

T -Parameters Based Modeling for Stacked Intelligent Metasurfaces: Tractable and Physically Consistent Model

Hamad Yahya, *Member, IEEE*, Matteo Nerini, *Member, IEEE*, Bruno Clerckx, *Fellow, IEEE*, and Merouane Debbah, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—This work develops a physically consistent model for stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM) using multiport network theory and transfer scattering parameters (T -parameters). Unlike the scattering parameters (S -parameters) model, which is highly complex and non-tractable due to its nested nature and excessive number of matrix inversions, the developed T -parameters model is less complex and more tractable due to its explicit and compact nature. This work further derives the constraints of T -parameters for a lossless reciprocal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces (RISs). A gradient descent algorithm (GDA) is proposed to maximize the sum rate in SIM-aided multiuser scenarios, and the results show that accounting for mutual coupling and feedback between consecutive layers can improve the sum rate. In addition, increasing the number of SIM layers with a fixed total number of elements degrades the sum rate when our exact and simplified channel models are used, unlike the simplified channel model with the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction coefficients which improves the sum rate.

Index Terms—Modeling, multiport network theory, stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM), transfer scattering parameters (T -parameters).

I. INTRODUCTION

THE advent of intelligent surfaces such as reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) and diffractive neural networks gave rise to the new paradigm of stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM) [1], [2], which is constructed by stacking layers of RISs in transmission mode to manipulate the electromagnetic waves. The novel idea of stacking multilayer communication devices dates back to 2012 when Boccia *et al.* [3] proposed stacking multilayer antenna-filter antennas system to achieve beam steering. In 2024, Dai *et al.* [4] have been granted a United States patent on multilayer RISs. Recently, Nerini and Clerckx [5] developed a physically consistent model for SIM using multiport network theory and cascaded scattering parameters (S -parameters), explicitly laying out the assumptions for the widely used simplified channel model [1], [6]. However, their exact channel model is highly complex and non-tractable due to its nested nature and excessive number of matrix inversions. Similarly, Abrardo *et al.* [7] proposed an impedance parameters (Z -parameters) model for SIM, constructing its equivalent Z -parameters matrix using band

matrices. Therefore, we explore an alternative representation for SIM using transfer scattering parameters (T -parameters), which conveniently characterize a chain of multiport networks through straightforward matrix multiplication of their individual T -parameters matrices [8]. This approach motivates the development of a new, physically consistent model for SIM that is equivalent to the cascaded S -parameters model, yet it is less complex and more tractable. We further derive the constraints of T -parameters for lossless and reciprocal RISs, which are used to design a gradient descent algorithm (GDA) that controls phase shifts of SIM to maximize the sum rate in multiuser scenarios. Interested readers can find the source code for this article on <https://github.com/hmjasmisim-t-param>.

II. CASCADED MULTIPORT NETWORKS MODELING

A. S -parameters Formulation

The S -parameters matrix of a multiport network associates the reflected waves with the incident waves via a linear transformation. Without loss of generality, we consider a cascade configuration in which a multiport network has ports on two opposite sides. The ports on the left side are the input ports, while the ports on the right side are output ports. When the number of input and output ports is equal, the multiport network is known as a “balanced” multiport network [9]. Therefore, a balanced $2N$ -port network can model SIM with L cascaded layers of RISs and N cells each, where the 1st layer is the input layer and the L th layer is the output layer [1]. Hence, the reflected waves vector of SIM can be expressed as $\mathbf{b}_I = \mathbf{S}_I \mathbf{a}_I$, and expanded as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{oL} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{S}_I \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{a}_{oL} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{I,11} \mathbf{a}_{i1} + \mathbf{S}_{I,12} \mathbf{a}_{oL} \\ \mathbf{S}_{I,21} \mathbf{a}_{i1} + \mathbf{S}_{I,22} \mathbf{a}_{oL} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_I \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ denotes the S -parameters matrix of SIM with the (i, j) th block denoted as $\mathbf{S}_{I,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\mathbf{b}_I = [\mathbf{b}_{i1}^T, \mathbf{b}_{oL}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 1}$ denotes the reflected waves, $\mathbf{b}_{i1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{oL} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ represent the reflected waves at the input ports of the input layer and the output ports of the output layer, $\mathbf{a}_I = [\mathbf{a}_{i1}^T, \mathbf{a}_{oL}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 1}$ denotes the incident waves, $\mathbf{a}_{i1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{oL} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ represent the incident waves at the input ports of the input layer and the output ports of the output layer.

The recursive approach presented in [5] can be used to compute \mathbf{S}_I such that

$$\mathbf{S}_I = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\cdots \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\Theta_1, \mathbf{S}_1), \Theta_2), \dots, \mathbf{S}_{L-1}), \Theta_L) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ denotes a function that will be defined later, the S -parameters matrix of the l th layer RIS, $\forall l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,

Hamad Yahya is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi 127788, UAE (email: hamad.myahya@ku.ac.ae).

Matteo Nerini and Bruno Clerckx are with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, U.K., (e-mail: {m.nerini20, b.clerckx}@imperial.ac.uk).

Merouane Debbah is with the 6G Research Center, Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi 127788, UAE (email: merouane.debbah@ku.ac.ae).




Fig. 1. Multiport network model for SIM-aided communication system based on S -parameters and T -parameters, where L -layer SIM is considered.

is denoted as $\Theta_l = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{l,11} & \Theta_{l,12} \\ \Theta_{l,21} & \Theta_{l,22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ and $\Theta_{l,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. In addition, the S -parameters of the transmission medium between the l th and $(l+1)$ th RIS layer is denoted as $\mathbf{S}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{l,11} & \mathbf{S}_{l,12} \\ \mathbf{S}_{l,21} & \mathbf{S}_{l,22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$, $\forall l \in \{1, \dots, L-1\}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{l,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\mathbf{S}_{l,11}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{l,22}$ refer to the antenna mutual coupling and self-impedance at the l th and $(l+1)$ th RIS layer, $\mathbf{S}_{l,12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{l,21}$ refer to the transmission S -parameters matrices from the $(l+1)$ th to l th RIS layer, and from the l th to $(l+1)$ th RIS layer. The matrix \mathbf{S}_l can be computed as $\mathbf{S}_l = (\mathbf{Z}_l + Z_0 \mathbf{I}_{2N})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}_l - Z_0 \mathbf{I}_{2N})$, where $Z_0 = 50 \Omega$ is the characteristic impedance, $\mathbf{Z}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{l,11} & \mathbf{Z}_{l,12} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{l,21} & \mathbf{Z}_{l,22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ is the transmission medium impedance matrix, $\mathbf{Z}_{l,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\mathbf{Z}_{l,11}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{l,22}$ represent the impedance matrices at l th and $(l+1)$ th RIS layers such that the diagonal entries are the self-impedance and the off-diagonal entries are the antenna mutual coupling, $\mathbf{Z}_{l,12}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{l,21}$ refer to the transmission impedance matrices from the $(l+1)$ th to l th RIS layer, and from the l th to $(l+1)$ th RIS layer. In a reciprocal system, we have $\mathbf{Z}_{l,12} = \mathbf{Z}_{l,21}^T$. Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of SIM-aided communication system considering multiport network theory.

Furthermore, introduced in (2), $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ computes the equivalent S -parameters matrix for a cascade of networks. The process for cascading network P and network Q which are balanced $2N$ -port networks results in a balanced $2N$ -port network R with S -parameters matrix $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ that is defined as $\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{11} & \mathbf{R}_{12} \\ \mathbf{R}_{21} & \mathbf{R}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$, and its (i, j) th blocks are defined as [5, Eq. (12)–(16)],

$$\mathbf{R}_{11} = \mathbf{P}_{11} + \mathbf{P}_{12} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}_{11} \mathbf{P}_{22})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{11} \mathbf{P}_{21} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{12} = \mathbf{P}_{12} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}_{11} \mathbf{P}_{22})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{12} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{21} = \mathbf{Q}_{21} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{22} \mathbf{Q}_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{21} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{22} = \mathbf{Q}_{22} + \mathbf{Q}_{21} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{22} \mathbf{Q}_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{22} \mathbf{Q}_{12} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ are the S -parameters matrices of networks P and Q with $\mathbf{P}_{ij}, \mathbf{Q}_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$.

For a SIM implemented with lossless RISs, the unitary constraint is imposed on Θ_l such that

$$\Theta_l^H \Theta_l = \mathbf{I}_{2N} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{2N} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N}$ is the identity matrix. In addition, reciprocal RISs impose the symmetry constraint on Θ_l such that

$$\Theta_l = \Theta_l^T. \quad (8)$$

To accurately model SIM using physically consistent models and capture the impact of deploying SIM in a radio environment, we consider the following assumptions:

- A.1: Unilateral approximation between the transmitter and the 1st layer RIS, and between the L th layer RIS and the receiver.
- A.2: Matched transmitter and receiver antennas with Z_0 .
- A.3: No mutual coupling between the transmitter antennas, between the receiver antennas, and between the 1st layer RIS elements facing the transmitter.

After expanding the general channel model in [5, Eq. (35)] and following the approach in [10, Sec. V-C], the channel model based on the S -parameters can be written as

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{RI} \mathbf{S}_{I,21} \mathbf{H}_{IT} \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{RI} = \mathbf{S}_{L,21} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times N}$, $\mathbf{S}_{L,21}$ is the $(2,1)$ th block of $\mathbf{S}_L \in \mathbb{C}^{(K+N) \times (K+N)}$ which is the S -parameters matrix of the wireless channel between the L th layer RIS and the K users equipped with single antennas, $\mathbf{H}_{IT} = \mathbf{S}_{0,21} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times K}$, $\mathbf{S}_{0,21}$ is the $(2,1)$ th block of $\mathbf{S}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(K+N) \times (K+N)}$ which is the S -parameters matrix of the wireless channel between the K transmitter antennas and the 1st layer RIS.

Remark 1. To compute the channel model in (9), \mathbf{S}_I needs to be computed recursively using (2). Hence, the non-linear operator $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ has to be used $2(L-1)$ times. Such an expression is highly non-tractable due to its nested nature and block-level operations. In addition, the complexity associated with the expression is dominated by the number of matrix inversions, which grows excessively as L grows. Moreover, the optimization variables are highly coupled and difficult to optimize. To illustrate the disadvantages of the SIM channel model based on S -parameters, we consider the following toy example.

Example 1. Let $L = 2$. Therefore, $\mathbf{S}_I = \mathcal{S}(\Theta_1, \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{S}_1, \Theta_2))$ and its $(2,1)$ th block can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{I,21} &= \Theta_{2,21} (\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{S}_{1,22} \Theta_{2,11})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{1,21} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \Theta_{1,22} \left(\mathbf{S}_{1,11} + \mathbf{S}_{1,12} (\mathbf{I}_N - \Theta_{2,11} \mathbf{S}_{1,22})^{-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \Theta_{2,11} \mathbf{S}_{1,21} \right) \right)^{-1} \Theta_{1,21} \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where the number of matrix inversions in this expression is 3 and it grows excessively with L . For example, when $L = 3, 4, 5, 6$ there are 11, 30, 67, 145 matrix inversions. We note that nested matrix inversions make controlling optimization variables more complicated. Therefore, we propose a more tractable formulation that is less complex and easier to optimize.

B. T -parameters Formulation

The T -parameters matrix of a multiport network have been introduced as a convenient mathematical concept for the

cascade configuration, where it associates the reflected and incident waves at the input ports with the reflected and incident waves at the output ports via a linear transformation. This transformation can be expressed for SIM as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{a}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_I \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{oL} \\ \mathbf{b}_{oL} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{I,11}\mathbf{a}_{oL} + \mathbf{T}_{I,12}\mathbf{b}_{oL} \\ \mathbf{T}_{I,21}\mathbf{a}_{oL} + \mathbf{T}_{I,22}\mathbf{b}_{oL} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_I \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ denotes the T -parameters matrix of SIM with the (i, j) th block denoted as $\mathbf{T}_{I,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. Furthermore, \mathbf{T}_I can be computed as $\mathbf{T}_I \triangleq \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{S}_I)$ and vice versa \mathbf{S}_I can be computed as $\mathbf{S}_I \triangleq \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_I)$, where the definitions of $\mathbf{T}_{I,ij}$, $\mathbf{S}_{I,ij}$ are given as [9, Eq. (11)–(12)],

$$\mathbf{T}_{I11} = \mathbf{S}_{I12} - \mathbf{S}_{I11}\mathbf{S}_{I21}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{I22} \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{I12} = \mathbf{S}_{I11}\mathbf{S}_{I21}^{-1} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{I21} = -\mathbf{S}_{I21}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{I22} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{I22} = \mathbf{S}_{I21}^{-1} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{I11} = \mathbf{T}_{I12}\mathbf{T}_{I22}^{-1} \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{I12} = \mathbf{T}_{I11} - \mathbf{T}_{I12}\mathbf{T}_{I22}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{I21} \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{I21} = \mathbf{T}_{I22}^{-1} \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{I22} = -\mathbf{T}_{I21}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{I21} \quad (19)$$

Proposition 1. *The T -parameters matrix of SIM with L -layer RISs and N cells can be expressed as*

$$\mathbf{T}_I = \mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{G}_2 \cdots \mathbf{T}_{L-1}\mathbf{G}_L \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_l \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ denotes the T -parameters matrix of the l th layer RIS, $\forall l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, and is given as $\mathbf{G}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{l,11} & \mathbf{G}_{l,12} \\ \mathbf{G}_{l,21} & \mathbf{G}_{l,22} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathcal{T}(\Theta_l)$, with the (i, j) th block denoted as $\mathbf{G}_{l,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. In addition, the T -parameters matrix of the transmission medium between the l th and $(l+1)$ th RIS layer is denoted as $\mathbf{T}_l \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N} \forall l \in \{1, \dots, L-1\}$, and is given as $\mathbf{T}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{l,11} & \mathbf{T}_{l,12} \\ \mathbf{T}_{l,21} & \mathbf{T}_{l,22} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{S}_l)$, $\forall l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L-1\}$, with the (i, j) th block denoted as $\mathbf{T}_{l,ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$.

Proof. Please see Appendix A for the proof. \square

Moreover, the constraints on \mathbf{G}_l are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *For a SIM implemented with lossless RISs, the pseudo-unitary constraint is imposed on \mathbf{G}_l such that*

$$\mathbf{G}_l^H \Sigma_{2N} \mathbf{G}_l = \Sigma_{2N} \quad (21)$$

where $\Sigma_{2N} = \text{blkdiag}(\mathbf{I}_N, -\mathbf{I}_N)$ and $\text{blkdiag}(\cdot)$ returns a block diagonal matrix with the blocks defined in the argument. In addition, reciprocal RISs impose the complex-conjugate persymmetric constraint on \mathbf{G}_l such that

$$\mathbf{G}_l = \mathbf{J}_{2N} \mathbf{G}_l^* \mathbf{J}_{2N} \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{2N} = \text{blkantidiag}(\mathbf{I}_N, \mathbf{I}_N)$ is known as the exchange matrix and $\text{blkantidiag}(\cdot)$ returns a block antidiagonal matrix with the blocks defined in the argument.

Proof. Please see Appendix B for the proof. \square

TABLE I
S-PARAMETERS AND T-PARAMETERS MODELING SUMMARY.

	S -parameters	T -parameters
Channel Model	(9)	(23)
Tractability	Non-tractable	Tractable
Controllability	Difficult	Easy
Complexity	High	Low
Lossless Constraint	Unitary (7)	Pseudo-unitary (21)
Reciprocal Constraint	Symmetric (8)	Persymmetric (22)

The SIM channel model based on the T -parameters is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Assuming A.1–A.3, the channel model based on the T -parameters is given as*

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{RI}\mathbf{T}_{I,22}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{IT}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Please see Appendix C for the proof. \square

Remark 2. *To compute the channel model in (23), \mathbf{T}_I needs to be computed using (20) and its $(2,2)$ th block should be extracted. Hence, it requires $2(L-1)$ matrix multiplications, which are linear operations, unlike the S -parameters counterpart, which requires $2(L-1)$ non-linear $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ operators. Also, (20) is more tractable due to its compact nature, unlike the S -parameters counterpart which is nested and block-level as seen in (2). Moreover, the complexity associated with (23) is less since it requires a single matrix inversion, unlike the S -parameters counterpart which requires an excessive number of matrix inversions that grows excessively with L . To illustrate the advantages of the T -parameters matrix-based channel model, we consider the following toy example.*

Example 2. *Let $L = 2$. Therefore, $\mathbf{T}_I = \mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{G}_2$, and its $(2,2)$ th block can be expanded as*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{I,22} &= \mathbf{G}_{1,21}\mathbf{T}_{1,11}\mathbf{G}_{2,12} + \mathbf{G}_{1,21}\mathbf{T}_{1,12}\mathbf{G}_{2,22} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{G}_{1,22}\mathbf{T}_{1,21}\mathbf{G}_{2,12} + \mathbf{G}_{1,22}\mathbf{T}_{1,22}\mathbf{G}_{2,22}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Table I summarizes the S -parameters and T -parameters modeling in terms of channel models, tractability, controllability, complexity and constraints, which are important features to solve any optimization problem involving SIM.

III. T-PARAMETERS DESIGN FOR SIM

Consider SIM-aided communication system with a base station (BS) consisting of K radio-frequency (RF) chains and SIM with L -layer RISs and N cells. The BS serves K single-antenna users. Therefore, the vector-form received signals at the users can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n} \quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times 1}$ denotes the transmitted signals, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times 1}$ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with N_0 the power spectral density, $\mathbf{P} = \text{diag}([\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \dots, \sqrt{p_K}]^T) \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ denotes the power allocation matrix satisfying, $\sum_k p_k = P_{\max}$, where P_{\max} is the BS maximum power budget. Furthermore, the objective is to maximize the sum rate, which can be achieved by considering a two-stage design

[11]. In stage 1, the RISs phase shifts are optimized assuming \mathbf{P} is uniformly fixed, while stage 2 considers \mathbf{P} design assuming fixed RISs phase shifts. Therefore, the signal to interference and noise ratio (SINR) for the k th user can be defined as $\gamma_k = \frac{p_k |\mathbf{H}|_{k,k}|^2}{\sum_{i \neq k} p_i |\mathbf{H}|_{k,i}|^2 + N_0}$ [11, Eq. (11)], where \mathbf{H} is defined in (23). Consequently, when considering transmissive single-connected RISs, we can formulate stage 1 sum rate maximization as

$$(P1) : \max_{\Phi} f(\Phi) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^K \log_2(1 + \gamma_k) \quad (26a)$$

$$\text{Subject to, } \mathbf{G}_l = \text{blkdiag}(\overline{\Theta}_l, \overline{\Theta}_l^{-1}) \quad (26b)$$

$$\overline{\Theta}_l = \text{diag}([\exp(j\phi_{l,1}), \dots, \exp(j\phi_{l,N})]) \quad (26c)$$

$$\phi_{l,n} \in [0, 2\pi), \forall l, n \quad (26d)$$

where (26a) is the objective function, (26b)–(26d) are the reciprocity and lossless constraints on the T -parameters matrix of SIM layers. Note that the T -parameters constraints in (21)–(22) simplify to (26b)–(26d) because transmissive single-connected RISs are considered. In addition, $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ is the design variable that essentially stores the SIM phase shifts such that $[\Phi]_{l,n} = \phi_{l,n}$.

The problem in (P1) can be efficiently solved via a GDA which iteratively updates $\Phi^{(t)}$ using the gradient of (26a). The update rule follows is given as $\Phi^{(t+1)} = \Phi^{(t)} + \alpha^{(t)} \nabla f(\Phi^{(t)})$, where $\alpha^{(t)}$ is the Armijo step to ensure convergence [1], t is the iteration index, and the gradient of the objective function can be computed numerically considering the first order approximation. We initialize Φ based on the simplified channel model [5, Eq. (40)], and the maximum ratio transmission algorithm developed in [11]. In addition, a suitable power allocation shall be considered for stage 2.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section presents the numerical results for the sum rate considering the physically consistent channel model developed in Sec. II-B. Unless otherwise stated, \mathbf{Z}_l is computed based on [12, Eq. (6)]. The RIS elements are arranged uniformly over the yz -plane, where $N = N_y N_x$, N_y and N_z are the number of elements along y -axis and z -axis. The separation of adjacent elements in y -axis and z -axis are denoted by l_y, l_z , while the separation between adjacent SIM layers is denoted by l_x . The elements are assumed to be parallel to z -axis with identical lengths $\iota = \lambda/4$ and radii $\rho = \lambda/500$, where λ denotes the wavelength of the 28 GHz operating frequency. In addition, independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) Rayleigh fading channels with unit variance are considered for \mathbf{H}_{RI} and \mathbf{H}_{IT} , with $K = 2$. In the following, the sum rate results are presented considering exact (23) and simplified channel models [5, Eq. (40)]. Specifically: 1) “EE”: optimization and evaluation are based on exact channel. 2) “SE”: optimization is based on simplified channel, and evaluation is based on exact channel. 3) “SS”: optimization and evaluation are based on simplified channel.

Fig. 2a illustrates the convergence of the GDA, where $L = 3$ and $N = N_x \times N_y = 6 \times 6 = 36$. It is noted that accounting for the exact channel improves the sum rate. Furthermore,




Fig. 2. (a) Convergence of GDA. Case 1: ($l_x = l_y = l_z = \lambda/2$), Case 2: ($l_x = l_y = l_z = \lambda/3$), Case 3: ($l_x = \lambda/3, l_y = l_z = \lambda/2$), Case 4: ($l_x = \lambda/2, l_y = l_z = \lambda/3$). (b) and (c) Sum rate against $L \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$, $NL = 72$, $N_y = 6$, $N_z = N_y/N$, $l_x = \lambda/12(L-1)$, $l_y = \lambda/2$, $l_z = 36\lambda/2N$.

while packing elements closer to each other makes mutual coupling effect stronger, the sum rate improvement becomes more significant. Fig. 2b and Fig. 2c illustrate the sum rate against the number of layers $L \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$, where $NL = 72$, $N_y = 6$, $N_z = N_y/N$, $l_x = \lambda/12(L-1)$, $l_y = \lambda/2$, $l_z = 36\lambda/2N$. Furthermore, hundred realizations are assumed for the Monte-Carlo simulations, $N_0 = 1$, $P_{\max} = 2$ and uniform power allocation is considered. It is noted from Fig. 2b that “EE”, “SE” and “SS” have the same sum rate for $L = 2$ because the simplified channel is only exact for this case. Furthermore, the sum rate does not improve with L due to the increased losses of the transmission medium. On the other hand, the opposite is observed with Fig. 2c which considers the Rayleigh Sommerfeld diffraction coefficients for $\mathbf{S}_{l,21}$ assuming square patch elements with $\iota = \lambda/4$. Consequently, adopting Rayleigh Sommerfeld diffraction coefficients is questionable as the transmission medium is lossy and it should not improve the sum rate given that the total number of elements is fixed.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF T-PARAMETERS MATRIX FOR SIM

To prove (20), consider the cascade of networks P and Q , where network P is the input layer and network Q is output layer. Let networks P and Q be two $2N$ -port balanced networks with T -parameters matrices denoted as \mathbf{T}_p and \mathbf{T}_q . The incident waves at the input and output ports of network P (Q) are denoted as $\mathbf{a}_{ip}, \mathbf{a}_{op}$ ($\mathbf{a}_{iq}, \mathbf{a}_{oq}$), while reflected waves at the input and output ports of network P (Q) are denoted as $\mathbf{b}_{ip}, \mathbf{b}_{op}$ ($\mathbf{b}_{iq}, \mathbf{b}_{oq}$). Following the definition of T -parameters shown in (11), we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{ip} \\ \mathbf{a}_{ip} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_p \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{op} \\ \mathbf{b}_{op} \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{iq} \\ \mathbf{a}_{iq} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_q \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{oq} \\ \mathbf{b}_{oq} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

and because of the cascade configuration we have $\mathbf{b}_{iq} = \mathbf{a}_{op}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{iq} = \mathbf{b}_{op}$. Hence, we can write (28) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{op} \\ \mathbf{b}_{op} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_q \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{oq} \\ \mathbf{b}_{oq} \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

Hence, by substituting (29) in (27) we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{ip} \\ \mathbf{a}_{ip} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_p \mathbf{T}_q \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{oq} \\ \mathbf{b}_{oq} \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

Consequently, the equivalent multiport network has a T -parameters matrix that can be denoted as $\mathbf{T}_{pq} \triangleq \mathbf{T}_p \mathbf{T}_q$. Following the same approach, (20) is proved.

APPENDIX B

PROOF OF T-PARAMETERS CONSTRAINTS

To prove the lossless constraint imposed on \mathbf{G}_l , we denote the incident waves (reflected waves) at the input and output ports of the l th layer RIS as \mathbf{a}_{il} and \mathbf{a}_{ol} (\mathbf{b}_{il} and \mathbf{b}_{ol}). Consider the energy conservation law [8], we can write $\|\mathbf{b}_{il}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{a}_{il}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}_{ol}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{b}_{ol}\|^2$. This can be represented in a vector form as follows,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{il} \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \end{bmatrix}^H \Sigma_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{il} \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^H \Sigma_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

Following the definition in (11) with a notation change and applying the hermitian we can obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{il} \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \end{bmatrix}^H = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^H \mathbf{G}_l^H \quad (32)$$

By substituting (32) in (31), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^H \mathbf{G}_l^H \Sigma_{2N} \mathbf{G}_l \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^H \Sigma_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

which proves (21).

A reciprocal RIS imposes the following on \mathbf{G}_l

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{il} \\ \mathbf{b}_{il} \end{bmatrix}^* = \mathbf{G}_l \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{a}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^* \\ \mathbf{J}_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{il} \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \end{bmatrix}^* = \mathbf{G}_l \mathbf{J}_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^* \quad (34)$$

By taking the transpose of (32), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{il} \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \end{bmatrix}^* = \mathbf{G}_l^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^* \quad (35)$$

Hence, we substitute (35) in (34) to obtain

$$\mathbf{J}_{2N} \mathbf{G}_l^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^* = \mathbf{G}_l \mathbf{J}_{2N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{ol} \\ \mathbf{b}_{ol} \end{bmatrix}^* \quad (36)$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{J}_{2N} \mathbf{G}_l^* = \mathbf{G}_l \mathbf{J}_{2N}$, which proves (22).

APPENDIX C

PROOF OF THE SIM CHANNEL MODEL BASED ON T-PARAMETERS

The short proof for (23) is by substituting (18) in (9). The long proof is by assuming $K = N$, which does not have an impact on the preposition. Hence, the T -parameters matrix of the wireless channel between the L th layer RIS and the users (transmitter antennas and the 1st layer RIS) can be denoted by $\mathbf{T}_L \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$ ($\mathbf{T}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}$). Therefore, the equivalent T -parameters matrix of the cascade can be written as $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_L$, where its (i, j) th block is denoted by \mathbf{T}_{ij} . By denoting the incident waves (reflected waves) at the transmitting antennas ports and the receiving antenna ports as

\mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{a}_o (\mathbf{b}_i and \mathbf{b}_o), we can define the voltages vector at the transmitting antennas ports as

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{T}_{21} + \mathbf{T}_{11}) \mathbf{a}_o + (\mathbf{T}_{22} + \mathbf{T}_{12}) \mathbf{b}_o \quad (37)$$

Therefore, we get an expression for \mathbf{a}_o and \mathbf{b}_o such that

$$\mathbf{a}_o = (\mathbf{T}_{21} + \mathbf{T}_{11})^{-1} (\mathbf{v}_i - (\mathbf{T}_{22} + \mathbf{T}_{12}) \mathbf{b}_o) \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbf{b}_o = (\mathbf{T}_{22} + \mathbf{T}_{12})^{-1} (\mathbf{v}_i - (\mathbf{T}_{21} + \mathbf{T}_{11}) \mathbf{a}_o) \quad (39)$$

Furthermore, we can express voltages vector at the receiving antennas ports as

$$\mathbf{v}_o = \mathbf{a}_o + \mathbf{b}_o = (\mathbf{T}_{22} + \mathbf{T}_{12})^{-1} \mathbf{v}_i + \left(\mathbf{I}_N - (\mathbf{T}_{22} + \mathbf{T}_{12})^{-1} (\mathbf{T}_{21} + \mathbf{T}_{11}) \right) \mathbf{a}_o \quad (40)$$

Assuming A.1–A.3 dictates $\mathbf{a}_o = \mathbf{0}$ [5, Eq. (33)], $\mathbf{T}_0 = \text{blkdiag}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}_{0,22})$ and $\mathbf{T}_L = \text{blkdiag}(\mathbf{T}_{L,11}, \mathbf{T}_{L,22})$. Therefore, $\mathbf{T}_{11} = \mathbf{T}_{12} = \mathbf{0}$, and we get $\mathbf{v}_o = \mathbf{T}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_i$. By noting that $\mathbf{v}_o = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v}_i$, we can express the channel as

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{T}_{L,22}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{I,22}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{0,22}^{-1} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbf{H}_{RI} \mathbf{T}_{I,22}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{IT} \quad (41)$$

where (a) holds for $K \neq N$. Hence, (23) is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. An, M. Di Renzo, M. Debbah, and C. Yuen, "Stacked intelligent metasurfaces for multiuser beamforming in the wave domain," in *IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Rome, Italy, Jun. 2023, pp. 2834–2839.
- [2] J. An, C. Yuen, C. Xu, H. Li, D. W. K. Ng, M. Di Renzo, M. Debbah, and L. Hanzo, "Stacked intelligent metasurface-aided MIMO transceiver design," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 123–131, Aug. 2024.
- [3] L. Boccia, I. Russo, G. Amendola, and G. Di Massa, "Multilayer antenna-filter antenna for beam-steering transmit-array applications," *IEEE Trans. Microw Theory Tech.*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 2287–2300, Jul. 2012.
- [4] L. Dai, L. Kunzan, Z. Zhang, R. Mackenzie, and H. Mo, "Wireless telecommunications network including a multi-layer transmissive reconfigurable intelligent surface," Patent US 012 028 139B2, Jul. 2., 2024.
- [5] M. Nerini and B. Clerckx, "Physically consistent modeling of stacked intelligent metasurfaces implemented with beyond diagonal RIS," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1693–1697, Jul. 2024.
- [6] J. An, C. Yuen, Y. L. Guan, M. D. Renzo, M. Debbah, H. V. Poor, and L. Hanzo, "Two-dimensional direction-of-arrival estimation using stacked intelligent metasurfaces," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 2786–2802, Oct. 2024.
- [7] A. Abrardo, G. Bartoli, and A. Toccafondi, "A novel comprehensive multiport network model for stacked intelligent metasurfaces (SIM) characterization and optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.02597*, 2025.
- [8] P. Markos and C. M. Soukoulis, *Wave propagation: From electrons to photonic crystals and left-handed materials*. Princeton University Press, 2008.
- [9] J. Frei, X.-D. Cai, and S. Muller, "Multiport S -parameter and T -parameter conversion with symmetry extension," *IEEE Trans. Microw Theory Tech.*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 2493–2504, Nov. 2008.
- [10] M. Nerini, S. Shen, H. Li, M. Di Renzo, and B. Clerckx, "A universal framework for multiport network analysis of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 14575–14590, Oct. 2024.
- [11] H. Yahya, H. Li, M. Nerini, B. Clerckx, and M. Debbah, "Beyond diagonal RIS: Passive maximum ratio transmission and interference nulling enabler," *IEEE Open J Commun. Soc.*, vol. 5, pp. 7613–7627, Nov. 2024.
- [12] G. Gradoni and M. Di Renzo, "End-to-end mutual coupling aware communication model for reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: An electromagnetic-compliant approach based on mutual impedances," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 938–942, May 2021.