

$$\text{divide}(t) := \sum_{g \in \mathbb{N}} d(g)t^g = 1 + t + 2t^2 + 8t^3 + 36t^4 + \dots,$$

where $d(g)$ denotes the number of simple, relative and generic immersions of the interval $[0, 1]$ with g double points in the disk D , counted up to homeomorphism in the source and image. We have taken the coefficients up to the term t^4 of $\text{divide}(t)$ from the listings of simple, relative, free or oriented divides by Masaharu Ishikawa [Ish01]. We ask to compare the numbers $d(g)$ with the numbers $m^+(g)$ of maximal maps of genus g with orientation reversing involution having 1 fixed point on its graph.

Involutions induced by π -rotations. Next we study the involutions that appear in the decomposition of the geometric monodromy of plane curve singularities. We show that they lift to π -rotations about an axis in the universal cyclic covering of the complement of the link.

Our next goal is to visualize the two involutions c_F and $T \circ c_F$. We assume that the monodromy diffeomorphism T is chosen in its isotopy class such that $T \circ c_F$ is an involution of the fiber surface F_P . In fact, we assume that the monodromy T was given by a monodromy vector field X , which satisfies $X = X^c$.

We assume for simplicity, that the divide P meets the boundary of the disk D . The involution c_F is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of $F_1 = F_P$, which fixes point-wise the system of arcs $a := F_P \cap \partial D$. The Lefschetz number of the orientation reversing involution $T \circ c_F$ is equal to the Lefschetz number of c_F by Th. 1. Hence the involution




Figure 7.2.6. Divide for the E_8 singularity and the curve β in red.

$T \circ c_F$ also fixes point-wise exactly a system of arcs b on the fiber surface F_P with $\chi(a) = \chi(b)$, i.e. the systems a and b consist of the same number of arcs.

Let $z : Z \rightarrow S^3 \setminus K_P$ be the infinite cyclic covering of the knot complement. Let X^Z be the lift of the vector field X . Let $T_{\frac{1}{2}} : Z \rightarrow Z$ be the flow diffeomorphism of the vector field X^Z with stopping time $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $F'_1 \subset Z$ be a lift of the fiber surface F_1 and let F'_{-1} be the lift $T_{\frac{1}{2}}(F'_1)$ of the fiber surface F_{-1} . The involution c_F can first be lifted unambiguously to an involution of F'_1 and then extended unambiguously to an involution A of Z such that A maps the vector field X^Z to its opposite. The involution A is a π -rotation about a lift of the system of arcs a into F'_1 . The involution $(x, u) \mapsto (x, -u)$ induces an involution $c_{F_{-1}}$ of the fiber surface F_{-1} above -1 , and, as we have done for the involution c_F , the involution $c_{F_{-1}}$ lifts unambiguously to a π -rotation $B : Z \rightarrow Z$ about a system of arcs $b'' \subset F'_{-1}$ that satisfies $z(b'') = b' := \partial D \cap F_{-1}$. We have $B \circ A = T_{\frac{1}{2}} \circ T_{\frac{1}{2}} = T_1$. Since T_1 is a lift of the monodromy, it follows from $(T \circ c_F) \circ c_F = T$, that the involution $T \circ c_F$ fixes the system of arcs $b := z(T_1^{-1}(b''))$.

In Sect. 7.1 (c.f. [A'C98a]) we have computed the monodromy diffeomorphism $T_+ : F_1 \rightarrow F_{-1}$ for which $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a lift to Z as a product of half Dehn twists for a divide. From the above we deduce, that the involution $T \circ c_F : F_1 \rightarrow F_{-1}$ is the composition $T_+^{-1} \circ c_{F_{-1}} \circ T_+$. We see that $T \circ c_F$ fixes the arc $b = T_+^{-1}(\partial D \cap F_{-1})$. We also see that the involution $c_F \circ T$ of F_1 fixes the system of arcs $c_F(b) = T_+(\partial D \cap F_{-1})$. Both arc systems b and $c_F(b)$ have equal projections β into D . See Figures 7.2.5 and 7.2.6 for examples.

The composition of the orientation reversing involutions, that fix point-wise the arc systems a, b of Fig. 7.2.5 on the cylinder surface, is indeed a Dehn twist. To see this, think of the cylinder as $[0, \pi] \times S^1$; the two involutions induce on the circle $\{\theta\} \times S^1$ reflections

about diameters that make an angle θ , so the composition is a rotation of angle 2θ of the circle $\{\theta\} \times S^1$.

In Fig. 7.2.6 the curve β is drawn for a more complicated divide for the plane curve singularity E_8 with equation $y^3 - x^5 = 0$.

We conclude with a remark we promised that shows that uses the results of this section to prove that not all fibered links come from divides.

Remark 7.2.8. The figure eight knot (see Fig. 3.1.2) is not the knot of a divide. The figure eight knot's complement fibers over the circle having as fiber the punctured torus and as monodromy the isotopy class of the linear diffeomorphism given by a matrix in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of trace 3. Such a matrix M is not the product of two unipotent matrices, which are conjugate in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the matrices M and M^{-1} are not conjugated by an integral matrix of determinant -1 . So according to the remarks this section, the figure eight can not be the knot of a divide. Another argument to rule out the figure eight as the knot of a divide goes as follows. The first betti number of the fiber of the figure eight knot is 2. But only two connected divides have fibers with betti number 2 and these two have monodromies with trace equal to 1 or 2.

8. ABSTRACT VISUALIZATION OF THE MILNOR FIBER AND THE MONODROMY

In this section we describe an easy and fast graphical algorithm of visualizing the Milnor fiber together with a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles directly from the divide ([A'C99]).

8.1. Visualization of the vanishing cycles for a divide. We start by visualizing the vanishing cycles.

Let P be a connected divide and let $\pi_P : S^3 \setminus L(P) \rightarrow S^1$ be the fibration of the complement of the link $L(P)$ over S^1 as in Sect. 4 (c.f. [A'C99, A'C98a]). Recall that the fibration map is given with the help of an auxiliary Morse function $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The fiber F_P above $1 \in S^1$ projects to the positive components of the complement of P in D . One has that the closure of

$$\{(x, u) \in TD \mid f_P(x) > 0, (df_P)_x(u) = 0, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}$$

in $S^3 \setminus L(P)$ is the fiber surface F_P .

To each critical point of $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds a vanishing cycle on the surface F_P . In the case, where the divide P is a divide of a singularity, the surface F_P is a model for the Milnor fiber and the system of vanishing cycles on F_P is a model for a distinguished system of quadratic vanishing cycles of the singularity.

Let M be a maximum of f_P . The vanishing cycle δ_M is the non-oriented simply closed curve on F_P , see Fig. 8.1.1,

$$\delta_M := \{u \in T_M D \mid \|M\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}.$$

Let c be a crossing point of P . The point c is a saddle type singularity of F_P . The vanishing cycle is the non-oriented simply closed curve δ_c on F_P that results from the following construction. Let $g_c \subset P_+ \cup \{c\}$ be the singular gradient line through c , for which the endpoints are a maximum of f_P or a point in ∂D . We splice g_c and get a double tear $t_c \subset P_+ \cup \{c\}$ as in Fig. 8.1.2. The tear t_c is a closed curve, that has at c a




Figure 8.1.1. The vanishing cycle δ_M for a maximum.




Figure 8.1.2. Tear for vanishing cycle δ_c for a saddle point.

non-degenerate tangency with g_c from both sides. Moreover, t_c is perpendicular to g_c at the endpoints of g_c , if the endpoint is a maximum of f_P and else t_c has a tangency with ∂P . The vanishing cycle δ_c is the closure in F_P of the set

$$\{(x, u) \in F_P \mid x \in t_c, (df_P)_x \neq 0, u \text{ points to the inside of the tear } t_c\}.$$

Let m be a minimum of f_P . The following is a description of the vanishing cycle δ_m on F_P . The projection of δ_m in D is a simply closed curve t_m in $P_+ \cup \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$, where $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ is the list of the double points of P that lie in the closure of the region of m , see Fig. 8.1.3. The curve t_m and the singular gradient line g_{c_i} , $1 \leq i \leq k$ coincide in a neighborhood of c_i . Moreover, if the endpoint of g_{c_i} is a maximum M , the curve t_m leaves transversally the tear t_{c_i} at M and enters transversally the next tear $t_{c_{i\pm 1}}$. If the endpoint of g_{c_i} is on the boundary of D , the curve leaves the tear t_{c_i} , becomes tangent to the boundary of D and enters the next tear, see Fig. 8.1.3. The vanishing cycle δ_m is the non-oriented simply closed curve on F_P , that is the closure of

$$\{(x, u) \in F_P \mid x \in t_m, u \text{ points inwards to the disk bounded by } t_m\}.$$




Figure 8.1.3. The vanishing cycle δ_M for a minimum.

8.2. Visualization of the Milnor fiber associated with a divide. Now we explain how to visualize the Milnor fiber together with the divide. Think of the divide as a road network which has δ junctions, and replace every junction by a roundabout, which leads you to a new road network with 4δ T-junctions. Realize now every road section in between two T-junctions by a strip with a half twist. Do the same for every road section in between a T-junction and the boundary of the divide. Altogether you will need $6\delta + r$ strips. Now, applying what we have seen in the previous Sect. 8.1, the core line of the four strips of a roundabout is a black vanishing cycle, the strips corresponding to boundary edges and corners of a positive or negative region have as core line a red or blue vanishing cycle (see Fig. 8.2.1 or 8.2.2 for color scheme).

In Fig. 8.2.2 is worked out the singularity with two Puiseux pairs and $\mu = 16$, where we used a divide equivalent (up to an admissible isotopy) to that of Fig. 5.3.3. We have drawn for convenience in Fig. 8.2.2 only one red, black, or blue cycle. We have also indicated the position of the arc α , which will play a role in the next section.

8.3. Reduction cycles and reduction tori. We consider a divide of the form $Q := P_{p,q} * P$, where the divide P is given by an immersion $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow D$. So, the divide Q is the image of $N\gamma \circ T_{p,q}$. If we change the immersion by a reparametrization $\gamma_1 := \gamma \circ \phi$, where ϕ is an oriented diffeomorphism of $[-1, 1]$, the divide $Q_1 := N\gamma_1 \circ \phi([-1, 1])$ is isotopic to Q by an transversal isotopy, which does not change the type of its knot. By choosing ϕ appropriately and η small, one can achieve that to each double point of P correspond p^2 double points of the divide Q_1 , which look like the intersection points of a system of p almost parallel lines with an other system of p almost parallel lines, see Fig. 8.3.1.




Figure 8.2.1. Milnor fiber with vanishing cycles for D_5 .

We may assume, that the divide Q for each double point of P already has a grid of p^2 intersections.

We will construct reduction curves for the monodromy of the knot $L(Q)$ following the ideas of [A'C73]. The reduction curves of the cabling $P_{p,q} *_\eta P$ are the intersection of the fiber F_Q over $1 \in S^1$ of the fibration on the complement of the knot $L(Q)$ with the boundary of a regular tubular neighborhood U of the closed tubular neighborhood V of the knot $L(P)$ for which $L(Q) \subset \partial V$ holds. The intersection $(F_Q \cap \partial U) \subset F_Q$ is indeed a system of reduction curves provided that the torus ∂U is transversal to the fibration of the knot $L(Q)$.

Assume that the tubular neighborhood V was constructed with the field $\Phi_{p,q}$ and a particular value of the parameter η . The same field of sectors, but a slightly bigger parameter value η' yields a tubular neighborhood U of V in S^3 . The construction of the fibration will be done as in Sect. 4 (c.f. [A'C98a]). The main choice for the construction of the fibration for the knot $L(Q)$ is a carefully chosen adapted Morse function (recall Definition 3.2.1) $f_Q : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f_Q^{-1}(0) = Q$. For our purpose here, where we must




Figure 8.2.2. Milnor fiber with vanishing cycles for $y^4 - 2y^2x^3 + x^6 - x^7 - 4yx^5$.




Figure 8.3.1. Manhattan: crossing of the box with 4 by 4 strands.

achieve the above transversality so we will choose f_Q as follows. First, after applying a regular transversal small isotopy, we may assume that the divide P has perpendicular rectilinear crossings. Next, we consider an adapted function $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the divide P , that is euclidian near its crossings. Let the fibration on the complement of the knot $L(P)$ be $\pi_{P,\eta} : S^3 \setminus L(P) \rightarrow S^1$ where we recall that $\pi_P(x, u) := \theta_P(x, u)/|\theta_P(x, u)|$ and

$$\theta_{P,\lambda}(x, u) := f_P(x) + i\lambda^{-1} df_P(x)(u) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2}\chi(x)H_{f_P}(x)(u, u).$$

Here, the function $\chi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bump function at the crossing points of P and λ is a big real parameter (compare with eq. 3.2.3). We now choose a small positive real number v , such that $\{x \in D \mid |f_P(x)| \leq v\}$ is a regular tubular neighborhood of P , that meets each component of $\{x \in D \mid \chi(x) = 1\}$. Next we choose $\eta' > 0$ such the corners of $N_{\eta'}\gamma(B)$

are in $\{|f_P(x)| = v\}$ i.e. $\eta'^2 = v$. We construct the torus knot $L(Q)$ with $Q := P_{p,q} *_{\eta} P$ where $0 < \eta < \eta'$. Since $Q \subset \{|f_P(x)| < v\}$ holds, we can construct a Morse function $f_Q : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the divide Q , such that on $\{|f_P(x)| \geq v\}$ the function f_Q is constant along the level sets of f_P .

The following theorem follows directly from [A'C73, Lemme 2, pg. 153] and the above construction.

Theorem 8.3.1. *The torus $\partial\Phi_{\eta',p,q}\gamma$ is transversal to the fibration π_Q induced by f_Q on the complement of the knot $L(Q)$. The intersection*

$$\partial\Phi_{\eta',p,q}\gamma \cap F_Q$$

is a system of p closed curves on the fiber F_Q , which is a reduction of the monodromy of $L(Q)$.

With a few examples, we now explain how to inductively depict in the Milnor fiber a distinguished system of vanishing cycles and the reduction curves for the monodromy of a singularity, for which a divide of the form $Q = P_{p,q} * P$ is given.

For a (p, q) cabling ($p < q$) the reduction system consists of p simply closed curves on the fiber F_Q . Each of them cuts out from F_Q a surface diffeomorphic to the fiber F_P of the divide P . The p copies of F_P in F_Q are cyclicly permuted by the monodromy T_Q . This description follows from the construction of the monodromy of an irreducible plane curve singularity given in [A'C73].

One of those copies can be visualized more easily as follows. Let $\{x \in D \mid f_P(x) \geq v\}$. For each double point c of P we connect the two components of $\{x \in D \mid f_P(x) \geq v\}$, that are incident with the double point c , by a special bridge which projects diagonally through the Manhattan part of the divide Q , that corresponds to c . The projection of the bridge is a twisted strip S_c in D , that realizes a boundary connected sum of the P_+ -components (see Fig. 8.3.2). The twist points of the strip S_c are precisely the critical points of f_Q , that lie on the diagonal. The boundary of S_c consists of two smooth curves, that intersect each other transversally and that also intersect the divide Q transversally.

Let C be the union of the projections S_c of the bridges with $\{x \in D \mid f_P(x) \geq v\}$, see Fig. 8.3.2. In Fig. 8.3.3 we have zoomed out one block to show more details. The copy $F_{P,Q}$ of the fiber of the knot $L(P)$ is the closure in the fiber F_Q of the knot $L(Q)$ of the set

$$\{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in C, (df_Q)_x \neq 0, (df_Q)_x(u) = 0\} \cap S^3.$$

The first reduction curve is the boundary

$$R := \partial F_{P,Q}$$

of the surface $F_{P,Q} \subset F_Q$. The reduction system is the orbit

$$\{R, T_Q(R), T_Q^2(R), \dots\}$$

under the monodromy T_Q of the singularity with divide Q .

Example 8.3.2. Our first example is the singularity with two essential Puiseux pairs $(x^3 - y^2)^2 - 4x^5y - x^7$, whose link is a two stage iterated torus knot. For a detailed description of the link, the monodromy and the Milnor fiber of this singularity, follow the construction of [A'C73]. Its divide $Q = P_{2,9} * P_{2,3}$, (see Fig. 5.3.3), has two P_+ -components,




Figure 8.3.2. Bridge through Manhattan.




Figure 8.3.3. Bridge through a block of Manhattan.

where $P = P_{2,3}$ (recall Fig. 8.3.4 and contents of that section), where the projection of the reduction curve R is drawn). In this case Manhattan consists of one block. The reduction curve R is the pre-image in the fiber F_Q of its projection $\text{proj}(R) \subset D$ under the map $(x, u) \mapsto x$ (see Fig. 8.3.4). That means R is the closure in F_Q of the set

$$\{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in \text{proj}(R), (df_Q)_x \neq 0, (df_Q)_x(u) = 0, \|x\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}.$$

The reduction system is $\{R, T_Q(R)\}$.




Figure 8.3.4. Divide $Q = P_{2,9} * P_{2,3}$ with reduction curves R and $T(R)$ (dotted).

The curve R is homologically trivial in F_Q . It turns out that the power T_Q^{156} of the monodromy is the composition of the right Dehn twists, whose core curves are $\{R, T_Q(R)\}$. The power T_Q^{156} is a product of $2496 = 16 \times 156$ Dehn twists, since T_Q is the product of those Dehn twists whose core curves are the system of distinguished quadratic vanishing cycles of the real morsification with divide Q . It turns out that the expression as product of Dehn twists is far from being as short as possible. In fact, the right Dehn twist Δ_R with core curve R can be written as a product of 36 right Dehn twists that have core curves coming from the morsification with divide Q . More precisely, the Dehn twist Δ_R factors as

$$\Delta_R = (\Delta_M \circ \Delta_b \circ \Delta_m \circ \Delta_a \circ \Delta_m^{-1} \circ \Delta_b^{-1})^6,$$

The factors are right Dehn twists whose core curves are among the quadratic vanishing cycles $\delta_m, \delta_a, \delta_M, \delta_b$ of the divide Q as indicated in Fig. 8.3.3, δ_M is the vanishing cycle of a P_+ -region, δ_m of the maximum of Manhattan, and δ_a, δ_b of street corners of Manhattan. It follows that T_Q^{156} can also be written as a composition of 72 Dehn twists with core curves among the vanishing cycles of the divide Q . The composition $\Delta_b \circ \Delta_m \circ \Delta_a \circ \Delta_m^{-1} \circ \Delta_b^{-1}$ is the Dehn twist with core curve $\bar{a} := \Delta_b(\Delta_m(a))$.

The reduction curve R cuts off from F_Q a piece $F_{P,Q}$ of genus one, which corresponds to a copy of the Milnor fiber of the Brieskorn-Pham singularity $y^2 + x^3$ (corresponding to the first Puiseux pair). The Dehn twists Δ_M and $\Delta_{\bar{a}}$ act only on this piece, since the curves δ_M and \bar{a} lie entirely in this piece; in this piece, that is a copy of the fiber F_P , they generate the geometric monodromy group of the accompanying singularity $x^3 - y^2 = 0$ with divide $P_{2,3}$.

Example 8.3.3. Our second example is the *double cusp*, that is, the singularity with two branches $(x^3 - y^2)(y^3 - x^2)$. Its homological monodromy is of infinite order by [A'C73]. Each branch is a torus knot. Again Manhattan consists of one block. In Fig. 8.3.5 we have drawn the projections of the curves R, R' and S, S' , that together are the boundary components of the two diagonals through Manhattan. In this case the curves R and R' are isotopic to each other, as are the curves S and S' . A complete reduction system for




Figure 8.3.5. Divide P for $(x^3 - y^2)(y^3 - x^2)$ with reduction system $R \cup S$.

the geometric monodromy is the system $\{R, S\}$. Each component of this system carries a non-trivial homology class. The isotopy classes of the curves R and S are permuted by the monodromy T_P , hence the system $\{R, S\}$ is invariant under the monodromy.

Let h be the action of T_P on the homology $H_1(F_P, \mathbb{Z})$ of the fiber F_P . Let $\delta_a, \delta_b, \delta_c, \delta_d$ be the vanishing cycles of the double points, that are the corners of Manhattan of P , let δ_m be the vanishing cycle of the maximum in the center of Manhattan.

If one chooses the orientations appropriately, one has

$$[R] = [\delta_a] + [\delta_m] + [\delta_c], [S] = [\delta_b] + [\delta_m] + [\delta_d], h([R]) = -[S], h([S]) = -[R],$$

hence also $h([R] - [S]) = [R] - [S]$. Let $[k]$ be any cycle on F_P , that is carried by a simple oriented curve k and intersects the curves R and S each transversally in one point. One has $h^{10}([k]) = [k] \pm ([R] + [S])$, which shows that the homological monodromy h is not of finite order. We have drawn in Fig. 8.3.5 the oriented projection of such a cycle k , that intersects the curves A and B . The curve A is halfway in between the curves R and R' on the cylinder they cut out. Let B be the curve halfway in between S and S' . The curves A and B are the reduction curves of Figure 3 on page 167 of [A'C73]. The reduction system A, B is much easier to draw, see Fig. 8.3.6, where are drawn the projections in D . The projections meet transversally at the maximum in Manhattan of f_P . The curve δ_m intersects transversally in two points each curve R and S . One has $h^{10}([\delta_m]) = [\delta_m] \pm 2([R] + [S])$.

The power T_P^{10} of the geometric monodromy, that is a word of length 110 in the Dehn twists of the divide P , is equal to the composition of those right Dehn twists, whose core curves are R and S . So, the power T_P^{10} also can be written as the much shorter word

$$\Delta_c \circ \Delta_m \circ \Delta_a \circ \Delta_m^{-1} \circ \Delta_c^{-1} \circ \Delta_d \circ \Delta_m \circ \Delta_b \circ \Delta_m^{-1} \circ \Delta_d^{-1}.$$

Remark 8.3.4. The curves A and $\Delta_m(\Delta_c(\delta_a))$ are isotopic, where Δ_m and Δ_c are the right Dehn twists with core curves δ_m and δ_c . It follows that the reduction system A, B consists of quadratic vanishing cycles of the singularity of $\{(x^3 - y^2)(y^3 - x^2) = 0\}$ with




Figure 8.3.6. Divide P for $(x^3 - y^2)(y^3 - x^2)$ with reduction system $A \cup B$.

two branches. In contrast, a reduction curve of a singularity with only one branch can not be a quadratic vanishing cycle, since all reduction curves are zero in the homology.

8.4. Geometric monodromy group and reduction system. Let the polynomial $f_{(a,b)}$ be an equation for an irreducible plane curve singularity with n essential Puiseux pairs $(a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $a_i < b_i$. The number of simply closed curves contained in a complete reduction system R for the monodromy of f is

$$a_n a_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_2 + a_n a_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_3 + \dots + a_n a_{n-1} + a_n.$$

Indeed, this follows from the construction of the Milnor fiber in [A'C73]. Let

$$\Gamma_{f,red} < \Gamma_f$$

be the subgroup of the geometric monodromy group (recall Definition 2.3.2) of Γ_f of f of those elements $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$ that up to isotopy fix each component of R . Let $\Gamma_{f,red}^0$ be the subgroup of Γ_f which is generated by the Dehn twist whose core curves are quadratic vanishing cycles and do not intersect any component of R . Obviously, one has $\Gamma_{f,red}^0 \subset \Gamma_{f,red}$, but we do not know if this inclusion is strict. A component of $F \setminus R$ is called a top-component if its closure in F meets only one component of R . Let $\Gamma_{f,top}$ be the subgroup of Γ_f of those monodromy transformations, which induce the identity in each component of $F \setminus R$ that is not a top-component. Let $\Gamma_{f,top}^0$ be the intersection $\Gamma_{f,top} \cap \Gamma_{f,red}^0$. We have

Theorem 8.4.1. *Let $f = f_{(a,b)}$ be an irreducible singularity with $n \geq 2$ essential Puiseux pairs $(a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Let $g = f_{(a',b')}$ be a singularity with the $n - 1$ essential Puiseux pairs $(a', b') = (a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$. The group Γ_f contains the product of a_n copies of the group Γ_g .*

Theorem 8.4.2. *Let $f_{(a,b)}$ be an irreducible singularity with $n \geq 2$ essential Puiseux pairs. The group $\Gamma_{f,top}^0$ is isomorphic to the product of $a_n a_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_2$ copies of the geometric monodromy group of the singularity $y^{a_1} - x^{b_1} = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 8.4.1. Let P be the divide $P_{a_{n-1}, b'_{n-1}} * \cdots * P_{a_2, b'_2} * P_{a_1, b_1}$ for the singularity of g and let $Q = P_{a_n, b'_n} * P$ be the divide for the singularity of f . A copy $F_{P,Q}$ of the fiber F_P is constructed as a subset of the fiber F_Q . Remember, that F_P is obtained by connecting with strips the sets $\{(x, u) \in TD \mid f_P(x) > 0, (df_P)_x(u) = 0\}$, where $f_P : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function for the divide P . For each double point of P there are two connecting strips. To each $+$ -component of P corresponds a P_+ -component of Q with the same topology and to each double point of P corresponds a Manhattan grid of Q , in which we have drawn diagonally the projection of the strips that connect $\{(x, u) \in TD \mid x \in Q_{P,+}, (df_Q)_x(u) = 0\}$. Here, $Q_{P,+}$ denotes the union of the P_+ -components of the complement of the divide Q . From the divide P is deduced a distinguished basis of quadratic vanishing cycles for the singularity of f . Let B_P be the union of the curves of this basis. This basis can be drawn on the fiber F_P , see Sect. 8.1 for an algorithmic method to visualize distinguished basis of vanishing cycles.

In order to prove the theorem, we will construct inside $F_{P,Q}$ a system of simply closed curves with union $B_{P,Q}$, each of them being a quadratic vanishing cycle for the singularity g , such that the pairs (F_P, B_P) and $(F_{P,Q}, B_{P,Q})$ are diffeomorphic. This finishes the proof, since the Dehn twist, whose cores are the quadratic vanishing cycles of $B_{P,Q}$, generate a copy of Γ_g in Γ_f . By acting with the geometric monodromy T of the singularity f , one obtains a_n commuting copies of Γ_g in Γ_f .

To each $+$ -region of P corresponds one P_+ -region of Q . The maximum of f_P , say at M in the region, is also a maximum of f_Q . The quadratic vanishing cycle $\delta_M := \{(M, u) \in TD \mid \|M\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = 1\}$ of F_Q lies in F_P and also in $F_{P,Q}$. For each double point c of P the quadratic vanishing cycle $\delta_c \subset F_P$ projects in D to a tear splicing the gradient line g_c of f_P through c . The endpoints of g_c are maxima of f_P or points on ∂D . The function f_Q has exactly one gradient line $g_{Q,c}$ that has the same endpoints as g_c and coincides with g_c in a neighborhood of the common endpoints. The gradient line $g_{Q,c}$ runs along a diagonal through the Manhattan grid corresponding to c . Let $g_{Q,c}$ be the simply closed curve on F_Q , that projects to a tear $t_{Q,c}$ equal to $g_{Q,c}$, except above a neighborhood of its endpoints where $t_{Q,c}$ equals t_c . We remark that $\delta_{Q,c}$ is a cycle in $F_{P,Q}$. Let c_1, \dots, c_p be the $p := a_n$ double points of Q that occur along the $g_{Q,c}$ and let M_2, \dots, M_p along $g_{Q,c}$ be the maxima. Let δ_{Q,c_1} be the quadratic vanishing cycle of the singularity f that corresponds to c_1 . One verifies that the cycles $\delta_{Q,c}$ and

$$\Delta_{c_p} \circ \Delta_{M_p} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{c_2} \circ \Delta_{M_2}(\delta_{Q,c_1})$$

are isotopic. Here Δ_{c_i} or Δ_{M_i} stands for the right Dehn twist of F_Q whose core curve is the quadratic vanishing cycle δ_{c_i} or δ_{M_i} of the singularity f . Hence $\delta_{Q,c} \subset F_{P,Q}$ is a quadratic vanishing cycle for the singularity f . So far, we have constructed for each maximum and for each saddle point of f_P a simply closed curve on $F_{P,Q}$ that is a quadratic vanishing cycle of the singularity f . These cycles intersect on $F_{P,Q}$ as do the corresponding quadratic vanishing cycles of the singularity g on F_P .

We now wish to construct for each minimum of f_P a vanishing cycle on $F_{P,Q}$. We have to handle two cases: p odd, see Fig. 8.4.1, and p even, see Fig. 8.4.2.

If p is odd, a minimum m of f_P will also be a minimum of f_Q . Let $\delta_{Q,m}$ be the vanishing cycle on F_Q corresponding to m , see Fig. 8.4.1. The projection of $\delta_{Q,m}$ into D is a smooth




Figure 8.4.1. Vanishing cycle s on F_Q from a minimum of f_P and cycle r on $F_{P,Q}$.

simply closed curve s' transversal to Q , that surrounds the $-$ region of m through the its neighboring $+$ regions of Q . One needs to take care that in each neighboring $+$ component the projection runs through the maximum of f_Q in that region. The points of s correspond to pairs (x, u) with $x \in s'$ and u pointing inwards to m . Let r be a simply closed cycle on $F_{P,Q}$ that projects into D upon the curve r' , which now surrounds the $-$ region of m through the P_+ -components of Q , see Fig. 8.4.1. In the Manhattan grids r' is just a diagonal, again r' runs through the maxima of the regions or touches ∂D . On r we only allow pairs (x, u) where u points inwards to m . It is clear that the cycle r on $F_{P,Q}$ intersects the cycles of the previous construction as the vanishing cycle to the minimum of f_P intersects the vanishing cycles of the critical points of f_P . It remains however to check that the cycle r is a quadratic vanishing cycle of the singularity of g . By applying to $r \subset F_Q$ the Dehn twist corresponding to the critical points of f_Q that are in between the curves r' and s' , one can transform the isotopy class of the curve $\delta_{Q,m}$ to the class of the curve r . This proves that r is indeed a quadratic vanishing cycle of the singularity of g .

If p is even, a minimum m of f_P will be a maximum of f_Q . Let $\delta_{Q,M}$ be the vanishing cycle on F_Q corresponding to maximum $M := m$, see Fig. 8.4.2. Its projection into D is the point $M := m$. Let r be a simply closed cycle on $F_{P,Q}$ that projects into D upon the curve r' which now surrounds the $-$ region of $M := m$ through the P_+ -regions of Q , see Fig. 8.4.2. In the Manhattan grids r' is just a diagonal, again r' runs through the maxima of the regions. On r we only allow pairs (x, u) where u points inwards to m . It is clear that the cycle r on $F_{P,Q}$ intersects the cycles of the previous construction as the vanishing cycle to the minimum of f_P intersects the vanishing cycles of the critical points of f_P . By applying to $\delta_{Q,M} \subset F_Q$ the Dehn twist corresponding to the critical points of f_Q that are in between the curve r' and the point $M := m$, one can transform the isotopy class of the curve $\delta_{Q,M}$ to the class of the curve r , and proves that r is indeed a quadratic vanishing cycle of the singularity of g . As explained, this terminates the proof. \square




Figure 8.4.2. Vanishing cycle $\delta_{Q,m}$ on F_Q from a minimum of f_P and cycle r on $F_{P,Q}$.

Proof of Theorem 8.4.2. The proof of Theorem 8.4.1 constructs a copy $\Gamma_{P,Q}$ of the monodromy group Γ_f of the singularity f as subgroup in the monodromy group Γ_g of the singularity g . This copy acts with support in a copy $F_{P,Q}$ of the fiber F_P . The first $a_n - 1$ iterates of the monodromy T_Q of the singularity g constructs a_n copies of F_P in F_Q . By conjugation with T_Q one gets a_n copies from $\Gamma_{P,Q}$. We end the proof by repeating this argument. One gets $a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_2$ commuting copies of the geometric monodromy group of the singularity $x^{b_1} - y^{a_1}$ in Γ_g . \square

9. SOME OTHER QUESTIONS AND PROPERTIES

We conclude by stating some other open questions and properties related to divides associated with plane curve singularities.

9.1. Connected sum and characterization of plane curve divides. The connected sum of two divides (D_1, P_1) and (D_2, P_2) is done by making a boundary connected sum of D_1 and D_2 such that a boundary point of P_1 matches with a boundary point of P_2 . For divides with one branch we have the formula:

$$L(P_1 \# P_2) = L(P_1) \# L(P_2)$$

A relative immersion $i : I \rightarrow D$ of a copy of $[0, 1]$ in D , such that at selftangencies the velocities are with opposite orientation, defines an embedded and oriented arc I' in S^3 by putting:

$$I' := \{(x, u) \in S^3 \mid x \in i(I), (di^{-1})_x(u) \geq 0\}$$

Let $j : I \rightarrow D$ be a relative immersion with only transversal crossings and opposite selftangencies, such that the endpoints of i and j are tangent with opposite orientations and that all tangencies of i and j are generic and have opposite orientations. The union

$I' \cup J'$ is the oriented knot of the pair (i, j) . A divide P defines pairs (i_P, j_P) of relative immersions with opposite orientations by taking both orientations. Those pairs (i_P, j_P) have a special 2-fold symmetry. For instance the complex conjugation realizes this 2-fold symmetry for a divide, which arises as a real deformation of a real plane curve singularity. It is interesting to observe that this symmetry acts on F_1 with as fixed point set the intersection $D \cap F_1$, which is a collection of r disjointly embedded arcs in F_1 . The quotient of \bar{F}_1 by the symmetry is an orbifold surface with exactly $2r$ boundary $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -singularities. Any link of singularity of a plane curve can be obtained as the link of a divide by Sect. 5 (c.f. [A'C75b, A'C99, GZ74b]). It is an interesting problem to characterize links of singularities among links of divides.

9.2. Symplectic properties. The link of a divide is transversal to the standard contact structure in the 3-sphere. This can be seen explicitly by the following computation, where we use the multiplication of quaternions. Let P be a divide in the unit disk. We assume that the part of P , which lies in the collar of ∂D with inner radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, consists of radial line segments. We think of the branches of P as parametrized curves $\gamma(t) = (a(t), b(t))$, $-A \leq t \leq A$, where the parameter speed is adjusted such that $a^2 + b^2 + \dot{a}^2 + \dot{b}^2 = 1$. To the branch γ correspond two arcs Γ^+ and Γ^- on the sphere of quaternions of unit length:

$$\Gamma^+(t) := a(t) - \dot{a}(t)i + b(t)j + \dot{b}(t)k$$

$$\Gamma^-(t) := a(-t) + \dot{a}(-t)i + b(t)j - \dot{b}(-t)k$$

The left invariant speed of Γ^+ at time t is

$$v(t) := \Gamma^+(t)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma^+(t)$$

We have

$$v = a\dot{a} + \dot{a}\ddot{a} + b\dot{b} + \dot{b}\ddot{b} + [-a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 - b\ddot{b} + \dot{b}^2]i + v_j j + v_k k$$

The coefficient $v_0 := a\dot{a} + \dot{a}\ddot{a} + b\dot{b} + \dot{b}\ddot{b}$ vanishes, since $\frac{d}{dt}\Gamma(t)$ is perpendicular to $\Gamma(t)$, and hence we can rewrite the coefficient v_i of i in v as

$$v_i = -a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 - b\ddot{b} + \dot{b}^2 = \langle (a + \dot{a}, b + \dot{b}) \mid (\dot{a}, \dot{b}) \rangle$$

Outside of the collar neighborhood of ∂D we have $v_i > 0$, since $a^2 + b^2 < 1/2 < \dot{a}^2 + \dot{b}^2$. In the collar we also have $v_i > 0$ by a direct computation. Since the left invariant contact structure on the unit sphere in the skew field of the quaternions is given by the span of the tangent vectors j and k at the point 1, we conclude that Γ^+ with its orientation is in the positive sense transversal to the left invariant contact structure S^3 .

For the link of a divide we now will construct a polynomial, hence symplectic, spanning surface in the 4-ball. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$ put

$$B_\lambda := \{p + ui \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid p, u \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|p\|^2 + \lambda^{-2}\|u\|^2 \leq 1\}$$

We have $B_\lambda \cap \mathbb{R}^2 = D$ and B_λ is a strictly holomorphically convex domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{C}^2 . The map $(p, u) \mapsto ((p, u/\lambda))$ identifies ∂B_λ with the unit 3-sphere of \mathbb{C}^2 .

Theorem 9.2.1. *Let P be a connected divide in the disc D with δ double points and r branches. There exist $\lambda > 0, \eta > 0$ and there exists a polynomial function $F : B_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:*

- (a) *the function F is real, i.e. $F(\overline{p+ui}) = \overline{F(p+ui)}$,*
- (b) *the set $P_0 := \{p \in D \mid F(p) = 0\}$ is a divide, which is C^1 close to the divide P , and hence the divides P and P_0 are combinatorially equivalent,*
- (c) *the function F has only non degenerate singularities, which are all real,*
- (d) *for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $|t| \leq \eta$ the intersection $K_t := \{(p+iu) \in B_\lambda \mid F(p+iu) = t\} \cap \partial B_\lambda$ is transversal and by a small isotopy equivalent to the link $L(P)$,*
- (e) *for the link K_η the surface $\{(p+iu) \in B_\lambda \mid F(p+iu) = \eta\}$ is a connected smooth symplectic spanning surface of genus $\delta - r + 1$ in the 4-ball.*

Proof. Let the divide P be given by smooth parametrized curves $\gamma_l : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, 1 \leq l \leq r$. Using the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, we can construct polynomial approximations $\gamma_{l,0} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ being C^2 close to γ_l and henceforth give a divide P_0 with the combinatorics of the divide P . We may choose $\gamma_{l,0}$ such that $\gamma_{l,0}(s) \notin D, |s| > 1$. Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a real polynomial map such $F = 0$ is a regular equation for the union of the images of $\gamma_{l,0}$. Let S_λ^3 be the sphere $S_\lambda^3 := \{p+iu \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \|p\|^2 + \lambda^{-2}\|u\|^2 = 1\}$. For a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ we have that the 0-level of F on S_λ^3 is a model for the link $L(P)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}, t \neq 0$, and t sufficiently small, say $|t| \leq \eta$, the surface $X_t := \{p+iu \in B_\lambda^4 \mid F(p+iu) = t\}$ is connected and smooth of genus $\delta - r + 1$, and has a polynomial equation, hence is a symplectic surface in the 4-ball B_λ equipped with the standard symplectic structure of \mathbb{C}^2 . The intersection $K_\eta := X_\eta \cap \partial B_\lambda$ is also a model for the link $L(P)$ and has hence a symplectic filling with the required properties. \square

Remark 9.2.2. Unfortunately, it is not the case that the restriction of F to B_λ is a fibration with only quadratic singularities, such that for some $\eta > 1$ the fibers $f_0^{-1}(t), t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| < \eta$, are transversal to the boundary of B_λ . So, we do not know, as it is the case for divides coming from plane curve singularities, if it is possible to fill in with a Picard-Lefschetz fibration, which is compatible with the contact and symplectic structure.

9.3. Presentation of the geometric monodromy group. We like to state the problem of presenting the geometric monodromy group of plane curve singularities with generators and relations. It would be particularly nice to express the presentation in terms of a divide of the singularity. The same problem can also be stated for the homological monodromy group of plane curve singularities, but we think that the problem for the geometric monodromy group is more tractable, since all reduction curves can be taken into account Theorems 8.4.1 and 8.4.2. However, an important missing piece in this program is a presentation with generators and relations of the geometric monodromy group of the singularities $y^p - x^q = 0$ for $3 \leq p \leq q, 7 \leq p+q$. The fundamental group of the complement of the discriminant in the unfolding of the singularity $y^2 - x^q = 0$ is the braid group B_{q-1} . Bernard Perron and Jean-Pierre Vannier [PV96] have proved for the singularities $y^2 - x^q = 0$ that the geometric monodromy group is a faithful image of the braid group B_{q-1} and that a similar result holds for the D singularities $x(y^2 - x^q) = 0$. The fundamental group of the complement of the discriminant in the unfolding of the singularity $y^3 - x^6 = 0$ is the Artin AE_6 group of the Dynkin diagram E_6 . Bronislaw

Wajnryb [Waj99] has proved that the geometric monodromy representation of E_6 into the mapping class group of the Milnor fiber of the singularity $y^3 - x^6 = 0$ is not faithful. Recently, Nick Salter and the second author [PCS21, Corollary C] have proven that the geometric monodromy representation of a plane curve singularity that is not of type A_n or D_n is never faithful if the genus of the Milnor fiber is at least 7.

REFERENCES

- [A'C73] Norbert A'Campo. Sur la monodromie des singularités isolées d'hypersurfaces complexes. *Invent. Math.*, 20:147–169, 1973.
- [A'C75a] Norbert A'Campo. La fonction zeta d'une monodromie. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 50:233–248, 1975.
- [A'C75b] Norbert A'Campo. Le groupe de monodromie du déploiement des singularités isolées de courbes planes. I. *Math. Ann.*, 213:1–32, 1975.
- [A'C75c] Norbert A'Campo. Le groupe de monodromie du déploiement des singularités isolées de courbes planes. II. Proc. int. Congr. Math., Vancouver 1974, Vol. 1, 395–404 (1975)., 1975.
- [A'C98a] Norbert A'Campo. Generic immersions of curves, knots, monodromy and Gordian number. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 88:151–169, 1998.
- [A'C98b] Norbert A'Campo. Planar trees, slalom curves and hyperbolic knots. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 88:171–180, 1998.
- [A'C99] Norbert A'Campo. Real deformations and complex topology of plane curve singularities. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6)*, 8(1):5–23; erratum: 8, no.2, 343, 1999.
- [A'C01] Norbert A'Campo. Quadratic vanishing cycles, reduction curves and reduction of the monodromy group of plane curve singularities. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 53(4):533–552, 2001.
- [A'C03] Norbert A'Campo. Monodromy of real isolated singularities. *Topology*, 42(6):1229–1240, 2003.
- [AGZV88] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Guseĭn-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. II*, volume 83 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. Monodromy and asymptotics of integrals, Translated from the Russian by Hugh Porteous, Translation revised by the authors and James Montaldi.
- [BD12] Sebastian Baader and Pierre Dehornoy. Minor theory for surfaces and divides of maximal signature. Preprint, arXiv:1211.7348 [math.GT] (2012), 2012.
- [BH16] R. Inanç Baykur and Kenta Hayano. Hurwitz equivalence for Lefschetz fibrations and their multisections. In *Real and complex singularities. XIII international workshop on real and complex singularities, São Carlos, Brazil, July 27 – August 8, 2014 in honor of María del Carmen Romero Fuster's 60th birthday. Proceedings*, pages 1–24. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS); Madrid: Real Sociedad Matemática Española (RSME), 2016.
- [BK96] Ludwig Balke and Rainer Kaenders. On a certain type of Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams of plane curve singularities. *Topology*, 35(1):39–54, 1996.
- [BK12] Egbert Brieskorn and Horst Knörrer. *Plane algebraic curves. Transl. from the German by John Stillwell*. Mod. Birkhäuser Class. Basel: Birkhäuser, reprint of the hardback ed. 1986 edition, 2012.
- [Cal78] J. Callahan. The double cusp has five minima. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 84:537–538, 1978.
- [DL19] Pierre Dehornoy and Livio Liechti. Divide monodromies and antitwists on surfaces. Preprint, arXiv:1910.00851 [math.DS] (2019), 2019.
- [EN85] David Eisenbud and Walter Neumann. *Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities*, volume 110 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [FM11] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Math. Ser.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [FPST22] Sergey Fomin, Pavlo Pylyavskyy, Eugenii Shustin, and Dylan Thurston. Morsifications and mutations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 105(4):2478–2554, 2022.

- [GI02] William Gibson and Masaharu Ishikawa. Links and gordian numbers associated with generic immersions of intervals. *Topology Appl.*, 123(3):609–636, 2002.
- [GZ74a] S. M. Gusein-Zade. Dynkin diagrams for singularities of functions of two variables. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 8:295–300, 1974.
- [GZ74b] S. M. Gusein-Zade. Intersection matrices for certain singularities of functions of two variables. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 8:10–13, 1974.
- [GZ84] S. M. Gusein-Zade. Index of a singular point of a gradient vector field. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 18:6–10, 1984.
- [HCV32] D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen. *Anschauliche Geometrie.*, volume 37 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Berlin, J. Springer, 1932.
- [HT73] Helmut A. Hamm and Lê Dũng Tráng. Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 6:317–355, 1973.
- [HT78] Wolfgang Heil and Jeffrey L. Tollefson. Deforming homotopy involutions of 3-manifolds to involutions. *Topology*, 17:349–365, 1978.
- [HZ94] Helmut Hofer and Eduard Zehnder. *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*. Basel: Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [Ish01] Masaharu Ishikawa. *Links of plane curves, fiberedness, quasipositivity and Jones polynomials.*, Theses, Universität Basel, 2001.
- [Ish04] Masaharu Ishikawa. Tangent circle bundles admit positive open book decompositions along arbitrary links. *Topology*, 43(1):215–232, 2004.
- [Kas80] A. Kas. On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration. *Pac. J. Math.*, 89:89–104, 1980.
- [Kaw96] Akio Kawauchi. *A survey of knot theory. Transl. from the Japan. by the author.* Basel: Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [Kaw02] Tomomi Kawamura. Quasipositivity of links of divides and free divides. *Topology Appl.*, 125(1):111–123, 2002.
- [Kin78] Henry C. King. Topological type of isolated critical points. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 107(2):385–397, 1978.
- [LS18] Peter Leviant and Eugenio Shustin. Morsifications of real plane curve singularities. *J. Singul.*, 18:307–328, 2018.
- [Mat96] Yukio Matsumoto. Lefschetz fibrations of genus two – a topological approach. In *Topology and Teichmüller spaces. Proceedings of the 37th Taniguchi symposium, Katinkulta, Finland, July, 24–28, 1995*, pages 123–148. Singapore: World Scientific, 1996.
- [Mil68] John W. Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, volume 61 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1968.
- [PCS21] Pablo Portilla Cuadrado and Nick Salter. Vanishing cycles, plane curve singularities and framed mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(6):3179–3228, 2021.
- [Per85] B. Perron. Conjugaison topologique des germes de fonctions holomorphes à singularité isolée en dimension trois. *Invent. Math.*, 82:27–35, 1985.
- [PV96] B. Perron and J. P. Vannier. Geometric monodromy groups of simple singularities. *Math. Ann.*, 306(2):231–245, 1996.
- [Riv74] Theodore J. Rivlin. The Chebyshev polynomials. Pure and Applied Mathematics. New York etc.: John Wiley & Sons, a Wiley- Interscience Publication. VI, 186 p. £ 8.60 (1974)., 1974.
- [Sak86] Makoto Sakuma. On strongly invertible knots. In *Algebraic and topological theories. Papers from the symposium dedicated to the memory of Dr. Takehiko Miyata held in Kinokuniya, October 30-November 9, 1984*, pages 176–196. Tokyo: Kinokuniya Company Ltd., 1986.
- [Tei90] Bernard Teissier. Un exemple de classe d'équisingularité irrationnelle. (An example of irrational equisingularity class). *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I*, 311(2):111–113, 1990.
- [Tho65] René Thom. L'équivalence d'une fonction différentiable et d'un polynôme. *Topology*, 3:297–307, 1965.
- [Thu88] William P. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.*, 19(2):417–431, 1988.

- [Tor53] Guillermo Torres. On the Alexander polynomial. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 57:57–89, 1953.
- [Waj99] Bronislaw Wajnryb. Artin groups and geometric monodromy. *Invent. Math.*, 138(3):563–571, 1999.
- [Wal04] C. T. C. Wall. *Singular points of plane curves*, volume 63 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Stud. Texts*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [Zar65a] Oscar Zariski. Studies in equisingularity. I. Equivalent singularities of plane algebroid curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 87:507–536, 1965.
- [Zar65b] Oscar Zariski. Studies in equisingularity. II. Equisingularity in codimension 1 (and characteristic zero). *Amer. J. Math.*, 87:972–1006, 1965.
- [Zar68] Oscar Zariski. Studies in equisingularity. III. Saturation of local rings and equisingularity. *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:961–1023, 1968.